

Generalized Uncertainty Principle for Dirac fermion in a torsion field

Anjali Ramesh

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India

Abstract

We derive the uncertainty principle for a Dirac fermion in a torsion field obeying the Hehl-Datta (HD) equation. We first discuss that there should be a correction factor to the Heisenberg uncertainty principle (HUP) when torsional effects are taken into consideration. We then derive the uncertainty relation from a solitary wave solution of the HD equation in 1+1 dimensions. We find that the results agree with the generalized uncertainty principle (GUP). We then introduce the unified length scale L_{CS} (which unifies Compton wavelength and Schwarzschild radius) into the HD equation and see how the probability density of the solution transforms for particles of different masses.

1 Introduction

The background space-time of Einstein's general theory of relativity (GR) is formulated on a Riemannian manifold (V_4) which is torsion-less. If in this space-time continuum, spin angular momentum is introduced and distributed continuously, then torsion is produced. The space-time is now a U_4 manifold. In this paper, we consider a coupling of Dirac field on U_4 manifold. Hence the matter field will be represented by a four-component spinor written as:

$$\psi = \begin{bmatrix} P^A \\ \bar{Q}_{B'} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where P^A and $\bar{Q}_{B'}$ are two dimensional complex vectors in \mathbb{C}^2 space. We redefine the spinors as: $P^0 = F_1$, $P^1 = F_2$, $\bar{Q}^{1'} = G_1$ and $\bar{Q}^{0'} = -G_2$. This is in accordance with [1] and [2].

The Dirac equation on U_4 manifold becomes non-linear, and is known as the Hehl-Datta (HD) equation derived in [3], which is given by:

$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = \frac{3}{8} L_{Pl}^2 \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \gamma_a \psi \gamma^5 \gamma^a \psi + \frac{1}{\lambda_C} \psi \quad (2)$$

where L_{Pl} is the Planck length and λ_C is the Compton wavelength.

1.1 Generalized uncertainty principle

The Heisenberg uncertainty principle states that it is impossible to know simultaneously the exact position and momentum of a particle. Mathematically, it is given by the inequality:

$$(\Delta z)(\Delta p) \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \quad (3)$$

where \hbar is the Planck's constant, Δz and Δp are position and momentum dispersion operators respectively.

This principle holds good in the regime $l < L_{Pl}$, for some length l . Two main length scales in relativistic physics are the Compton length $\lambda_C = \frac{\hbar}{Mc}$, corresponding to the uncertainty principle and the Schwarzschild radius $R_S = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$ corresponding to the existence of black holes. These two lines when plotted as a function of M intersect at Planck scales m_{Pl} and L_{Pl} . As one approaches the Planck length from the left, it has been proposed [4]-[9], that there must be a correction term in the uncertainty principle, due to considerations of gravitational interactions between the particles, which takes the form:

$$\Delta z \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p} + \alpha L_{Pl}^2 \left(\frac{\Delta p}{\hbar} \right) \quad (4)$$

where L_{Pl} is the Planck length which is of the order $10^{-35}m$, α is a dimensionless constant which depends on the particular model and the factor of 2 in the first term has been dropped. This is known as the generalized uncertainty principle.

Deriving the uncertainty principle from Schrödinger equation by calculating the position and momentum dispersion operators from the wave packet solution gives us HUP. Similar is the case for Dirac equation which is given by:

$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = \frac{mc}{\hbar} \psi \quad (5)$$

We notice that in the Hehl-Datta equation, there is one extra term which comes due to the effect of torsion field on the manifold. While computing the uncertainty principle for this equation, it is expected that there must be some correction factor which accounts for this field of torsion.

1.2 Notations and Conventions

The following conventions are in use for the remainder of this paper:

- Space-time endowed with torsion is specified by U_4 and V_4 is a non-torsional space-time.
- In the standard theory, the Planck length is given by:

$$l_1 = L_{Pl} = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}} \quad (6)$$

and half Compton wavelength is:

$$l_2 = \frac{\lambda_C}{2} = \frac{\hbar}{2Mc} \quad (7)$$

-

$$\begin{aligned} a(l_1) &= 3\sqrt{2}\pi l_1^2 \\ b(l_2) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}l_2} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

