

**ROGERS' MEAN VALUE THEOREM FOR S -ARITHMETIC
SIEGEL TRANSFORMS AND APPLICATIONS TO THE
GEOMETRY OF NUMBERS**

JIYOUNG HAN

ABSTRACT. We prove higher moment formulas for Siegel transforms defined over the space of unimodular S -lattices in \mathbb{Q}_S^d , $d \geq 3$, where in the real case, the formulas are introduced by Rogers [19]. As applications, we obtain the random statements of Gauss circle problem for any star-shaped sets in \mathbb{Q}_S^d centered at the origin and of the effective Oppenheim conjecture for S -arithmetic quadratic forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$. Consider the homogeneous space G/Γ , which is identified with the space of unimodular lattices Λ in \mathbb{R}^d . For any bounded and compactly supported function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, one can define the Siegel transform \tilde{f} of f by

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{f}(\Lambda) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda - \{O\}} f(\mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \Lambda \in G/\Gamma,$$

where $O = (0, \dots, 0)$ is the origin in \mathbb{R}^d .

There is the famous integral formula by Siegel [27];

$$\int_{G/\Gamma} \tilde{f}(g\mathbb{Z}^d) dg = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v},$$

where dg is the normalized G -invariant measure of G/Γ and $d\mathbf{v}$ is the usual Lebesgue measure of \mathbb{R}^d .

In 1955, Rogers [19] provided the k -th moment formulas for the Siegel transform, where $2 \leq k \leq d - 1$. In particular, the second moment formula is as follow ([19, Theorem 4]. See also [21, Lemma 4]):

$$\int_{\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z})} \tilde{f}(g\mathbb{Z}^d)^2 dg = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} \right)^2 + \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(k, q) = 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(k\mathbf{v}) f(q\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}.$$

Let us briefly explain the core property that Rogers used to compute moment formula as follows. Consider the diagonal flow

$$a_t = \mathrm{diag}(e^{-t/(d-1)}, \dots, e^{-t/(d-1)}, e^t)$$

in G and

$$H^- = \{g \in G : a_t^{-1} g a_t \rightarrow I_d \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

For $\phi : G/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, define

$$M(\phi) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{H^-/(H^- \cap \Gamma)} \phi(a_t h \Gamma) dh,$$

where dh is the normalized Haar measure on $H^-/(H^- \cap \Gamma)$. Let L_g be the left multiplication by $g \in G$. If $M(\phi \circ L_g) = M(\phi)$ for almost all $g \in G$, then

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{G/\Gamma} \phi dg = M(\phi).$$

He deduced (1.2) using the property that

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{G/\Gamma} \phi(gg'\Gamma) dg = \int_{G/\Gamma} \phi(g\Gamma) dg, \quad \forall g' \in G$$

(see [19, Page 256]) which is not true in general. It is unknown that the above equality (1.3) is true even for $\phi = \tilde{f}^k$, where f is a non-negative, bounded and compactly supported function on \mathbb{R}^d .

The limit equation (1.2) for bounded functions is followed from the special case of Theorem 1.4 in [26] (see [12] for the S -arithmetic space). However, it is difficult to extend (1.2) to unbounded functions ϕ such as powers \tilde{f}^k of the Siegel transform.

The first goal of this paper is to give an alternative proof of the k -th moment formulas for the Siegel transform in more general setting- for the S -arithmetic space \mathbb{Q}_S^d . Note that the simplest S -arithmetic space is the real space \mathbb{R}^d .

Based on these integral formulas, it is possible to approach many number-theoretic problems related to lattices in \mathbb{R}^d , using homogeneous dynamics. For instance, for a given nondegenerate isotropic irrational quadratic form q on \mathbb{R}^d , Dani and Margulis [7] and Eskin, Margulis and Mozes [8] used the Siegel transform to obtain the asymptotic limit of the counting function

$$\mathbf{N}(q, a, b, T) = \# \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : a < q(\mathbf{v}) < b, \|\mathbf{v}\| < T \right\}.$$

Using the Rogers' second moment theorem, Athreya and Margulis [2] showed that there is a positive $\delta > 0$ such that for almost all isotropic quadratic form q of rank at least 3, the difference between $\mathbf{N}(q, a, b, T)$ and its asymptotic limit is $o(T^{d-2-\delta})$. Kelmer and Yu [18] obtained similar results for specific homogeneous polynomials of arbitrary even degree and Bandi, Ghosh and the author [3] generalized these results for pairs of a specific homogeneous polynomial of even degree and a linear map. Ghosh, Kelmer and Yu [11] extended the first and the second moment theorems for congruence subgroups and obtained the result about the error term between $\mathbf{N}(q_\xi, a, b, T)$ and its asymptotic limit for generic inhomogeneous quadratic forms q_ξ , for any fixed shift $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. See also [22] and [9] for systems of linear maps on a quadratic surface, and [14] for the S -arithmetic set-up.

There are also applications to problems related to Diophantine approximation. Kleinbock and Margulis [16] used the Siegel transform (defined over a subset of primitive vectors) to obtain the Borel-Cantelli family, which is connected to the study of Diophantine approximation of systems of m linear forms in n variables. Björklund and Gorodnik [4, 5] showed central

limit theorems for the lattice counting problem and the matrix version of Diophantine approximation, respectively. See also [6] for the central limit theorem of Diophantine approximation for another generalization to the case of higher dimension.

The second goal of this paper is that as applications, combining with the results of [1] and [14], we show the random statement of effective version of Oppenheim conjecture for S -arithmetic quadratic forms and of the Gauss circle problem for arbitrary star-shaped sets centered at the origin, for the S -arithmetic set-up. These results are generalizations of the works of Athreya and Margulis [2] and the special case of the result of Schmidt [24].

Organization. In Section 2, we state our main theorems and applications which are proved in Section 3 and Section 5. In Section 3, to prove higher moment formulas, we introduce the α -function defined on the space of unimodular lattices (see the definition (3.3)) which is useful to prove the integrability of unbounded functions similar to the Siegel transform (see the definition (3.5)). In Section 4, we first give an example which explains why Lemma 2.1 in [2] fails in the S -arithmetic space, when $S \neq \{\infty\}$. And then for applications in Section 5, we provide examples of families of bounded measurable sets in \mathbb{Q}_S^d , which satisfy the statement of Lemma 2.1 in [2].

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Seonhee Lim and Anish Ghosh for valuable advices and discussion. I am also grateful to Jayadev Athreya, Keivan Mallahi-Karai, Seungki Kim, Dmitry Kleinbock and Mishel Skenderi. This paper is supported by the Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under project No. SSTF-BA1601-03 and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government under project No. 0409-20200150.

2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

S -arithmetic space. Let S be a union of $\{\infty\}$ and a finite set $S_f = \{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ of primes. If we refer $p \in S$, it includes the case that $p = \infty$. Consider the completion field \mathbb{Q}_p of \mathbb{Q} with respect to the p -adic norm $|\cdot|_p$ and let $\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \mathbb{R}$. Define $\mathbb{Q}_S = \prod_{p \in S} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and

$$\mathbb{Z}_S = \{(z, \dots, z) \in \mathbb{Q}_S : z \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } |z|_v \leq 1, \forall v \notin S\}.$$

The set \mathbb{Z}_S is called *the ring of S -integers*. Note that if $S = \{\infty\}$, then $\mathbb{Q}_S = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_S = \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us assign the measure $\mu = \prod_{p \in S} \mu_p$ on \mathbb{Q}_S , where μ_p is the Haar measure on \mathbb{Q}_p , $p \in S$. If $p < \infty$, we normalize μ_p so that $\mu_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1$, where $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x|_p \leq 1\}$. For simplicity, we denote the product measure μ^d of \mathbb{Q}_S^d by μ and we regard μ as the volume of \mathbb{Q}_S^d .

We will choose the supremum norm on \mathbb{R}^d instead of the usual Euclidean norm, and define the norm $\|\cdot\|_S$ on \mathbb{Q}_S^d by

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_S = \max_{p \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_p\|_p,$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_p)_{p \in S}$.

A free \mathbb{Z}_S -module Λ of rank d in \mathbb{Q}_S^d is a uniform lattice subgroup of the additive group \mathbb{Q}_S^d , that is, \mathbb{Q}_S^d/Λ is compact and hence has a finite \mathbb{Q}_S^d -invariant measure. We will call Λ an S -lattice of \mathbb{Q}_S^d .

Define $\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)$ by

$$\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S) = \left\{ \mathbf{g} = (g_p)_{p \in S} \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S) : \begin{array}{l} \det g_\infty = 1 \text{ and} \\ |\det g_p|_p = 1, p \in S_f. \end{array} \right\}$$

and $\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S) = \mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S) \cap \mathfrak{M}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)$. Here, $\mathfrak{M}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S) = \mathfrak{M}_{d,d}(\mathbb{Z}_S)$, where $\mathfrak{M}_{m,n}(K)$ is the space of $m \times n$ matrices whose entries are in K . As $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$ is identified with the space of unimodular lattices in \mathbb{R}^d , $\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)/\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)$ is identified with the space of unimodular S -lattices in \mathbb{Q}_S^d by the map

$$\mathbf{g} \in \mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S) \mapsto \mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d \subset \mathbb{Q}_S^d.$$

Note that $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)/\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)$, where $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S) = \prod_{p \in S} \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, is properly embedded to $\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)/\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)$.

Remark 2.1. Following [14], we will use sans serif typestyle for parameters of an S -arithmetic space. In particular, we will denote by $\mathbb{T} = (T_\infty, T_{p_1}, \dots, T_{p_s})$ a radius vector. It is an element of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{s+1}$. We always regard that there is a relation between \mathbb{T} and $\mathbf{t} = (t_p)_{p \in S}$ as follows: $T_\infty = e^{t_\infty}$ and $T_p = p^{t_p}$, $p \in S_f$. We say that $\mathbb{T} = (T_p)_{p \in S} \succeq \mathbb{T}' = (T'_p)_{p \in S}$ if $T_p \geq T'_p$, $\forall p \in S$ and $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \infty$ if $T_p \rightarrow \infty$, $\forall p \in S$. Notions $\mathbf{t} \succeq \mathbf{t}'$ and $\mathbf{t} \rightarrow \infty$ are defined in the similar way.

