

COMPACT QUASI-EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS WITH BOUNDARY

RAFAEL DIÓGENES AND TIAGO GADELHA

ABSTRACT. The goal of this article is to study compact quasi-Einstein manifolds with boundary. We provide boundary estimates for compact quasi-Einstein manifolds similar to previous results obtained for static and V -static spaces. In addition, we show that compact quasi-Einstein manifolds with connected boundary and satisfying a suitable pinching condition must be isometric to the standard hemisphere S_+^n .

1. INTRODUCTION

A classical topic in Riemannian Geometry is to built explicit examples of Einstein manifolds. Einstein manifolds are not only interesting in themselves but are also related to many important topics of Riemannian geometry. As discussed by Besse [10, pg. 265], one promising way to construct Einstein metrics is by imposing symmetry, such as by considering warped products. In this context, m -quasi-Einstein manifolds play a crucial role.

According to [12], a complete Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , $n \geq 2$, will be called m -quasi-Einstein manifold, or simply *quasi-Einstein manifold*, if there exists a smooth potential function f on M^n satisfying the following fundamental equation

$$(1.1) \quad Ric_f^m = Ric + \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df = \lambda g,$$

for some constants λ and $m \neq 0$, where $\nabla^2 f$ stands for the Hessian of f . It is also important to recall that, on a quasi-Einstein manifold, there is a constant μ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta_f f = m\lambda - m\mu e^{\frac{2}{m}f},$$

where $\Delta_f = \Delta - \langle \nabla f, \cdot \rangle$ is the f -Laplacian. For more details, we refer the reader to [17].

As discussed by Besse [10, pg. 265], one promising way to construct Einstein metrics is by imposing symmetry, such as by considering warped products. In this context, the m -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor Ric_f^m plays a crucial role. Indeed, when m is a positive integer an m -quasi-Einstein manifold corresponds to a base of a warped product Einstein metric; for more details see Corollary 9.107 in [10, pg. 267] (see also Theorem 1 in [5]). Another interesting motivation comes from the study of diffusion operators by Bakry and Émery. When $m = 1$ we consider in addition that $\Delta u = -\lambda u$ and in this case, such metrics are commonly called *static spaces*. Static spaces have been studied extensively for their connections to scalar curvature, the positive mass theorem, and general relativity (see [2]). In other words, m -quasi-Einstein manifolds can be seen as a generalization of static spaces. Furthermore, ∞ -quasi-Einstein manifold is a gradient Ricci soliton, i.e, self-similar solutions of the Ricci flow. There is a vast literature on quasi-Einstein manifolds, we refer to the reader, for instance [5, 7, 10, 12, 13, 15, 21, 22, 25].

Assuming that $m < \infty$, we may set a function $u = e^{-\frac{f}{m}}$ on M^n . Hence, we immediately get

Date: December 21, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C20, 53C25; Secondary 53C65.

Key words and phrases. quasi-Einstein manifolds; warped product; boundary estimate.

T. Gadelha was partially supported by FUNCAP/Brazil.

$$(1.3) \quad \nabla u = -\frac{u}{m} \nabla f$$

as well as

$$(1.4) \quad \text{Hess} f - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df = -\frac{m}{u} \text{Hess} u.$$

In this situation, we follow the approach used by He, Petersen and Wylie [15] in order to study quasi-Einstein metrics on compact manifolds with boundary. To fix notation, we consider the following definition (see [15]).

Definition 1. *A complete Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , $n \geq 2$, (possibly with boundary) will be called m -quasi-Einstein manifold, or simply quasi-Einstein manifold, if there exists a smooth potential function u on M^n satisfying the following fundamental equation*

$$(1.5) \quad \nabla^2 u = \frac{u}{m} (\text{Ric} - \lambda g),$$

for some constants λ and m . Moreover, $u > 0$ in $\text{int}(M)$ and $u = 0$ on ∂M . Here, $\nabla^2 u$ and Ric stand for the Hessian of u and the Ricci curvature of M^n , respectively.

In [15] and [16], He, Petersen and Wylie studied compact quasi-Einstein manifold with no empty boundary. They obtained a classification result for quasi-Einstein manifolds which are also Einstein manifold. Moreover, they provided some nontrivial examples, including the Generalized Schwarzschild metric with $\lambda = 0$ (see [15]). Moreover, they studied m -quasi-Einstein manifolds with constant scalar curvature (see [16]). In this article, we focus in nontrivial compact m -quasi-Einstein manifolds with boundary. Hence, by Theorem 4.1 of [15], they have necessarily $\lambda > 0$. Among the examples obtained in [16, Proposition 2.4], it is very important to highlight an example built on the standard hemisphere.

Example 1. *Let \mathbb{S}_+^n be a standard hemisphere with metric $g = dr^2 + \sin^2 r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ and potential function $u(r) = \cos r$, where r is a height function and $r \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Thus, \mathbb{S}_+^n is a compact, oriented m -quasi-Einstein manifold with boundary \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Indeed, it is easy to check that $u = \cos \frac{\pi}{2} = 0$ on the boundary and $u > 0$ on interior of \mathbb{S}_+^n . Moreover, since $\nabla^2 r = \sin r \cos r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ (see Chapter 3, Section 2 of [19]) we obtain $\nabla u = -\sin r \nabla r$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 u &= -\sin r \nabla^2 r - \cos r dr \otimes dr \\ &= -\sin^2 r \cos r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} - \cos r dr^2 \\ &= -\cos r (dr^2 + \sin^2 r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}) \\ &= -ug. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we already know that $\text{Ric} = (n-1)g$ and therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u}{m} (\text{Ric} - \lambda g) &= \frac{u}{m} ((n-1)g - (m+n-1)g) \\ &= -ug, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\nabla^2 u = \frac{u}{m} (\text{Ric} - \lambda g),$$

as asserted.

It should be remarked that if a compact nontrivial m -quasi-Einstein manifold with no empty boundary has constant scalar curvature, then $R \leq \lambda n$. Indeed, taking the trace in (1.5) we deduce $m\Delta u = u(R - \lambda n)$. Hence, if $R > \lambda n$, then $\Delta u > 0$. But, by using the Maximum Principle we conclude that u have the maximum on the boundary and therefore, u is identically zero, which leads to a contradiction.

In the sequel, we present an example of compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold with disconnected boundary.

Example 2. Let $\lambda > 0$ be a real constant and $M = [0, \frac{\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\pi] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be a product Riemannian with metric $g = dt^2 + \frac{n-2}{\lambda}g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ and potential function $u(r) = \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{m}}t\right)$. Thus, M is a compact, oriented m -quasi-Einstein manifold with disconnected boundary (the boundary is the union of two copies of \mathbb{S}^{n-1}). To prove this, it suffices to notice that $u > 0$ on $\text{int}(M)$ and taking into account that

$$\partial M = \{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cup \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\pi \right\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \partial M_1 \cup \partial M_2,$$

we have $u = \sin(0) = 0$ on ∂M_1 and $u = \sin(\pi) = 0$ on ∂M_2 . Therefore, $u = 0$ on ∂M . Moreover, since $\nabla^2 t = 0$ (see Chapter 3, Section 2 of [19]) we get

$$\nabla u = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{m}} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{m}}t\right) \nabla t$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 u &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{m}} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{m}}t\right) \nabla^2 t - \frac{\lambda}{m} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{m}}t\right) dt^2 \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{m} u dt^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, recalling that $\text{Ric} = (n-2)g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u}{m}(\text{Ric} - \lambda g) &= \frac{u}{m}((n-2)g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} - \lambda dt^2 - (n-2)g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{m} u dt^2. \end{aligned}$$

So, it follows that

$$\nabla^2 u = \frac{u}{m}(\text{Ric} - \lambda g),$$

as we wanted to prove.