- **A unified length scale L_{CS} in quantum gravity**

Recent works [7] and [11] have provided motivation for unifying the Compton wavelength ($\lambda_C = \frac{\hbar}{Mc}$) and Schwarzschild radius ($R_S = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$) of a point particle with mass M into one single length scale, the Compton-Schwarzschild length (L_{CS}). Such a treatment suggests us to introduce torsion, and relate the Dirac field to the torsion field. This modified theory is given by: $l_1 = l_2 = L_{CS}$. So our HD equation becomes:

$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = \frac{3}{8} L_{CS}^2 \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \gamma_a \psi \gamma^5 \gamma^a \psi + \frac{1}{2L_{CS}} \psi \quad (9)$$

2 A non-static solution in 1+1 dimensions of the HD equation

The HD equation on U_4 in Cartesian coordinate system (ct, x, y, z) given in [2] is as follows:

$$(\partial_0 + \partial_3)F_1 + (\partial_1 + i\partial_2)F_2 = i\sqrt{2}[b(l_2) + a(l_1)\xi]G_1 \quad (10)$$

$$(\partial_0 - \partial_3)F_2 + (\partial_1 - i\partial_2)F_1 = i\sqrt{2}[b(l_2) + a(l_1)\xi]G_2 \quad (11)$$

$$(\partial_0 + \partial_3)G_2 - (\partial_1 - i\partial_2)G_1 = i\sqrt{2}[b(l_2) + a(l_1)\xi^*]F_2 \quad (12)$$

$$(\partial_0 - \partial_3)G_1 - (\partial_1 + i\partial_2)G_2 = i\sqrt{2}[b(l_2) + a(l_1)\xi^*]F_1 \quad (13)$$

where $\xi = F_1 \bar{G}_1 + F_2 \bar{G}_2$ and $\xi^* = \bar{F}_1 G_1 + \bar{F}_2 G_2$. These equations are compared and contrasted with the torsionless Dirac equations in [1], and then we see that the impact of torsion is to include the term $a\xi$ on the right hand side of (10) and (11), and $a\xi^*$ in (12) and (13).

Now, let us assume the ansatz of the form $F_1 = G_2$ and $F_2 = G_1$ and further assume that the Dirac states are a function of only t and z . The four equations in Cartesian coordinates (10) - (13), reduce to the following two independent equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi_1 + \partial_z \psi_2 - i\sqrt{2}b\psi_1 + \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}}(|\psi_1|^2 - |\psi_2|^2)\psi_1 &= 0 \\ \partial_t \psi_2 + \partial_z \psi_1 - i\sqrt{2}b\psi_2 + \frac{ia}{\sqrt{2}}(|\psi_1|^2 - |\psi_2|^2)\psi_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\psi_1 = F_1 + F_2$ and $\psi_2 = F_1 - F_2$. We use the following solitary wave ansatz:

$$\psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A(z) \\ iB(z) \end{bmatrix} \exp(-i\Lambda t) \quad (15)$$

where $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ are real functions. Substituting in (14), we obtain that [2]:

$$A(z) = \frac{-i2^{3/4}(\sqrt{2}b - \Lambda)}{\sqrt{a}} \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{2}b + \Lambda} \cosh(z\sqrt{2b^2 - \Lambda^2})}{[\Lambda \cosh(2z\sqrt{2b^2 - \Lambda^2}) - \sqrt{2}b]} \quad (16)$$

$$B(z) = \frac{-i2^{3/4}(\sqrt{2}b + \Lambda)}{\sqrt{a}} \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{2}b - \Lambda} \sinh(z\sqrt{2b^2 - \Lambda^2})}{[\Lambda \cosh(2z\sqrt{2b^2 - \Lambda^2}) - \sqrt{2}b]} \quad (17)$$

The probability density is given by the zeroth component of the four-vector fermion current $\tilde{J}^0 = \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \psi = \psi^\dagger \psi = (|A|^2 + |B|^2)$.

