

Degenerate Bianchi transformations for three-dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^5$

A. A. Borisenko, V. O. Gorkavyy

(B. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering, Kharkiv, Ukraine)

Abstract. Three-dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^5$, whose Bianchi transformations are degenerate of rank 2, are studied. A complete description of such submanifolds is obtained in the case where the Bianchi transformations are holonomically degenerate.

Introduction

A regular n -dimensional submanifold F^n in $(n+p)$ -dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ is called pseudo-spherical if its sectional curvature is constant negative, $K \equiv -1$. Any such submanifold represents a domain of the hyperbolic space H^n isometrically immersed into $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$. Consequently, it can be parameterized by horospherical coordinates $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ so that its metric form is

$$ds^2 = du_1^2 + e^{-2u_1} (u_2^2 + \dots u_n^2). \quad (1)$$

Let $x(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ denote the position vector of F^n in $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$.

The Bianchi transformation of F^n corresponding to the chosen horospherical coordinates is defined by the formula

$$\tilde{x} = x + \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_1}. \quad (2)$$

The classical theory of pseudo-spherical submanifolds and their Bianchi transformations deals with the case of n -dimensional submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$. In this situation, the Bianchi transformation has remarkable properties. For instance, if the resulting vector-function $\tilde{x}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ represents a regular n -dimensional submanifold, then this submanifold is pseudo-spherical and its sectional curvature is $\tilde{K} \equiv -1$, see [4], [2], [13], [14]. Thus, the concept of Bianchi transformation provides us with an effective way for constructing new pseudo-spherical submanifolds from a given one.

Moreover, the theory of n -dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ has a remarkable analytical interpretation in the frames of the theory of solitons, where these submanifolds are represented by solutions of a specific integrable system of non-linear pde's generalizing the celebrated sine-Gordon equation, see [14], [16], [17].

As for the case of $p > n - 1$, it quite differs from the classical one where $p = n - 1$. For instance, in this non-classical case the pseudo-sphericity is not obliged to be preserved under the Bianchi transformation, see [3], [9], [10].

Generically, the vector-function $\tilde{x}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ defined by (2) represents an n -dimensional submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$, which is regular almost everywhere. However, in some particular cases the Bianchi transformation degenerates in the sense that $\tilde{x}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ describe a submanifold whose dimension is less than n .

The simplest example of a degenerate Bianchi transformation is provided by the famous pseudo-sphere (Beltrami surface), which is a surface of revolution in $\mathbb{R}^3$ obtained by rotating a tractrix. This surface admits a degenerate Bianchi transformation to a straight line.

A complete description of n -dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ admitting degenerate Bianchi transformations to one-dimensional curves was proved recently in [11], see also [7], [12]. These submanifolds, which are called generalized Beltrami surfaces, are submanifolds of revolution obtained by rotating particular curves, generalized tractrices.

The aim of this paper is to analyze the degenerate Bianchi transformations of rank 2 which by definition transform n -dimensional submanifolds with $n > 2$ to two-dimensional surfaces. In this paper we consider the case of three-dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^5$.

So, let F^3 be a pseudo-spherical submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^5$. Suppose that F^3 admits a Bianchi transformation which degenerates and transforms F^3 into a two-dimensional surface, $\tilde{F}^2$. What can one say about the initial submanifold F^3 as well as about the transformed surface $\tilde{F}^2$?

Evidently, the kernel of (the differential of) the degenerate Bianchi transformation in question represents a well-defined one-dimensional distribution in the tangent bundle TF^3 . It turns out that this distribution is tangent to the coordinate horospheres $u_1 = \text{const}$, and its integral trajectories called the null curves of the degenerate Bianchi transformation belong to the coordinate horospheres $u_1 = \text{const}$.

Thus, due to the degeneracy of the Bianchi transformation, there are three well-defined one-dimensional distributions in TF^3 : the distribution spanned by $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u_1}$, the kernel of the Bianchi transformation, and a one-dimensional distribution orthogonal to the two distributions above.

Definition. The degenerate Bianchi transformation is referred to as *holonomically degenerate* if the three one-dimensional distributions in question, as well as the three two-dimensional distributions determined by them in TF^3 , are integrable in the sense of the classical Frobenius theorems, i.e., the Lie brackets of vector fields ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 spanning these three one-dimensional distributions satisfy $[\xi_i, \xi_j] \in \text{span}(\xi_i, \xi_j)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$.

The main result of the paper provides a complete description of three-dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^5$ which admit holonomically degenerate Bianchi transformations of rank 2.

Theorem. *Let F^3 be a pseudo-spherical submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^5$. Suppose that F^3 admits a holonomically degenerate Bianchi transformation of rank 2, $F^3 \rightarrow \tilde{F}^2$.*

Then the resulting surface $\tilde{F}^2$ belongs to a three-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ and has constant negative Gauss curvature, $\tilde{K} \leq -1$.

Moreover, the following description holds true:

1) *If $\tilde{K} \equiv -1$, then F^3 is represented by a position vector*

$$x(u_1, u_2, u_3) = (\bar{x}(u_1, u_2), e^{-u_1} \cos u_3, e^{-u_1} \sin u_3),$$

where $\bar{x}(u_1, u_2)$ is a generical vector-function representing a two-dimensional surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the first fundamental form

$$d\bar{x}^2 = (1 - e^{-2u_1})du_1^2 + e^{-2u_1}du_2^2.$$

The null-curves of the Bianchi transformation in question are parallel straight lines $u_2 = \text{const}$ on the coordinate horospheres $u_1 = \text{const}$.

2) *If $\tilde{K} < -1$, then F^3 is represented by a position vector*

$$x(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \left(\bar{x}(v_1, v_2), \frac{1}{a}e^{-v_1}v_2 \cos av_3, \frac{1}{a}e^{-v_1}v_2 \sin av_3 \right),$$

where $\bar{x}(v_1, v_2)$ is a generical vector-function representing a two-dimensional surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the first fundamental form

$$d\bar{x}^2 = (1 - e^{-2v_1} \frac{v_2^2}{a^2})dv_1^2 + 2e^{-2v_1} \frac{v_2}{a^2}dv_1dv_2 + e^{-2v_1}(1 - \frac{1}{a^2})dv_2^2,$$

the constant $a > 1$ is well-defined from the relation $\tilde{K} = -\frac{a^2}{a^2-1}$, and the coordinates (v_1, v_2, v_3) are related to the horospherical coordinates (u_1, u_2, u_3) by $u_1 = v_1$, $u_2 = v_2 \cos v_3$, $u_3 = v_2 \sin v_3$. The null-curves of the Bianchi transformation in question are concentric circles $v_2 = \text{const}$ on the coordinate horospheres $u_1 = \text{const}$.

For the moment we don't know what happens if we remove the assumption of holonomicity. Most likely, in the general case the resulting surface $\tilde{F}^2$ will no longer be pseudo-spherical. However we

believe that the following conjecture holds true: if a three-dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifold $F^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ admits a degenerate Bianchi transformation to a two-dimensional surface $\tilde{F}^2$ so that $\tilde{F}^2$ has constant negative Gauss curvature and belongs to a three-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$, then the degenerate Bianchi transformation in question is holonomically degenerate.

