

PURELY INSEPARABLE RICHELLOT ISOGENIES

BRADLEY W. BROCK AND EVERETT W. HOWE

ABSTRACT. We show that if C is a supersingular genus-2 curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2, then there are infinitely many Richelot isogenies starting from (the Jacobian of) C . This is in contrast to what happens with nonsupersingular curves in characteristic 2, or to arbitrary curves in characteristic not 2: In these situations, there are at most fifteen Richelot isogenies starting from a given genus-2 curve.

More specifically, we show that if C_1 and C_2 are two arbitrary supersingular genus-2 curves over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2, then there are exactly sixty Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 , unless either C_1 or C_2 is isomorphic to the curve $y^2 + y = x^5$. In that case, there are either twelve or four Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 , depending on whether C_1 is isomorphic to C_2 . (Here we count Richelot isogenies up to isomorphism.) We give explicit constructions that produce all of the Richelot isogenies between two supersingular curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. In this paper we prove that there are Richelot isogenies connecting every two supersingular genus-2 curves over k . More specifically:

Theorem 1.1. *Let C_1 and C_2 be two supersingular genus-2 curves over k with polarized Jacobians (J_1, λ_1) and (J_2, λ_2) . If neither C_1 nor C_2 is isomorphic to the curve $y^2 + y = x^5$, then there are exactly sixty Richelot isogenies from (J_1, λ_1) to (J_2, λ_2) , up to isomorphism. If exactly one of C_1 or C_2 is isomorphic to the special curve, then there are twelve Richelot isogenies from (J_1, λ_1) to (J_2, λ_2) , up to isomorphism. If both C_1 and C_2 are isomorphic to the special curve, then there are four Richelot isogenies from (J_1, λ_1) to (J_2, λ_2) , up to isomorphism.*

In Section 5 we provide explicit constructions that give all of the Richelot isogenies connecting the Jacobians of two supersingular curves.

To put our result in context, let us give some background information about Richelot isogenies. Let k be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic and let J_1 and J_2 be abelian surfaces over k with principal polarizations λ_1 and λ_2 . (We view a polarization of an abelian variety A as an isogeny from A to its dual variety, rather than as a line bundle.) A *Richelot isogeny* from (J_1, λ_1) to (J_2, λ_2) is an isogeny $\varphi: J_1 \rightarrow J_2$ that fits into a

Date: 25 March 2025.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14K02; Secondary 14H40, 14H45.

Key words and phrases. Richelot isogeny, characteristic 2, supersingular, inseparable.

diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} J_1 & \xrightarrow{2\lambda_1} & \widehat{J}_1 \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \uparrow \widehat{\varphi} \\ J_2 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_2} & \widehat{J}_2 \end{array}$$

where $\widehat{\varphi}$ is the dual isogeny of φ . If C_1 and C_2 are genus-2 curves over k , a *Richelot isogeny* from C_1 to C_2 is defined to be a Richelot isogeny from the Jacobian of C_1 to the Jacobian of C_2 .

Up to automorphisms of (J_2, λ_2) , a Richelot isogeny is determined by its kernel in J_1 . In characteristic not 2, this kernel is an order-4 subgroup of the 2-torsion of $J_1(k)$ that is isotropic with respect to the Weil pairing on $J_1[2]$. Conversely, every maximal isotropic subgroup of $J_1[2](k)$ gives rise to an isogeny from (J_1, λ_1) to some principally-polarized variety (J_2, λ_2) (see [10, Proposition 16.8, p. 135]).

We say that two Richelot isogenies φ and ψ from (J_1, λ_1) to (J_2, λ_2) are *isomorphic* to one another if there are automorphisms β_1 of (J_1, λ_1) and β_2 of (J_2, λ_2) such that $\psi = \beta_2 \circ \varphi \circ \beta_1$. Thus we see that in characteristic not 2 there is a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of Richelot isogenies starting from (J_1, λ_1) and the set of orbits of maximal isotropic subgroups of $J_1[2](k)$ under the action of the automorphism group of (J_1, λ_1) . Typically this automorphism group acts trivially on the set of maximal isotropic subgroups, and in this case the number of Richelot isogenies starting from (J_1, λ_1) is just the number of maximal isotropic subgroups of $J_1[2](k)$.

An easy calculation shows that every 4-dimensional \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space with a nondegenerate alternating pairing has exactly 15 maximal isotropic subgroups. Thus we see that for a typical principally-polarized surface (J_1, λ_1) in characteristic not 2, there are 15 non-isomorphic Richelot isogenies starting from (J_1, λ_1) .

In characteristic 2 life is a little different, because the 2-torsion of J_1 can no longer be understood simply in terms of the points of order 2 in $J_1(k)$. Instead, we must consider $J_1[2]$ as a group scheme. Indeed, the bijection we mentioned two paragraphs ago is really between the set of isomorphism classes of Richelot isogenies starting from (J_1, λ_1) and the set of orbits of maximal isotropic subgroup schemes of $J_1[2]$ under the action of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(J_1, \lambda_1)$. Let us see what this means in characteristic 2.

Suppose that (J_1, λ_1) is a principally-polarized abelian surface over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 2. The rank¹ of the group scheme $J_1[2]$ is 16, and $J_1[2]$ (like all finite commutative group schemes over perfect fields) can be decomposed into a product

$$J_1[2] = A_{\text{rr}} \times A_{\text{rl}} \times A_{\text{lr}} \times A_{\text{ll}},$$

where A_{rr} is a reduced group scheme with reduced dual, A_{rl} is a reduced group scheme with local dual, A_{lr} is a local group scheme with reduced dual, and A_{ll} is a local group scheme with local dual ([9, p. 17], [15, Corollary, p. 52], [11, p. 136]). Since $J_1[2]$ is a 2-torsion group scheme in characteristic 2, its reduced-reduced factor is trivial. And since $J_1[2]$ is self-dual (via the Weil pairing, obtained from the principal polarization λ_1), its

¹The *rank* of a finite group scheme G over a field k is the dimension of the k -algebra R such that $G \cong \text{Spec } R$ as a scheme; the rank of a finite group scheme generalizes the idea of the *order* of a finite group.

reduced-local and local-reduced parts are dual to one another. Thus, there are three possibilities:

- A_{\parallel} has rank 1 and A_{r1} and A_{lr} have rank 4 (the *ordinary* case);
- A_{\parallel} has rank 4 and A_{r1} and A_{lr} have rank 2 (the *almost ordinary* case);
- A_{\parallel} has rank 16 and A_{r1} and A_{lr} have rank 1 (the *supersingular* case).

Consider the ordinary case, where $J_1[2]$ is isomorphic to the product of a rank-4 reduced group scheme A_{r1} with a rank-4 local group scheme A_{lr} . The kernel of a Richelot isogeny starting at J_1 can be also be written as a product $R \times L$ of a reduced group scheme R with a local group scheme L , and there are three possible shapes for these groups:

- $R = 0$ and $L = A_{lr}$;
- $R = A_{r1}$ and $L = 0$;
- $R(k)$ is $\{0, T\}$ for one of the three points T of order 2 in $J_1(k)$, and L is the unique rank-2 subgroup scheme of A_{lr} that pairs trivially with R under the Weil pairing.

The first possibility is the Frobenius isogeny starting at (J_1, λ_1) , and the second is the Verschiebung. The third possibility gives rise to three Richelot isogenies, corresponding to the three points of order 2 in $J_1(k)$. These isogenies can be understood by lifting to characteristic 0 via the Serre–Tate canonical lift. (We note also that lifting to characteristic 0 suggests a natural way of assigning multiplicities to the three types of ordinary Richelot isogenies in characteristic 2 so that the weighted number of such isogenies is 15.)

For the almost-ordinary case, we note that a result of Manin [9, Theorem 4.1, pp. 72–73] shows that the rank-4 group scheme A_{\parallel} that appears in the product decomposition of $J_1[2]$ is isomorphic to the 2-torsion subgroup $E[2]$ of the unique supersingular elliptic curve E over k , and so there is a unique isotropic subgroup S of A_{\parallel} of rank 2. Thus, the only maximal isotropic subgroups of $A_{r1} \times A_{lr} \times A_{\parallel}$ are $A_{r1} \times S$ and $A_{lr} \times S$, so there are only two Richelot isogenies starting from (J_1, λ_1) . The one with kernel $A_{lr} \times S$ is the Frobenius, and the one with kernel $A_{r1} \times S$ is Verschiebung.

Note that in every case we have discussed so far, a given polarized abelian surface is the source for finitely many Richelot isogenies because there are only finitely many maximal isotropic subgroup schemes of the 2-torsion: fifteen in characteristic not 2, five for ordinary surfaces in characteristic 2, and two for almost-ordinary surfaces in characteristic 2. That is what makes Theorem 1.1 somewhat surprising: Since there are Richelot isogenies between every pair of supersingular curves, there are *infinitely many* Richelot isogenies starting from a given supersingular curve.

Our definition of a Richelot isogeny is of course a modern one. Richelot’s original papers [12, 13], published in 1836 and 1837, were concerned with the evaluation of “ultra-elliptic integrals”. In 1865, Königsberger [8] interpreted Richelot’s work in terms of duplication formulæ for two-variable theta functions. In characteristic 0, this formulation is essentially the same as our formulation in terms of isogenies of abelian varieties. See the article by Bost and Mestre [1] or the book by Cassels and Flynn [2, Ch. 9] for more information.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we review a few facts about supersingular genus-2 curves in characteristic 2. In Section 3 we restate our main theorem in slightly different terms, together with three lemmas used in its proof. In Section 4 we prove the theorem, and in Section 5 we give explicit constructions that, in every case, provide all of the Richelot isogenies between two curves.

Some of our arguments depend on computations that can be accomplished with a computer algebra system. We have included a Magma file with the auxiliary material for the arXiv version of this paper; this file includes code to verify some of our statements. We indicate in the text which statements have such verifications.

2. SUPERSINGULAR GENUS-2 CURVES IN CHARACTERISTIC 2

In this section we present some background material and easily-proven results about supersingular genus-2 curves in characteristic 2.

Recall that the *Igusa invariants* $[J_2 : J_4 : J_6 : J_8 : J_{10}]$ of a genus-2 curve over a field k form an element of a weighted projective space over k , where each J_i has weight i , and where we have $J_2J_6 = J_4^2 + 4J_8$ and $J_{10} \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let C be an arbitrary genus-2 curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 2, with Igusa invariants $[J_2 : J_4 : J_6 : J_8 : J_{10}]$.*

1. C is supersingular if and only if $J_2 = J_4 = J_6 = 0$.
2. If C is supersingular and $J_8 \neq 0$, then
 - (a) C is isomorphic to the curve $y^2 + y = Ax^5 + Ax^3$, where $A^{16} = J_8^5 / J_{10}^4$.
 - (b) $\text{Aut } C$ is a group of order 32. In particular, it is the nonsplit extension of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ that is identified as `SmallGroup(32,50)` in the Magma/GAP database of small groups, and by name as `C4.C2^3`.
 - (c) More specifically: For every root t of

$$T^{16} + T^8 + A^{-2}T^2 + A^{-3}T = T(T^5 + T + A^{-1})(T^{10} + T^6 + A^{-1}T^5 + A^{-2}),$$

there are two polynomials f of degree at most 2 such that $(x, y) \mapsto (x + t, y + f(x))$ is an automorphism of C . Every automorphism of C arises in this way. The automorphisms with $t = 0$ are the identity and the hyperelliptic involution ι ; the automorphisms with t a root of $T^5 + T + A^{-1}$ have order 2; the automorphisms with t a root of $T^{10} + T^6 + A^{-1}T^5 + A^{-2}$ have order 4, and their squares are the hyperelliptic involution. Two automorphism of order 4 are conjugate if and only if they come from the same value of t .