It is natural to ask whether Example 1 is the unique compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold with connected boundary. This question can be investigate by several ways. In this paper we will treat of this problem. Before to state our main results, let us provide a historical motivation comes from the study of static and V -static spaces. A result by Shen [23] and Boucher-Gibbons-Horowitz [11] asserts that the boundary ∂M of a compact 3-dimensional oriented static manifold with connected boundary and scalar curvature 6 must be a 2-sphere whose area satisfies $|\partial M| \leq 4\pi$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if M^3 is equivalent to the standard hemisphere. Batista, Diógenes, Ranieri and Ribeiro [9] showed the boundary of a 3-dimensional compact, oriented critical metric of the volume functional with connected boundary ∂M and nonnegative scalar curvature, must be a 2-sphere and its area satisfies $|\partial M| \leq \frac{4\pi}{C(R)}$, where $C(R)$ is a positive constant. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if (M^3, g) is isometric to a geodesic ball in a simply connected space form \mathbb{R}^3 or \mathbb{S}^3 . In the same spirit, Barros and Silva [8] proved that a compact, oriented static triple with connected boundary ∂M and constant positive normalized scalar curvature $R = n(n-1)$ then $|\partial M| \leq \omega_{n-1}$, where ω_{n-1} is the volume of unitary sphere of dimension $n-1$. Moreover, the equality is attained only for a round hemisphere S_+^n . This result provides a partial answer to the cosmic no-hair conjecture formulated by Boucher *et al.* [11]. Similar boundary estimates were obtained recently by Coutinho *et al.* [14] for static perfect fluid space-time of arbitrary dimension.

Based on the above result, Example 1 and taking into account that m -quasi-Einstein manifolds can be seen as a generalization of static spaces (i.e., $m = 1$), in this article, mainly inspired on ideas developed in [1, 9, 8, 14], we will investigate boundary estimate for compact m -quasi-Einstein manifolds with connected boundary. In this sense, we have established the following.

Theorem 1. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) , $n \geq 4$, be a compact, oriented m -quasi-Einstein manifold with connected boundary. Suppose that $Ric^{\partial M} \geq \frac{R^{\partial M}}{n-1}g_{\partial M}$ with $\inf_{\partial M} R^{\partial M} > 0$ and that the scalar curvature of M^n satisfies $R \leq n\lambda$. Then it holds*

$$|\partial M| \leq \omega_{n-1} \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{R_{min}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if (M^n, g) is isometric to the hemisphere (\mathbb{S}_+^n, g) given by Example 1.

It should be emphasized that Theorem 1 can be seen as a generalization of Theorem 4 in [8] for static spaces, i.e., 1-quasi-Einstein manifold. Next, in the 3-dimensional case, we have the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let (M^3, g, u, λ) be a 3-dimensional compact, oriented m -quasi-Einstein manifold with connected boundary. Suppose that the scalar curvature of M^3 satisfies $R \leq 3\lambda$. Then, it holds*

$$|\partial M| \leq \frac{24\pi}{R_{min}}.$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if (M^3, g) is isometric to the hemisphere (\mathbb{S}_+^3, g) given by Example 1.

Notice that Theorem 2 implies that the area of the boundary of Example 1 is the maximum possible among all compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold with connected boundary and satisfying $R \leq 3\lambda$.

Similar to results obtained by Batista *et al.* [9], in the second part of this article, we will provide a Böchner type formula for m -quasi-Einstein manifolds. To be precise, Batista, Diógenes, Ranieri and Ribeiro [9], mainly motivated by [1], obtained a Böchner type formula for critical metrics of the volume functional. Moreover, they used such a formula to obtain rigidity results for such critical metrics. In [3], Baltazar and Ribeiro extended this result for higher dimension and moreover, they provided rigidity results for V -static spaces in a more general setting. Recently, Coutinho, Diógenes, Leandro and Ribeiro [14] determined a Böcher type formula for Riemannian manifolds that satisfies the only condition $f\mathring{Ric} = \mathring{\nabla}^2 f$ which include a large class of spaces.

In the sequel, we going to provide a Böchner type formula for m -quasi-Einstein manifolds.

Theorem 3. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) be a m -quasi-Einstein manifold. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(u \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2) &= u |\nabla \mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{m(n-2)u}{m+n-2} |C|^2 + u \langle \nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{m+2n-2}{n-1} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) \\ &\quad + \frac{m-1}{2} \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle + \frac{2Ru}{n-1} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{2nu}{n-2} \operatorname{trace}(\mathring{Ric}^3) \\ &\quad - 2u W_{ikjp} \mathring{R}_{ij} \mathring{R}_{kp} - \frac{m^2(n-2)}{m+n-2} C_{ijk} W_{ijkl} \nabla_l u, \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{trace}(\mathring{Ric}^3) = \mathring{R}_{ij} \mathring{R}_{jk} \mathring{R}_{ki}$ and $\mathring{Ric} = Ric - \frac{R}{n}g$.

As a consequence of Theorem 3 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) , $n \geq 4$, be a compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold with boundary, constant scalar curvature and zero radial Weyl curvature. If*

$$|\mathring{Ric}|^2 < \frac{R^2}{n(n-1)},$$

then (M^n, g) is isometric to the hemisphere (\mathbb{S}_+^n, g) given by Example 1.

Finally, taking into account that the Weyl tensor vanishes in dimension three, we immediately deduce the following result.

Corollary 2. *Let (M^3, g, u, λ) be a compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold with boundary and constant scalar curvature. Suppose that*

$$|\mathring{Ric}|^2 < \frac{R^2}{6}.$$

Then (M^3, g) is isometric to the hemisphere (\mathbb{S}_+^3, g) given by Example 1.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will present some key lemmas that will be useful for the establishment of the desired results. Firstly, we recall that the fundamental equation of a m -quasi-Einstein manifold (M^n, g, u) (possibly with boundary) is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla^2 u = \frac{u}{m}(\mathring{Ric} - \lambda g),$$

where $u > 0$ in interior of M and $u = 0$ on ∂M . In particular, taking the trace of (2.1) we arrive at

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta u = \frac{u}{m}(R - \lambda n).$$

This jointly with (2.1) gives

$$(2.3) \quad u \mathring{Ric} = m \nabla^2 u,$$

where $\mathring{T} = T - \frac{\text{tr} T}{n}g$ stands the traceless part of T .

