

Fokker-Planck model for binary mixtures

Samarth Agrawal, S. K. Singh, and Santosh Ansumali*

Engineering Mechanics Unit,

Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research,

Jakkur, Bangalore 560064, India

(Dated: September 6, 2021)

Abstract

In dilute gas kinetic theory, model collision dynamics such as Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) model [1] is often used to get better insight and numerical modeling. BGK model and its variants assumes that highly nonlinear collision term can be replaced by a simple relaxation dynamics towards Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. Lebowitz *et al.* [2], proposed an alternative framework for collision model, known as Fokker-Planck model, where the relaxation of an arbitrary distribution towards Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution is modelled as a drift-diffusion process in velocity space. In the present manuscript, we extend the single component Fokker-Planck model to a binary gas mixture model over a large range of Schmidt numbers. We prove that this mixture models satisfy the necessary conservation laws and the H -theorem.

* email for correspondence: ansumali@jncasr.ac.in

I. INTRODUCTION

Fluid dynamics for gases at the continuum scale, i.e, when the system size is much larger than the mean free path, is well described by the Navier-Stokes-Fourier dynamics. In this description, one assumes that the system is locally close to thermodynamic equilibrium. A more general description of dilute gas dynamics is provided by the Boltzmann equation which describes the dynamics even far from away from the thermodynamic equilibrium and at all Knudsen numbers, defined as $Kn = \lambda/L$, where λ is the mean free path and L the characteristic length of the system [3, 4]. In the kinetic theory of gases, as developed by Boltzmann and Maxwell, one assumes gases to be composed of structureless point particle and provides a statistical description of the motion of particles in terms of the single particle distribution function. The Boltzmann equation is the time evolution equation for the distribution function where time evolution is represented as a sequence of free flight and binary collision described by an integro-differential term. Given the complex non-linear integro-differential form of the collision term, there is a long history of representing the collision term via model dynamics in the kinetic theory. Perhaps the most famous and widely used model is the Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) model [1], where one assumes that complex collision term can be replaced by a relaxation dynamics towards equilibrium distribution of Maxwell-Boltzmann. BGK model has correct hydrodynamic limit of Navier-Stokes-Fourier dynamics and thermodynamic consistency of the Boltzmann equation as described by H -theorem. However, as the model describes the relaxation towards Maxwell-Boltzmann by a single relaxation time, all non-conserved moments such as stress and heat flux, relax at the same rate. Thus, the BGK model is incapable of accurately model all the transport coefficients and thus predicts Prandtl number (ratio of the thermal and momentum diffusion time) of dilute gases as unity in place of $2/3$. More sophisticated models such as the ellipsoidal statistical BGK (ES-BGK) model do not have such defects [5]. Constructing model collision dynamics of relaxation type, which preserves both hydrodynamic and thermodynamic consistency is well understood for both single component gas and multi-component gas mixtures [6].

Lebowitz *et al.* [2], proposed an alternative Fokker-Planck framework for collision model where the relaxation of an arbitrary distribution towards Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution is modeled as a drift-diffusion process in the velocity space. Even though this model has

a correct hydrodynamic limit (with Prandtl number $3/2$) and satisfies the H -theorem, it was rarely used for rarefied gas dynamics applications until recently. This model has seen a revived interest in the last few years owing to the fact that Fokker-Planck model has an equivalent Langevin dynamics which can be efficiently discretized [7]. Recently it was shown that the Prandtl number defect can be cured without compromising on its thermodynamic consistency by two independent methodologies. Gorji *et al.* [8] introduced a generalized nonlinear Fokker-Planck model to correct the Prandtl number while Singh and Ansumali [9] showed that the Prandtl number can be tuned by changing the drift term in the Fokker-Planck model. These recent advances have successfully enabled the kinetic modelling of gases for boundary value problems pertaining to engineering applications via the Fokker-Planck approximation [8, 10]. However, this is limited to the single component case and techniques dealing with gas mixtures haven't attained the same level of sophistication. Recently, Gorji and Jenny [11] introduced a generalized nonlinear Fokker-Planck model for gas mixtures that correctly describes the conservation of mass, momentum and energy and the transfer between the components as well and also managed to recover the relevant transport coefficients. However, as of now, there is no proof available for the thermodynamic consistency of this model. In the present manuscript, we present an alternative approach based on quasi-equilibrium models to introduce a Fokker-Planck model for binary mixtures and verify the veracity of this model through some basic simulations.

The manuscript is organized as follows. First, the distribution function and macroscopic variables are introduced following which we explain the Boltzmann equation and its basic properties for rarefied gases. In the next section, various approximations to the Boltzmann collisional kernel including the BGK approximation, quasi-equilibrium models and the Fokker-Planck approximation for hydrodynamics are revisited. A brief description of the Boltzmann equation for binary mixtures and quasi-equilibrium distribution functions for the same are described. Based on these ideas, we introduce two Fokker-Planck models for different Schmidt numbers. In the following sections, the transport coefficients for these models are calculated and the numerical solution algorithm of the resulting Fokker-Planck equations is presented. Finally we discuss the various benchmark problems that were used to validate these models.

II. THE DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION AND MACROSCOPIC VARIABLES

The kinetic theory of gases provides a statistical description of the motion of molecules in terms of the distribution function, $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}, t)$ which is the probability density of finding a particle in the phase space in the neighbourhood of the point (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}) where \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{c} are the position and the molecular velocity respectively [3]. Similarly, for an \mathcal{N} -component mixture the description is provided in terms of $f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}_i, t)d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{c}_i$ which is the probability of finding a particle of the i th type in $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} + d\mathbf{x})$, possessing a velocity in the range $(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_i + d\mathbf{c}_i)$. The relevant macroscopic quantities can then be found by taking the appropriate moment of the distribution function. The component number density n_i and the mixture number density n are

$$n_i = \langle 1, f_i \rangle, \quad n = \sum_i n_i, \quad (1)$$

where the summation is over all components and the $\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle$ operator is

$$\langle \phi_1(\mathbf{c}_i), \phi_2(\mathbf{c}_i) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_1(\mathbf{c}_i)\phi_2(\mathbf{c}_i)d\mathbf{c}_i. \quad (2)$$

A convention of explicit summation over all components is used for mixture quantities. As an example the mixture density is defined as $\rho = \sum_i \rho_i$, where the component mass density is defined as $\rho_i = m_i n_i$ with m_i being the mass of each particle of i th component. Similarly, in D dimensions, the momentum $\rho \mathbf{u}$, the energy E and the temperature T of the mixture are defined as

$$\rho \mathbf{u} = \sum_i \langle m_i \mathbf{c}_i, f_i \rangle, \quad E = \sum_i \left\langle \frac{m_i c_i^2}{2}, f_i \right\rangle, \quad \frac{D}{2} n k_B T = \sum_i \left\langle \frac{m_i (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{u})^2}{2}, f_i \right\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant. Similar to the single component case, the component velocity \mathbf{u}_i and component temperature T_i are

$$\rho_i \mathbf{u}_i = \langle m_i \mathbf{c}_i, f_i \rangle, \quad \frac{D}{2} n_i k_B T_i = \left\langle \frac{m_i (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{u}_i)^2}{2}, f_i \right\rangle. \quad (4)$$