Remark 2.2. Throughout the paper, if we denote by \mathbf{G}/Γ , it is either $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)$ and $\Gamma = \mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)$ or $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)$ or $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)$. Let us denote by $m = m_{\mathbf{G}}$ either a Haar measure on a group \mathbf{G} or a \mathbf{G} -invariant probability measure on \mathbf{G}/Γ . For simplicity, we will use $d\mathbf{v}$ and $d\mathbf{g}$ instead of $d\mu(\mathbf{v})$ and $dm(\mathbf{g})$, respectively.

Main results. For a bounded and compactly supported function f on \mathbb{Q}_S^d , as in (1.1), define the Siegel transform \tilde{f} on \mathbf{G}/Γ by

$$\tilde{f}(\Lambda) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \Lambda - \{O\}} f(\mathbf{v}),$$

where $O = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the origin of \mathbb{Q}_S^d .

As in the real case, we have the following integral formula for the \S -arithmetic Siegel transform ([14, Proposition 3.11]).

Proposition 2.3. *Let $d \geq 2$. For a continuous bounded function $f : \mathbb{Q}_S^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ of compact support, it follows that*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)/\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)} \tilde{f} d\mathbf{g} = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} f d\mathbf{v}.$$

In other words, the \mathcal{L}^1 -norm of \tilde{f} defined on $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)/\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)$ is equal to the \mathcal{L}^1 -norm of f defined on \mathbb{Q}_S^d .

We want to extend this integral formula to the case of the \mathcal{L}^k -norm of \tilde{f} , where $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ and $\mathbf{G}/\Gamma = \mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)/\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)$ or $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)/\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)$, for the function f as in Proposition 2.3.

Fix a positive integer $k \leq d$. Let us define the transform $\mathcal{S}_k(\cdot)$ from the space of bounded functions f on $(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^k$ of compact support to the space of functions on \mathbf{G}/Γ by

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{S}_k(f)(\Lambda) = \sum_{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \in \Lambda} f(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k), \quad \forall \Lambda \in \mathbf{G}/\Gamma.$$

Notice that for $f : \mathbb{Q}_S^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, the summation $\mathcal{S}_k(f^{(k)})$, where $f^{(k)}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) := f(\mathbf{v}_1) \cdots f(\mathbf{v}_k)$, is taken over the set Λ^k , whereas \tilde{f}^k is taken over $(\Lambda - \{O\})^k$. As you can see in Section 3, one can easily obtain the formula of $\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \tilde{f}^k dg$ from the proof of Theorem 2.5 (see Remark 2.7).

For the computation of the mean value of $\mathcal{S}_k(f)$ over \mathbf{G}/Γ , we need some notations. We say that a matrix $D \in \mathfrak{M}_{r,k}(\mathbb{Z}_S)$ is *reduced* if there is an integer $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $tD \in \mathfrak{M}_{r,k}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\gcd\{(tD)_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq k\} = 1$.

Notation 2.4. (1) For a set $S = \{\infty, p_1, \dots, p_s\}$, denote

$$\mathbb{N}_S = \{q \in \mathbb{N} : \gcd(q, p_1 \cdots p_s) = 1\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_S = \{p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_s^{m_s} : m_1, \dots, m_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$$

so that $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}_S \cdot \mathbb{P}_S$. If $S = \{\infty\}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_S = \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$\mathbb{N}_S = \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}_S = \{1\}.$$

(2) For $q \in \mathbb{N}_S$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, define $\mathfrak{D}_{r,q}$ by the set of reduced matrices D in $\mathfrak{M}_{r,k}(\mathbb{Z}_S)$ for which $\exists 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r \leq k$ such that

$$(i) \quad ([D]^{j_1}, [D]^{j_2}, \dots, [D]^{j_r}) = q\mathbf{I}_r;$$

$$(ii) \quad D_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } 1 \leq j < j_i,$$

where $[D]^j$ is the j -th column of D .

(3) For a positive q and an $r \times k$ matrix D , let $N(D, q)$ be the number of vectors $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}^r$ for which

$$\frac{1}{q} \mathbf{x}D \in \mathbb{Z}_S^k.$$

Theorem 2.5. Let $d \geq 2$ and $1 \leq k \leq d-1$. Let $f : (\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a continuous bounded function of compact support and $\mathcal{S}_k(f)$ be defined as in (2.2). Then $\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \mathcal{S}_k(f) dg < \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \mathcal{S}_k(f) dg &= f(O, \dots, O) + \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^k} f(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) d\mathbf{v}_1 \cdots d\mathbf{v}_k \\ &+ \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \sum_{D \in \mathfrak{D}_{r,q}} \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r} f\left(\frac{1}{q}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r)D\right) d\mathbf{v}_1 \cdots d\mathbf{v}_r. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.6. In the case that $S = \{\infty\}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_S = \mathbb{R}$, the formula in Theorem 2.5 is the same formula in Theorem 4 in [19] since we can compute $N(D, q) = e_1 \cdots e_r$, where $e_i = \gcd(\varepsilon_i, q)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) when $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r$ are the elementary divisors of the matrix D .

Remark 2.7. Let $\mathfrak{D}'_{r,q}$ be the subset of $\mathfrak{D}_{r,q}$ in Notation 2.4 consisting of matrices D for which all columns of D are nontrivial. Then for any $d \geq 2$ and $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, for any continuous bounded function $f : \mathbb{Q}_S^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ of compact support, it follows that $\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \tilde{f}^2 d\mathbf{g} < \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \tilde{f}^k d\mathbf{g} &= \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^k} f(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) d\mathbf{v}_1 \cdots d\mathbf{v}_k \\ &+ \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \sum_{D \in \mathfrak{D}'_{r,q}} \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r} f\left(\frac{1}{q}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) D\right) d\mathbf{v}_1 \cdots d\mathbf{v}_r. \end{aligned}$$

As a corollary, we obtain the second moment theorem for Siegel transforms.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $d \geq 3$. For $f : \mathbb{Q}_S^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a continuous bounded function of compact support, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \tilde{f}^2 d\mathbf{g} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} f d\mathbf{v} \right)^2 + \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{P}_S} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(q\mathbf{p}, w) = 1}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} f(q\mathbf{v}) f\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{v}\right) d\mathbf{v}.$$

Applications. For the rest of this section, let us assume that the set S_f contains only finitely many odd primes. We first introduce two versions of the effective Oppenheim conjecture for S -arithmetic isotropic quadratic forms. A quadratic form \mathbf{q} on \mathbb{Q}_S^d is a collection of quadratic forms q_p , which is defined on \mathbb{Q}_p^d for each $p \in S$. We say that $\mathbf{q} = \{q_p\}_{p \in S}$ is *nondegenerate* or *isotropic* if each q_p , $p \in S$, is nondegenerate or isotropic, respectively.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $d \geq 3$. Fix $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}_S$. For every $\delta > 0$, for a.e. nondegenerate isotropic quadratic form \mathbf{q} , there are constants $c_{\mathbf{q}}$, $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\mathbf{q}, \xi) > 0$ such that for any positive $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, there is a nonzero $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_S^d$ for which*

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_S \leq c_{\mathbf{q}} \varepsilon^{-(\frac{1}{d-2} + \delta)} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{v}) - \xi|_S < \varepsilon.$$

For $d = 3$ and $S = \{\infty\}$, Ghosh and Kelmer [10] showed the similar result with a different setting: for positive $\eta < 1$, consider a sequence $\{(N(k), \delta(k))\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairs of positive numbers such that $\max(\frac{N(k)}{k^\eta \delta(k)^2}, \frac{N(k)^{3/2}}{k^\eta \delta(k)})$ goes to zero as k goes to infinity. They showed that for almost every isotropic quadratic form q of rank 3,

$$\max_{\xi \leq N(k)} \min_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \|\mathbf{v}\| \leq ck}} |\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{v}) - \xi| \leq \delta(k)$$

for any sufficiently large k .

For a quadratic form \mathbf{q} on \mathbb{Q}_S^d , a bounded subset $\mathbf{l} \subset \mathbb{Q}_S$ and a radius parameter $\mathbf{T} = (T_p)_{p \in S}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{T}) &= \# \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_S^d : \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathbf{l} \text{ and } \|\mathbf{v}\|_p < T_p, p \in S \right\} \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{T}) &= \mu \left(\left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}_S^d : \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathbf{l} \text{ and } \|\mathbf{v}\|_p < T_p, p \in S \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.10. *Let $d \geq 3$. There exists $\delta_0 = \delta_0(d) > 0$ such that for any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and for any S -interval I , it satisfies that*

$$\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{q}, I, \mathbb{T}) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{q}, I, \mathbb{T}) + o_{\mathbf{q}} \left(|\mathbb{T}|^{(d-2)-\delta} \right)$$

for almost every isotropic quadratic form \mathbf{q} of rank d . Here, $|\mathbb{T}| = \prod_{p \in S} T_p$.

For an arbitrary S -lattice Λ and a bounded set A in \mathbb{Q}_S^d , let $\mathbf{N}(\Lambda, A)$ be the cardinality of the intersection $\Lambda \cap A$.

The Gauss circle problem is to find the minimal exponent $d - 2 + \lambda_d$ for which $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z}^d, tA) - \mu(tA) = O(t^{d-2+\lambda_d})$, where A is a compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^d containing the origin and having some boundary condition. If A is a sphere, it is known that $\lambda_d = 0$ for $d \geq 4$ and it is conjectured to be $\lambda_d = 0$ for $d = 2$ and 3 ([17, 15]). For the case of any convex set, Guo [13] provides the smallest λ_d as far as known: $\lambda_d = (d^2 + 3d + 8)/(d^3 + d^2 + 5d + 4)$ for $d \geq 4$ and $73/158$ for $d = 3$.