It is important to remember that the Weyl tensor W is defined by the following decomposition formula

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{ijkl} &= W_{ijkl} + \frac{1}{n-2}(R_{ik}g_{jl} + R_{jl}g_{ik} - R_{il}g_{jk} - R_{jk}g_{il}) \\ &\quad - \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)}(g_{jl}g_{ik} - g_{il}g_{jk}), \end{aligned}$$

where R_{ijkl} denotes the Riemann curvature tensor whereas the Cotton tensor is defined by

$$(2.5) \quad C_{ijk} = \nabla_i R_{jk} - \nabla_j R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}(\nabla_i R g_{jk} - \nabla_j R g_{ik}).$$

An important relation between Weyl and Cotton tensors is given by

$$(2.6) \quad C_{ijk} = -\frac{(n-2)}{(n-3)}\nabla_l W_{ijkl},$$

for $n \geq 4$. Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$(2.7) \quad C_{ijk} = -C_{jik} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{ijk} + C_{jki} + C_{kij} = 0.$$

In particular, we have

$$(2.8) \quad g^{ij}C_{ijk} = g^{ik}C_{ijk} = g^{jk}C_{ijk} = 0.$$

Now we going to present some lemmas that play crucial role in this paper. In our first lemma we follow the ideas of Lemma 1 of [6] for critical metrics of the volume functional.

This lemma was also proved by He, Petersen and Wylie with a different notation (see Proposition 6.2 of [15]).

Lemma 1. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) be a m -quasi-Einstein manifold. Then we have*

$$u(\nabla_i R_{jk} - \nabla_j R_{ik}) = mR_{ijkl} \nabla_l u + \lambda(\nabla_i u g_{jk} - \nabla_j u g_{ik}) - (\nabla_i u R_{jk} - \nabla_j u R_{ik}).$$

Proof. Rewriting the fundamental equation (2.1) in coordinates we get

$$m\nabla_j \nabla_k u = uR_{jk} - \lambda u g_{jk}.$$

From this we deduce

$$m\nabla_i \nabla_j \nabla_k u = \nabla_i u R_{jk} + u \nabla_i R_{jk} - \lambda \nabla_i u g_{jk},$$

which can rewrite succinctly as

$$(2.9) \quad u \nabla_i R_{jk} = m \nabla_i \nabla_j \nabla_k u - \nabla_i u R_{jk} + \lambda \nabla_i u g_{jk}.$$

Similarly, it is not difficult to show that

$$(2.10) \quad u \nabla_j R_{ik} = m \nabla_j \nabla_i \nabla_k u - \nabla_j u R_{ik} + \lambda \nabla_j u g_{ik}.$$

Now we combine (2.9) and (2.10) jointly with Ricci identity in order to deduce

$$u(\nabla_i R_{jk} - \nabla_j R_{ik}) = mR_{ijkl} \nabla_l u + \lambda(\nabla_i u g_{jk} - \nabla_j u g_{ik}) - (\nabla_i u R_{jk} - \nabla_j u R_{ik}),$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Before to state our next lemma, we introduce the T -tensor for m -quasi-Einstein manifolds. It was defined previously in [20].

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{ijk} &= \frac{m+n-2}{n-2} (R_{ik} \nabla_j u - R_{jk} \nabla_i u) + \frac{m}{n-2} (R_{jl} \nabla_l u g_{ik} - R_{il} \nabla_l u g_{jk}) \\ &+ \frac{(n-1)(n-2)\lambda + mR}{(n-1)(n-2)} (\nabla_i u g_{jk} - \nabla_j u g_{ik}) - \frac{u}{2(n-1)} (\nabla_i R g_{jk} - \nabla_j R g_{ik}). \end{aligned}$$

With this notation we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) be a m -quasi-Einstein manifold. Then*

$$u C_{ijk} = m W_{ijkl} \nabla_l u + T_{ijk},$$

where T is given by (2.11).

Proof. Combining Eq. (2.5) with Lemma 1 we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} u C_{ijk} &= u(\nabla_i R_{jk} - \nabla_j R_{ik}) - \frac{u}{2(n-1)} (\nabla_i R g_{jk} - \nabla_j R g_{ik}) \\ &= m R_{ijkl} \nabla_l u + \lambda(\nabla_i u g_{jk} - \nabla_j u g_{ik}) - (\nabla_i u R_{jk} - \nabla_j u R_{ik}) \\ &\quad - \frac{u}{2(n-1)} (\nabla_i R g_{jk} - \nabla_j R g_{ik}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.4) into (2.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
uC_{ijk} &= mW_{ijkl}\nabla_l u + \frac{m}{n-2}(R_{ik}g_{jl} + R_{jl}g_{ik} - R_{il}g_{jk} - R_{jk}g_{il})\nabla_l u \\
&\quad - \frac{mR}{(n-1)(n-2)}(g_{jl}g_{ik} - g_{il}g_{jk})\nabla_l u + \lambda(\nabla_i u g_{jk} - \nabla_j u g_{ik}) \\
&\quad - (\nabla_i u R_{jk} - \nabla_j u R_{ik}) - \frac{u}{2(n-1)}(\nabla_i R g_{jk} - \nabla_j R g_{ik}) \\
&= mW_{ijkl}\nabla_l u + \frac{m}{n-2}(R_{ik}\nabla_j u - R_{jk}\nabla_i u) + \frac{m}{n-2}(R_{jl}\nabla_l u g_{ik} - R_{il}\nabla_l u g_{jk}) \\
&\quad - \frac{mR}{(n-1)(n-2)}(g_{ik}\nabla_j u - g_{jk}\nabla_i u) + \lambda(\nabla_i u g_{jk} - \nabla_j u g_{ik}) \\
&\quad - (\nabla_i u R_{jk} - \nabla_j u R_{ik}) - \frac{u}{2(n-1)}(\nabla_i R g_{jk} - \nabla_j R g_{ik}) \\
&= mW_{ijkl}\nabla_l u + \frac{m+n-2}{n-2}(R_{ik}\nabla_j u - R_{jk}\nabla_i u) + \frac{m}{n-2}(R_{jl}\nabla_l u g_{ik} - R_{il}\nabla_l u g_{jk}) \\
&\quad + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)\lambda + mR}{(n-1)(n-2)}(\nabla_i u g_{jk} - \nabla_j u g_{ik}) - \frac{u}{2(n-1)}(\nabla_i R g_{jk} - \nabla_j R g_{ik}) \\
&= mW_{ijkl}\nabla_l u + T_{ijk}.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

It should be point out that the tensor T has the same properties of the Cotton tensor, i.e., (2.7) and (2.8). Next, we going to compute the norm of the Cotton tensor.

Lemma 3. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) be a m -quasi-Einstein manifold. Then we have*

$$u|C|^2 = -\frac{2(m+n-2)}{n-2}C_{ijk}\mathring{R}_{jk}\nabla_i u + mC_{ijk}W_{ijkl}\nabla_l u.$$

Proof. Initially, it follows by (2.7) and (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
-C_{ijk}\mathring{R}_{jk}\nabla_i u &= -C_{ijk}R_{jk}\nabla_i u \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}(C_{ijk} - C_{jik})R_{jk}\nabla_i u \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}C_{ijk}R_{jk}\nabla_i u + \frac{1}{2}C_{ijk}R_{ik}\nabla_j u \\
&= \frac{1}{2}C_{ijk}(R_{ik}\nabla_j u - R_{jk}\nabla_i u).
\end{aligned}$$

This combined with (2.11) provides

$$(2.13) \quad -C_{ijk}\mathring{R}_{jk}\nabla_i u = \frac{n-2}{2(m+n-2)}C_{ijk}T_{ijk},$$

where we used again the fact that the Cotton tensor is trace-free. Thus, by combining Lemma 2 with (2.13) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
-C_{ijk}\mathring{R}_{jk}\nabla_i u &= \frac{n-2}{2(m+n-2)}C_{ijk}(uC_{ijk} - mW_{ijkl}\nabla_l u) \\
&= \frac{n-2}{2(m+n-2)}(u|C|^2 - mC_{ijk}W_{ijkl}\nabla_l u).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$u|C|^2 = -\frac{2(m+n-2)}{n-2}C_{ijk}\mathring{R}_{jk}\nabla_i u + mC_{ijk}W_{ijkl}\nabla_l u,$$

as we wanted to prove. \square

Our next lemma provides a commutator formula for the Laplacian and Hessian acting on functions $h \in C^4(M)$. A detailed proof can be found in [24, Proposition 7.1] (see also [9]).