Similarly the pressure p , the stress $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ and the heat flux q_α are

$$p = \sum_i n_i k_B T, \quad \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_i \langle m_i \overline{\xi_{i\alpha} \xi_{i\beta}}, f_i \rangle, \quad q_\alpha = \sum_i \left\langle m_i \frac{\xi_i^2}{2} \xi_{i\alpha}, f_i \right\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where $\xi_{i\alpha} = c_{i\alpha} - u_\alpha$ and with $\overline{A_{\alpha\beta}}$ indicating the traceless part of the tensor. At equilibrium, the distribution function attains the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution form

$$f_i^{\text{MB}}(n_i, \mathbf{u}, T) = n_i \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi k_B T} \right)^{D/2} \exp \left(-\frac{m_i}{2k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{u})^2 \right). \quad (6)$$

The component velocities and temperatures assume the value of their mixture counterparts while the stress and heat flux become zero at equilibrium, that is

$$\mathbf{u}_i[f^{\text{MB}}] = \mathbf{u}, \quad T_i[f^{\text{MB}}] = T, \quad \sigma_{\alpha\beta}[f^{\text{MB}}] = 0, \quad q_\alpha[f^{\text{MB}}] = 0. \quad (7)$$

The kinetic theory of gases also extends the idea of entropy present in statistical mechanics to non-equilibrium situations. This is achieved via the H -function defined as

$$H = \sum_i \int d\mathbf{c}_i (f_i \ln f_i - f_i). \quad (8)$$

It can be shown that the H -function steadily decreases as the system progresses in time and at equilibrium attains a form similar to the Sackur-Tetrode expression of entropy per unit mass in the thermodynamics [3], as $S_B = -k_B H[f^{\text{MB}}]$, wherein

$$H[f^{\text{MB}}] = \sum_i n_i \left[\frac{D}{2} \log \frac{2\pi k_B T}{m_i} - \log n_i + \frac{D}{2} \right], \quad (9)$$

which shows that kinetic theory is consistent with features of statistical mechanics. This completes the description of the various relevant macroscopic quantities that are calculated from the distribution function and their behaviour at equilibrium.

III. THE BOLTZMANN EQUATION

For the case of dilute gases, the time evolution of the distribution function is described by the Boltzmann equation [3]. For the single component dilute gas, the Boltzmann equation has the form

$$\partial_t f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}, t) + \partial_{c_\alpha} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}, t) = \Omega, \quad (10)$$

where Ω is the term which accounts for the change due to collisions between particles. The Boltzmann collisional operator, Ω^{B} , quantifies the change in the distribution function from

all possible binary collisions, and is expressed as

$$\Omega^B = \int \int \int (w' f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}', t) f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}'_1, t) - w f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}, t) f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}_1, t)) d\mathbf{c}_1 d\mathbf{c}' d\mathbf{c}'_1, \quad (11)$$

where $w \equiv w(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{c}'_1; \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}_1)$ is the probability of the colliding pair to transition from the velocities $(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}_1)$ to $(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{c}'_1)$ and vice-versa for w' , and it can be shown that $w = w'$ [12]. The first term of the integrand represents the increase (gain) in the value of distribution function $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}, t)$ and similarly the second term represents decrease (loss). In order to satisfy the conservation of momentum and energy, the velocity pairs must satisfy

$$\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_1 = \mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{c}'_1, \quad c^2 + c_1^2 = c'^2 + c_1'^2. \quad (12)$$

Therefore, by integrating over all possible $(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}', \mathbf{c}'_1)$, the total change in $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}, t)$ from collisions can be calculated. Further by considering appropriate moments and integrating over the velocity space, the dynamics of various macroscopic quantities can be derived [3, 4].

The Boltzmann equation is a highly complex integro-differential equation and hence does not lend itself to analysis even for simple boundary value problems. Therefore, approximations are made to the collisional term to obtain a simplified description. A highly idealized, yet quite effective model is BGK-approximation [1], where the Boltzmann collisional operator is modelled as approach to the equilibrium distribution function. The BGK-collisional operator is

$$\Omega^{\text{BGK}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{BGK}}} (f^{\text{MB}} - f), \quad (13)$$

where τ_{BGK} is the relaxation time. A variant of this approach is the ellipsoidal statistical BGK (ES-BGK) model [5] which has the form

$$\Omega^{\text{ES}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{ES}}} (f^{\text{ES}} - f), \quad (14)$$

where τ_{ES} is the relaxation time associated with this model and f^{ES} is the anisotropic Gaussian which has the form

$$f^{\text{ES}} = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\det[2\pi\lambda_{\alpha\beta}]}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\xi_\alpha \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \xi_\beta\right), \quad (15)$$

where $\det[\lambda]$ is the determinant and $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}$ is

$$\lambda_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{k_B T}{m} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + b \frac{\sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{\rho}, \quad (16)$$

where the b parameter is used to tune the Prandtl number as opposed to the BGK model where the Prandtl number is set to 1. Another method of approximation is the Fokker-Planck operator [2], wherein the approach to equilibrium is modelled as drift and diffusion dynamics

$$\Omega^{\text{FP}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{FP}}} \partial_{c_\alpha} \left(\xi_\alpha f + \frac{k_B T}{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial c_\alpha} \right) = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{FP}}} \frac{k_B T}{m} \partial_{c_\alpha} (f \partial_{c_\alpha} (\ln f - \ln f^{\text{MB}})), \quad (17)$$

which is essentially the diffusion dynamics in velocity space, with ξ_α acting as the drift coefficient, $k_B T/m$ assumes the role of diffusion coefficient and τ_{FP}^{-1} is the friction constant. It has been recently shown that this approximation is a useful alternative to methods such as DSMC for simulating moderately high Kn flows.

The Boltzmann equation and its aforementioned approximations are shown to have the following properties

1. **Conservation Laws:** As binary collisions do not change mass, momentum or energy of the system, we have

$$\langle \Omega, \{m, m\mathbf{c}, mc^2/2\} \rangle = \{0, \mathbf{0}, 0\}, \quad (18)$$

using this result and calculating appropriate moments of the Boltzmann equation, the conservation laws are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho + \partial_\alpha \rho u_\alpha &= 0, \\ \partial_t \rho u_\alpha + \partial_\beta (\rho u_\alpha u_\beta + p \delta_{\alpha\beta}) + \partial_\beta \sigma_{\alpha\beta} &= 0, \\ \partial_t E + \partial_\alpha ((E + p)u_\alpha + \sigma_{\alpha\gamma} u_\gamma) + \partial_\alpha q_\alpha &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which are in accordance the macroscopic laws of conservation.

2. **Zero of the collision:** When the collisions between particles do not affect the state of the system, it reaches a state of equilibrium

$$\Omega = 0 \implies f = f^{\text{MB}}. \quad (20)$$

The converse is also true, i.e, when $f = f^{\text{MB}}$ then $\Omega = 0$.