1. General degenerate Bianchi transformations of rank two

Let F^3 be a three-dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifold in the five-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^5$. The pseudo-sphericity means that the sectional curvature of F^3 is constant negative, $K \equiv -1$. We suppose that $F^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ is represented locally by a position vector $x(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ in terms of *horospherical* coordinates (u_1, u_2, u_3) so that the first (metric) fundamental form of F^3 is given by (1). The coordinate system in question is orthogonal, the u_1 -coordinate curves represent a family of geodesics in F^3 , whereas the coordinate surfaces $u_1 = \text{const}$ are (domains on) horospheres. Each of these (domains on) horospheres is isometric to (a domain of) the Euclidean plane, and the coordinates (u_2, u_3) are Cartesian.

The horospherical coordinates in F^3 being fixed, consider the corresponding Bianchi transformation of F^3 that is defined by the formula (2). Notice that different horospherical coordinate systems on F^3 generate different Bianchi transformations.

Generically, the resulting vector-function $\tilde{x}$ in (2) depends on the all three arguments u_1, u_2, u_3 and therefore represents a three-dimensional submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^5$.

However, we are interested in the particular case where $\tilde{x}(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ represents not a three-dimensional submanifold, but a two-dimensional surface.

More precisely, we say that the Bianchi transformation is *degenerate of rank 2* and hence produces a two-dimensional surface if

$$\dim \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial u_1}, \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial u_2}, \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial u_3} \right\} \equiv 2. \quad (3)$$

In order to differentiate $\tilde{x}(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ given by (2) and then verify (3), we need to use the classical Gauss-Weingarten equations, see [1], [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} &= \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_k} + \sum_\sigma b_{ij}^\sigma n_\sigma, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, \\ \frac{\partial n_\sigma}{\partial u_i} &= - \sum_{j,k} b_{ij}^\sigma g^{jk} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_k} + \mu_{\sigma\nu|i} n_\nu, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3, \quad 1 \leq \sigma \neq \nu \leq 2, \end{aligned}$$

where n_1, n_2 are orthogonal unit vector fields normal to F^3 , g_{ij} and Γ_{ij}^k denote the coefficients of the first fundamental form (1) and the Christoffel symbols of F^3 , b_{ij}^σ stand for the coefficients of the second fundamental form of F^3 with respect to n_σ , and $\mu_{\sigma\nu|i}$ are the torsion coefficients of the normal frame n_1, n_2 . It is easy to see that the non-zero Christoffel symbols of the metric form (1) are $\Gamma_{12}^2 = \Gamma_{13}^3 = -1$, $\Gamma_{22}^1 = \Gamma_{33}^1 = e^{-2u_1}$.

By differentiating (2) with the help of Gauss-Weingarten equations, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial u_1} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_1} + b_{11}^1 n_1 + b_{11}^2 n_2, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial u_2} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_2} + b_{12}^1 n_1 + b_{12}^2 n_2, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial u_3} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_3} + b_{13}^1 n_1 + b_{13}^2 n_2. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, the defining condition (3) rewrites as follows:

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} b_{12}^1 & b_{12}^2 \\ b_{13}^1 & b_{13}^2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 1. \quad (7)$$

Clearly, the relation (7) means that the normal vector fields $b_{12}^1 n_1 + b_{12}^2 n_2$ and $b_{13}^1 n_1 + b_{13}^2 n_2$ are mutually collinear and determine a well-defined one-dimensional distribution in the normal bundle NF^3 . Without loss of generality, we will specify the choice of the orthonormal frame n_1, n_2 on F^3 so that the distribution above is directed along n_2 , and hence we have

$$b_{12}^1 \equiv 0, \quad b_{13}^1 \equiv 0, \quad (8)$$

$$(b_{12}^2)^2 + (b_{13}^2)^2 \neq 0 \quad (9)$$

Thus, by specifying the normal vectors, we may replace (7) by (8)-(9).

From the geometric point of view, the relations (8)-(9) mean that at every point in F^3 the tangent vector $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u_1}$ represents a principal direction of the second fundamental form of F^3 with respect to the normal vector n_1 , whereas it does not represent principal directions of the second fundamental form of F^3 with respect to n_2 . Notice that this geometric property can be used as an alternative way to define tree-dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^5$ which admit Bianchi transformations degenerate of rank 2.

2. Holonomically degenerate Bianchi transformations of rank two

In view of (8), we rewrite (5)-(6) as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial u_2} = b_{12}^2 n_2,$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial u_3} = b_{13}^2 n_2.$$

Hence, we have:

$$-b_{13}^2 \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial u_2} + b_{12}^2 \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial u_3} = 0.$$

This means that the tangent vector field $-b_{13}^2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_2} + b_{12}^2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_3}$ on F^3 spans a well-defined one-dimensional distribution in the tangent bundle TF^3 which vanishes under the Bianchi transformation. The distribution can be treated as *the kernel* of the Bianchi transformation. Its integral trajectories in F^3 are called *the null-curves* of the Bianchi transformation.

Clearly, the vector field $-b_{13}^2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_2} + b_{12}^2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_3}$ is tangent to the coordinate horospheres $u_1 = \text{const}$ and, consequently its integral trajectories, the null-curves of the degenerate Bianchi transformation, belong to the coordinate horospheres $u_1 = \text{const}$.

It is natural to consider the situation where both the null curves and the u_1 -coordinate curves can be incorporated into a three-orthogonal coordinate system on F^3 .

Namely, together with the vector fields $\xi_1 = \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_1}$ and $\xi_3 = -b_{13}^2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_2} + b_{12}^2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_3}$, consider the third vector field $\xi_2 = b_{12}^2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_2} + b_{13}^2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_3}$ on F^3 . Taking into account (1), it is easy to see that ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 are mutually orthogonal.

By definition, the Bianchi transformation is *holonomically degenerate*, if the Lie brackets of ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 satisfy

$$[\xi_i, \xi_j] \in \text{span}(\xi_i, \xi_j), \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 3,$$

and hence there exists locally an orthogonal coordinate system, (v_1, v_2, v_3) , on F^3 such that ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 are tangent to the coordinate curves. By calculating the Lie brackets in question, it is easy to verify that the following statement holds true.

Proposition 2.1. *The Bianchi transformation is holonomically degenerate if and only if*

$$\frac{\partial b_{12}^2}{\partial u_1} b_{13}^2 - \frac{\partial b_{13}^2}{\partial u_1} b_{12}^2 = 0, \quad (10)$$

i.e., if the ratio $\frac{b_{13}^2}{b_{12}^2}$ does not depend on u_1 .

Geometrically, (10) means that null-curves situated in different coordinate horospheres $u_1 = \text{const}$ are mapped to each other by translations along u^1 -coordinate curves in F^3 .