We will say that $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_S^d$ is a *star-shaped set centered at the origin* if

$$A = \left\{ \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_p)_{p \in S} \in \mathbb{Q}_S^d : \begin{array}{l} \|\mathbf{v}_\infty\|_\infty \leq \rho_\infty(\mathbf{v}_\infty / \|\mathbf{v}_\infty\|_\infty); \\ \|\mathbf{v}_p\|_p \leq \rho_p(\|\mathbf{v}_p\|_p \mathbf{v}_p), p \in S_f \end{array} \right\}$$

for some continuous functions $\rho_\infty : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\rho_p : (\mathbb{Z}_p^d - p\mathbb{Z}_p^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ($p \in S_f$). By the definition, any star-shaped set is bounded.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $d \geq 3$. Consider a star-shaped set $A_0 \subset \mathbb{Q}_S^d$ centered at the origin and assume that $\mu(A_0) = 1$. Let $\delta > 0$. Then for almost every unimodular S -lattice Λ , there is $\mathbb{T}_0 \geq 0$ such that*

$$\left| \mathbf{N}(\Lambda, \mathbb{T}A_0) - |\mathbb{T}|^d \right| < |\mathbb{T}|^{\frac{1}{2}d+\delta}, \quad \forall \mathbb{T} \geq \mathbb{T}_0,$$

where $\mathbb{T}A_0$ is the dilate of A_0 by \mathbb{T} .

In the real case, Schmidt [24] obtained the uniform error bound of $\mathbf{N}(\Lambda, A) - \mu(A)$, which is given as a function of the volume $\mu(A)$, for a family Φ of bounded Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^d such that (i) if $A, B \in \Phi$, either $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$ and (ii) there exists $S \in \Phi$ with arbitrarily large volume. The Schmidt's theorem may not hold for such a family of bounded Borel sets in \mathbb{Q}_S^d (see Proposition 4.2 (c) and the remark below).

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5

Let $q \in \mathbb{N}_S$, $1 \leq r \leq k$ and $D \in \mathfrak{D}_{r,q}$ be as in Notation 2.4 (2). For $\Lambda \in \mathbb{G}/\Gamma$, define the set

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi(q, D) = \left\{ (\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}_S^d)^r : \begin{array}{l} \text{rk}(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r) = r, \\ \frac{1}{q}(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r)D \in (\mathbb{Z}_S^d)^k \end{array} \right\}$$

and for $\mathbf{g} \in \text{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)$, $\mathbf{g}\Phi(q, D) = \{(\mathbf{g}\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}\mathbf{w}_r) : (\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r) \in \Phi(q, D)\}$.

Recall Notation 2.4. We first claim that for a continuous bounded function $f : (\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ of compact support, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \mathcal{S}_k(f)(\mathbf{g}\Gamma) d\mathbf{g} &= \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \sum_{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \in \mathbf{g}\Gamma} f(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) d\mathbf{g} \\
&= f(O, \dots, O) + \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \sum_{\substack{(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r) \\ \in \mathbf{g}\Phi(1, \mathbf{I}_k)}} f(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) d\mathbf{g} \\
(3.2) \quad &+ \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \sum_{D \in \mathfrak{D}_{r,q}} \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \sum_{\substack{(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r) \\ \in \mathbf{g}\Phi(q, D)}} f\left(\frac{1}{q}(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r) D\right) d\mathbf{g}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k \in \mathbb{Z}_S^d$, let $r = \text{rk}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$. Suppose that $r \neq 0, k$. Then there are indices $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq k$ such that

- 1) $\text{rk}(\mathbf{v}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{j_r}) = r$;
- 2) for $j_i \leq j < j_{i+1}$, $\mathbf{v}_j \in \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{v}_{j_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{v}_{j_i}$.

If we put $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{v}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r = \mathbf{v}_{j_r}$, there is $C \in \mathfrak{M}_{r,k}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r)C = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ and

- 1) $[C]^{j_i} = \mathbf{e}_i$, where $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_r\}$ is a canonical basis of \mathbb{Q}^r ;
- 2) $C_{ij} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j < j_i$.

Choose $q \in \mathbb{N}_S$ such that $D = qC \in \mathfrak{M}_{r,k}(\mathbb{Z}_S)$ is reduced and

- 1) $[D]^{j_i} = q\mathbf{e}_i$ and
- 2) $D_{ij} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j < j_i$.

Note that when $r = k$, only possible case is that $q = 1$ and $D \in \mathfrak{D}_{r,q}$ is the identity matrix \mathbf{I}_k . Hence we have the following partition

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) : \mathbf{v}_j \in \mathbb{Z}_S^d \right\} \\
&= \{(O, \dots, O)\} \sqcup \{(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) : \text{rk}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) = k\} \\
&\quad \sqcup \bigsqcup_{r=1}^{k-1} \bigsqcup_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \bigsqcup_{D \in \mathfrak{D}_{r,q}} \left\{ \frac{1}{q}(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r) D : (\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r) \in \Phi(q, D) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

which shows the claim.

Hence with (3.2), Theorem 2.5 is a direct consequence of the theorem below, including the finiteness of the integral of $\mathcal{S}_k(f)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $d \geq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq d - 1$. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}_S$, $1 \leq r \leq k$ and $D \in \mathfrak{D}_{r,q}$ be as in Notation 2.4 (2). For a continuous bounded function $f : (\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ of compact support, it follows that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \sum_{\substack{(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r) \\ \in \mathbf{g}\Phi(q, D)}} f\left(\frac{1}{q}(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r) D\right) d\mathbf{g} \\
&= \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r} f\left(\frac{1}{q}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) D\right) d\mathbf{v}_1 \cdots d\mathbf{v}_r,
\end{aligned}$$

where $N(D, q)$ is defined as in Notation 2.4 (3).

Before proving Theorem 3.1, we need following propositions and the lemma.

Proposition 3.2. *Let α_S be the function on the space of S -lattices in \mathbb{Q}_S^d defined by*

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha_S(\Lambda) := \sup_{1 \leq j \leq d} \left\{ \prod_{p \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{v}_j\|_p^{-1} : \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_j \in \Lambda, \\ \text{linearly independent} \end{array} \right\}.$$

One can think of α_S as the function on \mathbb{G}/Γ . Then for any $1 \leq r' < d$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{G}/\Gamma} \alpha_S(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d)^{r'} d\mathbf{g} < \infty.$$

Proof. See Lemma 3.10 in [14] for $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)/\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)$ and also Lemma 3.10 in [8] for $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$.

Note that $\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)/\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S) \simeq \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}) \times \prod_{p \in S_f} \mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let $\pi : \mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)/\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$ be the projection defined by

$$\pi(\mathbf{g}\Gamma) = g'_\infty \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $g'_\infty \in \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ is taken such that there is $(g'_p)_{p \in S_f} \in \prod_{p \in S_f} \mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for which $\mathbf{g}\Gamma = (g'_p)_{p \in S_f} \Gamma$. Similar to the $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)$ -case, one can check that $\alpha_S(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d) = \alpha(g'_\infty \mathbb{Z}^d)$, where $\alpha = \alpha_{\{\infty\}}$.

Hence by replacing $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)$ and $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)$ by $\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_S)$ and $\mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_S)$, respectively, in the proof of Lemma 3.10 in [14], we obtain the proposition. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that a bounded function $f : \mathbb{Q}_S^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is supported on $B_{b_\infty}(O) \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{-b_p} \mathbb{Z}_p^d$, where $B_{b_\infty}(O)$ is the ball of radius b_∞ centered at the origin. There is $c_d > 0$ depending only on the dimension d such that for any unimodular lattice Λ , it holds that*

$$\tilde{f}(\Lambda) < c_d \left(b_\infty \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{b_p} \right)^d \|f\|_{\mathrm{sup}} \cdot \alpha_S(\Lambda).$$

Proof. The real case is deduced from Lemma 2 in [25]. We may assume that f is the indicator function of $B_{b_\infty}(O) \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{-b_p} \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ and $\Lambda = \mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d$, where $\mathbf{g} = (g_p)_{p \in S}$ such that $g_p \in \mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for $p \in S_f$.

Since $g_p \cdot (p^{-b_p} \mathbb{Z}_p^d) = p^{-b_p} \mathbb{Z}_p^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d) &= \# \left(\left(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d - \{O\} \right) \cap \left(B_{b_\infty}(O) \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{-b_p} \mathbb{Z}_p^d \right) \right) \\ &= \# \left(\left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_S^d : g_\infty \mathbf{v} \in B_{b_\infty}(O) \text{ and } \mathbf{v} \in p^{-b_p} \mathbb{Z}_p^d \right\} \right) \\ &= \# \left(\left\{ \mathbf{w} \in \prod_{p \in S_f} \mathbb{Z}^d : g_\infty \mathbf{w} \in B_{b_\infty} \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{b_p}(O) \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the fact that $\mathbb{Z}_S^d \cap p^{-b_p} \mathbb{Z}_p^d = (1/p^{b_p}) \mathbb{Z}^d$ and take \mathbf{w} by the projection of $\prod_{p \in S_f} p^{b_p} \mathbf{v}$ to the infinite place.