3. **H -theorem:** The Boltzmann equation extends the idea of entropy to non-equilibrium situations. This is highlighted from the evolution of the H -function

$$\partial_t H + \partial_\alpha J_\alpha = -\sigma^S, \quad (21)$$

where the H -function is $\langle (\ln f - 1), f \rangle$, J_α the entropy flux term and $\sigma^S = \langle \Omega, \ln f \rangle$ is the entropy generation term. The Boltzmann collisional operator, the BGK and Fokker-Planck approximations ensures that

$$\sigma^S \geq 0, \quad (22)$$

entropy production is greater or equal to zero and hence the Boltzmann equation for rarefied gases is in accordance with the laws of thermodynamics. It is also noted that entropy production is zero at equilibrium, that is when $f = f^{\text{MB}}$.

This concisely summarizes the features of Boltzmann equation and its approximations for the single component case. In the following section we briefly explain the Boltzmann equation for binary mixtures, some well-known approximations and outline their important features.

IV. KINETIC MODELLING OF BINARY MIXTURES

The dynamics of binary mixtures is fundamentally different from the single component case as the two components exchange momentum and energy through collisions, hence the Boltzmann equation for binary mixtures considers the different collisional possibilities, as schematically shown in Fig. 1. The changes in distribution of component A arises from A-A and A-B type collisions, and vice-versa for component B. As a result the mixture momentum and energy are conserved as opposed to their component wise counterparts *i.e.*

$$m_A \mathbf{c}_A + m_B \mathbf{c}_B = m_A \mathbf{c}'_A + m_B \mathbf{c}'_B, \quad m_A c_A^2 + m_B c_B^2 = m_A c_A'^2 + m_B c_B'^2, \quad (23)$$

where m_A and m_B are the mass of A type and B type particles, respectively. Here \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' with subscript denote pre collision and post collision velocities of respective particles. The Boltzmann equation for binary mixtures is [3]




Figure 1: The three types of collisional possibilities – A-A, A-B and B-B

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f_A}{\partial t} + c_{A\alpha} \frac{\partial f_A}{\partial x_\alpha} &= \Omega_A^B = \underbrace{\Omega^B(f_A, f_A)}_{\text{Self-collision}} + \underbrace{\Omega^B(f_A, f_B)}_{\text{Cross-collision}}, \\
\frac{\partial f_B}{\partial t} + c_{B\alpha} \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial x_\alpha} &= \Omega_B^B = \underbrace{\Omega^B(f_B, f_B)}_{\text{Self-collision}} + \underbrace{\Omega^B(f_B, f_A)}_{\text{Cross-collision}},
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where the right-hand side of the equation is the change in distribution of the respective components arising from self collisions which is represented by $\Omega^B(f_i, f_i)$ and $\Omega^B(f_i, f_j)$ for cross collisions. Similar to the single component case, the evolution equation of various macroscopic variables can be derived using this equation. The collisional operator holds the following properties, which should ideally be satisfied by its approximations.

1. **Conservation Laws:** The mass of individual species as well as the total momentum and energy of the mixture are conserved as binary collisions do not contribute any change to these quantities, this is represented as

$$\langle \Omega_i^B, \{m_i, \sum_{i=A,B} m_i \mathbf{c}_i, \sum_{i=A,B} m_i \frac{c_i^2}{2}\} \rangle = \{0, \mathbf{0}, 0\} \tag{25}$$

using which the conservation laws can be calculated similar to the single component case. However, the componentwise momentum and energy are not conserved as the two components exchange momentum and energy between themselves through cross-collisions (A-B type collisions). It is in fact these collisions that facilitate the relaxation of the component momentum and energy to the mixture momentum and energy [13]. The mixture variables adhere to the conservation laws as mentioned in Eq.(19).

2. **Equilibrium:** Similar to the single component case, the system reaches a state of statistical equilibrium. The distribution of any component i at equilibrium is

$$f_i^{\text{MB}} = n_i \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi k_B T} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(-\frac{m_i}{2k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{u})^2 \right). \quad (26)$$

The converse is also true, i.e, when $\Omega_i^{\text{B}} = 0$ then the distribution function attains the form $f = f_i^{\text{MB}}$.

3. **H -theorem:** The Boltzmann collision kernel for binary mixtures, satisfies the H -theorem, that is $\sigma^{(s)} \geq 0$ similar to the single component case.
4. **Indifferentiability principle:** The equations should adhere to the indifferentiability principle, i.e, the equation should converge to the single component case for $m_A = m_B$.

Similar to the single component case, the corresponding BGK collision kernel for a binary mixture is

$$\Omega^{\text{BGK}} = \frac{1}{\tau} (f_i^{\text{MB}}(\rho_i, \mathbf{u}, T) - f_i). \quad (27)$$

The fundamental drawback with such a model is that there is only a single relaxation rate for all quantities whereas for the case of a binary mixture, there are two important time scales present in the system – the rate of mass diffusion and the rate of momentum diffusion. The dimensionless parameter that is used to characterize these time scales is known as the Schmidt number and is defined as [14]

$$\text{Sc} = \frac{\text{viscous diffusion rate}}{\text{mass diffusion rate}} = \frac{\mu}{\rho D_{AB}}, \quad (28)$$

where μ is the viscosity, ρ the density and D_{AB} is the mass diffusion coefficient. For such an approximation, $\text{Sc} = 1$ for all cases, and hence does not manage to accurately describe the system. Therefore, the collision kernel should be approximated in a manner capable of preserving these different time scales. Thus various approaches to correct this defect exist. In order to deal with multiple time scales, the basic idea of the fast - slow decomposition of motions near the quasi- equilibrium was introduced [6, 15]. In accordance with this idea, the relaxation to the equilibrium is modelled as a two-step process where ‘fast’ relaxation happens from initial to quasi-equilibrium state and ‘slow’ relaxation happens from quasi-equilibrium state to final equilibrium state. In the context of multiple time scales, the




Figure 2: Trajectory of the distribution function in a quasi-equilibrium model. The various concentric circles depict different levels of H

quasi-equilibrium models are a simple alternative [15] to the BGK-approximation which can effectively incorporate multiple time scales of the system. The collision kernel for the quasi-equilibrium model is

$$\Omega_i^{\text{QE}} = \frac{1}{\tau_1}(f_i^*(M^{\text{quasi-slow}}, M^{\text{slow}}) - f_i) + \frac{1}{\tau_2}(f_i^{\text{MB}}(M^{\text{slow}}) - f_i^*(M^{\text{quasi-slow}}, M^{\text{slow}})), \quad (29)$$

where $f_i^*(M^{\text{quasi-slow}}, M^{\text{slow}})$ is the quasi-equilibrium distribution function and is a function of the quasi-slow moments, $M^{\text{quasi-slow}}$ and the slow moments M^{slow} [15]. The idea is that the system moves towards a state of quasi-equilibrium where the quasi-slow moments relax first and then proceed towards equilibrium where the slow moments react, a visual description of the idea is presented in Fig. 2. In accordance with the slow-fast dynamics that emerges from quasi-equilibrium models, two possible forms for the quasi-equilibrium distribution can be chosen – for low Sc where mass diffusion occurs at higher rate as compared to momentum diffusion and vice versa for the high Sc case. For the first case, the physically relevant quasi-slow variables are

$$M^{\text{quasi-slow}} = \{\rho_i, \rho_i \mathbf{u}_i, n_i k_B T_i\}, \quad (30)$$

which imposes the following conditions on quasi-equilibrium distribution function f_i^*

$$\langle \{m_i, m_i \mathbf{c}_i, m_i \frac{(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{u}_i)^2}{2}\}, f_i^* \rangle = \{\rho_i, \rho_i \mathbf{u}_i, \frac{3}{2} n_i k_B T_i\}. \quad (31)$$

