

# WKB expansion of Yang-Yang generating function and Bergman tau-function

M. Bertola<sup>†‡1</sup>, D. Korotkin<sup>† 2</sup>,

<sup>†</sup> *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University  
1455 de Maisonneuve W., Montréal, Québec, Canada H3G 1M8*

<sup>‡</sup> *SISSA/ISAS, Area of Mathematics  
via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53D30, Secondary 34M45

## Abstract

We study the symplectic properties of the monodromy map of second order equations on a Riemann surface whose potential is meromorphic with double poles. We show that the Poisson bracket defined in terms of periods of meromorphic quadratic differential implies the Goldman Poisson structure on the monodromy manifold. These results are applied to the WKB analysis of the equation. It is shown that the leading term in the WKB expansion of the generating function of the monodromy symplectomorphism (the “Yang-Yang function” of Nekrasov, Rosly and Shatashvili [34]) is determined by the Bergman tau-function on the moduli space of meromorphic quadratic differentials.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Quadratic differentials with second order poles</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Geometry of canonical cover . . . . .	6
2.2	Period coordinates. Homological Poisson structure and symplectic form . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b>Monodromy map</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Poisson brackets for potential and variational formulas on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ . . . . .	9
3.2	Monodromy symplectomorphism and its generating function . . . . .	10
3.2.1	Definition of generating function using homological extension of shear coordinates and symplectic basis in $H_-$ . . . . .	10
3.2.2	Definition of generating function using shear coordinates and periods around edges of $\Sigma^*$ . . . . .	12
<b>4</b>	<b>Bergman tau-function over spaces of quadratic differentials with second order poles</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1	Distinguished local coordinates on $\mathcal{C}$ defined by $Q$ . . . . .	13
4.2	Definition of $\tau_B$ and its properties . . . . .	14
4.3	Differential equations for $\tau_B$ . . . . .	15
<b>5</b>	<b>WKB expansion of the generating function of monodromy symplectomorphism</b>	<b>16</b>
5.1	Local connection problem: the Airy Stokes’ phenomenon . . . . .	16
5.2	Global WKB Riemann-Hilbert problem . . . . .	19
5.2.1	Formal WKB expansion . . . . .	19
5.2.2	Riemann-Hilbert problem . . . . .	20
5.2.3	Toy example: WKB expansion of monodromy eigenvalues . . . . .	23
5.3	WKB expansion of the generating function and Bergman tau-function . . . . .	24
<b>6</b>	<b>Open problems</b>	<b>26</b>

---

<sup>1</sup>Marco.Bertola@{concordia.ca, sissa.it}

<sup>2</sup>Dmitry.Korotkin@concordia.ca

<b>A</b>	<b>Topological double covers and Darboux coordinates for <math>PSL(2)</math> Goldman bracket</b>	<b>27</b>
A.1	Double covers $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma$ . . . . .	28
A.2	Darboux coordinates for Goldman bracket: homological shear coordinates . . . . .	31
A.3	Graphs $\Sigma_Q$ and $\Sigma_Q^*$ defined by horizontal trajectories of a quadratic differential . . . . .	32

# 1 Introduction

In this paper we study the symplectic properties and WKB expansion of the linear second order equation on a Riemann surface  $\mathcal{C}$  of genus  $g$ . This equation in a local coordinate  $\xi$  can be written as

$$\varphi_{\xi\xi} - u(\xi)\varphi = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

The equation (1.1) is invariant under the change of the local coordinate  $\xi$  if the potential  $u$  transforms as  $1/2$  of a coordinate realization of a projective connection and the solution  $\varphi$  transforms as the coordinate realization of a  $-1/2$  differential [22]. The way to write the equation (1.1) in coordinate-invariant form is to introduce the ‘‘oper’’  $\partial^2 - U$  where  $U = u(\xi)(d\xi)^2$ , and define

$$\phi = \varphi(\xi)(d\xi)^{-1/2}. \tag{1.2}$$

Then the equation (1.1) can be written in a coordinate-independent form as follows:

$$(\partial^2 - U)\phi = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

where  $U$  is  $1/2$  of a projective connection on  $\mathcal{C}$ . The operator  $\partial^2$  acts on local sections of the line bundle  $K^{-1/2}$  where  $K$  is the canonical line bundle. For detailed discussion of precise mathematical meaning of the equation (1.3) we refer to [4].

We shall parametrize the space of potentials of equation (1.3) by writing

$$U = -\frac{1}{2}S_B + Q$$

where  $Q$  is a meromorphic quadratic differential with simple zeros;  $S_B$  is the *Bergman projective connection* which is a holomorphic projective connection [16] which depends (locally and holomorphically) only on the moduli of the Riemann surface  $\mathcal{C}$ . The Bergman projective connection depends on the Torelli marking of the Riemann surface; it is defined in terms of the canonical bimeromorphic differential  $B(x, y) = d_x d_y \log E(x, y)$  (here  $E(x, y)$  is the prime-form [16]) as follows:

$$S_B(x) = \left( B(x, y) - \frac{d\xi(x)d\xi(y)}{(\xi(x) - \xi(y))^2} \right) \Big|_{y=x}. \tag{1.4}$$

Then, writing  $Q(\xi) = q(\xi)(d\xi)^2$  and  $S_B(\xi) = s_B(\xi)(d\xi)^2$  we can represent the equation (1.1) in the form

$$\varphi'' + \left( \frac{1}{2}s_B - q \right) \varphi = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

while the coordinate-invariant equation (1.3) is written as

$$\partial^2 \phi + \left( \frac{1}{2}S_B - Q \right) \phi = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

The differential  $Q$  is assumed to have  $n$  second order poles  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  with fixed biresidues which we denote by  $r_1^2, \dots, r_n^2$ . Namely,

$$Q(x) \sim \left( \frac{r_j^2}{\xi_j^2} + O(\xi_j^{-1}) \right) (d\xi_j)^2 \tag{1.7}$$

as  $x \rightarrow z_j$ , where  $\xi_j$  is a local coordinate near  $z_j$ . The space of pairs  $(\mathcal{C}, Q)$ , such that all zeros of  $Q$  are simple, is denoted by  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$ . This space is foliated into leaves  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  which correspond to fixed  $r_j$ 's. The number of (simple) zeros of  $Q$  is  $N = 4g - 4 + 2n$ ; we denote them by  $x_j, j = 1, \dots, N$ .

The equation (1.6) has Fuchsian singularities at poles of  $Q$ . Assume that one of the solutions of (1.6) has the asymptotics

$$\phi_1 = \xi_j^{\alpha_j} (1 + O(\xi_j)) (d\xi_j)^{-1/2}$$

near  $z_j$  where  $\xi_j$  is a local coordinate near  $z_j$  with  $\alpha_j \notin \mathbb{Z}/2$  (this assumption is needed to exclude the resonant case); then the second solution  $\phi_2 = \phi_1 \int \phi_1^{-2}$  has the following asymptotics near  $z_j$ :

$$\phi_2 = \xi_j^{1-\alpha_j} (1 + O(\xi_j)) (d\xi_j)^{-1/2} .$$

The singular part of the quadratic differential  $Q$  at  $z_j$  looks as follows:

$$Q = \left( \frac{\alpha_j(\alpha_j - 1)}{\xi_j^2} + O(\xi_j^{-1}) \right) (d\xi_j)^2 . \quad (1.8)$$

Thus, comparing with (1.7), we have

$$r_j^2 = \alpha_j(\alpha_j - 1) \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\alpha_j = \frac{1}{2} \pm r_j \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4r_j^2}} . \quad (1.10)$$

Here and below we assume that  $r_j^2 \notin \mathbb{R}_-$  (which is necessary for  $Q$  to be free from saddle trajectories) and choose the sign of  $r_j$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} r_j < 0 .$$

Let us introduce the monodromy group of equation (1.6). For each  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$  the ratio  $f = \phi_1/\phi_2$  transforms under analytic continuation along a closed contour  $\gamma$  as follows:

$$f \rightarrow \frac{a_\gamma f + c_\gamma}{b_\gamma f + d_\gamma} \quad \text{where} \quad M_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a_\gamma & b_\gamma \\ c_\gamma & d_\gamma \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \quad (1.11)$$

which determines a  $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$  monodromy representation of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_i\}_{i=1}^n, x_0)$  with  $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}$  being a base point.

Denote the standard generators of the fundamental group by  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$ ; these generators satisfy the relation

$$\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_n \prod_{i=g}^1 \alpha_i \beta_i \alpha_i^{-1} \beta_i^{-1} = id \quad (1.12)$$

where the loop  $\kappa_j$  goes around  $z_j$  counter-clockwise. The  $PSL(2)$  monodromy matrices satisfy the same relation

$$M_{\kappa_1} \dots M_{\kappa_n} \prod_{i=1}^g M_{\alpha_i} M_{\beta_i} M_{\alpha_i}^{-1} M_{\beta_i}^{-1} = I . \quad (1.13)$$

The diagonal form of the matrix  $M_{\kappa_j}$  is given by

$$\Lambda_j = \begin{pmatrix} m_j & 0 \\ 0 & m_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.14)$$

where

$$m_j^2 = e^{4\pi i \alpha_j} . \quad (1.15)$$

The key analytical object associated to the equation (1.6) is the canonical cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  defined by  $v^2 = Q$ . The cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is equipped with the natural holomorphic involution  $\mu$  and the canonical projection  $\pi : \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . In this paper we assume that all zeros of  $Q$  are simple. Then the genus of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  equals to  $\widehat{g} = g + g_-$  with  $g_- = 3g - 3 + n$ .

Denote two points of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  projecting to the pole  $z_j$  by  $z_j^{(1)}$  and  $z_j^{(2)}$ . The enumeration of these points is chosen such that the residue of  $v$  at  $z_j^{(1)}$  equals  $r_j$  and the residue of  $v$  at  $z_j^{(2)}$  equals  $-r_j$ .

The homology group  $H_1(\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}_{j=1}^n)$  splits into the direct sum  $H_+ \oplus H_-$  of two eigenspaces of the operator  $\mu_*$ . Then  $\dim H_- = 6g - 6 + 3n = 2g_- + n$ ; one can choose generators of  $H_-$  as follows:

$$\{a_i^-, b_i^-\}_{i=1}^{g_-}, \{t_l^-\}_{l=1}^n. \quad (1.16)$$

where the cycles  $t_j^-$  is 1/2 of the difference of two small counter-clockwise circles around  $z_j^{(1)}$  and  $z_j^{(2)}$ . The intersection indices between these cycles are given by  $a_j^- \circ b_k^- = \delta_{jk}/2$ ; cycles  $t_j^-$  have trivial intersection indices with all cycles.

Trivially,  $\int_{t_j^-} v = r_j$ . The integrals of  $v$  over the remaining cycles

$$A_j = \int_{a_i^-} v, \quad B_j = \int_{b_i^-} v$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, g_-$  can be used as local *period* (or *homological*) coordinates on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ . We introduce the homological Poisson bracket on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$  as follows

$$\left\{ \int_{s_1} v, \int_{s_2} v \right\}_{hom} = s_2 \circ s_1 \quad (1.17)$$

for any  $s_1, s_2 \in H_-$  where  $\circ$  is the intersection index in  $H_-$ . The space  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  is a symplectic leaf of the bracket (1.17). The following *homological* symplectic form on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  is the inverse of (1.17):

$$\Omega_{hom} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} dB_j \wedge dA_j. \quad (1.18)$$

It was proved in [8, 21] that in the case of  $n = 0$  (when  $Q$  is holomorphic and can be identified with the cotangent vector to the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  of Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$ ) the homological symplectic form coincides with the canonical symplectic form on  $T^*\mathcal{M}_g$ . This result was generalized in [31] to the case when  $Q$  has  $n$  simple poles; in that case the homological form coincides with the canonical symplectic form on  $T^*\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ . We are not aware of a similar result in the present case, when  $Q$  has  $n$  poles of second order.

Let us choose the symplectic potential  $\theta_{hom}$  (such that  $d\theta_{hom} = \Omega_{hom}$ ) as follows:

$$\theta_{hom} = \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} B_j dA_j - A_j dB_j. \quad (1.19)$$

Denote now the  $PSL(2)$  character variety of  $n$ -punctured Riemann surface of genus  $g$  by  $CV_{g,n}$ . Denote by  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$  the leaf of  $CV_{g,n}$  such that the eigenvalues of the monodromy matrix around  $z_j$  are given by  $m_j$  and  $m_j^{-1}$ . The natural symplectic form  $\Omega_G$  on  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$  is given by the inverse of the  $PSL(2)$  Goldman bracket.

An important technical result is (see App. A.2):

**Proposition 1.1** *The symplectic form  $\Omega_G$  inverting the Goldman's bracket on a symplectic leaf  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$  can be written in the canonical form*

$$\Omega_G = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} d\rho_{a_j^-} \wedge d\rho_{b_j^-} \quad (1.20)$$

where the Darboux coordinates  $\rho_{a_j^-}$  and  $\rho_{b_j^-}$  are appropriate linear combinations of (logarithms of) Thurston's shear coordinates; we call them the homological shear coordinates on  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$ , see (A.18), (A.19) for their detailed definition.

The symplectic potential  $\theta_G$  (such that  $d\theta_G = -\Omega_G$ ) can be chosen as follows:

$$\theta_G = \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} \rho_{b_j^-} d\rho_{a_j^-} - \rho_{a_j^-} d\rho_{b_j^-}. \quad (1.21)$$

The first main result of this paper is the following

**Theorem 1.1** *The monodromy map*

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{Q}_{g,n} \rightarrow CV_{g,n}$$

for equation (1.6) is Poisson. The Poisson structure on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$  is given by (1.17) while the Poisson structure on  $CV_{g,n}$  is defined by minus the Goldman's bracket (3.7).

It follows from the theorem that the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}$  to the corresponding symplectic leaf

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}] \rightarrow CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$$

(where  $m_j$  is expressed in terms of  $r_j$  via (1.10), (1.15)) is a symplectomorphism, and, therefore, the 1-form  $\mathcal{F}^*\theta_G - \theta_{hom}$  is closed.

**Definition 1.1** *The generating function of the symplectomorphism  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined by*

$$d\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}^*\theta_G - \theta_{hom} . \quad (1.22)$$

The function  $\mathcal{G}$  depends on the choice of canonical basis of cycles on  $\mathcal{C}$  (the Torelli marking) used to define the Bergman projective connection  $S_B$ , on the choice of triangulation  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  (see Appendix A.2 for details) and a basis in  $H_-$  used to define the homological shear coordinates  $\rho_{a_j^-}, \rho_{b_j^-}$  on  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$ .

Our second main result is the computation of the leading term of the WKB expansion of the function  $\mathcal{G}$ . Namely, we introduce a small real positive parameter  $\hbar$  in the equation (1.6) as follows

$$\partial^2 \phi + \left( \frac{1}{2} S_B - \frac{1}{\hbar^2} Q \right) \phi = 0 . \quad (1.23)$$

The WKB ansatz for the solution of this equation is

$$\phi = v^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ \int_{x_0}^x (\hbar^{-1} s_{-1} + s_0 + \hbar s_1 + \dots) v \right\} \quad (1.24)$$

where  $v_j = s_j v$  are meromorphic differentials on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Of particular importance is the sum of odd-numbered differentials:

$$v_{odd} = s_{odd} v = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} s_{2k+1} v ; \quad (1.25)$$

the integrals of the differentials  $v_{2k+1} = s_{2k+1} v$  over cycles of  $H_-$  are called *Voros symbols*.