We define the following dimensionless variables:

$$\begin{aligned}
q &= \sqrt{2}bz \\
w &= -\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{2}b} \\
A(q) &= \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2\sqrt{b}}A(z) \\
B(q) &= \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2\sqrt{b}}B(z)
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Scaled thus, $A(q)$ and $B(q)$ take the form:

$$A(q) = \frac{i(1+w)\sqrt{1-w} \cosh(q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})} \tag{19}$$

$$B(q) = \frac{i(1-w)\sqrt{1+w} \sinh(q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})} \tag{20}$$

The probability density is given by:

$$\tilde{J}^0 = \psi^\dagger \psi = \left[\frac{(1+w)^2(1-w) \cosh^2(q\sqrt{1-w^2}) + (1-w)^2(1+w) \sinh^2(q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^2} \right] \tag{21}$$

Six unique cases (corresponding to the value of w) which give different solutions have been studied in [2], of which the case $w \in (0, 1)$, contains no singularities anywhere thus giving us a physically viable solution. Two sub-cases were considered: (a) with $w \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and (b) with $w \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. (a) has a local minimum at the origin and two global maxima symmetric around the origin at non-zero q . This is given by the blue wave-function in Fig. 1. On the other hand, (b) has a global maxima at the origin and monotonically decays to zero at infinity. This is shown by the orange and green wave-functions in Fig. 1.

The case $w = 0$ produces an unphysical solution and $w = 1$ gives us a trivial solution. This is shown in Fig. 2






Figure 1: Case (a)- *Blue*: $w = 0.25$, Case (b)- *Green*: $w = 0.75$, *Orange*: $w = 0.5$. Case (a) has local minima at origin and two maximas at two symmetrically opposite sides of the origin at non-zero q and Case (b) has global maxima at the origin.



2(a)



2(b)

Figure 2: 2(a) is a graph of the probability density when $w = 0$. This produces an unphysical solution. 2(b) is a trivial solution when $w = 1$

3 Calculating the uncertainty relation from the given solitary wave solution

3.1 Standard length scale

Here,

$$w = w_s = -\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{2}b} = -2\Lambda l_2 \quad (22)$$

To compute the uncertainty relation, we find the expectation values of q , q^2 , p and p^2 , where $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$

$$\langle q \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^\dagger q \psi dq = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q \psi^\dagger \psi dq = 0 \quad (23)$$

The Gaussian is symmetric with the z axis. Therefore, $\langle q \rangle = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q^2 \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q^2 \psi^\dagger \psi dq \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q^2 \left[(1-w^2) \frac{w + \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{[1 + w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^2} \right] dq \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The solution for this integral gives us a conditional expression which assumes all the values of w , real and complex. But since we know that the HD equation produces physical solutions only for $w \in (0, 1)$, w , satisfies all the conditions and thus our answer is of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q^2 \rangle &= \frac{Li_2\left(\frac{-1}{\nu}\right) + Li_2\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right) + Li_2\left(\frac{-1}{\mu}\right) + Li_2\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)}{w\sqrt{1-w^2}} \\ &= g(w) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Where, $\nu = \sqrt{\frac{-1+\sqrt{1-w^2}}{w}}$ and $\mu = \sqrt{\frac{-1-\sqrt{1-w^2}}{w}}$

$Li_n(x)$ is a poly-logarithm function also known as Jonquière's function which is of the form:

$$Li_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k^n}$$

The dispersion of position operator is:

$$(\Delta q)^2 = \langle q^2 \rangle - \langle q \rangle^2 = g(w) \quad (26)$$

Given in Fig. 3 is the graph of Δq vs w .




Figure 3: Δq vs w . It blows up to ∞ at both 0 and 1.

Now moving on to the momentum operator given by $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$,

$$\langle p \rangle = -i\hbar \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^\dagger \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \psi dq \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \psi = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} A(q) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial q} iB(q) \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} A(q) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left[\frac{i\sqrt{1-w}(1+w) \cosh(q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})} \right] \\ &= -i(1+w)\sqrt{1-w}\sqrt{1-w^2} \left[\frac{(-1+2w+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})) \sinh(q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} iB(q) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left[\frac{-\sqrt{1+w}(1-w) \sinh(q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})} \right] \\ &= (1-w)\sqrt{1+w}\sqrt{1-w^2} \frac{(-1-2w+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})) \cosh(q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^2} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^\dagger \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \psi &= -A(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q} A(q) + iB(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q} iB(q) \\ &= (-1+w)(1+w)\sqrt{1-w^2} \frac{(-1+2w^2+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})) \sinh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^3} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p \rangle &= -i\hbar \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1+w)(1+w)\sqrt{1-w^2} \frac{(-1+2w^2+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})) \sinh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^3} dq \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$\langle p \rangle$ is zero because it is an integral of odd function from $-\infty$ to ∞ .