By minimizing the H -function as defined in Eq.(8) under these constraints, the form of quasi-equilibrium for Low Schmidt limit, $f_i^{*(L)}$ is [16]

$$f_i^{*(L)} = n_i \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi k_B T_i} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(-\frac{m_i(c_i - u_i)^2}{2k_B T_i} \right). \quad (32)$$

Similarly, for the second case where the momentum diffuses faster, the set of constraints under which the H -function is to be minimized are

$$\langle \{m_i, m_i \mathbf{c}_i, \sum_{i=A,B} m_i \xi_{i\alpha} \xi_{i\beta}\}, f_i^* \rangle = \{\rho_i, \rho_i \mathbf{u}, n\theta_{\alpha\beta}\}, \quad (33)$$

where

$$\theta_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=A,B} \langle m_i \xi_{i\alpha} \xi_{i\beta}, f_i \rangle. \quad (34)$$

The quasi-equilibrium distribution function for high Schmidt limit $f_i^{*(H)}$ is [16]

$$f_i^{*(H)} = n_i \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi |\theta_{\alpha\beta}|} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left(\frac{-m_i \xi_{i\alpha} \theta_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \xi_{i\beta}}{2} \right), \quad (35)$$

where $|\theta_{\alpha\beta}|$ is the determinant.

These two distinct forms of quasi-equilibrium can be used to build two different collision kernels based on the Fokker-Planck approximation, which can solve for binary mixtures.

V. QUASI-EQUILIBRIUM MODELS FOR FOKKER-PLANCK FORMULATION

The Fokker-Planck approximation to the Boltzmann equation first introduced in Eq.(17) involves only a single time scale and therefore not well suited for modelling systems with multiple time scales. Hence, in order to extend the Fokker-Planck approximation for binary mixtures the concept of quasi-equilibrium models must be incorporated in a manner that correctly represents the multiple time scales present in the system and its approach to the equilibrium.

From Eq.(17), the Fokker-Planck approximation is

$$\Omega^{\text{FP}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{FP}}} \frac{k_B T}{m} \partial_{c_\alpha} (f \partial_{c_\alpha} (\ln f - \ln f^{\text{MB}})). \quad (36)$$

This form of the Fokker-Planck model better illustrates the approach of the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, similar to the BGK model. In order to build a quasi-equilibrium

like model with multiple time scales, we extend the FP model for it to have a similar form. Here, the approach to equilibria is defined as a two-step process wherein the first term represents a logarithmic approach to the quasi-equilibrium and the second to the equilibrium:

$$\Omega_i^{\text{FP}} = \left(\frac{1}{\tau_1} - \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right) \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta} \partial_{c_{i\beta}} (f_i \partial_{c_{i\alpha}} (\ln f_i - \ln f_i^*)) + \frac{1}{\tau_2} \frac{k_B T}{m_i} \partial_{c_{i\alpha}} (f_i \partial_{c_{i\alpha}} (\ln f_i - \ln f_i^{\text{MB}})), \quad (37)$$

where τ_1 and τ_2 are the characteristic time scales associated with the approach to quasi-equilibrium and equilibrium, and $\mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the diffusion coefficient that relaxes the system to the quasi-equilibrium state, for the low Schmidt dynamics, $\mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta}$ can be chosen as $\mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta} = (k_B T_i / m_i) \delta_{\alpha\beta}$. Using the form of f_i^* presented in Eq.(32), the collision kernel for the low Schmidt limit is

$$\Omega_i^{\text{FP(L)}} = \frac{1}{\tau_1} \partial_{c_{i\alpha}} \left((c_{i\alpha} - u_{i\alpha}) f_i + \frac{k_B T_i}{m_i} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial c_{i\alpha}} \right) + \frac{1}{\tau_2} \partial_{c_{i\alpha}} \left((u_{i\alpha} - u_\alpha) f_i + \frac{k_B \Delta T}{m_i} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial c_{i\alpha}} \right), \quad (38)$$

where $\Delta T = T - T_i$ is the difference in component and mixture temperatures. Similarly, for the high Schmidt dynamics, $\mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta}$ is taken as $\mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta} = \theta_{\alpha\beta}$ and the collision kernel for the high Schmidt limit is

$$\Omega_i^{\text{FP(H)}} = \frac{1}{\tau_1} \partial_{c_{i\alpha}} \left((c_{i\alpha} - u_\alpha) f_i + \frac{\theta_{\alpha\beta}}{m_i} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial c_{i\beta}} \right) + \frac{1}{\tau_2} \partial_{c_{i\alpha}} \left(\left(\frac{k_B T \delta_{\alpha\beta}}{m_i} - \frac{\theta_{\alpha\beta}}{m_i} \right) \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial c_{i\beta}} \right), \quad (39)$$

For this model to be considered canonical, it must satisfy the properties of collision as mentioned in section 4. By integrating over the velocity space \mathbf{c}_i , it can be verified that the quasi-equilibrium FP model satisfies the constraints of Eq.(25). The evolution equations for component mass, mixture momentum and energy are the same as the conservation laws mentioned in Eq.(19). Furthermore, the component momentum and energy equations in relaxation form are

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \Omega_i^{\text{FP(L)}}, m_i c_{i\alpha} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\tau_2} (\rho_i u_\alpha - \rho_i u_{i\alpha}), \\ \left\langle \Omega_i^{\text{FP(L)}}, \frac{m_i c_i^2}{2} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\tau_2} (\rho_i u_{i\alpha} (u_\alpha - u_{i\alpha}) + D k_B n_i (T - T_i)), \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

for the low Schmidt case. Similarly, for the high Schmidt case the relaxation equations for component momentum and energy are

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \Omega_i^{\text{FP}(H)}, m_i c_{i\alpha} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\tau_1} (\rho_i u_\alpha - \rho_i u_{i\alpha}), \\
\left\langle \Omega_i^{\text{FP}(H)}, \frac{m_i c_i^2}{2} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\tau_1} (\rho_i u_{i\alpha} (u_\alpha - u_{i\alpha}) + D k_B n_i (T - T_i)).
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

If $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, the component velocities equilibrate faster in the second case than the first, which is as expected since the second model is applicable for high Schmidt regime wherein the viscous diffusion rate dominates the mass diffusion rate.