Let f' be the indicator function of $B_{b_\infty \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{b_p}}(O)$ in \mathbb{R}^d . Since $\alpha_{\{\infty\}}(g_\infty \mathbb{Z}^d) = \alpha_S(\mathfrak{g} \mathbb{Z}_S^d)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(\mathfrak{g} \mathbb{Z}_S^d) &= \tilde{f}'(g_\infty \mathbb{Z}^d) < c_d \left(b_\infty \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{b_p} \right)^d \alpha_{\{\infty\}}(g_\infty \mathbb{Z}^d) \\ &= c_d \left(b_\infty \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{b_p} \right)^d \alpha_S(\mathfrak{g} \mathbb{Z}^d). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *Let $q \in \mathbb{N}_S$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D \in \mathfrak{D}_{r,q}$ and $N(D, q)$ be as in Notation 2.4 (2) and (3). Let $\Phi(q, D)$ be the set as in (3.1). Define*

$$\Psi(q, D) = \left\{ (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}_S^d)^r : \frac{1}{q} (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) D \in (\mathbb{Z}_S^d)^k \right\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{p} = \prod_{p \in S_f} p$. For each $t \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the set

$$B_t = \left(B_{\mathfrak{p}^t}(O) \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{-t} \mathbb{Z}_p^d \right)^r,$$

where $B_{\mathfrak{p}^t}(O)$ is the ball of radius \mathfrak{p}^t centered at the origin in \mathbb{R}^d . Then we have followings:

(a) $\Psi(q, D)$ is an S -lattice in $(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r$ whose covolume is

$$\text{covol}(\Psi(q, D)) = q^{dr} / N(D, q)^d.$$

(b) For any Borel set $B = \prod_{p \in S} B_p \subseteq (\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r$, where B_∞ has a smooth boundary and $B_p \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^d$ is such that I_{B_p} is locally constant for $p < \infty$. For any compact set $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}/\Gamma$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{K}$ and $t \geq t_0$,

$$\left| \frac{\#(\mathfrak{p}^{-t}, p_1^t, \dots, p_s^t) \mathfrak{g} \Psi(q, D) \cap B}{\mu(B)} - \mathfrak{p}^{2tdr} \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. (a) Since $\Psi(q, D)$ is an additive subgroup and $(q \mathbb{Z}_S^d)^r \subseteq \Psi(q, D) \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_S^d)^r$, $\Psi(q, D)$ is an S -lattice. Considering the projection $(\mathbb{Z}_S^d)^r \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_S^d / q \mathbb{Z}_S^d)^r$, it follows that the covolume of $\Psi(q, D)$ is

$$\frac{\#(\mathbb{Z}_S^d / q \mathbb{Z}_S^d)^r}{\# \left\{ (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}_S^d / q \mathbb{Z}_S^d)^r : \frac{1}{q} (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) D \in (\mathbb{Z}_S^d / q \mathbb{Z}_S^d)^k \right\}} = \frac{q^{dr}}{N(D, q)^d}$$

by the definition of $N(D, q)$.

(b) We first consider the case when $S = \{\infty\}$ and $(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r = (\mathbb{R}^d)^r$.

Let $B_\infty \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^d)^r$ be a bounded Borel set with piecewise smooth boundary for which $\mu_\infty(B_\infty) > 0$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \prod_{p \in S_f} p$. It is well-known that for any lattice $\Lambda_\infty \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^d)^r$, there is $t_0 = t_0(B, \varepsilon, \Lambda_\infty) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \#(\mathfrak{p}^{-t} \Lambda_\infty \cap B_\infty) - \mathfrak{p}^{tdr} \frac{\mu(B_\infty)}{\text{covol}(\Lambda_\infty)} \right| < \varepsilon$$

for $t \geq t_0$. Note that (after fixing B_∞ and ε) t_0 can be taken associated with the diameter of the paralleloiped corresponding to Λ_∞ in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^r$ (see [25] for example).

Now consider a bounded Borel set $B = \prod_{p \in S} B_p \subseteq (\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r$, where B_∞ has a smooth boundary and B_p is locally constant for $p < \infty$.

Recall that one can take a fundamental domain \mathcal{F} of \mathbf{G}/Γ so that it is contained in $\mathcal{F}_\infty \times \prod_{p \in S_f} \text{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, where \mathcal{F}_∞ is a fundamental domain for $\text{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})/\text{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$.

Note that fundamental domains of $(\mathbb{Z}_S^d)^r$ and $(q\mathbb{Z}_S^d)^r$ are $([0, 1]^d \times \prod_{p \in S_f} \mathbb{Z}_p^d)^r$ and $([0, q]^d \times \prod_{p \in S_f} \mathbb{Z}_p^d)^r$, respectively, since $q \in \mathbb{N}_S$. Hence one can take a fundamental domain of $\Psi(q, D)$ by $\mathcal{F}'_\infty \times \prod_{p \in S_f} (\mathbb{Z}_p^d)^r$ for some Borel set $\mathcal{F}'_\infty \subseteq ([0, q]^d)^r$ in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^r$.

For any S -lattice of the form $\Lambda = \mathbf{g}\Psi(q, D)$, where $\mathbf{g} = (g_p)_{p \in S} \in \mathcal{F}$, since $g_p \mathbb{Z}_p^d = \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ for each $p \in S_f$, we can take a fundamental domain for $(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r/\Psi(q, D)$ as $g_\infty \mathcal{F}'_\infty \times \prod_{p \in S_f} (\mathbb{Z}_p^d)^r$.

Hence for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $t_0 = t_0(B, \varepsilon, \Lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $t \geq t_0$,

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \# \left((\mathfrak{p}^{-t}, p_1^t, \dots, p_s^t) \Lambda \cap B \right) - \mathfrak{p}^{2tdr} \frac{\mu(B)}{q^{dr}/N(D, q)^d} \right| < \varepsilon$$

and one can take $t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ depending eventually only on the diameter of \mathcal{F}'_∞ .

Since the diameter of $\mathbf{g}\mathcal{F}'_\infty$ is changed small in the small neighborhood in the space $\mathcal{F}.\Psi(q, D)$, for a given compact set $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one can take a uniform t_0 such that (3.4) holds for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{K}.\Psi(q, D)$ and $t \geq t_0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For a continuous and bounded function $F : (\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ of compact support, define the transform \widehat{F} of F by

$$(3.5) \quad \widehat{F}(\mathbf{g}\Gamma) := \sum_{\substack{(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r) \\ \in \mathbf{g}\Phi(q, D)}} F(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r), \quad \forall \mathbf{g}\Gamma \in \mathbf{G}/\Gamma.$$

By putting

$$(3.6) \quad F(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) := f\left(\frac{1}{q}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r)D\right),$$

it suffices to show that for any continuous bounded function $F : (\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ of compact support,

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widehat{F}(\mathbf{g}\Gamma) d\mathbf{g} = \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} F(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) d\mathbf{v}_1 \cdots d\mathbf{v}_r.$$

Set

$$E = \left\{ (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) \in (\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r : \begin{array}{l} \exists p \in S \text{ such that} \\ (\mathbf{v}_1)_p, \dots, (\mathbf{v}_r)_p : \text{linearly dependent} \end{array} \right\} \\ = \bigcup_{p \in S} (\mathbb{Q}_{S-\{p\}}^d)^r \times E_p,$$

where

$$E_p = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \left\{ \left((\mathbf{v}_1)_p, \dots, (\mathbf{v}_{i-1})_p, \sum_{j \neq i} \beta_j (\mathbf{v}_j)_p, (\mathbf{v}_{i+1})_p, \dots, (\mathbf{v}_r)_p \right) \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^d)^r : \beta_j \in \mathbb{Q}_p \right\}.$$

Note that for each $p \in S$, E_p is locally diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p^{d(r-1)+(r-1)}$ except the origin. Since we assume that $r \leq k \leq d-1$, $d(r-1) + (r-1) < dr$ so that $\mu_p(E_p) = 0$. Here, $\mu_p = \mu_p^{dr}$ is the Haar measure of $(\mathbb{Q}_p^d)^r \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p^{dr}$ defined in Section 2. Since μ is the product measure of μ_p , $\mu(E) = 0$. Moreover, it is easy to show that \mathbf{G} acts on $(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r - E$ transitively.

Step 1. We first show that $\psi : F \in C_c((\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r - E) \mapsto \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widehat{F} d\mathbf{g}$ is a \mathbf{G} -invariant positive linear functional, which is obvious except $\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widehat{F} d\mathbf{g} < \infty$.

Since F is bounded and compactly supported, there is a bounded function $f' : \mathbb{Q}_S^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ of compact support for which

$$F(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) \leq f'(\mathbf{v}_1) \cdots f'(\mathbf{v}_r) =: (f')^r(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r)$$

so that $\widehat{F}(\mathbf{g}\Gamma) \leq (\widetilde{f}')^r(\mathbf{g}\Gamma)$. By Proposition 3.3, there is a constant $c_{f'} > 0$ such that $\widetilde{f}' < c_{f'} \alpha_S$. Together with Proposition 3.2, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widehat{F} d\mathbf{g} \leq \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} (\widetilde{f}')^r d\mathbf{g} \leq c_{f'}^r \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \alpha_S^r d\mathbf{g} < \infty.$$

By Rietz-Markov-Kakutani representation theorem, there is a unique Borel measure μ' for which $\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widehat{F} d\mathbf{g} = \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r - E} F d\mu'$. Moreover, since ψ is \mathbf{G} -invariant, so is μ' . Using the facts that \mathbf{G} acts on $(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r - E$ transitively and $\mu(E) = 0$, it follows that there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widehat{F} d\mathbf{g} = c \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r} F d\mu.$$

Step 2. Let us now show that $c = N(D, q)^d / q^{dr}$.

It is known that for any $R > 0$,

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{K}_R := \{\mathbf{g}\Gamma \in \mathbf{G}/\Gamma : \alpha_S(\mathbf{g}\Gamma) \leq R\}$$

is a compact set in \mathbf{G}/Γ and $\bigcup_{R>0} \mathcal{K}_R = \mathbf{G}/\Gamma$. Moreover, since $\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \alpha_S d\mathbf{g} < \infty$, we have that $m(\mathcal{K}_R^c) \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, where $\mathcal{K}_R^c = \mathbf{G}/\Gamma - \mathcal{K}_R$:

$$\begin{aligned} R \cdot m(\mathcal{K}_R^c) &< R \int_{\mathcal{K}_R^c} 1 d\mathbf{g} + \int_{\mathcal{K}_R} \alpha_S d\mathbf{g} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{K}_R^c} \alpha_S d\mathbf{g} + \int_{\mathcal{K}_R} \alpha_S d\mathbf{g} = \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \alpha_S d\mathbf{g} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{p} = \prod_{p \in S_f} p$. For each $t \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the set

$$B_t = \left(B_{\mathfrak{p}^t}(O) \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{-t} \mathbb{Z}_p^d \right)^r - N_1(E),$$

where $N_\delta(E) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{v} \in E} (\mathbf{v} + B_\delta)$, the δ -neighborhood of E . Take a sequence $\{F_t\}$ in $C_c((\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r - E)$ asymptotically approximating $\frac{1}{\mu(B_t)} I_{B_t}$ such that

$$0 \leq F_t \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B_t)} I_{B_t}, \quad \text{and} \quad F_t|_{B_{t-1}} = \frac{1}{\mu(B_t)} I_{B_t}|_{B_{t-1}}.$$

Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r} F_t d\mu = 1$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.4, for any compact set $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{G}/\Gamma$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$, since $\Phi(q, D) \cap B_t = \Psi(q, D) \cap B_t$, there is $t_0 = t_0(\varepsilon', \mathcal{K}) \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{K}$ and $t > t_0$,

$$(3.9) \quad \left| \widehat{F}_t(\Lambda) - \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \right| < \varepsilon'.$$

Here, we identify \mathcal{K} with some compact set in a fundamental domain for \mathbf{G}/Γ .