Lemma 4. *Let (M^n, g) be a Riemannian manifold and $h \in C^4(M)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \nabla^2 h)_{ij} &= \nabla_{ij}^2 \Delta h + (R_{jp} g_{ik} + R_{ip} g_{jk} - 2R_{ikjp}) \nabla_k \nabla_p h \\ &\quad + (\nabla_i R_{jp} + \nabla_j R_{pi} - \nabla_p R_{ij}) \nabla_p h, \end{aligned}$$

where ∇^2 represents the Hessian.

We shall use Lemma 4 to obtain the following result that will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma 5. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) be a m -quasi-Einstein manifold. Then it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} u \langle \Delta \mathring{Ric}, \mathring{Ric} \rangle &= \frac{2Ru}{n} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + u \langle \nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{2(n+m)}{n} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) + 2m \nabla_i \mathring{R}_{jp} \mathring{R}_{ij} \nabla_p u \\ &\quad + 2u \left(\mathring{R}_{ip} \mathring{R}_{kp} \mathring{R}_{ik} - R_{ikjp} \mathring{R}_{ij} \mathring{R}_{kp} \right) - \frac{m+2}{2} \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Computing the Laplacian of (2.3) we infer

$$(2.14) \quad m(\Delta \nabla^2 u)_{ij} = \Delta u \mathring{R}_{ij} + u(\Delta \mathring{Ric})_{ij} + 2\nabla_k \mathring{R}_{ij} \nabla_k u.$$

Hence, we use (2.14) and (2.2) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u \langle \Delta \mathring{Ric}, \mathring{Ric} \rangle &= u(\Delta \mathring{Ric})_{ij} \mathring{R}_{ij} \\ &= \left[m(\Delta \nabla^2 u)_{ij} - \Delta u \mathring{R}_{ij} - 2\nabla_k \mathring{R}_{ij} \nabla_k u \right] \mathring{R}_{ij} \\ &= m \left((\Delta \nabla^2 u)_{ij} - \frac{\Delta(\Delta u)}{n} g_{ij} \right) \mathring{R}_{ij} - \frac{u}{m} (R - n\lambda) |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - 2\nabla_k \mathring{R}_{ij} \mathring{R}_{ij} \nabla_k u \\ (2.15) \quad &= m(\Delta \nabla^2 u)_{ij} \mathring{R}_{ij} - \frac{u}{m} (R - n\lambda) |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4 and by (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \nabla^2 u)_{ij} \mathring{R}_{ij} &= [\nabla_{ij}^2 \Delta u + (R_{jp} g_{ik} + R_{ip} g_{jk} - 2R_{ikjp}) \nabla_k \nabla_p u \\ &\quad + (\nabla_i R_{jp} + \nabla_j R_{pi} - \nabla_p R_{ij}) \nabla_p u] \mathring{R}_{ij} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} [(R - n\lambda) \nabla_i \nabla_j u + u \nabla_i \nabla_j R + \nabla_i u \nabla_j R + \nabla_j u \nabla_i R] \mathring{R}_{ij} \\ &\quad + (R_{jp} \mathring{R}_{jk} + R_{ip} \mathring{R}_{ki} - 2R_{ikjp} \mathring{R}_{ij}) \nabla_k \nabla_p u \\ &\quad + (\nabla_i R_{jp} \mathring{R}_{ij} + \nabla_j R_{pi} \mathring{R}_{ij} - \nabla_p R_{ij} \mathring{R}_{ij}) \nabla_p u \\ &= \frac{R - n\lambda}{m} \langle \nabla^2 u, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{u}{m} \langle \nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{2}{m} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) \\ &\quad + 2(R_{ip} \mathring{R}_{ik} - R_{ikjp} \mathring{R}_{ij}) \nabla_k \nabla_p u + 2\nabla_i R_{jp} \mathring{R}_{ij} \nabla_p u - \nabla_p \mathring{R}_{ij} \mathring{R}_{ij} \nabla_p u. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.1) into the above expression we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \nabla^2 u)_{ij} \mathring{R}_{ij} &= \frac{(R - n\lambda)u}{m^2} \langle Ric - \lambda g, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{u}{m} \langle \nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{2}{m} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) \\ &\quad + \frac{2u}{m} (R_{ip} \mathring{R}_{ik} - R_{ikjp} \mathring{R}_{ij}) (R_{kp} - \lambda g_{kp}) + 2\nabla_i R_{jp} \mathring{R}_{ij} \nabla_p u - \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle \\ &= \frac{(R - n\lambda)u}{m^2} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{u}{m} \langle \nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{2}{m} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) \\ &\quad + \frac{2u}{m} (R_{ip} R_{kp} \mathring{R}_{ik} - R_{ikjp} \mathring{R}_{ij} R_{kp}) + 2\nabla_i R_{jp} \mathring{R}_{ij} \nabla_p u - \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathring{R}_{ij} = R_{ij} - \frac{R}{n}g_{ij}$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta \nabla^2 u)_{ij} \mathring{R}_{ij} &= \frac{(R - n\lambda)u}{m^2} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{u}{m} \langle \nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{2}{m} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) \\
&\quad + \frac{2u}{m} \left[(\mathring{R}_{ip} + \frac{R}{n}g_{ip})(\mathring{R}_{kp} + \frac{R}{n}g_{kp})\mathring{R}_{ik} - R_{ikjp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\mathring{R}_{kp} - \frac{R}{n}\mathring{R}_{ij}\mathring{R}_{ij} \right] \\
&\quad + 2\nabla_i \mathring{R}_{jp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\nabla_p u + \frac{2}{n}\mathring{R}_{ij}\nabla_i R\nabla_j u - \frac{1}{2}\langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle \\
&= \frac{(R - n\lambda)u}{m^2} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{u}{m} \langle \nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{2}{m} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) \\
&\quad + \frac{2u}{m} \left[\mathring{R}_{ip}\mathring{R}_{kp}\mathring{R}_{ik} + \frac{2R}{n}|\mathring{Ric}|^2 - R_{ikjp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\mathring{R}_{kp} - \frac{R}{n}|\mathring{Ric}|^2 \right] \\
&\quad + 2\nabla_i \mathring{R}_{jp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\nabla_p u + \frac{2}{n}\mathring{Ric}(\nabla R, \nabla u) - \frac{1}{2}\langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle \\
&= \frac{[(n + 2m)R - n^2\lambda]u}{nm^2} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{u}{m} \langle \nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{2(n + m)}{nm} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) \\
&\quad + \frac{2u}{m} \left(\mathring{R}_{ip}\mathring{R}_{kp}\mathring{R}_{ik} - R_{ikjp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\mathring{R}_{kp} \right) + 2\nabla_i \mathring{R}_{jp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\nabla_p u - \frac{1}{2}\langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this last expression into (2.15) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
u \langle \Delta \mathring{Ric}, \mathring{Ric} \rangle &= \frac{[(n + 2m)R - n^2\lambda]u}{nm} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + u \langle \nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{2(n + m)}{n} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) \\
&\quad + 2u \left(\mathring{R}_{ip}\mathring{R}_{kp}\mathring{R}_{ik} - R_{ikjp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\mathring{R}_{kp} \right) + 2m \nabla_i \mathring{R}_{jp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\nabla_p u \\
&\quad - \frac{m}{2} \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle - \frac{u}{m} (R - n\lambda) |\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle \\
&= \frac{2Ru}{n} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + u \langle \nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{2(n + m)}{n} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) + 2m \nabla_i \mathring{R}_{jp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\nabla_p u \\
&\quad + 2u \left(\mathring{R}_{ip}\mathring{R}_{kp}\mathring{R}_{ik} - R_{ikjp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\mathring{R}_{kp} \right) - \frac{m + 2}{2} \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

