# SERRE'S CONDITION FOR TENSOR PRODUCTS AND *n*-TOR-RIGIDITY OF MODULES

HIROKI MATSUI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study Serre's condition  $(S_n)$  for tensor products of modules over a commutative noetherian local ring. The paper aims to show the following. Let M and Nbe finitely generated module over a commutative noetherian local ring R, either of which is (n+1)-Tor-rigid. If the tensor product  $M \otimes_R N$  satisfies  $(S_{n+1})$ , then under some assumptions  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M,N) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . The key role is played by (n+1)-Tor-rigidity of modules. As applications, we will show that the result recovers several known results.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R denotes a commutative noetherian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and  $\mathsf{X}^n(R)$  denotes the set of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of R with  $\operatorname{ht} \mathfrak{p} \leq n$ .

Torsion in tensor products of finitely generated R-modules has been well studied deeply by many authors [1, 16, 18, 22] with relation to the Auslander-Reiten conjecture (see [9]). Such a study is initiated by Auslander and he proved the following result [1, 22].

**Theorem 1.1** (Auslander, Lichtenbaum). Let R be a regular local ring and let M, N be finitely generated R-modules. If  $M \otimes_R N$  is torsion-free, then  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

Three decades later, Huneke and Wiegand [16, 17] generalized the Auslander's result for hypersurface local rings, which is known as the *second rigidity theorem*.

**Theorem 1.2** (Huneke-Wiegand). Let R be a hypersurface local ring and let M, N be finitely generated R-modules, either of which has rank. If  $M \otimes_R N$  is reflexive, then  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

Recall that a finitely generated *R*-module *M* satisfies the Serre's condition  $(S_n)$  if the inequality depth<sub>*R*<sub>p</sub></sub> $(M_p) \ge \min\{n, \operatorname{ht} p\}$  holds for all  $p \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ . We note that a non-zero finitely generated *R*-module *M* is torsion-free if and only if it satisfies  $(S_1)$  over a regular local ring and that *M* is reflexive if and only if it satisfies  $(S_2)$  over a hypersurface local ring. From this observation, Huneke, Jorgensen and Wiegand [15] asked the following question.

Question 1.3 (Huneke-Wiegand-Jorgensen). Let R be a complete intersection local ring of codimension c and M, N finitely generated R-modules. If  $M \otimes_R N$  satisfies  $(S_{c+1})$ , then does  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  hold for all  $i \geq 1$ ?

The answer to this question is given by Dao [11] under some extra assumptions on M and N:

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 13C12, 13D05, 13D07, 13H10.

The author was partly supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows 19J00158.

**Theorem 1.4** (Dao). Let R be a complete intersection local ring of codimension c whose completion is a quotient of an unramified regular local ring. Let M, N be finitely generated R-modules. Assume:

(1)  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is free for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathsf{X}^{c}(R)$ . (2) M and N satisfy  $(S_{c})$ . If  $M \otimes_{R} N$  satisfies  $(S_{c+1})$ , then  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

The key role of the proof of Theorem 1.4 is played by *n*-Tor-rigidity of modules; see Definition 2.4 for the definition of *n*-Tor-rigid modules. Indeed, the proof relies upon the fact that the vanishing of *eta pairing*, which is a generalization of *Hochster's theta pairing*, implies that *c*-Tor-rigidity of a pair of finitely generated *R*-modules. The unramified assumption on an embedded regular local ring is used here. In addition, it is shown by Murthy [21] that over a complete intersection local ring *R* of codimension *c*, every finitely generated *R*-module is (c+1)-Tor-rigid. In this paper, we consider another direction of a variant of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Namely, we consider the following question.

Question 1.5. Let R be a noetherian local ring and M, N finitely generated R-modules and n a non-negative integer. If  $M \otimes_R N$  satisfies  $(S_{n+1})$  and either M or N is (n + 1)-Tor-rigid, then does  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  hold for all  $i \geq 1$ ?

