

## A Compact Source for Quasi-Periodic Pulsation in an M-class Solar Flare

DING YUAN,<sup>1,2</sup> SONG FENG,<sup>3,4</sup> DONG LI,<sup>5</sup> ZHONGJUN NING,<sup>5</sup> AND BAOLIN TAN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Space Science and Applied Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518055, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Key Laboratory of Solar Activity, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China*

<sup>3</sup>*Yunnan Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, PO Box 110, Kunming 650011, China*

<sup>4</sup>*Yunnan Key Laboratory of Computer Technology Application, Faculty of Information Engineering and Automation, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming 650500, China*

<sup>5</sup>*Key Laboratory for Dark Matter and Space Science, Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210034, China*

(Received ; Revised ; Accepted )

Submitted to ApJL

### ABSTRACT

Quasi-periodic pulsations (QPP) are usually found in the light curves of solar and stellar flares, they carry the features of time characteristics and plasma emission of the flaring core, and could be used to diagnose the coronas of the Sun and remote stars. In this study, we combined the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory and the Nobeyama Radioheliograph (NoRH) to observe an M7.7 class flare occurred at active region 11520 on 19 July 2012. A QPP was detected both in the AIA 131 Å bandpass and the NoRH 17 GHz channel, it had a period of about four minutes. In the spatial distribution of Fourier power, we found that this QPP originated from a compact source and that it overlapped with the X-ray source above the loop top. The plasma emission intensities in the AIA 131 Å bandpass were highly correlated within this region. The source region is further segmented into stripes that oscillated with distinctive phases. Evidence in this event suggests that this QPP was likely to be generated by intermittent energy injection into the reconnection region.

*Keywords:* Sun: atmosphere — Sun: corona — magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) — Sun: flare — Sun: oscillations

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Quasi-periodic pulsation (QPP) is a rhythmic modulation to electromagnetic radiation of plasma in a wide range of frequencies during a solar or stellar flare. It is normally observed in integrated light curves in most electromagnetic bandpasses of solar and stellar plasma emissions, ranging from radio band, visible light, extreme ultraviolet (EUV) to X-rays (see reviews of Nakariakov & Melnikov 2009; Van Doorsselaere et al. 2016). Statistics with GOES soft X-ray emission suggests that 80% of the solar flares exhibit QPP during the impulsive phase (Simões et al. 2015). Since QPP carries the time characteristics of flare emission, it could

be used to diagnose the key parameters of flaring site (e.g., Brosius & Daw 2015; Pugh et al. 2019).

Two theories are proposed to explain the origin of QPP in a flare: repetitive magnetic reconnection (McLaughlin et al. 2009, 2012; Thurgood et al. 2017; McLaughlin et al. 2018; Dominique et al. 2018) and modulation to the flaring site by magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) waves (Nakariakov et al. 2006; Reznikova & Shibasaki 2011; Tian et al. 2016). Repetitive magnetic reconnection cannot be observed directly, since coronal magnetic field is extremely difficult to measure with current instrumentation. Moreover, the dynamics of a current sheet, which is a direct byproduct of magnetic reconnection, does not normally reveal itself in the EUV emissions of coronal plasma. Albeit difficult, several studies attempted to estimate the magnetic field or current sheet with lucky viewing angles in imaging or spectroscopic measurement (Su et al. 2013;

Longcope et al. 2018; Warren et al. 2018). To investigate the physics of QPP, one has to seek for signature of repetitive waves and flows during magnetic reconnection (McLaughlin et al. 2012).

If one suggests the modulation by MHD waves, a plausible step is to correlate the timestamps in integrated light curves and periodic waves. A series of studies was done on modulation by standing and reflective slow mode waves (Wang et al. 2003a,b; Wang 2011; Kumar et al. 2013, 2015; Yuan et al. 2015; Fang et al. 2015; Mandal et al. 2016). Most previous studies focus on a good match of periodicity and phase difference. However, after nine years' launch of the Solar Dynamics Observatories (SDO, Pesnell et al. 2012), although its onboard instrument - the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA, Lemen et al. 2012) has sufficient spatial and temporal resolutions in nine UV and EUV channels, no observation provides convincing evidence on the causality between MHD wave and QPP. Therefore, the attempts to diagnose the reconnection site of solar and stellar flares with QPPs are weakly supported (e.g., Kim et al. 2012).

