## Positive Energy Functional for Massless Scalars in Rotating Black Hole Backgrounds of Maximal Ungauged Supergravity

M. Cvetič<sup>1,2</sup>, G.W. Gibbons<sup>3</sup>, C.N. Pope<sup>4,3</sup> and B.F. Whiting<sup>5</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA
- <sup>2</sup> Center for Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia
- <sup>3</sup> DAMTP, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge University, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, UK
  - <sup>4</sup> George P. & Cynthia W. Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-4242, USA
  - <sup>5</sup> Department of Physics, P.O. Box 118440, University of Florida, Gainsville, FL 32611-8440

We outline a proof of the stability of a massless neutral scalar field  $\psi$  in the background of a wide class of four dimensional asymptotically flat rotating and "electrically charged" solutions of supergravity, and the low energy limit of string theory, known as STU metrics. Despite their complexity, we find it possible to circumvent the difficulties presented by the existence of ergo-regions and the related phenomenon of super-radiance in the original metrics by following a strategy due to Whiting, and passing to an auxiliary metric admitting an everywhere lightlike Killing field and constructing a scalar field  $\Psi$  (related to a possible unstable mode  $\psi$  by a non-local transformation) which satisfies the massless wave equation with respect to the auxiliary metric. By contrast with the case for  $\psi$ , the associated energy density of  $\Psi$  is not only conserved but is also non-negative.

Recent successes of the LIGO and Virgo gravitational wave detectors [1], and of the Event Horizon Telescope [2], have triggered renewed interest in probing the near horizon geometry of black holes, and in testing the predictions of General Relativity against alternative theories of gravity. It is important that such alternative theories i) have a well-posed initial value formulation, ii) that proposed matter fields have an energy momentum tensor satisfying sensible energy conditions and, ideally, iii) for any black hole solutions obtained, that some minimum requirement of stability holds. An example obtained from String Theory and that meets the first two of these requirements is the Kerr-Sen metric [3], which generalized the Kerr-Newman spacetime, and has recently been the subject of much investigation in relation the recent results from observations with the event horizon telescope [2]. The supergravity-inspired STU metrics we study further generalize the Kerr-Sen metric, and the stability result we obtain applies equally well to it. STU theories are particularly interesting because they are Lagrangian based [4] and their matter sector separately satisfies the weak, strong and dominant energy conditions (see [5] for definitions) in common with all ungauged supergravity theories [6]. Thus, they have the potential to provide a genuine, physically-testable, mathematically consistent, viable alternative against which to evaluate the predictions of General Relativity as a theory of gravity.

The stability of black hole spacetimes within General Relativity has been of longstanding interest since the work of Regge and Wheeler [7] in 1957, and Zerilli [8] and Vishveshwara [9] in 1970, on the Schwarzschild

spacetime, and the initial work of Press and Teukolsky [10, 11] for the Kerr black hole in 1973. These papers were all concerned with mode stability: whether small perturbations can grow exponentially. A deeper analysis is required to establish whether linear perturbations decay generically at late times [12], and whether linear perturbations can excite non-linear growth [13]. A subtlety that arises for black holes is that even if the solution settles down to a stationary final state, it may not have the same mass and angular momentum as the solution around which one is linearising. The final solution should, however, by the no-hair theorems, be a regular stationary black hole within the space of solutions of the theory under consideration.

When one considers the stability of the rotating Kerr solution [14], one encounters the difficulty of dealing with super-radiance. Two key steps in understanding the stability of the Kerr solution were the separation of the relevant perturbation equations [15] and the construction, using properties of the resultant confluent Heun equations, of a modified wave equation admitting a conserved positive energy [16]. The latter has played an important role in a recent advances in this subject [17, 18].

The extension to the rotating electrically charged Kerr-Newman solution has been impeded by the lack of separation results analogous to those of [15]. For the special case of an electrically neutral massless scalar wave equation on a fixed Kerr-Newman background, see [19, 20]. Very recently, the Teukolsky equations for Kerr-Newman spacetime have been obtained [21] as a first, very preliminary, step towards being able to discuss the stability

question in this difficult case.