3. If C is supersingular and $J_8 = 0$, then
 - (a) C is isomorphic to the curve $y^2 + y = x^5$.
 - (b) $\text{Aut } C$ is a group of order 160. In particular, it is the semidirect product of $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ acting nontrivially on the group from statement 2(b). This group is identified as `SmallGroup(160,199)` in the Magma/GAP database of small groups, and by name as `(C4.C2^3):C5`.
 - (c) More specifically: for every fifth root of unity ζ and every root t of

$$T^{16} + T = T(T^5 + 1)(T^{10} + T^5 + 1),$$

there are two polynomials f of degree at most 2 such that $(x, y) \mapsto (\zeta x + t, y + f(x))$ is an automorphism of C . Every automorphism of C arises in this way. The automorphisms with $\zeta \neq 1$ have order divisible by 5; the automorphisms with $\zeta = 1$ and $t = 0$ are the identity and the hyperelliptic involution ι ; the automorphisms with $\zeta = 1$ and $t^5 = 1$ have order 2; the automorphisms with $\zeta = 1$ and with t a root of $T^{10} + T^5 + 1$ have order 4, and their squares are the hyperelliptic involution. Two

automorphism of order 4 are conjugate if and only if they come from values of t having the same fifth power.

Proof. First we show that every supersingular genus-2 curve over k can be written in the form $y^2 + y = f$ for a quintic polynomial f .

Every genus-2 curve over k has a model, nonsingular in the affine plane, of the form $y^2 + hy = f$ for polynomials $h, f \in k[x]$ with f of degree 5 and h nonzero of degree at most 2. (The nonsingularity is equivalent to h and $(h')^2f + (f')^2$ being coprime.) The desingularization of this model has a single point at infinity, which we denote ∞ . If h is nonconstant, say with a root a , then the degree-0 divisor $D = (a, \sqrt{f(a)}) - \infty$ represents a 2-torsion point on the Jacobian, because $2D$ is the divisor of the function $x - a$. Therefore, for a supersingular curve C , the polynomial h must be a nonzero constant. By scaling y appropriately, we may assume that $h = 1$.

By explicit computation² (which we leave to the reader and their computer algebra system) we see that the Igusa invariants of a curve of the form $y^2 + y = (\text{quintic})$ satisfy $J_2 = J_4 = J_6 = 0$. These conditions define an irreducible 1-dimensional subvariety of the moduli space of curves, and we have just shown that it contains the subvariety of supersingular curves. But the moduli space of supersingular principally-polarized abelian surfaces is one-dimensional and closed in the moduli space of all principally-polarized abelian surfaces [7, Theorem 7(i), p. 163], so every curve with $J_2 = J_4 = J_6 = 0$ must be supersingular.

This completes the proof of the first statement. However, the appeal to a deep theorem about the dimension of components of moduli spaces of abelian varieties with a given p -rank might be unsatisfying, especially since there are elementary arguments that will serve instead. So let us show more explicitly that every genus-2 curve with $J_2 = J_4 = J_6 = 0$ must be supersingular. The argument also foreshadows reasoning about genus-4 curves that appears in Section 5.4.

Let $P = (r, s)$ be any affine point on the hyperelliptic curve $y^2 + y = x^5$ with $r \neq 0$ and let $P' = (r, s + 1)$ be the image of P under the hyperelliptic involution. Set $b = (r^5 + 1)/r$ and consider the curve

$$C_b: y^2 + y = x^5 + bx^3.$$

We check³ that there is an involution $\alpha: C_b \rightarrow C_b$ given by


$$(x, y) \mapsto (x + r^2, y + rx^2 + r^3x + s),$$

that the functions $u = x^2 + r^2x$ and $v = y + (x/r^2)(rx^2 + r^3x + s)$ are stable under this involution, and that these functions satisfy $v^2 + v = u^3/r^2 + (s/r^4)u$, so that the quotient of C_b by the involution α is the supersingular elliptic curve E_P given by $y^2 + y = x^3/r^2 + (s/r^4)x$. Repeating this computation with P' (that is, replacing s with $s + 1$), we construct another involution α' of C_b , and we find the quotient of C_b by this involution is the supersingular elliptic curve $E_{P'}$ given by $y^2 + y = x^3/r^2 + ((s + 1)/r^4)x$. We compute

²See Verification 2.1a in the Magma file.

³See Verification 2.1b in the Magma file for many statements in the following paragraph.

that α and α' commute with one another, and we note that their product is the hyperelliptic involution ι of C_b . It follows that we have a diagram



that exhibits C_b as a Galois V_4 extension of \mathbb{P}^1 . This diagram shows that the Jacobian of C_b is isogenous to the product $E_P \times E_{P'}$, so C_b is supersingular. The vector of Igusa invariants of C_b is $[0:0:0:b^8:1]$. Thus, every genus-2 curve over k with $J_2 = J_4 = J_6 = 0$ is supersingular.

Next we note that two vectors of Igusa invariants $[0:0:0:J_8:J_{10}]$ and $[0:0:0:J'_8:J'_{10}]$ (with J_{10} and J'_{10} nonzero) are equal if and only if $J_8^5/J_{10}^4 = (J'_8)^5/(J'_{10})^4$, so supersingular curves over k are classified up to isomorphism by the invariant $I := J_8^5/J_{10}^4$.

Let us turn to statement (2). Given any nonzero A , we compute⁴ that the Igusa invariants of the curve $C_A: y^2 + y = Ax^5 + Ax^3$ are $[0:0:0:A^8:A^6]$, so the invariant $I(C_A)$ is equal to A^{16} , which is equal to the invariant of $I(C)$. Thus, C is isomorphic to C_A .

Suppose α is an automorphism of the curve C_A . The hyperelliptic involution ι of C_A is central in the automorphism group, so α commutes with ι , and it is easy to check that therefore α must be of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (g(x), y + f(x))$ for rational functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ in $k(x)$. The rational function $g(x)$ must define an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^1 that fixes the unique ramification point of the double cover $C_A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ (which is ∞) so $g(x) = ax + t$ for some $a, t \in k$ with $a \neq 0$. From the condition that

$$(y + f(x))^2 + (y + f(x)) = A(ax + t)^5 + A(ax + t)^3$$

we find that⁵

$$f(x)^2 + f(x) = A(a^5 - 1)x^5 + Aa^4tx^4 + A(a^3 - 1)x^3 + Aa^2tx^2 + Aa(t^4 + t^2)x + A(t^5 + t^3).$$

This shows that $a^5 = a^3 = 1$ so that $a = 1$, and also that the rational function $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2. Writing $f(x) = f_2x^2 + f_1x + f_0$, we find that⁶

$$f_2^2x^4 + (f_1^2 + f_2)x^2 + f_1x + (f_0^2 + f_0) = Atx^4 + Atx^2 + A(t^4 + t^2)x + A(t^5 + t^3),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_0^2 + f_0 &= At^5 + At^3 \\
 f_1 &= At^4 + At^2 \\
 f_2 &= f_1^2 + At = A^2t^8 + A^2t^4 + At \\
 f_2^2 &= At.
 \end{aligned}$$

⁴See Verification 2.1c in the Magma file.

⁵See Verification 2.1d in the Magma file.

⁶See Verification 2.1e in the Magma file.

The first of these equations always has two solutions. The remaining three can be solved if and only if $(A^2t^8 + A^2t^4 + At)^2 = At$, which can be rewritten as⁷

$$A^4t^{16} + A^4t^8 + A^2t^2 + At = 0,$$

or

$$0 = t^{16} + t^8 + A^{-2}t^2 + A^{-3}t = t(t^5 + t + A^{-1})(t^{10} + t^6 + A^{-1}t^5 + A^{-2}).$$

Thus, every automorphism gives a root t of the polynomial in statement 2(c), and every such root t gives two polynomials $f(x) = f_2x^2 + f_1x + f_0$ such that $(x, y) \mapsto (x + t, y + f(x))$ is an automorphism. Note that this already shows that $\#\text{Aut } C = 32$.

When $t = 0$ the automorphisms one obtains are the identity and the hyperelliptic involution ι . Suppose $\alpha: (x, y) \mapsto (x + t, y + f_2x^2 + f_1x + f_0)$ is an automorphism with $t \neq 0$. We compute that α^2 is given by $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + f_2t^2 + f_1t)$, and using the formulæ for f_1 and f_2 we see that

$$f_2t^2 + f_1t = A^2t^{10} + A^2t^6 + At^5 = At^5(At^5 + At + 1).$$

If $t^5 + t + A^{-1} = 0$ then $f_2t^2 + f_1t = 0$ and α^2 is the identity. If $A^2t^{10} + A^2t^6 + At^5 + 1 = 0$ then $f_2t^2 + f_1t = 1$ and $\alpha^2 = \iota$, so α has order 4.

It is clear that conjugate automorphisms have the same value of t . Let α be any automorphism of order 4. Consider the automorphisms β of order 4 that do *not* conjugate α to α^3 ; for such a β we must have $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$, and we see that

$$(\alpha\beta)^2 = \alpha^2\beta^2 = \iota^2 = 1.$$

This shows that $\beta \mapsto \alpha\beta$ is an injective map from the set of β of order 4 that commute with α to the set of automorphisms of order at most 2. Since there are 20 automorphisms of order 4 and only 12 of order at most 2, we see that there must be a β of order 4 that does *not* commute with α , and that therefore conjugates α to α^3 . Thus, there is a bijection between the conjugacy classes of automorphisms of order 4 and the roots of $T^{10} + T^6 + A^{-1}T^5 + A^{-2}$. This proves statement 2(c).

We have already seen that $\#\text{Aut } C = 32$. To determine the structure of $\text{Aut } C$ it suffices to look at a specific curve of this form over a finite field, because we gain no automorphisms when specializing from the generic case. The curve over \mathbb{F}_{22} where A is a primitive cube root of unity has all of its automorphisms rational over \mathbb{F}_{210} , so this is a convenient example to choose. We find that the center of $\text{Aut } C$ is generated by the hyperelliptic involution. We already know that every element of $\text{Aut } C$ squares to either the identity or the hyperelliptic involution, so the quotient of $\text{Aut } C$ by its center is $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$. Running through the database of groups of order 32, we find⁸ only two groups with this property, and only one of them has eleven elements of order 2, namely $C4.C2^3$. This proves statement 2(b).

The proof of statement (3) is analogous, and we leave it to the reader.⁹ The main difference is that instead of finding that $a^5 = a^3 = 1$, we only have that $a^5 = 1$, so that $g(x)$ can be of the form $\zeta x + t$ for a fifth root of unity ζ . Then one can check that the map from

⁷See Verification 2.1f in the Magma file for this statement and the ones in the following paragraph.

⁸See Verification 2.1g in the Magma file.

⁹See Verification 2.1h in the Magma file.

Aut C to the fifth roots of unity that takes an automorphism to the coefficient of x in $g(x)$ is a homomorphism, and that its kernel is isomorphic to the group from statement 2(b). \square

The invariant we used in the proof of Lemma 2.1 is useful enough to deserve a name.

Definition 2.2. *If C is a supersingular genus-2 curve in characteristic 2, with Igusa invariants $[0:0:0:J_8:J_{10}]$, we define the supersingular invariant of C to be the quantity $I(C) = J_8^5/J_{10}^4$.*

The models of curves that we use in Lemma 2.1 have the benefit that they show that if k is a perfect field of characteristic 2, then for every $a \in k$ there is a supersingular genus-2 curve over k whose supersingular invariant is equal to a . A disadvantage of the models is that the curve with supersingular invariant 0 has a different form than all of the other curves. There is a different family of curves that can be more convenient to use over an algebraically closed field when we would like to treat all supersingular curves at the same time, without making significantly different arguments for the curve with invariant 0.

Lemma 2.3. *Let k be a field of characteristic 2. For every element $B \in k$, the curve $y^2 + y = x^5 + Bx^3$ is supersingular and has supersingular invariant equal to B^{40} .* \square

Remark 2.4. Note that if $B \neq 0$ and if we let A be an element of the algebraic closure of k with $A^2 = B^5$, then replacing x with $B^{1/2}x$ in the equation for the curve in the lemma shows that the curve is isomorphic to $y^2 + y = Ax^5 + Ax^3$. Also, the automorphisms of the curve in the lemma lie over the automorphisms $x \mapsto x + t$ of \mathbb{P}^1 , where t is a root of $T^{16} + B^4T^8 + B^2T^2 + T$.

We close with some useful statements about the finite simple subgroup schemes of the square of the unique supersingular elliptic curve E over k . These statements can be found in [4, §2].