$$\langle p^2 \rangle = -\hbar^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^\dagger \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} \psi dq \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} \psi = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} A(q) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} iB(q) \end{bmatrix} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} A(q) &= \frac{i(1-w)^{3/2}(1+w)^2 \cosh(q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{2[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^3} \times \\ &[2+8w-15w^2+4w(-3+2w) \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})+w^2 \cosh(4q\sqrt{1-w^2})] \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} iB(q) &= \frac{(-1+w)^2(1+w)^{3/2} \sinh(q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{2[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^3} \times \\ &[-2+8w+15w^2+4w(3+2w) \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})-w^2 \cosh(4q\sqrt{1-w^2})] \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^\dagger \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} \psi &= -A(q) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} A(q) + iB(q) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} iB(q) \\
&= \frac{(1-w^2)^2}{4[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^4} [(8w-22w^3)+ \\
(4-21w^2) \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2}) + (2w(-6+5w^2)) \cosh(4q\sqrt{1-w^2}) + \\
&\quad + w^3 \cosh(6q\sqrt{1-w^2})]
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Since the function is an even function, the integral finally becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle p^2 \rangle &= -\hbar^2 \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{(1-w^2)^2(8w-22w^3)dp}{2[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^4} + \right. \\
&\int_0^\infty \frac{(1-w^2)^2(4-21w^2) \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})dq}{2[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^4} + \\
&\int_0^\infty \frac{(1-w^2)^2 2w(-6+5w^2) \cosh(4q\sqrt{1-w^2})dq}{2[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^4} + \\
&\left. \int_0^\infty \frac{(1-w^2)^2 w^2 \cosh(6q\sqrt{1-w^2})dq}{2[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^4} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Evaluation of the individual integrals gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \frac{(1-w^2)^2(8w-22w^3)}{2[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^4} dq = \\
&\quad \frac{\sqrt{-1+w^2}(11+4w^2) + 6(2+3w^2) \arctan\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1+w^2}}\right) - 6(2+3w^2) \arctan\left(\frac{1+w}{\sqrt{-1+w^2}}\right)}{12(-1+w^2)^{7/2}} \\
&= f_1(w)
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \frac{(1-w^2)^2(4-21w^2) \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{2[1+w \cosh(2p\sqrt{1-w^2})]^4} dq = \\
&\quad \frac{-\sqrt{-1+w^2}(2+13w^2) - 6w^2(4+w^2) \arctan\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1+w^2}}\right) + 6w^2(4+w^2) \arctan\left(\frac{1+w}{\sqrt{-1+w^2}}\right)}{24w(-1+w^2)^{7/2}} \\
&= f_2(w)
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{(1-w^2)^2 2w(-6+5w^2) \cosh(4q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{2[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^4} dq =$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1+w^2}(-2+9w^2+8w^4) + 30w^4 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1+w^2}}\right) - 30w^4 \arctan\left(\frac{1+w}{\sqrt{-1+w^2}}\right)}{12w(-1+w^2)^{7/2}} \quad (41)$$

$$(1-w^2)^{3/2}(-6+5w^2) \frac{\sqrt{-1+w^2}(-2+9w^2+8w^4) + 30w^4 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1+w^2}}\right) - 30w^4 \arctan\left(\frac{1+w}{\sqrt{-1+w^2}}\right)}{12w(-1+w^2)^{7/2}}$$

$$= f_3(w)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{(1-w^2)^2 w^2 \cosh(6q\sqrt{1-w^2})}{2[1+w \cosh(2q\sqrt{1-w^2})]^4} dq =$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1+w^2}(-8+26w^2-33w^4) - 30w^6 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1+w^2}}\right) + 30w^6 \arctan\left(\frac{1+w}{\sqrt{-1+w^2}}\right)}{24w(-1+w^2)^{7/2}} \quad (42)$$

$$(1-w^2)^{3/2} \frac{\sqrt{-1+w^2}(-8+26w^2-33w^4) - 30w^6 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1+w^2}}\right) + 30w^6 \arctan\left(\frac{1+w}{\sqrt{-1+w^2}}\right)}{24w(-1+w^2)^{7/2}}$$