In Section 5 we find the WKB expansion of the homological shear coordinates. Assume that the differential  $Q$  is a GMN (Gaiotto-Moore-Nietzke) differential i.e. it is free from saddle connections (none of horizontal trajectories of  $Q$  connect two of its zeros). Any horizontal trajectory emanating from a zero of  $Q$  ends at a pole; such trajectories are called *critical*. Three critical trajectories meet at each zero (recall that all zeros of  $Q$  are assumed to be simple).

The set of critical trajectories defines two natural graphs embedded in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The graph  $\Sigma_Q$  is a triangulation of  $\mathcal{C}$  whose vertices are poles  $z_j$ ; each triangle of  $\Sigma_Q$  contains one zero  $x_k$  such that the critical trajectories emanating from  $x_k$  go towards the vertices of the corresponding triangle. The dual tri-valent graph  $\Sigma_Q^*$  has  $n$  faces (and each face contains one pole of  $Q$ ) and  $4g - 4 + 2n$  vertices at zeros  $x_j$ .

**Proposition 1.2** *Assume that all edges of the graph  $\Sigma_Q^*$  are branch cuts and glue two copies of  $\mathcal{C}$  together along them. Then the resulting covering is holomorphically equivalent to the canonical covering  $v^2 = Q$ .*

This proposition is non-trivial because one can get many inequivalent branch coverings by choosing different sets of edges of  $\Sigma_Q^*$  as branch cuts (such that an odd number of branch cuts - 1 or 3 meets at each vertex  $x_k$ ). Some of those coverings are equivalent to each other: in every equivalence class there are  $2^{n-1}$  different configurations of branch cuts.

The graph  $\Sigma_Q$  is used in App. A to define the set of Thurston's (logarithmic) shear coordinates and their homological analogs. The original shear coordinates are naturally assigned to each edge of the graph  $\Sigma_Q$  (or  $\Sigma_Q^*$ ); we extend them to any cycle  $\ell \in H_-$  by linearity and denote the corresponding logarithmic shear coordinate, which we call homological, by  $\rho_\ell$ .

**Proposition 1.3** (*Prop. 5.2*) Let  $Q$  be a GMN differential. Denote by  $\rho_\ell$  the (logarithmic) homological shear coordinate corresponding to a cycle  $\ell \in H_-$ . Then we have the following asymptotic expansion (in Poincaré sense) of  $\rho_\ell$  as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0^+$ :

$$\rho_\ell \sim \int_\ell v_{\text{odd}} \quad (1.26)$$

where  $v_{\text{odd}} = s_{\text{odd}}v$  is given by (1.25) as the formal series in  $\hbar$ .

The asymptotic expansion (1.26) is similar to the asymptotic expansion of shear coordinates in terms of Voros symbols proved in [2, 3]. However, it is not quite the same statement since in [3] the reference projective connection is supposed to have second order poles at  $z_j$ 's while the Bergman projective connection which is used as reference point in this paper is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

For any two differentials  $v, w$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  with poles (possibly with residues) at  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  and a chosen set of generators (1.16) in  $H_-$  we introduce the pairing

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} \left[ \int_{b_j^-} v \int_{a_j^-} w - \int_{a_j^-} v \int_{b_j^-} w \right].$$

Now substitution of the expansion (1.26) into the definition of the generating function  $\mathcal{G}$  (1.22) leads to the following theorem (Th.5.1):

**Theorem 1.2** *The leading term of the asymptotic expansion ( $\hbar \rightarrow 0^+$ ) of the generating function  $\mathcal{G}$  (1.22) has the following form*

$$\mathcal{G} = -12\pi i \log \tau_B + 2\langle v, v_1 \rangle + O(\hbar^2) \quad (1.27)$$

where  $\tau_B$  is the Bergman tau-function (4.8) on the moduli space of quadratic differentials with second order poles (see more detail in Sec.4); the differential  $v_1$  is given by

$$v_1 = s_1 v = \frac{\mathcal{S}_B - \mathcal{S}_v}{2v}$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_v = \mathcal{S} \left( \int^x v, \xi(x) \right) (d\xi(x))^2 \quad (1.28)$$

( $\mathcal{S}$  is the Schwarzian derivative and  $\xi(x)$  a local coordinate on  $\mathcal{C}$ ).

The Bergman tau-function can be interpreted as determinant of a  $\bar{\partial}$  operator in the spirit of Quillen [35] acting on functions on  $\mathcal{C}$  (more precisely,  $\tau_B$  is a section of the determinant line bundle of the Hodge vector bundle over the moduli space of quadratic differentials with  $n$  second order poles with fixed biresidues over Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$ ; it enters also the formula of holomorphic factorization of determinant of Laplace operator [30]). In physics terms,  $\tau_B$  can be interpreted as chiral partition function of free bosons on a Riemann surface (see [27, 28] and references therein). Therefore, the formula (1.27) can be also interpreted as follows:

$$\mathcal{G} = -12\pi i \log \det \bar{\partial} + 2\langle v, v_1 \rangle + O(\hbar^2). \quad (1.29)$$

This paper leads to many interesting questions (see Sec.6). Here we only mention one of them: what is the role of the higher order terms in the WKB expansion of  $\mathcal{G}$ ? The most naive conjecture would be to expect that these terms coincide with higher genus free energies in (the appropriate version of) the framework of topological recursion [15].

## 2 Quadratic differentials with second order poles

### 2.1 Geometry of canonical cover

Denote by  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$  the moduli space of meromorphic quadratic differentials on a Riemann surface of genus  $g$  with  $n$  double poles and  $4g - 4 + 2n$  simple zeros. We assume that the singular part of  $Q$  near the pole  $z_j$  is given

by (1.7); for the purposes of the WKB expansion we shall need to assume that the biresidues  $r_j^2 \notin \mathbb{R}_-$ . For any differential  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$  we define the canonical covering  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  as the locus in  $T^*\mathcal{C}$  given by

$$v^2 = Q. \quad (2.1)$$

The two-sheeted covering  $\pi : \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is branched at zeros of  $Q$ ; thus the total number of branch points is  $4g - 4 + 2n$  and the genus of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  equals  $\widehat{g} = 4g - 3 + n$ . Recall that the natural involution on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is denoted by  $\mu$  and the projection of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\pi$ . The Abelian differential  $v$  on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is of third kind with double zeros at the branch points  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{4g-4+2n}$ ; it is skew-symmetric under the involution  $\mu$ . It has simple poles at  $2n$  points which we denote by  $z_i^{(1)}$  and  $z_i^{(2)}$  with residues  $r_j$  and  $-r_j$ , respectively. We shall fix the sign of  $r_j$  by the assumption that  $\text{Re } r_j < 0$ .

Consider the homology group

$$H_1(\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{z_i^{(1)}, z_i^{(2)}\}_{i=1}^n, \mathbb{R}). \quad (2.2)$$

The generators of this group can be chosen as follows (this is the generalization of the basis used in [16, 33, 8] to the case  $n \neq 0$ ):

$$\{a_j, a_j^\mu, \tilde{a}_k, b_j, b_j^\mu, \tilde{b}_k, t_l, t_l^\mu\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, g, \quad k = 1, \dots, 2g - 3, \quad l = 1, \dots, n \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\{a_i, b_i, a_i^\mu, b_i^\mu\}_{i=1}^g$  is a lift to  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  of the canonical basis of cycles  $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=1}^g$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ , such that

$$\mu_* a_j = a_j^\mu, \quad \mu_* b_j = b_j^\mu, \quad \mu_* \tilde{a}_k + \tilde{a}_k = \mu_* \tilde{b}_k + \tilde{b}_k = 0; \quad (2.4)$$

$\{t_l, t_l^\mu\}$  is a lift to  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  of the small positively-oriented circle  $t_l$  around  $z_l$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ . We define  $t_l$  to be a small positively oriented loop around  $z_j^{(1)}$ ; then  $t_l^\mu$  is a small loop around  $z_j^{(2)}$ . In the group (2.2) one has the relation

$$\sum_{l=1}^n (t_l + t_l^\mu) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Let us decompose the homology group (2.2) into symmetric and skew-symmetric parts under the action of the involution  $\mu_*$ :

$$H_1(\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{z_i^{(1)}, z_i^{(2)}\}_{i=1}^n) = H_+ \oplus H_-$$

where  $\dim H_+ = 2g + n - 1$  and  $\dim H_- = 6g - 6 + 3n$ .

The classes in (2.2) given by

$$a_j^+ = \frac{1}{2}(a_j + a_j^\mu), \quad b_j^+ = \frac{1}{2}(b_j + b_j^\mu), \quad j = 1, \dots, g, \quad t_l^+ = \frac{1}{2}(t_l + t_l^\mu), \quad l = 1, \dots, n \quad (2.6)$$

are generators of  $H_+$ . They have intersection indices

$$a_j^+ \circ b_k^+ = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{jk}$$

(while  $t_l^+$  have vanishing intersection with all cycles).

Introduce a set of free generators of  $H_-$  denoted by

$$\{a_i^-, b_i^-\}_{i=1}^{3g-3+n}, \quad \{t_l^-\}_{l=1}^n \quad (2.7)$$

and defined as follows:

$$a_l^- = \frac{1}{2}(a_l - a_l^\mu), \quad b_l^- = \frac{1}{2}(b_l - b_l^\mu), \quad l = 1, \dots, g, \quad (2.8)$$

$$a_l^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{a}_{l-g}, \quad b_l^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{b}_{l-g}, \quad l = g + 1, \dots, 3g - 3 + n; \quad (2.9)$$

the generators  $t_l^-$  are given by

$$t_l^- = \frac{1}{2}(t_l - t_l^\mu). \quad (2.10)$$

These classes have the following intersection indices:

$$a_i^- \circ b_j^- = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2}, \quad (2.11)$$

and all other intersection indices (in particular, the intersection indices of  $t_i^-$  with all generators) equal to 0.

The rank of the intersection pairing on  $H_-$  equals  $6g - 6 + 2n$ .




Figure 1: Generators of  $H_1(\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\})$ .

## 2.2 Period coordinates. Homological Poisson structure and symplectic form

The dimension of the space  $H_-$  coincides with the dimension of the moduli space  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$ . This allows to define the set of *period* (or *homological*) local coordinates on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$  as follows:

$$A_i = \int_{a_i^-} v \quad B_i = \int_{b_i^-} v, \quad 2\pi i r_k = \int_{t_k^-} v \quad (2.12)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, 3g - 3 + n$  and  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  the stratum of  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$  corresponding to fixed values of  $r_1, \dots, r_n$ . The periods  $\{A_i, B_i\}_{i=1}^{3g-3+n}$  can be used as local *homological* coordinates on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ .

We define the homological Poisson structure on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$  in terms of periods (2.12):

**Definition 2.1** For two cycles  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in H_-$  the homological Poisson structure between corresponding periods of  $v$  is given by the intersection index of the cycles:

$$\left\{ \int_{\ell_1} v, \int_{\ell_2} v \right\} = \ell_2 \circ \ell_1. \quad (2.13)$$

The Poisson structure (2.13) is degenerate; the Casimirs are given by  $r_1, \dots, r_n$ . The leaves  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  are symplectic for the bracket (2.13).

**Proposition 2.1** The homological symplectic form on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  is defined by

$$\Omega_{hom} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{g-} dB_i \wedge dA_i. \quad (2.14)$$

**Remark 2.1** The coordinates used in [8] in the case  $n = 0$  were equal to  $iA_j$  and  $iB_j$  due to a different sign in front of  $Q$  in (1.6), which implies the change of order in the form (2.14) in comparison with [8].



**Remark 2.2** The real slice  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}^{\mathbb{R}}[\mathbf{r}]$  of  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ , where all periods of  $v$  in  $H_-$  are real (thus in particular all  $r_j$  are imaginary) coincides with the largest stratum of the combinatorial model based on Strebel differentials [29, 5]. The symplectic form (2.14) restricted to  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}^{\mathbb{R}}[\mathbf{r}]$  coincides with the symplectic form used in [29] to introduce the orientation on the largest stratum of the combinatorial model. This restriction of the form  $\omega$  (see [29]) equals to  $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j^2 \psi_j$  where  $\psi_j$  are tautological  $\psi$ -classes and  $p_j = 2\pi i r_j$  [5].

### 3 Monodromy map

#### 3.1 Poisson brackets for potential and variational formulas on $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$

Denote two linearly independent solutions of (1.6) by  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  and introduce functions  $\varphi_{1,2} = \phi_{1,2} \sqrt{v}$ . Denote by  $\Psi$  the Wronskian matrix of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ . The matrix  $\Psi$  satisfies the first order matrix equation

$$d\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ uv & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi, \quad (3.1)$$

where the *potential* (which is the meromorphic function on  $\mathcal{C}$ ) is given by

$$u = -\frac{S_B - S_v}{2Q} + 1 \quad (3.2)$$

and  $S_v(\xi) = \mathcal{S}(z(\xi), \xi)$  is the Schwarzian derivative of the coordinate  $z(x) = \int_{x_1}^x v$  with respect to a local coordinate  $\xi$ . The coefficients  $v$  and  $uv$  in (3.1) are meromorphic differentials on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ , which are skew-symmetric under the involution  $\mu$ .

The homological symplectic structure (2.14) on the space  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  induces the Poisson structure on the space of coefficients  $u$  of the equation (3.1). The Poisson bracket between  $u(z)$  and  $u(\zeta)$  (for constant  $z$  and  $\zeta$ ) can be computed using the definition

$$\{u(x), u(y)\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3g-3+n} \left( \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial B_i} \frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial A_i} - \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial A_i} \frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial B_i} \right) \quad (3.3)$$

(where it is assumed that the flat coordinates  $z(x)$  and  $z(y)$  remain constant) and variational formula (3.5) below. This gives, in analogy to the proof of Proposition 4.4 of [8]:

$$\frac{4\pi i}{3} \{u(x), u(y)\} = \mathcal{L}_z \left[ \int^x h(z, \zeta) dz \right] - \mathcal{L}_\zeta \left[ \int^y h(z, \zeta) d\zeta \right] \quad (3.4)$$

where  $z = z(x)$ ,  $\zeta = z(y)$ ,  $h(z, \zeta) = \frac{B^2(x, y)}{Q(x)Q(y)}$  and

$$\mathcal{L}_z = \frac{1}{2} \partial_z^3 - 2u(z) \partial_z - u_z(z)$$

is called the ‘‘Lenard’s operator’’ in the theory of the Kortevge de Vries equation.

The proof of (3.4) is identical to that in the case of holomorphic potentials (see Prop.4.4 of [8]). It uses variational formulas for the potential  $u$  (3.2) on the space  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  which take the form (see (3.35) of [8] and Section 11 of [32]):

$$\left. \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial A_j} \right|_{z(x)=const} = \frac{3}{2\pi i} \int_{b_j^-} \frac{B^2(x, y)}{v^2(x)v(y)}, \quad \left. \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial B_j} \right|_{z(x)=const} = -\frac{3}{2\pi i} \int_{b_j^-} \frac{B^2(x, y)}{v^2(x)v(y)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Substituting these formulas into (3.3), using the Riemann bilinear identities and computing the resulting contour integral by residues leads to (3.4).