For the proposed model, the expression for entropy generation (σ^S), is

$$\sigma^S = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{eff}}} \sum_i -D n_i + \int \frac{1}{f_i} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial c_{i\alpha}} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial c_{i\beta}} + \frac{1}{\tau_2} \sum_i -D n_i + \int \frac{k_B T}{m_i} \frac{1}{f_i} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial c_{i\alpha}} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial c_{i\alpha}} \tag{42}$$

where $\tau_{\text{eff}} = \tau_2 \tau_1 / (\tau_2 - \tau_1)$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta} = (k_B T / m_i) \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ for the low Schmidt case and $\mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta} = \theta_{\alpha\beta} / m_i$ for the high Schmidt case. Following Singh and Ansumali [9], Eq.(42) can be rewritten as

$$\sigma^S = \sum_i \underbrace{\int f_i \frac{\partial \ln(f_i/f_i^*)}{\partial c_{i\alpha}} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \ln(f_i/f_i^*)}{\partial c_{i\beta}} d\mathbf{c}_i}_{\text{positive}} + \sum_i \underbrace{\int \frac{k_B T}{m_i} f_i \left(\frac{\partial \ln(f_i/f_i^*)}{\partial c_{i\alpha}} \right)^2 d\mathbf{c}_i}_{\text{positive}}, \tag{43}$$

which suggests that

$$\sigma^S \geq 0, \quad \forall \tau_1 \leq \tau_2. \tag{44}$$

Therefore, proposed model satisfies the H -theorem for $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$.

An important condition for Ω^{FP} to be considered valid is that the zero of collision must imply that the distribution function has attained a Maxwell-Boltzmann form. For the present model the zero of collision, i.e, $\Omega_i^{\text{FP}} = 0$ implies

$$\left\langle \Omega_i^{\text{FP}(1)}, m_i c_{i\alpha} \right\rangle = 0, \quad \left\langle \Omega_i^{\text{FP}(1)}, \frac{m_i c_i^2}{2} \right\rangle = 0, \tag{45}$$

then as per Eq.(40) equilibrium $u_{i\alpha} = u_\alpha$ and $T_i = T$, therefore $\Omega_i^{\text{FP}(L)} = 0$ then reduces to

$$\partial_{c_{i\alpha}} \left((c_{i\alpha} - u_\alpha) f_i + \frac{k_B T}{m_i} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial c_{i\alpha}} \right) = 0. \tag{46}$$

Integrating Eq.(46) with respect to the velocity space and using the fact that the distribution function and its derivatives tend to zero at infinity. We have

$$(c_{i\alpha} - u_\alpha)f_i + \frac{k_B T}{m_i} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial c_{i\alpha}} = 0. \quad (47)$$

Solving Eq.(47), we get the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution as the solution. To find the equilibrium distribution function for the high Schmidt case, we first note that

$$\sum_i \left\langle \Omega_i^{\text{FP}(H)}, m_i \xi_{i\alpha} \xi_{i\beta} \right\rangle = \frac{2}{\tau_2} \left(\frac{k_B T}{m_i} - \frac{\theta_{\alpha\beta}}{m_i} \right), \quad (48)$$

which suggests that $\Omega^{\text{FP}(H)} = 0 \implies \theta_{\alpha\beta}/m_i = (k_B T/m_i)\delta_{\alpha\beta}$. Hence, $\Omega^{\text{FP}(H)} = 0$ then reduces to Eq.(46), the solution for which is the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution.

Furthermore, the model must be consistent with the indifferentiability principle, i.e, one must be able to recover the Fokker-Planck approximation for single component case. In the case where $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau$ and $m_A = m_B = m$, the Fokker-Planck collision kernel for binary mixtures outlined in Eq.(37) reduces to the approximation for single component case indicating that proposed model abides by indifferentiability principle.

As demonstrated above, the proposed model does indeed satisfy the conservation laws, H -theorem, zero of collision and indifferentiability principle. Thus, this model is an acceptable approximation to the Boltzmann equation for binary mixtures.

VI. TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS

In order to obtain the transport coefficients, we perform the Chapman-Enskog expansion, wherein the time derivative, distribution function and other relevant variables are represented as a series with Kn acting as the smallness parameter [3]. The distribution function is expressed in series form as

$$f_i = f_i^{\text{MB}} + \text{Kn} f_i^{(1)} + \text{Kn}^2 f_i^{(2)} + \dots, \quad (49)$$

with the following constraints imposed on f_i

$$\langle f_i^{(n)}, \{m_i, \sum_{i=A,B} m_i c_{i\alpha}, \sum_{i=A,B} m_i c_i^2/2\} \rangle = \{0, \mathbf{0}, 0\}, \forall n \geq 1 \quad (50)$$

These constraints ensure that component density, mixture momentum and energy are slow moments. The higher order moments in series form are

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\alpha\beta} &= \text{Kn}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} + \text{Kn}^2\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} + \dots, \\ q_\alpha &= \text{Kn}q_\alpha^{(1)} + \text{Kn}^2q_\alpha^{(2)} + \dots,\end{aligned}\tag{51}$$

as the stress and heat flux are zero at equilibrium and expected to be a function of slow moments otherwise. The time derivative is expressed in series form as

$$\partial_t = \partial_t^{(0)} + \text{Kn}\partial_t^{(1)} + \text{Kn}^2\partial_t^{(2)} + \dots\tag{52}$$

The time derivative of f_i at zeroth order is computed using [17]

$$\partial_t^{(0)} f_i^{\text{MB}}(\rho_i, \mathbf{u}, T) = \frac{\partial f_i^{\text{MB}}}{\partial \rho_i} \cdot \partial_t^{(0)} \rho_i + \frac{\partial f_i^{\text{MB}}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \cdot \partial_t^{(0)} \mathbf{u} + \frac{\partial f_i^{\text{MB}}}{\partial T} \cdot \partial_t^{(0)} T,\tag{53}$$

where the expression for time derivatives of the conserved variables can be calculated from the conservation laws mentioned in Eq.(19)

In order to find an expression for the viscosity, we first calculate the stress evolution equation. For the first model it has the form

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \sigma_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\gamma (\sigma_{\alpha\beta} u_\gamma) + 2p \overline{\partial_\alpha u_\beta} + 2 \overline{\sigma_{\alpha\gamma} \partial_\gamma u_\beta} + \partial_\gamma Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \frac{4}{D+2} \overline{\partial_\alpha q_\beta} = \\ -\frac{2}{\tau_1} \left(\sigma_{\alpha\beta} + \rho \overline{u_\alpha u_\beta} - \sum_{i=A,B} \rho_i \overline{u_{i\alpha} u_{i\beta}} \right) - \frac{2}{\tau_2} \left(\sum_{i=A,B} \rho_i \overline{u_{i\alpha} u_{i\beta}} - \rho \overline{u_\alpha u_\beta} \right),\end{aligned}\tag{54}$$

where $Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \sum_{i=A,B} \langle m_i \overline{\xi_{i\alpha} \xi_{i\beta} \xi_{i\gamma}} \rangle$. Retaining terms upto $\mathcal{O}(\text{Kn})$, the stress evolution equation yields

$$2p \overline{\partial_\alpha u_\beta} = -\frac{2\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}}{\tau_1}.\tag{55}$$

and comparing with the Navier-Stokes law for stress tensor, we have

$$\mu = \frac{p\tau_1}{2}.\tag{56}$$

Similarly, for the second model the right hand side of the stress evolution equation is

$$\sum_{i=A,B} \langle m_i \overline{\xi_{i\alpha} \xi_{i\beta}}, \Omega_i^{\text{FP}(2)} \rangle = -\frac{2}{\tau_2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}.\tag{57}$$