A correct model is extremely nontrivial for stellar flare QPP, since the spatial resolution is not achievable for a remote star. Once the nature of QPP is justified, it would become a novel tool to diagnose stellar atmospheres. In this study, we found that QPP originates from a very compact source above the loop top, and that no connectivity was found between QPP and other oscillatory signals at the lower atmosphere. This letter is structured as follows: Section 2 presents data preparation and methods; Section 3 describes the main results and is followed by a discussion in Section 4.

## 2. DATA REDUCTION AND ANALYSIS

On 19 July 2012, A GOES class M7.7 flare was detected on NOAA active region (AR) 11520. This AR has rotated to the west limb of the sun, an arcade of coronal loops was well oriented for observation in both EUV and radio emission bandpasses (Figure 1b and 1c). Figure 1a presents the Soft X-ray fluxes recorded by the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES), the average EUV emission intensity captured by the AIA 131 Å channel, and the average radio flux at 17 GHz received by the Nobeyama Radioheliograph (NoRH, Nakajima et al. 1994). The Soft X-ray flux started to raise at about 4:17 UT, reached a peak value at about 6:00 UT and decays off gradually. The NoRH 17 GHz radio flux rapidly raised to peak value and decayed off from about 5:15 UT to about 5:40 UT. Figure 1a reveals QPPs in the Soft X-ray fluxes and the average EUV emission close to the peak time of this flare.

No apparent QPP was visible in the NoRH 17 GHz signal, however we will show later that significant periodic signal was buried in this light curve.

The magnetic structure of this active region was well exposed for investigating solar flare models and its dynamics at outer corona, several articles were published to report various aspects of this flare and the associated dynamics. Liu et al. (2013) measures the plasmoid ejections and seek for evidence of particle acceleration during magnetic reconnection; Sun et al. (2014) studies the thermal structure of flaring loops; Liu (2013) investigate the currents behind an erupting flux rope; Patsourakos et al. (2013) reported evidence of a fast coronal mass ejection driven by the prior information of a magnetic flux rope. Wu et al. (2016) used Nobeyama Radioheliograph data to study the non-thermal electron emissions, they detected an intermittent time scale of about two minutes for magnetic reconnection; More specifically, Huang et al. (2016) reported quasi-periodic acceleration of electrons that may coincide in the bi-direction outflows from the reconnection region.


SDO/AIA data have excellent spatial and temporal resolutions to reveal the source and time characteristics of this QPP. The AIA data were calibrated with the standard calibration routine provided by the SolarSoft package<sup>1</sup>. The digital offset for the cameras, CCD read-out noise and dark current were removed from the data, then each image was corrected with a flat-field and was normalized with its exposure time. The saturated images were disregarded, so the cadence is reduced to 24 s in the EUV data. NoRH recorded the 17 GHz radio flux till 6:27 UT, the cadence was fixed to 1 s.

We used the Reuven Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI, Lin et al. 2002) detectors 3 and 5-9, and the CLEAN algorithm to compute the X-ray images. The measurement started on 5:29:30 UT and integrated for 30 s. The contours of X-ray emission intensity were overlaid in Figures 1b, 1c, and 4a.

Figure 1a plots the EUV emission intensity variation in the AIA 131 Å channel, this signal was averaged within the area enclosed by the black rectangle (Figure 1b). We estimated the global trend by taking the five minute moving average, and removed it from the light curve to highlight the periodic pulsations.

Some researchers are concerned about the effect of detrending, so they developed a robust spectral method to detect oscillatory signals in the original light curves (e.g., Gruber et al. 2011; Inglis et al. 2015; Pugh et al. 2017). These algorithms could reveal significant oscillatory sig-

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.mssl.ucl.ac.uk/surf/sswdoc/solarsoft/>




**Figure 1.** An arcade of loops at AR 11520 recorded by the AIA 131 Å channel (b) and NoRH 17 GHz band (c) at 06:10 UT on 19 July 2012. The RHESSI X-ray emission intensity were overlaid with contour levels at 50% and 90% of the peak intensity. Two energy bands were computed at 12-25 keV (blue) and 25-50 keV (red), respectively. (a) Light curves of the GOES SXR flux at 1-8 Å, 0.5-4 Å, the NoRH radio flux at 17 GHz, and the AIA 131 Å bandpasses. They are normalized to the peak value so that the maximum value is one. The AIA 131 Å signal was averaged over the rectangle at the loop top as marked in panel (b).

nals within a Fourier spectrum, which normally follows a power-law distribution. This practice could appropriately account for the red noise in the data (Pugh et al. 2017). We calculated the Fourier spectra with original light curves of both the 131 Å and 17 GHz fluxes, and assessed the significance level with red noise distribution and Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) fit (see Figure 2). This results confirmed that the four minute oscillation analysed throughout this study is above the 95%-confidence level in both the AIA 131 Å and NoRH 17 GHz signal. We also note a significant spectral peak with two-minute periodicity, but its amplitude is very

small, so it is hardly detectable in wavelet spectrum (see Figure 3). We hereafter opt to wavelet analysis with detrended time series, so that the temporal behaviour and the connectivity between different observables could be examined.