The aim of this paper is to give an answer to this question. Precisely, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.6** (see Theorem 3.4). Let n be a non-negative integer and let R be a noetherian local ring satisfying  $(S_n)$  for  $n \ge 1$  and  $(S_1)$  for n = 0. Let M and N be finitely generated R-modules. Assume:

(1) N satisfies  $(S_n)$  and  $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is free for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathsf{X}^n(R)$ .

(2) M is stably isomorphic to the nth syzygy of an (n+1)-Tor-rigid module.

If  $M \otimes_R N$  satisfies  $(S_{n+1})$ , then  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

Here, we say that two finitely generated *R*-modules *M* and *N* are *stably isomorphic* if there are finitely generated free *R*-modules *F* and *G* such that  $M \oplus F \cong N \oplus G$ .

If we assume R is a complete intersection local ring of codimension c, then every finitely generated R-module is (c + 1)-Tor-rigid and that a finitely generated R-module is the cth syzygy of some finitely generated R-module if and only if it satisfies  $(S_c)$ . Thus, this result recovers Theorem 1.4. Moreover, I want to emphasize that the unramified assumption on an embedded regular local ring is removed in our theorem.

The organization of this paper is as follows. The Section 2 is devoted for the preparation of the proof. In Section 3, we will prove Theorem 3.4 and give several applications of the main theorem, which recovers some known results such as Theorem 1.4.

## 2. Preliminaries.

In this section, we recall several basic definitions including that of an n-Tor-rigid module for later use.

**Definition 2.1.** ([4]) Let M be a finitely generated R-module. A (codimension c) quasideformation of R is a diagram  $R \to R' \leftarrow Q$  of local rings such that  $R \to R'$  is fully faithful and  $R' \leftarrow Q$  is surjective with kernel generated by a Q-regular sequence (of length c). The complete intersection dimension of M is defined to be

 $\operatorname{CI-dim}_R(M) := \inf \{ \operatorname{pd}_Q(M \otimes_R R') - \operatorname{pd}_Q R' \mid R \to R' \twoheadleftarrow Q \text{ is a quasi-deformation of } M \}.$ 

**Definition 2.2.** Let M be a finitely generated R-module. The *complexity* of M is

 $\operatorname{cx}_R(M) := \inf\{c \ge 0 \mid \text{there exists } r > 0 \text{ such that } \beta_i(M) \le ri^{c-1} \text{ for all } i \gg 0\}.$ 

Here,  $\beta_i(M) := \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, k)$  denotes the *i*th *Betti number* of M.

For the basic properties of complete intersection dimension and complexity, we refer the reader to [2, 3, 4]. We only record the following important fact on complete intersection dimension and complexity.

**Proposition 2.3** ([4, Theorem 1.3] and [14, Theorem 2.3]). The following are equivalent for a noetherian local ring R.

(1) R is complete intersection.

(2) CI-dim<sub>R</sub>(M) <  $\infty$  for every finitely generated R-module M.

(3)  $\operatorname{cx}_R(M) < \infty$  for every finitely generated *R*-module *M*.

This is the case,  $\operatorname{cx}_R(M) \leq \operatorname{codim} R$  for every finitely generated R-module M.

Here, we give the definition of an n-Tor-rigid module.

**Definition 2.4.** Let *n* be a positive integer. We say that a finitely generated *R*-module *M* is *n*-Tor-rigid if for a finitely generated *R*-module *N* and a positive integer *t*, the *n*-consecutive vanishing  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N) = 0$  for  $i = t, t + 1, \ldots, t + n - 1$  implies that  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i \geq t$ .

There are various examples of n-Tor-rigid modules in the literature.

**Example 2.5.** (a) ([21, Corollary 1.9]) Assume that R is a complete intersection local ring of codimension c. Then every finitely generated R-module is (c + 1)-Tor-rigid.