By Proposition 3.3, one can find $C > 0$ such that for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widehat{F}_t d\mathbf{g} \leq \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \sum_{\substack{(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) \\ \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Z}_S^d)^r}} \frac{1}{\mu(B_t)} I_{B_t} d\mathbf{g} < C \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \alpha_S^r d\mathbf{g}.$$

We want to show that

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widehat{F}_t d\mathbf{g} = \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r} F_t d\mu.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a given arbitrary small number. For any \mathcal{K}_R ,

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widehat{F}_t d\mathbf{g} = \int_{\mathcal{K}_R} \widehat{F}_t d\mathbf{g} + \int_{\mathcal{K}_R^c} \widehat{F}_t d\mathbf{g}$$

By definition of \mathcal{K}_R and Proposition 3.2, since $r \leq d-1$ and $\text{covol}(\Psi(q, D))$ is at least 1, one can find $R > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{K}_R^c} \left| \widehat{F}_t - \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \right| d\mathbf{g} &< C \int_{\mathcal{K}_R^c} \alpha_S^r d\mathbf{g} + \frac{1 - m(\mathcal{K}_R)}{q^{dr}/N(D, q)^d} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R^{1/2}} \int_{\mathcal{K}_R^c} \alpha_S^{r+1/2} d\mathbf{g} + (1 - m(\mathcal{K}_R)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $t_0 = t_0(\mathcal{K}_R, \varepsilon/2) > 0$ such that for $t > t_0$, (3.9) holds for $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/2$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \left| \widehat{F}_t - \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \right| d\mathbf{g} &< \int_{\mathcal{K}_R} \left| \widehat{F}_t - \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \right| d\mathbf{g} + \int_{\mathcal{K}_R^c} \left| \widehat{F}_t - \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \right| d\mathbf{g} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary small number, we obtain (3.10).

Step 3. Note that the function F defined in (3.6) is not a compactly supported function on $(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r - E$. Let us take a sequence $\{F'_t\}$ of functions in $C_c((\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r - E)$ for which

$$0 \leq F'_t \leq F \quad \text{and} \quad F'_t = F \text{ on } (\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r - N_{-t}(E).$$

Let $\mathcal{K}_R \subseteq \mathbf{G}/\Gamma$ be as in (3.8). Then by Proposition 3.3, since F'_t is bounded by F , for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widehat{F} d\mathbf{g} < C \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \alpha_S^r d\mathbf{g}$$

for some constant $C = C_F > 0$.

Similar to the second step, from Proposition 3.2, it follows that for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find $R > 0$ such that for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}_R^c} \widehat{F} d\mathbf{g} < \frac{C}{R^{1/2}} \int_{\mathcal{K}_R^c} \alpha^{r+1/2} d\mathbf{g} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Observe that since $\Phi(q, D)$ is an S -lattice not contained in E and F is compactly supported, for each $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}$, there is $t_0 = t_0(\mathbf{g}) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathbf{g}\Phi(q, D) \cap N_{-t_0}(E) = \emptyset \text{ on } \text{supp } F,$$

so that $\widehat{F}'_t(\mathbf{g}\Lambda) = \widehat{F}(\mathbf{g}\Lambda)$ for all $t > t_0$.

Using the compactness of $\text{supp } F$ again, for each $\mathbf{g}\Gamma$, one can find a small neighborhood \mathcal{N} of $\mathbf{g}\Gamma$ so that for $\mathbf{g}'\Gamma \in \mathcal{N}$, $\mathbf{g}'\Phi(q, D) \cap N_{-t_0-1}(E) = \emptyset$ on the support of F . Hence one can take a uniform $t_0 = t_0(\mathcal{K}_R) > 0$ so that for any $\mathbf{g}\Gamma \in \mathcal{K}_R$ and $t > t_0$,

$$\widehat{F}'_t(\mathbf{g}\Gamma) = \widehat{F}(\mathbf{g}\Gamma).$$

Since $\int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r} F'_t d\mu \rightarrow \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r} F d\mu$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, it holds that for all sufficiently large $t \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widehat{F} d\mathbf{g} - \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r} F d\mu \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widehat{F}'_t d\mathbf{g} - \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r} F'_t d\mu \right| + 2 \left| \int_{\mathcal{K}_R^c} \widehat{F} d\mathbf{g} \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^{dr}} \left| \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r} F'_t d\mu - \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^r} F d\mu \right| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Corollary 2.8. By Theorem 2.5 and Remark 2.7,

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \tilde{f}^2 d\mathbf{g} = \left(\int_{(\mathbb{Q}_S^d)^2} f(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} \right)^2 + \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \sum_{D \in \mathfrak{D}'_{1,q}} \frac{N(D, q)^d}{q^d} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} f' \times f' \left(\frac{1}{q} \mathbf{v} D \right) d\mathbf{v}.$$

The result follows from the fact that the set of subscripts of sums in the above equation is assorted into

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{q} D : q \in \mathbb{N}_S, D \in \mathfrak{D}'_{1,q} \right\} = \left\{ \left(q, \frac{w}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) : q \in \mathbb{N}_S, w \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}, \gcd(q\mathfrak{p}, w) = 1 \right\}.$$

□

4. ABSENCE OF THE UNIFORM BOUND OF THE VARIANCE OF THE SIEGEL TRANSFORM

The following inequality is one of the consequences of Rogers' moment theorems together with the comparison of higher moments between the Siegel transform of a function f and that of its *spherical symmetrization* (see [21] for the definition and the statement (Theorem 1) and also [1, 2] for details): there is a constant $C_d > 0$, depending only on the dimension d , such that for any bounded measurable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z})} \widetilde{I}_A^2 dg \leq \mu(A)^2 + C_d \mu(A),$$

or equivalently, $\int \left(\widetilde{I}_A - \mu(A) \right)^2 dg \leq C_d \mu(A)$.

However, when $S \neq \{\infty\}$, such a uniform constant C_d does not exist. More precisely, one can show the following.

Proposition 4.1. *Put $S = \{\infty, p\}$ for some odd prime p . There is a sequence $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive measurable sets $A_k \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_S^d$, $d \geq 3$, such that $\mu(A_k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and there is a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widetilde{I}_{A_k}^2 dg - \mu(A_k)^2 \geq c\mu(A_k)^2.$$

Proof. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $A_k \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_S^d$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &= \left\{ (\mathbf{v}_\infty, \mathbf{v}_p) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Q}_p^d : \begin{array}{l} \|\mathbf{v}_\infty\|_\infty \|\mathbf{v}_p\|_p \leq 1, \\ \|\mathbf{v}_\infty\|_\infty \leq p^k \text{ and } \|\mathbf{v}_p\|_p \leq p^k \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \bigsqcup_{m=0}^{2k-1} \left\{ \mathbf{v}_p \in \mathbb{Q}_p^d : \|\mathbf{v}_p\|_p = p^{k-m} \right\} \times \left\{ \mathbf{v}_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\mathbf{v}_\infty\|_\infty \leq p^{-(k-m)} \right\} \\ &\sqcup \left\{ \mathbf{v}_p \in \mathbb{Q}_p^d : \|\mathbf{v}_p\|_p \leq p^{-k} \right\} \times \left\{ \mathbf{v}_\infty : \|\mathbf{v}_\infty\|_\infty \leq p^k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu_p(\{\mathbf{v}_p : \|\mathbf{v}_p\|_p = p^j\}) = \mu_p(p^{-j}\mathbb{Z}_p^d - p^{-j+1}\mathbb{Z}_p^d) = p^{jd} - p^{(j-1)d}$, the volume of each of the first $2k$ sets in the disjoint union is

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu \left(\left\{ \mathbf{v}_p \in \mathbb{Q}_p^d : \|\mathbf{v}_p\|_p = p^{k-m} \right\} \times \left\{ \mathbf{v}_\infty \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\mathbf{v}_\infty\|_\infty \leq p^{-(k-m)} \right\} \right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^d} \right) p^{d(k-m)} \times B_d \frac{1}{p^{d(k-m)}} = B_d \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^d} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where B_d is the volume of the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^d . And the volume of the last set is

$$\mu \left(\left\{ \mathbf{v}_p \in \mathbb{Q}_p^d : \|\mathbf{v}_p\|_p \leq p^{-k} \right\} \times \left\{ \mathbf{v}_\infty : \|\mathbf{v}_\infty\|_\infty \leq p^k \right\} \right) = B_d.$$

Hence the volume $\mu(A_k)$ is

$$\mu(A_k) = 2k \times B_d \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^d} \right) + B_d,$$

and we have

$$(4.1) \quad (2k+1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^d} \right) B_d \leq \mu(A_k) \leq (2k+1)B_d.$$

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_S^d$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, denote by $A(q) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}_S^d : q\mathbf{v} \in A\}$. By Corollary 2.8,

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widetilde{I_{A_k}}^2 d\mathbf{g} - \mu(A_k)^2 = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{P}_S} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(q\mathbf{p}, w) = 1}} \mu \left(A_k(q) \cap A_k \left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}} \right) \right).$$