The detrended time series was plotted in Figure 3a and was analysed with wavelet transform (Torrence & Compo 1998), the wavelet spectrum was plotted in Figure 3b. Similar analysis was done to the NoRH 17 GHz data, the time series and wavelet spectrum were plotted in Figure 3c and 3d, respectively.



**Figure 2.** Fourier power spectra of the light curves of the AIA 131 Å bandpasses (a) and the NoRH radio flux at 17 GHz (b) as shown in Figure 1(a). The Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) fit and red noise at 95% confidence level (or 5% significance level) are over-plotted as guideline for assessing significant oscillatory signals.

To study the spatial distribution of QPP, we perform Fourier transform to the detrended emission intensity of every pixel in the AIA 131 Å and average the Fourier power among the spectral components between 200 s and 300 s (Figure 4a). This range includes significant periodic signal revealed in Figure 3b. Before this step, we removed the five minute moving average in each time series as done in the wavelet analysis. Within each pixel, we estimate the white noise level for the Fourier power spectrum according to Torrence & Compo (1998). Figure 4a only presents the pixels with four minute oscillation power above the  $3\sigma$  noise level. In the NoRH 17 GHz images, the loop top emission was very weak (Figure 1). The plasma density and temperature was significantly enhanced above the loop top (Huang et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2016), therefore, the opacity for the 17 GHz emission varies between footpoints and loop top. So similar analysis to the NoRH dataset could not effectively reveal the spatiotemporal knowledge about the source above the loop top. Our event is different with the case studied in Inglis et al. (2008), in which the coronal loops had a very different orientation (see Figure 6 in Inglis et al. 2008).

We note that the region with QPP was very compact and only localized above the loop top. To reveal the inter-correlation within the source region, we calculated the cross-correlation coefficient (XC) of the detrended emission intensity of each pixel and that of a reference pixel at the loop top as labelled in Figure 4. We measured the lag time by finding the argument maximum of XC for each pixel, the maximal XC and lag time within


the region of interest are illustrated in Figure 4b and Figure 4c.

### 3. RESULTS


During this M7.7 flare, we observed several QPPs with distinct periods in the Soft X-ray, radio and EUV emission light curves, Huang et al. (2016) reports a thorough study on the quasi-periodic processes. In this study, we focus on the spatio-temporal characteristics of QPP in the EUV imaging data. This sort of study was attempted with NoRH radio observation, e.g., (Inglis et al. 2008; Fleishman et al. 2008), however, the coarse spatial resolution of radio observation is not sufficient to reveal the fine structure of QPP. With a thorough understanding of QPP in solar flares, we could apply the knowledge to stellar flares with QPP and derive more details of a remote star.

Figure 1a shows that in the averaged 131 Å light curve quasi-periodic signals was clearly visible from about 5:30 to 7:00 UT. Figure 3a and 3b represents respectively the de-trended signal and its wavelet power spectrum. A significant period is detected at about four minutes, the periodicity last persistently for about 90 minutes. We also detected an oscillatory signal with the same periodicity in the NoRH 17 GHz radio signal (Figure 3c and 3d), however, this QPP only lasted from 5:15 UT to 5:40 UT. It occurred about 15 minutes ahead of the EUV signal; two oscillatory signals had an overlap of about 10 minutes duration (or 2.5 oscillatory cycles).

Figure 4a presents the Fourier power distribution of the four minutes periodicity. We found that this four minutes oscillation was only significant above the loop



**Figure 3.** (a) Light curve of the average  $131 \text{ \AA}$  signal after removing the five minute moving average. (b) Wavelet power spectrum. (c) and (d) are the same analysis to the NoRH 17 GHz radio flux. The contours in (b) and (d) are the 95% confidence level (or 5% significance level). The cones-of-influence are cross-hatched, they represents the meaningless power affected by zero-paddings.