The main purpose of the present letter is to report on the mode stability of the massless wave equation on the fixed background of a class of four-dimensional fourcharged rotating black hole solutions [4, 22] of ungauged supergravity theory known as "STU black holes," which are generalisations of the Kerr-Newman black hole, admitting a separation of variables [23]. STU supergravity is a consistent truncation of maximal four-dimensional supergravity having four abelian gauge fields, and the four "charges" of the black holes we consider here are carried by these fields. If the charges are set equal, the solution reduces to the Kerr-Newman black hole [24]. The four-dimensional supergravity can be embedded within the low-energy limit of string theory, by means of a dimensional reduction on a 6-torus. For an account of the existence of Killing Staeckel and Killing-Yano tensors extending known results in the Kerr case, see [25], [24] and

In previous work [27, 28], three of us have advocated the use of these metrics as a foil to assess the accuracy with which astronomical observations of black holes can confirm that the metric is given by that of Kerr [14]. Quite apart from the STU black holes being solutions within supergravity or string theory, they have the merit that one can view them as a parameterised family of generalisations of the Kerr black hole for which, ungauged, their accompanying mater sector obeys the dominant, strong and weak energy conditions of general relativity. A further distinct advantage they have over many rival versions of modified gravity is that STU metrics can be obtained from a Lagrangian and so have a Hamiltonian and an initial-value formulation. To be effective as a foil, it is vital to understand whether STU black holes are stable, failing which they could not become the endpoints of gravitational wave emission arising from the inspiral and merger of massive black holes, as have recently been observed by the LIGO and Virgo collaborations, starting with GW150914 in 2015 [1]. A similar reliance on stability applies for the use of STU black holes (in particular the special case of the Sen [3] black hole) in relation to observations made by the event horizon telescope [2].

An outgoing mode solution is one that has no support on the past horizon or at past null infinity. With the separation of variables given in (6), an outgoing mode with complex  $\omega$  would grow exponentially in time if Im  $\omega > 0$ , and would therefore be considered "unstable." Outgoing modes where  $\omega$  is real and not equal to 0, if they exist, would also be considered unstable, since they would present an obstacle to proving decay at late times ( $\omega = 0$  is discussed further below). Outgoing modes with Im  $\omega < 0$  decay exponentially in time, and are "quasi-normal modes."

We shall follow the strategy employed for the Kerr metric in [16]. We start with the separated solutions of the massless wave equation  $\Box_q \psi = 0$ , where  $\Box_q$  is the co-

variant D'Alembertian of the stationary STU black hole metric g, and then construct a new function  $\Psi$  satisfying  $\Box_{\hat{g}}\Psi=0$ , where  $\Box_{\hat{g}}$  is the covariant D'Alembertian of an auxiliary metric  $\hat{g}$  with a null Killing vector field  $K^{\mu}$ .

By contrast with  $\psi$  and the physical metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , the conserved energy current  $J^{\mu} = -K^{\nu}T^{\mu}_{\ \nu}$  has a positive energy density,  $J^0 \geq 0$ , where  $T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\Psi \, \partial_{\nu}\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}\,\hat{g}^{\rho\sigma}\,\partial_{\rho}\Psi\,\partial_{\sigma}\Psi$  is the energy-momentum tensor with respect to the auxiliary metric  $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$ . In [16] it was shown that establishing positivity of an energy functional, albeit with respect to the auxiliary metric  $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$ , of the auxiliary field  $\Psi$  suffices to show mode stability of the original massless scalar field  $\psi$ . The relationship between  $\Psi$  and  $\psi$  is non-local, being effected by means of a pair of certain integral and differential transforms, chosen so that an unstable mode for  $\psi$  maps to an unstable mode for  $\Psi$ .

For the auxiliary metric  $d\hat{s}^2 = \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}$ , we have

$$d\hat{s}^{2} = \Omega^{2} \left( \frac{dr^{2}}{\Delta} + d\theta^{2} \right) + \frac{(P + a^{2} \cos^{2} \theta) \Delta \sin^{2} \theta}{a^{2} \Omega^{2}} d\phi^{2}$$
$$- \frac{2 \Delta^{1/2} \sin \theta}{a} dt d\phi, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\Delta$  is given in (4), and P is given in (17), and  $\Omega$  is given in (23). The function P is manifestly positive for all  $r \geq r_+$  (the radius of the outer horizon). This implies that with respect to the auxiliary metric  $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$  the azimuthal Killing vector  $\partial_{\phi}$  is everywhere spacelike, and the Killing vector  $\partial_t$  is everywhere lightlike. By contrast with respect to the physical STU metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  the Killing vector  $\partial_t$  is timelike outside the ergosphere upon which it becomes null and spacelike within the ergosphere.