- (b) ([11, Corollary 6.8]) Let R be a local complete intersection ring of codimension c > 0 whose completion is a quotient of an unramified regular local ring. Then a finitely generated R-module M is c-Tor-rigid if  $cx_R(M) < c$ .
- (c) (cf. [8, Corollary 4.3] and [19, Proposition 2.3]) Let M be a finitely generated R-module. If  $\operatorname{CI-dim}_R(M) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{cx}_R(M) = c$ , then M is (c+1)-Tor-rigid.
- (d) ([6, Theorem 5(ii)]) Let I be an ideal satisfying  $\mathfrak{m}I \neq \mathfrak{m}(I:\mathfrak{m})$ . Then R/I and hence I is 2-Tor-rigid.
- (e) ([20, Lemma, page 316]) Let M be an R-module with  $\mathfrak{m}M \neq 0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}M$  is 2-Tor-rigid.

We introduce the following fact which is essentially shown by Auslander [1, Lemma 3.1], since it is the prototypical result of our main theorem.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let R be a noetherian local ring and M, N finitely generated R-modules. Assume:

(1) N has a (constant) rank.

(2) M is 1-Tor-rigid.

If  $M \otimes_R N$  satisfies  $(S_1)$ , then  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Consider the short exact sequence  $0 \to \top N \to N \to \bot N \to 0$  where  $\top N$  and  $\bot N$  are torsion and torsion-free part of N, respectively. Tensoring this sequence with M, we obtain an exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, \bot N) \to M \otimes_R \top N \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \otimes_R N \xrightarrow{\beta} M \otimes_R \bot N \to 0.$$

As  $M \otimes_R \top N$  is torsion and  $M \otimes_R N$  is torsion-free, the map  $\alpha$  must be zero and thus  $\beta$  is an isomorphism. If it is shown that  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, \bot N) = 0$ , then  $M \otimes_R \top N = 0$  and hence  $\top N = 0$ . Therefore we may assume that N is torsion-free.

Since N is a torsion-free R-module having a rank, we can take a short exact sequence

$$0 \to N \to F \to C \to 0$$

with F free and C torsion. From this short exact sequence, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, C) \to M \otimes_R N \to M \otimes_R F \to M \otimes_R C \to 0.$$

Since  $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(M, C)$  is torsion and  $M \otimes_{R} N$  is torsion-free, we see that  $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(M, C) = 0$ . Then the 1-Tor-rigidity of N shows  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, C) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and in particular  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N) = 0$ for all  $i \geq 1$ .

## 3. Main theorem and its applications

The purpose of this section is to prove our main theorem. Before beginning the proof, we establish several lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let M and N be finitely generated R-modules, and  $\underline{x} := x_1, \ldots, x_n$  an N-regular sequence. If  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, N/\underline{x}N) = 0$ , then  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, N) = 0$ . The converse holds if moreover  $\underline{x}$  is regular on  $M \otimes_R N$ .

Proof. The first statement follows easily from Nakayama's lemma.

Assume that  $\underline{x}$  is regular on both  $M \otimes_R N$  and N and that  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, N) = 0$ . From the short exact sequence  $0 \to N \xrightarrow{x_1} N \to N/x_1 N \to 0$ , we obtain an exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(M,N) = 0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(M,N/x_{1}N) \to M \otimes_{R} N \xrightarrow{x_{1}} M \otimes_{R} N \to M \otimes_{R} (N/x_{1}N) \to 0.$$

Then we have  $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(M, N/x_{1}N) = 0$ , since  $x_{1}$  is regular on  $M \otimes_{R} N$ . On the other hand, the isomorphism  $M \otimes_{R} (N/x_{1}N) \cong (M \otimes_{R} N)/x_{1}(M \otimes_{R} N)$  shows that the sequence  $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$  is regular on both  $M \otimes_{R} (N/x_{1}N)$  and  $N/x_{1}N$ . Therefore the induction argument on n shows that  $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(M, N/\underline{x}N) = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let M and N be finitely generated R-modules, and  $\underline{x} := x_1, \ldots, x_n$  an N-regular sequence such that  $\underline{x} \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . If  $\operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^R(M, N/\underline{x}^{2^{n-1}}N) = 0$ , then  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1$ . Here,  $\underline{x}^{2^{n-1}}$  denotes the sequence  $x_1^{2^{n-1}}, \ldots, x_n^{2^{n-1}}$ .

