# STRONGLY GRADED LEAVITT PATH ALGEBRAS

PATRIK NYSTEDT

Department of Engineering Science, University West, SE-46186 Trollhättan, Sweden

JOHAN ÖINERT

Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Blekinge Institute of Technology, SE-37179 Karlskrona, Sweden

ABSTRACT. Let R be a unital ring, let E be a directed graph and recall that the Leavitt path algebra  $L_R(E)$  carries a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation. We show that  $L_R(E)$  is strongly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded if and only if E is row-finite, has no sink, and satisfies Condition (Y). Our result generalizes a recent result by Clark, Hazrat and Rigby, and the proof is short and self-contained.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Given an associative unital ring R and a directed graph E, one may define the Leavitt path algebra  $L_R(E)$  (see Section 2.2). Since their introduction in 2005, Leavitt path algebras have grown into a common theme within modern algebra on the interface between ring theory and operator algebra. For an excellent account of the history of the subject and a review of some of its main developments, we refer the reader to Abrams' survey article [1].

Every Leavitt path algebra comes equipped with a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation (see Remark 2.3) and there are multiple examples of when the graded structure has been utilized in the study of  $L_R(E)$ . On the other hand, there are only a few examples of when properties of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation itself have been studied. Notably, Hazrat has shown that for a finite graph E, the Leavitt path algebra  $L_R(E)$  with coefficients in a unital ring R is strongly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded if and only if E has no sink (see [7, Theorem 3.15]). The authors of the present article have shown that if E is a finite graph, then  $L_R(E)$  is always epsilon-strongly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded (see [8, Theorem 1.2]).

*E-mail addresses*: patrik.nystedt@hv.se, johan.oinert@bth.se. *Date*: February 18, 2020.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 16S99, 16W50.

Key words and phrases. strongly graded ring, Leavitt path algebra.

In their recent article [6], Clark, Hazrat and Rigby introduced the following condition.

**Definition 1.1** (Clark et al [6]). A directed graph E satisfies Condition (Y) if for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and every infinite path p, there exists an initial subpath  $\alpha$  of p and a finite path  $\beta$  such that  $r(\beta) = r(\alpha)$  and  $|\beta| - |\alpha| = k$ .

Using results on Steinberg algebras, in the case when K is a commutative unital ring, they showed that  $L_K(E)$  is strongly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded if and only if E is row-finite, has no sink, and satisfies Condition (Y) (see [6, Theorem 4.2]).

We now introduce the following seemingly weaker condition, which can actually be shown to be equivalent to Condition (Y).

**Definition 1.2.** A directed graph E satisfies Condition (Y1) if for every infinite path p, there exists an initial subpath  $\alpha$  of p and a finite path  $\beta$  such that  $r(\beta) = r(\alpha)$  and  $|\beta| - |\alpha| = 1$ .

Our main result is the following generalization of [6, Theorem 4.2].

**Theorem 1.3.** Let R be a unital ring and let E be a directed graph. Consider the Leavitt path algebra  $L_R(E)$  with its canonical  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation. The following three assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $L_R(E)$  is strongly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded;
- (ii) E is row-finite, has no sink, and satisfies Condition (Y);
- (iii) E is row-finite, has no sink, and satisfies Condition (Y1).

In the context of graph C\*-algebras, Chirvasitu [5, Theorem 2.14] has shown that the assertions on E made in Theorem 1.3(ii) are equivalent to freeness of the full gauge action on the graph C\*-algebra  $C^*(E)$ .

Here is an outline of this article.

In Section 2 we record definitions and results that will be used in the sequel. In Section 3, we prove Lemma 3.1 which establishes the implication  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  in Theorem 1.3. Notice that the implication  $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  is trivial. In Section 4, we prove Proposition 4.3 which establishes the implication  $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$  in Theorem 1.3, thereby finishing the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we will record definitions and results that will be used in the rest of this article.