Now let us discuss in more details how the coordinate system (v_1, v_2, v_3) is related to the original coordinates (u_1, u_2, u_3) . By definition of (v_1, v_2, v_3) , we have:

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial v_1} = A \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_1}, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial v_2} = B \left(-b_{13}^2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_2} + b_{12}^2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_3} \right), \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial v_3} = C \left(b_{12}^2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_2} + b_{13}^2 \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_3} \right), \quad (13)$$

where A, B, C some functions.

These equations rewrite as follows

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial v_1} = A, \quad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial v_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial v_1} = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial v_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial v_2} = -B b_{13}^2, \quad \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial v_2} = B b_{12}^2, \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial v_3} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial v_3} = C b_{12}^2, \quad \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial v_3} = C b_{13}^2. \quad (16)$$

The equations for $u_1(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ in (14)-(16) mean that $A = A(v_1)$. Hence, without loss of generality, we can set $u_1 = v_1$.

Next, it follows from (14), that u_2 and u_3 don't depend on v_1 . Moreover, the compatibility of equations for $u_2(v_2, v_3)$ and $u_3(v_2, v_3)$ in (14)-(16) leads to the following relations for the functions B and C :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1} (B b_{12}^2) &= 0, & \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1} (B b_{13}^2) &= 0; \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1} (C b_{12}^2) &= 0, & \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1} (C b_{13}^2) &= 0; \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial v_3} (B b_{13}^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_2} (C b_{12}^2) &= 0, & \frac{\partial}{\partial v_3} (B b_{12}^2) - \frac{\partial}{\partial v_2} (B b_{13}^2) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of non-vanishing solutions B, C to this system is procured by the relation (10).

Let analyze how the fundamental forms of F^3 change if we replace (u_1, u_2, u_3) by (v_1, v_2, v_3) .

The first fundamental form (1) of F^3 is written in new coordinates (v_1, v_2, v_3) as follows, due to (11)-(13):

$$ds^2 = dv_1^2 + e^{-2v_1} ((b_{12}^2)^2 + (b_{13}^2)^2) (B^2 dv_2^2 + C^2 dv_3^2).$$

It is easy to see in view of (14)-(15) that neither $((b_{12}^2)^2 + (b_{13}^2)^2) B^2$ nor $((b_{12}^2)^2 + (b_{13}^2)^2) C^2$ depend on v_1 . Therefore the metric form of F^3 reads as follows:

$$ds^2 = dv_1^2 + e^{-2v_1} (a_{22} dv_2^2 + a_{33} dv_3^2), \quad (17)$$

where $a_{22} = a_{22}(v_2, v_3)$ and $a_{33} = a_{33}(v_2, v_3)$ are some functions. Clearly, $a_{22}dv_2^2 + a_{33}dv_3^2$ is the metric form of the coordinate horospheres $v_1 = \text{const}$. It is Euclidean and this impose some additional constraints on $a_{22}(v_2, v_3)$ and $a_{33}(v_2, v_3)$.

As for the coefficients of the second fundamental forms of F^3 in new coordinates (v_1, v_2, v_3) , which will be denoted by b_{ij}^σ , it is easy to verify that they still satisfy conditions similar to (8),

$$b_{12}^1 \equiv 0, \quad b_{13}^1 \equiv 0. \quad (18)$$

Besides, we get

$$b_{13}^2 \equiv 0 \quad (19)$$

due to (11)-(13), whereas

$$b_{12}^2 \neq 0 \quad (20)$$

in view of (9).

Moreover, recall that n -dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifolds in $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space have flat normal connection, for $n = 3$ as well as for any other $n \geq 2$, see [1], [5]-[6], [14]. Since $F^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ has flat normal connection, then the torsion coefficients $\mu_{\sigma\nu|j} = \langle \frac{\partial n_\sigma}{\partial v_j}, n_\nu \rangle$ can be written as follows:

$$\mu_{12|j} = -\mu_{21|j} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3, \quad (21)$$

where $\varphi = \varphi(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ is some function. Indeed, since the normal connection is flat, one can equip F^3 with an orthonormal normal frame n_1^*, n_2^* which is parallel translated in the normal bundle NF^3 . The normal frame n_1, n_2 on F^3 specified above is obtained by rotating n_1^*, n_2^* , i.e., $n_1 = \cos \varphi n_1^* + \sin \varphi n_2^*$, $n_2 = -\sin \varphi n_1^* + \cos \varphi n_2^*$. Then one can easily get (21) by calculating the torsion coefficients and taking into account that the frame n_1^*, n_2^* is parallel translated in NF^3 .

3. Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations for F^3 with holonomically degenerate Bianchi transformation

The coefficients of the fundamental forms of $F^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ satisfy the well-known system of Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations [1], [8]:

$$R_{ijkl} = b_{ik}^1 b_{jl}^1 - b_{il}^1 b_{jk}^1 + b_{ik}^2 b_{jl}^2 - b_{il}^2 b_{jk}^2; \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_{ij}^\sigma}{\partial v_k} + \sum_s \Gamma_{ij}^s b_{sk}^\sigma + \sum_\nu \mu_{\nu\sigma|k} b_{ij}^\nu = \frac{\partial b_{ik}^\sigma}{\partial v_j} + \sum_s \Gamma_{ik}^s b_{sj}^\sigma + \sum_\nu \mu_{\nu\sigma|j} b_{ik}^\nu; \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{\sigma\nu|i}}{\partial v_j} - \sum_{s,l} b_{is}^\sigma g^{sl} b_{jl}^\nu + \sum_\alpha \mu_{\sigma\alpha|i} \mu_{\alpha\nu|j} = \frac{\partial \mu_{\sigma\nu|j}}{\partial v_i} - \sum_{s,l} b_{js}^\sigma g^{sl} b_{il}^\nu + \sum_\alpha \mu_{\sigma\alpha|j} \mu_{\alpha\nu|i},$$

where R_{ijkl} are coefficients of the Riemannian curvature tensor of F^3 .

Since $F^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ has flat normal connection, then the last group of equations (Ricci equations) rewrite in a simpler form,

$$\sum_{s,l} b_{is}^\sigma g^{sl} b_{jl}^\nu = \sum_{s,l} b_{js}^\sigma g^{sl} b_{il}^\nu. \quad (24)$$

Let us discuss how the specific expression (17) for the metric form of F^3 , the constraints (18)-(20) on the coefficients of the second fundamental forms of F^3 , and the particular representation (21) of the torsion coefficients of F^3 affect the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations (22)-(24). Proceeding step by step, we will solve these equations and find coefficients of the fundamental forms of F^3 .