The fact that  $\partial_t$  is lightlike with respect to  $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$  and the fact that  $T_{\mu\nu}$  satisfies the dominant energy condition, implies the positivity of the energy density  $J^0$ . Specifically, from  $J^{\mu} = -K^{\nu} T^{\mu}_{\ \nu}$  and  $K = \partial_t$ , the total energy  $\int \sqrt{-\hat{g}} d^3x J^0$  is

$$\frac{1}{2a} \int \sin\theta \, dr d\theta d\phi \, \left[ \left( P + a^2 \cos^2\theta \right) (\partial_t \Psi)^2 + \Delta \left( \partial_r \Psi \right)^2 + (\partial_\theta \Psi)^2 \right], \tag{2}$$

which is manifestly positive, reducing to that for the Kerr metric in [16] if the "electric charges" are set to zero.

We shall now present a brief summary of the key steps in the derivation of the auxiliary metric (1). Further details will be given in a longer paper to follow [29].

The four-charge rotating STU black hole solution was obtained in [22]; a convenient form for the metric is [4]:

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{\rho^{2} - 2\mu r}{W} \left(dt + \bar{\omega}d\phi\right)^{2} + W\left(\frac{dr^{2}}{\Delta} + d\theta^{2} + \frac{\Delta\sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}}{\rho^{2} - 2\mu r}\right)$$
(3)

where

$$W^{2} = r_{1}r_{2}r_{3}r_{4} + a^{4}\cos^{4}\theta + \left[2r^{2} + 2\mu r \Sigma_{i}^{2} + 8\mu r \Pi_{c}\Pi_{s}\right]$$

$$-4\mu^{2}\left(\Sigma_{ijk}^{2} + 2\Pi_{s}^{2}\right) a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta,$$

$$r_{i} = r + 2\mu s_{i}^{2}, \quad \rho^{2} = r^{2} + a^{2}\cos^{2}\theta,$$

$$\Delta = r^{2} - 2\mu r + a^{2} = (r - r_{-})(r - r_{+}),$$

$$\bar{\omega} = \frac{2\mu a \left[r\Pi_{c} - (r - 2\mu)\Pi_{s}\right]\sin^{2}\theta}{\rho^{2} - 2\mu r},$$

$$c_{i} = \cosh\delta_{i}, \quad s_{i} = \sinh\delta_{i},$$

$$\Pi_{c} = c_{1}c_{2}c_{3}c_{4}, \quad \Pi_{s} = s_{1}s_{2}s_{3}s_{4},$$

$$\Sigma_{i}^{2} = s_{1}^{2} + s_{2}^{2} + s_{3}^{2} + s_{4}^{2}, \quad \Sigma_{ijk}^{2} = \sum_{i < i < k} s_{i}^{2}s_{j}^{2}s_{k}^{2}.$$

$$(4)$$

Changing variables from r and  $\theta$  to

$$x = \frac{r - r_{-}}{r_{+} - r_{-}}, \qquad y = \frac{1}{2}(\cos \theta + 1),$$
 (5)

the massless scalar wave equation  $\Box \psi = 0$  can be separated by writing

$$\psi = e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\phi} \frac{X(x)}{\sqrt{x(x-1)}} \frac{Y(y)}{\sqrt{y(y-1)}}, \qquad (6)$$

with X(x) and Y(y) satisfying

$$\frac{X''(x)}{X(x)} + V_x = 0, \qquad \frac{Y''(y)}{Y(y)} + V_y = 0, \qquad (7)$$

where a prime denotes a derivative with respect to the argument, and

$$V_x = -\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\kappa} + \tilde{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\kappa}^2}{x} + \frac{\frac{1}{4} - \tilde{\beta}^2}{x^2} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\kappa} - \tilde{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\kappa}^2}{x - 1} + \frac{\frac{1}{4} - \tilde{\gamma}^2}{(x - 1)^2},$$
(8)

$$V_{y} = -\alpha^{2} + \frac{\alpha\kappa + \lambda + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^{2}}{y} + \frac{\frac{1}{4} - \beta^{2}}{y^{2}} + \frac{\alpha\kappa - \lambda - \frac{1}{2}\kappa^{2}}{y - 1} + \frac{\frac{1}{4} - \gamma^{2}}{(y - 1)^{2}}.$$
 (9)