*Proof.* Notice that  $\operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^{R}(M, N) = 0$  holds by Lemma 3.1. We prove  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$  by induction on n.

Suppose that n = 1. Consider the following pullback diagram of  $N \xrightarrow{x_1} N$  and a free cover  $F \to N$ :



Then the middle column means that W is the first syzygy of  $N/x_1N$  i.e.,  $W = \Omega(N/x_1N)$ . Applying  $M \otimes_R$  – to the middle and the bottom rows, we get a commutative diagram

Thus the assumption  $x_1 \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, N) = 0$  implies that  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, N) = 0$ . Now let  $n \ge 1$  and set  $N_k := N/(x_1^{2^{n-1}}, \dots, x_k^{2^{n-1}})N$  for  $k = 0, \dots, n$ . First we show:

Claim.  $\underline{x}^{2^k} \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N_k) = 0$  for  $k + 1 \le i \le n$ .

*Proof.* Let us proceed by induction on k. If k = 0, there is nothing to prove. Consider the case of  $k \ge 1$  and assume  $\underline{x}^{2^{k-1}} \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N_{k-1}) = 0$  for  $k \le i \le n$ . The short exact sequence  $0 \to N_{k-1} \xrightarrow{x_k^{2^{n-1}}} N_{k-1} \to N_k \to 0$  induces the following commutative diagram

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N_{k-1}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N_{k}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}(M, N_{k-1})$$

$$\uparrow x_{j}^{2^{k-1}} = 0 \qquad \uparrow x_{j}^{2^{k-1}} \qquad \uparrow x_{j}^{2^{k-1}} = 0$$

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N_{k-1}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N_{k}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}(M, N_{k-1})$$

with exact rows. By the induction hypothesis, the left and the right vertical maps are zero. Hence we obtain  $\underline{x}^{2^k}$  Tor<sub>i</sub> $(M, N_k) = 0$  for  $k + 1 \le i \le n$ . 

In particular, we have  $\underline{x}^2 \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\Omega M, N_1) = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ . On the other hand, there is an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{R}(\Omega M, N_{1}/(x_{2}^{2^{n-1}}, \dots, x_{n}^{2^{n-1}})N_{1}) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^{R}(M, N/\underline{x}^{2^{n-1}}N) = 0$$

from the assumption. Therefore, the induction hypothesis shows  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\Omega M, N_1) = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le i \le n$ *n*. By Lemma 3.1, we get  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N) = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n+1$ . Finally, from  $\operatorname{Tor}_{2}^{R}(M, N_{1}) = 0$  and  $x_{1}^{2}\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N) = 0$  for i = 1, 2, we also obtain  $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(M, N) = 0$ .

Recall that the *codimension* of a finitely generated R-module M is defined to be

$$\operatorname{codim} M := \inf \{ \operatorname{ht} \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp} M \}.$$

From the definition,  $\operatorname{codim} M \ge n$  if and only if  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathsf{X}^n(R)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let M and N be finitely generated R-modules such that  $\operatorname{CI-dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathsf{X}^n(R)$ . If  $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \ge n+1$  for all  $i \gg 0$ , then  $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \ge n+1$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathsf{X}^n(R)$  and it suffices to show that  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . From the assumption we get  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for all  $i \gg 0$  and this implies  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$  as  $\operatorname{CI-dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ ; see [3, Theorem 4.9].

Now we are ready to show our main theorem. The idea of the proof has been already appeared in the proof of Proposition 2.6.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let n be a non-negative integer, and let R be a noetherian local ring satisfying  $(S_n)$  if  $n \ge 1$  and  $(S_1)$  if n = 0. Let M and N be finitely generated R-modules. Assume:

(1) N satisfies  $(S_n)$  and  $\operatorname{CI-dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \infty$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathsf{X}^n(R)$ .