**Figure 4.** (a)-(c) Maps of Fourier power averaged between 200-300s, correlation coefficient and lag time. The red plus symbol represents the reference pixel. Panel (a) only draws the pixel with four minute oscillation above the  $3\sigma$  white noise level. The contours in (a) is the same as plotted in Figure 1.

top, and its spatial extent was compact and had overlap with hard X-ray emission region. Moreover, these oscillations were highly correlated, the XC coefficient was greater than 0.5 in the compact region above loop top, see Figure 4b. Figure 4c reveals that this oscillation could be segmented into several sub-regions. Within each sub-region the lag time was uniform. However, between two neighbouring sub-regions, the lag time had a

difference of about 200 s - 300 s, this is very close to a oscillation cycle.

#### 4. DISCUSSIONS

In this study, an arcade of loops at AR 11520 was well oriented for observation. An M7.7 flare was detected on 19 July 2012 at this AR. During this flare, QPP was detected both in the light curves of AIA  $131 \text{ \AA}$  bandpass

and the NoRH 17 GHz radio flux. The emission intensities oscillated with a period of about four minutes.

The QPP had a very compact source and was only localized above the loop top. Its location had overlap with the hard X-ray source above the loop top. The QPP source region exhibited high correlation and was segmented into several stripes according to the distribution of lag time.

The oscillatory signal in the NoRH 17 GHz started about 15 minute ahead of the AIA 131 Å signal. The order of start time of two detected oscillatory signals implies that this QPP might be triggered by an energetic process, which was first observed in high energy radiation and then detectable in the EUV emissions. The QPP in the AIA 131 Å channel did not exhibit significant damping during a 90-minutes interval. Similar persistent QPPs were detected at the impulsive phase and decay phase of an X8.2 solar flare (Hayes et al. 2019). This feature is contradictory to those QPPs with strong damping (e.g., Anfinogentov et al. 2013). So this kind of QPP should be driven periodically or by a sequence of impulsive energy injections.

If we consider the periodicity at MHD timescale and its persistence, such a signal resembles the leakage of sunspot oscillations (Yuan et al. 2011, 2016; Li et al. 2018). However, we did not observe similar oscillatory signal propagating along the loops in the EUV emission intensity (see Figure 4a), so we rule out the possibility of leakage of sunspot oscillation in forms of slow mode wave. If such leakage is the cause, the propagation of energy should not disturb the density or temperature of the flaring loop, then it could be non-thermal particles or Alfvén waves. Chen & Priest (2006) demonstrated that solar  $p$ -mode could modulate the reconnection site and generate a oscillatory signal. However we did not found sufficient evidence to support this theory in this event.

This QPP is also likely to be caused by self-consistent periodic modulation to the energy releasing site at the loop top by colliding flows or non-thermal plasma. Such a scenario is reproduced by MHD simulations (Fang et al. 2016; Ruan et al. 2019). Ruan et al. (2019) simulated a turbulent flare loop by triggering counter-stream flows at loop top and reproduced a compact QPP source with highly correlations.

Another possible origin is the intermittent bombardment of quasi-periodic plasmoid generated by magnetic reconnections. This process was numerically predicted by (Takasao & Shibata 2016; Zhao et al. 2019). The event studies in this letter is more inclined to support this origin. Wu et al. (2016) detected a two minute periodicity in the pre-impulsive phase of the same flare, this periodicity is about half of the period measured in this study. In our study, we also detect significant two minute oscillation, but its amplitude was very small compared to the four-minute oscillation (see Figure 2 and Figure 3). The two minute oscillations in the impulsive and decay phase might be connected to the signal in the pre-impulsive phase as presented in Wu et al. (2016), but we don't have conclusive evidence at this stage. Such a rhythmic process is accompanied by fast contracting loop and upward ejective plasmoids (Liu et al. 2013). This scenario appears to be consistent with the lag time distribution (Figure 4c).

In this study, we report both the spatial extent and causality of a QPP. Although the physical mechanism of QPP is not conclusive, we obtained a wealth of new features about QPP. It would be a good start for future investigations. A combination of imaging and spectroscopic study should be able to get insight into the origin of this kind of QPP.