## 3.2 Monodromy symplectomorphism and its generating function

Consider the monodromy map

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{Q}_{g,n} \rightarrow CV_{g,n} \quad (3.6)$$

for the equation (1.6) defined by (1.11).

The Goldman bracket on  $CV_{g,n}$  is defined as follows [19]. For two arbitrary loops  $\gamma$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$

$$\{\mathrm{tr}M_\gamma, \mathrm{tr}M_{\tilde{\gamma}}\}_G = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \gamma \circ \tilde{\gamma}} \nu(p) (\mathrm{tr}M_{\gamma_p \tilde{\gamma}} - \mathrm{tr}M_{\gamma_p \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}}) \quad (3.7)$$

where the monodromy matrices  $M_\gamma, M_{\tilde{\gamma}} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ ;  $\gamma_p \tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\gamma_p \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}$  are paths obtained by resolving the intersection point  $p$  in two different ways (see [19]);  $\nu(p) = \pm 1$  is the contribution of the point  $p$  to the intersection index of  $\gamma$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$ .

The following theorem was proved in [8] for holomorphic  $Q$  and in [31] for potentials with simple poles. The proof for the present case of second order poles is parallel (in [8, 31] we were working with the lift of the  $PSL(2)$  monodromy representation to  $SL(2)$ ; in this paper we deal with  $PSL(2)$  representation for technical simplicity).

**Theorem 3.1** *The monodromy map  $\mathcal{F}$  (3.6) of equation (1.6) is Poisson. Namely, the homological Poisson bracket (2.13) implies minus the Goldman bracket (3.7) between traces of monodromy matrices of the equation (3.1).*

Therefore, the monodromy map is a symplectomorphism between the symplectic leaves. Namely, denote by  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$  the symplectic leaf of the character variety  $CV_{g,n}$  corresponding to fixed eigenvalues  $m_j$ . We denote by  $\Omega_G$  the symplectic form on  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$  which is the inverse of Goldman Poisson structure.

The monodromy map sends the leaf  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  to the leaf  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$  with  $r_j$  and  $m_j$  related by

$$m_j^2 = \exp \left[ \pm 4\pi i \sqrt{r_j^2 + \frac{1}{4}} \right]. \quad (3.8)$$

(the change of the sign in the r.h.s. corresponds to the interchange of  $m_j$  and  $m_j^{-1}$  i.e. the change of the ordering of the eigenvalues of  $M_j$ ).

**Corollary 3.1** *The homological symplectic form  $\Omega_{hom}$  (2.14) on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  is related to Goldman symplectic form  $\Omega_G$  on  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}]$  as follows:*

$$\Omega_{hom} = -\mathcal{F}^* \Omega_G. \quad (3.9)$$

Since the monodromy map is a symplectomorphism between symplectic leaves in  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$  and  $CV_{g,n}$  one can define the generating function of this map (the ‘‘Yang-Yang’’ function of [34]). To define the generating function one needs to choose symplectic potentials for both forms,  $\Omega_{hom}$  and  $\Omega_G$ .

There are two natural ways to define these potentials; the first way uses the set of coordinates based on a given triangulation  $\Sigma$ . The second way uses the set of coordinates associated to the odd part  $H_-$  of  $H_1(\hat{\mathcal{C}})$ . The latter definition is more convenient in our approach to WKB expansion of the generating function and relating it to the Bergman tau-function. The former definition allows an easier description of the transformation properties of the generating function under the change of the underlying data.

In the next two sections we outline these two approaches.

### 3.2.1 Definition of generating function using homological extension of shear coordinates and symplectic basis in $H_-$

In view of (2.14) the natural choice of the potential  $\theta_{hom}$  satisfying  $d\theta_{hom} = \Omega_{hom}$  is

$$\theta_{hom} = \sum_{j=1}^{g-} (B_k dA_k - A_k dB_k) \quad (3.10)$$

where, as in (2.14),  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  are integrals of  $v$  over cycles  $(a_k^-, b_k^-)$  in  $H_-(\widehat{\mathcal{C}})$  (2.12).

Let us discuss now the choice of potential for Goldman's symplectic form. As it is shown in the App. A, the cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma$  is topologically and holomorphically equivalent to  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  irrespectively of the choice of the triangulation  $\Sigma$  for given vertices  $z_j$  (and the dual tri-valent graph  $\Sigma^*$  with fixed vertices  $x_j$ ).

Choosing the same set of generators  $(a_k^-, b_k^-)$  in  $H_-(\widehat{\mathcal{C}})$  as in (3.10) we can write the form  $\Omega_G$  in terms of the homological shear coordinates  $\rho_{a_j^-}, \rho_{b_j^-}$  (A.19) as in (A.22):

$$\Omega_G = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} d\rho_{a_j^-} \wedge d\rho_{b_j^-} .$$

The symplectic potential  $\theta_G$  for the form  $-\Omega_G$  can be chosen as follows:

$$\theta_G = \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} (\rho_{b_j^-} d\rho_{a_j^-} - \rho_{a_j^-} d\rho_{b_j^-}) . \quad (3.11)$$

Now we are in a position to define the generating function  $\mathcal{G}$ :

**Definition 3.1** *The generating function  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  (the "Yang-Yang" function according to the terminology of [34]) is locally defined by*

$$d\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}^* \theta_G - \theta_{hom} \quad (3.12)$$

where  $\theta_{hom}$  is given by (3.10) and  $\theta_G$  is given by (3.11). The homological shear coordinates are defined using the same subset  $(a_j^-, b_j^-)$  of generators of  $H_-$  as the one used to define periods  $(A_j, B_j)$ .

As it was shown in [6], in the framework of Fuchsian systems on the Riemann sphere the generating function of the monodromy symplectomorphism can be identified with the isomonodromic tau-function.

To summarize, in our framework the function  $\mathcal{G}$  depends on the following data.

- The Torelli marking (the choice of the canonical basis of cycles) of  $\mathcal{C}$  which determines the Bergman projective connection  $S_B$ . Let the basis  $(a_j, b_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, g$  be related to a new basis  $(a_j^\sigma, b_j^\sigma)$  by a symplectic matrix  $\sigma$ :

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ A & B \end{pmatrix} : \quad \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a \end{pmatrix}^\sigma = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a \end{pmatrix} . \quad (3.13)$$

The Bergman projective connection then transforms as follows:

$$S_B^\sigma = S_B - 12\pi i \sum_{j,k=1}^g \frac{\partial \det(C\Omega + D)}{\partial \Omega_{jk}} v_j v_k \quad (3.14)$$

where  $\Omega$  is the period matrix of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

In the holomorphic case, when  $n = 0$ , the homological symplectic form (2.14) coincides with the canonical symplectic form on the cotangent bundle to  $\mathcal{M}_g$ . On the other hand, in the case when all  $r_j = 0$  i.e. the differential  $Q$  is allowed to have only simple poles at  $z_j$ , the homological symplectic form coincides with the canonical symplectic form on  $T^*\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ .

In both of these simpler cases the new and old generating functions (assuming that all the other data remain the same) are related by (see Proposition 5.1 of [8] and [31])

$$\mathcal{G}^\sigma = \mathcal{G} + 6\pi i \log \det(C\Omega + D) \quad (3.15)$$

We expect this relation to extend in the same form to the case when  $r_j \neq 0$ .

- The choice of generators  $(a_j^-, b_j^-)$  in  $H_-$  which are used to define homological coordinates  $(A_j, B_j)$  via (2.12) and homological shear coordinates  $(\rho_{a_j^-}, \rho_{b_j^-})$  via (A.19). Obviously, under the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_- \\ a_- \end{pmatrix}^\sigma = \sigma_- \begin{pmatrix} b_- \\ a_- \end{pmatrix} ; \quad \sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} C_- & D_- \\ A_- & B_- \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(2g_-, \mathbb{Z}) : \quad (3.16)$$

both symplectic potentials  $\theta_G$  (3.11) and  $\theta_{hom}$  (3.10) remain the same. Therefore,  $\mathcal{G}$  also remains invariant.

- Finally, the choice of triangulation  $\Sigma$  used to define the shear coordinates  $\zeta_e$ . The definition of homological linear combinations  $(\rho_{a_j^-}, \rho_{a_j^-})$  also implicitly gets a non-trivial dependence on the choice of  $\Sigma$  via  $\zeta_e$ . We expect that under an elementary Whitehead move  $\mathcal{G}$  transforms via a combination of dilogarithms.

To get the simplest transformation of the generating function under the choice of triangulation one can slightly modify the choice of symplectic potentials (and, therefore, the generating function itself) as shown in the next section.

### 3.2.2 Definition of generating function using shear coordinates and periods around edges of $\Sigma^*$

Let us fix a triangulation  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  and choose the branch cuts along all edges of  $\Sigma^*$ ; then the resulting covering is equivalent to the canonical cover  $v^2 = Q$  (see Prop.A.3). The form  $\Omega_{hom}$  can be alternatively written in terms of periods of  $v$  along cycles  $\ell_e$  (the cycle  $\ell_e$  goes around the edge  $e^*$  of the graph  $\Sigma^*$ ) as follows [5]:

$$\Omega_{hom} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{v \in V(\Sigma)} \sum_{e, e' \perp v, e' \prec_e} d\mathcal{P}_{\ell'_e} \wedge d\mathcal{P}_{\ell_e} \quad (3.17)$$

where the notation  $e \perp v$  means that the edge  $e$  has  $v$  as one of its endpoints;  $e' \prec_e$  for two edges ending at  $v$  means that the edge  $e'$  precedes the edge  $e$  under counter-clockwise ordering starting from a chosen "cilium" attached to the vertex  $v$ ;

$$\mathcal{P}_{\ell_e} = \int_{\ell_e} v .$$

We choose the symplectic potential (such that  $d\tilde{\theta}_{hom} = \Omega_{hom}$ ) as follows:

$$\tilde{\theta}_{hom} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{v \in V(\Sigma)} \sum_{e, e' \perp v, e' \prec_e} (\mathcal{P}_{\ell'_e} d\mathcal{P}_{\ell_e} - \mathcal{P}_{\ell_e} d\mathcal{P}_{\ell'_e}) . \quad (3.18)$$

Let us now consider the (logarithmic) shear coordinates  $\zeta_e$  ( $e \in E(\Sigma)$ ) on the character variety. These coordinates go back to Thurston and Penner; see [20, 7] for parametrization of monodromy matrices in terms of them. The Goldman form on the symplectic leaf  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  looks as follows (see (7.14) of [5] and the recent paper [11]). The convention is such that  $\Omega P = -I$  i.e. the Poisson structure  $\{p, q\} = 1$  gives the symplectic form  $dp \wedge dq$ :

$$\Omega_G = \sum_{v \in V(\Sigma)} \sum_{e, e' \perp v, e' \prec_e} d\zeta_{e'} \wedge d\zeta_e . \quad (3.19)$$

The symplectic potential  $\tilde{\theta}_G$  (such that  $d\tilde{\theta}_G = -\Omega_G$ ) associated to the representation (3.19) can be chosen as follows:

$$\tilde{\theta}_G = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(\Sigma)} \sum_{e, e' \perp v, e' \prec_e} (\zeta_{e'} d\zeta_e - \zeta_e d\zeta_{e'}) . \quad (3.20)$$

Then the alternative generating function  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  is defined by the equation

$$d\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{F}^* \tilde{\theta}_G - \tilde{\theta}_{hom} . \quad (3.21)$$

The comment about transformation of the generating function  $\mathcal{G}$  (3.12) under the change of Torelli marking of  $\mathcal{C}$  is also applicable to  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ : we expect that it transforms in the same way as (3.15) although no rigorous proof exists at the moment.

The transformation of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  under a change of triangulation  $\Sigma$  is more explicit than the transformation of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Namely, under the Whitehead move on the edge  $e$  the potential  $\tilde{\theta}_G$  transforms as follows (see Prop.7.1 of [7]):  $\tilde{\theta}_G \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_G - 2dL\left(\frac{\kappa_e}{1+\kappa_e}\right)$  where  $L$  is the Rogers' dilogarithm and  $\kappa_e = e^{2\zeta_e}$ . Similarly, one can derive the transformation law of the potential  $\tilde{\theta}_{hom}$  (3.18) using the requirement that periods  $\mathcal{P}_{\ell_e}$  corresponding to the edge  $e$  and four adjacent edges  $e_1, \dots, e_4$  transform to preserve the pair  $(\mathcal{C}, Q)$ . This leads to the explicit transformation formula for the generating function  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  under the Whitehead move. We don't give here more details since in this paper we do not focus on the global properties of the generating functions.

## 4 Bergman tau-function over spaces of quadratic differentials with second order poles

Here we review the notion of the Bergman tau-function on moduli spaces of quadratic differentials with second poles and fixed biresidues.

The Bergman tau-function  $\tau_B$  on moduli spaces appear in various context - from isomonodromy deformations to spectral geometry, Frobenius manifolds and random matrices, see the review [32]. In the context of moduli spaces of quadratic differentials with second order poles the Bergman tau-function was discussed in detail in [5], Section 4.1, where it is denoted by  $\tau_+$ ; in [5] the tau-function was considered on a stratum in the space of meromorphic quadratic differentials with fixed biresidues.

Here we present previously known results about the Bergman tau-function on moduli spaces of meromorphic quadratic differentials; the equations for  $\tau_B$  with respect to biresidues of  $Q$  given here are new.

### 4.1 Distinguished local coordinates on $\mathcal{C}$ defined by $Q$

The divisor of  $Q$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  looks as follows:

$$(Q) = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n z_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n+N} d_i q_i . \quad (4.1)$$

To define the set of *distinguished* coordinates on  $\mathcal{C}$  defined by the quadratic differential  $Q$  we consider first the canonical cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  defined by  $v^2 = Q$ . The divisor of abelian differential  $v$  on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is given by

$$(v) = \sum_{j=1}^N 2x_j - \sum_{k=1}^n (z_k^{(1)} + z_k^{(2)}) . \quad (4.2)$$

The zeros  $x_j$  of  $v$  on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  we denote by the same letters as zeros of  $Q$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  since they are in one-to-one correspondence. On the other hand,  $\pi^{-1}(z_j) = \{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}$ . If

$$\text{bires}|_{z_j} Q = r_j^2$$

we can define  $r_j$  such that

$$\text{Re}(r_j) < 0 \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\text{res}|_{z_j^{(1)}} v = r_j , \quad \text{res}|_{z_j^{(2)}} v = -r_j .$$

Near the zero  $x_j$  the distinguished local coordinate on  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined by

$$\zeta_j(x) = \left[ \int_{x_j}^x v \right]^{2/3} , \quad j = 1, \dots, N . \quad (4.4)$$

Near the double pole  $z_j$  the distinguished local coordinate is defined by

$$\zeta_{N+j}(x) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{r_j} \int_{x_1}^x v \right\} , \quad j = 1, \dots, n \quad (4.5)$$

where  $x_1$  is a chosen zero of  $Q$ .

To define the local coordinates  $\zeta_{N+j}$  uniquely we connect poles  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  by a system of arcs forming a tree graph  $G$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Furthermore, the first zero  $x_1$  is connected to the first pole  $z_1$  by a contour  $\ell$ . We denote the tree graph obtained by the lift of the union of  $\ell$  and  $G$  to  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  by  $\widehat{G} = \pi^{-1}(\ell \cup G)$ .