(2) M is stably isomorphic to the nth syzygy of an (n+1)-Tor-rigid module M',

(3) codim  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N) \ge n+1$  for all  $i \gg 0$ ,

If  $M \otimes_R N$  satisfies  $(S_{n+1})$ , then  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $n \ge \dim R$ , there is nothing to prove by the assumption (3). We may assume that  $n < \dim R$ .

First consider the case of n = 0. Using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.6, we may assume N is torsion-free and hence satisfies  $(S_1)$  because R satisfies  $(S_1)$ . It follows from [13, Proposition 2.4] and the assumption (1) that there is a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  with F free. Then the same proof as in Proposition 2.6 works and we complete the proof in this case.

Next let us consider the case of  $n \ge 1$ . Again using [13, Proposition 2.4], there is a short exact sequence

$$(*) 0 \to N \to F \to C \to 0$$

with F free, C satisfies  $(S_{n-1})$  and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(C, R) = 0$ . Since N satisfies  $(S_{n})$ ,  $\operatorname{CI-dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathsf{X}^{n}(R)$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$  shows that  $\operatorname{CI-dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathsf{X}^{n}(R)$  by [10, Lemma 1.1.10] and [4, Theorem 1.4]. From Lemma 3.3, we have codim  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}(M', C) \geq n+1$ for all  $i \geq 1$ . Tensoring M with the above sequence (\*), we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, C) \to M \otimes_R N \to M \otimes_R F \to M \otimes_R C \to 0.$$

Since  $M \otimes_R N$  satisfies  $(S_{n+1})$ , and  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, C) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^R(M', C)$  is torsion, we get  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, C) = 0$ .

Next, we prove that  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M', C) = 0$  for i = 2, ..., n+1. To this end, we may assume  $n \geq 2$ . Set  $\mathfrak{a} := \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} \operatorname{ann} \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M', C)$ . It follows from codim  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M', C) \geq n+1$  for all  $i \geq 1$  that  $V(\mathfrak{a})$  consists only prime ideals of height at least n+1. Using  $(S_{n-1})$  condition on C, one has the following (in)equalities:

$$\operatorname{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, C) = \inf \{\operatorname{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} C_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})\} \geq \inf \{\operatorname{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} C_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \operatorname{ht} \mathfrak{p} \geq n+1\} \geq n-1.$$

Here, the first equality uses [5, Proposition 1.2.10 (a)]. On the other hand, for a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  with height at least n + 1, the depth lemma applying to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to (M \otimes_R N)_{\mathfrak{p}} \to (M \otimes_R F)_{\mathfrak{p}} \to (M \otimes_R C)_{\mathfrak{p}} \to 0$$

implies that depth<sub>R<sub>p</sub></sub> $(M \otimes_R C)_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq n$ . Thus the similar argument as above shows that grade( $\mathfrak{a}, M \otimes_R C$ )  $\geq n$ . Therefore we can take a sequence  $\underline{x} := x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  of elements from  $\mathfrak{a}$  which is regular on both C and  $M \otimes_R C$ . Then we get  $\operatorname{Tor}_n^R(\Omega M', C/\underline{x}^{2^{n-2}}C) \cong$  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, C/\underline{x}^{2^{n-2}}C) = 0$  by Lemma 3.1. Because  $\underline{x}$  is taken from the annihilators of  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\Omega M', C) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^R(M', C)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , Lemma 3.2 gives us that  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M', C) \cong$  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}^R(\Omega M', C) = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n+1$ .

To use the (n+1)-Tor-rigidity of M', it remains to show  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M', C) = 0$ . If this is true, the vanishing  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M', C) = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le n+1$  implies that  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M', C) = 0$  for all  $i \ge 1$  and hence we conclude that  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i \ge 1$ . Assume the contrary that  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M', C) \ne 0$  and take  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M', C)$ . Notice that  $\operatorname{ht} \mathfrak{p} \ge n+1$  as codim  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M', C) \ge n+1$ . Let F be a free resolution of M' and decompose it into short exact sequences  $0 \to \Omega^{k+1}M' \to F_k \to \Omega^k M' \to 0$  for  $k \ge 0$ . Tensoring these sequences with C, we obtain the following exact sequences

$$0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(M', C) \to \Omega M' \otimes_{R} C \to F_{0} \otimes_{R} C \to M' \otimes_{R} C \to 0$$
$$0 \to \Omega^{2} M' \otimes_{R} C \to F_{1} \otimes_{R} C \to \Omega M' \otimes_{R} C \to 0$$
$$\cdots$$
$$0 \to M \otimes_{R} C \to F_{n-1} \otimes_{R} C \to \Omega^{n-1} M' \otimes_{R} C \to 0.$$