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for his or her helpful comments. D.Y. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, 11803005, 11911530690), Shenzhen Technology Project (JCYJ20180306172239618), and the Open Research Program (KLSA201814) of Key Laboratory of Solar Activity of National Astronomical Observatory of China. S.F. is supported by the Joint Fund of NSFC (U1931107) and the Key Applied Basic Research program of Yunnan Province (2018FA035). D.L. and Z.J.N are supported by the NSFC (1197309211603077, 11573072) and the Youth Fund of Jiangsu (BK20171108). Wavelet software was provided by C. Torrence and G. Compo, and is available at URL: <http://atoc.colorado.edu/research/wavelets/>. The authors would like to acknowledge the ISSI-BJ's international workshop on "Oscillatory Processes in Solar and Stellar Coronae". The data were provided by the SDO/AIA, RHESSI, and Nobeyama teams.

## REFERENCES

- Anfinogentov, S., Nakariakov, V. M., Mathioudakis, M.,  
 Van Doorselaere, T., & Kowalski, A. F. 2013, *ApJ*, 773,  
 156, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/773/2/156](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/773/2/156)
- Brosius, J. W., & Daw, A. N. 2015, *ApJ*, 810, 45,  
 doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/810/1/45](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/810/1/45)
- Chen, P. F., & Priest, E. R. 2006, *SoPh*, 238, 313,  
 doi: [10.1007/s11207-006-0215-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-006-0215-1)