$$= f_4(w)$$

$\langle p^2 \rangle$ is completely in terms of w . So let us call $\langle p^2 \rangle = -\hbar^2 f(w)$, where

$$f(w) = f_1(w) + f_2(w) + f_3(w) + f_4(w) \quad (43)$$

The dispersion of momentum operator is thus,

$$(\Delta p)^2 = \langle p^2 \rangle - \langle p \rangle^2 = -\hbar^2 f(w)$$

$$-f(w) = \frac{(\Delta p)^2}{\hbar^2} \quad (44)$$

The graph for $\frac{\Delta p}{\hbar}$ vs w is given in Fig. 4




Figure 4: $\Delta p/\hbar$ vs w

The uncertainty relation is:

$$(\Delta q)^2(\Delta p)^2 = -f(w)g(w)\hbar^2 \quad (45)$$

Let $-f(w)g(w) = h^2(w)$. The generalized uncertainty principle is obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta q)(\Delta p) &= h(w)\hbar \\ &= (1 + h(w) - 1)\hbar \\ &= \hbar + \alpha(w)\hbar \\ &= \hbar + \left(\frac{\alpha(w)}{-f(w)}\right)(-f(w))\hbar \\ &= \hbar + \beta(w)(-f(w))\hbar \\ &= \hbar + \beta(w)\frac{(\Delta p)^2}{\hbar^2}\hbar \\ (\Delta q) &= \frac{\hbar}{(\Delta p)} + \beta(w)\frac{\hbar}{(\Delta p)}\frac{(\Delta p)^2}{\hbar^2} \\ (\Delta q) &= \frac{\hbar}{(\Delta p)} + \beta(w)\frac{(\Delta p)}{\hbar} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Where $\alpha(w) = h(w) - 1$ and $\beta(w) = \frac{\alpha(w)}{-f(w)}$.

We can hence say that HUP is an approximation of GUP. This happens when $h(w) = 1$ or $\beta(w) = 0$. Let us call $\beta(w)$ as the constant of GUP. The graph for these functions is given in Fig. 5 and 6 respectively.




Figure 5: In this graph the function $h(w)$ given by $\Delta q\Delta p/\hbar$ is plotted against w . We can see that this function takes the value 1 at a particular value of w which is approximately 0.555542.




Figure 6: $\beta(w)$ or the constant of GUP passes through 0 when $w = 0.555542$ approximately.

Checking for different values of w

We are considering that w lies in the range $(0, 1)$. Let us take 0.1 as our step function and find the values of $f(w)$, $g(w)$, $h(w)$, $\alpha(w)$ and $\beta(w)$ in this range.

w = 0.1

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(w) &= -3.25722 \\
 g(w) &= 30.7774 \\
 h(w) &= 10.0124 \\
 \alpha(w) &= 9.01243 \\
 \beta(w) &= 2.76691
 \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Substituting these values in (46), we get the GUP of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Delta q)(\Delta p) &= 10.0124\hbar \\
 &= \hbar + 9.01243\hbar \\
 (\Delta q) &= \frac{\hbar}{(\Delta p)} + 2.76691 \frac{(\Delta p)}{\hbar}
 \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

w = 0.2

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(w) &= -1.53665 \\
 g(w) &= 10.9016 \\
 h(w) &= 4.09292 \\
 \alpha(w) &= 3.09292 \\
 \beta(w) &= 2.01277
 \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Similarly substituting in the GUP equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Delta q)(\Delta p) &= 4.09292\hbar \\
 &= \hbar + 3.09292\hbar \\
 (\Delta q) &= \frac{\hbar}{(\Delta p)} + 2.01277\frac{(\Delta p)}{\hbar}
 \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Table 1 specifies the values of all the functions for the respective w values.

| w | f(w) | g(w) | h(w) | $\alpha(w)$ | $\beta(w)$ |
|-----|-----------|---------|----------|-------------|------------|
| 0.3 | -0.946858 | 5.94122 | 2.37181 | 1.37181 | 1.4488 |
| 0.4 | -0.647309 | 3.91751 | 1.59243 | 0.592432 | 0.915222 |
| 0.5 | -0.465485 | 2.90075 | 1.16201 | 0.162005 | 0.348035 |
| 0.6 | -0.342801 | 2.34209 | 0.896031 | -0.103969 | -0.303292 |
| 0.7 | -0.254672 | 2.04638 | 0.721911 | -0.278089 | -1.09195 |
| 0.8 | -0.188492 | 1.96371 | 0.608394 | -0.391606 | -2.07757 |
| 0.9 | -0.131402 | 2.23559 | 0.541997 | -0.458003 | -3.48551 |

Table 1: Values of functions $f(w), g(w), h(w), \alpha(w), \beta(w)$

A graph for Δq vs Δp has been given in Fig. 7. This is similar to the figure given in [7].