For the lower bound, we count only the cases when $q \in \mathbb{N}_S$, $\mathbf{p} = p^m$, $0 \leq m \leq 2k$ and $1 \leq w < q$. In this case, the intersection is

$$A_k(q) \cap A_k \left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}} \right) = \left\{ (\mathbf{v}_\infty, \mathbf{v}_p) : \begin{array}{l} \|\mathbf{v}_\infty\|_\infty \|\mathbf{v}_p\|_p \leq \frac{1}{q}, \\ \|\mathbf{v}_\infty\|_\infty \leq \frac{p^k}{q} \text{ and } \|\mathbf{v}_p\|_p \leq p^{k-m} \end{array} \right\},$$

so that its volume is:

$$\mu(A_k(q) \cap A_k \left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}} \right)) = \frac{\mu(A_k)}{q^d} - m \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^d} \right) \frac{B_d}{q^d}$$

since $\mu(A(q)) = \mu(A)/q^d$ for $q \in \mathbb{N}_S$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widetilde{I_{A_k}}^2 d\mathbf{g} - \mu(A_k)^2 &\geq \sum \left(\frac{\mu(A_k)}{q^d} - m \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^d} \right) \frac{B_d}{q^d} \right) \\ &= \sum (2k+1) \frac{\mu(A_k)}{q^d} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2k(2k+1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^d} \right) \frac{B_d}{q^d} \\ &\stackrel{(4.1)}{\geq} \sum (2k+1) \frac{\mu(A_k)}{q^d} - k \frac{\mu(A_k)}{q^d} \\ &\geq k \mu(A_k) \sum \frac{1}{q^d}, \end{aligned}$$

where the summation is over $q, w \in \mathbb{N}_S$ for which $\gcd(q, w) = 1$ and $q > w$ and $\mathbf{p} = p^m$, $0 \leq m \leq 2k$. Note that

$$0 < \sum_{\substack{q, w \in \mathbb{N}_S \\ \gcd(q, w) = 1 \\ q > w}} \frac{1}{q^d} \leq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\phi(q)}{q^d} = \frac{\zeta(d-1)}{\zeta(d)} < \infty,$$

where $\phi(q)$ is the Euler totient function and $\zeta(d)$ is the Riemann-zeta function. Since k is bounded below by some scalar multiple of $\mu(A_k)$ by (4.1), one can find a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widetilde{I_{A_k}}^2 d\mathbf{g} - \mu(A_k)^2 > c\mu(A_k)^2.$$

□

For the application in Section 5, we will show that for certain families \mathfrak{F} of measurable sets $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_S^d$ with $\mu(A) \in (0, \infty)$, there is a constant $C_{\mathfrak{F}} > 0$ for which the following holds:

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widetilde{I_A}^2 d\mathbf{g} \leq \mu(A)^2 + C_{\mathfrak{F}} \mu(A), \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

Recall that for $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_S^d$, we denote by $\mathbb{T}A$ the dilate of A by $\mathbb{T} = (T_p)_{p \in S}$, which is given by

$$\mathbb{T}A = \left\{ (v_p)_{p \in S} \in \mathbb{Q}_S^d : \left(\frac{v_\infty}{T_\infty}, T_{p_1} v_{p_1}, \dots, T_{p_s} v_{p_s} \right) \in A \right\}.$$

Proposition 4.2. (a) For $A_0 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_S^d$ with $0 < \mu(A_0) < \infty$, let

$$\mathfrak{F}_{A_0} = \left\{ \mathbb{T}A_0 : \mathbb{T} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{\mathbb{Z}} \right\}.$$

Then there is $C_{\mathfrak{F}_{A_0}} > 0$ satisfying (4.2).

(b) Define

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\text{prod}} := \left\{ \prod_{p \in S} A_p : A_p \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^d \text{ with } \mu_p(A_p) < \infty, p \in S \right\}.$$

Then there is $C_{\mathfrak{F}_{\text{prod}}} > 0$ satisfying (4.2).

(c) If there is a family \mathfrak{F} with the property (4.2), then

$$\mathfrak{F} \cup \{ \mathbb{T}_2 A - \mathbb{T}_1 A : A \in \mathfrak{F}, 0 \preceq \mathbb{T}_1 \prec \mathbb{T}_2 \}$$

also satisfies (4.2) with the same constant $C_{\mathfrak{F}} > 0$.

We remark that if $S \neq \{\infty\}$, one cannot extend Proposition 4.2 (c) as follow: if there is a family \mathfrak{F} with the property (4.2), then $\mathfrak{F} \cup \{B - A : A \subseteq B \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ have the same property. Note that this property is one of key steps in the proof of Schmidt's theorem ([24, Theorem 1]) so that one can generalize only the special case of Schmidt's theorem to the S -arithmetic case (Theorem 2.11).

Proof. (a) Choose any $C > 0$ for which

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{G}/\Gamma} \widetilde{I}_{A_0}^2 d\mathbf{g} \leq \mu(A_0)^2 + C \mu(A_0).$$

We claim that the proposition holds by taking $C_{\mathfrak{F}} = C$ for $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_{A_0}$. According to Corollary 2.8, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{P}_S} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(q\mathbf{p}, w) = 1}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} I_{\mathbb{T}A_0}(q\mathbf{v}) I_{\mathbb{T}A_0}\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{v}\right) d\mathbf{v} \leq C \mu(\mathbb{T}A_0).$$

By the change of variables $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{v}_\infty/T_\infty, T_{p_1} \mathbf{v}_{p_1}, \dots, T_{p_s} \mathbf{v}_{p_s})$ for $\mathbb{T} = (T_p)_{p \in S}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} I_{\mathbb{T}A_0}(q\mathbf{v}) I_{\mathbb{T}A_0}\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{v}\right) d\mathbf{v} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} I_{\mathbb{T}A_0}(q(T_\infty, T_{p_1}^{-1}, \dots, T_{p_s}^{-1})\mathbf{w}) I_{\mathbb{T}A_0}\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}(T_\infty, T_{p_1}^{-1}, \dots, T_{p_s}^{-1})\mathbf{w}\right) |\mathbb{T}|^d d\mathbf{w} \\ &= |\mathbb{T}|^d \int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} I_{A_0}(q\mathbf{w}) I_{A_0}\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{w}\right) d\mathbf{w}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{P}_S} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(q\mathbf{p}, w) = 1}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} I_{\mathbb{T}A_0}(q\mathbf{v}) I_{\mathbb{T}A_0}\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{v}\right) d\mathbf{v} \\
&= |\mathbb{T}|^d \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{P}_S} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(q\mathbf{p}, w) = 1}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} I_{A_0}(q\mathbf{w}) I_{A_0}\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{w}\right) d\mathbf{w} \\
&\leq |\mathbb{T}|^d C \mu(A_0) = C \mu(\mathbb{T}A_0),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (4.3) and Corollary 2.8.

(b) Now, consider $A = \prod_{p \in S} A_p \in \mathfrak{F}_{prod}$, where $A_p \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^d$, $p \in S$, has a positive measure. Similar to (a), we need to find a uniform constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{P}_S} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(q\mathbf{p}, w) = 1}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} I_A(q\mathbf{v}) I_A\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{v}\right) d\mathbf{v} \leq C \mu(A).$$

Note that $A(q) \cap A\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\right) = \prod_{p \in S_f} A_p(q) \cap A_p\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\right)$ and

$$\mu_\infty(A_\infty(q) \cap A_\infty\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\right)) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q^d} \mu_\infty(A_\infty), & \text{if } q > \frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}; \\ \frac{1}{|w/\mathbf{p}|^d} \mu_\infty(A_\infty), & \text{if } q < \frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}. \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_p(A_p(q) \cap A_p\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\right)) \leq \begin{cases} |\mathbf{p}|_p^d \mu_p(A_p), & \text{if } p \mid \mathbf{p}; \\ \mu_p(A_p), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 4.1, for $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_S^d$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, set $A(q) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}_S^d : q\mathbf{v} \in A\}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(A(q) \cap A\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\right)) &\leq \frac{1}{\max(q, |w/\mathbf{p}|)^d} \mu_\infty(A_\infty) \times \prod_{p \in S_f} |\mathbf{p}|_p^d \mu_p(A_p) \\
&= \frac{1}{\max(q, |w/\mathbf{p}|)^d} \mu_\infty(A_\infty) \times \mathbf{p}^{-d} \prod_{p \in S_f} \mu_p(A_p) \\
&= \frac{1}{\max(q\mathbf{p}, |w|)^d} \mu(A).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{P}_S} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(q\mathbf{p}, w) = 1}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_S^d} I_A(q\mathbf{v}) I_A\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{v}\right) d\mathbf{v} = \sum_{q, \mathbf{p}, w} \mu(A(q) \cap A\left(\frac{w}{\mathbf{p}}\right)) \\
&\leq \sum_{q, \mathbf{p}, w} \frac{1}{\max(q\mathbf{p}, |w|)^d} \mu(A) = \sum_{q', w} \frac{1}{\max(q', |w|)^d} \mu(A),
\end{aligned}$$

where $q' = q\mathbf{p}$. Since the quantities in the summation are symmetric with respect to the cases when $[q' > w$ and $q' < w]$, and $[w$ and $-w, w \in \mathbb{N}]$, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q' \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(qp, w) = 1}} \frac{1}{\max(q', |w|)^d} \mu(A) &= 4 \times \sum_{\substack{q', w \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < w < q' \\ \gcd(q', w) = 1}} \frac{1}{(q')^d} \mu(A) \\ &= 4 \times \sum_{q' \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\phi(q')}{(q')^d} \mu(A) = \frac{4\zeta(d-1)}{\zeta(d)} \mu(A). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if we take $C_{\mathfrak{F}_{prod}} = 4\zeta(d-1)/\zeta(d)$, it follows that for any $A \in \mathfrak{F}_{prod}$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widetilde{I}_A^2 d\mathbf{g} \leq \mu(A)^2 + C_{\mathfrak{F}_{prod}} \mu(A).$$

(c) For $A \in \mathcal{F}$, let $C_A > 0$ be a positive constant such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widetilde{I}_A^2 d\mathbf{g} = \mu(A)^2 + C_A \mu(A).$$

Then the property (4.2) implies that $C_A \leq C_{\mathfrak{F}}$. Moreover, by the proof of (a), it follows that for any $\mathsf{T} \succeq 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widetilde{I}_{\mathsf{T}A}^2 d\mathbf{g} = \mu(\mathsf{T}A)^2 + C_A \mu(\mathsf{T}A).$$

Given $\mathsf{T}_1 \prec \mathsf{T}_2$, let $B = \mathsf{T}_2A - \mathsf{T}_1A$. For $q \in \mathbb{N}_S$, $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_S$ and $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, since

$$\begin{aligned} B(q) \cap B\left(\frac{w}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) &\subseteq \mathsf{T}_2A(q) \cap \mathsf{T}_2A\left(\frac{w}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) - \mathsf{T}_1A(q) \cap \mathsf{T}_1A\left(\frac{w}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \text{ and} \\ \mathsf{T}_1A(q) \cap \mathsf{T}_1A\left(\frac{w}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) &\subseteq \mathsf{T}_2A(q) \cap \mathsf{T}_2A\left(\frac{w}{\mathfrak{p}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_S} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_S} \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \\ \gcd(q\mathfrak{p}, w) = 1}} \mu(B(q) \cap B\left(\frac{w}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)) \\ \leq \sum_{q, \mathfrak{p}, w} \mu(\mathsf{T}_2A(q) \cap \mathsf{T}_2A\left(\frac{w}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)) - \sum_{q, \mathfrak{p}, w} \mu(\mathsf{T}_1A(q) \cap \mathsf{T}_1A\left(\frac{w}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)) \\ \leq C_A \mu(\mathsf{T}_2A) - C_A \mu(\mathsf{T}_1A) = C_A \mu(B) \leq C_{\mathfrak{F}} \mu(B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows from Corollary 2.8. \square

5. APPLICATION

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 2.2 in [1]. The proof is not much different from that of [1, Theorem 2.2] but we include it for completeness.