Ricci equation (24) with $i = 1, j = 3, \sigma = 1, \nu = 2$ is

$$\frac{e^{2v_1}}{a_{22}} b_{32}^1 b_{12}^2 = 0,$$

hence we get

$$b_{23}^1 \equiv 0.$$

Gauss equation (22) with $i = 1, j = k = 2, l = 3$ is

$$\frac{e^{2v_1}}{a_{33}} b_{12}^2 b_{23}^2 = 0,$$

hence we get

$$b_{23}^2 \equiv 0.$$

Gauss equation (22) with $i = 1, j = l = 2, k = 3$ is

$$\frac{e^{2v_1}}{a_{33}} b_{12}^2 b_{33}^2 = 0,$$

hence we get

$$b_{33}^2 \equiv 0.$$

Consequently, the v_3 -coordinate curves, that are the null curves of the Bianchi transformation, turn out to be lines of curvatures of F^3 . Besides, these curves are asymptotic lines on F^3 with respect to n_2 .

Next, consider Codazzi equation (23) with $i = 1, j = 3, k = 2, \sigma = 2$, which rewrites as follows:

$$\frac{1}{a_{22}} \frac{\partial a_{22}}{\partial v_3} b_{12}^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, we have $\frac{\partial a_{22}}{\partial v_3} = 0$, i.e., a_{22} depends only on v_2 . Without loss of generality, by applying a scaling $v_2 \rightarrow \tilde{v}_2(v_2)$ if necessary, we can set

$$a_{22} \equiv 1.$$

Codazzi equation (23) with $i = 1, j = 2, k = 3, \sigma = 1$ gives us

$$b_{12}^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v_3} = 0,$$

hence we obtain

$$\mu_{12|3} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v_3} \equiv 0. \tag{25}$$

Codazzi equation (23) with $i = 1, j = 3, k = 2, \sigma = 2$ reads

$$\frac{\partial b_{12}^2}{\partial v_3} = 0,$$

hence we have

$$b_{12}^2 = b_{12}^2(v_1, v_2)$$

Similarly, from Codazzi equation (23) with $i = j = 1, k = 3, \sigma = 1$ we get

$$b_{11}^1 = b_{11}^1(v_1, v_2),$$

from Codazzi equation (23) with $i = j = 1, k = 3, \sigma = 2$ we get

$$b_{11}^2 = b_{11}^2(v_1, v_2),$$

from Codazzi equation (23) with $i = 2, j = 3, k = 2, \sigma = 1$ we get

$$b_{22}^1 = b_{22}^1(v_1, v_2),$$

and from Codazzi equation (23) with $i = 2, j = 3, k = 2, \sigma = 2$ we get

$$b_{22}^2 = b_{22}^2(v_1, v_2).$$

Ricci equation (24) with $i = 1, j = 2, \sigma = 1, \nu = 2$ is rewritten as follows:

$$b_{12}^2 (b_{22}^1 e^{2v_1} - b_{11}^1) = 0,$$

hence we have

$$b_{22}^1 = e^{-2v_1} b_{11}^1.$$

Gauss equation (22) with $i = k = 1, j = l = 3$ is

$$b_{11}^1 b_{33}^1 + a_{33} e^{-2v_1} = 0,$$

hence we get

$$b_{33}^1 = -e^{-2v_1} \frac{a_{33}}{b_{11}^1},$$

since b_{11}^1 can not vanish.

Now consider Codazzi equation (23) with $i = 1, j = k = 3, \sigma = 1$, which reads

$$\frac{\partial b_{11}^1}{\partial v_1} + b_{11}^1 + (b_{11}^1)^3 = 0.$$

Solving this differential equation, we obtain:

$$b_{11}^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2v_1} f(v_2) - 1}}, \quad (26)$$

where $f(v_2)$ is a positive function depending only on v_2 .

In order to determine $f(v_2)$, consider Codazzi equation (23) with $i = 2, j = k = 3, \sigma = 1$, which is rewritten as follows:

$$a_{33} \frac{df}{dv_2} + \frac{\partial a_{33}}{\partial v_2} f = 0. \quad (27)$$

At this step of our discussion we have to take into account the coefficient a_{33} . Recall that the metric form $a_{22} dv_2^2 + a_{33} dv_3^2$ is Euclidean as the first fundamental form of the coordinate horospheres $v_1 = \text{const}$. Since $a_{22} \equiv 1$, it is easy to verify that there are only two possibilities for a_{33} : either $a_{33} = a_{33}(v_3)$ and hence we can set $a_{33} \equiv 1$ by applying a scaling $v_3 \rightarrow \tilde{v}_3(v_3)$ if necessary, or $a_{33} = (v_2 c_1(v_3) + c(v_3))^2$, where $c_1(v_3) \neq 0$, and hence we can set $a_{33} = (v_2 + c(v_3))^2$ by applying a scaling $v_3 \rightarrow \tilde{v}_3(v_3)$ if necessary. Consider two cases separately.

Case 1. Let $a_{33} \equiv 1$. Then the equation (27) yields $f(v_2) \equiv \text{const}$, and we can set $f(v_2) \equiv 1$ by applying a shift $v_1 \rightarrow v_1 + \text{const}$ if necessary.

Next, in this case from the Codazzi equations with $i = j = 3, k = 1, \sigma = 2$ and with $i = 2, j = k = 3$, respectively, one can find

$$\mu_{12|1} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2v_1} - 1}} b_{11}^2, \quad (28)$$

$$\mu_{12|2} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2v_1} - 1}} b_{12}^2. \quad (29)$$

Finally, the remaining equations from the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci system reduce to the following three equations:

$$b_{11}^2 b_{22}^2 - (b_{12}^2)^2 = -\frac{1}{e^{2v_1} - 1}, \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_{11}^2}{\partial v_2} - \frac{\partial b_{12}^2}{\partial v_1} + b_{12}^2 \frac{e^{2v_1}}{e^{2v_1} - 1} = 0, \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_{12}^2}{\partial v_2} - \frac{\partial b_{22}^2}{\partial v_1} - b_{11}^2 \frac{1}{e^{2v_1} - 1} - b_{22}^2 = 0. \quad (32)$$

Thus, we obtain that the first fundamental form of F^3 is

$$ds^2 = dv_1^2 + e^{-2v_1} (dv_2^2 + dv_3^2),$$

the second fundamental form of F^3 with respect to n_1 is

$$b^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2v_1} - 1}} dv_1^2 + \frac{e^{-2v_1}}{\sqrt{e^{2v_1} - 1}} dv_2^2 - e^{-2v_1} \sqrt{e^{2v_1} - 1} dv_3^2,$$

the second fundamental form of F^3 with respect to n_2 is

$$b^2 = b_{11}^2 dv_1^2 + 2b_{12}^2 dv_1 dv_2 + b_{22}^2 dv_2^2,$$

and the torsion coefficients are expressed by (25), (28)-(29), where $b_{11}^2(v_1, v_2)$, $b_{12}^2(v_1, v_2)$, $b_{22}^2(v_1, v_2)$ are some functions satisfying the relations (30)-(32).

Case 2. Let $a_{33} = (v_2 + c(v_3))^2$. Then the equation (27) rewrites as follows:

$$(v_2 + c(v_3)) \frac{df}{dv_2} + 2f = 0. \quad (33)$$

If $c(v_3)$ is not constant, then the only solution of (33) is $f(v_2) = 0$, which contradicts to the positiveness of $f(v_2)$ required in (26). Hence $c(v_3)$ has to be constant, $c(v_3) = c_0$. By applying a shift $v_2 \rightarrow v_2 + c_0$ if necessary, we can set $c_0 = 0$, and therefore we have $a_{33} = (v_2)^2$. By solving (33), it is easy to get $f = \frac{f_0}{(v_2)^2}$, where f_0 is a positive constant.