The contours representing canonical cycles on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  are assumed to not intersect the graph  $\widehat{G}$ . Consider the fundamental polygon  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_0$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Then the local coordinates  $\zeta_{N+j}$  are uniquely defined in  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_0 \setminus \widehat{G}$ .




Figure 2: Tree  $G$  and contour  $\ell$  within the fundamental polygon of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## 4.2 Definition of $\tau_B$ and its properties

Denote by  $E(x, y)$  the prime-form on  $\mathcal{C}$ , by  $\mathcal{A}_x$  the Abel map with base-point  $x$  and by  $K^x$  the vector of Riemann constants (see e.g. [16]). For  $n \geq 1$  the fundamental polygon of  $\mathcal{C}$  can always be chosen so that (the proof of this fact is parallel to the proof of Lemma 6 of [30])

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_x((Q)) + 2K^x = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Introduce the following multi-valued  $g(1-g)/2$ -differential  $c(x)$  [17]

$$c(x) = \frac{1}{W(x)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^g v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \right)^g \theta(w, \Omega) \Big|_{w=K^x}, \quad W(x) := \det \left[ \frac{d^{k-1}}{dx^{k-1}} v_j \right]_{1 \leq j, k \leq g} \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\Omega$  is the period matrix of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^g$  are holomorphic 1-forms on  $\mathcal{C}$  normalized by  $\oint_{a_i} v_j = \delta_{ij}$  and  $\theta$  is the corresponding theta-function.

**Definition 4.1** [30, 33, 5, 26] *Let the fundamental polygon of  $\mathcal{C}$  be chosen such that (4.6) holds. For a given Torelli marking and the tree graph  $\widehat{G}$  the Bergman tau function  $\tau_B$  is then defined in terms of the divisor  $(Q)$  (4.1) by the formula*

$$\tau_B = c^{2/3}(x) \left( \frac{Q(x)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n+M} E^{d_i}(x, q_i)} \right)^{(g-1)/6} \prod_{i < j} E(q_i, q_j)^{\frac{d_i d_j}{24}}. \quad (4.8)$$

The prime-forms in (4.8) are evaluated at the points  $q_i$  as follows:

$$E(x, q_i) = \lim_{y \rightarrow q_i} E(x, y) \sqrt{d\zeta_i(y)}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$E(q_i, q_j) = \lim_{x \rightarrow q_j, y \rightarrow q_i} E(x, y) \sqrt{d\zeta_i(y)} \sqrt{d\zeta_j(x)} \quad (4.10)$$

where  $\zeta$  are distinguished local coordinates (4.4), (4.5).

The Bergman tau-function has the following properties:

- The expression (4.8) is constant with respect to  $x$  although it seems to depend on it [30].

- The expression (4.8) depends on the choice of the “first” zero  $x_1$  and on the integration paths between  $x_1$  and a neighbourhood of  $z_j$  in (4.5). The paths  $[x_1, z_j]$  are chosen in the complement of the tree graph  $\widehat{G}$  (see Fig.2); the change of the graph  $\widehat{G}$  within the fundamental polygon  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_0$  affect the coordinates  $\{\zeta_{N+j}\}$  by a factor of the form

$$\exp \left\{ 2\pi i \sum_{j,k} n_{jk} \frac{r_j}{r_k} \right\}$$

for some integers  $n_{jk}$  [26]. We notice that this factor depends only on the residues  $r_j$ .

- Under the change of Torelli marking on  $\mathcal{C}$  with a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$  the function  $\tau_B$  transforms as follows [33, 5, 32]:

$$\tau_B \rightarrow \epsilon \det(C\Omega + D) \tau_B \quad (4.11)$$

where  $\epsilon^{48} = 1$ .

- The expression

$$\tau_B^{48} \prod_{k=1}^n (d\zeta_{N+k}(z_k))^4 \quad (4.12)$$

is invariant under the choice of local parameters near  $z_k$  [5, 32].

- The function  $\tau_B$  satisfies the following homogeneity property (see [32], Sec.6):

$$\tau_B(\mathcal{C}, \kappa Q) = \kappa^{\frac{5(2g-2+n)}{72}} \tau_B(\mathcal{C}, Q) . \quad (4.13)$$

Defining the Euler vector field via

$$E = \sum_{j=1}^{3g-3+n} \left( A_j \frac{\partial}{\partial A_j} + B_j \frac{\partial}{\partial B_j} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n r_k \frac{\partial}{\partial r_k} \quad (4.14)$$

we get from (4.13):

$$E \log \tau_B = \frac{5(2g-2+n)}{72} . \quad (4.15)$$

### 4.3 Differential equations for $\tau_B$

The group dual to  $H_1(\widehat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}_{j=1}^n)$  is the relative homology group  $H_1(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}, \{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}_{j=1}^n)$  which we decompose into  $H_+^* \oplus H_-^*$ . The space  $H_-^*$  is dual to  $H_-$  with respect to the intersection pairing. The set of generators of  $H_-^*$  dual to generators (2.7) of  $H_-$  is given by

$$\{s_j^*\}_{j=1}^{2g-+n} = \{-b_i^-, a_i^-\}_{i=1}^{g-}, \quad \{\kappa_l^-\}_{l=1}^n \quad (4.16)$$

where  $\kappa_l^-$  is (1/2 of) the contour going from  $z_l^{(1)}$  to  $z_l^{(2)}$  such that it does not intersect other contours from the basis (4.16).

We assume that the graph  $\widehat{G}$  lies entirely within the fundamental polygon of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  associated to the canonical basis (2.3). Moreover, we assume that choice of contours  $\kappa_l^-$  agrees with the system of cuts  $\widehat{G} = \pi^{-1}(\ell \cup G)$  (where  $G$  is a tree graph with vertices at  $z_j$ , see Fig.2):  $\kappa_l^-$  equals to 1/2 of the contour which starts at  $z_l^{(1)}$ , then goes to  $x_1$  along cut shown in Fig.2, and then goes to  $z_l^{(2)}$  on the second sheet along the contour which has the same projection to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Consider the set of homological coordinates on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}$  defined by (2.12).

The equations for  $\tau_B$  with respect to the periods of  $v$  along cycles (2.7) are given by the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.1** For a given Torelli marking denote by  $S_B$  the Bergman projective connection on  $\mathcal{C}$  and define the Bergman tau-function  $\tau_B$  by (4.8). Then  $\tau_B$  satisfies the following equations

$$\frac{\partial \log \tau_B}{\partial A_i} = \frac{1}{24\pi i} \int_{b_i^-} \frac{S_B - S_v}{v}, \quad \frac{\partial \log \tau_B}{\partial B_i} = -\frac{1}{24\pi i} \int_{a_i^-} \frac{S_B - S_v}{v} \quad (4.17)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, 3g - 3 + n$  and

$$\frac{\partial \log \tau_B}{\partial (2\pi i r_j)} = \frac{1}{24\pi i} \int_{\kappa_j^-} \left( \frac{S_B - S_v}{v} + \frac{1}{2r_j^2} v \right) \quad (4.18)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  where the meromorphic projective connection  $S_v$  is defined by (1.28).

The proof of equations (4.17) is parallel to the proof of equations for the Bergman tau-function on the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials with simple zeros, see [33]. This proof of [33] is obtained by reduction of the corresponding equations on the moduli spaces of holomorphic abelian differentials derived in [30]. Similarly, the formulas (4.17) are derived from equations for the Bergman tau-function on moduli spaces of meromorphic differentials of third kind obtained in [26]. The equations with respect to residues (4.18) are not used in this paper; they can be obtained from the equations on the moduli spaces of holomorphic quadratic differentials by degeneration of the base curve  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Therefore, the differential of  $\log \tau_B$  on the symplectic leaf  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  is given by the following expression:

$$d \log \tau_B|_{\mathbf{r}} = -\frac{1}{24\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^{3g-3+n} \left[ \left( \int_{a_i^-} \frac{S_B - S_v}{v} \right) dB_j - \left( \int_{b_i^-} \frac{S_B - S_v}{v} \right) dA_j \right]. \quad (4.19)$$

## 5 WKB expansion of the generating function of monodromy symplectomorphism

### 5.1 Local connection problem: the Airy Stokes' phenomenon

In the neighbourhood of a zero of  $Q$  (called "turning point" in the theory of WKB approximation), there exists a change of coordinate  $\zeta(z; \hbar)$  (see [25], Ch. 3) which is a formal analytic series in  $\hbar$  and transforms the equation into the standard Airy equation

$$\hbar^2 \varphi''(x) - x\varphi(x) = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

One of the features of this equation is its  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  symmetry: if  $\varphi(x)$  is a solution then  $\varphi(xe^{2\pi i/3})$  is also a solution. The point  $x = 0$ , which is the zero of the potential, is the turning point of (5.1).

The Stokes phenomenon of the Airy equation near the point  $x = 0$  can be used to model the Stokes phenomenon for the general equation (1.6) on a Riemann surface near a zero  $x_j$  of the quadratic differential  $Q$  (notice that the Bergman projective connection  $S_B$  vanishes in the coordinate  $x$  on the complex plane and, therefore, the zero of  $Q$  coincides with the zero of the potential).

We summarize the Stokes phenomenon, also known as the connection problem [37] or *Airy parametriz* (see [14]).

There exist two special formal asymptotic expansions for a solution of (5.1) [1]

$$f_{\pm}(x) \sim \frac{e^{\pm \frac{i\pi}{4}} e^{\pm \frac{2}{3\pi} x^{\frac{3}{2}}}}{x^{1/4}} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\pm 1)^n \hbar^n \Gamma(n + \frac{5}{6}) \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{6}) \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n}{2\pi n! x^{3n/2}} \right]. \quad (5.2)$$

The prefactor  $e^{\pm \frac{i\pi}{4}}$  is added here to simplify the form of the jump matrices below. In the notation of the previous section we have  $Q = x(dx)^2$  and  $v = \sqrt{x}dx$  i.e. the canonical covering is the two-sheeted cover of the Riemann sphere with branch points at 0 and  $\infty$ .

One can write the asymptotic expansion (5.2) in the form

$$f_{\pm}(x) = \exp \left[ \pm \frac{i\pi}{4} + \int_0^x s(x; \pm \hbar) \sqrt{x} dx \right] \quad (5.3)$$



where  $s(x; \hbar) = \sum_{k \geq -1} s_k(x) \hbar^k$  and  $s_k(x)$  are singular at  $x = 0$ . The antiderivative is understood in the following sense: for any power series centered at 0 the integration is made term by term assuming that  $\int_0^x x^k dx = x^{k+1}/(k+1)$  for any  $k \neq -1$  (this convention can be interpreted also as 1/2 of the definite integral between two points,  $x^-$  and  $x^+$ , lying on different sheets of the Riemann surface of the function  $\sqrt{x}$ ). Let  $s_{odd}$  contain only the odd powers of  $s$  in  $\hbar$ . Then (similarly to the link (5.19) between  $s_{odd}$  and  $s_{even}$  in the case of general equation on a Riemann surface derived below) we get

$$f_{\pm} = \frac{e^{\pm \frac{i\pi}{4}}}{\hbar^{1/2} (s_{odd} \sqrt{x})^{1/2}} \exp \left[ \pm \int_0^x s_{odd} \sqrt{x} dx \right] \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$s_{-1} = 1, \quad s_0 = -\frac{1}{4x^{3/2}}, \quad s_k = -\frac{d_k}{2^{3k+2}} \frac{1}{x^{\frac{3}{2}k + \frac{5}{2}}}, \quad \ell \geq 1. \quad (5.5)$$

The recurrence relations between  $s_k$  obtained by substitution of (5.3) into (5.1) imply that the coefficients  $d_k$  in this formula are positive integers satisfying the recurrence relation

$$d_0 = 1, \quad d_{k+1} = (6k+4)d_k + \sum_{j=0}^k d_j d_{k-j}. \quad (5.6)$$

The numbers  $d_k$  form the sequence sequence number A062980 in OEIS.org, and we refer ibidem for interesting combinatorial interpretations (in particular, in the problem of counting of three-valent graphs on surfaces).

**Stokes' phenomenon.** The Stokes' phenomenon for the Airy equation has been known for more than a century. The standard Stokes' phenomenon refers to the discontinuous asymptotic behaviour of a solution of (5.1) as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  in different directions; this translates to a Stokes' phenomenon as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  by rescaling  $x \rightarrow \hbar^{-2/3}x$ .

Classically, the Stokes' phenomenon for the Airy equation is described using the configuration of contours shown in Fig. 3. In each of the four sectors of the figure there is a *distinguished* basis ( $\varphi_{j,+}$ ,  $\varphi_{j,-}$ ) of solutions of (5.1) which is asymptotic (in the usual Poincaré sense) to the formal basis ( $f_+$ ,  $f_-$ ) (5.2) as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0^+$ ; for a modern presentation of this phenomenon we refer to [14].




Figure 3: Standard configuration of contours and jump matrices in the Riemann-Hilbert formulation of the Stokes phenomenon for the Airy equation.

The jump matrices on the four contours indicated in Fig. 3 are given by

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.7)$$

These are the Stokes' matrices, which indicate how the distinguished bases in different sectors are related one to the other. The notation is that, say, the matrix  $J$  on an oriented ray indicates the relationship  $\Phi_{left} = \Phi_{right} J$  where  $\Phi_{left}$  is the basis of solution on the sector to the left of the ray and  $\Phi_{right}$  on the right of the ray. Note that  $L U J U = \mathbf{1}$ , which indicates that the Airy equation has no monodromy (since its only singularity is at  $x = \infty$ ).

All fractional powers appearing in the expression (5.2) are defined via their principal determination. With this choice, the jump of  $x^{3/2}$  is placed along  $\mathbb{R}_-$  so that the real part,  $\text{Re}(x^{3/2})$  is positive on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and negative

on the two red rays. The form of the matrix  $J$  (5.7) can be easily seen from the definition of the asymptotic expansions (5.4). Namely,  $s_{odd}$  remains the same when  $x$  crosses  $\mathbb{R}_-$ , but  $\sqrt{x}$  changes sign. Thus, essentially,  $f_+$  is interchanged with  $f_-$ ; there is also an extra power of unity which comes from transformation  $x^{1/4} \rightarrow e^{\pi i/2} x^{1/4}$ . Therefore, the “boundary value” (understood term-by-term in  $\hbar$ -expansion) of  $f_+$  from above of  $\mathbb{R}_-$  is equal to the boundary value of  $f_-$  from below of  $\mathbb{R}_-$ . Similarly, the boundary value of  $f_-$  from above of  $\mathbb{R}_-$  is equal to *minus* the boundary value of  $f_+$  from below of  $\mathbb{R}_-$ , in agreement with Fig.3.

For the sake of symmetry we re-formulate the RHP as shown in Fig.4 by introducing two additional contours of discontinuity  $\arg x = \pm\pi/3$  and multiplying the basis  $\Phi$  in the sector  $\arg x \in (\pi/3, 5\pi/3)$  by  $J$ . This creates the additional two jumps with jump matrices  $J$  and  $J^{-1} = -J$ , shown on Fig.4. In addition, both jump matrices  $U$  get conjugated by  $J$  which produces the jump matrices  $L$  on the rays  $\arg x = \pm 2\pi/3$ .