Hence, the expression for viscosity for this model is

$$\mu = \frac{p\tau_2}{2}. \quad (58)$$

Similarly, the expression for diffusion coefficient can be calculated by considering the relaxation of diffusion flux defined as

$$V_\alpha = m_{AB}(u_{A\alpha} - u_{B\alpha}), \quad (59)$$

where $m_{AB} = (\rho_A\rho_B)/\rho$. Diffusive flux essentially quantifies the difference between the momentum of a given component and the momentum of the mixture. The series expansion for this quantity is

$$V_\alpha = \text{Kn}V_\alpha^{(1)} + \text{Kn}^2V_\alpha^{(2)} + \dots \quad (60)$$

Similar to stress and heat flux, at equilibrium the diffusive flux attains zero values as momenta of both components relax to the mixture momentum. In order to calculate the expression for V_α , we write the expression for individual component velocities. For the first model, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho_A u_{A\alpha} + \partial_\alpha P_{A\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\tau_2}(\rho u_\alpha - \rho_A u_{A\alpha}), \\ \partial_t \rho_B u_{B\alpha} + \partial_\alpha P_{B\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\tau_2}(\rho u_\alpha - \rho_B u_{B\alpha}), \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where $P_{i\alpha\beta} = \langle m_i c_{i\beta} c_{i\alpha} \rangle$ and at equilibrium attains the value $P_{i\alpha\beta} = p_i \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \rho_i u_\alpha u_\beta$. After subtracting one equation from another and collecting terms upto $\mathcal{O}(\text{Kn})$, we have

$$\partial_t^{(0)}(\rho_A - \rho_B)u_\alpha + \partial_\beta [(n_A - n_B)k_B T_0 \delta_{\alpha\beta} + (\rho_A - \rho_B)u_\alpha u_\beta] = -\frac{2}{\tau_2}V_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} \quad (62)$$

The temporal derivatives are replaced using

$$\partial_t^{(0)} \rho_i = -\partial_\alpha(\rho_i u_\alpha), \quad \partial_t^{(0)} \rho u_\alpha = -\partial_\beta(nk_B T_0 \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \rho u_\alpha u_\beta). \quad (63)$$

After some rearrangement Eq.(62) takes the form

$$V_\alpha^{(1)} = \tau_2 [Y_A \partial_\alpha p - p \partial_\alpha X_A - X_A \partial_\alpha p], \quad (64)$$

where $X_i = n_i/n$ is the component mole fraction and $Y_i = \rho_i/\rho$ is the component mass fraction. Rearranging Eq.(64) we have

$$\partial_\alpha X_A = -\frac{V_\alpha^{(1)}}{\tau_2 p} + (Y_A - X_A) \frac{\partial_\alpha p}{p}. \quad (65)$$

This has the same form as the Stefan - Maxwell equation [14] which governs the diffusion in multicomponent systems, and for binary mixtures is

$$\partial_\alpha X_A = \frac{X_A X_B}{D_{AB}} \frac{V_\alpha}{m_{AB}} + (Y_A - X_A) \frac{\partial_\alpha p}{p}. \quad (66)$$

Comparing Eq.(65) with the Stefan-Maxwell equation, we get the following expression for the diffusion coefficient.

$$D_{AB} = X_A X_B \frac{p}{m_{AB}} \tau_2. \quad (67)$$

The Schmidt number can now be computed as

$$\text{Sc} = \frac{\mu}{\rho D_{AB}} = \frac{\tau_1}{2\tau_2} \frac{m_{AB}}{X_A X_B} \frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{\tau_1}{2\tau_2} \frac{Y_A Y_B}{X_A X_B}. \quad (68)$$

Existence of H - theorem for this model suggests that $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, hence

$$\text{Sc} \leq \frac{Y_A Y_B}{2X_A X_B}. \quad (69)$$

This model has an upper limit on the Schmidt number and this is in accordance with the characteristics of the quasi-equilibrium distribution. Similarly, for the second model, the Schmidt number is calculated as

$$\text{Sc} = \frac{\mu}{\rho D_{AB}} = \frac{\tau_2}{2\tau_1} \frac{m_{AB}}{X_A X_B} \frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{\tau_2}{2\tau_1} \frac{Y_A Y_B}{X_A X_B}. \quad (70)$$

and since the limitation $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ exists, as consistent with the hypothesis there is a lower bound on the Schmidt number, which is

$$\text{Sc} \geq \frac{Y_A Y_B}{2X_A X_B}. \quad (71)$$

Hence, both models in conjunction can cover a large range of Schmidt numbers.

VII. NUMERICAL SCHEME

A Fokker-Planck equation which describes the evolution of probability density function of the random variable η , is of the form

$$\frac{d\mathcal{P}(\eta, t)}{dt} = -\Lambda_\alpha^{(1)}(\eta, t) \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}(\eta, t)}{\partial \eta_\alpha} + \frac{\zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\eta, t)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{P}(\eta, t)}{\partial \eta_\alpha \partial \eta_\beta} - \Lambda_\alpha^{(2)}(\eta, t) \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}(\eta, t)}{\partial \eta_\alpha} + \frac{\zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(\eta, t)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{P}(\eta, t)}{\partial \eta_\alpha \partial \eta_\beta}, \quad (72)$$

where $\Lambda^{(i)}$ are the drift terms and $\zeta^{(i)}$ are the diffusion coefficients. This form of Fokker-Planck equation is equivalent to the Langevin equation [18]

$$\dot{\eta}_\alpha = h_\alpha^{(1)}(\eta, t) + g_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)}(\eta, t) \Gamma_\beta(t) + h_\alpha^{(2)}(\eta, t) + g_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)}(\eta, t) \Gamma'_\beta(t), \quad (73)$$

where $\mathbf{h}^{(i)}$ are the drift terms, $\mathbf{g}^{(i)}$ the diffusion coefficients and Γ, Γ' are Gaussian distributed random numbers which hold the following properties

$$\langle \Gamma_\alpha(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \Gamma_\alpha(t) \Gamma_\beta(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t') \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (74)$$

Under these conditions, the following relations hold [18]

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_\alpha^{(1)} &= h_\alpha^{(1)}(\eta, t), & \zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)} &= g_{\alpha\gamma}^{(1)} g_{\gamma\beta}^{(1)} \\ \Lambda_\alpha^{(2)} &= h_\alpha^{(2)}(\eta, t), & \zeta_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} &= g_{\alpha\gamma}^{(2)} g_{\gamma\beta}^{(2)} \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

The central idea is that the solution to Fokker-Planck equation is approximated by considering an ensemble of trajectories generated by the Langevin dynamics. In this case, a large number of particles have their positions and velocities updated using Eq.(73). We now discuss the numerical scheme for the two cases.