Let α_2 be the unique simple local-local group scheme over k ; the group scheme α_2 is the kernel of Frobenius on the additive group over k . Note that $\text{Hom}(\alpha_2, \alpha_2)(k) = k$, and that there is a unique copy of α_2 in E . Fix an embedding $e: \alpha_2 \rightarrow E$.

Given two elements $i, j \in k$, not both 0, we get an embedding

$$\alpha_2 \xrightarrow{(i,j)} \alpha_2 \times \alpha_2 \xrightarrow{e \times e} E \times E$$

of α_2 in $E \times E$. The images we get from a pair (i, j) and a pair (i', j') are the same if and only if $[i: j] = [i': j']$ as elements of $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$. Furthermore, the image does not depend on the choice of e .

The quotient of $E \times E$ by $[i: j](\alpha_2)$ is isomorphic to $E \times E$ if and only if $[i: j]$ lies in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_4)$. Otherwise, the quotient contains a unique copy of α_2 . The quotient, divided by this unique copy of α_2 , is $E \times E$.

The Jacobian of a supersingular genus-2 curve over k is isomorphic to $E \times E/[i: j](\alpha_2)$ for some $[i: j] \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ that does not lie in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_4)$.

3. THE THEOREM AND THREE LEMMAS

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. Using the terminology from the preceding section, we can restate our main theorem as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *Let C_1 and C_2 be two supersingular genus-2 curves over k , with supersingular invariants I_1 and I_2 , respectively. If I_1 and I_2 are both nonzero, there are exactly sixty Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 , up to isomorphism. If exactly one of I_1 and I_2 is zero, there are exactly twelve Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 , up to isomorphism. If both I_1 and I_2 are zero, there are exactly four Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 , up to isomorphism.*

Supersingular curves have Jacobians isogenous to products of supersingular elliptic curves. The following lemmas tell us a little more about the smallest isogenies $E \times E \rightarrow J$ and $J \rightarrow E \times E$ and about polarizations on $E \times E$ obtained from J . To state the lemmas, we must first set some notation.

Let E be the unique supersingular elliptic curve over k . The endomorphism ring of E is a maximal order in the quaternion algebra H over \mathbb{Q} that is ramified at 2 and infinity. We write $H = \mathbb{Q}\langle i, j \rangle$ where $i^2 = j^2 = -1$ and $ij = -ji$, and we may take $\text{End } E$ to be the order \mathcal{O} containing i, j , and $(1 + i + j + ij)/2$. There is a unique two-sided prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O} lying above 2; the quotient \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p} is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_4 .

The elliptic curve E has a unique principal polarization $p: E \rightarrow \widehat{E}$. We let $P: E \times E \rightarrow \widehat{E} \times \widehat{E}$ be the product polarization $p \times p$.

Let M be the endomorphism of $E \times E$ given by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1+i \\ 1-i & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

in $M_2(\mathcal{O})$, and let M' be the endomorphism

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1-i \\ -1+i & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let J be a 2-dimensional supersingular Jacobian over k and let ψ_0 be a 2-isogeny from J to $E \times E$. Then every 2-isogeny $\psi: J \rightarrow E \times E$ is of the form $\alpha \circ \psi_0$ for an automorphism α of $E \times E$.*

Proof. As we noted above, J has only one subgroup scheme of rank 2, so ψ and ψ_0 have the same kernel. Therefore ψ factors through ψ_0 . \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let J be a 2-dimensional supersingular Jacobian over k and let φ_0 be a 2-isogeny from $E \times E$ to J . Then every 2-isogeny $\varphi: E \times E \rightarrow J$ is of the form $\varphi_0 \circ \alpha$ for an automorphism α of $E \times E$.*

Proof. The 2-isogenies from $E \times E$ to J correspond by duality to the 2-isogenies from \widehat{J} to $\widehat{E} \times \widehat{E}$. Since $\widehat{J} \cong J$ and $\widehat{E} \times \widehat{E} \cong E \times E$, Lemma 3.3 is just a restatement of Lemma 3.2. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let J be a 2-dimensional supersingular Jacobian over k . There is a degree-2 isogeny $\varphi: E \times E \rightarrow J$ that pulls the canonical polarization of J back to $P \circ M$, and there is a degree-2 isogeny $\varphi': E \times E \rightarrow J$ that pulls the canonical polarization back to $P \circ M'$.*

Proof. As we noted at the end of Section 2, every supersingular Jacobian is the target of a 2-isogeny from $E \times E$. Let φ be any such isogeny. Then φ pulls back the canonical polarization of J to some degree-4 polarization of $E \times E$ with kernel $\alpha_2 \times \alpha_2$. But from [4] we know that the number of isomorphism classes of such polarizations is equal to the class number $H_2(1, 2)$ of the nonprincipal genus in $H \times H$, and this class number is 1. So we can modify φ by automorphisms of $E \times E$ in order to get the pullback of the canonical polarization to be $P \circ M$ or $P \circ M'$. \square

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let (J_1, λ_1) and (J_2, λ_2) be the canonically polarized Jacobians of C_1 and C_2 , respectively. From Lemma 3.4 we know that there is a degree-2 isogeny $\varphi_2: E \times E \rightarrow J_2$ that pulls the principal polarization λ_2 back to the degree-4 polarization $P \circ M$, and there is a degree-2 isogeny $\varphi_1: E \times E \rightarrow J_1$ that pulls the principal polarization λ_1 back to the degree-4 polarization $P \circ M'$.

Let ψ_1 be the composite isogeny

$$J_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} \widehat{J}_1 \xrightarrow{\widehat{\varphi}_1} \widehat{E} \times \widehat{E} \xrightarrow{P^{-1}} E \times E.$$

Claim 4.1. Every Richelot isogeny from C_1 to C_2 can be written as a composition $\varphi_2 \circ \alpha \circ \psi_1$ for some automorphism α of the polarized variety $(E \times E, P \circ M)$, and every such composition is a Richelot isogeny.

Proof. Let us begin by showing that the diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} J_1 & \xrightarrow{2\lambda_1} & \widehat{J}_1 \\ \psi_1 \downarrow & & \uparrow \widehat{\psi}_1 \\ E \times E & \xrightarrow{P \circ M} & \widehat{E} \times \widehat{E} \end{array}$$

is commutative. Using the fact that $\psi_1 = P^{-1} \circ \widehat{\varphi}_1 \circ \lambda_1$, we check that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}_1 \circ P \circ M \circ \psi_1 &= \widehat{\lambda}_1 \circ \widehat{\varphi}_1 \circ \widehat{P}^{-1} \circ P \circ M \circ P^{-1} \circ \widehat{\varphi}_1 \circ \lambda_1 \\ &= \widehat{\lambda}_1 \circ \varphi_1 \circ M \circ P^{-1} \circ \widehat{\varphi}_1 \circ \lambda_1 \\ &\quad \text{[because } \widehat{P} = P, \text{ since } P \text{ is a polarization]} \\ &= \widehat{\lambda}_1 \circ \varphi_1 \circ M \circ P^{-1} \circ \widehat{\varphi}_1 \circ \lambda_1 \circ \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_1^{-1} \\ &= \widehat{\lambda}_1 \circ \varphi_1 \circ M \circ P^{-1} \circ P \circ M' \circ \varphi_1^{-1} \\ &\quad \text{[because } \varphi_1 \text{ pulls } \lambda_1 \text{ back to } P \circ M'] \\ &= \widehat{\lambda}_1 \circ \varphi_1 \circ M \circ M' \circ \varphi_1^{-1} \\ &= \widehat{\lambda}_1 \circ \varphi_1 \circ 2 \circ \varphi_1^{-1} \\ &\quad \text{[because } M \circ M' \text{ is multiplication by 2]} \\ &= 2 \circ \widehat{\lambda}_1 \\ &= 2 \circ \lambda_1 \quad \text{[because } \lambda_1 = \widehat{\lambda}_1]. \end{aligned}$$

Also note that the diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E \times E & \xrightarrow{P \circ M} & \widehat{E} \times \widehat{E} \\ \varphi_2 \downarrow & & \uparrow \widehat{\varphi}_2 \\ J_2 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_2} & \widehat{J}_2 \end{array}$$

is commutative, by the definition of φ_2 .

Now suppose that α is an automorphism of $(E \times E, P \circ M)$. This means that the diagram

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E \times E & \xrightarrow{P \circ M} & \widehat{E} \times \widehat{E} \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \uparrow \widehat{\alpha} \\ E \times E & \xrightarrow{P \circ M} & \widehat{E} \times \widehat{E} \end{array}$$

is commutative. Stacking Diagrams (2), (4), and (3) on top of one another, we get Diagram (1). Thus, given α , we get a Richelot isogeny from C_1 to C_2 .

Now suppose we are given a Richelot isogeny φ from C_1 to C_2 . The kernel of φ is a local-local subgroup scheme of $J_1[2]$. This kernel must contain a copy of α_2 , and there is a unique α_2 in $J_1[2]$. So the isogeny $\varphi: J_1 \rightarrow J_2$ must factor through ψ_1 , say $\varphi = \gamma \circ \psi_1$ for an isogeny $\gamma: E \times E \rightarrow J_2$, and it follows that the middle arrow of the following diagram is $P \circ M$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J_1 & \xrightarrow{2\lambda_1} & \widehat{J}_1 \\ \psi_1 \downarrow & & \uparrow \widehat{\psi}_1 \\ E \times E & \xrightarrow{P \circ M} & \widehat{E} \times \widehat{E} \\ \gamma \downarrow & & \uparrow \widehat{\gamma} \\ J_2 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_2} & \widehat{J}_2. \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.3 shows that γ is $\varphi_2 \circ \alpha$ for some automorphism α of $E \times E$, so we can further expand the diagram to get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J_1 & \xrightarrow{2\lambda_1} & \widehat{J}_1 \\ \psi_1 \downarrow & & \uparrow \widehat{\psi}_1 \\ E \times E & \xrightarrow{P \circ M} & \widehat{E} \times \widehat{E} \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \uparrow \widehat{\alpha} \\ E \times E & \xrightarrow{P \circ M} & \widehat{E} \times \widehat{E} \\ \varphi_2 \downarrow & & \uparrow \widehat{\varphi}_2 \\ J_2 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_2} & \widehat{J}_2. \end{array}$$

The middle part of the diagram shows that α is an automorphism of the principally-polarized variety $(E \times E, P \circ M)$. This proves the claim. \square

Every automorphism α of $E \times E$ can be written as an invertible matrix A in $M_2(\mathcal{O})$. The automorphism α respects the polarization $P \circ M$ if and only if we have $M = A^*MA$, where A^* is the conjugate transpose of A . (This is because the Rosati involution on $\text{End } E \times E$ determined by the product polarization P is equal to the conjugate transpose.) With a computer algebra system it is not hard to compute¹⁰ that there are 1920 invertible matrices A in $M_2(\mathcal{O})$ that satisfy this condition. Thus, $\#\text{Aut}(E \times E, P \circ M) = 1920$.

¹⁰See Verification 4.1a in the Magma file.

Suppose β is an automorphism of (J_1, λ_1) . Then β must take the unique copy of α_2 in J_1 to itself, so β gives an automorphism of the polarized variety $(E \times E, P \circ M)$. This gives us an (injective) homomorphism

$$\text{Aut}(J_1, \lambda_1) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E \times E, P \circ M)$$

that we denote by F_1 .

Now suppose A is an automorphism of $(E \times E, P \circ M)$. If A takes $\ker \varphi_2$ to itself, then A descends to give an automorphism of (J_2, λ_2) . Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5 of [6] show that every automorphism of (J_2, λ_2) comes from a unique such A . Thus, we get an (injective) homomorphism

$$\text{Aut}(J_2, \lambda_2) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E \times E, P \circ M)$$

that we denote by F_2 .

Claim 4.1 leads us to this key observation: The set of Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 (up to isomorphism) is in bijection with the orbits of $\text{Aut}(E \times E, P \circ M)$ under the combined actions of $\text{Image } F_1$ on the right and $\text{Image } F_2$ on the left. To make use of this observation we have to say a little more about F_1 and F_2 . First we look at F_2 .