The new jumps along the rays  $\arg x = \pm\pi/3$  are the same (up to a sign) as the jump along  $\arg x = \pi$ . This allows to interpret the rays  $\arg x = \pm\pi/3$  as extra branch cuts for  $\sqrt{x}$ . In each of the six sectors of Fig. 4 the distinguished basis of solutions is asymptotic to the formal basis  $(f_+, f_-)$  provided that the  $\sqrt{x}$  in (5.4) has not one, but three branch cuts coming to  $x = 0$  along the rays  $\arg x = \pi, \pm\pi/3$ .

There is still a residual violation of the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  symmetry in the Riemann-Hilbert problem in Fig. 4 due to the extra sign in the jump matrix along  $\arg x = -\pi/3$ ; this violation appears due to the term  $x^{1/4}$  in (5.4). In the sequel we restore the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  symmetry by treating jump matrices as elements of the  $\mathbb{P}SL(2)$  monodromy representation of (1.5).




Figure 4: The Riemann-Hilbert problem on 6 contours obtained via transformation of the RH problem in Fig.3. The Riemann surface of  $\sqrt{x}$  here has three branch cuts meeting under equal angles  $2\pi/3$  at 0.

The goal of the next transformation is to obtain a Riemann-Hilbert problem with jumps only on the critical trajectories of the quadratic differential  $Q = x(dx)^2$ . For that purpose we further rotate the the three branch cuts of  $x^{1/2}$  clockwise by  $\pi/3$  so that now they run along the critical trajectories themselves. This amounts to multiplying by  $\pm J$  the basis of solutions in the shaded regions of Fig.4.




Figure 5: The Riemann-Hilbert problem on critical trajectories. The symbol  $\sim$  means equivalence of the jump matrices in  $\mathbb{P}SL_2$ .

The result of this operation is the Riemann-Hilbert problem depicted in Fig.5, where

$$A = -LJ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.8)$$

Although two of the jump matrices equal to  $-A$ , and one of them equals  $A$ , in the  $\mathbb{P}SL(2)$  group, all of these matrices coincide. This makes the Riemann-Hilbert problem  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  symmetric, in agreement with the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  symmetry

of the Airy equation itself. Notice that in this way we could reconstruct the original jump matrices from Fig.3 via “reverse engineering”.

## 5.2 Global WKB Riemann-Hilbert problem

### 5.2.1 Formal WKB expansion

Let us now rescale the quadratic differential  $Q$  by a large parameter and consider the equation of the form

$$\partial^2 \phi + \left( \frac{1}{2} S_B - \frac{1}{\hbar^2} Q \right) \phi = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

The parameter  $\hbar$  then enters the equation of the corresponding canonical cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\hbar$ :

$$v_\hbar^2 = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} Q. \quad (5.10)$$

The cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\hbar$  is of course conformally equivalent to the  $\hbar$ -independent cover  $v^2 = Q$  via the rescaling  $v = \hbar v_\hbar$ .

Choose some base point  $x_0$  (later on  $x_0$  is going to be chosen to be one of zeros of  $Q$ ). Then in terms of the coordinate  $z(x) = \int_{x_0}^x v$  and the function  $\varphi(x) = \phi \sqrt{v(x)}$  we have

$$\varphi_{zz} + (q(z) - \hbar^{-2})\varphi = 0 \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$q = \frac{\mathcal{S}_B - \mathcal{S}_v}{2v^2}.$$

To study the limit  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  we introduce the asymptotic series  $s = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \hbar^k s_k$  and write the asymptotic series for solution of (5.9) in the form

$$f = v^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ \int_{x_0}^x (\hbar^{-1} s_{-1} + s_0 + \hbar s_1 + \dots) v \right\} \quad (5.12)$$

where  $s_k$  are meromorphic functions on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $x_0$  is a basepoint. We introduce also the meromorphic differentials

$$v_k = s_k v. \quad (5.13)$$

The function  $s$  satisfies the Riccati equation

$$ds + vs^2 = -qv + \hbar^{-2}v \quad (5.14)$$

(notice that on both sides of this equation we have 1-forms) or

$$d \left( \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \hbar^k s_k \right) + v \left( \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \hbar^k s_k \right)^2 = -qv + \hbar^{-2}v.$$

The coefficients of  $\hbar^{-2}$ ,  $\hbar^{-1}$  and  $\hbar^0$  give

$$s_{-1} = \pm 1, \quad s_0 = 0, \quad s_1 = -q/2.$$

so that  $v_{-1} = \pm v$ .

For all other coefficients we have

$$ds_k + v \sum_{\substack{j+l=k \\ j,l \geq -1}} s_j s_l = 0, \quad k > 0. \quad (5.15)$$

Thus

$$s_{k+1} = -\frac{1}{2s_{-1}} \left( \frac{ds_k}{v} + \sum_{\substack{j+l=k, \\ j,l \geq 0}} s_j s_l \right).$$

In particular, for  $k = 1, 2$  we get

$$s_2 = \frac{-ds_1}{2s_{-1}v}, \quad s_3 = \frac{1}{s_{-1}} \left( \frac{s_1^2}{2} - \frac{1}{4v} d \left( \frac{ds_1}{v} \right) \right). \quad (5.16)$$

Functions  $s_{2k+1}$  are symmetric under  $\mu$  while  $s_{2k}$  are skew-symmetric. Therefore, the 1-forms  $v_{2k} = s_{2k}v$  can be identified with meromorphic 1-forms on  $\mathcal{C}$ , while  $v_{2k+1} = s_{2k+1}v$  are meromorphic 1-forms on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  anti-symmetric under  $\mu$ .

Consider now the corresponding even and odd parts:

$$s_{even} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} s_{2l} \hbar^{2l}, \quad s_{odd} = \sum_{s=-1}^{\infty} s_{2s+1} \hbar^{2s+1}. \quad (5.17)$$

Below we shall assume  $s_{-1} = 1$  (i.e.  $v_{-1} = v$ ); to get the second asymptotic series corresponding to the choice  $v_{-1} = -v$  it is sufficient to apply the involution  $\mu$  and use the fact that  $\mu^*v = -v$ . Notice that  $s_{even}(x^\mu) = -s_{even}(x)$  and  $s_{odd}(x^\mu) = s_{odd}(x)$  while

$$\mu^*v_{even} = v_{even}, \quad \mu^*v_{odd} = -v_{odd}. \quad (5.18)$$

**Lemma 5.1** *The following equation holds:*

$$ds_{odd} = -2v s_{even} s_{odd}. \quad (5.19)$$

*Proof.* This directly follows from equations (5.15). Namely, the statement of the lemma is written as

$$\sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \hbar^{2k+1} ds_{2k+1} = -2v \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} s_{2l} \hbar^{2l} \right) \left( \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} s_{2j+1} \hbar^{2j+1} \right) \quad (5.20)$$

which is equivalent to (5.15) (the factor of 2 appears since the sum in (5.15) goes twice over all pairs of indices). ■

### 5.2.2 Riemann-Hilbert problem

The graph  $G_Q$  where the Riemann-Hilbert problem will be formulated is shown in Fig.6, 14; it is the union of the critical graph  $\Gamma_Q$  of the differential  $Q$  and the graphs  $\Sigma_Q$  and  $\Sigma_Q^*$ :

$$G_Q = \Gamma_Q \cup \Sigma_Q \cup \Sigma_Q^* \quad (5.21)$$

The edges of the graph are provided with the orientation and jump matrices indicated in Fig.6.

Let us explain how this kind of Riemann-Hilbert problem arises from the WKB approximation. The formula (5.12) can be used to produce two asymptotic expansions for solutions of (5.9) in each triangle face of the graph  $\Sigma_Q$  shown in Fig.6. These asymptotic expansions are obtained from (5.9) by expressing  $s_{even}$  in terms of  $s_{odd}$  via (5.19). In the  $j$ th face of  $\Sigma_Q$  the ‘‘initial point of integration’’ (in the sense which is clarified below) is chosen to coincide with the zero  $x_j$  of  $Q$  contained in this face; thus the corresponding asymptotic expansions inherit the same index. The following expression is a straightforward generalization of the formulas (5.4)

$$f_{\pm}^{(j)} = \frac{e^{\pm \frac{i\pi}{4}}}{\hbar^{1/2}(vs_{odd})^{1/2}} \exp \left[ \pm \int_{x_j}^x s_{odd} v \right] \quad (5.22)$$

(notice that  $f_{\pm}^{(j)}$  are  $-1/2$ -differentials while in the case of Airy equation  $f_{\pm}$  given by (5.4) are functions). The differential  $v_{odd} = s_{odd}v$  is singular at  $x_j$  but its residues at  $x_j$  vanish due to skew-symmetry; therefore we can define  $\int_{x_j}^x s_{odd}v$  in the same sense as the integral from 0 in (5.4) [25]. Namely, we consider  $x$  as  $x^{(1)}$  i.e. the point on the first sheet of the canonical cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  (recall that, according to App.A.1 the first sheet consists of




Figure 6: Two neighbouring faces of  $\Sigma_Q$ . Waved black curves are edges of  $\Sigma_Q^*$ ; these are branch cuts which carry the jump matrix  $J$ . The edges of the critical graph  $\Gamma_Q$  carry the jump matrix  $L$ . Edges of  $\Sigma_Q$  (black) carry the diagonal jump matrices expressed via contour integrals of  $s_{odd}v$ .

faces of  $\Sigma_Q^*$  where the real parts of residues of  $v$  at  $z_j$  are negative). Then  $x^{(2)}$  is the point on the second sheet and we define

$$\int_{x_j}^x s_{odd}v = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x^{(1)}}^{x^{(2)}} s_{odd}v$$

where the integration contour follows the edge of  $\Sigma_Q^*$  on both sheets.

Now we are in a position to introduce the following definition.

**Definition 5.1** The model  $PSL(2)$  Riemann-Hilbert problem has the following set of jump matrices on edges of the graph  $G_Q$  (5.21) as shown in Fig.6 (see (5.7) for the definition of  $L$  and  $J$ ):

- The matrix  $J$  on black waved contours connecting zeros of  $Q$  along edges of  $\Sigma_Q^*$ .
- The matrix  $L$  on edges of the critical graph  $\Gamma_Q$ .
- Diagonal matrices depending on moduli on the edges of  $\Sigma_Q$ ; on the edge  $e$  connecting two poles of  $Q$  the jump matrix is given by

$$Z_e = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\zeta_e} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\zeta_e} \end{pmatrix}; \quad (5.23)$$

the expression  $e^{2\zeta_e}$  is called the "complex shear coordinate", which is the simplest case of the general Fock-Goncharov coordinates.

The  $PSL(2)$  Riemann-Hilbert problem described in Def.5.1, including the coordinates  $\zeta_e$ , arises via the following mechanism.

Let us choose a local coordinate  $\xi$  in the simply connected region consisting of four faces of the graph  $G_Q$  bounded by four critical trajectories as shown in the shaded diamond region in Fig. 6.

Note that each face  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $G_Q$  has exactly one critical trajectory of  $\Gamma_Q$  on its boundary, one branch-cut and one zero  $x_j$  of  $Q$ .

In a neighbourhood of the critical trajectory issuing from  $x_j$ , the real part of  $\int_{x_j}^x v$  is positive because of our choice of sheets. This means that in this neighbourhood, as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0^+$ , the distinguished solution  $\varphi_-^{(\mathcal{D})}$  is *recessive* (i.e. tends exponentially to zero), while  $\varphi_+^{(\mathcal{D})}$  is *dominant* (i.e. explodes exponentially).

**Definition 5.2** The solution  $\varphi_-^{(\mathcal{D})}$  is the unique solution of (5.9) which is asymptotic to  $f_-^{(j)}$  in the region  $\mathcal{D}$  as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ .

The reason why this solution is unique is that we cannot add any multiple of a dominant solution to it.

To define uniquely  $\varphi_+^{\mathcal{D}}$  let us assume that  $\mathcal{D}$  is to the right of the branch cut (oriented towards the zero  $x_j$ , as in Fig. 4) and  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  be the unique face of  $G_Q$  to the left of the same branch-cut (referring to Fig. 6 if  $\mathcal{D}$  is chosen to be  $\mathcal{D}_a$  then  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  is  $\mathcal{D}_b$ ). Then we define

**Definition 5.3** *The solution  $\varphi_+^{\mathcal{D}}$  is the unique analytic continuation to  $\mathcal{D}$  of the solution  $-\varphi_-^{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}}$ . Similarly the solution  $\varphi_+^{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}}$  is the unique analytic continuation to  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$  of the solution  $\varphi_-^{\mathcal{D}}$ .*

The two Definitions 5.2, 5.3 determine uniquely the **distinguished** basis in each region  $\mathcal{D}$  and imply that the jump matrix along the branch cuts is  $J$ .

It follows from the local analysis of the Airy equation (5.1) that the relationship between bases in the regions of the two sides of a critical trajectory is given by the matrix  $L$  as indicated in Fig. 6.

**Proposition 5.1** *The jump matrices on the edges of the graph  $\Sigma_Q$  are diagonal. Namely, suppose that two faces,  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ , are separated by an edge  $e$ . Then*

$$\varphi_{\pm}^{(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})} = e^{\pm\zeta_e} \varphi_{\pm}^{(\mathcal{D})} \quad (5.24)$$

where  $\zeta_e \in \mathbb{C}/\sim$  is a monodromy parameter, and  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation between  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta + \pi i$ .

*Proof.* For reference, consider the regions  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_a$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{D}_d$  separated by the edge  $e = (z_1, z_2)$  in Fig. 6. It suffices to show that the analytic continuation of the recessive solutions  $\varphi_-^{(\mathcal{D}_a)}$  is recessive also in the region  $\mathcal{D}_d$ . This follows from the fact that the real parts of both flat coordinates  $\int_{x_1}^x v$  and  $\int_{x_2}^x v$  are necessarily positive as we approach the pole  $z_2$ , as it follows from a local analysis. Thus on some segment of the edge  $e = (z_1, z_2)$  of  $\Sigma_Q$  near  $z_2$ , both flat coordinates have the same sign of the real part. Thus both solutions are recessive in a common domain and they must be proportional to each other.

Now it follows from the definition of  $\varphi_+^{(\mathcal{D}_a)}$  and  $\varphi_+^{(\mathcal{D}_d)}$  that they are also proportional to each other. This can be seen by repeating the same argument as above near  $z_1$  where their analytic continuation coincides with  $\varphi_-^{(\mathcal{D}_b)}$  and  $\varphi_-^{(\mathcal{D}_c)}$ , respectively, by Def. 5.3. Therefore, the jumps on the edges of  $\Sigma_Q$  are diagonal.  $\blacksquare$

The numbers  $\zeta_e$  from (5.24) are nothing but the complex shear coordinates on the  $PSL(2)$  character variety (the monodromy manifold).