2.1. Strongly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded rings. Recall that an associative ring S is said to be  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded if, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there is an additive subgroup  $S_n$  of S such that  $S = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} S_n$  and for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  the inclusion  $S_n S_m \subseteq S_{n+m}$  holds. If, in addition, for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the equality  $S_n S_m = S_{n+m}$  holds, then S is said to be strongly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded.

Throughout the rest of this subsection S denotes a, not necessarily unital,  $\mathbbm{Z}\text{-}\mathrm{graded}$  ring.

**Proposition 2.1.** The ring S is strongly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded if and only if for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  the S<sub>0</sub>-bimodule  $S_n$  is unital, and the equalities  $S_1S_{-1} = S_{-1}S_1 = S_0$  hold.

*Proof.* The "only if" statement is immediate. Now we show the "if" statement. Take positive integers m and n. First we show by induction that  $S_m = (S_1)^m$ . The base case m = 1 is clear. Next suppose that  $S_m = (S_1)^m$ . Then we get that  $S_{m+1} = S_0 S_{m+1} = S_1 S_{-1} S_{m+1} \subseteq S_1 S_{-1+m+1} = S_1 S_m = S_1 (S_1)^m = (S_1)^{m+1} \subseteq S_{m+1}$ . Next we show by induction that  $S_{-n} = (S_{-1})^n$ . The base case n = 1 is clear. Next suppose that  $S_{-n} = (S_{-1})^n$ . Then we get that  $S_{-n-1} = S_{-n-1}S_0 = S_{-n-1}S_1S_{-1} \subseteq S_{-n-1+1}S_{-1} = S_{-n}S_{-1} = (S_{-1})^n S_{-1} = (S_{-1})^{n+1} \subseteq S_{-n-1}$ .

Case 1:  $S_m S_n = (S_1)^m (S_1)^n = (S_1)^{m+n} = S_{m+n}$ .

Case 2:  $S_{-m}S_{-n} = (S_{-1})^m (S_{-1})^n = (S_{-1})^{m+n} = S_{-m-n}.$ 

Case 3: Now we show that  $S_m S_{-n} = S_{m-n}$ . We get that  $S_m S_{-n} = (S_1)^m (S_{-1})^n$ . By repeated application of the equality  $S_1 S_{-1} = S_0$ , we get that  $(S_1)^m (S_{-1})^n = (S_1)^{m-n} = S_{m-n}$ , if  $m \ge n$ , or  $(S_1)^m (S_{-1})^n = (S_{-1})^{n-m} = S_{m-n}$ , otherwise.

Case 4:  $S_{-m}S_n = S_{n-m}$ . This is shown in a similar fashion to Case 3, using the equality  $S_{-1}S_1 = S_0$ , and is therefore left to the reader.

2.2. Leavitt path algebras. Let R be an associative unital ring and let  $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$  be a directed graph. Recall that r (range) and s (source) are maps  $E^1 \to E^0$ . The elements of  $E^0$  are called *vertices* and the elements of  $E^1$  are called *edges*. A vertex v for which  $s^{-1}(v)$  is empty is called a *sink*. A vertex v for which  $r^{-1}(v)$  is empty is called a *source*. If  $s^{-1}(v)$  is a finite set for every  $v \in E^0$ , then E is called *row-finite*. If  $s^{-1}(v)$  is an infinite set, then  $v \in E^0$  is called an *infinite emitter*. If both  $E^0$  and  $E^1$  are finite sets, then we say that E is finite. A path  $\mu$  in E is a sequence of edges  $\mu = \mu_1 \dots \mu_n$  such that  $r(\mu_i) = s(\mu_{i+1})$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . In such a case,  $s(\mu) := s(\mu_1)$  is the source of  $\mu$ ,  $r(\mu) := r(\mu_n)$  is the range of  $\mu$ , and  $|\mu| := n$  is the *length* of  $\mu$ . For any vertex  $v \in E^0$  we put s(v) := v and r(v) := v. The elements of  $E^1$  are called *real edges*, while for  $f \in E^1$  we call  $f^*$  a ghost edge. The set  $\{f^* \mid f \in E^1\}$  will be denoted by  $(E^1)^*$ . We let  $r(f^*)$  denote s(f), and we let  $s(f^*)$  denote r(f). For  $n \ge 2$ , we define  $E^n$ to be the set of paths of length n, and  $E^* = \bigcup_{n>0} E^n$  is the set of all finite paths. If  $\mu = \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \dots$  where  $\mu_i \in E^1$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $r(\mu_i) = s(\mu_{i+1})$ for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mu$  is said to be an *infinite path*. The set of all infinite paths is denoted by  $E^{\infty}$ . If  $p \in E^* \cup E^{\infty}$  and some  $\alpha \in E^*$ ,  $p' \in E^* \cup E^{\infty}$ satisfy  $p = \alpha p'$ , then  $\alpha$  is said to be an *initial subpath of p*.