A. Low Schmidt limit

For the first model the equivalent Langevin equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx_\alpha}{dt} &= c_{i\alpha}, \\ \frac{dc_{i\alpha}}{dt} &= - \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{eff}}} \right) (c_{i\alpha} - u_{i\alpha}) - \frac{1}{\tau_2} (c_{i\alpha} - u_\alpha) + \sqrt{\frac{2k_B T_i}{m_i}} dW_\alpha + \sqrt{\frac{2k_B T}{m_i}} dW'_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where dW_α and dW'_α denote random forces with following statistics

$$\langle dW_\alpha \rangle = 0, \quad \langle dW'_\alpha \rangle = 0, \quad \langle dW_\alpha dW'_\alpha \rangle = 0. \quad (77)$$

More specifically, $dW = W(t + \Delta t) - W(t)$ is the standard Weiner process, where $W(t)$ is a rapidly changing random force with mean and variance as [19]

$$\langle dW_\alpha(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle dW_\alpha dW_\beta \rangle = dt \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (78)$$

Thus, the detailed binary collision description is approximated by a random collision with a heat bath in the model.

These Langevin equations can be solved efficiently using the the stochastic version of the Verlet algorithm. For the present model the discretization scheme we have used is [9?]

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha^{(1)} &= x_\alpha(t) + \frac{1}{2}c_{i\alpha}(t)\Delta t, \\ c_{i\alpha}(t + \Delta t) &= c_{i\alpha}(t) - \left(\frac{\vartheta_1}{1 + \vartheta_1/2} \right) (c_{i\alpha}(t) - u_{i\alpha}) - \left(\frac{\vartheta_2}{1 + \vartheta_2/2} \right) (c_{i\alpha}(t) - u_\alpha) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2\mathcal{D}_i^{(1)}\vartheta_1}}{1 + \vartheta_1/2} \phi_\alpha + \frac{\sqrt{2\mathcal{D}_i^{(2)}\vartheta_2}}{1 + \vartheta_2/2} \phi'_\alpha, \\ x_\alpha(t + \Delta t) &= x_\alpha^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}c_{i\alpha}(t + \Delta t)\Delta t, \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

where $\vartheta_1 = \Delta t/\tau_{\text{eff}}$, $\vartheta_2 = \Delta t/\tau_2$ and $\phi_\alpha, \phi'_\alpha$ are Gaussian random numbers with mean zero and variance unity, $\mathcal{D}_i^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_i^{(2)}$ are $k_B T_i/m_i$ and $k_B T/m_i$ respectively. The recently proposed ‘‘Molecular Dice’’ algorithm [21] was used to generate these Gaussian random numbers, which indicated considerable increase in efficiency without any loss of accuracy. This scheme works efficiently for small time steps such that $\max\{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2\} \leq 0.001$.

B. High Schmidt limit

The formulation for this model remains largely unchanged and the equivalent Langevin equations are

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx_\alpha}{dt} &= c_{i\alpha}, \\ \frac{dc_{i\alpha}}{dt} &= -\left(\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{eff}}}\right)(c_{i\alpha} - u_\alpha) - \frac{1}{\tau_2}(c_{i\alpha} - u_\alpha) + \sqrt{2}\theta'_{i\alpha\beta}dW_\beta + \sqrt{\frac{2k_B T}{m_i}}dW'_\alpha,\end{aligned}\tag{80}$$

where $\theta'_{i\alpha\gamma}\theta'_{i\gamma\beta} = \theta_{i\alpha\beta}/m_i$ and $\theta'_{\alpha\beta}$ can be calculated by using Cholesky decomposition of $\theta_{\alpha\beta}/m_i$. The discretization scheme for this model is

$$\begin{aligned}x_\alpha^{(1)} &= x_\alpha(t) + \frac{1}{2}c_{i\alpha}(t)\Delta t, \\ c_{i\alpha}(t + \Delta t) &= c_{i\alpha}(t) - \left(\frac{\vartheta_1}{1 + \vartheta_1/2}\right)(c_{i\alpha}(t) - u_\alpha) - \left(\frac{\vartheta_2}{1 + \vartheta_2/2}\right)(c_{i\alpha}(t) - u_\alpha) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2\vartheta_1}\theta'_{i\alpha\beta}}{1 + \vartheta_1/2}\phi_\beta + \frac{\sqrt{2\mathcal{D}_i^{(2)}\vartheta_2}}{1 + \vartheta_2/2}\phi'_\alpha, \\ x_\alpha(t + \Delta t) &= x_\alpha^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}c_{i\alpha}(t + \Delta t)\Delta t.\end{aligned}\tag{81}$$

In order to validate the numerical scheme, we started with a mixture with $m_B/m_A = 2$ with $N = 10^5$ particles in a single periodic box. For Model I, the velocities of the lighter particles were initialized uniformly in the range $[0, 1)$ and the heavier particles in the range $[0, 2)$. For Model II, the velocities of lighter particle were initialized with a Gaussian distribution with mean 4 and variance 10, and the heavier particles were Gaussian distributed with mean 1 and variance 1. The plots of energy of the two components and the mixture with time averaged over an ensemble of 15 trajectories and the distribution of velocities in a particular direction, for both cases are shown in figure Eq.(3) and Fig. 4.

VIII. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we present the results for three benchmark problems – Graham’s law for effusion, Couette flow and binary diffusion.

A. Graham’s law for effusion

Effusion is a process wherein gas molecules escape through a small hole. The length parameter of this hole is much smaller than the mean free path of the gas, i.e, $d \ll \lambda_{\text{mfp}}$. A




Figure 3: Plot of the distribution of velocities of the light and heavy component at equilibrium for a) Model I, and b) Model II.




Figure 4: Plot of ratio of energy at time t to the initial energy ($E(t)/E_0$) vs. time for individual components and the mixture for a) Model I, and b) Model II.

sketch of the process has been shown in Fig. 5. The number flux of the gas through this small hole is

$$\Phi_i = \langle c_{iz}, f(\mathbf{c}_i) \rangle, \quad (82)$$

where Φ_i is the number flux and c_{iz} the molecular velocity in the direction perpendicular to the plane of the hole. By integrating over velocity space, facilitated by a shift to the




Figure 5: The lighter particles (in this case filled) escape through the hole faster than the heavier particles, with a factor proportional to the square root of their mass ratios.

spherical co-ordinate system, the expression of Φ_i is

$$\Phi_i = \frac{P}{\sqrt{2\pi m_i k_B T}}, \quad (83)$$

where P is the pressure and T the temperature of the gas. Then, for a well-mixed binary mixture the ratio of the fluxes is [22]

$$\frac{\Phi_A}{\Phi_B} = \sqrt{\frac{m_B}{m_A}}. \quad (84)$$

We simulated this system for three mass ratios $m_B/m_A = 4$, $m_B/m_A = 16$ and $m_B/m_A = 100$. The boundary conditions in the transverse directions were taken to be periodic while maintaining constant pressure in the system. The results have been plotted in Fig. 6. As can be seen, the simulations are in excellent agreement with the analytical solution.