Let us now establish the link between the  $\hbar$ -expansion of the numbers  $\zeta_e$  and the integrals of WKB differentials. Consider for example the regions  $\mathcal{D}_{a,d}$  of the shaded quadrilateral with vertices  $(x_1, z_1, x_2, z_2)$ . The first set  $(\varphi_+^{\mathcal{D}_a}, \varphi_-^{\mathcal{D}_a})$  has the asymptotic expansion given by formal solutions  $f_{\pm}^{(1)}$  normalized at  $x_1$ :

$$\varphi_{\pm}^{\mathcal{D}_a} \sim f_{\pm}^{(1)} \quad (5.25)$$

The second set  $(\varphi_+^{\mathcal{D}_d}, \varphi_-^{\mathcal{D}_d})$  has the asymptotic expansion given by formal solutions  $f_{\pm}^{(2)}$  normalized at  $x_2$ :

$$\varphi_{\pm}^{\mathcal{D}_d} \sim f_{\pm}^{(2)} \quad (5.26)$$

Since (in the sense of formal power series in  $\hbar$ )

$$\int_{x_1}^x s_{odd} v = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} s_{odd} v + \int_{x_2}^x s_{odd} v \quad (5.27)$$

the formal solutions normalized at  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  can be related by the following formula which should be interpreted in the sense of formal power series in  $\hbar$ :

$$f_{\pm}^{(1)} = f_{\pm}^{(2)} \exp \left[ \pm \int_{x_1}^{x_2} s_{odd} v \right] \quad (5.28)$$

or, equivalently,

$$f_{\pm}^{(1)} = f_{\pm}^{(2)} \exp \left[ \pm \frac{1}{2} \int_{\ell_e} s_{odd} v \right]. \quad (5.29)$$

Since the asymptotic expansion of a product is the product of the asymptotic expansions, we can assert the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.2** *The formal asymptotic expansion of the entry  $\zeta_e$  of the jump matrices on the edge  $e$  of  $\Sigma_Q$  is expressed in terms of periods of  $s_{\text{odd}}v$  over cycle  $\ell_e$  (Fig.6) as follows*

$$\zeta_e \sim \frac{1}{2} \int_{\ell_e} v_{\text{odd}} \quad (5.30)$$

with  $v_{\text{odd}} = s_{\text{odd}}v$ . The relation (5.30) is understood in  $PSL(2)$  sense i.e. up to an addition of  $\pi ik$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

In terms of homological shear coordinates  $\rho_\ell$  defined by (A.16) for each  $\ell \in H_-$  the expansion (5.30) can be written as follows: be

$$\rho_\ell \sim \int_\ell v_{\text{odd}} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_\ell v + \hbar \int_\ell v_1 + \hbar^3 \int_\ell v_3 + \dots \quad (5.31)$$

This proposition is an analog of the theorem proved in [3] where the reference projective connection  $S_0$  in the equation (1.6) is assumed to have poles of second order at the poles of  $Q$  and biresidues  $1/4$ .

Finally, we describe the relationship of the Riemann-Hilbert problem 5.1 to the standard Riemann-Hilbert problem arising in shear coordinate parametrization of a  $PSL(2)$  character variety. Such transformation amounts to multiplying by  $\pm J$  in each region on the right of each critical trajectory, in the ‘‘chequered’’ pattern indicated schematically in Fig. 7.




Figure 7: In  $\mathbb{P}SL_2$  the edges carry the jump matrices indicated in Definition 5.1: the branch cuts carry the jump matrix  $\pm J$ ; the blue edges carry the jump matrix  $L$  and the red edges carry the diagonal jump matrices  $Z_e$  depending on parameters. Multiplying the fundamental solution by  $\pm J$  in the shaded areas gives a new Riemann-Hilbert problem where the resulting jumps are as indicated in Fig. 8.

As a result we get the Riemann-Hilbert problem on the graph shown in Fig.8 where the matrix  $A$  is given by (5.8) and

$$S_e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{-\zeta_e} \\ e^{\zeta_e} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.32)$$

Note that  $S_e^{-1} = -S_e$ , so that edges of the graph  $\Sigma_Q$  are in fact un-oriented.

### 5.2.3 Toy example: WKB expansion of monodromy eigenvalues

The Proposition 5.2 has a simple special case, corresponding to

$$\ell = t_j^- = \frac{1}{2}(t_j - t_j^\mu)$$

where  $t_j$  is the small positively-oriented loop around  $z_j^{(1)}$ . Then, near  $z_j$  we have  $Q = r_j^2(d\xi/\xi)^2 + \dots$  such that  $r_j = \text{res}|_{z_j^{(1)}} v$  where  $\xi$  is a local coordinate on  $\mathcal{C}$  near  $z_j$  (and on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  near  $z_j^{(1)}$  and  $z_j^{(2)}$ ). Therefore,  $\int_{t_j^-} v = 2\pi i r_j$ . On the other hand, the monodromy matrix  $M_j$  has eigenvalues  $m_j, m_j^{-1}$  and

$$\rho_{t_j^-} = \log m_j$$




Figure 8: The Riemann–Hilbert problem described in Fig. 6 is transformed to the standard Riemann–Hilbert problem shown here after multiplying the vector of fundamental solutions within each shaded Stokes’ region shown in Fig.7 by  $J$ .

(modulo an addition of an integer multiple of  $\pi i$ ); the eigenvalues are related to  $r_j$  via (1.9):

$$\frac{r_j^2}{\hbar^2} = \frac{\log m_j}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{\log m_j}{2\pi i} - 1 \right) \quad (5.33)$$

and

$$\frac{\log m_j}{2\pi i} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{r_j}{\hbar} \left( 1 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4r_j^2} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (5.34)$$

Using the Taylor series

$$(1+x)^{1/2} = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k} \left( -\frac{x}{4} \right)^{k+1}$$

the relation (5.33) gives the simplest example of WKB expansion of the generalized shear coordinates

$$\frac{\log m_j}{2\pi i} = \frac{r_j}{\hbar} + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{8(k+1)} \binom{2k}{k} \left( \frac{\hbar}{r_j} \right)^{2k+1}. \quad (5.35)$$

Therefore, we get the following WKB expansion of the homological shear coordinate  $\rho_{t_j^-}$  (as before,  $1/2$  disappears since this relation is understood modulo an integer multiple of  $\pi i$ ):

$$\rho_{t_j^-} = \frac{2\pi i r_j}{\hbar} + 2\pi i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{8(k+1)} \binom{2k}{k} \left( \frac{\hbar}{r_j} \right)^{2k+1}$$

Proposition 5.2 implies the following classical formula [25].

**Corollary 5.1** *The residues of differentials  $s_{2k+1}v$  at the poles  $z_k$  are given by*

$$\text{res}_{z_k} v_{2k+1} = \frac{1}{8(k+1)r_j^{2k+1}} \binom{2k}{k} \quad (5.36)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$

### 5.3 WKB expansion of the generating function and Bergman tau-function

Here we discuss the WKB expansion of the generating function  $\mathcal{G}(\hbar)$  (3.12) for the equation (5.9). This generating function is defined by the equation

$$d\mathcal{G}(\hbar) = \mathcal{F}^* \theta_G(\hbar) - \theta_{\text{hom}}(\hbar) \quad (5.37)$$



where the symplectic potentials  $\theta_G$  and  $\theta_{hom}$  are defined by (3.11) and (3.10):

$$\theta_G(\hbar) = \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} (\rho_{b_j^-} d\rho_{a_j^-} - \rho_{a_j^-} d\rho_{b_j^-}) \quad (5.38)$$

where  $\rho_\ell(\hbar)$  is the homological shear coordinate corresponding to a loop  $\ell \in H_-$  and

$$\theta_{hom} = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} (B_k dA_k - A_k dB_k) \quad (5.39)$$

where  $(A_k = \int_{a_k^-} v, B_k = \int_{b_k^-} v)$  are period coordinates on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ .

Proposition 5.2 implies that for any contour  $\ell \in H_-$  the homological shear coordinate  $\rho_\ell$  on the  $PSL(2)$  character variety has the following asymptotic expansion in powers of  $\hbar$  as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  (with slight abuse of notation our conventions imply  $2\zeta_e = \rho_{\ell_e}$ ):

$$\rho_\ell(\hbar) \sim \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_\ell v + \hbar \int_\ell v_1 + \hbar^3 \int_\ell v_3 + \dots \quad (5.40)$$

Denote the periods of the differentials  $v_{2k+1} = s_{2k+1}v$  by

$$A_j^{(2k+1)} = \int_{a_j^-} v_{2k+1}, \quad B_j^{(2k+1)} = \int_{b_j^-} v_{2k+1} \quad (5.41)$$

with  $A_j = A_j^{(-1)}$  and  $B_j = B_j^{(-1)}$ .

According to (5.40) we have

$$\rho_{a_j^-} = \frac{1}{\hbar} A_j + \hbar A_j^{(1)} + O(\hbar^3), \quad \rho_{b_j^-} = \frac{1}{\hbar} B_j + \hbar B_j^{(1)} + O(\hbar^3) \quad (5.42)$$

By plugging (5.42) in (5.37) we see that the coefficient in front of  $\hbar^{-2}$  in the expansion of (5.37) vanishes and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}^* \theta_G(\hbar) - \theta_{hom}(\hbar) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} \sum_{r=-1}^{\infty} \hbar^{2r+2} \sum_{l+k=r} \left( B_j^{(2l+1)} dA_j^{(2k+1)} - A_j^{(2l+1)} dB_j^{(2k+1)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} \left[ \left( B_j dA_j^{(1)} - A_j dB_j^{(1)} \right) + \left( B_j^{(1)} dA_j - A_j^{(1)} dB_j \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \\ &= d \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} \left( B_j A_j^{(1)} - A_j B_j^{(1)} \right) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} \left( B_j^{(1)} dA_j - A_j^{(1)} dB_j \right) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

The second sum in (5.43) is, up to a multiplicative constant, the differential of  $\log \tau_B$  on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$ . Indeed the equations for the tau-function (4.17) can be written as

$$12\pi i d \log \tau_B = \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} \left( B_j^{(1)} dA_j - A_j^{(1)} dB_j \right) \quad (5.44)$$

where  $A_j^{(1)}$  and  $B_j^{(1)}$  are periods of

$$v_1 = s_1 v = \frac{\mathcal{S}_B - \mathcal{S}_v}{2v}$$

Consider set of generators (2.7) of  $H_-$ . For any two abelian differentials  $v, w$  on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  with poles (possibly with residues) at  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  we introduce the pairing

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} \left[ \int_{b_j^-} v \int_{a_j^-} w - \int_{a_j^-} v \int_{b_j^-} w \right]. \quad (5.45)$$

The pairing (5.45) is invariant under a change of generators (2.7) which is symplectic in the  $(a_j^-, b_j^-)$  subspace and does not involve  $t_j^-$ .

Then (5.43) implies

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Riemann surface of genus  $g$ . Let  $Q$  be a quadratic differential on  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $n$  second order poles at  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  and biresidues  $r_1^2, \dots, r_n^2$ .*

*Consider the triangulation  $\Sigma_Q$  (with vertices at  $z_j$ 's) and the dual three-valent graph  $\Sigma_Q^*$  (with vertices at the zeros  $x_j$  of  $Q$ ) defined in App. A.3 and let  $G$  be a spanning tree of  $\Sigma_Q$ . Choose a contour  $\ell$  connecting  $z_1$  with one of the vertices (denoted by  $x_1$ ) of the corresponding triangle and introduce the tree graph  $\widehat{G} = \pi^{-1}(G \cup \ell)$ . Choose the contours representing canonical cycles on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  such that  $\widehat{G}$  lies entirely in the corresponding fundamental polygon of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Using these data (which in particular define the Torelli marking of  $\mathcal{C}$ ) we define the distinguished local coordinates near poles  $z_j$  (4.5), the Bergman tau-function  $\tau_B$  (4.8), the Bergman projective connection  $S_B$ , the homological coordinates  $(A_j, B_j)_{j=1}^{g-}$  (2.12) and the homological shear coordinates  $\rho_{a_j^-}, \rho_{b_j^-}$  (A.19).*

*Consider the differential equation*

$$\phi'' + \left( \frac{1}{2} S_B - \frac{1}{\hbar^2} Q \right) \phi = 0 \quad (5.46)$$

*on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the monodromy map between the moduli space  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}/\hbar]$  and the symplectic leaf  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}(\hbar)]$  of the  $PSL(2)$  character variety, where each  $m_j(\hbar)$  is expressed via  $r_j$  by (5.33).*

*Introduce the symplectic potential  $\theta_{hom}$  (5.39) of the homological symplectic form on  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}/\hbar]$  and symplectic potential  $\theta_G$  (5.38) for the Goldman symplectic form on  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}(\hbar)]$ .*

*The generating function  $\mathcal{G}$  of the monodromy symplectomorphism between  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}/\hbar]$  and  $CV_{g,n}[\mathbf{m}(\hbar)]$  is defined by*

$$d\mathcal{G}(\hbar) = \mathcal{F}^* \theta_G(\hbar) - \theta_{hom}(\hbar)$$

*Under these assumptions the function  $\mathcal{G}$  has the following asymptotics as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$*

$$\mathcal{G} = -12\pi i \log \tau_B + 2\langle v_{-1}, v_1 \rangle + O(\hbar^2) \quad (5.47)$$

*where  $v_{-1} = v$ ,  $v_1 = s_1 v$  are the first two non-vanishing terms (5.13) in the WKB expansion.*

## 6 Open problems

Here we list a few open problems related to this work

- When the Torelli marking of the Riemann surface  $\mathcal{C}$  changes by an  $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$  matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ A & B \end{pmatrix}$ , the Bergman projective connection  $S_B$  also changes; however, the monodromy map remains a symplectomorphism. When  $n = 0$  (holomorphic case) or  $r_j = 0$  (the potentials with simple zeros) the function  $\mathcal{G}$  is gaining an additional term of  $-12\pi i \log \det(C\omega + D)$  [8, 31]. We expect this to hold true for  $r_j \neq 0$ , but don't have a complete proof at the moment. The proof of [8, 31] is based on the identification of the canonical and homological symplectic structures for moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_g$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ .
- The symplectic potential  $\theta_G$  for the Goldman bracket depends on the choice of the triangulation  $\Sigma$  used to define the homological shear coordinates. If  $\Sigma$  changes the potential  $\theta_G$  changes by a combination of Roger's dilogarithms, although in the current setting this change is not known explicitly (although it is known for an alternative choice of  $\theta_G$ , used in [7]).
- We expect that the monodromy symplectomorphism can be extended to the full character variety by introducing variables conjugate to the residues  $r_j$ , similarly to [7], where this scheme was realized for fuchsian systems on a Riemann sphere. Study of the corresponding generating function and its WKB expansion would be an important problem.
- To map the space  $\mathcal{Q}_{g,n}[\mathbf{r}]$  to the cotangent bundle to  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$  and then proceed as in [8, 31] one can potentially follow the following scheme: instead of  $S_B$  one can try to use a singular projective connection with poles at  $z_j$  and biresidues  $r_j^2$ . In this way one could split the potential in the equation (5.46) in a different way,

and assume that  $Q$  has only first order poles at  $z_j$ , and therefore can be identified with cotangent vector to  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ . The problem here is that it's unclear how to make such reference projective connection depend only on a point of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$  (plus some discrete data, like Torelli marking). At the moment we are not aware of a good candidate to such projective connection.