Here we use  $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(\Omega^{i}M', C) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^{R}(M', C) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . It has been already explained above that  $\operatorname{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M \otimes_{R} C)_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq n$  because  $\operatorname{ht} \mathfrak{p} \geq n+1$ . Using the depth lemma to the above sequences, we obtain  $\operatorname{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\Omega M' \otimes_{R} C)_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 1$  This contradicts to  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(M', C)$ . Thus we conclude that  $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(M', C) = 0$  and we are done.

**Example 3.5.** Let k be a field, R := k[[x, y]]/(xy) and M := R/(x). Note that M is maximal Cohen-Macaulay having finite complete intersection dimension. Moreover M is the first syzygy of an 2-Tor-rigid module R/(y). Then  $M \otimes_R M \cong M$  satisfies  $(S_2)$  but  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(M, M) \cong k \neq 0$ . This explains that the consistion (3) in Theorem 3.4 is necessary. Indeed, codim  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, M) = 1$  for all odd i.

For the rest of this paper, we will give several applications of the main theorem using n-Torrigid modules appeared in Example 2.5.

First consider the case of n = c for complete intersection local rings of codimension c. As we have explained in the introduction that this case of Theorem 3.4 recovers Dao's result.

**Corollary 3.6** (cf. [11, Theorem 7.6]). Let R be a complete intersection local ring of codimension c and let M, N be finitely generated R-modules. Assume:

(a) M and N satisfy  $(S_c)$ . (b) codim  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \ge c + 1$  for all  $i \gg 0$ . If  $M \otimes_R N$  satisfies  $(S_{c+1})$ , then  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* The condition (1) in Theorem 3.4 follows from [4, Proposition 1.6]. Since R is complete intersection, the assumption (a) shows that M is (stably) isomorphic to the (c+1)st syzygy of some finitely generated R-module M'. It follows from Example 2.5(1) that M' is (c+1)-Tor-rigid module. Thus, the condition (2) in Theorem 3.4 is satisfied.

For the case of n = c - 1 over a complete intersection local ring, the main theorem also recovers the result by Celikbas.

**Corollary 3.7** (cf. [7, Theorem 3.4]). Let R be a complete intersection local ring of codimension  $c \ge 1$  whose completion is a quotient of an unramified regular local ring. Let M and N be finitely generated R-modules. Assume:

- (a) M and N satisfy  $(S_{c-1})$ .
- (b) codim  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, N) \geq c$  for all  $i \gg 0$ .

If  $M \otimes_R N$  satisfies  $(S_c)$ , then  $\operatorname{cx}_R(M) = \operatorname{cx}_R(N) = c$  or  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $cx_R(M) < c$  or  $cx_R(N) < c$ , then M or N is c-Tor-rigid by [11, Corollary 6.8]. Thus, using the similar argument as above, we can apply Theorem 3.4 for n = c - 1.

Recall that an ideal I of R is called *Burch* if it satisfies  $\mathfrak{m}I \neq \mathfrak{m}(I : \mathfrak{m})$ ; see [12]. An ideal I is Burch if it is of the form  $\mathfrak{m}J$ , integrally closed, or  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary weakly  $\mathfrak{m}$ -full ideal; see [12] for details. It has been shown in [6, Theorem 5(ii)] that every Burch ideal I is the first syzygy of a 2-Tor-rigid module R/I.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let R be a noetherian local ring satisfying  $(S_1)$ , I a Burch ideal, and N a finitely generated R-module. Assume:

- (a) N satisfies  $(S_1)$  and CI-dim  $N_{\mathfrak{p}} < \infty$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathsf{X}^1(R)$ .
- (b) ht  $I \ge 2$ .
- If  $I \otimes_R N$  satisfies  $(S_2)$ , then  $pd_R N \leq 2$ .