The constants in the potential  $V_x$  are given by

$$\tilde{\alpha} = i\omega (r_{+} - r_{-}), \quad \tilde{\kappa} = -\frac{i}{4}\omega (r_{+} + r_{-}) \sum_{i} \cosh 2\delta_{i},$$

$$\tilde{\beta} = \frac{i \left[am - \omega(r_{+} + r_{-})(\Pi_{c} r_{-} + \Pi_{s} r_{+})\right]}{r_{+} - r_{-}},$$

$$\tilde{\gamma} = -\frac{i \left[am - \omega(r_{+} + r_{-})(\Pi_{c} r_{+} + \Pi_{s} r_{-})\right]}{r_{+} - r_{-}},$$

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} + \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\gamma} - \tilde{\beta}) - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\gamma} - \tilde{\beta})^{2} + \lambda_{T} + \nu,$$
(10)

(from which  $\omega_{+} = a/[(r_{+} + r_{-})(\Pi_{c} r_{+} + \Pi_{s} r_{-})])$  with

$$\nu = \frac{\omega^2 (r_+ + r_-)^2}{32} \left[ \sum_i \tilde{c}_i^2 - 6 \sum_{i < j} \tilde{c}_i \tilde{c}_j + 16(\Pi_c - \Pi_s - 1)^2 + 32(2\Pi_c - 1) \right], \quad (11)$$

where  $\tilde{c}_i = \cosh 2\delta_i$ ; and in  $V_y$  we have

$$\alpha = 2a\omega, \qquad \kappa = 0, \qquad \beta = -\frac{m}{2}, \qquad \gamma = \frac{m}{2},$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} + \alpha(\gamma - \beta) - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \beta)^2 + \lambda_T.$$
(12)

In these expressions,  $\lambda_T$  is the analogue of the separation constant employed in [15] in the case of the Kerr metric. Note that  $\omega = m\omega_+$  is the super-radiant threshold, where  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in (10) changes sign.

An unstable mode would have:

$$X(x) \sim \begin{cases} e^{\tilde{\alpha}x} x^{-\tilde{\kappa}} & \text{as } x \to \infty, \\ (x-1)^{-\tilde{\gamma}} & \text{as } x \to 1. \end{cases}$$
 (13)

Following the strategy in [16], we define a new radial function  $\tilde{h}(x)$  by means of the integral transform (Im  $\omega > 0$ )

$$\tilde{h}(x) = e^{\hat{\alpha}x} x^{\hat{\beta}} (x-1)^{\hat{\gamma}} \times \int_{1}^{\infty} dz \, e^{2\tilde{\alpha}xz} \, e^{-\tilde{\alpha}z} \, z^{-\frac{1}{2}-\tilde{\beta}} (z-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\tilde{\gamma}} \, X(z) \,, (14)$$

where

$$\hat{\alpha} = -\tilde{\alpha}, \quad \hat{\kappa} = -\tilde{\beta} + \tilde{\gamma}, \quad \hat{\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\beta} + \tilde{\gamma} + \tilde{\kappa}), 
\hat{\gamma} = -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\beta} + \tilde{\gamma} - \tilde{\kappa}), \quad \hat{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}.$$
(15)