Figure 7: Δq vs Δp for GUP

3.2 Modified length scale

A relativistic particle of mass m has two length scales associated with it: the half compton line, $\lambda_C = \frac{\hbar}{2Mc}$ and Schwarzschild radius, $R_S = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$. The particle either obeys the relativistic Dirac equation or the field equations of general relativity. This is known experimentally. But theoretically however, both these concepts hold for objects of all masses. The Dirac equation experimentally holds for particles with masses $m \ll m_{Pl}$ ($\lambda_C \gg L_{Pl}$), and field equations of GR holds for $m \gg m_{Pl}$ ($R_S \gg L_{Pl}$), where m_{Pl} is Planck mass having a value of about 10^{-8}kg .

There is a need for one universal length such that it always stays higher than Planck length, because it is the smallest meaningful length, which limits to λ_C in the Planck regime and R_S in the classical regime. This Compton-Schwarzschild length, L_{CS} introduced in [7], [8] and [10] is given in the following form:

$$\frac{L_{CS}}{2L_{Pl}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2m}{m_{Pl}} + \frac{m_{Pl}}{2m} \right) \quad (51)$$

This can also be written as,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L_{CS}}{2L_{Pl}} &= \frac{m_{Pl}}{4m} \left(1 + \frac{4m^2}{m_{Pl}^2} \right) \\ L_{CS} &= \frac{\lambda_C}{2} \left(1 + \frac{R_S^2}{L_{Pl}^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

L_{CS} takes the value λ_C for $m \ll m_{Pl}$ and R_S for $m \gg m_{Pl}$.

Now, in our theory, $l_1 = l_2 = L_{CS}$, the modified HD equation given in (9). This implies, $b(l_2)$, takes the form:

$$b(l_2) = b(L_{CS}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}L_{CS}} \quad (53)$$

Thus, w in the standard theory which was $-\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{2}b}$ denoted by w_s , now takes the form,

$$w_m = -2\Lambda L_{CS} \quad (54)$$

$\Rightarrow L_{CS} = -\frac{w_m}{2\Lambda}$, where l_2 was $-\frac{w_s}{2\Lambda} = \frac{\lambda_C}{2}$ Substituting this in (52), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{CS} &= \frac{\lambda_C}{2} \left(1 + \frac{R_S^2}{L_{Pl}^2} \right) \\ &= l_2 \left(1 + \frac{R_S^2}{L_{Pl}^2} \right) \\ -\frac{w_m}{2\Lambda} &= -\frac{w_s}{2\Lambda} \left(1 + \frac{R_S^2}{L_{Pl}^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Thus, we get our modified w to be of the form,

$$w_m = w_s \left(1 + \frac{R_S^2}{L_{Pl}^2} \right) \quad (56)$$

where, $R_S = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$, the gravitational constant $G = 6.674 \times 10^{-11} \text{Nm}^2/\text{kg}^2$, $L_{Pl} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-35} \text{m}$.

$$R_S = 1.48311 \times 10^{-27} \times M \quad (57)$$

$$\frac{R_S^2}{L_{Pl}^2} = 8.59226 \times 10^{15} \times M^2 \quad (58)$$

Let $\frac{R_S^2}{L_{Pl}^2} = \eta$. Then, $\eta = (8.59226 \times 10^{15})M^2$. w_m is a function of two variables, M , mass of a particle and w_s .

$$w_m = w_s(1 + (8.59226M^2 \times 10^{15})) = w_s(1 + \eta) \quad (59)$$

As $\eta \rightarrow 0$, i.e, $M \ll m_{Pl}$, $w_m \rightarrow w_s$, the standard value for which the GUP form is already derived.