Suppose A is an automorphism of $E \times E$. How does A permute the various copies of α_2 sitting inside $E \times E$? Recall that the copies of α_2 correspond to elements $[i : j]$ of $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$. Let B in $\text{GL}_2 \mathbb{F}_4$ be the reduction of A modulo the two-sided prime \mathfrak{p} over 2. Using Dieudonné modules, it is not hard to show that A takes $[i : j](\alpha_2)$ to $[i' : j'](\alpha_2)$, where $[i' : j']$ is $B[i : j]$, under the natural action of GL_2 on \mathbb{P}^1 . (A description of the Dieudonné module of E and of the action of $\text{End } E$ on this module is given in Section 4.2 of [14].)

By explicit calculation¹¹ we find that the image of $\text{Aut}(E \times E, P \circ M)$ in $\text{GL}_2 \mathbb{F}_4$ is $\text{SL}_2 \mathbb{F}_4$. Let G be the kernel of the reduction map from $\text{Aut}(E \times E, P \circ M)$ to $\text{SL}_2 \mathbb{F}_4$, so that $\#G = 32$. We see that the image of F_2 contains G , and is equal to G if C_2 is not the special curve $y^2 + y = x^5$.

We can also say a little more about F_1 . The Frobenius is a Richelot isogeny from C_1 to C'_1 (where C'_1 denotes the curve defined by the same equations as C_1 , but with all coefficients squared), so we can always draw a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J_1 & \xrightarrow{2\lambda_1} & \widehat{J}_1 \\ \psi_1 \downarrow & & \uparrow \widehat{\psi}_1 \\ E \times E & \xrightarrow{P \circ M} & \widehat{E} \times \widehat{E} \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ J'_1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda'_1} & \widehat{J}'_1 \end{array}$$

where the map $J_1 \rightarrow J'_1$ on the left is Frobenius. It follows that the image of F_1 contains G , and is equal to G unless C_1 is the special curve $y^2 + y = x^5$.

Now we use the key observation above to complete the proof of the theorem. If neither C_1 nor C_2 is the special curve, then the images of F_1 and F_2 are both equal to the normal subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(E \times E, P \circ M)$, so the double cosets

$$G \backslash \text{Aut}(E \times E, P \circ M) / G$$

¹¹See Verification 4.1b in the Magma file.

are in bijection with $SL_2 \mathbb{F}_4$, a group with 60 elements.

If exactly one of C_1 or C_2 is isomorphic to the special curve, then the Richelot isogenies are in bijection with the cosets of $SL_2 \mathbb{F}_4$ by a non-normal subgroup of order 5. There are 12 orbits.

If C_1 and C_2 are both isomorphic to the special curve, then the Richelot isogenies are in bijection with the double cosets of $SL_2 \mathbb{F}_4$ by a non-normal subgroup of order 5 on the left, and a (possibly different) such subgroup on the right. By direct calculation, we find that no matter what the subgroups, there are 4 orbits.

This proves the theorem. □


5. EXPLICIT CONSTRUCTIONS FOR PURELY INSEPARABLE RICHELOT ISOGENIES

Theorem 1.1 tells us how many Richelot isogenies there are between two supersingular genus-2 curves over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 2. In this section we produce explicit constructions that exhibit all of these isogenies.

In Sections 5.1 and 5.2 we present two constructions of Richelot isogenies between supersingular genus-2 curves. In Section 5.3 we discuss the Frobenius and Verschiebung isogenies, and we prove that for supersingular Jacobians they are never isomorphic to one another. In Section 5.4 we show how to parametrize the Richelot isogenies discussed in Section 5.1, and in Section 5.5 we prove that the constructions we have presented account for all of the Richelot isogenies between two supersingular Jacobians.

5.1. The dihedral construction. Let D be a supersingular hyperelliptic curve of genus 4 over k , and suppose α and β are involutions of D that anti-commute — that is, suppose $\alpha\beta = \iota\beta\alpha$, where ι is the hyperelliptic involution of D .

Let G be the subgroup of the automorphism group $\text{Aut } D$ of D generated by the involutions α and β . The anti-commutation of α and β shows that G is a dihedral group of order 8, so we can draw a diagram of the intermediate curves in the Galois cover $D \rightarrow D/G \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ as follows:



Here the curves C_1 and C_1' are isomorphic to one another because α and $\iota\alpha$ are conjugate to one another in G ; likewise, C_2 and C_2' are isomorphic to one another.

From this large D_8 diagram we can extract the V_4 diagram from the upper left:

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & D & & \\ & \swarrow \langle \iota\alpha \rangle & \downarrow \langle \alpha \rangle & \searrow \langle \iota \rangle & \\ C'_1 & & C_1 & & \mathbb{P}^1 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1 & & \end{array}$$

By a result of Kani and Rosen [5, Theorem C, p. 309], this V_4 diagram shows that the Jacobian of D decomposes (up to isogeny) as the sum of the Jacobians of C_1 and C'_1 , so that in particular the genus of C_1 must be 2. Likewise, the genus of C_2 must be 2. Also, since D is supersingular, so must be C_1 and C_2 .

Let φ_1 be the natural degree-2 map from D to $C_1 := D/\langle \alpha \rangle$ and let φ_2 be the natural map from D to $C_2 := D/\langle \beta \rangle$. Each of the curves C_1 , C_2 , and D has a unique Weierstrass point, and each map φ_i takes the Weierstrass point of D to that of C_i . Let J be the Jacobian of D , and for each i let J_i be the Jacobian of C_i .

Proposition 5.1. *The map $\varphi_{2*}\varphi_1^*: J_1 \rightarrow J_2$ is a Richelot isogeny with dual isogeny $\varphi_{1*}\varphi_2^*$, and the curve D and the involutions α and β can be recovered (up to isomorphism) from this isogeny.*

Proof. Note that the homomorphism $\varphi_1^*\varphi_{1*}$ of J is simply $1 + \alpha^*$, and likewise $\varphi_2^*\varphi_{2*} = 1 + \beta^*$. If we let J_α be the subvariety of J where α^* acts trivially, then we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} J_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & J_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & J_1 \\ \varphi_1^* \downarrow & \nearrow \varphi_{2*} & \downarrow \varphi_2^* & \nearrow \varphi_{1*} & \downarrow \varphi_1^* \\ J_\alpha & \xrightarrow{1+\beta^*} & J & \xrightarrow{1+\alpha^*} & J_\alpha \end{array}$$

For every point $P \in J_\alpha(k)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \alpha^*)(1 + \beta^*)(P) &= (1 + \alpha^* + \beta^* + \alpha^*\beta^*)(P) \\ &= (1 + \alpha^* + \beta^* - \beta^*\alpha^*)(P) \\ &= 2P + \beta^*(P) - \beta^*(P) \\ &= 2P, \end{aligned}$$

so the composite map $J_\alpha \rightarrow J \rightarrow J_\alpha$ on the bottom of the diagram is multiplication by 2. It follows that the composite map $J_1 \rightarrow J_2 \rightarrow J_1$ at the top of the diagram is also multiplication by 2.

Let λ , λ_1 , and λ_2 be the canonical principal polarizations of J , J_1 , and J_2 , respectively. Lemma 4.4 (p. 186) of [3] shows that the homomorphisms¹² φ_{1*} and φ_1^* are dual to one another, in the sense that

$$\widehat{\varphi_{1*}} = \lambda\varphi_1^*\lambda_1^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\varphi_1^*} = \lambda_1\varphi_{1*}\lambda^{-1},$$

and the analogous equalities hold for φ_{2*} and φ_2^* . In the preceding paragraph we showed that $(\varphi_{1*}\varphi_2^*)(\varphi_{2*}\varphi_1^*) = 2$ on J_1 , and using the duality we just mentioned we find

$$(\lambda_1^{-1}\widehat{\varphi_1^*}\lambda\lambda^{-1}\widehat{\varphi_{2*}}\lambda_2)(\varphi_{2*}\varphi_1^*) = 2,$$

¹²The cited lemma mistakenly refers to ‘‘isogenies’’ when it should refer to ‘‘homomorphisms.’’

so that

$$(\lambda_1^{-1} \widehat{\varphi_{2*} \varphi_1^*} \lambda_2)(\varphi_{2*} \varphi_1^*) = 2,$$

and therefore

$$\widehat{\varphi_{2*} \varphi_1^*} \lambda_2(\varphi_{2*} \varphi_1^*) = 2\lambda_1.$$

This is exactly what is shown in Diagram (1), so $\varphi_{2*} \varphi_1^*$ is a Richelot isogeny from (J_1, λ_1) to (J_2, λ_2) .

Similarly, $\varphi_{1*} \varphi_2^*$ is a Richelot isogeny from (J_2, λ_2) to (J_1, λ_1) , and the two isogenies are dual to one another because their compositions in both orders are equal to multiplication by 2.

Now we turn to the final statement of the proposition.

Suppose we are given an isogeny $\psi: J_1 \rightarrow J_2$. Every such isogeny comes from a correspondence on $C_1 \times C_2$, that is, a divisor on $C_1 \times C_2$ that does not consist solely of horizontal and vertical components. We will construct a *particular* correspondence that represents ψ .

Let W_1 and W_2 be the Weierstrass points on C_1 and C_2 , respectively, and let $P \in C_1(k)$ be an arbitrary point with $P \neq W_1$. The isogeny ψ takes the class of the degree-0 divisor $P - W_1$ on C_1 to a point on the Jacobian of C_2 , and every such point other than the identity can be represented as the class of a divisor $Q + R - 2W_2$ in a unique way.¹³ For every P such that $[P - W_1]$ is not in the kernel of ψ we let $\bar{\psi}(P)$ be the set $\{Q, R\}$, where Q and R are the points of C_2 such that $\psi(P - W_1) = [Q + R - 2W_2]$; for P such that $[P - W_1]$ is in the kernel of ψ we take $\bar{\psi}(P)$ to be the empty set. Let \mathcal{W}_0 be the divisor on $C_1 \times C_2$ consisting of the Zariski closure of the union over all P the sets $\{(P, Q) : Q \in \bar{\psi}(P)\}$. If there is a P such that $\#\bar{\psi}(P) = 2$, we take $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_0$; if there is no such P , then we take $\mathcal{W} = 2\mathcal{W}_0$. Clearly the isogeny defined by \mathcal{W} is equal to ψ .

Note that the divisor \mathcal{W} is either an irreducible curve, the union of two distinct irreducible curves, or twice a single irreducible curve.

What does this construction produce when ψ is the isogeny $\varphi_{2*} \varphi_1^*$, as in the statement of the theorem? Let W be the Weierstrass point on D , and for a given $P \in C_1(k)$ let Q' and R' be the two points of $D(k)$ that map to P . The pullback (via φ_1) of the divisor $P - W_1$ is equal to $Q' + R' - 2W$, and the push-forward (via φ_2) of this divisor is $\varphi_2(Q') + \varphi_2(R') - 2W_2$. Thus, the divisor \mathcal{W} on $C_1 \times C_2$ is nothing other than the image of D under the map $\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$, so \mathcal{W} is also a curve. But since the degree-2 maps $\varphi_1: D \rightarrow C_1$ and $\varphi_2: D \rightarrow C_2$ factor through \mathcal{W} , we see that either D is birationally equivalent to \mathcal{W} and the projection maps from \mathcal{W} to C_1 and C_2 have degree 2, or \mathcal{W} is birationally equivalent to both C_1 and C_2 and the projection maps have degree 1. The latter possibility is inconsistent with $\varphi_{2*} \varphi_1^*$ having degree 4, so the map $\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2: D \rightarrow C_1 \times C_2$ gives a birational equivalence between D and \mathcal{W} . Under this equivalence, the projection maps from \mathcal{W} to C_1 and C_2 correspond to φ_1 and φ_2 .

Thus, D and the double covers φ_1 and φ_2 can be recovered, up to isomorphism, from the Richelot isogeny $\varphi_{2*} \varphi_1^*$, and the involutions α and β are determined by φ_1 and φ_2 . This proves the proposition. \square

Remark 5.2. Note that the isomorphism class of Diagram (5) is completely determined by the curve D and the two pairs of involutions $\{\alpha, \iota\alpha\}$ and $\{\beta, \iota\beta\}$. Furthermore, the pair

¹³The identity has an infinite number of such representations; it is equal to the class of $Q + \iota(Q) - 2W_2$ for every point Q .