B. Couette Flow

The setup of the problem is simple, fluid between two plates is sheared in opposite directions with equal magnitudes, a sketch of the problem is shown in Fig. 7. In order to validate the model, we calculate the global stress tensor defined as [23]

$$\Pi = -\frac{v_0}{2UP_0} P_{xy}, \quad (85)$$




Figure 6: Model I was used to simulate a setup that could mimic Graham's law for effusion. Plot shows that results observed are in great agreement with expected behaviour, for all three cases.




Figure 7: A representative sketch of the Couette flow setup. Two walls with a separation H are sheared in the opposite directions with velocity $U/2$.

where $P_0 = nk_B T_0$ is the reference pressure. This quantity is calculated in the entire range of rarefaction parameter, δ , which is inverse of the Knudsen number and is defined as

$$\delta = \frac{HP_0}{\mu v_0}, \quad (86)$$

δ	Ne-Ar			He-Ar			He-Xe		
	$C_0 = 0.1$	0.5	0.9	0.1	0.5	0.9	0.1	0.5	0.9
0.01	0.27558	0.27266	0.27471	0.27004	0.24510	0.24381	0.26694	0.22559	0.19842
0.1	0.25295	0.25014	0.25216	0.24764	0.22383	0.22296	0.24442	0.20483	0.17994
1.0	0.16539	0.16324	0.16458	0.16141	0.14455	0.14650	0.15835	0.12959	0.11892
10.0	0.04141	0.04124	0.04159	0.04054	0.03886	0.04055	0.04091	0.03526	0.03706
40.0	0.01222	0.01219	0.01196	0.01220	0.01185	0.01217	0.01125	0.01165	0.01155

Table I: Π values for Ne-Ar, He-Ar and He-Xe mixtures for three different compositions

where μ is the mixture viscosity and v_0 the characteristic molecular velocity of the mixture defined as

$$v_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2k_B T_0}{m_0}}, \quad (87)$$

where $m_0 = C_0 m_A + (1 - C_0) m_B$, with C_0 being the concentration of the lighter component. We simulated the system for three mixtures Neon-Argon (Ne-Ar), Helium-Argon (He-Ar) and Helium-Xenon (He-Xe) for rarefaction parameters ranging from $[0.01, 40]$ for three different concentrations - (0.1, 0.5, 0.9). The value for Π was computed by averaging over 10^5 iterations for each parameter and the results are tabulated in Table I. The error bar (standard deviation) was of the same order for all parameters and ranged from $[0.00478, 0.00544]$. The results were found to be in good agreement with reported results [23]. This indicates that proposed method is indeed capable of simulating flows in a wide range of Knudsen numbers.

C. Binary diffusion

The profile of the mixture in this setup is determined by the step function

$$\begin{aligned} X_A = 90\%, \quad X_B = 10\% \quad \text{if } x < 0, \\ X_B = 10\%, \quad X_A = 90\% \quad \text{if } x \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$




Figure 8: Plot of the concentrations after 20,000 time steps of the component a) A and b) B, in comparison with the analytical solution given by Eq.(89).

where the mass ratio of the components was chosen to be $m_B/m_A = 5$. The step function is used instead of a smooth profile as it is a more severe check for the numerical scheme. Under the assumption that at infinity, the initial concentrations remains unchanged, this problem yields the analytical solution [14]

$$X_i = \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\Delta X_i}{2} \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{4D_{AB}t}} \right) \right], \quad (89)$$

where D_{AB} is the diffusion constant. The simulation was done for 20,000 time steps and the plots for both the components compared against their respective analytical solutions are plotted in Fig. 8. The simulation results were very close to the analytical solution. This exercise proves that the value of D_{AB} set by the numerical scheme is accurate.

IX. OUTLOOK

We developed a new thermodynamically consistent Fokker-Planck approximation to the Boltzmann equation for binary gas mixtures, based on quasi-equilibrium models. These models were subjected to numerical experiments like Graham's law, Couette flow and binary diffusion and it was determined that the algorithm is capable of simulating flow for a wide range of Knudsen numbers and diffusion coefficients. The extension of the existing Fokker-Planck model to binary mixtures, is an indication that it can be employed to solve for

mixtures with many components. Future work is to extend this model to multi-component gas mixtures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Acknowledgements

S. K. Singh expresses his sincere thanks to the Department of Science and Technology (DST), SERB, India, for financial support under Young Scientist Scheme (S. No. YSS/2015/002085). S. Ansumali expresses his sincere thanks to Department of Science and Technology, India for financial assistance (S.No.: SB2/S2/CMP-056/2013).

REFERENCES

- [1] P. L. Bhatnagar, E. P. Gross, and M. Krook, *Phys. Rev.* **94**, 511 (1954).
- [2] J. L. Lebowitz, H. L. Frisch, and E. Helfand, *Phys. Fluids* **3**, 325 (1960).
- [3] S. Chapman and T. G. Cowling, *The Mathematical Theory of Non-Uniform Gases: An Account of the Kinetic Theory of Viscosity, Thermal Conduction and Diffusion in Gases* (Cambridge university press, 1970).
- [4] H. Grad, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **2**, 331 (1949).
- [5] L. H. Holway Jr, *Phys. Fluids* **9**, 1658 (1966).
- [6] A. N. Gorban and I. V. Karlin, *Physica A* **206**, 401 (1994).
- [7] M. H. Gorji and P. Jenny, *J. Comput. Phys.* **263**, 325 (2014).
- [8] M. H. Gorji, M. Torrilhon, and P. Jenny, *J. Fluid Mech.* **680**, 574 (2011).
- [9] S. K. Singh and S. Ansumali, *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 033303 (2015).
- [10] S. K. Singh, C. Thantapanally, and S. Ansumali, *Phys. Rev. E* **94**, 063307 (2016).
- [11] H. Gorji and P. Jenny, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **362**, 012042 (2012).
- [12] E. Lifschitz and L. Pitajewski, in *Textbook of theoretical physics. 10* (1983).
- [13] B. B. Hamel, *Phys. Fluids* **8**, 418 (1965).

- [14] T. L. Bergman, F. P. Incropera, D. P. DeWitt, and A. S. Lavine, *Fundamentals of heat and mass transfer* (John Wiley & Sons, 2011).
- [15] C. D. Levermore, *J. Stat. Phys.* **83**, 1021 (1996).
- [16] S. Arcidiacono, J. Mantzaras, S. Ansumali, I. V. Karlin, C. Frouzakis, and K. B. Boulouschos, *Phys. Rev. E* **74**, 056707 (2006).
- [17] R. L. Liboff, *Kinetic theory: classical, quantum, and relativistic descriptions* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2003).
- [18] H. Risken, in *The Fokker-Planck Equation* (Springer, 1996) pp. 63–95.
- [19] C. W. Gardiner, *Stochastic methods* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York–Tokyo, 1985).
- [20] T. Ladd, (2009).
- [21] S. Agrawal, S. Bhattacharya, and S. Ansumali, *Physical Review E* **98**, 063315 (2018).
- [22] E. Mason and B. Kronstadt, *J Chem. Educ.* **44**, 740 (1967).
- [23] F. Sharipov, L. M. G. Cumin, and D. Kalempa, *Eur. J. Mech. B Fluids* **23**, 899 (2004).