The function  $\widetilde{X}(x) = \sqrt{x(x-1)}\,\widetilde{h}(x)$  satisfies the same equation as does X(x) in (7), except that  $V_x$  in (9) is now given by replacing the tilded constants by the hatted ones given in (15) [16], and has been chosen so that an unstable mode for X(x) maps to an unstable mode for  $\widetilde{X}(x)$ . With these transformations, the radial equation becomes

$$x(x-1)\tilde{h}'' + (2x-1)\tilde{h}' + [\omega^2 P(x) + \omega^2 a^2 - 4am\omega x - \lambda_T] \tilde{h} = 0, \quad (16)$$

where

$$P(x) = (r_{+} + r_{-})^{2} \left[ \frac{\left(\sum_{i} \tilde{c}_{i} - 4\Pi_{c} + 4\Pi_{s}\right)^{2} (x - 1)}{64x} + \frac{\left(\sum_{i} \tilde{c}_{i} + 4\Pi_{c} - 4\Pi_{s}\right)^{2} x}{64(x - 1)} + 2(\Pi_{c} + \Pi_{s})x \right] + (r_{+} - r_{-})^{2} x(x - 1) - a^{2},$$
(17)

and the function P(x) is manifestly positive for all  $x \ge 1$ .

In a similar vein, one may introduce an angular function v(y), this time related to Y(y) via a differential transform, with  $\widetilde{Y}(y) = \sqrt{y(y-1)}\,v(y)$  satisfying the same equation as does Y(y) in (7), except that  $V_y$  in (9) is now given by replacing the constants  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\kappa$  by barred ones, given by [16] (Note:  $\lambda$  is unaffected)

$$\bar{\alpha} = \alpha$$
,  $\bar{\kappa} = -\beta + \gamma$ ,  $\bar{\beta} = \frac{1}{2}n + \beta$ ,  $\bar{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}n - \gamma$ , (18)

where the integer n is equal to |m|. Taking m, without loss of generality, to be non-negative, v satisfies

$$y(y-1)v'' + (2y-1)v'$$
+  $[4a^2 \omega^2 y(1-y) + 4am\omega (y-1) - \lambda_T] v = 0$ . (19)

We now perform an "unseparation of variables," defining a wave function  $\Psi(t,x,y,\phi)=e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t+\mathrm{i}m\phi}\,\tilde{h}(x)\,v(y).$  Expressed in terms of the original radial and angular variables r and  $\theta$  by using (5),  $\Psi$  satisfies the wave equation

$$\left[\partial_r(\Delta\partial_r) + \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_\theta(\sin\theta \,\partial_\theta) - (P + a^2\cos^2\theta) \,\partial_t^2 -2a\left(\cos\theta + \frac{r-\mu}{\epsilon_0 \,\mu}\right) \partial_t \,\partial_\phi\right] \Psi = 0, \qquad (20)$$

where  $\epsilon_0=(r_+-r_-)/(r_++r_-)$ . (Note:  $\lambda_T$  cancels between the radial and angular terms in the unseparation process.) This generalises the result given in [16] for the Kerr metric, the difference being the replacement of the function f in that paper by the function P in (20). By pursuing techniques similar to those used in [18, 30, 31], our results for Im  $\omega>0$  extend to real  $\omega\neq0$ . For  $\omega=0$ ,  $\psi$  in (6) becomes time independent. The radial equation then reverts to the hypergeometric equation, with three regular singular points  $r_-$ ,  $r_+$  and  $\infty$ , and requires a separate discussion. In the Kerr limit, Teukolsky [15] has shown that this equation does not have solutions which are well-behaved both at the horizon and at infinity (see also [11]). By a similar method, that conclusion also holds in the present case.

One can read off, up to an arbitrary conformal scaling, an inverse metric  $\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}$  such that the terms involving two derivatives of  $\Psi$  in (20) are of the form  $\hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \Psi$ . Thus, the non-zero components are of the form

$$\hat{g}^{rr} = \Delta \Omega^{-2}, \qquad \hat{g}^{\theta\theta} = \Omega^{-2}, 
\hat{g}^{tt} = -(P + a^2 \cos^2 \theta) \Omega^{-2}, 
\hat{g}^{t\phi} = -a \left(\cos \theta + \frac{r - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - a^2}}\right) \Omega^{-2}.$$
(21)

One may then look for a choice of the conformal factor such that the remaining terms in the wave equation (20) are reproduced also; that is, that a multiple of (20) may be written as the covariant D'Alembertian