Following Hazrat [7] we make the following definition.

**Definition 2.2.** The Leavitt path algebra of E with coefficients in R, denoted by  $L_R(E)$ , is the algebra generated by the sets  $\{v \mid v \in E^0\}, \{f \mid f \in E^1\}$  and  $\{f^* \mid f \in E^1\}$  with the coefficients in R, subject to the relations:

(1)  $uv = \delta_{u,v}v$  for all  $u, v \in E^0$ ;

- (2) s(f)f = fr(f) = f and  $r(f)f^* = f^*s(f) = f^*$ , for all  $f \in E^1$ ; (3)  $f^*f' = \delta_{f,f'}r(f)$ , for all  $f, f' \in E^1$ ; (4)  $\sum_{f \in E^1, s(f) = v} ff^* = v$ , for every  $v \in E^0$  for which  $s^{-1}(v)$  is nonempty and finite.

Here the ring R commutes with the generators.

Remark 2.3. The Leavitt path algebra  $L_R(E)$  carries a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation. Indeed, put deg(v) = 0 for each  $v \in E^0$ . For each  $f \in E^1$  we put deg(f) = 1 and  $\deg(f^*) = -1$ . By assigning degrees to the generators in this way, we obtain a Z-gradation on the free algebra  $F_R(E) = R\langle v, f, f^* \mid v \in E^0, f \in$  $E^{1}$ . Moreover, the ideal coming from relations (1)–(4) in Definition 2.2 is homogeneous. Using this it is easy to see that the natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on  $F_R(E)$  carries over to a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on the quotient algebra  $L_R(E)$ .

2.3. Inverse limits of finite sets. The following lemma follows from a general result concerning non-emptiness of inverse limits in [4, Chapter III § 7.4]. For the convenience of the reader, we give a short direct proof adapted to the situation at hand.

**Lemma 2.4.** If  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of finite non-empty sets and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g_n$  is a function  $X_{n+1} \to X_n$ , then there exists an element  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the equality  $g_n(x_{n+1}) =$  $x_n$  holds.

*Proof.* We claim that there exists a sequence of sets  $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}, Z_n$  is a non-empty subset of  $X_n$  and  $g_n(Z_{n+1}) = Z_n$ . Let us assume for a moment that the claim holds. Define an element  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n$ inductively in the following way. Let  $x_1$  be any element in  $Z_1$ . Take  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that we have defined  $x_n \in Z_n$  for all  $n \leq m$ . Then let  $x_{m+1}$  be any element in  $g_m^{-1}(x_m) \cap Z_{m+1}$ . It is clear that the element  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots)$  has the desired properties. Now we show the claim. For all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  put

$$Y_n^m = (g_n \circ g_{n+1} \circ \cdots \circ g_{m+n-1})(X_{m+n}).$$

Then for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $Y_n^m$  is a finite and non-empty subset of  $X_n$ , and the relations

$$Y_n^{m+1} \subseteq Y_n^m \tag{1}$$

and

$$g_n(Y_{n+1}^m) = Y_n^{m+1} (2)$$

hold. For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  put  $Z_n = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n^m$ . From (1) it follows that every  $Z_n$  is a finite and non-empty subset of  $X_n$ . In fact, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $p(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ with the property that for all  $k \ge p(n)$ , the equalities  $Y_n^k = Y_n^{p(n)} = Z_n$ hold. Take  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k = \max(p(n+1), p(n))$ . Then, from (2), we get that

$$g_n(Z_{n+1}) = g_n(Y_{n+1}^{p(n+1)}) = g_n(Y_{n+1}^k) = Y_n^{k+1} = Z_n$$

which shows the claim.