- The remaining terms  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  of the asymptotic expansion in (5.47) satisfy a system of equations involving periods of the other differentials  $v_{2k+1}$ ; an explicit evaluation of these coefficients in terms of theta-functions presents an interesting problem; these coefficients are expected to be related to constructions arising in the theory of topological recursion [15, 13].
- The WKB formalism can be modified by adding a term of the form  $\frac{1}{\hbar}Q_1$  to the potential of equation (5.46); then the canonical cover become  $\hbar$ -dependent. Such approach was taken in [10]. Modification of our framework to this case is an important open problem.
- We expect that the theorem that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a symplectomorphism admits a simpler proof than the one proposed in [8] and adopted here to the case of second order. Such proof should follow the computation of [6] adopted to the higher genus situation; the alternative proof should also be useful in proving the symplectic nature of the extended monodromy map.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank D. Allegretti, T. Bridgeland, A. Nietzke and C. Norton for illuminating discussions. The work of M.B. was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) grant RGPIN-2016-06660. The work of D.K. was supported in part by the NSERC grant RGPIN/3827-2015. This work was also supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1440140 while the authors were in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the Fall 2019 semester *Holomorphic Differentials in Mathematics and Physics*.

## A Topological double covers and Darboux coordinates for $PSL(2)$ Goldman bracket

For an  $n$ -punctured Riemann surface  $\mathcal{C}$  consider the space of  $PSL(2)$  monodromy representation of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{z_j\}_{j=1}^n)$  (the character variety). The  $PSL(2)$  character variety is equipped with Goldman's bracket [19]

$$\{\mathrm{tr}M_\gamma, \mathrm{tr}M_{\tilde{\gamma}}\}_G = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \gamma \circ \tilde{\gamma}} \nu(p) (\mathrm{tr}M_{\gamma_p \tilde{\gamma}} - \mathrm{tr}M_{\gamma_p \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}}) . \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The Goldman bracket (3.7) is degenerate; the Casimirs are the eigenvalues  $m_j$  of the monodromy matrices.

The symplectic form which inverts the Goldman bracket on a symplectic leaf  $m_j = \text{const}$  can be written in terms of logarithmic complex shear coordinates as follows. Consider a graph  $\Sigma$  with  $n$  vertices at poles  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  of  $Q$  which defines a triangulation of the surface  $\mathcal{C}$ . Denote the edges by  $e_1, \dots, e_{6g-6+3n}$  and faces by  $f_1, \dots, f_{4g-4+2n}$ .

To each edge  $e$  of the graph  $\Sigma$  we assign a coordinate  $\zeta_e \in \mathbb{C}$  which (up to a factor  $1/2$ ) is the logarithm of the corresponding "complex shear" coordinate (the simplest example of the general Fock-Goncharov coordinate; in the real-analytic case the shear coordinates were proposed by Thurston, see [36]).

The monodromy matrices are expressed in terms of the edge coordinates as discussed in [20], see also [7] for the description close to the framework of this paper.

The Casimirs  $m_j$  of the Goldman's bracket (3.7) are expressed in terms of coordinates  $\zeta_e$  as follows (since we are dealing with the  $PSL(2)$  representation this relation is understood up to a sign):

$$m_j = \exp \left( \sum_{e \perp z_j} \zeta_e \right) , \quad j = 1, \dots, n \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where the notation  $e \perp z_j$  means that  $e$  is one of the edges of  $\Sigma$  connected to  $z_j$ . Consider a ciliation of the graph  $\Sigma$  i.e. assume that the edges  $e$  attached to a vertex  $z_j$  are ordered counter-clockwise at each vertex [7] starting from the "cilium". Then the following proposition holds (see Th.4.1 and (7.5) of [7] and also [11]):

**Proposition A.1** *The two-form*

$$\Omega_G = \sum_{v \in V(\Sigma)} \sum_{e, e' \perp v, e' \prec e} d\zeta_{e'} \wedge d\zeta_e \quad (\text{A.3})$$

is the inverse of the Poisson bracket (3.7) on each symplectic leaf  $m_j = \text{const}$ . The ordering  $\prec$  of edges attached to a given vertex is determined by the choice of the ciliation; the form (A.3) is independent of ciliation on a symplectic leaf.

In turn, the inversion of the form (A.3) is given by the following proposition (see [18, 12] and Th.7.2 of [7]):

**Proposition A.2** *The Goldman Poisson tensor, which is an inverse of the symplectic form (A.3) on a symplectic leaf  $m_j = \text{const}$ , is given by*

$$\mathbf{P}_G = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{f \in F(\Sigma)} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_{e_1}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_{e_2}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_{e_2}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_{e_3}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_{e_3}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_{e_1}} \right) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  are the edges (counted counter-clockwise) which form the boundary of the face  $f$  of  $\Sigma$ .

The form of the Poisson tensor (A.4) is equivalent to the statement that the variable  $\zeta$ , associated to an edge  $e$ , has non-vanishing Poisson brackets only with four edges forming the boundary of two faces adjacent to  $e$ . Namely, in the notations shown in Figure 9 we have

$$\{\zeta, \zeta_2\}_G = -\frac{1}{4}, \quad \{\zeta, \zeta_1\}_G = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \{\zeta, \zeta_4\}_G = -\frac{1}{4}, \quad \{\zeta, \zeta_3\}_G = \frac{1}{4}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$




Figure 9: Ordering of edges of faces of  $\Sigma_Q$ .

## A.1 Double covers $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma$

According to expressions for the Goldman's Poisson tensor (A.4) and the corresponding symplectic form (A.3) the coordinates  $\zeta_j$  are “almost Darboux” - their Poisson brackets are constant. To describe their linear combinations which give the actual Darboux coordinates one can use the following topological construction. For each triangle  $f_j$ , of the triangulation  $\Sigma$  we fix a point  $x_j$  in the interior of  $f_j$  (here  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and  $N = 4g - 4 + 2n$ ).

Consider the tri-valent graph  $\Sigma^*$  dual to  $\Sigma$  with vertices at the points  $x_j$ . We denote by  $e^*$  the edge of  $\Sigma^*$  which is dual to the edge  $e$  of  $\Sigma$ .

**Definition A.1** *The double cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma$  is defined by gluing two copies of  $\mathcal{C}$  via branch cuts chosen along all edges of  $\Sigma^*$ .*

According to this definition, all points  $x_j$  are the branch points of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma$  with three branch cuts meeting at each  $x_j$ .

Topologically, the branched double cover is uniquely defined by a homomorphism  $h$  of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N, x_0)$  (for some initial point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}$  which does not coincide with any branch point  $x_j$ ) into the symmetric group  $S_2$ . This homomorphism is constructed as follows. Denote the standard generators of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N, x_0)$  by  $\{\alpha_j, \beta_j\}_{j=1}^g$  and  $\{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^N$ ; these generators satisfy the relation

$$\delta_N \dots \delta_1 \prod_{j=1}^g [\alpha_j, \beta_j] = id. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

For any  $\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N, x_0)$  we define

$$h(\gamma) = (1 \ 2)^{r(\gamma, \Sigma)} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where  $(1 \ 2)$  is the generator of  $S_2$  and  $r(\gamma, \Sigma)$  is the number of intersections of  $\gamma$  with edges of  $\Sigma^*$  (Fig.10). Clearly  $r$  is odd for each  $\delta_k$ ; thus  $h(\delta_k) = (1 \ 2)$  and each  $x_k$  is indeed a branch point of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma$ .




Figure 10: A contour  $\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_j\})$  crossing the branch cuts i.e. all edges of  $\Sigma_Q^*$

The genus of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma$  equals to

$$\widehat{g} = g + g_- \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where

$$g_- = 3g - 3 + n. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The projection  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is denoted by  $\pi$  and the natural involution on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma$  by  $\mu$ .

There are two points on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma$  which project to each vertex  $z_j$  of  $\Sigma$ ; we denote them by  $z_j^{(1)}$  and  $z_j^{(2)}$  with

$$z_j^{(2)} = (z_j^{(1)})^\mu.$$

For each (open) face  $f_j^*$  of  $\Sigma^*$  its lift  $\pi^{-1}(f_j^*)$  to  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma$  consists of two disks,  $f_j^{*(1)}$  and  $f_j^{*(2)}$ ; we assume that  $z_j^{(1)} \in f_j^{*(1)}$  and  $z_j^{(2)} \in f_j^{*(2)}$ .

Consider the homology group  $H_1(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma \setminus \{z_j^{(1,2)}\}_{j=1}^n)$  and consider its decomposition into symmetric and skew-symmetric subspaces under the involution  $\mu$ :

$$H_1(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma \setminus \{z_j^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}\}_{j=1}^n) = H_+ \oplus H_-$$

where  $H_+$  can be identified with  $H_1(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{z_j\}_{j=1}^n)$ ; thus  $\dim H_+ = 2g + n - 1$ . For the group  $H_-$  we have

$$\dim H_- = 6g - 6 + 3n = 2g_- + n$$

where  $g_-$  is given by (A.9).

The rank of the intersection pairing on  $H_-$  equals  $6g - 6 + 2n = 2g_-$ .

The next proposition shows that the covering  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma$  does not depend of the choice of the triangulation  $\Sigma$  as long as the sets of  $x_j$  and  $z_j$  remain the same:

**Proposition A.3** Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  be two triangulations of  $\mathcal{C}$  with the same vertices and the same points  $x_j$  chosen within faces. Then the covers  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\Sigma}$  are topologically (and holomorphically) equivalent.

**Proof.** Let us use the fact that any two triangulations,  $\Sigma$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ , can be connected by a sequence of flips of diagonals of quadrilaterals formed by two neighbouring triangles. The corresponding dual graphs are then connected by a sequence of Whitehead moves. Thus it is enough to show that the index  $r(\gamma, \Sigma)$  in (A.7) remains of the same parity under an elementary Whitehead move (recall that we assume that the points  $x_j$  are the same for the two triangulations).

The effect of the Whitehead move involving two triangles containing the points  $x_1, x_2$  is equivalent to “sliding” two branch-cuts along the cut connecting  $x_1, x_2$  as illustrated in Fig. 11. Clearly the indices  $r(\gamma, \Sigma)$  and  $r(\gamma, \tilde{\Sigma})$  have the same parity.  $\blacksquare$




Figure 11: Transformation of cuts after a Whitehead move. In the middle frame we show the isotopy of cuts between the two.

Consider two sets of generators of  $H_-$ . The first set is denoted by

$$\{s_j\}_{j=1}^{2g_-+n} = \{a_i^-, b_i^-\}_{i=1}^{g_-}, \{t_i^-\}_{i=1}^n \quad (\text{A.10})$$

with the intersection index

$$a_i^- \circ b_j^- = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2}, \quad t_i^- \circ s_j = 0 \quad (\text{A.11})$$

for all  $s_j \in H_-$ , so that the intersection index of  $t_i^-$  with all generators equals to 0. The generator  $t_i^-$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}(t_i - t_i'')$  with  $t_i$  being a small positively-oriented loop around  $z_i^{(1)}$ .




Figure 12: Cycles  $l_e$  and their intersection indices:  $l_e \circ l_{e_1} = 1$ ,  $l_e \circ l_{e_2} = -1$ ,  $l_e \circ l_{e_3} = 1$ ,  $l_e \circ l_{e_4} = -1$ .

We denote the second set of generators by  $\{l_{e_j}\}_{j=1}^{2g_-+n}$ ; their number equals to the number of edges of  $\Sigma$ . The generator  $l_e$  goes clockwise around the edge  $e^*$  of  $\Sigma^*$  with the orientation chosen as shown in Fig. 12.

The cycles  $t_k^-$  can be easily expressed in terms of the cycles  $l_e$  corresponding to the face  $f_k^*$ , as can be seen from Fig.13:

$$t_k^- = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in \partial f_k^*} l_e. \quad (\text{A.12})$$




Figure 13: Expressing the cycle  $t_k^- = \frac{1}{2}(t_k + t_k^\mu)$  via  $l_e$  for  $e \in \partial f_k$

It is important to observe that each contour  $l_e$  has non-vanishing intersection index with exactly four neighbours; these intersection indices are seen in Fig. 12:

$$l_e \circ l_{e_1} = 1, \quad l_e \circ l_{e_2} = -1, \quad l_e \circ l_{e_3} = 1, \quad l_e \circ l_{e_4} = -1, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

## A.2 Darboux coordinates for Goldman bracket: homological shear coordinates

Comparing the intersection indices (A.13) with the Poisson brackets (A.5) we get the following lemma:

**Lemma A.1** *The Goldman Poisson brackets (A.5) between the coordinates  $\zeta_e$  can be expressed via the intersection indices of the cycles  $l_e \in H_-$  as follows:*

$$\{\zeta_e, \zeta_{\tilde{e}}\}_G = \frac{1}{4} l_e \circ l_{\tilde{e}}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

This lemma allows us to extend by linearity the notion of the logarithmic complex shear coordinate to any cycle  $\ell \in H_-$  expressed via linear combination of  $l_{e_k}$ .

**Definition A.2** *The homological logarithmic shear coordinate  $\zeta_\ell$  corresponding to a cycle  $\ell \in H_-$  given by*

$$\ell = \sum_{j=1}^{2g_-+n} k_j l_{e_j} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

is defined to be

$$\rho_\ell = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2g_-+n} k_j \zeta_{e_j}. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

The Goldman Poisson brackets between homological shear coordinates corresponding to any two cycles  $\ell, \tilde{\ell} \in H_-$  then follow from (A.14):

$$\{\rho_\ell, \rho_{\tilde{\ell}}\}_G = \ell \circ \tilde{\ell}. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

To construct the Darboux coordinates on the symplectic leaf of the Goldman bracket we express the cycles  $(a_i^-, b_i^-)_{i=1}^{g_-}$  (which form a part of the basis (A.10)) as linear combinations of  $l_{e_j}$ :

$$a_j^- = \sum_{k=1}^{2g_-+n} \alpha_{jk} l_{e_k}; \quad b_j^- = \sum_{k=1}^{2g_-+n} \beta_{jk} l_{e_k} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

where  $\alpha_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ .

Then, taking also (A.12) into account, we arrive at the following proposition:

**Proposition A.4** *The following linear combinations of shear coordinates:*

$$\rho_{a_j^-} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2g_-+n} \alpha_{jk} \zeta_{e_k}; \quad \rho_{b_j^-} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2g_-+n} \beta_{jk} \zeta_{e_k}; \quad \rho_{t_k^-} = \sum_{e \in \partial f_k} \zeta_e \quad (\text{A.19})$$

have the Poisson brackets

$$\{\rho_{a_j^-}, \rho_{b_k^-}\}_G = \frac{\delta_{jk}}{2}; \quad \{\rho_{a_j^-}, \rho_{a_k^-}\}_G = \{\rho_{b_j^-}, \rho_{b_k^-}\}_G = 0 \quad (\text{A.20})$$

while  $\rho_{t_j^-}$  lie in the center of the Poisson algebra.

The coordinates  $\rho_{t_j^-}$  are related to the monodromy eigenvalue as follows:

$$\rho_{t_j^-} = \log m_j \quad (\text{A.21})$$

(as usual, in the  $PSL(2)$  case this equality is understood moduli an integer multiple of  $\pi i$ ).

The corresponding symplectic form is therefore given by the following proposition (our assumption in this paper is that the Poisson structure  $\{p, q\} = 1$  corresponds to the symplectic form  $dp \wedge dq$ ).