So, the proof is finished. \square

3. THE BOUNDARY OF A QUASI-EINSTEIN MANIFOLD

In this section we will study a compact m -quasi-Einstein manifold with no empty boundary. To begin with, we remember that $u > 0$ in the interior of M^n and $u = 0$ on the boundary ∂M . Thus, $N = -\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}$ is the outward unit vector. Besides, on the boundary, we already know that

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla^2 u = 0.$$

Next, for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\partial M)$, we have

$$X(|\nabla u|^2) = 2\langle \nabla_X \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle = 2\nabla^2 u(X, \nabla u) = 0.$$

Therefore, $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ is constant along ∂M (for more details, see Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 of [15]). From now on, we consider an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n = -\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\}$. Thus from (3.1) the second fundamental form at ∂M is given by

$$(3.2) \quad h_{ij} = \langle \nabla_{e_i} N, e_j \rangle = -\frac{1}{|\nabla u|} \nabla_i \nabla_j u = 0,$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. Hence, ∂M with induced metric is totally geodesic. In particular, by Gauss equation ($R_{ijkl}^{\partial M} = R_{ijkl} - h_{il}h_{jk} + h_{ik}h_{jl}$) we immediately infer

$$(3.3) \quad R_{ijkl}^{\partial M} = R_{ijkl},$$

$$(3.4) \quad R_{ik}^{\partial M} = R_{ik} - R_{inkn}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad R^{\partial M} = R - 2R_{nn}.$$

Before to show our next lemmas we remember a well-known result that will be useful in this paper.

Lemma 6. *Let H be a $(0, 2)$ -tensor on a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) then*

$$\operatorname{div}(H(\varphi Z)) = \varphi(\operatorname{div} H)(Z) + \varphi\langle \nabla Z, H \rangle + H(\nabla \varphi, Z),$$

for all $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and any smooth function φ on M .

Another fact that we will useful is a combination between twice-contracted second Bianchi identity and the traceless Ricci tensor given by

$$(3.6) \quad \operatorname{div} \mathring{Ric} = \frac{n-2}{2n} \nabla R.$$

Now, we are ready to state our next lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) be a compact, oriented m -quasi-Einstein manifold with connected boundary. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{m} \int_M u |\mathring{Ric}|^2 dM = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\partial M} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) dS - \frac{(n-2)}{2n} \int_M \langle \nabla R, \nabla u \rangle dM,$$

where $\kappa = |\nabla u|_{\partial M}$.

Proof. Firstly, we choose $H = \mathring{Ric}$, $Z = \nabla u$ and $\varphi = 1$ in Lemma 6 and we combine with Eqs. (2.1) and (3.6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(\mathring{Ric}(\nabla u)) &= (\operatorname{div} \mathring{Ric})(\nabla u) + \langle \mathring{Ric}, \nabla^2 u \rangle \\ &= \frac{(n-2)}{2n} \langle \nabla R, \nabla u \rangle + \langle \mathring{Ric}, \frac{u}{m} [\mathring{Ric} + (\frac{R}{n} - \lambda)g] \rangle \\ &= \frac{(n-2)}{2n} \langle \nabla R, \nabla u \rangle + \frac{u}{m} |\mathring{Ric}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this expression over M and using Stokes' formula we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m} \int_M u |\mathring{Ric}|^2 dM &= \int_M \operatorname{div}(\mathring{Ric}(\nabla u)) dM - \frac{(n-2)}{2n} \int_M \langle \nabla R, \nabla u \rangle dM \\ &= \int_{\partial M} \langle \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u), N \rangle dS - \frac{(n-2)}{2n} \int_M \langle \nabla R, \nabla u \rangle dM \\ &= -\frac{1}{|\nabla u|_{\partial M}} \int_{\partial M} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) dS - \frac{(n-2)}{2n} \int_M \langle \nabla R, \nabla u \rangle dM. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

The next lemma gives an expression for the integral of the scalar curvature on the boundary.

Lemma 8. *Let (M^n, g, u, λ) be a compact, oriented m -quasi-Einstein manifold with connected boundary. Then we have*

$$\int_{\partial M} R^{\partial M} dS = \frac{2}{m\kappa} \int_M u |\mathring{Ric}|^2 dM - \frac{n-2}{n\kappa} \int_M R \Delta u dM,$$

where $\kappa = |\nabla u|_{\partial M}$ is a constant.

Proof. It is not difficult to check from (3.5) that

$$\mathring{Ric}(N, N) = R_{nn} - \frac{R}{n}$$

and therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{\kappa^2} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) = \frac{R - R^{\partial M}}{2} - \frac{R}{n},$$

so that

$$(3.7) \quad \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) = \kappa^2 \left(\frac{n-2}{2n} R - \frac{R^{\partial M}}{2} \right).$$

Replacing (3.7) into Lemma 7 we obtain

$$\frac{1}{m} \int_M u |\mathring{Ric}|^2 dM = -\kappa \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{n-2}{2n} R - \frac{R^{\partial M}}{2} \right) dS - \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_M \langle \nabla R, \nabla u \rangle dM,$$

Rearranging this expression and using Stokes' formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\partial M} R^{\partial M} dS &= \frac{1}{m} \int_M u |\mathring{Ric}|^2 dM + \frac{(n-2)\kappa}{2n} \int_{\partial M} R dS \\ &\quad + \frac{n-2}{2n} \left(\int_{\partial M} R \langle \nabla u, N \rangle dS - \int_M R \Delta u dM \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \int_M u |\mathring{Ric}|^2 dM + \frac{(n-2)\kappa}{2n} \int_{\partial M} R dS \\ &\quad - \frac{(n-2)\kappa}{2n} \int_{\partial M} R dS - \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_M R \Delta u dM \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \int_M u |\mathring{Ric}|^2 dM - \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_M R \Delta u dM, \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to prove. \square

To finish this section we going to compute the Euler characteristic of the boundary ∂M of a 5-dimensional compact quasi-Einstein manifold (M^5, g, u, λ) . Similar result was obtained previously for V -static spaces in [4].

Proposition 1. *Let (M^5, g, u, λ) be a compact quasi-Einstein whose the boundary is a connected Einstein manifold. Suppose that the scalar curvature satisfies $R \leq 5\lambda$. Then it holds*

$$(3.8) \quad 8\pi^2 \chi(\partial M) \geq \frac{3}{200} R_{min}^2 |\partial M|.$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if (M^5, g) is isometric to \mathbb{S}_+^5 .