#### 3. Necessary conditions

In this section we will prove Lemma 3.1 which establishes the implication  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  in Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let R be a unital ring and let E be a directed graph. Consider the Leavitt path algebra  $L_R(E)$  with its canonical  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation. If  $L_R(E)$  is strongly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, then the following three assertions hold:

(i) E has no sink;

(ii) E is row-finite;

(iii) E satisfies Condition (Y).

*Proof.* Suppose that  $S = L_R(E)$  is strongly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded.

(i) Seeking a contradiction, suppose that there is a sink v in E. Then  $v \in S_0 = S_1 S_{-1}$ . Using that v is a sink, we get that  $v = v^2 \in v S_1 S_{-1} = \{0\}$ . This is a contradiction.

(ii) Let  $v \in E^0$  be an arbitrary vertex. From the strong gradation we get that  $v \in S_0 = S_1 S_{-1}$ , i.e.  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i^* \gamma_i \delta_i^*$  where  $\alpha_i \beta_i^* \in S_1$  and  $\gamma_i \delta_i^* \in S_{-1}$ . Notice that  $|\delta_i| > 0$  for each *i*. Seeking a contradiction, suppose that v is an infinite emitter. Then  $f = vf = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i^* \gamma_i \delta_i^* f$  for infinitely many f's. But that is not possible since  $n < \infty$ . This is a contradiction. We conclude that E is row-finite.

(iii) Let p be an infinite path and let k > 0 be an arbitrary integer. Put v = s(p). Using that  $S_0 = S_{-k}S_k$  we may write

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \beta_i^* \gamma_i \delta_i^*$$

where  $\alpha_i \beta_i^* \in S_{-k}$  and  $\gamma_i \delta_i^* \in S_k$ . Let p' be an initial subpath of p such that  $|p'| > |\delta_i|$  for each i. Clearly, vp' = s(p')p' = p'. Hence there must be some m such that  $\delta_m$  is an initial subpath of p' (and thus also of p), for otherwise we would have ended up with vp' = 0. Using the notation of Definition 1.1), put  $\alpha := \delta_m$  and  $\beta := \gamma_m$  and notice that  $r(\delta_m) = r(\gamma_m)$  and  $|\gamma_m| - |\delta_m| = k$ . This shows that E satisfies Condition (Y).

### 4. Sufficient conditions

In this section we will prove Proposition 4.3 which establishes the implication  $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$  in Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let R be a unital ring and let E be a directed graph. Consider the Leavitt path algebra  $S = L_R(E)$  with its canonical  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation. If E is row-finite and has no sink, then  $S_1S_{-1} = S_0$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $E^0 \subseteq S_1 S_{-1}$ . Take  $v \in E^0$ . Then  $v = \sum_{s(f)=v} ff^* \in S_1 S_{-1}$ .

**Definition 4.2.** If  $\alpha \in E^n$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we say that  $r(\alpha)$  is a *turning node* for  $\alpha$  if there exists  $\beta \in E^{n+1}$  with  $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** Let R be a unital ring and let E be a directed graph. Consider the Leavitt path algebra  $L_R(E)$  with its canonical  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation. If E is row-finite, has no sink, and satisfies Condition (Y1), then  $L_R(E)$  is strongly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded.

Proof. Put  $S = L_R(E)$ . Notice that, by Lemma 4.1,  $S_0 = S_1S_{-1}$ . Using that S has a set of local units which is contained in  $S_0$ , it is clear that  $S_n$  is a unital  $S_0$ -bimodule for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In view of Proposition 2.1 it remains to show that  $S_0 = S_{-1}S_1$ . It is sufficient to show that  $E^0 \subseteq S_{-1}S_1$ . Clearly, any vertex  $v \in E^0$  which is not a source belongs to  $S_{-1}S_1$ , since  $v = r(f) = f^*f$  for some  $f \in E^1$ . Thus, in order to show that  $L_R(E)$  is strongly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded it remains to show that  $v \in S_{-1}S_1$  for every source v.