**Proposition A.5** *The  $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$  Goldman's symplectic form on a leaf  $\{\rho_{t_j^-} = \text{const}\}_{j=1}^{g_-}$  is given by*

$$\Omega_G = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} d\rho_{a_j^-} \wedge d\rho_{b_j^-}. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

The form (A.22) is invariant if a cycle  $a_j^-$  or  $b_j^-$  is changed by a linear combination of the cycles  $t_j^-$ ; under such transformation the respective coordinates  $\rho_{a_j^-}$  and  $\rho_{b_j^-}$  change by a linear combination of  $\log m_k$  and the differentials  $d\rho_{a_j^-}$  and  $d\rho_{b_j^-}$  do not change on the symplectic leaf.

**Definition A.3** *Let the homological shear coordinates  $(\rho_{a_j^-}, \rho_{b_j^-})$  be defined as discussed above. Then the symplectic potential of the form  $-\Omega_G$  on a symplectic leaf*

$$\{\rho_{t_i^-} = \text{const}\}_{i=1}^n$$

(or, equivalently,  $\{m_i = \text{const}\}_{i=1}^n$ ) is defined by

$$\theta_G = \sum_{j=1}^{g_-} (\rho_{b_j^-} d\rho_{a_j^-} - \rho_{a_j^-} d\rho_{b_j^-}) \quad (\text{A.23})$$

such that  $d\theta_G = -\Omega_G$ .

### A.3 Graphs $\Sigma_Q$ and $\Sigma_Q^*$ defined by horizontal trajectories of a quadratic differential

The construction of the previous section was purely topological; neither the conformal structure of the base curve  $\mathcal{C}$  nor its cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_\Sigma$  play any role.

Here we specify the choice of the triangulation  $\Sigma$  using a Gaiotto-Moore-Nietzke differential  $Q$ . This triangulation we shall denote by  $\Sigma_Q$  and the dual graph by  $\Sigma_Q^*$  (see for details [23, 24, 3, 9]).

Denote the poles of  $Q$  by  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ ; they are the vertices of  $\Sigma_Q$ . The zeros of  $Q$  are denoted by  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  with  $N = 4g - 4 + 2n$ ; they are the vertices of  $\Sigma_Q^*$ .

Topologically, the positions of the edges of  $\Sigma_Q$  and  $\Sigma_Q^*$  are determined by the horizontal foliation associated to  $Q$  as defined below.

The canonical cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_Q$  is defined complex-analytically, by the equation (2.1):

$$v^2 = Q. \quad (\text{A.24})$$

Now consider the Abelian integral of the third kind  $v$  on  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ :

$$z(x) = \int_{x_1}^x v \quad (\text{A.25})$$



where the initial point of integration can be chosen arbitrarily, but we prefer to choose it to coincide with one the "first" zero  $x_1$ .

Consider the foliation of  $\mathcal{C}$  (and also of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_Q$ ) by horizontal trajectories of  $v$ :

$$\text{Im} \int_{x_1}^x v = \text{const} . \quad (\text{A.26})$$

On the cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_Q$  this foliation can be oriented in the direction of increase of  $\text{Re} \int_{x_1}^x v$ ; since the trajectories on two different sheets of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_Q$  have different orientation, the projection of such oriented foliation to  $\mathcal{C}$  is non-oriented.

Assume that  $Q$  is generic i.e it does not have any horizontal trajectory connecting two zeros (this is the definition of the "Gaiotto-Moore-Nietzke differential" [20]). In other words,  $Q$  is free from "saddle connections".

Then each horizontal trajectory starting at a zero  $x_j$  of  $Q$  ends at one of the poles  $z_k$ . Since three horizontal trajectories meet at each zero, this determines three vertices of the triangle (possibly, self-folded), therefore, defining the triangulation  $\Sigma_Q$ . The dual graph with vertices at  $x_j$  is denoted by  $\Sigma_Q^*$ , see Fig.14.

Using the graph  $\Sigma_Q^*$  one defines a two-sheeted branch covering  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\Sigma_Q}$  (as in Section A.1) by assuming that all edges of  $\Sigma_Q^*$  are branch cuts:




Figure 14: Horizontal critical trajectories (blue lines ) connect poles  $z_j$  with zeros  $x_k$  of  $Q$  and form the critical graph  $\Gamma_Q$ . Black edges connecting poles  $z_j$  form the graph  $\Sigma_Q$  (the triangulation of  $\mathcal{C}$ ) while the zigzag curves connect zeros  $x_j$  and form the dual tri-valent graph  $\Sigma_Q^*$ . All edges of  $\Sigma_Q^*$  are the branch cuts of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\Sigma_Q}$ .

**Definition A.4** Let us denote by  $\widehat{\Sigma}_Q^*$  the lift of the graph  $\Sigma_Q^*$  from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Then each face of  $\Sigma_Q^*$  is lifted to the union of two faces of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_Q^*$ . The first sheet  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{(1)}$  of the canonical cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is defined to be the union of faces of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_Q^*$  which contain the poles  $z_j^{(1)}$  with residues  $r_j$ .

Now we need to make the following two technical observations

**Remark A.1** In the distinguished coordinate  $\xi_j(x) := \exp[1/r_j \int_{x_1}^x v]$  (4.5) near  $z_j^{(1)}$  the differential  $v$  writes  $v = r_j d\xi_j/\xi_j$ . Then any horizontal trajectory going to  $z_j^{(1)}$  satisfies  $\text{Im}(r_j \ln(\xi_j)) = J = \text{constant}$ . Eliminating  $\theta = \arg \xi_j$  we thus obtain that, along the horizontal trajectory, the real part satisfies  $\text{Re}(r_j \ln(\xi_j)) = \frac{|r_j|^2}{\text{Re} r_j} \ln |\xi_j| - \frac{\text{Im} r_j}{\text{Re} r_j} J$ . Recall that  $r_j$  are chosen such that  $\text{Re} r_j < 0$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Re} \int_{x_1}^x v = \text{Re}(r_j \ln(\xi_j)) \nearrow +\infty$$

i.e. it is increasing as the trajectory approaches the pole  $z_j^{(1)}$ . Obviously,  $\operatorname{Re} \int_{x_1}^x v$  decreases along any horizontal trajectory approaching  $z_j^{(2)}$ .

**Remark A.2** Consider a zero  $x_j$  of  $Q$  and any two of the three critical trajectories on the first sheet  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^{(1)}$  going from  $x_j$  towards poles  $z_k^{(1)}$  and  $z_l^{(1)}$ . Then the edge of  $\Sigma_Q^*$  situated between these two critical trajectories is a branch cut of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ . This observation follows from an elementary local analysis. Namely, in terms of the distinguished local coordinate  $\zeta_j = [\int_{x_j}^x v]^{2/3}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  we have  $\operatorname{Re} \int_{x_j}^x v = \operatorname{Re} (\zeta_j^{3/2})$ . The critical trajectories are defined by  $\operatorname{Im} (\zeta_j^{3/2}) = 0$ ; since we assumed that  $\operatorname{Re} (\zeta_j^{3/2}) > 0$  on each of the three trajectories meeting at  $x_j$  (and, moreover, this real part increases along each trajectory) one needs to place a branch cut between any two of them.

The previous two remarks immediately imply the following proposition.

**Proposition A.6** *The covering of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\Sigma_Q}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  obtained by assuming that all the edges of  $\Sigma_Q^*$  are branch cuts (as in Section A.1) is biholomorphically equivalent to the canonical covering  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_Q$  defined by (A.24).*

Notice that one can construct many coverings of  $\mathcal{C}$  choosing various configurations of cuts along the edges of  $\Sigma_Q^*$ . In general, the number of inequivalent coverings of  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $4g - 4 + 2n$  branch points equals to  $2^{2g}$ . Indeed, each covering corresponds to a group homomorphism  $\mathbf{h}$  from  $\pi_1(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_j\}_{j=1}^{4g-4+2n})$  to  $S_2$ . Choosing the generators of the fundamental group according to (A.6) we see that for any  $\delta_j$  we have  $\mathbf{h}(\delta_j) = (1 \ 2)$ . The permutations  $\mathbf{h}(\alpha_j)$  and  $\mathbf{h}(\beta_j)$  can be arbitrary; therefore, one has  $2^{2g}$  inequivalent coverings. All of these coverings can be realized by various choices of branch cuts along the edges of  $\Sigma_Q^*$  since the number of edges of  $\Sigma_Q^*$  which is equal to  $6g - 6 + 3n$  exceeds the number of generators of the above fundamental group, which is equal to  $6g + 2n - 4$ .

On the other hand, the set of all branch coverings obtained by various choices of branch cuts along edges of  $\Sigma_Q^*$  are split into equivalence classes. Each equivalence class contains  $2^{n-1}$  coverings which can be obtained as follows: take a given configuration of branch cuts and "interchange" some faces of  $\Sigma_Q^*$  between the 1st and second sheet.

However, choosing all edges of  $\Sigma_Q$  to be branch cuts, and combining the proposition (A.6) with proposition (A.3) we get the following statement:

**Proposition A.7** *For any tri-valent graph  $\Sigma^*$  with vertices at  $x_j$ 's the branch cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_{\Sigma}$  obtained by assuming that all edges of  $\Sigma^*$  are branch cuts, is biholomorphically equivalent to the canonical cover  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  defined by  $v^2 = Q$ .*

**Example A.1** Let  $g = n = 1$ . In this case there are two zeros,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  of  $Q$  and  $\widehat{g} = 4g - 3 + n = 2$ . A special case of the differential  $Q$  is given by

$$Q = \wp(z)dz^2$$

where  $\wp$  is the Weierstraß- $\wp$  function.

Within the fundamental rectangle the function  $\wp$  has two simple zeroes  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , the graph  $\Sigma_Q^*$  has three edges and one face. Therefore, there exist *four inequivalent coverings* obtained by choosing either one of the edges to be a branch cut, or all three edges simultaneously. It is only the latter covering which is holomorphically equivalent to the canonical covering  $v^2 = Q$ .

## References

- [1] M.Abramovitz, I.A.Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical functions*, Applies Mth.Series (1964)
- [2] D. Allegretti, T.Bridgeland, *The monodromy of meromorphic projective structures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **373** 6321-6367 (2020)
- [3] D. Allegretti, *Voros symbols as cluster coordinates*, J. Topol. **12**, 1031-1068 (2019)
- [4] A.Beilinson, V.Drinfeld, *Opers*, arXiv:math/0501398
- [5] M. Bertola, D. Korotkin, *Hodge and Prym tau functions, Jenkins-Strebel differentials and combinatorial model of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$* , Comm.Math.Phys. **378**, 1279 - 1341 (2020)

- [6] M. Bertola, D. Korotkin, *Tau-function and monodromy symplectomorphism*, arXiv:1910.03370
- [7] M. Bertola, D. Korotkin, *Extended Goldman symplectic structure in Fock-Goncharov coordinates*, arXiv/1910.06744
- [8] M. Bertola, D. Korotkin, C. Norton, *Symplectic geometry of the moduli space of projective structures in homological coordinates*, Invent.Math. **210:3** , 759–814 (2017)
- [9] T. Bridgeland, I. Smith, *Quadratic differentials as stability conditions*, Publ. Math. de l’IHES, **121** Issue 1, pp 155–278 (2015)
- [10] T. Bridgeland, *Riemann-Hilbert problems from Donaldson-Thomas theory*, Invent. Math. **216** 69–124 (2019)
- [11] Chekhov, L., *Symplectic Structures on Teichmüller Spaces  $T_{g,s,n}$  and Cluster Algebras*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., **309**, 87-96 (2020)
- [12] Chekhov, L., *Lecture Notes on Quantum Teichmüller Theory*, arXiv:0710.2051
- [13] L.Chekhov, B.Eynard, N.Orantin, *Free energy topological expansion for the 2-matrix model*, JHEP **0612** 053 (2006)
- [14] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides and X. Zhou, *Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., **52** (1999), 1335-1425.
- [15] B.Eynard, N.Orantin, *Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion*, Comm. in Number Theory and Physics, **1**, No. 2, p. 347-452 (2007)
- [16] J. D. Fay, *Theta-functions on Riemann surfaces*, Lect.Notes in Math., **352** Springer (1973)
- [17] J. D. Fay, *Kernel functions, analytic torsion and moduli spaces*, Memoirs of AMS, No.464 (1992)
- [18] Fock, V.V., *Dual Teichmüller spaces*, arXiv:dg-ga/9702018
- [19] W. Goldman, *The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces*, Adv. in Math. **54**, 200-225 (1984)
- [20] D.Gaiotto, G.Moore, A.Neitzke, *Wall-crossing, Hitchin Systems, and the WKB Approximation* arXiv:0807.4723
- [21] D.Gaiotto, J.Teschner, *Irregular singularities in Liouville theory* , JHEP **2012** (2012)
- [22] N. S. Hawley, M. Schiffer, *Half-order differentials on Riemann surfaces*, Acta Math. **115** 199-236 (1966)
- [23] K.Iwaki, T.Nakanishi, *Exact WKB analysis and cluster algebras*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47** (2014) 474009
- [24] K.Iwaki, T.Nakanishi, *Exact WKB analysis and cluster algebras II: Simple poles, orbifold points, and generalized cluster algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2016** 4375-4417 (2016)
- [25] T.Kawai, Y.Takei, *Algebraic analysis of Singular perturbation theory*, Transl. of Math. Monographs, vol.227, AMS (2005)
- [26] C. Kalla, D. Korotkin, *Baker-Akhiezer kernel and tau-functions on moduli spaces of meromorphic differentials*, Commun. Math.Physics. **331** Iss. 3 1191-1235 (2014)
- [27] Knizhnik V. G., *Analytic fields on Riemann surfaces II*, Comm. Math. Phys. **112** 567–590 (1987)
- [28] Knizhnik V.G., *Multiloop amplitudes in the theory of quantum strings and complex geometry*, Sov. Phys. Uspekhi **32** (11), 945–971 (1989)
- [29] M. Kontsevich, *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function*, Comm. Math. Phys. **147** no. 1, 1–23 (1992)

- [30] A.Kokotov, D.Korotkin, *Tau-functions on spaces of Abelian differentials and higher genus generalization of Ray-Singer formula*, J. Diff. Geom. **82** 35-100 (2009)
- [31] D. Korotkin, *Periods of meromorphic quadratic differentials and Goldman bracket*, in "Topological recursion and its influence in analysis, geometry, and topology" : 2016 AMS von Neumann Symposium, AMS Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, ed. by M.L.Chiu-Chu and M.Mulase, p. 491-516
- [32] D. Korotkin, "Bergman tau function: from Einstein equations and Dubrovin-Frobenius manifolds to geometry of moduli spaces", in "Integrable Systems and Algebraic Geometry", ed. by R.Donagi and T.Shaska, LMS Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, in press, arXiv:1812.03514
- [33] D. Korotkin, P. Zograf, *Tau function and the Prym class*, Contemporary Mathematics, **593** 241-261 (2013)
- [34] N. Nekrasov, A. Rosly, S. Shatashvili, *Darboux coordinates, Yang-Yang functional, and gauge theory*, arXiv: 1103.3919 [hep-th]
- [35] D.Quillen, *Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators over a Riemann surface*, Func. Anal. and Its Appl. **19** 31-34 (1985)
- [36] W. P.Thurston, *The Geometry and Topology of 3-Manifolds*, Princeton University Notes (1980).
- [37] Wasow, W., *Asymptotic Expansions for Ordinary Differential Equations*, 1987