Proof. Since $R \leq 5\lambda$ we infer that $\Delta u = \frac{u}{m}(R - 5\lambda) \leq 0$. Taking into account that the scalar curvature is positive, we have $R_{min} \Delta u \geq R \Delta u$. Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M R \Delta u dM &\leq R_{min} \int_M \Delta u dM = R_{min} \int_{\partial M} \langle \nabla u, N \rangle dS \\ &= -\kappa R_{min} |\partial M|. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Lemma 8 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial M} R^{\partial M} dS &\geq \frac{2}{m\kappa} \int_M u |\mathring{Ric}|^2 dM + \frac{3}{5} R_{min} |\partial M| \\ &\geq \frac{3}{5} R_{min} |\partial M|. \end{aligned}$$

This jointly with the Hölder-inequality we infer

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{9}{25} R_{min}^2 |\partial M|^2 \leq \left(\int_{\partial M} R^{\partial M} dS \right)^2 \leq \int_{\partial M} (R^{\partial M})^2 dS |\partial M|.$$

Now, by using the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern's formula and the fact that the boundary of M is an Einstein manifold we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi^2 \chi(\partial M) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\partial M} |W^{\partial M}|^2 dS + \frac{1}{24} \int_{\partial M} (R^{\partial M})^2 dS \\ (3.10) \quad &\geq \frac{3}{200} R_{min}^2 |\partial M|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the equality holds in (3.10) if and only if the equality also holds in (3.9). This implies that (M^5, g) is an Einstein manifold and therefore, the result follows by Proposition 2.4 of [15]. So, the proof is finished. \square

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. The first part of the proof is standard, and it follows the same steps of Theorem 1.5 of [8] (see also Theorem 2 in [9]). We include it here for sake of completeness. Initially, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ric^{\partial M}(V, V) &\geq \frac{R^{\partial M}}{n-1} g_{\partial M}(V, V) \\ &= \frac{R^{\partial M}}{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $V \in T\partial M$ with $|V|_g = 1$. Since $\inf_{\partial M} R^{\partial M} > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} R(\partial M, g_{\partial M}) &= \inf \{ Ric^{\partial M}(V, V) / V \in T\partial M, |V|_g = 1 \} \\ &= (n-2)\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$Ric^{\partial M} \geq (n-2)\delta g_{\partial M}$$

and consequently by Bonnet-Myers Theorem we arrive at

$$diam_{g_{\partial M}}(\partial M) \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\delta}}.$$

Now, by Bishop-Gromov Theorem it follows that

$$|B_{\rho}(p)| \leq |B_{\rho}^{\delta}(p)|,$$

with $\rho \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\delta}}$, $p \in \partial M$ and $|B_{\rho}^{\delta}(p)|$ denotes the volume of a ball in a sphere S^{n-1} with the metric $g_{\delta} = \delta^{-1}g_{can}$ and radius ρ . In particular, we infer

$$(4.1) \quad |B_{\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\delta}}}(p)| \leq |S^{n-1}|_{g_{\delta}}.$$

Taking into account that $|S^{n-1}|_{g_\delta} = \delta^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}} \omega_{n-1}$ and (4.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial M| &\leq \frac{|B_{\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\delta}}}(p)|}{\sqrt{\delta}} \\ &\leq |S^{n-1}|_{g_\delta} \\ &= \delta^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}} \omega_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which we can rewrite succinctly as

$$(4.2) \quad (\omega_{n-1})^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \geq |\partial M|^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \delta.$$

Since ∂M is compact, there exists $X \in T\partial M$ of unit norm and such that

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (n-2)\delta &= R(\partial M, g_{\partial M}) \\ &= Ric^{\partial M}(X, X). \end{aligned}$$

Notice also that

$$(4.4) \quad Ric^{\partial M} \geq \frac{R^{\partial M}}{n-1} g_{\partial M}.$$

Hence, combining (4.3) and (4.4) implies

$$(n-2)\delta \geq \frac{R^{\partial M}}{n-1},$$

consequently,

$$(4.5) \quad \delta \geq \frac{R^{\partial M}}{(n-1)(n-2)}.$$

Thus, substituting (4.5) into (4.2) we arrive at

$$(\omega_{n-1})^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \geq |\partial M|^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \frac{R^{\partial M}}{(n-1)(n-2)}.$$

Thus, we have

$$(n-1)(n-2)(\omega_{n-1})^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \geq R^{\partial M} |\partial M|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}.$$

Next, upon integrating this expression over ∂M we obtain

$$(n-1)(n-2)(\omega_{n-1})^{\frac{2}{n-1}} |\partial M| \geq |\partial M|^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \int_{\partial M} R^{\partial M} dS,$$

so that

$$(n-1)(n-2)(\omega_{n-1})^{\frac{2}{n-1}} |\partial M|^{\frac{n-3}{n-1}} \geq \int_{\partial M} R^{\partial M} dS.$$

Now, it suffices to invoke Lemma 8 to infer

$$(4.6) \quad (n-1)(n-2)(\omega_{n-1})^{\frac{2}{n-1}} |\partial M|^{\frac{n-3}{n-1}} \geq \frac{2}{m\kappa} \int_M u |\dot{Ric}|^2 dM - \frac{n-2}{n\kappa} \int_M R \Delta u dM.$$

Proceeding, using that $R \leq n\lambda$, we obtain $\Delta u = \frac{u}{m}(R - n\lambda) \leq 0$. Therefore, we deduce

$$R_{min} \Delta u \geq R \Delta u$$

(we remark that in general $R \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{m+n-1}\lambda$, see Remark 5.1 of [15]). Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M R \Delta u dM &\leq R_{min} \int_M \Delta u dM = R_{min} \int_{\partial M} \langle \nabla u, N \rangle dS \\ (4.7) \qquad \qquad &= -\kappa R_{min} |\partial M|. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing (4.7) into (4.6) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)(n-2)(\omega_{n-1})^{\frac{2}{n-1}} |\partial M|^{\frac{n-3}{n-1}} &\geq \frac{2}{m\kappa} \int_M u |\mathring{Ric}|^2 dM + \frac{n-2}{n} R_{min} |\partial M| \\ (4.8) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\geq \frac{n-2}{n} R_{min} |\partial M|. \end{aligned}$$

This can be rewrite as follows

$$(4.9) \qquad |\partial M| \leq \omega_{n-1} \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{R_{min}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

Finally, the equality holds in (4.9) if and only if the equality holds in (4.8) and this therefore implies $\mathring{Ric} = 0$, that is, (M, g) is an Einstein manifold. So, it suffices to apply Proposition 2.4 of [16] to conclude that (M, g) is the hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^n . This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. Since $R \leq 3\lambda$, then $\Delta u = \frac{u}{m}(R - 3\lambda) \leq 0$. Therefore, we obtain $R_{min} \Delta u \geq R \Delta u$. Thus, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M R \Delta u dM &\leq R_{min} \int_M \Delta u dM = R_{min} \int_{\partial M} \langle \nabla u, N \rangle dS \\ &= -\kappa R_{min} |\partial M|. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Lemma 8 we infer

$$(4.10) \qquad \int_{\partial M} R^{\partial M} dS \geq \frac{2}{m\kappa} \int_M u |\mathring{Ric}|^2 dM + \frac{R_{min}}{3} |\partial M|.$$

We remember that in dimension three we have $R^{\partial M} = 2K$, where K is the Gaussian curvature of ∂M . Therefore, by (4.10) we obtain

$$2 \int_{\partial M} K dS \geq \frac{2}{m\kappa} \int_M u |\mathring{Ric}|^2 dM + \frac{R_{min}}{3} |\partial M|$$

and hence, we deduce

$$(4.11) \qquad \int_{\partial M} K dS \geq \frac{R_{min}}{6} |\partial M| > 0$$

Next, by Gauss-Bonnet's Theorem we conclude that ∂M is a 2-sphere and thus

$$\int_{\partial M} K dS = 4\pi.$$

Finally, by (4.11) we arrive at

$$(4.12) \qquad |\partial M| \leq \frac{24\pi}{R_{min}}.$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if the equality holds in (4.11). In this case, (M^3, g) is an Einstein manifold and by Proposition 2.4 of [16], (M^3, g) must be isometric to \mathbb{S}_+^3 , as asserted. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 3.