Next, in this case from the Codazzi equations with $i = j = 3$, $k = 1$, $\sigma = 2$ and with $i = 2$, $j = k = 3$ one can find

$$\mu_{12|1} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v_1} = \frac{v_2 b_{11}^2 - e^{2v_1} b_{12}^2}{\sqrt{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2}}, \quad (34)$$

$$\mu_{12|2} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v_2} = \frac{v_2 b_{12}^2 - e^{2v_1} b_{22}^2}{\sqrt{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2}}. \quad (35)$$

Finally, the remaining equations from the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci system reduce to the following three equations:

$$b_{11}^2 b_{22}^2 - (b_{12}^2)^2 = -\frac{f_0}{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2}, \quad (36)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_{11}^2}{\partial v_2} - \frac{\partial b_{12}^2}{\partial v_1} + (f_0 b_{12}^2 - v_2 b_{22}^2) \frac{e^{2v_1}}{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2} = 0, \quad (37)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_{12}^2}{\partial v_2} - \frac{\partial b_{22}^2}{\partial v_1} + (v_2 b_{12}^2 - f_0 b_{11}^2) \frac{1}{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2} - b_{22}^2 = 0. \quad (38)$$

Thus, we obtain that the first fundamental form of F^3 is

$$ds^2 = dv_1^2 + e^{-2v_1} (dv_2^2 + v_2^2 dv_3^2),$$

the second fundamental form of F^3 with respect to n_1 is

$$b^1 = \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2}} dv_1^2 + e^{-2v_1} \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2}} dv_2^2 - e^{-2v_1} v_2 \sqrt{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2} dv_3^2,$$

the second fundamental form of F^3 with respect to n_2 is

$$b^2 = b_{11}^2 dv_1^2 + 2b_{12}^2 dv_1 dv_2 + b_{22}^2 dv_2^2,$$

and the torsion coefficients are expressed by (25), (34)-(35), where $b_{11}^2(v_1, v_2)$, $b_{12}^2(v_1, v_2)$, $b_{22}^2(v_1, v_2)$ are some functions which satisfy the relations (36)-(38).

4. Example 1

In this section we will construct a particular three-dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^5$ whose Bianchi transformation is degenerate of rank 2 and leads to a two-dimensional surface.

Let $\bar{F}^2$ be a two-dimensional surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ represented by a vector-function $\bar{x}(v_1, v_2)$ so that the first fundamental form of $\bar{F}^2$ reads

$$d\bar{x}^2 = (1 - e^{-2v_1}) dv_1^2 + e^{-2v_1} dv_2^2. \quad (39)$$

Suppose the second fundamental form $\bar{b} = \bar{b}_{11} dv_1^2 + 2\bar{b}_{12} dv_1 dv_2 + \bar{b}_{22} dv_2^2$ of $\bar{F}^2$ is not diagonalized,

$$\bar{b}_{12} \neq 0. \quad (40)$$

The surface $\bar{F}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ being given, consider a three-dimensional submanifold F^3 in $\mathbb{R}^5$ represented by the vector-function

$$x(v_1, v_2, v_3) = (\bar{x}(v_1, v_2), e^{-v_1} \cos v_3, e^{-v_1} \sin v_3). \quad (41)$$

Because of (39), the first fundamental form of F^3 reads

$$dx^2 = dv_1^2 + e^{-2v_1} (dv_2^2 + dv_3^2). \quad (42)$$

Therefore, the submanifold F^3 is pseudo-spherical, its Gauss curvature is equal to -1, and (v_1, v_2, v_3) are horospherical coordinates on F^3 .

Proposition 4.1. *The Bianchi transformation of $F^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ corresponding to the choice of horospherical coordinates is degenerate and transforms F^3 to a two-dimensional surface $\tilde{F}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ with the following properties:*

- (i) $\tilde{F}^2$ belongs to a three-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{R}^3$ of $\mathbb{R}^5$;
- (ii) $\tilde{F}^2$ is pseudo-spherical, its Gauss curvature is equal to -1.

Proof. Applying the Bianchi transformation (2) to the vector-function (41), it is easy to get

$$\tilde{x} = \left(\bar{x} + \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1}, 0, 0 \right). \quad (43)$$

Clearly, the vector-function $\tilde{x}$ depends only on v_1, v_2 and takes values in the subspace $\mathbb{R}^3$ of $\mathbb{R}^5$ determined by $x_4 = 0, x_5 = 0$.

Differentiate (43) and apply the Weingarten equations corresponding to $\bar{F}^2$. Then we have:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_1} = (1 + \bar{\Gamma}_{11}^1) \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1} + \bar{\Gamma}_{11}^2 \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_2} + \bar{b}_{11} \bar{n} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2v_1}} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1} + \bar{b}_{11} \bar{n}, \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2} = \bar{\Gamma}_{12}^1 \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1} + (1 + \bar{\Gamma}_{12}^2) \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_2} + \bar{b}_{12} \bar{n} = \bar{b}_{12} \bar{n}, \quad (45)$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}_{11}^1 = \frac{e^{-2v_1}}{1 - e^{-2v_1}}$, $\bar{\Gamma}_{11}^2 = 0$, $\bar{\Gamma}_{12}^1 = 0$, $\bar{\Gamma}_{12}^2 = -1$ are the Christoffel symbols and $\bar{n}$ is the unit normal of $\bar{F}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Taking into account (40), we see that $\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_1}$ and $\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2}$ are non-collinear.

Thus, $\tilde{x}(v_1, v_2)$ given by (43) represents a two-dimensional surface, $\tilde{F}^2$, in the three-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$.

To finish the proof, let us find the Gauss curvature $\tilde{K}$ of $\tilde{F}^2$. It is easy to verify in view of (44)-(45) that $\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2}$ is orthogonal to $\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_1}$ and $\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2}$ because of (39). Hence the unit normal to $\tilde{F}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is written as follows:

$$\tilde{n} = e^{v_1} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2}. \quad (46)$$

Differentiate (46) with the help of Weingarten equations for $\bar{F}^2$, and express $\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_1}$, $\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2}$, $\tilde{n}$ in terms of $\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1}$, $\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_2}$, $\bar{n}$ by using (44)-(46). Then we get:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{n}}{\partial v_1} = e^{v_1} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2}, \quad (47)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{n}}{\partial v_2} = e^{-v_1} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_1} + \frac{e^{v_1} \bar{b}_{22} - e^{-v_1} \bar{b}_{11}}{\bar{b}_{12}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2}. \quad (48)$$

Viewing (47)-(48) as Weingarten equations for $\tilde{F}^2$, the shape operator of $\tilde{F}^2$ is given by

$$\tilde{W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{v_1} \\ e^{-v_1} & \frac{e^{v_1} \bar{b}_{22} - e^{-v_1} \bar{b}_{11}}{\bar{b}_{12}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The determinant of $\tilde{W}$, which is just the Gauss curvature of $\tilde{F}^2$, is equal to -1 , q.e.d.