$\{\alpha, \iota\alpha\}$ is determined by the involution of the top \mathbb{P}^1 in Diagram 5 that fixes the left \mathbb{P}^1 , and the pair $\{\beta, \iota\beta\}$ is determined by the involution of the top \mathbb{P}^1 that fixes the right \mathbb{P}^1 .

5.2. The degenerate construction. Suppose C_1 is a supersingular genus-2 curve over k , and let γ be an automorphism of C_1 such that γ^2 is the hyperelliptic involution ι . Associated to γ we have the pull-back automorphism γ^* of the polarized Jacobian J_1 of C_1 .

Proposition 5.3. *The map $1 + \gamma^*: J_1 \rightarrow J_1$ is a Richelot isogeny with dual isogeny $1 - \gamma^*$, and the automorphism γ can be recovered (up to conjugation in the automorphism group of J_1) from this isogeny. This isogeny cannot be obtained from the construction of Proposition 5.1.*

Proof. Let $\gamma_* \in \text{Aut } J_1$ be the push-forward of γ . The composition $\gamma_*\gamma^*$ is simply multiplication by the degree of γ , which is 1; furthermore, since $\iota^* = -1$ we have $(\gamma^*)^2 = -1$, so that $\gamma_* = -\gamma^*$. Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J_1 & \xrightarrow{2} & J_1 \\ 1+\gamma^* \downarrow & & \uparrow 1+\gamma_* \\ J_1 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & J_1. \end{array}$$

From [3, Lemma 4.4, p. 186] we know that $\gamma_* = \lambda_1^{-1}\widehat{\gamma^*}\lambda_1$, where λ_1 is the canonical principal polarization of J_1 and where $\widehat{\gamma^*}$ is the dual isogeny of γ^* . This means that we can extend the preceding diagram to get

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} J_1 & \xrightarrow{2} & J_1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} & \widehat{J}_1 \\ 1+\gamma^* \downarrow & & \uparrow 1+\gamma_* & & \uparrow 1+\widehat{\gamma^*} \\ J_1 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & J_1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} & \widehat{J}_1. \end{array}$$

The outer portion of this diagram is precisely Diagram (1), so we find that $1 + \gamma^*$ is a Richelot isogeny from (J_1, λ_1) to itself. The dual isogeny is clearly $1 - \gamma^*$. Also, from the isogeny $1 + \gamma^*$ we can recover the automorphism γ^* (up to conjugation), and Torelli's theorem tells us that this specifies γ (up to conjugation).

To show that this isogeny cannot be produced from the construction of the preceding section, we repeat the construction of the correspondence $\mathcal{W} \subset C_1 \times C_1$ given in the proof of Proposition 5.1. If W_1 is the Weierstrass point of C_1 and P is an arbitrary point of C_1 such that $[P - W_1]$ is not in the kernel of $1 + \gamma^*$, we find that

$$(1 + \gamma^*)([P - W_1]) = [P + \gamma^{-1}(P) - 2W_1],$$


so the divisor \mathcal{W} is the union of the graph of the identity of C_1 and the graph of the automorphism γ^{-1} of C_1 . In particular, \mathcal{W} is the union of two irreducible curves (each isomorphic to C_1). For the Richelot isogenies in Proposition 5.1, the divisor \mathcal{W} was an irreducible curve. Thus, no isogeny can be produced by both of these constructions. \square

As we have just proven, these degenerate isogenies do not come from the dihedral construction described in the preceding section. However, if we generalize the dihedral construction to allow genus-4 "curves" D that are *not* irreducible, then the degenerate isogenies fit into the same framework. Let us sketch here how this works.

Let D be the disjoint union of two copies of C_1 , which we denote by writing $D = C_1 \amalg C_1$. An automorphism of D can either swap the copies of C_1 or not. Given two automorphisms f and g of C_1 , we will denote by $\begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{bmatrix}$ the automorphism that sends the first component to itself by f and the second component to itself by g ; we will denote by $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & g \\ f & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ the automorphism that sends the first component to the second via f and the second component to the first via g .

Suppose γ is an automorphism of C_1 with $\gamma^2 = \iota$. Let $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, let $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \gamma \\ \iota\gamma & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and let $I = \begin{bmatrix} \iota & 0 \\ 0 & \iota \end{bmatrix}$. Note that I^* acts as -1 on the Jacobian $J = J_1 \oplus J_1$ of D , so I plays the role of the hyperelliptic involution; it is central in the automorphism group of D .

We see that α and β are involutions that anti-commute with one another, in the sense that $\alpha\beta = I\beta\alpha$, and the subgroup G of $\text{Aut } D$ generated by them is dihedral of order 8. As in Section 5.1, we get a Galois cover $D \rightarrow D/G \cong \mathbb{P}^1$:



If we let Id denote the identity map on C_1 , then the four maps from $C_1 \amalg C_1$ to C_1 in this diagram are, from left to right, isomorphic to (ι, ι) , (Id, Id) , $(\iota\gamma, \text{Id})$, and (γ, ι) . In the notation of Section 5.1, we have $\varphi_1 = (\text{Id}, \text{Id})$ and $\varphi_2 = (\iota\gamma, \text{Id})$.

Now we can compute where the homomorphism $\varphi_{2*}\varphi_1^*: J_1 \rightarrow J_1$ sends the class of a divisor $P - W_1$. We see that $\varphi_1^*(P)$ consists of two points: P on one copy of C_1 in $C_1 \amalg C_1$, and P on the other copy of C_1 . Applying φ_2 to this divisor gives $P + \iota\gamma(P)$. Similarly, we find that W_1 gets sent to $2W_1$, so

$$\varphi_{2*}\varphi_1^*([P - W_1]) = [P + \iota\gamma(P) - 2W_1].$$

But $\gamma^*(P) = \iota\gamma(P)$, so we find that $1 + \gamma^* = \varphi_{2*}\varphi_1^*$, and the Richelot isogeny we produced with the degenerate construction can be viewed as coming from a generalized version of the dihedral construction.

5.3. Frobenius and Verschiebung. To be complete, we will make a few remarks on the Richelot isogenies given by Frobenius and Verschiebung. Let C_1 be an arbitrary supersingular genus-2 curve over k , say given by an equation $y^2 = x^5 + Bx^3$, and let C_2 be the curve $y^2 = x^5 + B^2x^3$. Let (J_1, λ_1) and (J_2, λ_2) be the polarized Jacobians of these curves. The Frobenius morphism φ from C_1 to C_2 takes a point (x, y) to the point (x^2, y^2) , and the push-forward of this map is an isogeny $F: J_1 \rightarrow J_2$ also called the Frobenius. The isogeny

$V: J_2 \rightarrow J_1$ given by $V = \lambda_1^{-1} \widehat{F} \lambda_2$ is called the Verschiebung. We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J_1 & \xrightarrow{2\lambda_1} & \widehat{J}_1 \\ F \downarrow & & \uparrow \widehat{F} \\ J_2 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_2} & \widehat{J}_2 \end{array}$$

that shows that the Frobenius is a Richelot isogeny and that its dual isogeny is the Verschiebung.

If we apply the construction of the divisor \mathcal{W} from the proof of Proposition 5.1 to the isogeny $\psi = F$, we find that $\overline{\psi}(P) = \{\varphi(P), W_2\}$ for every $P \neq W_1$ in $C_1(k)$, so that $\mathcal{W} \subset C_1 \times C_2$ is the union of the graph of φ and a ‘‘horizontal’’ copy of C_1 .

Similarly, if we construct $\mathcal{W} \subset C_2 \times C_1$ from the Verschiebung $V: J_2 \rightarrow J_1$, we obtain the transpose of the graph of φ together with a ‘‘horizontal’’ copy of C_2 .

We see that neither Frobenius nor Verschiebung can be constructed from the dihedral construction or from the degenerate construction from the preceding sections.

There is one remaining point to consider: Are the Frobenius and Verschiebung isogenies starting from a given Jacobian ever isomorphic to one another? The following theorem says that the answer is no.

Theorem 5.4. *Let C be a supersingular genus-2 curve over k with supersingular invariant I , let $C^{(2)}$ and $C^{(1/2)}$ be the curves with invariants I^2 and $I^{1/2}$, respectively, and let J , $J^{(2)}$, and $J^{(1/2)}$ be the Jacobians of these curves. Then Frobenius isogeny $F: J \rightarrow J^{(2)}$ is never isomorphic to the Verschiebung isogeny $v: J \rightarrow J^{(1/2)}$.*

Proof. Suppose, to get a contradiction, that F and V are isomorphic. This means that there are isomorphisms $a: J \rightarrow J$ and $b: J^{(2)} \rightarrow J^{(1/2)}$ of polarized Jacobians such that $V = b \circ F \circ a$. Let F' be the Frobenius morphism from $J^{(1/2)}$ to J . By definition, $F'V$ is multiplication by 2 on J .

Torelli’s theorem tells us that there are isomorphisms $\alpha: C \rightarrow C$ and $\beta: C^{(2)} \rightarrow C^{(1/2)}$ such that $a = \alpha_*$ and $b = \beta_*$. Also, if we let $\varphi: C \rightarrow C^{(2)}$ and $\varphi': C^{(1/2)} \rightarrow C$ be the Frobenius morphisms of curves, then $F = \varphi_*$ and $F' = \varphi'_*$.

Let ∞ be the infinite point on C and let P be any point on C other than ∞ . Then in the Jacobian of C we have

$$\begin{aligned} [2P - 2\infty] &= 2[P - \infty] \\ &= (F' \circ V)([P - \infty]) \\ &= (F' \circ b \circ F \circ a)([P - \infty]) \\ &= (\varphi'_* \circ \beta_* \circ \varphi_* \circ \alpha_*)([P - \infty]) \\ &= [(\varphi' \circ \beta \circ \varphi \circ \alpha)(P) - \infty] \\ &= [Q - \infty] \\ &= [Q + \infty - 2\infty] \end{aligned}$$

where $Q = (\varphi' \circ \beta \circ \varphi \circ \alpha)(P)$. But since $P \neq \infty$, this equality contradicts the fact (noted above) that every nonzero point on J has a unique representation as the class of a divisor of the form $[R + S - 2\infty]$. \square

5.4. Parametrizing dihedral diagrams. The dihedral construction that we presented in Section 5.1 begins with a supersingular hyperelliptic curve of genus 4 with two anti-commuting involutions, so we must ask: Do any such curves exist? In this section we present a family of such curves and show that all such curves are members of the family.

Throughout this section, k will be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. Let r and s be two distinct nonzero elements of k . We produce a diagram like Diagram (5), in which D is supersingular of genus 4 and D is Galois over the bottom \mathbb{P}^1 , as follows:

Let a and c be elements of k with $a^{40} = r$ and $c^{40} = s$. (We will show that the isomorphism class of the diagram we construct does not depend on the choice of a and c .) Set

$$(7) \quad B_1 = \frac{a^5 + c^{10} + 1}{a^4 c^2}$$

$$(8) \quad B_2 = \frac{a^{10} + c^5 + 1}{a^2 c^4},$$

and let $b = c^2$ and $d = a^2$. Finally, let e be a root of the polynomial

$$(9) \quad p_{16}T^{16} + p_8T^8 + p_4T^4 + p_2T^2 + p_1T + p_0,$$

where

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} p_{16} = a^8 c^8 \\ p_8 = (a^{10} + a^5 c^5 + c^{10} + 1)^2 \\ p_4 = a^6 c^6 (a^5 + 1)^2 (c^5 + 1)^2 \\ p_2 = a^4 c^4 (a^{10} + a^5 c^5 + c^{10} + a^5 + c^5)^2 \\ p_1 = a^8 c^8 (a^5 + c^5)^2 \\ p_0 = a^7 c^7 (a^5 + 1)(c^5 + 1)(a^5 + c^5)^2. \end{cases}$$

Let t be a parameter for a copy of \mathbb{P}^1 , and set

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (ct^2 + a^4 t + ce^2) / (a^5 + c^5) \\ u &= (at^2 + c^4 t + ae^2) / (a^5 + c^5). \end{aligned}$$

Note that then we have¹⁴

$$(11) \quad a^2 x^2 + c^4 x + c^2 u^2 + a^4 u + e^2 = 0.$$

Finally, let $z = a^2 x^2 + c^4 x$. By (11), we also have $z = c^2 u^2 + a^4 u + e^2$. This gives us a V_4 diagram of copies of \mathbb{P}^1 , with t being a parameter for the top copy, x a parameter for the left copy, u a parameter for the right copy, and z a parameter for the bottom copy. We give

¹⁴See Verification 5.4a in the Magma file.

names to some of these covers as in the following diagram:

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{P}^1 & & \\ & \swarrow \chi_1 & \downarrow & \searrow \chi_2 & \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & & \mathbb{P}^1 & & \mathbb{P}^1 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1 & & \end{array}$$

Now consider the extension $\psi_1: C_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of the leftmost \mathbb{P}^1 defined by $y^2 + y = x^5 + B_1x^3$ and the extension $\psi_2: C_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of the rightmost \mathbb{P}^1 defined by $v^2 + v = u^5 + B_2u^3$. Each of these Artin–Schreier extensions gives rise to an Artin–Schreier extension of the top \mathbb{P}^1 , and we claim that these two extensions are in fact isomorphic as Artin–Schreier extensions of \mathbb{P}^1 ; to show this, we need only check that the element

$$R := x^5 + B_1x^3 + u^5 + B_2u^3$$

of $k(t)$ can be written $S^2 + S$ for some element S of $k(t)$.