Theorem 5.1. *Let \mathfrak{F} be a family of measurable sets A with $\mu(A) \in (0, \infty)$ such that there is a constant $C_{\mathfrak{F}} > 0$ for which*

$$\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \widetilde{I}_A^2 d\mathbf{g} \leq \mu(A)^2 + C_{\mathfrak{F}} \mu(A), \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

Then for any $A \in \mathfrak{F}$, we have

$$m\left(\left\{\mathfrak{g}\Gamma \in \mathbf{G}/\Gamma : (\mathfrak{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d - \{O\}) \cap A = \emptyset\right\}\right) \leq \frac{C_{\mathfrak{F}}}{\mu(A)}.$$

Proof. Given $A \in \mathcal{F}$, define

$$\mathcal{U} := \left\{\mathfrak{g}\Gamma : (\mathfrak{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d - \{O\}) \cap A = \emptyset\right\} \subseteq \mathbf{G}/\Gamma$$

and denote $u = m(\mathcal{U})$ and $a = \mu(A)$. Define functions on \mathbf{G}/Γ by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &= \phi_A = \widetilde{I}_A, \\ \psi &= \psi_{\mathcal{U}} = I_{\mathcal{U}^c},\end{aligned}$$

where I_A and $I_{\mathcal{U}^c}$ are the indicator functions of A and the complement of \mathcal{U} in \mathbb{Q}_S^d and \mathbf{G}/Γ , respectively. Since

$$\psi(\mathfrak{g}\Gamma) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d \cap A = \emptyset \Rightarrow \phi(\mathfrak{g}\Gamma) = 0,$$

we obtain the equality $\phi = \phi \cdot \psi$. From Siegel's integral formula, it follows that

$$a = \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - u = \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} = \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}.$$

Then Cauchy-Schwarz inequality says that

$$\begin{aligned}(5.1) \quad a^2 &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \phi d\mathfrak{g}\right)^2 \leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \phi^2 d\mathfrak{g}\right) \left(\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \psi^2 d\mathfrak{g}\right) \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \phi^2 d\mathfrak{g}\right) (1 - u).\end{aligned}$$

By assumption of \mathfrak{F} , since $\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \phi^2 d\mathfrak{g} \leq a^2 + C_{\mathfrak{F}} a$,

$$u \leq 1 - \frac{a^2}{a^2 + C_{\mathfrak{F}} a} = \frac{C_{\mathfrak{F}} a}{a^2 + C_{\mathfrak{F}} a} \leq \frac{C_{\mathfrak{F}}}{a}.$$

□

Proposition 5.2. *Let $d \geq 3$. Let \mathfrak{q} be a nondegenerate isotropic quadratic form on \mathbb{Q}_S^d and $R > 0$ be any positive number. For any $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_S$ such that $\sup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathfrak{l}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_S < R$, we have*

$$\mu(\mathfrak{q}^{-1}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap B(O, \mathfrak{T})) = c_{\mathfrak{q}} \mu(\mathfrak{l}) |\mathfrak{T}|^{d-2} + o_{\mathfrak{q}, R}(|\mathfrak{T}|^{d-2}),$$

where $B(O, \mathfrak{T}) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}_S^d : \|\mathbf{v}\|_p < T_p, \forall p \in S\}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that each $p \in S$,

$$\mu_p(\mathfrak{q}_p^{-1}(I_p) \cap B(O, T_p)) = c_{q_p} \mu_p(I_p) T_p^{d-2} + o_{q_p}(|I_p|_p T_p^{d-2}),$$

where $\mathfrak{q} = (q_p)_{p \in S}$, $\mathfrak{l} = (I_p)_{p \in S}$ and $\mathfrak{T} = (T_p)_{p \in S}$. For $p = \infty$, see Theorem 5 in [18] and for $p \in S_f$, it follows from the proof of Proposition 4.2 in [14]. □

Proof of Theorem 2.9. Fix an isotropic quadratic form \mathfrak{q}^0 on \mathbb{Q}_S^d and a constant $\xi = (\xi_p)_{p \in S} \in \mathbb{Q}_S$.

For any $\mathbf{j} = (j_p)_{p \in S} \in \mathbb{N}^{s+1}$, define

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{j}} = \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}_S^d : \begin{array}{l} |q_{\infty}^0(\mathbf{v}) - \xi_{\infty}|_{\infty} < e^{-j_{\infty}}; \\ |q_p^0(\mathbf{v}) - \xi_p|_p < p^{-j_p}, p \in S_f \end{array} \right\} \\ \cap \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}_S^d : \begin{array}{l} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\infty} < e^{(\frac{1}{d-2} + \delta)(j_{\infty} - 1)}; \\ \|\mathbf{v}\|_p < p^{(\frac{1}{d-2} + \delta)j_p}, p \in S_f \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{j}}$ is contained in \mathfrak{F}_{prod} , which is defined in Proposition 4.2. By Proposition 5.2, there is $j_0 \succ 0$ such that for any $\mathbf{j} \succ j_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{j}}) &> c_{\mathbf{q}_0} \left(e^{-j_{\infty}} \cdot \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{-(j_p+1)} \right) \times \left(e^{(\frac{1}{d-2} + \delta)(j_{\infty} - 1)} \cdot \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{(\frac{1}{d-2} + \delta)(j_p - 1)} \right)^{d-2} \\ &= c_{\mathbf{q}_0} (ep_1 \cdots p_s)^{-1} \left(e^{j_{\infty} - 1} p_1^{j_{p_1} - 1} \cdots p_s^{j_{p_s} - 1} \right)^{(d-2)\delta} \\ &= c'_0 \|\exp(\mathbf{j} - 1)\|^{(d-2)\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c'_0 = c_{\mathbf{q}_0} e^{-1} (p_1 \cdots p_s)^{-2}$ and $\mathbf{j} - 1 := (j_{\infty} - 1, j_{p_1} - 1, \dots, j_{p_s} - 1)$.

By Theorem 5.1,

$$m \left(\left\{ \mathbf{g}\Gamma \in \mathbf{G}/\Gamma : (\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d - \{O\}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{j}} = \emptyset \right\} \right) < \frac{C_{\mathfrak{F}_{prod}}}{c'_0} \|\exp(\mathbf{j} - 1)\|^{-(d-2)\delta}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &m \left(\left\{ \mathbf{g}\Gamma \in \mathbf{G}/\Gamma : (\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d - \{O\}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{j}} = \emptyset \text{ for infinitely many } \mathbf{j} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq m \left(\bigcap_{j_0 \geq 0} \bigcup_{\mathbf{j} \geq j_0} \left\{ \mathbf{g}\Gamma : (\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d - \{O\}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{j}} = \emptyset \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{j_0 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_{\mathfrak{F}_{prod}}}{c'_0} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \geq j_0} \|\exp(\mathbf{j} - 1)\|^{-(d-2)\delta} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider an isotropic quadratic form \mathbf{q} such that

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{q}^0(\mathbf{g}\mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}_S^d$$

for some $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{G}$ and suppose that $\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d - \{O\}$ intersects with all but finitely many $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{j}}$'s. By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, the set of such quadratic forms \mathbf{q} has full measure.

Choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d - \{O\}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{j}} \neq \emptyset$ whenever $e^{-j_{\infty}} < \varepsilon_0$ and $p^{-j_p} < \varepsilon_0, \forall p \in S_f$.