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\hat{g}}} \,\partial_{\mu} \left( \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \,\hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \,\partial_{\nu} \Psi \right) = 0 \,. \tag{22}$$

That an  $\Omega$  exists is non-trivial, since the same function  $\Omega$  must produce both the  $(\partial_r \Delta) \partial_r \Psi$  term and the  $\cot \theta \partial_\theta \Psi$  term. In fact, an  $\Omega$  does exist, and can be given by

$$\Omega^2 = \Delta^{1/2} \left( \cos \theta + \frac{r - \mu}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - a^2}} \right) \sin \theta. \tag{23}$$

The auxiliary metric itself is given in (1). An extended version of our work presented here is in preparation [29].

To appreciate more fully the complexity of the STU metrics, it is necessary to expand completely out the compact expressions presented in (4) and (17), and used in (3) and (20). It should be recognized that any proof of stability for STU metrics may require a process at least as complicated as that which has only been introduced this year by [21] for the Kerr-Newman problem, in a spacetime that was discovered over 50 years ago. Hopefully, results will be quicker in the STU case, with our results providing the first hint of encouragement that similar such gargantuan efforts as in [21] may, in the end, be worthwhile for STU metrics too.

In conclusion, we have provided a proof of mode stability for a massless scalar field  $\psi$  in the background of a wide class of four-dimensional asymptotically flat rotating and "electrically charged" supergravity black holes, whose energy-momentum tensor satisfies the dominant energy condition in general relativity. We handled the difficulties presented by the existence of ergo-regions in the original metrics by passing to an auxiliary metric admitting an everywhere lightlike Killing field and constructing a scalar field  $\Psi$  related to  $\psi$  by a non-local integral transformation which satisfies the massless wave equation with respect to the auxiliary metric. We find that the associated energy density of  $\Psi$  is not only conserved but is also non-negative. Our results open the way to establishing decay estimates as obtained in [17].

## Acknowledgements

We acknowledge helpful conversations with Mihalis Dafermos, Rita Teixeira da Costa and Claude Warnick during the course of this work. MC is supported in part by DOE Grant Award de-sc0013528, the Fay R. and Eugene L. Langberg Endowed Chair and Slovenian Research Agency No. P1-0306. CNP is supported in part by DOE grant DE-FG02-13ER42020. BFW is supported by NSF grant PHY 1607323, by the Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, by Astroparticule et Cosmologie with French state funds under grant ANR-14-CE03-0014-01-E-GRAAL, and by the Swedish Research Council under grant 2016-06596, at the Institut Mittag-Leffler in Djursholm, Sweden during the Fall semester of 2019.

<sup>[1]</sup> B.P. Abbott et al. [LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations], Observation of gravitational waves from a

- K. Akiyama et al. [Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration], First M87 Event Horizon Telescope results.
   V: Physical origin of the asymmetric ring, Astrophys.
   J. 875 (2019) no.1, L5, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab0f43, arXiv:1906.11242 [astro-ph.GA].
- [3] A. Sen, Rotating charged black hole solution in heterotic string theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1006 (1992), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.1006, hep-th/9204046.
- [4] Z.-W. Chong, M. Cvetič, H. Lü and C.N. Pope, Charged rotating black holes in four-dimensional gauged and ungauged supergravities, Nucl. Phys. B 717, 246 (2005), doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.03.034. hep-th/0411045.
- [5] S. Hawking and G. Ellis, The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time, doi:10.1017/CBO9780511524646
- [6] G. W. Gibbons, Aspects of Born-Infeld theory and string / M theory, AIP Conf. Proc. 589, no.1, 324-350 (2001) doi:10.1063/1.1419338 [arXiv:hep-th/0106059 [hep-th]].
- [7] T. Regge and J.A. Wheeler, Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity, Phys. Rev. 108, 1063 (1957), doi:10.1103/PhysRev.108.1063.
- [8] F.J. Zerilli, Effective potential for even parity Regge-Wheeler gravitational perturbation equations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 737 (1970), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.24.737.
- [9] C.V. Vishveshwara, Stability of the Schwarzschild metric, Phys. Rev. D 1, 2870 (1970), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.1.2870.
- [10] S. A. Teukolsky, Perturbations of a rotating black hole. 1. Fundamental equations for gravitational electromagnetic and neutrino field perturbations, Astrophys. J. 185, 635-647 (1973) doi:10.1086/152444
- [11] W. H. Press and S. A. Teukolsky, Perturbations of a Rotating Black Hole. II. Dynamical Stability of the Kerr Metric, Astrophys. J. 185, 649-674 (1973) doi:10.1086/152445
- [12] M. Dafermos, G. Holzegel and I. Rodnianski, The linear stability of the Schwarzschild solution to gravitational perturbations, Acta Math. 222, 1 (2019), doi:10.4310/ ACTA.2019.v222.n1.a1, arXiv:1601.06467 [gr-qc].
- [13] S. Klainerman and J. Szeftel, Global nonlinear stability of Schwarzschild spacetime under polarized perturbations, arXiv:1711.07597 [gr-qc].
- [14] R.P. Kerr, Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 11, 237 (1963), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.11.237.
- [15] S.A. Teukolsky, Rotating black holes separable wave equations for gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29 (1972) 1114, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.29.1114
- [16] B.F. Whiting, Mode stability of the Kerr black hole, J. Math. Phys. 30 (1989) 1301, doi:10.1063/1.528308
- [17] M. Dafermos, I. Rodnianski and Y. Shlapentokh-