Remark 4.2. Notice that the Gauss curvature of the Riemannian metric (39) is equal to $-\frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2v_1})^2}$ and therefore it is negative. The classical theory of isometric immersions guarantees the existence of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ whose first fundamental forms coincide with (39). Besides, in the general case the assumption (40) is fulfilled too. Thus, two-dimensional surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying both (39) and (40) do exist and hence generate three-dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^5$ with degenerate Bianchi transformations of rank 2 as discussed above.

Remark 4.3. Notice that the horospherical coordinates (v_1, v_2, v_3) on the submanifold F^3 are subject to the constraint $v_1 > 0$ which assures the positiveness of (39). Therefore, F^3 represents a domain in a horoball of the hyperbolic space isometrically immersed into $\mathbb{R}^5$.

Let us calculate the fundamental forms of the submanifold $F^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ represented by (43). The vectors tangent to F^3 are

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial v_1} = \left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1}, -e^{-v_1} \cos v_3, -e^{-v_1} \sin v_3 \right),$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial v_2} = \left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_2}, 0, 0 \right),$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial v_3} = (0, -e^{-v_1} \sin v_3, e^{-v_1} \cos v_3).$$

Taking into account (39), it is easy to demonstrate that the vectors

$$n_1 = \left(\frac{e^{-v_1}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-v_1}}} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1}, \sqrt{1-e^{-v_1}} \cos v_3, \sqrt{1-e^{-v_1}} \sin v_3 \right),$$

$$n_2 = (\bar{n}, 0, 0)$$

form an orthonormal frame in the normal plane of F^3 .

Consequently, the second fundamental forms of F^3 with respect to n_1 and n_2 read as follows:

$$b^1 = \frac{e^{-v_1}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-2v_1}}} dv_1^2 + \frac{e^{-3v_1}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-2v_1}}} dv_2^2 - e^{-v_1} \sqrt{1-e^{-2v_1}} dv_3^2,$$

$$b^2 = \bar{b}_{11} dv_1^2 + 2\bar{b}_{12} dv_1 dv_2 + \bar{b}_{22} dv_2^2.$$

Moreover, the torsion coefficients of F^3 , which are $\mu_{12|j} = \langle \frac{\partial n_1}{\partial v_j}, n_2 \rangle = -\langle n_1, \frac{\partial n_2}{\partial v_j} \rangle$ by definition, are expressed as follows

$$\mu_{12|1} = \frac{e^{-v_1}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-2v_1}}} \bar{b}_{11}, \quad \mu_{12|2} = \frac{e^{-v_1}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-2v_1}}} \bar{b}_{12}, \quad \mu_{12|3} = 0.$$

The Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations for the submanifold $F^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ reduce to the following system of equations:

$$\bar{b}_{11} \bar{b}_{22} - \bar{b}_{12}^2 = -\frac{e^{-2v_1}}{1-e^{-2v_1}},$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{b}_{11}}{\partial v_2} - \frac{\partial \bar{b}_{12}}{\partial v_1} + \frac{1}{1-e^{-2v_1}} \bar{b}_{12} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{b}_{12}}{\partial v_2} - \frac{\partial \bar{b}_{22}}{\partial v_1} - \frac{e^{-2v_1}}{1-e^{-2v_1}} \bar{b}_{11} - \bar{b}_{22} = 0.$$

These equations are written in terms of $\bar{b}_{11}$, $\bar{b}_{12}$, $\bar{b}_{22}$, and they are just the Gauss-Codazzi equations for the surface $\bar{F}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

5. Example 2

In this section we will construct another kind of particular three-dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^5$ whose Bianchi transformations are degenerate of rank 2 and lead to two-dimensional surfaces.

Let $\bar{F}^2$ be a two-dimensional surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ represented by a vector-function $\bar{x}(v_1, v_2)$ so that the first fundamental form of $\bar{F}^2$ reads

$$d\bar{x}^2 = (1 - e^{-2v_1} \frac{v_2^2}{a^2}) dv_1^2 + 2e^{-2v_1} \frac{v_2}{a^2} dv_1 dv_2 + e^{-2v_1} (1 - \frac{1}{a^2}) dv_2^2, \quad (49)$$

where $a > 1$ is an arbitrary constant.

Moreover, suppose the second fundamental form $\bar{b} = \bar{b}_{11} dv_1^2 + 2\bar{b}_{12} dv_1 dv_2 + \bar{b}_{22} dv_2^2$ of $\bar{F}^2$ is not diagonalized,

$$\bar{b}_{12} \neq 0. \quad (50)$$

The surface $\bar{F}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ being given, consider a three-dimensional submanifold F^3 in $\mathbb{R}^5$ represented by the vector-function

$$x(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \left(\bar{x}(v_1, v_2), \frac{1}{a}e^{-v_1}v_2 \cos av_3, \frac{1}{a}e^{-v_1}v_2 \sin av_3 \right). \quad (51)$$

Because of (49), the first fundamental form of F^3 reads

$$dx^2 = dv_1^2 + e^{-2v_1}(dv_2^2 + v_2^2 dv_3^2). \quad (52)$$

If we introduce the coordinates $u_1 = v_1$, $u_2 = v_2 \cos v_3$, $u_3 = v_2 \sin v_3$, then we get $dx^2 = du_1^2 + e^{-2u_1}(du_2^2 + du_3^2)$. Therefore, the submanifold F^3 is pseudo-spherical, its Gauss curvature is equal to -1, and (u_1, u_2, u_3) are horospherical coordinates on F^3 . The coordinates (v_1, v_2, v_3) can be referred to as polar horospherical, since (v_2, v_3) represent polar coordinates on each horosphere $v_1 = \text{const}$, whereas (u_2, u_3) are Cartesian coordinates.

Proposition 5.1. *The Bianchi transformation of $F^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ corresponding to the choice of horospherical coordinates is degenerate and transforms F^3 to a two-dimensional surface $\tilde{F}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ with the following properties:*

- (i) $\tilde{F}^2$ belongs to a three-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{R}^3$ of $\mathbb{R}^5$;
- (ii) $\tilde{F}^2$ is pseudo-spherical, its Gauss curvature is equal to $-\frac{a^2}{a^2 - 1}$.

Proof. Applying the Bianchi transformation (2) to the vector-function (51), it is easy to get

$$\tilde{x} = \left(\bar{x} + \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1}, 0, 0 \right). \quad (53)$$

Hence, the vector-function $\tilde{x}$ depends only on v_1, v_2 and takes values in the subspace $\mathbb{R}^3$ of $\mathbb{R}^5$ determined by $x_4 = 0, x_5 = 0$.

