

THE LOCAL-GLOBAL PROPERTY FOR BITANGENTS OF PLANE QUARTICS

YASUHIRO ISHITSUKA, TETSUSHI ITO, TATSUYA OHSHITA, TAKASHI TANIGUCHI,
AND YUKIHIRO UCHIDA

ABSTRACT. We study the arithmetic of bitangents of smooth quartics over global fields. With the aid of computer algebra systems and using Elsenhans–Jahnel’s results on the inverse Galois problem for bitangents, we show that, over any global field of characteristic different from 2, there exist smooth quartics which have bitangents over every local field, but do not have bitangents over the global field. We give an algorithm to find such quartics explicitly, and give an example over $\mathbb{Q}$. We also discuss a similar problem concerning symmetric determinantal representations. This paper is a summary of the first author’s talk at the JSIAM JANT workshop on algorithmic number theory in March 2019. Details will appear elsewhere.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth quartic over a field k of characteristic different from 2. It is defined by a homogeneous polynomial $f(X, Y, Z)$ of degree 4:

$$C = \{[X : Y : Z] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid f(X, Y, Z) = 0\}.$$

By Bézout’s theorem, the intersection of C with a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ consists of four points, counted with their multiplicities. A line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is called a *bitangent* of C if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- L tangents to C at two distinct points, or
- L tangents quadruply to C at one point. (In this case, the line L is also called a *hyperflex line* of C .)

It has been known since the 19th century that every smooth quartic has exactly 28 bitangents over an algebraic closure of k [1, Chapter 6]. When k is not algebraically closed (e.g. $k = \mathbb{Q}$), the number of bitangents defined over k is usually smaller than 28. Harris and Shioda studied the action of the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the set of the 28 bitangents of a smooth quartic over $\mathbb{Q}$ [5], [10]. However, the arithmetic of bitangents of smooth quartics are not well understood yet.

In this paper, we shall study the *local-global property* (or *Hasse principle*) for bitangents. It is natural to ask the following question. Let K be a global field of characteristic different from 2. (It is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{F}_p(T)$ with $p \neq 2$.) Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth quartic over K . Assume that C has a bitangent over the completion K_v for every place v of K (including the infinite places when K is a number field). Then, does C have a bitangent over K ?

We shall answer this question *negatively*. Here is the main theorem of this paper.

Date: February 24, 2020.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G30; Secondary 14H25, 14H50, 14G25, 14Q05.

Key words and phrases. Plane quartic, bitangent, local-global property.

Theorem 1.1. For any global field K of characteristic different from 2, there exists a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over K such that

- C has a bitangent over K_v for every place v of K , but
- C does not have a bitangent over K .

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is a combination of certain group theoretic results on $\mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ obtained with the aid of computer algebra systems and the results on the inverse Galois problem for bitangents recently obtained by Elsenhans–Jahnel. We shall also give an algorithm to find quartics failing the local-global property for bitangents, and give such an example over $\mathbb{Q}$.

2. BITANGENTS AND QUADRATIC FORMS

We recall known results on the action of the absolute Galois group on the 28 bitangents of smooth quartics; see [1, Chapter 4, Chapter 6], [9], [6, Section 6] for details.

Let k be a field of characteristic different from 2, and k^{sep} a separable closure of k . Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth quartic over k . The Jacobian variety $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$ is an abelian variety of dimension 3 since the genus of C is 3. The group $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2]$ of k^{sep} -valued points on $\mathrm{Jac}(C)$ killed by 2 is a 6-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$. It is equipped with the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(k^{\mathrm{sep}}/k)$. It is also equipped with the Weil pairing

$$\langle, \rangle: \mathrm{Jac}(C)[2] \times \mathrm{Jac}(C)[2] \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbb{F}_2.$$

A map $Q: \mathrm{Jac}(C)[2] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is called a *quadratic form with polar form* $\langle, \rangle$ if

$$Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

is satisfied for all $x, y \in \mathrm{Jac}(C)[2]$. Take a symplectic basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ of $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2]$, i.e. $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \langle f_i, f_j \rangle = 0$ and $\langle e_i, f_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. The *Arf invariant* of Q is defined by

$$\mathrm{Arf}(Q) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} Q(e_i)Q(f_i) \in \mathbb{F}_2,$$

which is independent of the choice of $e_1, e_2, e_3, f_1, f_2, f_3$.

Lemma 2.1 (Mumford). There is a canonical bijection between the set of bitangents of C over k^{sep} , and the set of quadratic forms on $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2]$ of Arf invariant 1 whose polar form is the Weil pairing $\langle, \rangle$.

See [9], [6, Proposition 6.2] for details. Here we briefly recall the construction of the bijection. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a bitangent of C . It is defined over k^{sep} since $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$. We put $C \cap L = \{P_1, P_2\}$ for some k^{sep} -valued points P_1, P_2 . (We put $P_1 = P_2$ if L is a hyperflex line.) Then $D_L := P_1 + P_2$ is a divisor on C over k^{sep} called an *effective theta characteristic*. A point on $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2]$ corresponds to a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on C over k^{sep} with $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_C$. Then the map $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ defined by

$$[\mathcal{M}] \mapsto h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(D_L)) + h^0(\mathcal{M}(D_L)) \pmod{2}$$

is a quadratic form of Arf invariant 1 with polar form $\langle, \rangle$. Conversely, it can be shown that every quadratic form of Arf invariant 1 with polar form $\langle, \rangle$ is obtained from a unique bitangent of C .

A symplectic basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ of $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2]$ gives an isomorphism $\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2] \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6}$. The action of $\mathrm{Gal}(k^{\mathrm{sep}}/k)$ gives a continuous homomorphism

$$\rho_C: \mathrm{Gal}(k^{\mathrm{sep}}/k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{Jac}(C)[2], \langle, \rangle) \cong \mathrm{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

By Lemma 2.1, via the map ρ_C , the action of $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$ on the bitangents is translated into the action of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on the quadratic forms on $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6}$ of Arf invariant 1.

Remark 2.2. For a ‘generic’ choice of C , Harris and Shioda proved that the map ρ_C is surjective, at least when $\text{char}(k) \notin \{3, 5, 7, 11, 29, 1229\}$; see [5, p.721], [10, Theorem 7]. For explicit examples of quartics with surjective ρ_C , see [10, p.69, Example], [4, p.26, Corollary 3]. Shioda also constructed smooth quartics over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that all the 28 bitangents are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$; see [10, (6.6)].

3. GROUP THEORETIC RESULTS

Let $\langle, \rangle$ be the standard symplectic form on $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6}$. Let Ω be the set of quadratic forms on $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6}$ with polar form $\langle, \rangle$. Let Ω^+ (resp. Ω^-) be the subset of Ω consisting of quadratic forms of Arf invariant 0 (resp. 1), which has 36 (resp. 28) elements. The symplectic group $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ acts transitively on Ω^+ and Ω^- . Let $U_{36} \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ (resp. $U_{28} \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$) be the stabilizer of an element of Ω^+ (resp. Ω^-).

The action of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on the set of non-zero vectors $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6} \setminus \{0\}$ is transitive, and the stabilizer of a non-zero vector is denoted by $U_{63} \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

It is known that U_{28}, U_{36}, U_{63} are maximal subgroups of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$, and every subgroup of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ of index 28, 36, 63 is conjugate to U_{28}, U_{36}, U_{63} , respectively.

We shall consider the following condition.

Condition 3.1. Let $G \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ be a subgroup. We say G satisfies the *condition* $(*)^+$ (resp. $(*)^-$) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- No element of Ω^+ (resp. Ω^-) is fixed by every element of G .
- For every $g \in G$, the action of g on Ω^+ (resp. Ω^-) has a fixed point.

The following results are proved by GAP. (For a sample source code for GAP, see Appendix A.)

Proposition 3.2. (1) U_{36} has 296 subgroups, up to conjugation. Among them, 35 subgroups satisfy the condition $(*)^-$; all the 35 subgroups are solvable.
 (2) U_{63} has 1916 subgroups, up to conjugation. Among them, 548 subgroups satisfy both of the condition $(*)^+$ and $(*)^-$; 536 of the 548 subgroups are solvable.

Proposition 3.3. $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ has 6 subgroups isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 5}$, up to conjugation. All of them satisfy both of the conditions $(*)^+$ and $(*)^-$.

Remark 3.4. Any subgroup of U_{36} does not satisfy the condition $(*)^+$ since, by definition, it fixes at least one element of Ω^+ .

Remark 3.5. $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ has 1369 subgroups, up to conjugation. Among them, 411 subgroups satisfy the condition $(*)^+$, 371 subgroups satisfy the condition $(*)^-$, and 240 subgroups satisfy both of the conditions $(*)^+$ and $(*)^-$.

4. THE INVERSE GALOIS PROBLEMS

The inverse Galois problem asks whether every finite group is realized as the Galois group of a number field over $\mathbb{Q}$. It is an open problem in general. It was proved by Shafarevich for solvable groups.

Sonn observed that Shafarevich’s solution to the inverse Galois problem yields a Galois extension such that every decomposition group is cyclic; see [11, Theorem 2] for details.

Theorem 4.1 (Shafarevich, Sonn). Let G be a finite solvable group, and K a global field. Then there exists a finite Galois extension L/K such that $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is isomorphic to G , and that, for every place v of K , the decomposition group of L/K at v is cyclic.

Recently, Elsenhans–Jahnel studied an analogue of the inverse Galois problem for bitangents of quartics.

Let k be a field of characteristic different from 2, and $\rho: \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ a continuous homomorphism. They asked whether ρ is realized as the map ρ_C associated with a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over k , up to conjugation by an element of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$. The following result is proved in [2] (resp. [3]) when the image of ρ is contained in a conjugate of U_{36} (resp. U_{63}).

Theorem 4.2 (Elsenhans–Jahnel). If the image of ρ is contained in a conjugate of U_{36} or U_{63} , there exists a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over k such that the maps ρ, ρ_C are conjugate by an element of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Remark 4.3. Elsenhans–Jahnel used different geometric methods to construct smooth quartics with prescribed Galois action on bitangents in [2], [3]. Assume that $\rho(\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k))$ is contained in a conjugate of U_{36} , and it is also contained in a conjugate of U_{63} . In this case, the results in [2], [3] give different quartics, in general.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Theorem 1.1 is proved by combining Lemma 2.1, Proposition 3.2, Theorem 4.1, and Theorem 4.2 as follows.

- (1) Take a solvable subgroup

$$G \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

contained in U_{36} or U_{63} which satisfies the condition $(*)^-$. Such a subgroup exists by Proposition 3.2.

- (2) Take a finite Galois extension L/K such that

$$\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong G$$

and, for every place v of K , the decomposition group of L/K at v is cyclic. Such an extension exists by Theorem 4.1.

- (3) Consider the composite of the following maps:

$$\rho: \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K) \cong G \hookrightarrow \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

Take a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over K such that the maps ρ, ρ_C are conjugate by an element of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Such a quartic exists by Theorem 4.2.

- (4) Then, the quartic C satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1.1 by Lemma 2.1.

6. DESCRIPTION OF ALGORITHM

Here we shall give an algorithm obtaining smooth quartics failing the local-global property for bitangents.

We need to fix a subgroup G of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$. In this section, we shall take a subgroup

$$G \subset U_{63} \subset \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 5}$ since we can use Proposition 3.3 for such a subgroup. Explicitly, the group U_{63} is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{F}_2 \wr S_6) \cap A_{12}$; see [3, Corollary 2.19]. The wreath

product $\mathbb{F}_2 \wr S_6$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6}$. Let G be the kernel of the sum $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 6} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$. Then G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 5}$, and we have an embedding

$$G \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 5} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_2 \wr S_6) \cap A_{12} \cong U_{63}.$$

Our algorithm consists of 3 steps.

Step 1 (Find a Galois extension L/K .) First, we need a Galois extension L/K such that

$$\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 5}$$

and, for every place v of K , the decomposition group of L/K at v is cyclic. (The existence of such L/K is guaranteed by Theorem 4.1.)

Assume that L is described as

$$L = K(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \sqrt{a_3}, \sqrt{a_4}, \sqrt{a_5}).$$

for some $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \in K$. We put $a_6 = a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 u^2$ for some $u \in K^\times$ and set

$$F(S, T) = (a_1 S - T)(a_2 S - T) \cdots (a_6 S - T).$$

By construction, the splitting field of $F(1, T^2)$ is L and $F(1, 0) = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_5 u)^2$.

Step 2 (Construct a conic bundle $B \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.) From the polynomial $F(S, T)$, Elsenhans–Jahnel constructed a conic bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ with six degenerate fibers with prescribed Galois action; see [3, Proposition 3.5]. The construction is given by finding a pair $(g(S, T), h(S, T))$ of binary quadratic forms.

More precisely, there exists a unique pair of binary quadratic forms $g(S, T), h(S, T)$ satisfying

$$\det M(S, T) = -F(S, T)$$

and $g(1, 0) = a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 u$, where

$$M(S, T) = \begin{pmatrix} -ST + T^2 & ST & g(S, T) \\ ST & S^2 & T^2 \\ g(S, T) & T^2 & h(S, T) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ be the hypersurface defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & Y & Z \end{pmatrix} M(S, T) \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Here $[S : T]$ is the projective coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and $[X : Y : Z]$ is that of $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Step 3 (Calculate the branching locus.) The composite of the embedding

$$\iota: B \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$$

and the projection

$$\text{pr}_2: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

is a double cover. Its ramification locus is the desired quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. (If C is not smooth, then take other parameters $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5$ and u , and calculate the quartic C again. For a ‘generic’ choice of $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5$ and u , the quartic C is smooth; see [3, Proposition 3.5].)

Applying the above algorithm, we can construct a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over K such that the map ρ_C is conjugate to the composite of the following maps:

$$\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K) \cong G \hookrightarrow \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

The smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1.1 by Proposition 3.3.

7. AN EXAMPLE

We consider the case $K = \mathbb{Q}$. We put

$$b_1 = -1, \quad b_2 = 17, \quad b_3 = 89, \quad b_4 = 257, \quad b_5 = 769,$$

and put $K_i = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{b_i})$ for every $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Then the following conditions are satisfied:

- For every $1 \leq i \leq 5$, only one prime number is ramified in $K_i/\mathbb{Q}$. Let p_i be the unique prime number ramified in $K_i/\mathbb{Q}$.
- For every $1 \leq i, j \leq 5$ with $i \neq j$, the prime number p_i splits in $K_j/\mathbb{Q}$.

We put $L = K_1 K_2 K_3 K_4 K_5$, and

$$a_1 = b_1 b_5, \quad a_2 = b_2 b_4, \quad a_3 = b_3, \quad a_4 = b_4, \quad a_5 = b_5, \quad u = -b_4^{-1} b_5^{-1}.$$

Then we have

$$L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \sqrt{a_3}, \sqrt{a_4}, \sqrt{a_5}),$$

and it satisfies the condition in Step 1.

Then the polynomial $F(S, T)$ in Step 1 is

$$F(S, T) = (-769S - T)(4369S - T)(89S - T)(257S - T)(769S - T)(-1513S - T).$$

The binary quadratic forms in Step 2 are

$$g(S, T) = \frac{1}{8}(2392149832S^2 + 35008837ST + 12804T^2),$$

$$h(S, T) = -\frac{1}{64}(251582881045706064S^2 + 1084638148302617ST + 594847875240T^2).$$

The quartic is computed as

$$\begin{aligned} & 4096X^4 - 16384X^3Y - 9869943810048X^3Z \\ & + 143396196352X^2YZ - 52445184XY^2Z - 32768Y^3Z \\ & + 64826445425191482752X^2Z^2 \\ & - 277686962456893696XYZ^2 \\ & + 152281056061440Y^2Z^2 \\ & - 917870567374331469445024XZ^3 \\ & + 128810435095401504768YZ^3 \\ & + 577825743806146102974275227249Z^4 \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is smooth. Thus it gives an example of smooth quartics over $\mathbb{Q}$ failing the local-global property for bitangents.

Remark 7.1. The above quartic equation has large coefficients because the number field $L/\mathbb{Q}$ has large degree (it has degree 32). Analyzing the action of subgroups of U_{36} or U_{63} on the quadratic forms of Arf invariant 1 carefully, it is possible to obtain quartic equations which have smaller coefficients. Details of the calculation will appear elsewhere.

8. THE LOCAL-GLOBAL PROPERTY FOR SYMMETRIC DETERMINANTAL REPRESENTATIONS

Recall that a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over a field k is said to admit a *symmetric determinantal representation* over k if there exist symmetric matrices

$$M_1, M_2, M_3 \in \text{Mat}_4(k)$$

of size 4×4 such that the equation

$$\det(XM_1 + YM_2 + ZM_3) = 0$$

defines the quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. (For explicit examples, see [8].)

By the same method as above, it is possible to obtain smooth quartics failing the local-global property for symmetric determinantal representations.

Theorem 8.1. For any global field K of characteristic different from 2, there exists a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ over K such that

- C admits a symmetric determinantal representation over K_v for every place v of K , but
- C does not admit a symmetric determinantal representation over K .

Here is a sketch of the proof. The point is that a symmetric determinantal representation corresponds to a *non-effective theta characteristic*.

For a smooth quartic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ with a K -rational point, it admits a symmetric determinantal representation over K if and only if there exists a $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ -invariant quadratic form on $\text{Jac}(C)[2]$ of Arf invariant 0 whose polar form is the Weil pairing; see [6, Theorem 2.2, Corollary 6.3]. (Compare with Lemma 2.1.) Taking a subgroup $G \subset U_{63}$ satisfying the condition $(*)^+$, we find smooth quartics over K satisfying the conditions of Theorem 8.1 by the same way as in the case of bitangents.

Example 8.2. Quartics constructed by the algorithm in Section 6 always have the $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational point $[0 : 1 : 0]$. Thus, the quartic in Section 7 satisfies the conditions of Theorem 8.1; see Proposition 3.3.

Remark 8.3. In [6], the first and the second authors proved that, in general, smooth quartics over number fields do not satisfy the local-global property for symmetric determinantal representations. But the quartics constructed in [6] are defined over number fields of large degree. For quartics over $\mathbb{Q}$, this problem was stated in [6, Problem 1.6 (1)], but not answered there.

Remark 8.4. Theorem 8.1 does not hold in characteristic 2. In fact, over a global field of characteristic 2, any smooth plane curve of any degree satisfies the local-global property for symmetric determinantal representations; see [7] for details.

APPENDIX A. SAMPLE SOURCE CODES FOR GAP AND SAGEMATH

We proved Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 by GAP. Here is a sample source code for GAP (Version 4.10.2) which performs necessary calculation.

```

1  G := PSp(6,2);;
2  C := List(ConjugacyClassesMaximalSubgroups(G), Representative);;
3  # G = Sp_6(F_2) has 8 maximal subgroups, with order
4  # 51840 40320 23040 12096 10752 4608 4320 1512
5

```

```

6  U28 := C[1];;
7  if Size(G)/Size(U28) = 28 then Print("OK. U28 has index 28.\n");
8    else Print("Error: U28 does not have index 28.\n"); fi;
9
10 U36 := C[2];;
11 if Size(G)/Size(U36) = 36 then Print("OK. U36 has index 36.\n");
12   else Print("Error: U36 does not have index 36.\n"); fi;
13
14 U63 := C[3];;
15 if Size(G)/Size(U63) = 63 then Print("OK. U63 has index 63.\n");
16   else Print("Error: U63 does not have index 63.\n"); fi;
17
18 count_solvable_32 := function(GroupList)
19   local count_solvable, count_32;
20   count_solvable := 0;
21   count_32 := 0;
22   for K in GroupList do
23     if IsSolvable(K) = true
24       then count_solvable := count_solvable + 1; fi;
25     if IsomorphismGroups(K, ElementaryAbelianGroup(32)) <> fail
26       then count_32 := count_32 + 1; fi;
27   od;
28   Print("The number of solvable subgroups: ", count_solvable, "\n");
29   Print("The number of subgroups isomorphic to (F_2)^5: ", count_32, "\n");
30 end;
31
32 count_cond := function(GroupList)
33   local count_plus, count_plus_solvable, count_plus_32,
34     count_minus, count_minus_solvable, count_minus_32,
35     count_both, count_both_solvable, count_both_32;
36   count_plus := 0;
37   count_plus_solvable := 0;
38   count_plus_32 := 0;
39   count_minus := 0;
40   count_minus_solvable := 0;
41   count_minus_32 := 0;
42   count_both := 0;
43   count_both_solvable := 0;
44   count_both_32 := 0;
45
46   for K in GroupList do
47     # Condition +
48     A := Action(K, RightCosets(G, U36), OnRight);
49     cond_plus := 0;
50     if NrMovedPoints(A) < 36 then cond_plus := 1;
51     else
52       for x in A do if NrMovedPoints(x) = 36 then cond_plus := 1; fi; od;
53     fi;
54
55     # Condition -
56     A := Action(K, RightCosets(G, U28), OnRight);
57     cond_minus := 0;
58     if NrMovedPoints(A) < 28 then cond_minus := 1;
59     else
60       for x in A do if NrMovedPoints(x) = 28 then cond_minus := 1; fi; od;

```

```

61     fi;
62
63     if cond_plus = 0 then
64         count_plus := count_plus + 1;
65         if IsSolvable(K) = true then
66             count_plus_solvable := count_plus_solvable + 1; fi;
67         if IsomorphismGroups(K, ElementaryAbelianGroup(32)) <> fail then
68             count_plus_32 := count_plus_32 + 1; fi;
69     fi;
70
71     if cond_minus = 0 then
72         count_minus := count_minus + 1;
73         if IsSolvable(K) = true then
74             count_minus_solvable := count_minus_solvable + 1; fi;
75         if IsomorphismGroups(K, ElementaryAbelianGroup(32)) <> fail then
76             count_minus_32 := count_minus_32 + 1; fi;
77     fi;
78
79     if cond_plus = 0 and cond_minus = 0 then
80         count_both := count_both + 1;
81         if IsSolvable(K) = true then
82             count_both_solvable := count_both_solvable + 1; fi;
83         if IsomorphismGroups(K, ElementaryAbelianGroup(32)) <> fail then
84             count_both_32 := count_both_32 + 1; fi;
85     fi;
86 od;
87
88     Print("Condition_+:", count_plus, "\n");
89     Print("Condition_+(solvable):", count_plus_solvable, "\n");
90     Print("Condition_+((F_2)^5):", count_plus_32, "\n");
91
92     Print("Condition_-:", count_minus, "\n");
93     Print("Condition_-(solvable):", count_minus_solvable, "\n");
94     Print("Condition_-((F_2)^5):", count_minus_32, "\n");
95
96     Print("Both:", count_both, "\n");
97     Print("Both(solvable):", count_both_solvable, "\n");
98     Print("Both((F_2)^5):", count_both_32, "\n\n");
99 end;
100
101 GroupList := List(ConjugacyClassesSubgroups(G), Representative);
102 Print("Sp(6,2) has", Size(GroupList), "subgroups, up to conjugation.\n");
103 count_solvable_32(GroupList);
104 count_cond(GroupList);
105
106 GroupList := List(ConjugacyClassesSubgroups(U28), Representative);
107 Print("U28 has", Size(GroupList), "subgroups, up to conjugation.\n");
108 count_solvable_32(GroupList);
109 count_cond(GroupList);
110
111 GroupList := List(ConjugacyClassesSubgroups(U36), Representative);
112 Print("U36 has", Size(GroupList), "subgroups, up to conjugation.\n");
113 count_solvable_32(GroupList);
114 count_cond(GroupList);
115

```

```

116 GroupList := List(ConjugacyClassesSubgroups(U63), Representative);
117 Print("U63 has", Size(GroupList), "subgroups, up to conjugation.\n");
118 count_solvable_32(GroupList);
119 count_cond(GroupList);

```

If the above code is executed successfully, it outputs as follows.

```

1 OK. U28 has index 28.
2 OK. U36 has index 36.
3 OK. U63 has index 63.
4 Sp(6,2) has 1369 subgroups, up to conjugation.
5 The number of solvable subgroups: 1301
6 The number of subgroups isomorphic to  $(F_2)^5$ : 6
7 Condition plus: 411
8 Condition plus (solvable): 399
9 Condition plus  $((F_2)^5)$ : 6
10 Condition minus: 371
11 Condition minus (solvable): 359
12 Condition minus  $((F_2)^5)$ : 6
13 Both: 240
14 Both (solvable): 228
15 Both  $((F_2)^5)$ : 6
16 U28 has 350 subgroups, up to conjugation.
17 The number of solvable subgroups: 331
18 The number of subgroups isomorphic to  $(F_2)^5$ : 0
19 Condition plus: 22
20 Condition plus (solvable): 22
21 Condition plus  $((F_2)^5)$ : 0
22 Condition minus: 0
23 Condition minus (solvable): 0
24 Condition minus  $((F_2)^5)$ : 0
25 Both: 0
26 Both (solvable): 0
27 Both  $((F_2)^5)$ : 0
28 U36 has 296 subgroups, up to conjugation.
29 The number of solvable subgroups: 268
30 The number of subgroups isomorphic to  $(F_2)^5$ : 0
31 Condition plus: 0
32 Condition plus (solvable): 0
33 Condition plus  $((F_2)^5)$ : 0
34 Condition minus: 35
35 Condition minus (solvable): 35
36 Condition minus  $((F_2)^5)$ : 0
37 Both: 0
38 Both (solvable): 0
39 Both  $((F_2)^5)$ : 0
40 U63 has 1916 subgroups, up to conjugation.
41 The number of solvable subgroups:
42 1880
43 The number of subgroups isomorphic to  $(F_2)^5$ : 13
44 Condition plus: 856
45 Condition plus (solvable): 844
46 Condition plus  $((F_2)^5)$ : 13
47 Condition minus: 711
48 Condition minus (solvable): 699
49 Condition minus  $((F_2)^5)$ : 13

```

```

50 Both: 548
51 Both (solvable): 536
52 Both ((F_2)^5): 13

```

Here is a sample source code for SageMath (Version 8.9) which calculates quartics by the algorithm described in Section 6. It also checks the smoothness of the output. The example in Section 7 is calculated by this code.

```

1 P.<X, Y, Z, S, T> = PolynomialRing(QQ)
2
3 b1, b2, b3, b4, b5 = -1, 17, 89, 257, 769
4 a1, a2, a3, a4, a5, u = b1*b5, b2*b4, b3, b4, b5, -b4^(-1)*b5^(-1)
5
6 a6 = a1*a2*a3*a4*a5*u^2
7 c = a1*a2*a3*a4*a5*u
8
9 F = (a1*S-T)*(a2*S-T)*(a3*S-T)*(a4*S-T)*(a5*S-T)*(a6*S-T)
10 g0 = (-F.coefficient({S:1, T:5}) -1)/2
11 g1 = (-F.coefficient({S:2, T:4}) + g0^2)/2
12 g2 = c
13 g = g0*T^2 + g1*S*T + g2*S^2
14 h = (T^5*S - (T^3 - g*S)^2 + F)/(S^3*T)
15 #print(factor(g))
16 #print(factor(h))
17
18 M = matrix([[-S*T+T^2, S*T, g], [S*T, S^2, T^2], [g, T^2, h]])
19 #print(det(M).factor()) ## check det(M) = -F(S,T)
20 v = matrix([X,Y,Z])
21 Biquad = (v*M*v.transpose())[0][0]
22 Biquad = P(Biquad)
23 #print(factor(Biquad))
24
25 q0 = Biquad.coefficient({S:2, T:0})
26 q1 = Biquad.coefficient({S:1, T:1})
27 q2 = Biquad.coefficient({S:0, T:2})
28 Quart = q1^2-4*q0*q2
29 #print(factor(Quart))
30
31 PP.<X, Y, Z> = ProjectiveSpace(QQ, 2)
32 R = PP.subscheme([Quart])
33 R.is_smooth()

```

Acknowledgements. The work of the first author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16K17572. The work of the second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20674001 and 26800013. The work of the third author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26800011 and 18H05233. The work of the fourth author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 17H02835. This work was supported by the Sumitomo Foundation FY2018 Grant for Basic Science Research Projects (Grant Number 180044). Most of calculations were done with the aid of the computer algebra systems GAP [12], Maxima [13], Sage [14].

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Dolgachev, *Classical Algebraic Geometry. A modern view*, Cambridge university press, Cambridge, 2012.

- [2] A-S. Elsenhans and J. Jahnel, *On plane quartics with a Galois invariant Cayley octad*, Eur. J. Math. **5** (2019), 1156–1172.
- [3] A-S. Elsenhans and J. Jahnel, *Plane quartics with a Galois-invariant Steiner hexad*, Int. J. Number Theory **15** (2019), 1075–1109.
- [4] R. Ern , *Construction of a del Pezzo surface with maximal Galois action on its Picard group*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **97** (1994), 15–27.
- [5] J. Harris, *Galois groups of enumerative problems*, Duke Math. J. **46** (1979), 685–724.
- [6] Y. Ishitsuka and T. Ito, *The local-global principle for symmetric determinantal representations of smooth plane curves*, Ramanujan J. **43** (2017), 141–162.
- [7] Y. Ishitsuka and T. Ito, *The local-global principle for symmetric determinantal representations of smooth plane curves in characteristic two*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **221** (2017), 1316–1321.
- [8] Y. Ishitsuka, T. Ito and T. Ohshita, *On algorithms to obtain linear determinantal representations of smooth plane curves of higher degree*, JSIAM Letters **11** (2019), 9–12.
- [9] D. Mumford, *Theta characteristics of an algebraic curve*, Ann. Sci.  cole Norm. Sup. (4) **4** (1971), 181–192.
- [10] T. Shioda, *Plane Quartics and Mordell–Weil Lattices of Type E_7* , Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **42** (1993), 61–79.
- [11] J. Sonn, *Polynomials with roots in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for all p* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 1955–1960.
- [12] The GAP Group, GAP - Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.10.2, 2019, <https://www.gap-system.org>
- [13] Maxima.sourceforge.net. Maxima, a Computer Algebra System (Version 5.41.0), 2017, <http://maxima.sourceforge.net/>
- [14] SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 8.9), The Sage Developers, 2019, <http://www.sagemath.org>.

CENTER FOR SCIENCE ADVENTURE AND COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH ADVANCEMENT, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: yasu-ishi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE TEAM, RIKEN CENTER FOR ADVANCED INTELLIGENCE PROJECT (AIP), 1-4-1 NIHONBASHI CHUO-KU, TOKYO 103-0027, JAPAN

E-mail address: tetsushi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KEIO UNIVERSITY, 3-14-1 HIYOSHI, KOHOKU-KU YOKOHAMA-SHI, KANAGAWA 223-8522, JAPAN

E-mail address: ohshita@math.keio.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KOBE UNIVERSITY, KOBE 657-8501, JAPAN

E-mail address: tani@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, 1-1 MINAMI-OSAWA, HACHIOJI, TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN

E-mail address: yuchida@tmu.ac.jp