Proof. To begin with, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(u \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2) &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_k (u \nabla_k (\mathring{Ric})^2) = \nabla_k (u \mathring{Ric} \nabla_k \mathring{Ric}) \\ &= \nabla_k \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \nabla_k u + u \nabla_k \mathring{Ric} \nabla_k \mathring{Ric} + u \mathring{Ric} \nabla_k \nabla_k \mathring{Ric} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle + u \langle \Delta \mathring{Ric}, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + u |\nabla \mathring{Ric}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This jointly with Lemma 5 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}(u \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2) &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle + u |\nabla \mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{2Ru}{n} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + u \langle \nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{2(n+m)}{n} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) + 2m \nabla_i \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \nabla_p u - \frac{m+2}{2} \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle \\ &\quad + 2u \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} - 2u R_{ikjp} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \\ &= u |\nabla \mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{2Ru}{n} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + u \langle \nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric} \rangle + \frac{2(n+m)}{n} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) \\ &\quad + 2m \nabla_i \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \nabla_p u - \frac{m+1}{2} \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle + 2u \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \\ &\quad - 2u R_{ikjp} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

On the other hand, by (2.5) and the fact that the Cotton tensor is skew-symmetric in the first two indices we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \nabla_p u &= \left(\nabla_i R_{jp} - \frac{1}{n} \nabla_i R g_{jp} \right) \mathring{Ric} \nabla_p u \\ &= \left(C_{ipj} + \nabla_p R_{ij} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (\nabla_i R g_{pj} - \nabla_p R g_{ij}) \right) \mathring{Ric} \nabla_p u - \frac{1}{n} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla R, \nabla u) \\ &= C_{ipj} \mathring{Ric} \nabla_p u + \nabla_p R_{ij} \mathring{Ric} \nabla_p u + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla R, \nabla u) - \frac{1}{n} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla R, \nabla u) \\ &= -C_{pij} \mathring{Ric} \nabla_p u + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle - \frac{n-2}{2n(n-1)} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla R, \nabla u). \end{aligned}$$

This combined with Lemma 3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \nabla_p u &= \frac{(n-2)u}{2(m+n-2)} |C|^2 - \frac{m(n-2)}{2(m+n-2)} C_{ijk} W_{ijkl} \nabla_l u \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla |\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u \rangle - \frac{n-2}{2n(n-1)} \mathring{Ric}(\nabla R, \nabla u). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Proceeding, it follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ikjp} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} &= W_{ikjp} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} + \frac{1}{n-2} (R_{ij} g_{kp} + R_{kp} g_{ij} - R_{ip} g_{kj} - R_{kj} g_{ip}) \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \\ &\quad - \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} (g_{kp} g_{ij} - g_{ip} g_{kj}) \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \\ &= W_{ikjp} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} - \frac{2}{n-2} R_{ip} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} + \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 \\ &= W_{ikjp} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} - \frac{2}{n-2} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} - \frac{2R}{n(n-2)} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} |\mathring{Ric}|^2 \\ &= W_{ikjp} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} - \frac{2}{n-2} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} \mathring{Ric} - \frac{R}{n(n-1)} |\mathring{Ric}|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Replacing (4.14) and (4.15) into (4.13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}(u\nabla|\mathring{Ric}|^2) &= u|\nabla\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{2Ru}{n}|\mathring{Ric}|^2 + u\langle\nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric}\rangle + \frac{2(n+m)}{n}\mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) \\
&\quad + \frac{m(n-2)u}{m+n-2}|C|^2 - \frac{m^2(n-2)}{m+n-2}C_{ijk}W_{ijkl}\nabla_l u + m\langle\nabla|\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u\rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{m(n-2)}{n(n-1)}\mathring{Ric}(\nabla R, \nabla u) - \frac{m+1}{2}\langle\nabla|\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u\rangle + 2u\mathring{R}_{ip}\mathring{R}_{kp}\mathring{R}_{ik} \\
&\quad - 2uW_{ikjp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\mathring{R}_{kp} + \frac{4u}{n-2}\mathring{R}_{ip}\mathring{R}_{ij}\mathring{R}_{pj} + \frac{2Ru}{n(n-1)}|\mathring{Ric}|^2 \\
&= u|\nabla\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{m(n-2)u}{m+n-2}|C|^2 + u\langle\nabla^2 R, \mathring{Ric}\rangle + \frac{m+2n-2}{n-1}\mathring{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla R) \\
&\quad + \frac{m-1}{2}\langle\nabla|\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u\rangle + \frac{2Ru}{n-1}|\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{2nu}{n-2}\mathring{R}_{ip}\mathring{R}_{ij}\mathring{R}_{pj} \\
&\quad - 2uW_{ikjp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\mathring{R}_{kp} - \frac{m^2(n-2)}{m+n-2}C_{ijk}W_{ijkl}\nabla_l u,
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

4.4. Proof of Corollary 1.

Proof. Initially, taking into account that M^n has constant scalar curvature and zero radial Weyl curvature, we may use Theorem 3 to infer

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}(u\nabla|\mathring{Ric}|^2) &= u|\nabla\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{m(n-2)u}{m+n-2}|C|^2 + \frac{m-1}{2}\langle\nabla|\mathring{Ric}|^2, \nabla u\rangle \\
(4.16) \quad &\quad + \frac{2Ru}{n-1}|\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{2nu}{n-2}\operatorname{tr}(\mathring{Ric}^3) - 2uW_{ikjp}\mathring{R}_{ij}\mathring{R}_{kp}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we use Eqs. (2.3) and (2.6) and that M^n has zero radial Weyl curvature in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
uW_{ijkl}\mathring{R}_{ik}\mathring{R}_{jl} &= mW_{ijkl}\mathring{R}_{ik}(\nabla_j\nabla_l u - \frac{\Delta u}{n}g_{jl}) \\
&= m\nabla_j(W_{ijkl}\mathring{R}_{ik}\nabla_l u) - m\nabla_jW_{ijkl}\nabla_l u\mathring{R}_{ik} - mW_{ijkl}\nabla_j\mathring{R}_{ik}\nabla_l u \\
&= \frac{m(n-3)}{n-2}C_{kli}\nabla_l u\mathring{R}_{ik} \\
&= \frac{m(n-3)}{2(n-2)}(C_{kli}R_{ik}\nabla_l u + C_{lki}R_{il}\nabla_k u) \\
&= \frac{m(n-3)}{2(n-2)}C_{kli}(R_{ik}\nabla_l u - R_{il}\nabla_k u),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that the Cotton tensor is trace-free. Combining this expression with Lemma 2 and (2.11) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
uW_{ijkl}\mathring{R}_{ik}\mathring{R}_{jl} &= \frac{m(n-3)}{2(m+n-2)}C_{kli}T_{kli} \\
(4.17) \quad &= \frac{m(n-3)u}{2(m+n-2)}|C|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proceeding, since R is constant, we can invoke Proposition 3.3 of [16] (see also Lemma 3.2 of [12]) to deduce

$$|\mathring{Ric}|^2 = -\frac{m+n-1}{n(m-1)}(R-n\lambda)\left(R - \frac{n(n-1)}{m+n-1}\lambda\right).$$