*Proof.* We apply Theorem 3.4 for *R*-modules *N* and M := I. Since ht  $I \ge 2$ , *I* is locally free on  $X^1(R)$  and hence the condition (3) in Theorem 3.4 is satisfied. The condition (2) follows from Example 2.5(3). Thus, Theorem 3.4 shows that  $\operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^R(R/I, N) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(I, N) = 0$  for  $i \ge 1$ . Again using [6, Theorem 5(ii)], we conclude that  $\operatorname{pd}_R N \le 2$ .

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Olgur Celikbas for his helpful comments during the preparation of this paper.

## References

- [1] M. AUSLANDER, Modules over unramified regular local rings, Illinois J. Math. 5 (1961), 631–647.
- [2] L. L. AVRAMOV, Infinite free resolutions, in Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996), Progr. Math. 166, Birkhäuser, 1998, 1–118.
- [3] L. L. AVRAMOV; R.-O. BUCHWEITZ, Support varieties and cohomology over complete intersections, *Invent. Math.* 142 (2000), no. 2, 285–318.
- [4] L. L. AVRAMOV; V. GASHAROV; I. PEEVA, Complete intersection dimension, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 86 (1997), 67–114.
- [5] W. BRUNS; J. HERZOG, Cohen-Macaulay rings, revised edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [6] L. BURCH, On ideals of finite homological dimension in local rings, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 64 (1968), 941–948.

- [7] O. CELIKBAS, Vanishing of Tor over complete intersections, J. Commutative Alg. 3 (2011), 169–206.
- [8] O. CELIKBAS; H. DAO, Asymptotic behavior of Ext functors for modules of finite complete intersection dimension, *Math. Z.* 269 (2011), 1005–1020.
- [9] O. CELIKBAS; R. TAKAHASHI, Auslander-Reiten conjecture and Auslander-Reiten duality J. Algebra 382 (2013), 100–114.
- [10] L. W. CHRISTENSEN, Gorenstein dimensions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1747, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [11] H. DAO, Asymptotic behavior of Tor over complete intersections and applications, arXiv: math.AC/0710.5818.
- [12] H. DAO; T. KOBAYASHI; R. TAKAHASHI, Burch ideals and Burch rings, preprint (2019).
- [13] M. T. DIBAEI; A. SADEGHI, Linkage of modules and the Serre conditions, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219 (2015), 4458–4478.
- [14] T. GULLIKSEN, On the deviations of a local ring, Math. Scand. 47 (1980), 5–20.
- [15] C. HUNEKE, D.A. JORGENSEN, AND R. WIEGAND, Tensor products of modules and the rigidity of Tor, Math. Ann. 299 (1994), no. 3, 449–476.
- [16] C. HUNEKE; R. WIEGAND, Tensor products of modules and the rigidity of Tor, Math. Ann. 299 (1994), no. 3, 449–476.
- [17] C. HUNEKE; R. WIEGAND, Correction to "Tensor products of modules and the rigidity of Tor", Math. Ann., 299 (1994), 449476, Math. Ann. 338 (2007), no. 2, 291–293.
- [18] D.A. JORGENSEN, Tor and torsion on a complete intersection, J. Algebra 195 (1997), 526–537.
- [19] D.A. JORGENSEN, Complexity and Tor on a complete intersection, J. Algebra 211 (1999), 578–598.
- [20] G. LEVIN; W. V. VASCONCELOS, Homological dimensions and Macaulay rings, Pacific J. Math. 25 (1968), 315–323.
- [21] M. P. MURTHY, Modules over regular local rings, Ill. J. Math. 7 (1963), 558–565.
- [22] S. LICHTENBAUM, On the vanishing of Tor in regular local rings, *Illinois J. Math.* **10** (1966), 220–226.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN

*E-mail address*: mhiroki@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp