

A RIEMANN-ROCH TYPE THEOREM FOR TWISTED FIBRATIONS OF MOMENT GRAPHS

MARTINA LANINI AND KIRILL ZAINOULLINE

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we extend the Riemann-Roch formalism to structure algebras of moment graphs. We introduce and study the Chern character and pushforwards for twisted fibrations of moment graphs. We prove an analogue of the Riemann-Roch theorem for moment graphs. As an application, we obtain the Riemann-Roch type theorem for equivariant K -theory of some Kac-Moody flag varieties.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Moment graphs and their quotients	3
2.1. Definitions and examples	4
2.2. Moment graph morphisms	4
2.3. Quotient graphs	5
3. Structure algebras of moment graphs	6
3.1. Two filtrations	7
3.2. Structure algebras and characteristic maps	7
3.3. Pullbacks	8
4. Push-forwards on structure algebras	9
4.1. Fibrations	9
4.2. Fibers and monodromy	9
4.3. Push-forwards	11
5. The Chern character and the Riemann-Roch Theorem	14
5.1. Truncated Chern character	14
5.2. Forgetful, characteristic maps and pull-backs	14
5.3. The Riemann-Roch type theorem	15
6. The case of Kac-Moody flag varieties	16
6.1. Preliminaries and notation	16
6.2. Localization	17
6.3. The forgetful map	17
6.4. The equivariant Riemann-Roch type formula	18
References	19

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F05, 14F43, 14M15.

Key words and phrases. equivariant cohomology, Chern character, Riemann-Roch theorem, moment graph, structure algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Moment graphs are combinatorial gadgets arising as labelled one-skeleta of torus actions on (non-necessarily smooth) varieties. In most of the cases they encode all the necessary data to describe equivariant generalized cohomology theories in different settings (see, for instance, [CS74], [GKM], [HHH], [DLZ]). For example, the explicit combinatorial description which one obtains using localization techniques has allowed Tymoczko [Ty08] to study the symmetric group *dot-action* on Hessenberg varieties.

If the variety also admits a stratification which is compatible with the torus action, then the closure inclusion relation among strata induces an orientation on the edges of the corresponding moment graph. Braden and MacPherson [BM01] showed that moment graphs arising in this way can be applied to determine the stalks of the equivariant intersection cohomology complexes. In this occasion they defined the notion of sheaves on moment graphs. Braden-MacPherson's result was further extended and developed by Fiebig and Williamson [FW14] to determine the stalks of indecomposable parity sheaves. Thanks to representation theoretical role of intersection cohomology and parity sheaves, the moment graph theory has become an important tool in modern representation theory (see the survey [Fi16] for some of the applications). Moreover, it provides a realization of the category of Soergel bimodules associated with any Coxeter group (see [Fi08]), as well as allowing to categorify some properties of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials (see [La12, La15]).

While developing tools needed for the representation theoretical applications, Fiebig realized that it was possible to carry the theory of sheaves on moment graphs in an axiomatic way, so that several sheaf theoretical notions were adapted to the moment graph setting without any need of an actual geometry. This allows one, for example, to define the analogue of IC-sheaves for a moment graph associated to any Coxeter system (the so-called BMP-sheaves), leading Fiebig to the above-mentioned moment graph realization of Soergel bimodules. On the other hand, pullbacks of moment graph morphisms between the corresponding categories of sheaves (see [La12]) provide a fundamental tool to categorify equalities among Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.

In this paper, we aim to further develop moment graph analogues of classical topological/geometric tools. Namely, we intend to extend the general Riemann-Roch formalism to the moment graph settings. Observe that given a moment graph it is indeed possible to construct the corresponding additive and multiplicative structure algebras. In the case of a moment graph arising as a one-skeleton of a torus action on a nice enough variety, these coincide with the equivariant cohomology and K-theory, respectively. Given a moment graph morphism (see Definition 2.4), one would like to define pullbacks and pushforwards between the corresponding structure algebras. We therefore introduce the notion of (twisted) pullback and of pushforward along a (twisted) fibration. For the reader familiar with flag varieties, the latter notion is the moment graph generalization of the fibration corresponding to the quotient morphism from the variety of complete flags to a variety of partial flags. As a first consequence of our constructions, we are able to define a divided difference operator on the structure algebra of a moment graph having a special matching, and satisfying some extra assumption (see Definition 2.11). Special matchings have played an important role in proving special cases of the invariance conjecture for Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials (see, for example, [Br04]), so that we believe that

the notion of divided difference operator in this setting might be of some interest to algebraic combinatorialists.

In order to state and prove the Riemann-Roch type theorem in the moment graph setting, we introduce the truncated Chern character $\mathfrak{ch}_i: \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_a(\mathcal{G})$ between the structure algebras of the moment graph \mathcal{G} by gluing the respective exponential maps over the fixed loci (see §5). Observe that this idea has been successfully applied before in different contexts, e.g. in the settings of the equivariant Riemann-Roch theorem for equivariant K -theory (see [EG00]), for bivariant operational K -theory (see [AGP]), for cohomological operations on equivariant oriented cohomology of flag varieties (see [Za20]). We then show that \mathfrak{ch} commutes with characteristic maps and pullbacks. As for pushforwards, our main result (Theorem 5.5) – the analogue of the Riemann-Roch theorem for moment graphs – says that the push-forward π_*^ξ for a twisted fibration π^ξ commutes with the Chern character up to a multiple by the respective Todd genus td_i^ξ of \mathcal{G} (another interesting geometric invariant of the moment graph). Namely, we prove that for any $z \in \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G})$ there is the following Riemann-Roch type formula:

$$\pi_*^\xi(\mathfrak{ch}_i(z) \cdot td_i^\xi(\mathcal{G})) = \mathfrak{ch}_i(\pi_*^\xi(z)).$$

Applying our result to the case of Kac-Moody flag varieties, we obtain the respective equivariant Riemann-Roch theorem for fibrations of the type $G/B \rightarrow G/P$, where $G \supseteq P \supseteq B$ are a Kac-Moody group, its parabolic and Borel subgroups, respectively.

Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we recall definitions and provide examples of moment graphs and of morphisms among them, we then discuss quotients of moment graphs. In Section 3 we study structure algebras and their behaviour with respect to characteristic maps and filtrations; we introduce twisted pull-backs. Section 4 is dedicated to the construction of push-forwards maps induced by twisted fibrations of moment graphs (Proposition 4.9); we prove the projection formula (Corollary 4.11) and produce analogues of push-pull operators on moment graphs. In Section 5 we introduce the Chern character between structure algebras of moment graphs (Proposition 5.1); we study its properties with respect to characteristic maps, pull-backs and forgetful maps (Lemma 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4). We state and prove our main result (Theorem 5.5). In Section 6 we apply our theorem to Kac-Moody flag varieties.

Acknowledgements. M.L. acknowledges the MIUR Excellence Department Project awarded to the Department of Mathematics, University of Rome Tor Vergata, CUP E83C18000100006, and the PRIN2017 CUPE84—19000480006. K.Z. was partially supported by the NSERC Discovery grant RGPIN-2015-04469, Canada.

2. MOMENT GRAPHS AND THEIR QUOTIENTS

In the present section we recall the definition and provide examples of moment graphs and morphisms among them. We introduce the notion of a monodromy of a moment graph in 2.6. We then discuss quotients of moment graphs modulo certain equivalence relations. In particular, we study relations and quotients associated to special matchings in 2.11.

2.1. Definitions and examples. Let Λ be a lattice (a free abelian group of finite rank). We denote by Λ_\emptyset the subset of non-zero elements of Λ . We recall the definition of a moment graph on a lattice Λ from [La12]:

Definition 2.1. The data $\mathcal{G} = ((V, \leq), l: E \rightarrow \Lambda_\emptyset)$ is called a *moment graph* on the lattice Λ if

- (MG1) V is a set of vertices together with a partial order ' \leq ', i.e. we are given a poset (V, \leq) .
- (MG2) E is a set of directed edges labelled by a nonzero element of Λ via the label function l , i.e. $E \subset V \times V$ with an edge $(v, w) \in E$ denoted by $v \rightarrow w$ and labelled by $l(v \rightarrow w) \in \Lambda_\emptyset$.
- (MG3) For any edge $v \rightarrow w \in E$, we have $v \leq w$, $v \neq w$, i.e. direction of edges respects the partial order.

Remark 2.2. Observe that (MG2) and (MG3) imply that the graph does not have multiple edges or self-loops: (MG2) disallows several edges between the same two vertices in the same direction, and (MG3) disallows pairs of edges between two vertices in the opposite directions and self-loops. Also, (MG3) implies that \mathcal{G} has no directed cycles. Observe also that the direction of edges in \mathcal{G} is uniquely determined by the partial order ' \leq '.

Example 2.3. Let W be a *real finite reflection group* in the sense of [Hu90, I.1]. Let Φ be the associated root system together with a subset of simple roots Π and the decomposition $\Phi = \Phi_+ \amalg \Phi_-$ into positive and negative roots. Consider the usual Bruhat poset (W, \leq) of [Hu90, II.5.9]. The data

$$V := W, \quad E := \{w \rightarrow s_\alpha w \mid w \leq s_\alpha w, \alpha \in \Phi_+\} \quad \text{and} \quad l(w \rightarrow s_\alpha w) := \alpha,$$

where s_α is the reflection corresponding to the positive root α , define a moment graph on the root lattice $\Lambda_r = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Phi)$ called the *Bruhat moment graph* and denoted $\mathcal{G}(W)$.

Moreover, let Θ be a subset of Π and let W_Θ be the (parabolic) subgroup generated by reflections corresponding to the roots from Θ . Let W^Θ denote the subset of minimal coset representatives of W/W_Θ (such representatives are unique). Consider the restricted Bruhat poset (W^Θ, \leq) . The data

$$V := W^\Theta, \quad E := \{w \rightarrow \overline{s_\alpha w} \mid w \leq \overline{s_\alpha w}, \alpha \in \Phi_+\} \quad \text{and} \quad l(w \rightarrow \overline{s_\alpha w}) := \alpha,$$

where \overline{w} denotes the minimal coset representative of the coset wW_Θ , define a moment graph on the same root lattice Λ_r called the *parabolic Bruhat moment graph* and denoted $\mathcal{G}(W^\Theta)$.

As examples one can also take full subgraphs of $\mathcal{G}(W^\Theta)$ corresponding to Bruhat intervals $[v, w]$ on W^Θ with vertices $\{u \in W^\Theta \mid v \leq u \leq w\}$, or graphs corresponding to double cosets of W (see [DLZ, §4] for details).

2.2. Moment graph morphisms. Given an edge $x \rightarrow y$ or $y \rightarrow x$ we will use the notation $x - y$ if we are only considering the underlying edge without orientation. We recall the definition of moment graph morphisms and isomorphisms.

Definition 2.4. (cf. [La12, Definition 2.3]) A morphism between two moment graphs on Λ

$$f: ((V, \leq), l: E \rightarrow \Lambda_\emptyset) \longrightarrow ((V', \leq'), l': E' \rightarrow \Lambda_\emptyset)$$

is given by a collection of maps $(f_V, \{f_{l,v}\}_{v \in V})$, where

(MR1) $f_V: V \rightarrow V'$ is a morphism of posets such that

$$v - w \in E \implies f_V(v) - f_V(w) \in E' \text{ or } f_V(v) = f_V(w).$$

Given an edge $v - w \in E$ such that $f_V(v) \neq f_V(w)$, we set

$$f_E(v - w) := f_V(v) - f_V(w).$$

(MR2) $f_{l,v}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear automorphism of Λ for each $v \in V$ such that if $v - w \in E$ and $f_V(v) \neq f_V(w)$, then

- (a) $f_{l,v}(l(v - w)) = \pm l'(f_E(v - w))$,
- (b) $\pi \circ f_{l,v} = \pi \circ f_{l,w}$, where π is the canonical quotient map

$$\pi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda/l'(f_E(v - w))\mathbb{Z}.$$

Lemma 2.5. (cf. [La15, Lemma 3.6]) *A morphism $f = (f_V, \{f_{l,v}\}_{v \in V})$ between two moment graphs $\mathcal{G} = ((V, \leq), l: E \rightarrow \Lambda_\emptyset)$ and $\mathcal{G}' = ((V', \leq'), l': E \rightarrow \Lambda_\emptyset)$ on Λ is an isomorphism if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

- (ISO1) f_V is bijective,
- (ISO2) for each $v' \rightarrow w' \in E'$ there exists exactly one $v \rightarrow w \in E$ such that $f_V(v) = v'$ and $f_V(w) = w'$.

We will need the following

Definition 2.6. A collection $\xi = \{\xi_v\}_{v \in V}$ of automorphisms of the lattice Λ is called a \mathcal{G} -monodromy if

$$(1) \quad \xi_v(\lambda) - \xi_w(\lambda) \in l(v \rightarrow w)\mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall v \rightarrow w \in E \text{ and } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Observe that a \mathcal{G} -monodromy $\{\xi_v\}_{v \in V}$ which satisfies (MR2a), i.e.

$$\xi_v(l(v - w)) = \pm l'(f_E(v - w)) \quad \text{for all } v - w \in E \text{ with } f_V(v) \neq f_V(w),$$

defines an automorphism $(\text{id}_V, \{f_{l,v} := \xi_v\}_{v \in V})$ of \mathcal{G} .

2.3. Quotient graphs. Given a moment graph $\mathcal{G} = ((V, \leq), l: E \rightarrow \Lambda_\emptyset)$ we introduce the notion of quotient of \mathcal{G} as follows. First, we choose an equivalence relation on V which is compatible with the structure of a moment graph:

Definition 2.7. Let ' \sim ' be an equivalence relation on V . We say that ' \sim ' is \mathcal{G} -compatible if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (EQV1) $v \sim w \implies v \sim u$ for all $v \leq u \leq w$,
- (EQV2) $v_1 \rightarrow w_1 \in E, v_1 \not\sim w_1 \implies$ for any $v_2 \in V, v_2 \sim v_1$ there exists a unique $w_2 \in V$ such that $w_2 \sim w_1, v_2 \rightarrow w_2 \in E$;
moreover, $l(v_1 \rightarrow w_1) = l(v_2 \rightarrow w_2)$.

Then we take a quotient of \mathcal{G} with respect to this equivalence relation:

Definition 2.8. Given a \mathcal{G} -compatible equivalence relation on V we define a *quotient* of \mathcal{G} by ' \sim ' denoted $\mathcal{G}_\sim = ((V_\sim, \leq_\sim), l_\sim: E_\sim \rightarrow \Lambda_\emptyset)$ to be the oriented labelled graph where

- (Q1) V_\sim is the set of equivalence classes $\{[v]\}_{v \in V}$ of V with respect to ' \sim ';
- (Q2) $E_\sim := \{[v] \rightarrow [w] \mid v \not\sim w, \exists v' \sim v, w' \sim w \text{ with } v' \rightarrow w'\}$;
- (Q3) \leq_\sim is the transitive closure of relations $[v] \leq_\sim [w], [v] \rightarrow [w] \in E_\sim$;
- (Q4) $l_\sim([v] \rightarrow [w]) := l(v' \rightarrow w')$, where $v' \sim v, w' \sim w$ and $v' \rightarrow w' \in E$.

Observe that when ' \sim ' is trivial, i.e. $v \sim w$ for all $v, w \in V$, then V_\sim on Λ consists of one point only, together with the lattice Λ (E_\sim is an empty set and there is no label function).

Finally, we show that the graph obtained in such a way is a moment graph:

Lemma 2.9. *Given a moment graph \mathcal{G} and a \mathcal{G} -compatible equivalence relation ' \sim ', the quotient \mathcal{G}_\sim is a moment graph on Λ .*

Proof. It reduces to show that \mathcal{G}_\sim has no oriented cycles. Indeed, suppose there is an oriented cycle $[v_1] \rightarrow [v_2] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow [v_n] \rightarrow [v_1]$. Then by (Q2) and (EQV2) there exists a path $v_1 \rightarrow v'_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v'_n \rightarrow v'_1$ on the graph \mathcal{G} for certain $v'_i \sim v_i$. Hence, we obtain $v_1 \leq v'_2 \leq \dots \leq v'_n \leq v'_1$ with $v_1 \sim v'_1$ and by (EQV1) it implies $[v_1] = [v_i]$ for all i . \square

Example 2.10. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(W)$ be the Bruhat graph and let W_Θ be the parabolic subgroup of W of Example 2.3. Then the relation on W defined by

$$v \sim w \iff vW_\Theta = wW_\Theta$$

is a \mathcal{G} -compatible equivalence relation and \mathcal{G}_\sim can be identified with $\mathcal{G}(W^\Theta)$, the parabolic Bruhat moment graph from Example 2.3.

We will use the following notion introduced in [Br04]:

Definition 2.11. Given a poset (V, \leq) denote by ' \triangleleft ' the covering relation on V , that is $v \triangleleft w$ if and only if $v \leq w$ and

$$v \leq u \leq w \implies u = v \text{ or } u = w.$$

By a *special matching* of V we call a (set) bijection $M: V \rightarrow V$ such that

- for any $v \in V$ either $M(v) \triangleleft v$ or $v \triangleleft M(v)$, and
- if $M(v) \neq w$, then $v \triangleleft w \implies M(v) \leq M(w)$.

Lemma 2.12. *Given a moment graph $\mathcal{G} = ((V, \leq), l: E \rightarrow \Lambda_\emptyset)$ assume that the poset (V, \leq) admits a special matching such that if $v \rightarrow w \in E$, then*

- (i) $M(v) \rightarrow M(w) \in E$, and
- (ii) $l(M(v) \rightarrow M(w)) = l(v \rightarrow w)$.

Then there is a \mathcal{G} -compatible equivalence relation on V with equivalence classes given by $[v] = \{v, M(v)\}$, $v \in V$.

Example 2.13. (cf. [Br04, Prop. 4.1]) Suppose $[v, w]$, where $v, w \in W$ is the Bruhat interval which is stable under the right multiplication by a simple reflection s . Then $M(u) := us$ for any $u \in [v, w]$ defines a special matching which satisfies both (i) and (ii) of the lemma.

3. STRUCTURE ALGEBRAS OF MOMENT GRAPHS

In this section we introduce structure algebras associated to the symmetric algebra and the group ring of a lattice Λ , respectively. We study its behaviour with respect to characteristic maps and filtrations. We then discuss twisted pull-back maps induced by morphisms of moment graphs and monodromies.

3.1. Two filtrations. Consider the two covariant functors

$$S^*(-): \Lambda \mapsto S^*(\Lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z}[-]: \Lambda \mapsto \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$$

from the category of lattices (free finitely generated abelian groups) to the category of commutative rings given by taking the symmetric algebra and the group ring of a lattice Λ , respectively. By definition, the i -th graded component $S^i(\Lambda)$ is additively generated by monomials $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_i$ with $\lambda_j \in \Lambda$ and the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ is additively generated by exponents e^λ , $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let I_a and I_m denote the kernels of the augmentation maps $\epsilon_a: S^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\epsilon_m: \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\lambda \mapsto 0$. By definition, the ideal I_a consists of polynomials with trivial constant terms and the ideal I_m is generated by differences $(1 - e^{-\lambda})$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Consider the respective I -adic filtrations:

$$S^*(\Lambda) = I_a^0 \supseteq I_a \supseteq I_a^2 \supseteq \dots \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] = I_m^0 \supseteq I_m \supseteq I_m^2 \supseteq \dots$$

Let

$$gr_a^*(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} I_a^i / I_a^{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad gr_m^*(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} I_m^i / I_m^{i+1}$$

denote the associated graded rings. Observe that $gr_a^*(\Lambda) = S^*(\Lambda)$.

Example 3.1. If $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, then the ring $S^*(\Lambda)$ can be identified with the polynomial ring in one variable $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, where x is a generator of Λ . The group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ can be identified with the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$, where $t = e^x$. The augmentation maps ϵ_a and ϵ_m are given by

$$\epsilon_a: x \mapsto 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_m: t \mapsto 1.$$

We have $I_a = (x)$ and I_m is additively generated by differences $(1 - t^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3.2. Structure algebras and characteristic maps. We are ready to introduce the following central object of the present paper:

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{G} = ((V, \leq), l: E \rightarrow \Lambda_\emptyset)$ be a moment graph on Λ . Consider the algebras $S = \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ or $S = S^*(\Lambda)$. By a *structure algebra* of \mathcal{G} we call the S -submodule

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}) := \left\{ (z_v)_v \in \prod_{v \in V} S \mid z_v - z_w \in x_{l(v \rightarrow w)} S, \forall v \rightarrow w \in E \right\}$$

with the coordinate-wise multiplication, where $x_\lambda = 1 - e^{-\lambda}$ for $S = \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ and $x_\lambda = \lambda$ for $S = S^*(\Lambda)$. In the first case we denote it by $\mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G})$ and in the second case by $\mathcal{Z}_a(\mathcal{G})$.

The grading of $S^*(\Lambda)$ induces a natural grading on the structure algebra $\mathcal{Z}_a(\mathcal{G})$. We denote by $\mathcal{Z}_a^i(\mathcal{G})$ its i th-graded homogeneous component. Observe that the algebra $\mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G})$ is not necessarily graded but only filtered. The I_m -adic filtration on $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ induces the (coordinate-wise) filtration on $\mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G})$.

Remark 3.3. The structure algebra $\mathcal{Z}_a(\mathcal{G})$ appears naturally as ring of global sections of the so called structure sheaf on moment graph. It also computes the T -equivariant Chow ring/singular cohomology in the case of a flag variety [KK86]. On the other side, the structure algebra $\mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G})$ can be viewed as K -theoretic version of $\mathcal{Z}_a(\mathcal{G})$ as it computes the T -equivariant K -theory of a flag variety [KK90]. We refer to Section 6 for a more detailed description of these structure algebras in the context of equivariant cohomology/ K -theory.

For any automorphism of the lattice Λ we denote by the same symbol the induced automorphism of S .

Definition 3.4. Given a \mathcal{G} -monodromy $\xi = \{\xi_v\}_{v \in V}$ the map

$$S \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in V} S, \quad z \mapsto (\xi_v(z))_{v \in V}$$

induces a ring homomorphism $c^\xi: S \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ called the ξ -characteristic map.

Example 3.5. If $\xi = \{\text{id}_v\}_{v \in V}$, then the ξ -characteristic map is nothing but the structure map

$$S \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}, \quad z \mapsto (z)_{v \in V}.$$

Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(W)$ be a Bruhat moment graph and let $\xi = \{\xi_w\}_{w \in W}$, where ξ_w is the automorphism of the root lattice $\Lambda = \Lambda_r$ given by the W -action $\xi_w(\lambda) := w(\lambda)$. Then the ξ -characteristic map coincides with the characteristic map on structure algebras of [Fi08, §4].

3.3. Pullbacks. We extend the notion of a pull-back map for an equivariant cohomology to the setup of structure algebras as follows:

Lemma 3.6. *Let $f = (f_V, \{f_{l,v}\}_{v \in V}): \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ be a moment graph morphism and let $\xi = \{\xi_v\}_{v \in V}$ be a \mathcal{G} -monodromy such that if $v - w \in E$ and $f_V(v) \neq f_V(w)$, then $\xi_v(l'(f_E(v - w))) \in l(v - w)\mathbb{Z}$.*

Then there is a ring homomorphism between structure algebras given by

$$f^{\xi*}: \mathcal{Z}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}, \quad (z_{v'})_{v' \in V'} \mapsto (\xi_v(z_{f(v)}))_{v \in V}.$$

which we call a pull-back map induced by f and twisted by ξ .

Proof. Suppose $v - w \in E$ and $f_V(v) = f_V(w)$. Then $z_{f_V(v)} = z_{f_V(w)} = z$ and by (1) we obtain

$$\xi_v(z) - \xi_w(z) \in l(v \rightarrow w)S.$$

Suppose $v - w \in E$ and $f_V(v) \neq f_V(w)$. Then by (MR1) $f_V(v) - f_V(w) \in E'$ and

$$z_{f_V(v)} - z_{f_V(w)} \in l'(f_E(v - w))S.$$

So there exists a $g \in S$ such that $z_{f_V(v)} = z + gl'(f_E(v - w))$, $z = z_{f_V(w)}$, and hence by (1) and by the hypothesis on ξ_v we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_v(z_{f_V(v)}) - \xi_w(z_{f_V(w)}) &= \xi_v(z + gl'(f_E(v - w))) - \xi_w(z) \\ &= \xi_v(z) - \xi_w(z) + \xi_v(gl'(f_E(v - w))) \in l(v - w)S. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.7. Take the Bruhat moment graph $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(W)$ and $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}(W^\ominus) = \mathcal{G}_\sim$, where \sim is the \mathcal{G} -compatible relation of Example 2.10. Take the trivial monodromy $\xi = \{\text{id}_w\}_{w \in W}$. Then $f^{\xi*}: \mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is the ring homomorphism sending the element $(z'_v)_{v' \in W^\ominus}$ to the element $(z_v)_{v \in W}$ with $z_v = z_{v'}$ if $v \in v'W_\ominus$. By the results of [KK86, KK90] this map coincides with the pull-back on the T -equivariant Chow ring/cohomology (resp. K -theory) induced by the usual projection $G/B \rightarrow G/P_\ominus$.

4. PUSH-FORWARDS ON STRUCTURE ALGEBRAS

In the present section we introduce and study push-forwards on structure algebras induced by fibrations of moment graphs. First, we introduce the notion of a fibration of moment graphs. Then, twisting it by a monodromy ξ we obtain the so called ξ -fibration. Given a regular ξ -fibration we construct the push-forward map and prove the projection formula. Finally, for fibrations associated to special matchings, we produce analogues of push-pull operators on moment graphs.

4.1. Fibrations. Given a moment graph $\mathcal{G} = ((V, \leq), l: E \rightarrow \Lambda_\emptyset)$ and a \mathcal{G} -compatible equivalence relation ' \sim ' consider the morphism of (oriented labelled) graphs $\pi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\sim$ induced by the quotient set map $v \rightarrow [v]$.

Definition 4.1. Consider the moment graph obtained as a full subgraph of \mathcal{G} by restricting the vertex set to the equivalence class $[v]$. We call it the *fibre* of π at $[v]$ and denote it by $\mathcal{G}_{[v]}$.

We say that the quotient morphism π is a *fibration* if for any $[v], [w] \in V_\sim$ there is a moment graph isomorphism

$$f^{[v],[w]} = (f_V^{[v],[w]}, \{f_{l,y}^{[v],[w]}\}_{y \in [v]}): \mathcal{G}_{[v]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_{[w]} \quad \text{such that}$$

(FB1) for all $u, v, w \in V$, we have $f^{[v],[v]} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{G}_{[v]}}$ and $f^{[u],[v]} \circ f^{[v],[w]} = f^{[u],[w]}$;

(FB2) for any $w \in V$, for all $y, y' \in [v]$ such that $y - y' \in E$, we have $f_l^{[v],[w]} := f_{l,y}^{[v],[w]} = f_{l,y'}^{[v],[w]}$ and $f_l^{[v],[w]}(l(y - y')) = \pm l(f_V^{[v],[w]}(y) - f_V^{[v],[w]}(y'))$.

Example 4.2. If $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(W)$ and $\mathcal{G}_\sim = \mathcal{G}(W^\Theta)$ as in Example 2.10, then π is a fibration with isomorphisms $f^{[v],[w]}: \mathcal{G}_{[v]} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{[w]}$ given by

$$f_V^{[v],[w]}: vW_\Theta \rightarrow wW_\Theta, \quad u \mapsto \bar{w}\bar{v}^{-1}u, \quad \text{and} \quad f_l^{[v],[w]}: \lambda \mapsto \bar{w}\bar{v}^{-1}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda,$$

where \bar{z} denotes the minimal length representative of the coset zW_Θ . Notice that all the fibres are isomorphic to the Bruhat graph $\mathcal{G}(W_\Theta)$ which can be identified with the fibre $\mathcal{G}_{[e]}$ over the neutral element.

Example 4.3. Assume that the vertex poset (V, \leq) of \mathcal{G} admits a special matching $M: V \rightarrow V$ of Definition 2.11. Then by Lemma 2.12 for any $v \in V$ the fibre $\mathcal{G}_{[v]}$ is a moment graph consisting of two vertices and one labelled arrow $E_{[v]} = \{v - M(v)\}$ oriented according to the partial order. As a lattice for $\mathcal{G}_{[v]}$ we may take the rank one lattice $\Lambda_{[v]}$ generated by the label $l(v - M(v))$. There are obvious isomorphisms of moment graphs $f^{[v],[w]}$ such that $f_V^{[v],[w]}(v) = w$ or $M(w)$ and $f_l^{[v],[w]}(l(v - M(v))) = \pm l(w - M(w))$ which define a fibration.

4.2. Fibers and monodromy. Given $v \in V$ consider the multi-set of labels L_v of edges adjacent to v , i.e.

$$L_v = \{l(v - w) \mid v - w \in E\}.$$

We denote by $L_{v,\sim}$ its subset entirely contained in the fibre $\mathcal{G}_{[v]}$, i.e.

$$L_{v,\sim} = \{l(v - w) \mid v - w \in E, v \sim w\}.$$

We denote by $-L_{v,\sim}$ the multi-set $\{-l(v - w) \mid v - w \in E, v \sim w\}$ respectively. We assume that all moment graphs are *locally finite*, that is for any $v, w \in V$ the interval $\{u \in V \mid v \leq u \leq w\}$ is finite.

Definition 4.4. Let $\pi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\sim$ be a fibration with isomorphisms $(f^{[v],[w]})_{(v,w) \in V \times V}$ between the fibres as in Definition 4.1. Let $[e] \in V_\sim$ be a distinguished vertex of the quotient graph. A collection $\xi = \{\xi_y\}_{y \in V}$ of automorphisms of Λ is said to be compatible with the fibre $\mathcal{G}_{[e]}$ if

(CF1) For any $y \in [v]$ we have

$$\xi_y \circ f_l^{[v],[e]} \left(\prod_{\gamma \in L_{y,\sim}} \gamma \right) = \pm \prod_{\gamma \in L_{y,\sim}} \gamma,$$

where the products are in $S^*(\Lambda)$. In particular, for any $\gamma \in L_{y,\sim}$ we have

$$\xi_y(f_l^{[v],[e]}(\gamma)) \in \pm L_{y,\sim}.$$

(CF2) For any $y \in [v]$ set

$$N_y^\xi = \{f_l^{[v],[e]}(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in L_{y,\sim} \text{ and } \xi_y(f_l^{[v],[e]}(\gamma)) \in -L_{y,\sim}\}.$$

Then for any $y \rightarrow y' \in E$ with $y' \in [v]$ the following two conditions hold:

- (a) $\#N_y^\xi \not\equiv \#N_{y'}^\xi \pmod{2}$;
- (b) $\sum_{\beta \in N_y^\xi} \xi_y(\beta) - \sum_{\delta \in N_{y'}^\xi} \xi_{y'}(\delta) \in l(y \rightarrow y')\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 4.5. In the setup of Examples 2.3 and 2.10, if $v \in W^\ominus$ is a minimal length representative and e is the neutral element of W , then for any $y \in [v]$ we have $v(L_{e,\sim}) = L_{y,\sim}$, where $L_{e,\sim}$ is the set of positive roots Φ_+^\ominus of the root subsystem of Φ spanned by simple roots from Θ . So $L_{y,\sim}$ does not depend on a choice of the representative so we can simply denote it by $L_{[v]}$.

Suppose $y \in [v]$ so that by the parabolic decomposition $y = vu$, where $v \in W^\ominus$ and $u \in W_\Theta$.

Set $\xi_y(\lambda) := y(\lambda)$ and $f_l^{[v],[e]}(\lambda) := v^{-1}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ as in Example 4.2. Then we obtain

$$\xi_y(f_l^{[v],[e]} \left(\prod_{\gamma \in L_{[v]}} \gamma \right)) = vu \left(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} \beta \right).$$

Denote by $\prod \Phi_+^\ominus$ the product $\prod_{\beta \in \Phi_+^\ominus} \beta$. Since $u(\prod \Phi_+^\ominus) = (-1)^{\ell(u)}(\prod \Phi_+^\ominus)$ for any $u \in W_\Theta$, the property (CF1) follows.

By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_y^\xi &= \{v^{-1}(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in v(\Phi_+^\ominus) \text{ and } yv^{-1}(\gamma) \in v(\Phi_-^\ominus)\} \\ &= \{\beta \mid \beta \in \Phi_+^\ominus \text{ and } u(\beta) \in \Phi_-^\ominus\}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore, the cardinality $\#N_y^\xi$ coincides with the length $\ell(u)$ of u .

Suppose that $y \rightarrow y' \in E$ and $y' \in [v]$, then $y = s_\alpha y'$ and $y' = vu'$, $u' \in W_\Theta$.

Therefore, $vu = s_\alpha vu'$ which implies that $u' = s_{v^{-1}(\alpha)}u$ and, hence, $\ell(u) \not\equiv \ell(u') \pmod{2}$. This verifies property (CF2a).

As for (CF2b), observe that there is a bijection (c.f. [CS09, Proposition 3.2.14])

$$N_y^\xi \setminus N_{s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}}^\xi \xrightarrow{\sim} N_{y'}^\xi \setminus N_{s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}}^\xi, \quad \beta \mapsto s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}(\beta),$$

moreover, $N_y^\xi \cap N_{s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}}^\xi \subset N_{y'}^\xi \cap N_{s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}}^\xi$ and

$$\beta \in (N_{y'}^\xi \cap N_{s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}}^\xi) \setminus N_y^\xi \Leftrightarrow -s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}(\beta) \in (N_y^\xi \cap N_{s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}}^\xi) \setminus N_{y'}^\xi.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\beta \in N_y^\xi} y(\beta) - \sum_{\delta \in N_{y'}^\xi} y'(\delta) &= \sum_{\beta \in N_y^\xi \setminus N_{s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}}^\xi} y(\beta) - y'(s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}(\beta)) \\
&+ \sum_{\beta \in N_y^\xi \cap N_{s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}}^\xi} y(\beta) - y'(\beta) \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in (N_{y'}^\xi \cap N_{s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}}^\xi) \setminus N_y^\xi} y'(\beta + (-s_{y^{-1}(\alpha)}(\beta))) \\
&\in \mathbb{Z}\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Example 4.6. In the fibration of Example 4.3 choose $e \in V$ and let $\mathcal{G}_{[e]}$ be the respective fibre. Then a \mathcal{G} -monodromy $\xi = \{\xi_y\}_{y \in V}$ of Definition 2.6 is compatible with the fibre $\mathcal{G}_{[e]}$ if and only if

$$(2) \quad \xi_y(l(e - M(e))) = -\xi_{M(y)}(l(e - M(e))) = \pm l(y - M(y)).$$

Indeed, the properties (CF1), (CF2a) and (CF2b) follow from

- $f^{[y][e]}(l(y - M(y))) = \pm l(y - M(y))$,
- $L_{[y]} = \{l(y - M(y))\}$ for any $y \in V$,
- $N_y^\xi = \begin{cases} l(y - M(y)) & \text{if } \xi_y(l(e - M(e))) = -l(y - M(y)), \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

4.3. Push-forwards. Let $\mathcal{G} = ((V, \leq), l: E \rightarrow \Lambda_\emptyset)$ be a moment graph together with a \mathcal{G} -compatible equivalence relation ' \sim ', a \mathcal{G} -monodromy $\xi = \{\xi_v\}_{v \in V}$ and a distinguished vertex $e \in V$. We now introduce the notion of a ξ -fibration between moment graphs.

Definition 4.7. The induced quotient map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\sim$ is called a ξ -fibration and denoted π^ξ if it is a fibration such that the monodromy ξ is compatible with the fibre $\mathcal{G}_{[e]}$.

Definition 4.8. We say that a ξ -fibration π^ξ is regular if

- $L_{v, \sim} = L_{w, \sim}$ for any $v \sim w$ in V (observe that this implies that $L_{v, \sim}$ does not depend on a choice of a representative so it can be denoted by $L_{[v]}$)

and for each $[v] \in V_\sim$ we have

- $x_\gamma = 1 - e^{-\gamma}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ for each $\gamma \in L_{[v]}$, and
- $x_\gamma \mid x_{\gamma'} x$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$, $\gamma, \gamma' \in L_{[v]}$, $\gamma \neq \gamma' \implies x_\gamma \mid x$.

Proposition 4.9. *Given a regular ξ -fibration $\pi^\xi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\sim$, there is a $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ -module homomorphism between the associated (multiplicative) structure algebras*

$$\pi_*^\xi: \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G}_\sim) \text{ defined by } (z_y)_{y \in V} \mapsto \left(\sum_{y \in [v]} \frac{z_y}{\xi_y(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta)} \right)_{[v] \in V_\sim},$$

where $z_y \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ and $x_\beta = 1 - e^{-\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$.

Proof. We have to show that

- (1) $\tilde{z}_{[v]} := \sum_{y \in [v]} \frac{z_y}{\xi_y(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta)} \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$, and
- (2) $\tilde{z}_{[v]} - \tilde{z}_{[w]} \in x_{l([v] \rightarrow [w])} \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ for any $[v] \rightarrow [w] \in E_\sim$.

(1): Set $\beta = f_l^{[v],[e]}(\gamma) \in L_e$ for $\gamma \in L_{[v]}$. Then

$$N_y^\xi = \{\beta \in L_{[e]} \mid \xi_y(\beta) \in -L_{[v]}\}.$$

Since $x_{-\gamma} = x_\gamma(-e^\gamma)$, by Definitions 4.1 and 4.4 we obtain

$$\xi_y\left(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta\right) = \left(\prod_{\gamma \in L_{[v]}} x_\gamma\right) \prod_{\beta \in N_y^\xi} (-e^{-\xi_y(\beta)}).$$

Therefore, it reduces to check that

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{\gamma \in L_{[v]}} x_\gamma} \sum_{y \in [v]} \left(\text{sgn}(y) z_y \prod_{\beta \in N_y^\xi} e^{-\xi_y(\beta)} \right) \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda],$$

where we set $\text{sgn}(y) := (-1)^{\#N_y^\xi}$. Observe also that Definition 4.4 implies that if $y, y' \in [v]$ and $y \rightarrow y' \in E$ then $\text{sgn}(y) = -\text{sgn}(y')$.

Since the fibration is regular, this is equivalent to

$$x_\gamma \mid \sum_{y \in [v]} \left(\text{sgn}(y) z_y \prod_{\beta \in N_y^\xi} e^{-\xi_y(\beta)} \right), \quad \text{for any } \gamma \in L_{[v]}.$$

Each label $\gamma \in L_{[v]}$ induces an automorphism j_γ on the set of vertices of $\mathcal{G}_{[v]}$ by mapping $y \in [v]$ to the unique vertex $j_\gamma(y) \in [v]$ connected to y via an edge labelled by γ . So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{y \in [v]} \left(\text{sgn}(y) z_y \prod_{\beta \in N_y^\xi} e^{-\xi_y(\beta)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{y \in [v] \\ y < j_\gamma(y)}} \text{sgn}(y) \left(z_y \prod_{\beta \in N_y^\xi} e^{-\xi_y(\beta)} - z_{j_\gamma(y)} \prod_{\delta \in N_{j_\gamma(y)}^\xi} e^{-\xi_{j_\gamma(y)}(\delta)} \right) \\ &\equiv \sum_{\substack{y \in [v] \\ y < j_\gamma(y)}} \text{sgn}(y) z_y \left(\prod_{\beta \in N_y^\xi} e^{-\xi_y(\beta)} - \prod_{\delta \in N_{j_\gamma(y)}^\xi} e^{-\xi_{j_\gamma(y)}(\delta)} \right) \pmod{x_\gamma} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{y \in [v] \\ y < j_\gamma(y)}} \text{sgn}(y) z_y \prod_{\beta \in N_y^\xi} e^{-\xi_y(\beta)} \left(1 - e^{-\sum_{\delta \in N_{j_\gamma(y)}^\xi} \xi_{j_\gamma(y)}(\delta) + \sum_{\beta \in N_y^\xi} \xi_y(\beta)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (CF2b), there exists an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\left(1 - e^{-\sum_{\delta \in N_{j_\gamma(y)}^\xi} \xi_{j_\gamma(y)}(\delta) + \sum_{\beta \in N_y^\xi} \xi_y(\beta)} \right) = 1 - e^{-m\gamma},$$

and, therefore, $x_\gamma = 1 - e^{-\gamma}$ divides the latter.

(2): Assume now that $[v] \rightarrow [w] \in E_\sim$ with $l_\sim([v] \rightarrow [w]) = \alpha$ and consider

$$\tilde{z}[v] - \tilde{z}[w] = \sum_{y \in [v]} \frac{z_y}{\xi_y(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta)} - \sum_{u \in [w]} \frac{z_u}{\xi_u(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta)}.$$

Since ' \sim ' is \mathcal{G} -compatible, there is a similar bijection j_α between the vertices of $\mathcal{G}_{[v]}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{[w]}$. We now have to show that

$$\sum_{y \in [v]} \left(\frac{z_y}{\xi_y(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta)} - \frac{z_{j_\alpha(y)}}{\xi_{j_\alpha(y)}(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta)} \right) \in x_\alpha \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda].$$

The independence of labels implies that $\alpha \notin L_{[y]} \cup L_{[j_\alpha(y)]}$. Hence, we are reduced to show that

$$z_y \xi_{j_\alpha(y)} \left(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta \right) - z_{j_\alpha(y)} \xi_y \left(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta \right) \in x_\alpha \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda].$$

The latter follows since $\xi_{j_\alpha(y)} \left(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta \right) - \xi_y \left(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta \right) \in x_\alpha \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ by Definition 2.6, and $z_y - z_{j_\alpha(y)} \in x_\alpha \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ as $z \in \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G})$. \square

Replacing x_γ by γ and $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ by $S^*(\Lambda)$ in Definition 4.8 and in Proposition 4.9 the same proof (where e^γ is replaced by 1) gives the similar result for the structure algebras \mathcal{Z}_a associated to $S^*(\Lambda)$:

Corollary 4.10. *Given a regular ξ -fibration $\pi^\xi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\sim$, there is a $S^*(\Lambda)$ -module homomorphism between the associated (additive) structure algebras*

$$\pi_*^\xi: \mathcal{Z}_a(\mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_a(\mathcal{G}_\sim) \text{ defined by } (z_y)_{y \in V} \mapsto \left(\sum_{y \in [v]} \frac{z_y}{\xi_y \left(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} \beta \right)} \right)_{[v] \in V_\sim},$$

where $z_y \in S^*(\Lambda)$.

Corollary 4.11 (Projection formula). *In the hypothesis of Proposition 4.9 we have*

$$\pi_*^\xi \left((\pi^{\text{id}_\Lambda})^* (z') \cdot z \right) = z' \cdot \pi_*^\xi(z), \quad z' \in \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G}_\sim), z \in \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G}).$$

In other words, the push-forward π_^ξ is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G}_\sim)$ -modules.*

Proof. Let $z' = (z'_{[v]})_{[v] \in V_\sim}$ and $z = (z_v)_{v \in V}$. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*^\xi \left((\pi^{\text{id}_\Lambda})^* (z') \cdot z \right) &= \pi_*^\xi \left((z'_{[v]} z_v)_{v \in V} \right) = \left(\sum_{y \in [v]} \frac{z'_{[v]} z_y}{\xi_y \left(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta \right)} \right)_{[v] \in V_\sim} \\ &= \left(z'_{[v]} \sum_{y \in [v]} \frac{z_y}{\xi_y \left(\prod_{\beta \in L_{[e]}} x_\beta \right)} \right)_{[v] \in V_\sim} = (z'_{[v]} \tilde{z}_{[v]})_{[v] \in V_\sim}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.12. Consider the fibration $\pi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\sim$ of Example 4.5, where $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(W)$ is the Bruhat graph, $\mathcal{G}_\sim = \mathcal{G}(W^\ominus)$ is the parabolic Bruhat moment graph, e is the neutral element of W , the moment graph isomorphism $f^{[v],[e]}: \mathcal{G}_{[v]} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{[e]}$ are given by $f_V^{[v],[e]}(w) = v^{-1}w$ for any $w \in vW_\ominus$ and $f_l^{[v],[e]}(\lambda) = v^{-1}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the automorphisms $\xi = \{\xi_y\}_y$ are given by $\xi_y = y(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Then we are in the hypotheses of the proposition and the induced homomorphism of structure algebras coincides with the classical push-forward map on K -theory $\pi_*: K(G/B) \rightarrow K(G/P_\ominus)$ induced by the canonical quotient map $G/B \rightarrow G/P_\ominus$ (see e.g. [GR13, (3.3)]).

Example 4.13. Consider the fibration of Example 4.3. Suppose that the multisets $L_{[v]}$ for \mathcal{G}_\sim consist of linearly independent labels. Suppose also that we have a collection $\xi = \{\xi_y\}$ of automorphisms of Λ satisfying (2). Then we are in the hypothesis of the proposition and there is the induced homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ -modules $\pi_*^\xi: \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G}_\sim)$.

Combining it with the induced pull-back we obtain the group homomorphism

$$(\pi^{\text{id}_\Lambda})^* \circ \pi_*^\xi: \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G})$$

which we call the *push-pull operator* on the moment graph \mathcal{G} .

In the case of a special matching of the Weyl group induced by right multiplication by a simple reflection s and ξ taken from Example 3.5, the above composition will give the classical divided difference operator.

5. THE CHERN CHARACTER AND THE RIEMANN-ROCH THEOREM

In the present section we introduce the Chern character between structure algebras of moment graphs. We study its properties with respect to characteristic maps, pull-backs and forgetful maps. We state and prove our main result – the analogue of the Riemann-Roch theorem for moment graphs.

5.1. Truncated Chern character. Consider a map

$$\mathrm{ch}_i: \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\leq i}(\Lambda) := S^*(\Lambda)/I_a^{i+1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

defined by taking the truncated exponential series

$$\mathrm{ch}_i(e^\lambda) \mapsto \exp(\lambda) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i} \frac{1}{j!} \lambda^j, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

It is a ring homomorphism which we call a (truncated) Chern character.

Observe that under this map

$$\mathrm{ch}_i(x_\lambda) = \mathrm{ch}_i(1 - e^{-\lambda}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j!} \lambda^j \in \lambda S_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\leq i}(\Lambda)$$

so $\mathrm{ch}_i(I_m^j) \subset I_a^j$ for all $j \leq i$ and, therefore, there is an induced graded ring homomorphism

$$\mathrm{ch}_i: \mathrm{gr}_m^{\leq i}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_a^{\leq i}(\Lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

which becomes an isomorphism after tensoring the left hand side with \mathbb{Q} .

Since $\mathrm{ch}_i(x_\lambda) \in \lambda S_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\leq i}(\Lambda)$, ch_i preserves the relations in the definition of the structure algebra. So we obtain

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{G} = ((V, \leq), l: E \rightarrow \Lambda_\emptyset)$ be a moment graph. Then the direct sum*

$$\bigoplus_{v \in V} \mathrm{ch}_i: \bigoplus_{v \in V} \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in V} S_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\leq i}(\Lambda)$$

of the maps ch_i restricts to a ring homomorphism (called the localized Chern character)

$$\mathrm{ch}_i: \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_a^{\leq i}(\mathcal{G})_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where the latter is the truncated structure algebra with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients.

5.2. Forgetful, characteristic maps and pull-backs. We now show that the localized Chern character commutes with characteristic, forgetful maps and pull-backs. Our first observation is the following

Lemma 5.2. *The localized Chern character on the structure algebras of \mathcal{G} respects the ξ -characteristic map, i.e.*

$$c^\xi \circ \mathrm{ch}_i = \mathrm{ch}_i \circ c^\xi: S_m \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_a^{\leq i}(\mathcal{G})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Proof. As both functor $S^*(-)$ and $\mathbb{Z}[-]$ and ch_i are functorial with respect to automorphisms ξ_x of the lattice Λ , the lemma follows. \square

As in [LZ19] we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{G})$ the quotient of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ modulo the ideal $I\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ (here $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ is viewed as a S -module) and call it the augmented structure algebra. The quotient map $\rho: \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{G})$ is called the forgetful map. We have

Lemma 5.3. *The localized Chern character on structure algebras respects the forgetful map, i.e.*

$$\rho \circ \mathbf{ch}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{ch}}_i \circ \rho: \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_a^{\leq i}(\mathcal{G})_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{ch}}_i$ denotes the restricted class.

Proof. Since the Chern character preserves the augmentation ideal, the result follows. \square

Finally, let $f: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ be a morphism of oriented graphs which satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.6. Consider the induced pull-back map on the structure algebras $f^{\xi*}: \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}') \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$. By definition, we then have

Lemma 5.4. *The localized Chern character respects the pull-backs, i.e. we have*

$$f^{\xi*} \circ \mathbf{ch}'_i = \mathbf{ch}_i \circ f^{\xi*},$$

where \mathbf{ch}'_i is the respective Chern character for the moment graph \mathcal{G}' .

5.3. The Riemann-Roch type theorem. Suppose now we are in the hypotheses of Proposition 4.9, i.e. we are given a regular ξ -fibration $\pi^{\xi}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\sim}$ of moment graphs with a distinguished point $e \in V$. So that there is the induced push-forward $\pi_*^{\xi}: \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}_{\sim})$. We then define the ξ -Todd genus of the fibration π^{ξ} to be the truncation

$$td_i^{\xi}(\mathcal{G}) = \left(\exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in N_y^{\xi}} -\xi_y(\beta) \right) \right)_y \in \mathcal{Z}_a^{\leq i}(\mathcal{G}).$$

We have the following Riemann-Roch type theorem for ξ -fibrations on moment graphs:

Theorem 5.5. *For any $z \in \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G})$ we have*

$$\pi_*^{\xi}(\mathbf{ch}_i(z) \cdot td_i^{\xi}(\mathcal{G})) = \mathbf{ch}_i(\pi_*^{\xi}(z)).$$

Proof. It is enough to prove it on fibres $\mathcal{G}_{[v]}$, $[v] \in V_{\sim}$. Recall that the map π_*^{ξ} is given on the fibre by

$$(z_y)_{y \in [v]} \mapsto \tilde{z}_{[v]} = \frac{1}{\prod_{\gamma \in L_{[v]}} x_{\gamma}} \sum_{y \in [v]} \left(\text{sgn}(y) z_y \prod_{\beta \in N_y^{\xi}} e^{-\xi_y(\beta)} \right)$$

Since \mathbf{ch}_i is a ring homomorphism and $\mathbf{ch}_i(x_{\gamma}) = \gamma$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{ch}_i(\pi_*^{\xi}(z))_{[v]} &= \frac{1}{\prod_{\gamma \in L_{[v]}} \gamma} \sum_{y \in [v]} \left(\text{sgn}(y) \mathbf{ch}_i(z_y) \mathbf{ch}_i \left(\prod_{\beta \in N_y^{\xi}} e^{-\xi_y(\beta)} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{\gamma \in L_{[v]}} \gamma} \sum_{y \in [v]} \left(\text{sgn}(y) \mathbf{ch}_i(z_y) \exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in N_y^{\xi}} -\xi_y(\beta) \right) \right) \in S^*(\Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

On the other side we obtain

$$\pi_*^{\xi}(\mathbf{ch}_i(z))_{[v]} = \frac{1}{\prod_{\gamma \in L_{[v]}} \gamma} \sum_{y \in [v]} \left(\text{sgn}(y) \mathbf{ch}_i(z_y) \right) \in S^*(\Lambda).$$

The result then follows. \square

Remark 5.6. The formula of Theorem 5.5 can be viewed as the moment graph analogue of [Pa04, Corollary 2.5.5] where the ξ -Todd genus of the ξ -fibration corresponds to $td_{\mathbf{ch}}(T_X)$ of loc.cit.

6. THE CASE OF KAC-MOODY FLAG VARIETIES

In the present section we show that all Examples 2.3, 2.10, 3.5, 4.2, 4.5 and, finally, 4.12 can be extended to the Kac-Moody settings (i.e., to possibly infinite Weyl groups), so that our main result Theorem 5.5 holds for the T -equivariant K -theory and Chow groups of Kac-Moody flag varieties.

6.1. Preliminaries and notation. We introduce notation and list basic properties of the root datum associated to a generalized Cartan matrix. We follow closely [KK90, (1.1) and (1.2)]:

Let $A := (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}$ be a generalized Cartan matrix (i.e., $a_{ii} = 2$, $-a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for all $i \neq j$, where \mathbb{Z}_+ is the set of nonnegative integers, and $a_{ij} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{ji} = 0$). Choose a triple $(\mathfrak{h}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$, unique up to isomorphism, where \mathfrak{h} is a complex vector space of dimension $2l - \text{rk}A$,

$$\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*, \quad \Pi^\vee = \{h_1, \dots, h_l\} \subset \mathfrak{h}$$

are linearly independent sets satisfying $\alpha_j(h_i) = a_{ij}$. Such a triple we call the root datum corresponding to the generalized Cartan matrix A .

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ be the Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated to A as in [KK90, §1]. So that \mathfrak{h} is the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and there is the root space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}), \quad \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\},$$

where $\Delta_+ = \{\alpha \in \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z}_+ \alpha_i \mid \alpha \neq 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0\}$ is called the set of positive roots. Define $\Delta_- = -\Delta_+$ and call it the set of negative roots. Define $\Delta = \Delta_+ \cup \Delta_-$ and call it the set of roots. The roots $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ are called the simple roots and the elements h_i , $1 \leq i \leq l$ are called the simple coroots.

Associated to $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ there is the Weyl group $W \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$, generated by the simple reflections s_i , $1 \leq i \leq l$, where

$$s_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \lambda(h_i)\alpha_i, \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

The group W is the Coxeter group on generators s_i , $1 \leq i \leq l$. For $i \neq j$, the order m_{ij} of $s_i s_j$ equals to 2, 3, 4, 6, ∞ when $a_{ij} a_{ji}$ is 0, 1, 2, 3, ≥ 4 , respectively. We denote by \leq the Bruhat order on W and by $\ell : W \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ the length function. The Weyl group preserves Δ .

Define the subset of real roots to be

$$\Phi := \{w(\alpha_i) \mid w \in W, \alpha_i \in \Pi\}.$$

For any $\alpha = w(\alpha_i) \in \Phi$, the associated the reflection is $s_\alpha = w s_i w^{-1}$. Set $\Phi_+ = \Delta_+ \cap \Phi$ and $\Phi_- = \Delta_- \cap \Phi$.

For any $\Theta \subset \Pi$ let W_Θ be the subgroup of W generated by $\{s_i\}_{\alpha_i \in \Theta}$. Let W^Θ denote the subset of minimal left coset representatives of W/W_Θ (each coset contains a unique such representative).

As in [KK90, (1.2)] we fix an integral lattice $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ satisfying

- $h_i \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$,
- $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Z} / \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{Z} h_i$ is torsion free, and
- $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Z}^* := \text{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ contains Π .

We call $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Z}^*$ the weight lattice. Clearly, it is W -stable. We choose fundamental weights $\omega_i \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Z}^*$, $1 \leq i \leq l$ satisfying $\omega_i(h_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq l$. Note that if $\text{rk}A = l$, then the ω_i 's are uniquely determined.

6.2. Localization. Following [KK90, (1.3)] let G be a Kac-Moody group, let P_Θ be a parabolic subgroup containing the Borel subgroup B and the compact maximal torus T , and let G/P_Θ be the associated Kac-Moody flag variety. We now recall computations for both the T -equivariant Chow group and the equivariant K -theory of G/P_Θ with coefficients in a commutative ring R .

Set Λ to be the character group of T . Note that $\Phi \subset \Lambda \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$. Assume that Λ is a formal Demazure lattice in the sense of [Le16, Def.3.1], i.e., every simple root of Π can be extended to a \mathbb{Z} -basis of Λ . We refer to [Le16, §3] for properties and examples of Demazure lattices.

Set $S = \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$. Consider the left S -module $S_W = S \otimes_R R[W]$. Each element of S_W can be written as an S -linear combination $\sum_{w \in W} q_w \delta_w$, where $\{\delta_w\}_{w \in W}$ is the standard basis and $q_w \in S$ are coefficients. The twisted commuting relation $w(q)\delta_w = \delta_w q$, $q \in S$ induces a multiplication on S_W , hence, turning it into the twisted group algebra of [KK90, (2.1)].

Consider the localizations $Q = S[\frac{1}{x_\alpha}, \alpha \in \Phi_+]$ and $Q_W = Q \otimes_R R[W]$. Let Y be the R -subalgebra of Q_W generated by elements of $S \subset Q_W$ and by the push-pull elements

$$y_i = \frac{1}{x_{-\alpha_i}} + \frac{1}{x_{\alpha_i}} \delta_{s_i} \in Q,$$

for all simple roots $\alpha_i \in \Pi$ and the corresponding reflections s_i . Given $w \in W$ and its reduced word $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_m}$ we set $y_w = y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_m}$ in Q_W (see [KK90, (2.4)]). According to [KK90, (2.9)] the elements $\{y_w\}_w$ form an S -basis of the algebra Y .

Let Ψ be the S -linear dual of Y and let ω denote the Q -linear dual of Q_W . Then Ψ is an S -subalgebra of ω (see [KK90, Prop.2.20]) which can be identified with the T -equivariant K -theory $K_T(G/B)$ by [KK90, Thm.3.13]. Moreover, the parabolic analogue of this result [KK90, Cor.3.20] says that the invariant subring Ψ^Θ (under the Hecke action by W_Θ) can be identified with $K_T(G/P_\Theta)$.

6.3. The forgetful map. We now explain the construction of the forgetful map in the context of localization. We assume for simplicity $\Theta = \emptyset$.

Consider the Q_W action on Q defined by

$$\left(\sum_w q_w \delta_w \right) \cdot q' = \sum_w q_w w(q').$$

Using this action one identifies the algebra Y with its image in $\text{End}_R(S)$. Then composing with the augmentation $\epsilon: S \rightarrow R$ one obtains the map $Y \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(S, R)$

$$d = \sum_w q_w \delta_w = \{s \mapsto \sum_w q_w w(s)\} \mapsto \epsilon d = \{s \mapsto \epsilon(\sum_w q_w w(s))\}.$$

The image of this map is denoted ϵY .

Consider $g \in \Psi$. It can be viewed as an element of $\text{Hom}_S(Y, S)$ as follows: if we write $g = (s_w)_w$, then $g: d = \sum_w q_w \delta_w \mapsto \sum_w q_w s_w \in S$.

We define the forgetful map $\rho: \Psi \rightarrow \epsilon \Psi = \text{Hom}_R(\epsilon Y, R)$ by

$$g \mapsto \{\epsilon d \mapsto \epsilon g(d)\},$$

where $\{\epsilon d \mapsto \epsilon g(d)\} \in \epsilon \Psi$ sends

$$\{s \mapsto \epsilon(\sum_w q_w w(s))\} \mapsto \epsilon(\sum_w q_w s_w).$$

Let y_w^* be the Q -linear dual of y_w . The set $\{y_w^*\}_w$ forms an S -basis of Ψ . By definition the forgetful map ρ sends $y_{I_w}^*$ to $\epsilon y_v \mapsto \epsilon(y_w^*(y_v)) = \delta_{w,v}$ which is the R -dual of ϵy_w . Hence, it maps

$$x = \sum_w s_w y_w^* \mapsto \rho(x) = \sum_w \epsilon(s_w) \epsilon y_w^*,$$

where $\{\epsilon y_w^*\}_w$ is the R -basis of $\epsilon\Psi$.

Therefore, we can identify $\epsilon\Psi$ with the quotient $\Psi/\mathcal{I}\Psi = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_S \Psi$ so that ρ turns into the quotient map by [KK90, Thm.3.28]

$$\rho: K_T(G/B) \longrightarrow K(G/B).$$

As before, this description can be extended to the parabolic situation in which case we obtain the forgetful map

$$\rho: K_T(G/P_\Theta) \longrightarrow K(G/P_\Theta).$$

6.4. The equivariant Riemann-Roch type formula. Following to [HHH] we may identify the invariant subring Ψ^Θ and, hence, the T -equivariant K -theory $K_T(G/P_\Theta)$, with the structure algebra $\mathcal{Z}_m(\mathcal{G})$ of the corresponding (parabolic) moment graph $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(W^\Theta)$, where W^Θ is the subset of minimal left coset representatives of W/W_Θ as in Example 2.3. Observe that the similar identification between the structure algebra $\mathcal{Z}_a(\mathcal{G})$ and the T -equivariant Chow ring $CH_T(G/P_\Theta)$ is also well-known.

Modulo all these identifications we obtain the following consequences of Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.3:

Corollary 6.1. *The localized Chern character ch_i on the structure algebras of $\mathcal{G}(W^\Theta)$ defines the the respective localized Chern character*

$$\text{ch}_i: K_T(G/P_\Theta) \rightarrow CH_T^{\leq i}(G/P_\Theta; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Moreover, it restricts to the the usual (non equivariant) Chern character

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}_i: K(G/P_\Theta) \rightarrow CH^{\leq i}(G/P_\Theta; \mathbb{Q})$$

so that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_T(G/P_\Theta) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & K(G/P_\Theta) \\ \text{ch}_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\text{ch}}_i \\ CH_T^{\leq i}(G/P_\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & CH^{\leq i}(G/P_\Theta; \mathbb{Q}) \end{array} .$$

We set ξ to act by elements of W as in Example 3.5. Then by Example 4.12 there is the ξ -fibration $\mathcal{G}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(W^\Theta)$ which is regular by [CZZ, Lemma 2.2], since Λ is the Demazure lattice. We have $-\xi_y(\beta) = -y(\beta) = vu(-\beta)$, where $y = vu$, $v \in W^\Theta$ and $u \in W_\Theta$. So

$$td_i^\xi(\mathcal{G}) = \left(\exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in N_y^\xi} -\xi_y(\beta) \right) \right)_y = \left(\exp v \left(\sum_{\beta \in \Phi_+^\Theta \cap u(\Phi_-^\Theta)} \beta \right) \right)_{vu} \in CH_T^{\leq i}(G/P_\Theta; \mathbb{Q})$$

and we obtain the following consequence of Theorem 5.5:

Corollary 6.2. *For any $z \in K_T(G/P_\Theta)$ we have*

$$\pi_*^\xi(\text{ch}_i(z) \cdot td_i^\xi(\mathcal{G})) = \text{ch}_i(\pi_*^\xi(z)).$$

REFERENCES

- [AGP] D. Anderson, R. Gonzales, S. Payne, Equivariant Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch and localization in operational K-theory, *arxiv Preprint* (2019): 1907.00076.
- [Bi99] S. Billey, Kostant polynomials and the cohomology ring for G/B , *Duke Math. J.* **96** (1999), no.1, 205–224.
- [BM01] T. Braden, R. MacPherson, From moment graphs to intersection cohomology, *Math. Ann.* **321** (2001), 533–551.
- [Br04] F. Brenti, The intersection cohomology of Schubert varieties is a combinatorial invariant, *European J. Combinatorics* **25** (2004), 1151–1167.
- [Br97] M. Brion, Equivariant Chow groups for torus actions, *Transform. Groups* **2** (1997), no.3, 225–267.
- [CZZ] B. Calmès, K. Zainoulline, C. Zhong, Formal affine Demazure and Hecke algebras of Kac-Moody root systems, *Algebras and Representation Theory* (published online March 2019).
- [CS09] A. Cap, J. Slovak, Parabolic Geometries I: Background and General Theory, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **154**, American Math. Soc., 2009.
- [CS74] T. Chang and T. Skjelbred, *The topological Schur lemma and related results*, *Ann. Math.* **100** (1974), 307–321.
- [DLZ] R. Devyatov, M. Lanini, K. Zaynullin, Oriented cohomology sheaves on double moment graphs. *Documenta Math.* **24** (2019), 563–608.
- [EG00] D. Edidin, W. Graham, Riemann-Roch for equivariant Chow groups, *Duke Math. J.* **102** (2000), no.3, 567–594.
- [EG98] D. Edidin, W. Graham, Equivariant intersection theory, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), no.3, 595–634.
- [Fi08] P. Fiebig, The combinatorics of Coxeter categories, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), no. 8, 4211–4233.
- [Fi16] P. Fiebig, Moment graphs in representation theory and geometry, in *Schubert calculus (Osaka 2012)*, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics* **71** (2016), 75–96.
- [FW14] P. Fiebig, G. Williamson, Parity sheaves, moment graphs and the p -smooth locus of Schubert varieties. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, **64** (2014) no. 2, pp. 489–536.
- [GR13] N. Ganter, A. Ram, Generalized Schubert Calculus, *J of the Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **28A** (2013), 1–42.
- [GKM] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. MacPherson, Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem, *Invent. Math.* **131** (1998), 25–83.
- [GHZ] V. Guillemin, T. Holm, C. Zara, GKM description of the equivariant cohomology ring of a homogeneous space, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **23** (2006), no.1, 21–41.
- [Hu90] J. Humphreys, Reflection groups and Coxeter groups, *Cambridge studies in Advanced Math.* **29**, Cambridge Univ. Press 1990.
- [Ka90] V. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras* (3rd. ed.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. xxii+400 pp.
- [KK90] B. Kostant, S. Kumar, T -equivariant K -theory of generalized flag varieties, *J. Differential Geom.* **32** (1990), 549–603.
- [KK86] ———, The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody group G^* , *Advances in Math.* **62** (1986), 187–237.
- [Ku02] S. Kumar, Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory, *Progress in Mathematics* **204**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2002.
- [HHH] M. Harada, A. Henriques, T. Holm, Computation of generalized equivariant cohomologies of Kac-Moody flag varieties. *Adv. Math.* **197** (2005), no. 1, 198–221.
- [La12] M. Lanini, Kazhdan-Lusztig combinatorics in the moment graph setting, *J. of Algebra* **370** (2012), 152–170.
- [La15] M. Lanini, On the stable moment graph of an affine Kac-Moody algebra. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015), 4111–4156.
- [LZ19] M. Lanini, K. Zainoulline, Twisted quadratic foldings of root systems. Preprint arXiv:1806.08962 (2019).
- [Le16] M.-A. Leclerc, The hyperbolic formal affine Demazure algebra, *Algebras and Representation Theory* **19**, Issue 5, 1043–1057.

- [Pa04] I. Panin, Riemann-Roch theorem for oriented cohomology. Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory, 261–333, *NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem.* **131**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004.
- [Ro03] I. Rosu, Equivariant K-theory and equivariant cohomology with an appendix by I. Rosu and A. Knutson, *Math. Zeitschrift* **243** (2003), 423–448.
- [To99] B. Totaro, The Chow ring of a classifying space. Algebraic K-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997), 249–281, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **67**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Ty08] J. Tymoczko, Permutation Representations on Schubert Varieties, *American Journal of Math.* **130** (2008), no.5, 1171–1194.
- [Za20] K. Zainoulline, Localized operations on T-equivariant oriented cohomology of projective homogeneous varieties, *arxiv Preprint* (2020): 2001.00498.

(Martina Lanini) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITY OF ROME TOR VERGATA, VIA DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA 1, 00133, ROME, ITALY

E-mail address: lanini@mat.uniroma2.it

URL: <https://sites.google.com/site/martinalanini5/home>

(Kirill Zainoulline) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA, 150 LOUIS-PASTEUR, OTTAWA, ON, K1N 6N5, CANADA

E-mail address: kirill@uottawa.ca

URL: <http://mysite.science.uottawa.ca/kzaynull/>