Now, as $M \gg m_{Pl}$, $\eta > 1$. Let us take an example. Suppose $M = 10^{-7}kg$, then $\eta = 85.9226$. If we consider $w_s = 0.001$, which produces a double-headed wave with local minima at the origin, w_m takes the value 0.869226 which produces the wave to give global maxima at the origin. Fig. 8 depicts this tranformation.






Figure 8: In both these graphs, we have taken the mass of the particle to be a little over Planck mass i.e., $10^{-7}kg$. In graph (A), according to the standard length scale, when $w_s = 0.001$, the probability density has a minima at the origin. Transforming this standard w_s to modified according to (56), $w_m = 0.869226$. The probability density of this graph (B) has a maxima at the origin.

Let us now understand how the probability distribution changes when $M = m_{Pl} = 2.2 \times 10^{-8}kg$. This is explained in Fig. 9. We see that at m_{Pl} , the probability distribution when $w_s = 0.1$, is a double-headed wave function which transforms to a wave functions having maxima at the origin using the formula of modified w .




9(a)




9(b)

Figure 9: $M = m_{Pl}$. $w_s = 0.1 \rightarrow w_m = 0.51$

Now, suppose we take the value of M to be quite large, say the mass of the Sun, w_s value must be extremely small of the order 10^{-77} in order to get a physical solution in terms of w_m . Fig. 10 explains this.



10(a)



10(b)

Figure 10: $M = \text{mass of the Sun}$. We can deduce that as $M \rightarrow \infty, w_s \rightarrow 0$. $w_m = 0.67$ for this particular standard w value

The above transformations of the probability density can be seen for $M \geq m_{Pl}$. Those values of w_s that produced double-headed wave solutions with minima at the origin, upon introducing the modified length scale L_{CS} , now produces viable solutions with maxima at the origin.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Abhinav Varma, Shounak De, Swanand Khanapurkar for helpful discussions. I am grateful to Dr. Tejinder P. Singh under whom I conducted this project. He guided me very patiently and I learnt a lot from him.

References

- [1] Chandrasekhar, S., “The mathematical theory of black holes”, Vol. 69, Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [2] S. Khanapurkar, A. Varma, N. Mittal, N. Gupta and T. P. Singh, “Einstein-Cartan-Dirac equations in the Newman-Penrose formalism”, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no. 6, 064046 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.064046 [arXiv:1804.11334 [gr-qc]].
- [3] F. W. Hehl and B. K. Datta, “Nonlinear spinor equation and asymmetric connection in general relativity”, *J. Math. Phys.* **12**, 1334 (1971). doi:10.1063/1.1665738
- [4] R. J. Adler, P. Chen and D. I. Santiago, “The Generalized uncertainty principle and black hole remnants”, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **33**, 2101 (2001) doi:10.1023/A:1015281430411 [gr-qc/0106080].
- [5] R. J. Adler and D. I. Santiago, “On gravity and the uncertainty principle”, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **14**, 1371 (1999) doi:10.1142/S0217732399001462 [gr-qc/9904026].
- [6] R. J. Adler, “Six easy roads to the Planck scale”, *Am. J. Phys.* **78**, 925 (2010) [arXiv:1001.1205 [gr-qc]].
- [7] B. Carr, L. Modesto and I. Premont-Schwarz, “Generalized Uncertainty Principle and Self-dual Black Holes”, arXiv:1107.0708 [gr-qc].
- [8] B. J. Carr, J. Mureika and P. Nicolini, “Sub-Planckian black holes and the Generalized Uncertainty Principle”, *JHEP* **1507**, 052 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2015)052 [arXiv:1504.07637 [gr-qc]].
- [9] M. Maggiore, “A Generalized uncertainty principle in quantum gravity”, *Phys. Lett. B* **304**, 65 (1993) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(93)91401-8 [hep-th/9301067].
- [10] T. P. Singh, “A new length scale for quantum gravity”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **26**, 1743015 (2017) doi:10.1142/S0218271817170155 [arXiv:1704.00747 [gr-qc]].
- [11] S. Khanapurkar and T. P. Singh, “A duality between curvature and torsion”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **27**, no. 14, 1847008 (2018) doi:10.1142/S0218271818470089 [arXiv:1804.00167 [gr-qc]].