- Dominique, M., Zhukov, A. N., Dolla, L., Inglis, A., & Lapenta, G. 2018, *SoPh*, 293, 61, doi: [10.1007/s11207-018-1281-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-018-1281-x)
- Fang, X., Yuan, D., Van Doorselaere, T., Keppens, R., & Xia, C. 2015, *ApJ*, 813, 33, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/813/1/33](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/813/1/33)
- Fang, X., Yuan, D., Xia, C., Van Doorselaere, T., & Keppens, R. 2016, *ApJ*, 833, 36, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/833/1/36](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/833/1/36)
- Fleishman, G. D., Bastian, T. S., & Gary, D. E. 2008, *ApJ*, 684, 1433, doi: [10.1086/589821](https://doi.org/10.1086/589821)
- Gruber, D., Lachowicz, P., Bissaldi, E., et al. 2011, *A&A*, 533, A61, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201117077](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117077)
- Hayes, L. A., Gallagher, P. T., Dennis, B. R., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 875, 33, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab0ca3](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab0ca3)
- Huang, J., Kontar, E. P., Nakariakov, V. M., & Gao, G. 2016, *ApJ*, 831, 119, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/831/2/119](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/831/2/119)
- Inglis, A. R., Ireland, J., & Dominique, M. 2015, *ApJ*, 798, 108, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/798/2/108](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/798/2/108)
- Inglis, A. R., Nakariakov, V. M., & Melnikov, V. F. 2008, *A&A*, 487, 1147, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20079323](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20079323)
- Kim, S., Nakariakov, V. M., & Shibasaki, K. 2012, *ApJL*, 756, L36, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/756/2/L36](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/756/2/L36)
- Kumar, P., Innes, D. E., & Inhester, B. 2013, *ApJL*, 779, L7, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/779/1/L7](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/779/1/L7)
- Kumar, P., Nakariakov, V. M., & Cho, K.-S. 2015, *ApJ*, 804, 4, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/804/1/4](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/804/1/4)
- Lemen, J. R., Title, A. M., Akin, D. J., et al. 2012, *SoPh*, 275, 17, doi: [10.1007/s11207-011-9776-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-011-9776-8)
- Li, D., Yuan, D., Su, Y. N., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 617, A86, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201832991](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832991)
- Lin, R. P., Dennis, B. R., Hurford, G. J., et al. 2002, *SoPh*, 210, 3, doi: [10.1023/A:1022428818870](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022428818870)
- Liu, R. 2013, *MNRAS*, 434, 1309, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt1090](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1090)
- Liu, W., Chen, Q., & Petrosian, V. 2013, *ApJ*, 767, 168, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/767/2/168](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/767/2/168)
- Longcope, D., Unverferth, J., Klein, C., McCarthy, M., & Priest, E. 2018, *ApJ*, 868, 148, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaeac4](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaeac4)
- Mandal, S., Yuan, D., Fang, X., et al. 2016, *ApJ*, 828, 72, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/828/2/72](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/828/2/72)
- McLaughlin, J. A., De Moortel, I., Hood, A. W., & Brady, C. S. 2009, *A&A*, 493, 227, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:200810465](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200810465)
- McLaughlin, J. A., Nakariakov, V. M., Dominique, M., Jelínek, P., & Takasao, S. 2018, *SSRv*, 214, 45, doi: [10.1007/s11214-018-0478-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-018-0478-5)
- McLaughlin, J. A., Verth, G., Fedun, V., & Erdélyi, R. 2012, *ApJ*, 749, 30, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/749/1/30](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/749/1/30)
- Nakajima, H., Nishio, M., Enome, S., et al. 1994, *IEEE Proceedings*, 82, 705
- Nakariakov, V. M., Foullon, C., Verwichte, E., & Young, N. P. 2006, *A&A*, 452, 343, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20054608](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20054608)
- Nakariakov, V. M., & Melnikov, V. F. 2009, *SSRv*, 149, 119, doi: [10.1007/s11214-009-9536-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-009-9536-3)
- Patsourakos, S., Vourlidas, A., & Stenborg, G. 2013, *ApJ*, 764, 125, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/764/2/125](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/764/2/125)
- Pesnell, W. D., Thompson, B. J., & Chamberlin, P. C. 2012, *SoPh*, 275, 3, doi: [10.1007/s11207-011-9841-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-011-9841-3)
- Pugh, C. E., Broomhall, A. M., & Nakariakov, V. M. 2017, *A&A*, 602, A47, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201730595](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201730595)
- Pugh, C. E., Broomhall, A.-M., & Nakariakov, V. M. 2019, *A&A*, 624, A65, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834455](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834455)
- Reznikova, V. E., & Shibasaki, K. 2011, *A&A*, 525, A112, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201015600](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201015600)
- Ruan, W., Xia, C., & Keppens, R. 2019, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 877, L11, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ab1f78](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab1f78)
- Simões, P. J. A., Hudson, H. S., & Fletcher, L. 2015, *SoPh*, 290, 3625, doi: [10.1007/s11207-015-0691-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-015-0691-2)
- Su, Y., Veronig, A. M., Holman, G. D., et al. 2013, *Nature Physics*, 9, 489, doi: [10.1038/nphys2675](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2675)
- Sun, J. Q., Cheng, X., & Ding, M. D. 2014, *ApJ*, 786, 73, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/786/1/73](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/786/1/73)
- Takasao, S., & Shibata, K. 2016, *ApJ*, 823, 150, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/823/2/150](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/823/2/150)
- Thurgood, J. O., Pontin, D. I., & McLaughlin, J. A. 2017, *ApJ*, 844, 2, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa79fa](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa79fa)
- Tian, H., Young, P. R., Reeves, K. K., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, 823, L16, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/823/1/L16](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/823/1/L16)
- Torrence, C., & Compo, G. P. 1998, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 79, 61, doi: [10.1175/1520-0477\(1998\)079<0061:APGTWA>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1998)079<0061:APGTWA>2.0.CO;2)
- Van Doorselaere, T., Kupriyanova, E. G., & Yuan, D. 2016, *SoPh*, 291, 3143, doi: [10.1007/s11207-016-0977-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-016-0977-z)
- Wang, T. 2011, *SSRv*, 158, 397, doi: [10.1007/s11214-010-9716-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-010-9716-1)
- Wang, T. J., Solanki, S. K., Curdt, W., et al. 2003a, *A&A*, 406, 1105, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20030858](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20030858)
- Wang, T. J., Solanki, S. K., Innes, D. E., Curdt, W., & Marsch, E. 2003b, *A&A*, 402, L17, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20030448](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20030448)
- Warren, H. P., Brooks, D. H., Ugarte-Urra, I., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 854, 122, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaa9b8](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaa9b8)
- Wu, Z., Chen, Y., Huang, G., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, 820, L29, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/820/2/L29](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/820/2/L29)
- Yuan, D., Nakariakov, V. M., Chorley, N., & Foullon, C. 2011, *A&A*, 533, A116, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201116933](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201116933)

Yuan, D., Su, J., Jiao, F., & Walsh, R. W. 2016, *ApJS*, 224, 30, doi: [10.3847/0067-0049/224/2/30](https://doi.org/10.3847/0067-0049/224/2/30)

Yuan, D., Van Doorselaere, T., Banerjee, D., & Antolin, P. 2015, *ApJ*, 807, 98, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/807/1/98](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/807/1/98)

Zhao, X., Xia, C., Van Doorselaere, T., Keppens, R., & Gan, W. 2019, *ApJ*, 872, 190, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab0284](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab0284)