We produce such an S as follows: Let

$$\begin{aligned} s_5 &= \frac{1}{(a^5 + c^5)^2} \\ s_4 &= \frac{e}{(a^5 + c^5)^2} \\ s_3 &= \frac{a^{10} + a^5c^5 + a^5 + c^{10} + c^5}{a^2c^2(a^5 + c^5)^2} \\ s_2 &= \frac{e^8}{(a^5 + c^5)^2} + \frac{e^4(a^{10} + a^5c^5 + c^{10} + 1)}{a^4c^4(a^5 + c^5)^2} + \frac{e^2(a^5 + 1)(c^5 + 1)}{ac(a^5 + c^5)^2} \\ s_1 &= \frac{e^4(a^5 + c^5 + 1)}{(a^5 + c^5)^2} \end{aligned}$$

and let s_0 be an element of k such that

$$s_0^2 + s_0 = \frac{e^{10}}{(a^5 + c^5)^4} + \frac{e^6(a^{10} + a^5c^5 + c^{10} + 1)}{a^4c^4(a^5 + c^5)^2}.$$

Let $S = s_5t^5 + s_4t^4 + s_3t^3 + s_2t^2 + s_1t + s_0$. With the aid of a computer algebra system, we check that then $R = S^2 + S$, as desired.¹⁵ Thus, if we let $\psi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the double cover of the top \mathbb{P}^1 induced by ψ_1 and χ_1 , then by identifying v with $y + S$ we can also take $\psi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ to be the double cover of the top \mathbb{P}^1 induced by ψ_2 and χ_2 .

Since D is obtained as the compositum of the two Artin–Schreier covers ψ_1 and χ_1 of the leftmost \mathbb{P}^1 , there is also a third curve intermediate between D and the leftmost \mathbb{P}^1 . We call this curve C'_1 , and we let $\varphi_1: D \rightarrow C_1$ and $\varphi'_1: D \rightarrow C'_1$ be the associated double

¹⁵See Verification 5.4b in the Magma file.

covers. We define $\varphi_2: D \rightarrow C_2$ and $\varphi'_2: D \rightarrow C'_2$ analogously. At this point, we have the following diagram:

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & D & & \\ & \varphi'_1 \swarrow & \downarrow \psi & \searrow \varphi'_2 & \\ C'_1 & & \mathbb{P}^1 & & C'_2 \\ & \psi'_1 \swarrow & \downarrow \psi & \searrow \psi'_2 & \\ & C_1 & \mathbb{P}^1 & & C_2 \\ & \downarrow \psi_1 & \downarrow \chi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_2 \\ & \mathbb{P}^1 & \mathbb{P}^1 & & \mathbb{P}^1 \\ & \downarrow \chi_1 & \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi_2 \\ & \mathbb{P}^1 & & & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

The involution α of D that fixes C_1 is given by $t \rightarrow t + a^4/c$ and $y \mapsto y$. The involution β of D that fixes C_2 is given by $t \rightarrow t + c^4/a$ and $v \mapsto v$, so that

$$\beta(y) = \beta(v + S(t)) = v + S\left(t + \frac{c^4}{a}\right) = y + S(t) + S\left(t + \frac{c^4}{a}\right).$$

We then check that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\beta\alpha\beta(y) &= \alpha\beta\alpha\left(y + S(t) + S\left(t + \frac{c^4}{a}\right)\right) \\ &= \alpha\beta\left(y + S\left(t + \frac{a^4}{c}\right) + S\left(t + \frac{a^4}{c} + \frac{c^4}{a}\right)\right) \\ &= \alpha\left(y + S(t) + S\left(t + \frac{c^4}{a}\right) + S\left(t + \frac{a^4}{c} + \frac{c^4}{a}\right) + S\left(t + \frac{a^4}{c}\right)\right) \\ &= y + S\left(t + \frac{a^4}{c}\right) + S\left(t + \frac{a^4}{c} + \frac{c^4}{a}\right) + S\left(t + \frac{c^4}{a}\right) + S(t), \end{aligned}$$

and this last expression simplifies¹⁶ to $y + 1$. Since $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ is easily seen to fix t , we find that $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ is in fact the hyperelliptic involution ι of $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. This is enough to show that α and β generate a dihedral group of order 8.

Thus, Diagram (13) is an example of Diagram (5).

Lemma 5.5. *Up to isomorphism, Diagram (13) is specified completely by the two equations*

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 + y &= x^5 + B_1x^3 \\ 0 &= a^2x^2 + c^4x + c^2u^2 + a^4u + e^2, \end{aligned}$$

where B_1 is given by (7).

Proof. This follows from Remark 5.2, because the hyperelliptic curve D is specified by the two given equations, while the top \mathbb{P}^1 in the diagram is given by the conic defined by the equation in x and u , and the two relevant involutions of the conic are $(x, u) \mapsto (x, u + a^4/c^2)$ and $(x, u) \mapsto (x + c^4/a^2, u)$. \square

Lemma 5.6. *The isomorphism class of Diagram (13) depends only on r and s .*

¹⁶See Verification 5.4c in the Magma file.

Proof. First we show that for a given choice of a and c with $a^{40} = r$ and $c^{40} = s$, the isomorphism class of Diagram (13) does not depend on our choice of the root e of (9).

Let e be a root of (9). The diagram we obtain is then specified up to isomorphism by the two equations in Lemma 5.5. Let r be a root of the polynomial

$$g := T^{16} + B_1^4 T^8 + B_1^2 T^2 + T,$$

so that by Remark 2.4 there is a polynomial $f \in k[x]$ such that $(x, y) \mapsto (x + r, y + f)$ is an automorphism of the curve $y^2 + y = x^5 + B_1 x^3$. Let $\varepsilon := ar + c^2 \sqrt{r}$. Then the map $(x, u, y) \mapsto (x + r, u, y + f)$ gives an isomorphism from the curve specified by the equations in Lemma 5.5 to the curve specified by the same equations, but with e replaced with $e + \varepsilon$. Furthermore, this isomorphism identifies the two involutions $x \mapsto x + c^4/a^2$ and $u \mapsto u + a^4/c^2$ of the conic involving e to the same involutions of the conic involving $e + \varepsilon$, so the diagram involving e is isomorphic to the one involving $e + \varepsilon$.

We check¹⁷ that ε is a root of the polynomial

$$p_{16}T^{16} + p_8T^8 + p_4T^4 + p_2T^2 + p_1T$$

obtained from (9) by removing the constant term. It follows that $e + \varepsilon$ is a root of (9). If we can show that every root of (9) can be obtained from a root of g in this way, then we will have shown that the isomorphism class of Diagram (13) does not depend on our choice of the root e .

The 16 roots of g form an additive group that acts on the roots of (9) via $(r, e) \mapsto e + ar + c^2 \sqrt{r}$. To show that the action is transitive we need only show that no nonzero root r of g satisfies $ar + c^2 \sqrt{r} = 0$; that is, we need only show that c^4/a^2 is not a root of g . By direct calculation¹⁸ we find that $g(c^4/a^2) = c^4(a^5 + c^5)^4/a^{32}$, which is nonzero. Therefore, up to isomorphism, Diagram (13) does not depend on our choice of the root e .

Now we move on to showing that the isomorphism class of the diagram does not depend on our choice of the elements a and c with $a^{40} = r$ and $c^{40} = s$. Let ζ_1 and ζ_2 be two fifth roots of unity, and let us repeat the construction with a and c replaced with $a' := a\zeta_1$ and $c' := c\zeta_2$, respectively.

Going through the construction with these new values of a and c , we find that the new values of several other constants are related to the old ones as follows:

$$\begin{array}{llll} B'_1 = B_1 \zeta_1 \zeta_2^3 & p'_{16} = p_{16} \zeta_1^3 \zeta_2^3 & p'_4 = p_4 \zeta_1 \zeta_2 & p'_1 = p_1 \zeta_1^3 \zeta_2^3 \\ B'_2 = B_2 \zeta_1^3 \zeta_2 & p'_8 = p_8 & p'_2 = p_2 \zeta_1^4 \zeta_2^4 & p'_0 = p_0 \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2^2. \end{array}$$

Then one possible choice for e' would be $e' := e \zeta_1^4 \zeta_2^4$, and since the choice of a root of (9) does not affect the isomorphic class of the diagram, we may take this e' as our choice.

Let us take the parameter t' in the new construction to be $t \zeta_1^4 \zeta_2^4$. Then we have $x' = x \zeta_1^3 \zeta_2^4$ and $u' = \zeta_1^4 \zeta_2^3 u$, so that $(x')^5 + B'_1 (x')^3 = x^5 + B_1 x^3$ and $(u')^5 + B'_2 (u')^3 = u^5 + B_2 u^3$. Thus, by setting $y' = y$ and $v' = v$, we obtain an isomorphism from the diagram obtained from a and c to the one obtained from a' and c' . \square

¹⁷See Verification 5.6a in the Magma file.

¹⁸See Verification 5.6b in the Magma file.

Lemma 5.7. *The invariants of the curves C_1 and C_2 in Diagram (13) are given by*

$$I_1 = \frac{(r + s^2 + 1)^5}{r^4 s^2}$$

$$I_2 = \frac{(r^2 + s + 1)^5}{r^2 s^4}.$$

Proof. This follows by raising both sides of (7) and (8) to the 40th power. \square

We arrive at the main results of this section.

Theorem 5.8. *Let C_1 and C_2 be supersingular genus-2 curves with invariants I_1 and I_2 , respectively. Up to isomorphism, diagrams in the form of Diagram (5) containing this C_1 and C_2 are in bijection with pairs (r, s) of distinct nonzero elements of k satisfying*


$$(r + s^2 + 1)^5 = r^4 s^2 I_1$$

$$(r^2 + s + 1)^5 = r^2 s^4 I_2.$$

Proof. Given a diagram of the form (5) containing the given C_1 and C_2 , we will show how to determine a unique pair (r, s) that gives rise to it via the construction described in this section.

Choose elements B_1 and B_2 of k so that $B_1^{40} = I_1$ and $B_2^{40} = I_2$, and choose coordinates x and u for the leftmost and rightmost \mathbb{P}^1 s in the diagram, respectively, so that the extensions $C_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $C_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ are given by $y^2 + y = x^5 + B_1 x^3$ and $v^2 + v = u^5 + B_2 u^3$, respectively.

We begin by focusing on a subdiagram, looking especially at the relationships among the copies of \mathbb{P}^1 :



Since the hyperelliptic curve D in Diagram (5) is supersingular, the double cover $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of the top \mathbb{P}^1 is ramified at only one point. The point ∞ in the left \mathbb{P}^1 ramifies in $C_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, so if two points of the top \mathbb{P}^1 mapped to ∞ in the left \mathbb{P}^1 , both of those points would ramify in the double cover $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, a contradiction. Therefore ∞ ramifies in the top left cover $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and similarly in the top right cover $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. It follows that ∞ in the left \mathbb{P}^1 and ∞ in the right \mathbb{P}^1 map to the same point in the bottom \mathbb{P}^1 , and this point in the bottom \mathbb{P}^1 ramifies going up to the left and going up to the right. In fact, this point is the only point of the bottom \mathbb{P}^1 that ramifies going up to D , and it ramifies totally.

We can choose a coordinate z on the bottom \mathbb{P}^1 so that this common image point is ∞ . Then the bottom left map $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is of the form $z = a^2 x^2 + b^2 x + e_1^2$ and the bottom

right map $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is $z = c^2u^2 + d^2u + e_2^2$. (We take the coefficients to be squares to avoid having square roots appear in later formulæ.) Setting $e = e_1 + e_2$, we find that the V_4 diagram of copies of \mathbb{P}^1 is specified by the relation

$$(15) \quad a^2x^2 + b^2x + c^2u^2 + d^2u + e^2 = 0,$$

for some $a, b, c, d, e \in k$. We note that a, b, c , and d must all be nonzero in order for the bottom left and bottom right covers $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ to be separable maps of degree 2. The conic defined by this equation is the \mathbb{P}^1 that appears in the top of the V_4 diagram, so the conic must be nonsingular, which means simply that $ad^2 + b^2c \neq 0$.

The conic defined by (15) does not change if we multiply all of the coefficients by a nonzero scalar, and there is a unique scaling factor that will result in the equality $d = a^2$. We scale the coefficients so that this equality holds.

If we take $t = ax + cu$, then t generates the function field of the top \mathbb{P}^1 , and we have¹⁹

$$(16) \quad x = (ct^2 + d^2t + ce^2)/(ad^2 + b^2c)$$

$$(17) \quad u = (at^2 + b^2t + ae^2)/(ad^2 + b^2c).$$

Recall that Diagram (6) shows a V_4 extension extracted from Diagram (5). The middle extension $C_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ in Diagram (6) is the Artin–Schreier extension

$$y^2 + y = x^5 + B_1x^3.$$

The extension $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ on the right is given by (15), which we can scale and rewrite as an Artin–Schreier equation:

$$\left(\frac{c^2}{d^2}u\right)^2 + \left(\frac{c^2}{d^2}u\right) = \frac{a^2c^2}{d^4}x^2 + \frac{b^2c^2}{d^4}x + \frac{e^2c^2}{d^4}.$$

Therefore the double cover $C'_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is given by

$$\left(y + \frac{c^2}{d^2}u\right)^2 + \left(y + \frac{c^2}{d^2}u\right) = x^5 + B_1x^3 + \frac{a^2c^2}{d^4}x^2 + \frac{b^2c^2}{d^4}x + \frac{e^2c^2}{d^4}.$$

Consider the involution β of D , which we know fixes C_2 and takes C_1 to C'_1 . On the V_4 diagram of copies of \mathbb{P}^1 at the bottom of (14), the involution β must then act trivially on the rightmost \mathbb{P}^1 and nontrivially on the leftmost \mathbb{P}^1 . Since β fixes C_2 we must have $\beta^*u = u$ and $\beta^*v = v$. Since β acts nontrivially on the leftmost \mathbb{P}^1 but fixes the bottom \mathbb{P}^1 , we must have $\beta^*x = x + b^2/a^2$. And since β takes C_1 to C'_1 , we must have

$$\beta^*y = y + \frac{c^2}{d^2}u + F$$

for some $F \in k(x)$. Applying β^* to $y^2 + y = x^5 + B_1x^3$ we find that

$$\left(y + \frac{c^2}{d^2}u + F\right)^2 + \left(y + \frac{c^2}{d^2}u + F\right) = \left(x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}\right)^5 + B_1\left(x + \frac{b^2}{a^2}\right)^3,$$

¹⁹See Verification 5.8a in the Magma file.

which simplifies²⁰ to

$$(18) \quad F^2 + F = \frac{b^2}{a^2}x^4 + \left(\frac{B_1b^2}{a^2} + \frac{a^2c^2}{d^4} \right) x^2 \\ + \left(\frac{b^8}{a^8} + \frac{B_1b^4}{a^4} + \frac{b^2c^2}{d^4} \right) x + \left(\frac{b^{10}}{a^{10}} + \frac{B_1b^6}{a^6} + \frac{e^2c^2}{d^4} \right).$$

Therefore $F = f_2x^2 + f_1x + f_0$ for some $f_2, f_1, f_0 \in k$. Looking at the coefficient of x^4 in the preceding equality, we find that $f_2 = b/a$. Looking at the coefficient of x , we find

$$f_1 = \frac{b^8}{a^8} + \frac{B_1b^4}{a^4} + \frac{b^2c^2}{d^4}.$$

We also have the condition that β is an involution, which implies that

$$f_2x^2 + f_1x + f_0 + f_2 \left(x + \frac{b^2}{a^2} \right)^2 + f_1 \left(x + \frac{b^2}{a^2} \right) + f_0 = 0;$$

this simplifies to $a^2f_1 + b^2f_2 = 0$. Combining these three relations, we find that

$$(19) \quad 0 = B_1a^4b^2d^4 + a^8c^2 + a^5bd^4 + b^6d^4.$$

The same argument, applied to the involution α , shows that we must have

$$(20) \quad 0 = B_2b^4c^4d^2 + a^2c^8 + b^4c^5d + b^4d^6.$$

Next we use the fact that the extension $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of the top \mathbb{P}^1 in Diagram (14) is obtained both from $C_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and from $C_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. This simply means that the Artin–Schreier extension of $k(t)$ we get from (16) and $y^2 + y = x^5 + B_1x^3$ is isomorphic to the Artin–Schreier extension we get from (17) and $v^2 + v = u^5 + B_2u^3$. This implies that the expression

$$R = x^5 + B_1x^3 + u^5 + B_2u^3,$$

viewed as a polynomial in t , can be written $S^2 + S$ for some $S \in k[t]$. If we write

$$R = s_{10}t^{10} + s_9t^9 + s_8t^8 + \cdots + s_1t + s_0,$$

then the equality $R = S^2 + S$ implies (among other things) that

$$(21) \quad 0 = s_9 \\ 0 = s_8 + s_4^2 + s_2^4 + s_1^8.$$

With a computer algebra system it is easy to check²¹ that

$$s_9 = \frac{(a^2b + c^2d)^2}{(ad^2 + b^2c)^5},$$

so (21) is equivalent to $a^2b = c^2d$. Since we have scaled our coefficients so that $d = a^2$, it follows that we also have $b = c^2$. Now we use these equalities to eliminate b and d from our equations. First we note that the nonsingularity of the conic defined by (15) implies that $a^5 \neq c^5$. Second, using the fact that a and c are nonzero, we find that (19) becomes (7) and (20) becomes (8).

²⁰See Verification 5.8b in the Magma file for this statement and the following one.

²¹See Verification 5.8c in the Magma file.

Using our new scaled variables we find²² that

$$s_8 + s_4^2 + s_2^4 + s_1^8 = \frac{(p_{16}e^{16} + p_8e^8 + p_4e^4 + p_2e^2 + p_1e + p_0)^2}{a^{16}c^{16}(a^5 + c^5)^8},$$

where the coefficients p_i are as in (10). Therefore e is a root of (9).

Let $r = a^{40}$ and $s = c^{40}$. Then a, c, e, B_1 , and B_2 are exactly as in the construction presented at the beginning of the section, applied to r and s .

We see that every diagram in the form of Diagram (5) that contains our given C_1 and C_2 comes from an (r, s) pair with r and s nonzero and distinct. Furthermore, since r and s can be recovered from the diagram, distinct pairs give rise to distinct diagrams. The theorem follows. \square

The preceding theorem is phrased in terms of the invariants I_1 and I_2 of the curves C_1 and C_2 . There is an equivalent statement that involves specifying models for C_1 and C_2 .

Corollary 5.9. *Let C_1 and C_2 be supersingular genus-2 curves given by equations $y^2 + y = x^5 + B_1x^3$ and $y^2 + y = x^5 + B_2x^3$. Up to isomorphism, diagrams in the form of Diagram (5) containing this C_1 and C_2 are in bijection with pairs (a, c) of nonzero elements of k such that $a^5 \neq c^5$ and*

$$(22) \quad \begin{cases} a^5 + c^{10} + 1 = a^4c^2B_1 \\ a^{10} + c^5 + 1 = a^2c^4B_2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first step of the proof of Theorem 5.8 was to choose B_1 and B_2 in k with $B_1^{40} = I_1$ and $B_2^{40} = I_2$, so that $y^2 + y = x^5 + B_i x^3$ is a model for the curve with invariant I_i . Then the proof showed how dihedral diagrams containing the given curves are in bijection with pairs (a, c) with $a^5 \neq c^5$ satisfying (22). \square

In the following section we will use Theorem 5.8 and its corollary to count Richelot isogenies between supersingular curves. At this point, however, we are already able to see an easy consequence of the theorem.

Corollary 5.10. *There are no diagrams in the form of Diagram (5) when C_1 and C_2 both have supersingular invariant 0.*

Proof. Theorem 5.8 says that such diagrams correspond to pairs (r, s) of distinct nonzero elements of k with $r = s^2 + 1$ and $s = r^2 + 1$. Suppose we have such a pair. Combining the two equalities we find that $r^4 + r = 0$, and since $r \neq 0$ we must have $r^3 = 1$. We cannot have $r = 1$ because then $s = 0$, so r must be a primitive cube root of unity. But then from $s = r^2 + 1$ we see that $s = r$, which contradicts the requirement that r and s be distinct. \square

5.5. Counting isogenies. Now we are in a position to show that the dihedral construction, the degenerate construction, the Frobenius, and the Verschiebung account for all of the Richelot isogenies between two supersingular curves. First we look at the case of two curves whose supersingular invariants are nonzero, so that according to Theorem 1.1 there are 60 isogenies to account for.

²²See Verification 5.8d in the Magma file.

Theorem 5.11. *Let C_1 and C_2 be supersingular genus-2 curves over k with supersingular invariants I_1 and I_2 , respectively, and suppose I_1 and I_2 are nonzero. Then the number of isomorphism classes of Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 coming from the dihedral construction, from the degenerate construction, from Frobenius, and from Verschiebung are as given in Table 1, and these account for all of the Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 .*

TABLE 1. The number of isomorphism classes of Richelot isogenies from a supersingular genus-2 curve with invariant $I_1 \neq 0$ to a supersingular genus-2 curve with invariant $I_2 \neq 0$ coming from the dihedral construction, from the degenerate construction, from Frobenius, and from Verschiebung, depending on whether $I_1 = I_2$, $I_1 = I_2^2$, and $I_2 = I_1^2$. The fourth row gives the case where I_1 and I_2 are distinct primitive cube roots of unity, and the sixth row gives the case when $I_1 = I_2 = 1$.

Conditions on I_1 and I_2 :			Number of isogenies coming from...			
$I_1 = I_2?$	$I_1 = I_2^2?$	$I_2 = I_1^2?$	Dihedral	Degen.	Frob.	Ver.
no	no	no	60	0	0	0
no	no	yes	59	0	1	0
no	yes	no	59	0	0	1
no	yes	yes	58	0	1	1
yes	no	no	50	10	0	0
yes	yes	yes	48	10	1	1

Proof. First let us consider the number of isogenies coming from the dihedral construction. Pick values of B_1 and B_2 in k so that $B_1^{40} = I_1$ and $B_2^{40} = I_2$. From Proposition 5.1 and Corollary 5.9 we know that the number of isomorphism classes of Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 is given by the number of pairs (a, c) of nonzero elements of k with $a^5 \neq c^5$ that satisfy (22).

Note that the conditions that $I_1 = I_2$ or $I_1 = I_2^2$ or $I_2 = I_1^2$ become the conditions that $B_1^5 = B_2^5$ or $B_1^5 = B_2^{10}$ or $B_2^5 = B_1^{10}$, respectively.