Suppose that v is a source. Using v we will now inductively define a sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of sets in the following way. Put

$$X_1 = \{ f \in E^1 \mid s(f) = v, \text{and } r(f) \text{ is not a turning node for } f \}$$

and notice that it is a finite set since E is row-finite. Suppose that we have defined the finite set  $X_n \subseteq E^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put

$$X_{n+1} = \{ \alpha f \in E^{n+1} \mid \alpha \in X_n, f \in E^1, s(f) = r(\alpha),$$
  
and  $r(f)$  is not a turning node for  $\alpha f \}.$ 

By finiteness of  $X_n$  and row-finiteness of E we conclude that  $X_{n+1}$  is finite. Seeking a contradiction, suppose that  $X_n$  is non-empty for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define a function  $g_n : X_{n+1} \to X_n$  by putting  $g_n(\alpha f) = \alpha$ , for  $\alpha f \in X_{n+1}$ . By Lemma 2.4, there exists an element  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots) \in$  $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the equality  $g_n(x_{n+1}) = x_n$  holds. In other words,  $x_1 x_2 x_3 \cdots$  is an infinite path in E such that  $s(x_1) = v$  and with the property that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r(x_n)$  is not a turning node for  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$ . This contradicts condition (Y1). Therefore, for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $X_n$  is empty. Put  $k = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid X_n = \emptyset\}$ .

We claim that  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \alpha_i^*$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in E^*$  such that, for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ ,  $r(\alpha_i)$  is a turning node for  $\alpha_i$ . If we assume that the claim holds, then for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$  there is some  $\beta_i \in E^*$  such that  $r(\alpha_i) = r(\beta_i)$  and  $|\beta_i| - |\alpha_i| = 1$ . Thus,

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i r(\alpha_i) \alpha_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \beta_i^* \beta_i \alpha_i^* \in S_{-1} S_1$$

as desired.

Now we show the claim. Using that E is row-finite and that v is not a sink, we may write

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{m'} f_i f_i^*$$
 (3)

with  $\{f_1, \ldots, f_{m'}\} = s^{-1}(v)$ . If, for some  $i, r(f_i)$  is not a turning node for  $f_i$ , then we may replace  $f_i f_i^* = f_i r(f_i) f_i^* = f_i \sum_{h \in s^{-1}(r(f_i))} hh^* f_i^*$  in

Equation (3). By repeating this procedure (if necessary) it is clear that we, in a finite number of steps, will be able to identify  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in E^*$ such that  $|\alpha_i| \leq k$ , and with the properties that  $v = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_i^*$  and for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}, r(\alpha_i)$  is a turning node for  $\alpha_i$ .

## References

- G. Abrams, Leavitt path algebras: the first decade, Bull. Math. Sci. 5 (2015), no. 1, 59–120.
- [2] G. Abrams, G. Aranda Pino, The Leavitt path algebra of a graph, J. Algebra 293 (2005), no. 2, 319–334.
- [3] P. Ara, M. A. Moreno and E. Pardo, Nonstable K-theory for graph algebras, Algebr. Represent. Theory 10 (2007), no. 2, 157–178.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Elements of mathematics. Theory of sets.*, Translated from the French Hermann, Publishers in Arts and Science, Paris; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont. (1968), viii+414 pp.
- [5] A. Chirvasitu, Gauge freeness for Cuntz-Pimsner algebras, arXiv:1805.12318 [math.OA]
- [6] L. O. Clark, R. Hazrat, S. W. Rigby, Strongly graded groupoids and strongly graded Steinberg algebras, J. Algebra 530 (2019), 34–68.
- [7] R. Hazrat, The graded structure of Leavitt path algebras, Israel J. Math. 195 (2013), no. 2, 833–895.
- [8] P. Nystedt, J. Öinert, Group gradations on Leavitt path algebras. To appear in Journal of Algebra and Its Applications.