Differentiate (53) and apply the Weingarten equations corresponding to $\bar{F}^2$. Then we have:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_1} = (1 + \bar{\Gamma}_{11}^1) \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1} + \bar{\Gamma}_{11}^2 \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_2} + \bar{b}_{11} \bar{n} = \frac{a^2 - 1}{a^2 - 1 - v_2^2 e^{-2v_1}} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1} - \frac{v_2}{a^2 - 1 - v_2^2 e^{-2v_1}} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_2} + \bar{b}_{11} \bar{n}, \quad (54)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2} = \bar{\Gamma}_{12}^1 \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1} + (1 + \bar{\Gamma}_{12}^2) \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_2} + \bar{b}_{12} \bar{n} = \bar{b}_{12} \bar{n}, \quad (55)$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}_{11}^1 = \frac{v_2^2 e^{-2v_1}}{a^2 - 1 - v_2^2 e^{-2v_1}}$, $\bar{\Gamma}_{11}^2 = -\frac{v_2}{a^2 - 1 - v_2^2 e^{-2v_1}}$, $\bar{\Gamma}_{12}^1 = 0$, $\bar{\Gamma}_{12}^2 = -1$ are the Christoffel symbols and $\bar{n}$ is the unit normal of $\bar{F}^2$. Taking into account (50), we see that $\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2}$ and $\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_1}$ are non-collinear.

Thus, $\tilde{x}(v_1, v_2)$ given by (53) represents a two-dimensional surface, $\tilde{F}^2$, in the three-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$.

To finish the proof, let us find the Gauss curvature $\tilde{K}$ of $\tilde{F}^2$. It is easy to verify in view of (49), (54)-(55) that the unit normal to $\tilde{F}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is written as follows:

$$\tilde{n} = \frac{e^{v_1}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{a^2}}} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_2}. \quad (56)$$

Differentiate (56), apply the Weingarten equations of $\bar{F}^2$ and express $\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1}$, $\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_2}$, $\bar{n}$ in terms of $\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_1}$, $\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2}$, $\tilde{n}$ by using (54)-(56). Then we get:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{n}}{\partial v_1} = \frac{e^{v_1}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{a^2}}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2}, \quad (57)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{n}}{\partial v_2} = \frac{e^{-v_1}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{a^2}}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_1} + \frac{e^{v_1} \bar{b}_{22} - e^{-v_1} \bar{b}_{11}}{\bar{b}_{12} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{a^2}}} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial v_2}. \quad (58)$$

Viewing (57)-(58) as Weingarten equations for $\tilde{F}^2$, the shape operator of $\tilde{F}^2$ is given by

$$\tilde{W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{e^{v_1}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{a^2}}} \\ \frac{e^{-v_1}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{a^2}}} & \frac{e^{v_1} \bar{b}_{22} - e^{-v_1} \bar{b}_{11}}{\bar{b}_{12} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{a^2}}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The determinant of $\tilde{W}$, which is just the Gauss curvature of $\tilde{F}^2$, is equal to $-\frac{a^2}{a^2 - 1}$, q.e.d.

Remark 5.2. Notice that the Gauss curvature of the Riemannian metric (49) is equal to $-\frac{a^2(a^2-1)}{(a^2-1-v_2^2 e^{-2v_1})^2}$ and therefore it is negative. The classical theory of isometric immersions guarantees the existence of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ whose first fundamental forms coincide with (49). Besides, in the general case the assumption (50) is fulfilled too. Thus, two-dimensional surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying both (49) and (50) do exist and hence generate three-dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^5$ with degenerate Bianchi transformations of rank 2 as discussed above.

Remark 5.3. The polar horospherical coordinates (v_1, v_2, v_3) are subject to the constraint $v_2 e^{-v_1} < \sqrt{a^2 - 1}$, which assures the positiveness of (49). Therefore, F^3 in question represents a specific cone-like domain of the hyperbolic space isometrically immersed into $\mathbb{R}^5$.

Let us calculate the fundamental forms of the submanifold $F^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ represented by (53). The vectors tangent to F^3 are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v_1} &= \left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1}, -\frac{1}{a} e^{-v_1} v_2 \cos av_3, -\frac{1}{a} e^{-v_1} v_2 \sin av_3 \right), \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial v_2} &= \left(\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_2}, \frac{1}{a} e^{-v_1} \cos av_3, \frac{1}{a} e^{-v_1} \sin av_3 \right), \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial v_3} &= (0, -e^{-v_1} v_2 \sin av_3, e^{-v_1} v_2 \cos av_3). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (49), it is easy to demonstrate that the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - 1 - e^{-2v_1} v_2^2}}{a} \left(\frac{a}{a^2 - 1 - e^{-2v_1} v_2^2} (e^{-v_1} v_2 \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_1} - e^{v_1} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial v_2}), \cos av_3, \sin av_3 \right), \\ n_2 &= (\bar{n}, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

form an orthonormal frame in the normal plane of F^3 .

Consequently, the second fundamental forms of F^3 with respect to n_1 and n_2 read as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} b^1 &= \frac{e^{-v_1} v_2}{\sqrt{a^2 - 1 - e^{-2v_1} v_2^2}} dv_1^2 + \frac{e^{-3v_1} v_2}{\sqrt{a^2 - 1 - e^{-2v_1} v_2^2}} dv_2^2 - e^{-v_1} v_2 \sqrt{a^2 - 1 - e^{-2v_1} v_2^2} dv_3^2, \\ b^2 &= \bar{b}_{11} dv_1^2 + 2\bar{b}_{12} dv_1 dv_2 + \bar{b}_{22} dv_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the torsion coefficients of F^3 are expressed as follows:

$$\mu_{12|1} = \frac{e^{-v_1} v_2 \bar{b}_{11} - e^{v_1} \bar{b}_{12}}{\sqrt{a^2 - 1 - e^{-2v_1} v_2^2}}, \quad \mu_{12|2} = \frac{e^{-v_1} v_2 \bar{b}_{12} - e^{v_1} \bar{b}_{22}}{\sqrt{a^2 - 1 - e^{-2v_1} v_2^2}}, \quad \mu_{12|3} = 0.$$

The Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations for the submanifold $F^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ reduce to the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{b}_{11}\bar{b}_{22} - \bar{b}_{12}^2 &= -\frac{(a^2 - 1)e^{-2v_1}}{a^2 - 1 - v_2^2 e^{-2v_1}}, \\ \frac{\partial \bar{b}_{11}}{\partial v_2} - \frac{\partial \bar{b}_{12}}{\partial v_1} + \frac{1}{a^2 - 1 - v_2^2 e^{-2v_1}} ((a^2 - 1)\bar{b}_{12} - v_2 \bar{b}_{22}) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial \bar{b}_{12}}{\partial v_2} - \frac{\partial \bar{b}_{22}}{\partial v_1} - \frac{e^{-2v_1}}{a^2 - 1 - v_2^2 e^{-2v_1}} ((a^2 - 1)\bar{b}_{11} - v_2 \bar{b}_{12}) - \bar{b}_{22} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

These equations are written in terms of $\bar{b}_{11}$, $\bar{b}_{12}$, $\bar{b}_{22}$, and they are just the Gauss-Codazzi equations for the surface $\bar{F}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Remark 5.4. Since the parameter $a \in (1, +\infty)$ can be fixed arbitrarily, we constructed not a single submanifold but a one-parameter family of specific three-dimensional pseudo-spherical submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^5$ with degenerate Bianchi transformations of rank 2. The dependence on a is essential and can not be destroyed by scalings of coordinates, because the Gauss curvature $\tilde{K}$ of the resulting surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is a strongly increasing function of a . Notice also that $\tilde{K}$ is less than -1 , and it tends to -1 as $a \rightarrow +\infty$.