- Rothman, Decay for solutions of the wave equation on Kerr exterior spacetimes III: The full subextremal case |a| < M, arXiv:1402.7034 [gr-qc].
- [18] R. Teixeira da Costa, Mode stability for the Teukolsky equation on extremal and subextremal Kerr spacetimes, arXiv:1910.02854 [gr-qc].
- [19] D. Civin, Quantitative mode stability for the wave equation on the Kerr-Newman spacetime, arXiv:1405.3620 [gr-qc].
- [20] D. Civin, Stability of charged rotating black holes for linear scalar perturbations, Ph.D. Thesis Cambridge University July 2014.
- [21] E. Giorgi, The Teukolsky equations in Kerr-Newman spacetime, arXiv:2002.07228 [math.AP].
- [22] M. Cvetič and D. Youm, Entropy of nonextreme charged rotating black holes in string theory, Phys. Rev. D 54, 2612 (1996), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.54.2612, hep-th/9603147.
- [23] M. Cvetič and F. Larsen, Grey body factors for rotating black holes in four-dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 506 (1997) 107, doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00541-5, hep-th/9706071.
- [24] D.D.K. Chow and G. Compère, Black holes in N=8 supergravity from SO(4,4) hidden symmetries, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 2, 025029 (2014), doi:10.1103/Phys RevD.90.025029, arXiv:1404.2602 [hep-th].
- [25] C. Keeler and F. Larsen, Separability of black holes in string theory, JHEP 1210 (2012) 152, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2012)152, arXiv:1207.5928[hep-th].
- [26] V. Frolov, P. Krtouš and D. Kubiziňák, Black holes, hidden symmetries, and complete integrability, Living Rev. Rel. 20, no. 1, 6 (2017), doi:10.1007/s41114-017-0009-9, arXiv:1705.05482 [gr-qc].
- [27] M. Cvetič, G.W. Gibbons and C.N. Pope, Photon spheres and sonic horizons in black holes from supergravity and other theories, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) no.10, 106005, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.106005, arXiv:1608.02202 [gr-qc].
- [28] M. Cvetič, G.W. Gibbons and C.N. Pope, STU black holes and SgrA, JCAP 1708 (2017) 016, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2017/08/016, arXiv:1705.05740 [gr-qc].
- [29] M. Cvetič, G.W. Gibbons, C.N. Pope and B.F. Whiting, in preparation.
- [30] Y. Shlapentokh-Rothman, Quantitative mode stability for the wave equation on the Kerr spacetime, Annales Henri Poincare 16, 289 (2015), doi:10.1007/s00023-014-0315-7, arXiv:1302.6902 [gr-qc].
- [31] L. Andersson, S. Ma, C. Paganini and B.F. Whiting, Mode stability on the real axis, J. Math. Phys. 58, no. 7, 072501 (2017), doi:10.1063/1.4991656, arXiv:1607.02759 [gr-qc].