Let us view a, c, B_1 , and B_2 as indeterminates, and let f_1, f_2 , and g be the polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[a, c, B_1, B_2]$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= B_1 a^4 c^2 + a^5 + c^{10} + 1 \\ f_2 &= B_2 a^2 c^4 + a^{10} + c^5 + 1 \\ g &= B_1^2 a^8 + B_2 a^2 + c^{16} + c. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $c^4 g = f_1^2 + f_2$, so every set of nonzero values of a, c, B_1 , and B_2 that satisfies f_1 and f_2 also satisfies g , and every set of nonzero values that satisfies f_1 and g also satisfies f_2 .

Given two elements h_1, h_2 in $\mathbb{F}_2[a, c, B_1, B_2]$ and a variable $v \in \{a, c, B_1, B_2\}$, we let $\text{Res}_v(h_1, h_2)$ denote the resultant of h_1 and h_2 with respect to the variable v and we let

$\text{Disc}_v(h_1)$ denote the discriminant of h_1 with respect to the variable v . We compute²³ that

$$(23) \quad \text{Res}_c(f_1, g_1) = a^{20}R,$$

where

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} R = & a^{60} + a^{45} + a^{40} + B_1B_2^2a^{33} + B_1B_2^2a^{28} + a^{25} + B_1^2B_2^4a^{21} \\ & + a^{20} + B_1B_2^2a^{18} + B_1^2B_2^4a^{16} + B_1^4B_2^8a^{12} + B_1^3B_2^6a^9 + B_1B_2^2a^8 \\ & + B_1^2B_2^4a^6 + a^5 + B_1^3B_2^6a^4 + B_1B_2^2a^3 + B_1^2B_2^4a + (B_1^5 + B_2^{10}). \end{aligned}$$

We also check that

$$(25) \quad \text{Disc}_a R = (B_1^5 + B_2^{10})^{44}.$$

Suppose now we are given specific nonzero values of B_1 and B_2 in k with $B_1^5 \neq B_2^{10}$ and $B_2^5 \neq B_1^{10}$ and $B_1^5 \neq B_2^5$. From (23) and (25) we find that there are exactly 60 nonzero values of $a \in k$ for which there exists a $c \in k$ with $f_1(a, c) = g(a, c) = 0$. We claim that each of these values of c is nonzero. To see this, note that if c were 0 we would have $0 = f_1(a, 0) = a^5 + 1$ and $0 = g(a, 0) = B_1^2a^8 + B_2a^2$; combining these equalities, we find that $B_1^{10} = B_2^5$, a contradiction. Thus there are exactly 60 pairs (a, c) of nonzero elements of k that satisfy $f_1(a, c) = f_2(a, c) = 0$. We must still consider whether any of these pairs fails to satisfy the requirement that $a^5 \neq c^5$. But if $a^5 = c^5$ and $f_1(a, c) = f_2(a, c) = 0$, then we find that $B_1^5 = B_2^5$, a contradiction. This gives us the count of dihedral Richelot isogenies on the first line of Table 1.

Now consider the case when $I_1 \neq I_2^2$ and $I_2 \neq I_1^2$ but $I_1 = I_2$. In this case we choose our values B_1 and B_2 to be equal, and we repeat the computations we above, but this time we work in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_2[a, c, B]$ and set $B_1 = B_2 = B$. (Note that the assumptions that $I_1 \neq I_2^2$ and $I_2 \neq I_1^2$ imply that $I_1 \neq 1$, so $B^5 \neq 1$.) The same argument as before shows that there are 60 pairs (a, c) of nonzero elements of k that satisfy f_1 and f_2 , but now for some number of these pairs we have $a^5 = c^5$. We count these unacceptable pairs as follows.

Suppose we have a solution pair (a, c) with $a^5 = c^5$. Write $c = a\zeta$, where $\zeta^5 = 1$. Since $f_1(a, c) = f_2(a, c) = 0$ and $B_1 = B_2$, we find that $\zeta^2 = \zeta^4$, so $\zeta = 1$. Thus, the bad (a, c) pairs correspond to the values of a such that $f_1(a, a) = 0$; that is, the roots of $T^{10} + BT^6 + T^5 + 1$. This polynomial has discriminant 1, so it has 10 distinct roots, all nonzero.²⁴ This shows that of the 60 pairs (a, c) of nonzero elements satisfying f_1 and f_2 , exactly 10 fail to meet the condition that $a^5 \neq c^5$. This gives us the count of dihedral Richelot isogenies on the fifth line of Table 1.

Now we consider the case where $I_2 = I_1^2$, but $I_1 \neq I_2$ and $I_1 \neq I_2^2$. We choose our values B_1 and B_2 so that $B_2 = B_1^2$. Again we find 60 distinct nonzero values of a for which there exists a $c \in k$ with $f_1(a, c) = g(a, c) = 0$. However, we check that now there is a (unique) nonzero value of a satisfying $f_1(a, 0) = g(a, 0) = 0$, namely $a = 1$. This leaves us with 59 pairs (a, c) of nonzero elements satisfying $f_1(a, c) = f_2(a, c) = 0$, and once again the assumption that $B_1^5 \neq B_2^5$ shows that $a^5 \neq c^5$ for each of these pairs. This gives us the

²³See Verification 5.11a in the Magma file.

²⁴See Verification 5.11b in the Magma file.

count of dihedral Richelot isogenies on the second line of Table 1, and the entry on the third line follows by symmetry.

We turn to the case where $I_1 = I_2^2$ and $I_2 = I_1^2$, but where $I_1 \neq I_2$. This means that I_1 and I_2 are distinct primitive cube roots of unity, and we can choose B_1 and B_2 so that they too are distinct primitive cube roots of unity. We can let a run through the nonzero roots of the polynomial R from (24) and let c run through the nonzero roots of the corresponding polynomial with the roles of B_1 and B_2 reversed, and check to see which pairs (a, c) satisfy $f_1(a, c) = f_2(a, c) = 0$ and $a^5 \neq c^5$. We find exactly 58 pairs²⁵, and this gives us the count of dihedral Richelot isogenies on the fourth line of Table 1.

Finally, we look at the case where $I_1 = I_2 = 1$. As in the preceding case, we can explicitly calculate the pairs (a, c) of nonzero elements of k such that $f_1(a, c) = f_2(a, c) = 0$ and $a^5 \neq c^5$, and we find there are 48 such pairs.²⁶ This gives us the count of dihedral Richelot isogenies on the last line of Table 1.

We turn now to the question of counting degenerate Richelot isogenies. Degenerate isogenies require that $C_1 \cong C_2$; that is, that $I_1 = I_2$. This explains the four zero entries in the ‘‘Degenerate’’ column of Table 1.

Suppose $I_1 = I_2$, and let C be the curve $C_1 \cong C_2$. We see from Proposition 5.3 that the number of degenerate Richelot isogenies is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of elements $\gamma \in \text{Aut } C$ with $\gamma^2 = \iota$, the hyperelliptic involution. Statement 2(c) of Lemma 2.1 says that there are exactly 10 such conjugacy classes, and this gives us the count of degenerate Richelot isogenies on the last two lines of the table.

The entries for Frobenius and Verschiebung are self-explanatory.

We see that for each pair (I_1, I_2) we can account for 60 distinct Richelot isogenies, the number given by Theorem 1.1. \square

Theorem 5.12. *Let C_1 and C_2 be supersingular genus-2 curves over k with supersingular invariants $I_1 \neq 0$ and $I_2 = 0$, respectively. Then up to isomorphism, there are 12 Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 coming from the dihedral construction, and these account for all of the Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 .*

Proof. From Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.8 we know that the number of isomorphism classes of Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 coming from the dihedral construction is equal to the number of pairs (r, s) of distinct nonzero element of k such that $r^2 + s + 1 = 0$ and $(r + s^2 + 1)^5 = r^4 s^2 I_1$. Plugging $s = r^2 + 1$ into the second equality, we find that $r^4(r + 1)^4 f = 0$, where

$$f := r^{12} + r^9 + r^8 + r^5 + r^4 + r + I_1 = r(r + 1)(r^2 + r + 1)^5 + I_1.$$

Since we only want to count (r, s) pairs where r and s are nonzero, we can ignore the solutions where $r = 0$ or $r = 1$, and look only at the roots of f . The discriminant of f is $I_1^8 \neq 0$, and the constant term of f is also nonzero, so we find²⁷ there are 12 possible nonzero values of r — and none of them is equal to 1, so the corresponding values of s are nonzero. The last thing we must check is that for the roots r of f , we do not have $r = r^2 + 1$, and this is clear from the rightmost expression for f .

²⁵See Verification 5.11c in the Magma file.

²⁶See Verification 5.11d in the Magma file.

²⁷See Verification 5.12 in the Magma file.

Thus we have 12 pairs (r, s) that give rise to distinct dihedral Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 , and by Theorem 3.1 this accounts for all of the Richelot isogenies from C_1 to C_2 . \square

Theorem 5.13. *Let C be the supersingular genus-2 curve over k with supersingular invariant 0. There are exactly 2 isomorphism classes of Richelot isogeny from C to itself coming from the degenerate construction, and these two degenerate isogenies, together with the Frobenius and the Verschiebung, represent all of the isomorphism classes of Richelot isogenies from C to itself.*

Proof. Corollary 5.10 says that there are no Richelot isogenies from C to itself coming from the dihedral construction. Proposition 5.3 and part 3(c) of Lemma 2.1 show that there are exactly 2 isomorphism classes of Richelot isogeny from C to itself coming from the degenerate construction. The Frobenius and the Verschiebung are also Richelot isogenies from C to itself, and Theorem 5.4 says that they are not isomorphic to one another. This gives us a total of four isomorphism classes of Richelot isogeny from C to itself, and Theorem 1.1 says that there are no others. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Jean-Benoît Bost and Jean-François Mestre, *Moyenne arithmético-géométrique et périodes des courbes de genre 1 et 2*, Gaz. Math. (1988), no. 38, 36–64.
- [2] J. W. S. Cassels and E. V. Flynn, *Prolegomena to a middlebrow arithmetic of curves of genus 2*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 230, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1996.
- [3] Everett W. Howe and Kristin E. Lauter, *New methods for bounding the number of points on curves over finite fields*, Geometry and arithmetic (C. Faber, G. Farkas, and R. de Jong, eds.), EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012, pp. 173–212.
- [4] Tomoyoshi Ibukiyama, Toshiyuki Katsura, and Frans Oort, *Supersingular curves of genus two and class numbers*, Compositio Math. **57** (1986), no. 2, 127–152.
- [5] E. Kani and M. Rosen, *Idempotent relations and factors of Jacobians*, Math. Ann. **284** (1989), no. 2, 307–327.
- [6] Toshiyuki Katsura and Frans Oort, *Families of supersingular abelian surfaces*, Compositio Math. **62** (1987), no. 2, 107–167.
- [7] Neal Koblitz, *p -adic variation of the zeta-function over families of varieties defined over finite fields*, Compositio Math. **31** (1975), no. 2, 119–218.
- [8] L. Königsberger, *Über die Transformation der Abelschen Functionen erster Ordnung*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **64** (1865), 17–42.
- [9] Yu. I. Manin, *Theory of commutative formal groups over fields of finite characteristic*, Russian Math. Surveys **18** (1963), no. 6, 1–83, translated by P. M. Cohn.
- [10] J. S. Milne, *Abelian varieties*, Arithmetic geometry (Storrs, Conn., 1984) (G. Cornell and J.H. Silverman, eds.), Springer, New York, 1986, pp. 103–150.
- [11] David Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, vol. 5, published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay by Oxford University Press, London, 1970.
- [12] Frédéric Richelot, *Essai sur une méthode générale pour déterminer la valeur des intégrales ultra-elliptiques, fondée sur des transformations remarquables de ces transcendentes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **2** (1836), 622–627.
- [13] Fried. Jul. Richelot, *De transformatione integralium Abelianorum primi ordinis commentatio*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **16** (1837), 221–341.
- [14] William C. Waterhouse, *Abelian varieties over finite fields*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **2** (1969), 521–560.
- [15] ———, *Introduction to affine group schemes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 66, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.

CENTER FOR COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH, 805 BUNN DRIVE, PRINCETON, NJ 08540-1966 USA
Email address: brock@idaccr.org

UNAFFILIATED MATHEMATICIAN, SAN DIEGO, CA, USA
URL: <http://ewhowe.com>
Email address: however@alumni.caltech.edu