In particular, $|\mathring{Ric}|^2$ is also constant. Thus, substituting (4.17) into (4.16) and using that $|\mathring{Ric}|^2$ is constant we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= u|\nabla \mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{m(n-2)u}{m+n-2}|C|^2 + \frac{2Ru}{n-1}|\mathring{Ric}|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{2nu}{n-2}\text{tr}(\mathring{Ric}^3) - \frac{m(n-3)u}{m+n-2}|C|^2 \\
 (4.18) \quad &= u|\nabla \mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{mu}{m+n-2}|C|^2 + \frac{2Ru}{n-1}|\mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{2nu}{n-2}\text{tr}(\mathring{Ric}^3).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the Okumura's Lemma (see Lemma 2.1 [18]) we obtain

$$\text{tr}(\mathring{Ric}^3) \geq -\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|\mathring{Ric}|^3.$$

Replacing this into (4.18) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\geq u|\nabla \mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{mu}{m+n-2}|C|^2 + \frac{2Ru}{n-1}|\mathring{Ric}|^2 - \frac{2nu}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|\mathring{Ric}|^3 \\
 (4.19) \quad &\geq u|\nabla \mathring{Ric}|^2 + \frac{mu}{m+n-2}|C|^2 + \frac{2n}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}\left(\frac{R}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} - |\mathring{Ric}|\right)u|\mathring{Ric}|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using that $|\mathring{Ric}|^2 < \frac{R^2}{n(n-1)}$ in (4.19), we conclude that $\mathring{Ric} = 0$ and therefore, (M^n, g) is an Einstein manifold. Now, it suffices to invoke Proposition 2.4 of [16] to conclude that (M^n, g) is isometric to the hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^n . So, the proof is finished. \square

Acknowledgement. *The authors would like to thank E. Ribeiro Jr. for helpful conversations about this subject.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Ambrozio, L.: On static three-manifolds with positive scalar curvature. *J. Diff. Geom.* 7 (2017) 1-45.
- [2] Anderson, M.: Scalar curvature, metric degenerations and the static vacuum Einstein equations on 3-manifolds. I, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 9 (1999), no. 5, 855-967.
- [3] Baltazar, H. and Ribeiro Jr., E.: Remarks on critical metrics of the scalar curvature and volume functionals on compact manifolds with boundary. *Pacific J. Math.* 297 (2018) 29-45.
- [4] Barbosa, E., Lima, L. and Freitas, A. The generalized Pohozaev-Schoen identity and some geometric applications. To appear in *Comm. Anal. Geom.* arXiv:1607.03073v1 [math.DG] (2017).
- [5] Barros, A., Batista, R. and Ribeiro Jr, E.: Bounds on volume growth of geodesic balls for Einstein warped products. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 143 (2015) 4415-4422.
- [6] Barros, A., Diógenes, R. and Ribeiro Jr., E.: Bach-flat critical metrics of the volume functional on 4-dimensional manifolds with boundary. *J. Geom. Analysis.* 25 (2015) 2698-2715.
- [7] Batista, R., Ranieri, M. and Ribeiro Jr, E.: Remarks on complete noncompact Einstein warped products. To appear in *Commun. Anal. Geom.* (2018).
- [8] Barros, A. and da Silva, A.: Rigidity for critical metrics of the volume functional. *Math. Nachr.* 291 (2019) 709-719.
- [9] Batista, R., Diógenes, R., Ranieri, M. and Ribeiro Jr., E.: Critical metrics of the volume functional on compact three-manifolds with smooth boundary. *J. Geom. Analysis.* 27 (2017) 1530-1547.
- [10] Besse, A. L.: Einstein Manifolds. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1987).
- [11] Boucher, W., Gibbons, G. and Horowitz, G. Uniqueness theorem for anti-de Sitter spacetime. *Phys. Rev. D.* (3) 30 (1984), 2447-2451.
- [12] Case, J., Shu, Y. and Wei G.: Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics. *Diff. Geom. Appl.* 29 (2011) 93-100.
- [13] Case, J.: On the nonexistence of quasi-Einstein metrics. *Pacific J. Math.* 248 (2010) 227-284.
- [14] Coutinho, F., Diógenes, R., Leandro, B. and Ribeiro Jr., E. Static perfect fluid space-time on compact manifolds. To appear in *Classical and Quantum Gravity*. (2019), doi:<https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab5402>.

- [15] He, C., Petersen, P and Wylie, W.: On the classification of warped product Einstein metrics. *Commun. Anal. Geometry*. 20 (2012) 271-312.
- [16] He, C., Petersen, P and Wylie, W.: Warped product Einstein metrics over spaces with constant scalar curvature. *Asian J. Math.* 18 (2014) 159-190.
- [17] Kim, D.-S. and Kim, Y.: Compact Einstein warped product spaces with nonpositive scalar curvature. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003) 2573-2576.
- [18] Okumura, M.: Hypersurfaces and a Pinching Problem on the Second Fundamental Tensor. *American Journal of Math.* 96, No. 1 (1974) 207-213.
- [19] Petersen, P.: Riemannian Geometry. Second edition. New York: Springer-Verlag New York, 2006.
- [20] Ranieri, M. and Ribeiro Jr, E.: Bach-flat noncompact steady quasi-Einstein manifolds. *Arch. Math.* 108 (2017) 507-519.
- [21] Ribeiro Jr, E. and Tenenblat, K.: Noncompact quasi-Einstein manifolds conformal to a Euclidean space. arXiv:1904.11283 [math.DG] (2019).
- [22] Rimoldi, M.: A remark on Einstein warped products. *Pacific J. Math.* 252 (2011) 207-218.
- [23] Shen, Y. A note on Fischer-Marsdens conjecture. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997), 901-905.
- [24] Viaclovsky, J.: Topics in Riemannian Geometry. Notes of Curse Math 865, Fall 2007. <http://www.math.wisc.edu/~jeffv/courses/865> Fall 2007.
- [25] Wang, L. F.: Rigid properties of quasi-Einstein metrics. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 139 (2011) 3679-3689.

(R. Diógenes) UNILAB, INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS EXATAS E DA NATUREZA, RUA JOSÉ FRANCO DE OLIVEIRA, S/N, 62790-970, REDENÇÃO / CE, BRAZIL.

E-mail address: `rafaeldiogenes@unilab.edu.br`

(T. Gadelha) INSTITUTO FEDERAL DO CEARÁ - IFCE, CAMPUS MARACANAÚ, AV. PARQUE CENTRAL, S/N, 61939-140, MARACANAÚ / CE, BRAZIL.

E-mail address: `tiago.gadelha.sousa@gmail.com`