6. Proof of Theorem

To complete the proof of our main Theorem, we will use the uniqueness part of the generalized fundamental theorem (Bonnet theorem) which claims the following: if two n -dimensional submanifolds in the $(n + m)$ -dimensional Euclidean space have the same first fundamental form, second fundamental forms and torsion coefficients, then these submanifolds coincide up to a rigid motion in the ambient space, c.f. [15].

In Sections 2-3 it was demonstrated that if a pseudo-spherical submanifold F^3 in $\mathbb{R}^5$ admits a holonomically degenerate Bianchi transformation of rank 2, then it can be parameterized by specific coordinates (v_1, v_2, v_3) and equipped with a specific normal frame n_1, n_2 so that either its fundamental forms are

$$\begin{aligned}ds^2 &= dv_1^2 + e^{-2v_1} (dv_2^2 + dv_3^2), \\ b^1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{2v_1} - 1}} dv_1^2 + \frac{e^{-2v_1}}{\sqrt{e^{2v_1} - 1}} dv_2^2 - e^{-2v_1} \sqrt{e^{2v_1} - 1} dv_3^2, \\ b^2 &= b_{11}^2 dv_1^2 + 2b_{12}^2 dv_1 dv_2 + b_{22}^2 dv_2^2, \\ \mu_{12|1} &= \frac{b_{11}^2}{\sqrt{e^{2v_1} - 1}}, \mu_{12|2} = \frac{b_{12}^2}{\sqrt{e^{2v_1} - 1}}, \mu_{12|3} = 0,\end{aligned}$$

or its fundamental forms are

$$\begin{aligned}ds^2 &= dv_1^2 + e^{-2v_1} (dv_2^2 + v_2^2 dv_3^2), \\ b^1 &= \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2}} dv_1^2 + e^{-2v_1} \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2}} dv_2^2 - e^{-2v_1} v_2 \sqrt{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2} dv_3^2, \\ b^2 &= b_{11}^2 dv_1^2 + 2b_{12}^2 dv_1 dv_2 + b_{22}^2 dv_2^2, \\ \mu_{12|1} &= \frac{v_2 b_{11}^2 - e^{2v_1} b_{12}^2}{\sqrt{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2}}, \mu_{12|2} = \frac{v_2 b_{12}^2 - e^{2v_1} b_{22}^2}{\sqrt{f_0 e^{2v_1} - (v_2)^2}}, \mu_{12|3} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

In the first case F^3 has the same fundamental forms as the submanifold described in Section 4. By the Bonnet fundamental theorem mentioned above, since the fundamental forms are the same, then the submanifolds coincide up to a rigid motion in $\mathbb{R}^5$. Hence, F^3 has the properties described

in Proposition 4.1, i.e., the two-dimensional surface obtained from F^3 by the degenerate Bianchi transformation belongs to a subspace $\mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ and its Gauss curvature is equal to -1 .

Similarly, in the second case F^3 has the same fundamental forms as the submanifold described in Section 5, if one sets $f_0 = a^2 - 1$. By the Bonnet fundamental theorem, since the fundamental forms are the same, then the submanifolds coincide up to a rigid motion in $\mathbb{R}^5$. Hence, F^3 has the properties described in Proposition 5.1, i.e., the two-dimensional surface obtained from F^3 by the degenerate Bianchi transformation belongs to a subspace $\mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ and has constant negative Gauss curvature less than -1 . This completes the proof of Theorem.

References

- [1] *Aminov Y.A.*, Geometry of submanifolds. – CRC Press, London, 2001.
- [2] *Aminov Y.A.*, Bianchi transformation for domains of many-dimensional Lobachevsky space // Ukrainian Geometric Sbornik. – 1978. – V.21. – P.3-5.
- [3] *Aminov Y., Sym A.*, On Bianchi and Backlund transformations of two-dimensional surfaces in E^4 // Math. Physics, Analysis, Geometry. – 2000. – V.3. – P.75-89.
- [4] *Bianchi L.*, Ricerche sulle superficie a curvatura costante e sulle elicoidi // Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. – 1879. – V.2. – P.285-340.
- [5] *Borisenko A.A.*, Intrinsic and extrinsic geometry of multi-dimensionl submanifolds. – Ekzamen, Moscow, 2003.
- [6] *Borisenko A.A.*, Isometric immersions of space forms into Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian spaces of constant curvature // Russian Mathematical Surveys. – 2001. – V.56. – P.425-497.
- [7] *Borisenko A.A.*, On the structure of multidimensional submanifolds with metric of revolution in Euclidean space // Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry. – 2019. – V.15. – P.192-202.
- [8] *Eisenhart L.P.*, Riemannian geometry. Princeton,N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1967.
- [9] *Gorkavyy V.*, Bianchi congruences of two-dimensional surfaces in E^4 // Sbornik Mathematics. – 2006. – V.196, No. 9-10. – P.1473-1493.
- [10] *Gorkavyy V.*, Generalization of the Bianchi-Backlund Transformation of Pseudo-Spherical Surfaces // Journal of Mathematical Sciences. – 2015. – V.207, No.3. – P.467-484.
- [11] *Gorkavyy V., Nevmershitska O.*, Pseudo-spherical submanifolds with degenerate Bianchi transformation // Results in Mathematics. – 2011. – V.60, No.1. – P.103-116.
- [12] *Gorkavyy V., Nevmershitska O.*, Degenerate Backlund transformation // Ukrainian Mathematical Journal. – 2016. – V.68, No.1. – P.41-56.
- [13] *Masaltsev L.A.*, Bianchi pseudospherical congruencies in E^{2n-1} // Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry. – 1994. – V.1, No.3-4. – P.505-512.
- [14] *Tenenblat K.*, Transformations of manifolds and applications to differential equations. Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure Appl. Math, V.93. – Longman Sci. Techn., Harlow, Essex; Wiley, New York, 1998.

- [15] *Tenenblat K.*, On isometric immersions of riemannian manifolds // Boletim da Sociedade Brasileira de Matematica. – 1971. – Vol. 2, Issue 2. – P.23–36.
- [16] *Tenenblat K., Terng C.-L.*, Backlund's theorem for n -dimensional submanifolds of R^{2n-1} // Annals of Mathematics. – 1980. – V.111, No.3. – P.477-490.
- [17] *Terng C.-L.*, A higher dimension generalization of the sine-Gordon equation and its soliton theory // Annals of Mathematics. – 1980. – V.111, No.3. – P.491-510.