For any positive $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, there is $\mathbf{j} = (j_{\infty}, j_{p_1}, \dots, j_{p_s})$ such that

$$e^{-j_{\infty}} < \varepsilon \leq e^{-j_{\infty} + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad p^{-j_p} < \varepsilon \leq p^{-j_p + 1}, \quad p \in S_f.$$

Since $(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d - \{O\}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{j}} \neq \emptyset$, there is a nonzero $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{g}\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} |q_{\infty}(\mathbf{v}) - \xi_{\infty}| &= |q_{\infty}^0(\mathbf{w}) - \xi_{\infty}|_{\infty} < e^{-j_{\infty}} < \varepsilon, \\ |q_p(\mathbf{v}) - \xi_p| &= |q_p^0(\mathbf{w}) - \xi_p|_p < p^{-j_p} < \varepsilon, \quad p \in S_f, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty &= \|\mathbf{g}^{-1}(\mathbf{g}\mathbf{v})\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{g}^{-1}\|_{op} \|\mathbf{g}\mathbf{v}\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{g}^{-1}\|_{op} (e^{j_\infty-1})^{\frac{1}{d-2}+\delta} \\ &\leq c_q \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{1}{d-2}+\delta\right)}, \\ \|\mathbf{v}\|_p &= \|\mathbf{g}^{-1}(\mathbf{g}\mathbf{v})\|_p \leq \|\mathbf{g}^{-1}\|_{op} \|\mathbf{g}\mathbf{v}\|_p \leq \|\mathbf{g}^{-1}\|_{op} (p^{j_p-1})^{\frac{1}{d-2}+\delta} \\ &\leq c_q \varepsilon^{-\left(\frac{1}{d-2}+\delta\right)}, \quad p \in S_f,\end{aligned}$$

where c_q is the operator norm $\|\mathbf{g}^{-1}\|_{op} = \max_{p \in S} \{\|g_p^{-1}\|_{op}\}$ of $\mathbf{g}^{-1} = (g_p^{-1})_{p \in S}$. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let \mathfrak{F} be the family of star-shaped sets centered at the origin for which the conclusion of Theorem 5.1 holds. Let $A \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ and $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N_2 \geq N_1$. For each $\mathbf{J}^f \in \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{\mathbb{N}}$, define*

$$R_A(N_1, N_2, \mathbf{J}^f)(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d) := \tilde{I}_{(j_2, \mathbf{J}^f)A - (j_1, \mathbf{J}^f)A}(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d) - (N_2 - N_1)\mu(A),$$

where $j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ are chosen so that $|(j_i, \mathbf{J}^f)|^d = N_i$, $i = 1, 2$. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$K_\ell := \left\{ (u2^t, (u+1)2^t) : \begin{array}{l} t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \ell \\ u = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{\ell-t} - 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

For any positive function ψ on \mathbb{N} , consider the set

$$\mathcal{B}_\ell := \left\{ \mathbf{g}\Gamma \in \mathbf{G}/\Gamma : \sum_{(N_1, N_2) \in K_\ell} R_A^2(N_1, N_2, \mathbf{J}^f)(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d) \geq (\ell+1)2^\ell \psi(\ell) \right\}.$$

Then the set \mathcal{B}_ℓ is independent of the choice of \mathbf{J}^f and there is a constant $C(A, d) > 0$ such that

$$m(\mathcal{B}_\ell) < C(A, d)\psi^{-1}(\ell).$$

Proof. For any $\mathbf{J}^f, \mathbf{J}^{f'} \in \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{\mathbb{N}}$, let

$$N_1 = |(j_1, \mathbf{J}^f)|^d = |(j'_1, \mathbf{J}^{f'})|^d \quad \text{and} \quad N_2 = |(j_2, \mathbf{J}^f)|^d = |(j'_2, \mathbf{J}^{f'})|^d.$$

Then there is $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{P}_S$ such that $(j_i, \mathbf{J}^f) = \mathbf{p}(j'_i, \mathbf{J}^{f'})$, $i = 1, 2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}R_A(N_1, N_2, \mathbf{J}^f) &= \tilde{I}_{\mathbf{p}(j'_2, \mathbf{J}^{f'})A - \mathbf{p}(j'_1, \mathbf{J}^{f'})A}(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d) - (N_2 - N_1)\mu(A) \\ &= \tilde{I}_{(j'_2, \mathbf{J}^{f'})A - (j'_1, \mathbf{J}^{f'})A}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{p}^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_S^d)) - (N_2 - N_1)\mu(A) \\ &= R_A(N_1, N_2, \mathbf{J}_2^{f'}),\end{aligned}$$

since $\mathbf{p}^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_S^d = \mathbb{Z}_S^d$. Therefore the choice of \mathbf{J}^f is not relevant to the definition of \mathcal{B}_ℓ .

Now, denote $B = (j_2, \mathbf{J}^f)A - (j_1, \mathbf{J}^f)A$ so that $\mu(B) = (N_2 - N_1)\mu(A)$.

By Proposition 4.2 (c), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} R_A^2(N_1, N_2, \mathbf{J}^f)(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d) d\mathbf{g} &= \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \tilde{I}_B^2 - 2\mu(B)\tilde{I}_B + \mu(B)^2 d\mathbf{g} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \tilde{I}_B d\mathbf{g} - \mu(B)^2 \leq C_{\mathfrak{F}} \mu(B) = C_{\mathfrak{F}} \mu(A)(N_2 - N_1).\end{aligned}$$

Put $C(A, d) = C_{\mathfrak{F}} \mu(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} \sum_{(N_1, N_2) \in K_\ell} R_A^2(N_1, N_2, \mathbf{J}^f)(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d) dg \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\ell} \sum_{u=0}^{2^{\ell-t}-1} \int_{\mathbf{G}/\Gamma} R_A^2(u2^t, (u+1)2^t, \mathbf{J}^f)(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d) dg \\ &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{\ell} C(A, d) 2^\ell = C(A, d)(\ell+1)2^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m(\mathcal{B}_\ell) &\leq \int_{\mathcal{B}_\ell} \frac{\sum_{(N_1, N_2) \in K_\ell} R_A^2(N_1, N_2, \mathbf{J}^f)(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d)}{(\ell+1)2^\ell \psi(\ell)} dg \\ &\leq \frac{C(A, d)(\ell+1)2^\ell}{(\ell+1)2^\ell \psi(\ell)} \leq C(A, d) \psi^{-1}(\ell). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.11. Define

$$\Psi := \{(j, \mathbf{J}^f) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1} \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{\mathbb{N}} : |(j, \mathbf{J}^f)|^d = N \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We first want to show that for a given $\delta > 0$, if $(j, \mathbf{J}^f) \in \Psi$ is large enough,

$$(5.2) \quad \left| \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d, (j, \mathbf{J}^f)A_0) - |(j, \mathbf{J}^f)|^d \right| = o(|(j, \mathbf{J}^f)|^{\frac{1}{2}d+\delta})$$

for almost all $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} is a fundamental domain of \mathbf{G}/Γ .

Choose a constant δ' such that $0 < \delta' < 2\delta/d$. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, define \mathcal{B}_ℓ as in Theorem 5.3 with $\mathfrak{F} = \{A_0\}$ and $\psi(\ell) = 2^{\ell\delta'}$. Since $\delta' > 0$,

$$\sum_{\ell} \mu(\mathcal{B}_\ell) \leq \sum_{\ell} 2^{-\ell\delta'} < \infty.$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, for almost all $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{F}$, there is $\ell_0 > 0$ such that if $\ell \geq \ell_0$, for any $\mathbf{J}^f \in \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $|\mathbf{J}^f|^d \leq 2^\ell$,

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{(N_1, N_2) \in K_\ell} R_{A_0}^2(N_1, N_2, \mathbf{J}^f)(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d) < (\ell+1)2^{\ell(1+\delta')}.$$

Let $|(j, \mathbf{J}^f)|^d = N \in \mathbb{N}$ and choose $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{\ell-1} \leq N < 2^\ell$. Note that N can be expressed as the summation of at most ℓ number of $(N_2 - N_1)$, $(N_1, N_2) \in K_\ell$, mutually distinct. Hence (5.2) is obtained from (5.3) and Cauchy inequality since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d, (j, \mathbf{J}^f)A_0) - |(j, \mathbf{J}^f)|^d \right|^2 &< \ell(\ell+1)2^{\ell(1+\delta')} \\ &< C(\log N)^2 N^{1+\delta'} = o(N^{1+2\delta/d}) = o(|(j, \mathbf{J}^f)|^{d+2\delta}) \end{aligned}$$

for some uniform constant $C > 0$ and $N \gg 1$.

For arbitrary large $\mathbf{T} = (T_p)_{p \in S}$, let $\mathbf{J}^f = (T_p)_{p \in S_f}$ and choose N and j , j' such that $N = |(j, \mathbf{J}^f)|^d \leq |\mathbf{T}|^d < N+1 = |(j', \mathbf{J}^f)|^d$. Then

$$\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d, (j, \mathbf{J}^f)A_0) - (N+1) \leq \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d, \mathbf{T}A_0) - |\mathbf{T}|^d \leq \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d, (j', \mathbf{J}^f)A_0) - N.$$

Since the upper and the lower bound is $o(|(j, J^f)|^{d/2+\delta})$, the midterm is also $o(|\mathbb{T}|^{d/2+\delta})$. \square

Before continuing to prove Theorem 2.10, let me introduce the following lemma, which is easily extendable to the S -arithmetic space.

Lemma 5.4. [18, Lemma 2.3] *For any finite-volume sets $A_1 \subseteq A \subseteq A_2 \subset \mathbb{Q}_S^d$ and any S -lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Q}_S^d$, it follows that*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{N}(\Lambda, A) - \mu(A)| \\ & \leq \max \{ |\mathbf{N}(\Lambda, A_1) - \mu(A_1)|, |\mathbf{N}(\Lambda, A_2) - \mu(A_2)| \} + \mu(A_2 - A_1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.10. Fix a bounded interval $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathbb{Q}_S$ and a compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} is a fundamental domain of \mathbf{G}/Γ . Take

$$A_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{T}} = \mathfrak{q}^{-1}(\mathfrak{l}) \cap B(O, \mathbb{T}),$$

where $B(O, \mathbb{T}) = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}_S^d : \|\mathbf{v}\|_p < T_p, p \in S \}$, so that $A_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{T}} \in \mathcal{F}_{prod}$, where \mathcal{F}_{prod} is defined in Proposition 4.2, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{T}) &= N(\mathbb{Z}_S^d, A_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{T}}), \\ \mathbf{V}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{T}) &= \mu(A_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{T}}). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.11, we will find a range of $(\gamma_\infty, \gamma_f)$ for which the set

$$\bigcap_{J_0} \bigcup_{\substack{J: \\ J \succeq J_0}} \left\{ \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{K} : \begin{array}{l} |\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{q}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{T}) - \mathbf{V}(\mathfrak{q}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{T})| > (T_\infty)^{\frac{d-2}{2}+\gamma_\infty} |\mathbb{T}^f|^{\frac{d-2}{2}+\gamma_f} \\ \text{for some } \mathbb{T} \in [j_\infty, j_\infty + 1) \times J^f \end{array} \right\}$$

is a null set, where J, J_0 are elements of $\mathbb{N} \times \prod_{p \in S_f} p^{\mathbb{N}}$. Put

$$\mathcal{C}_J = \left\{ \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{K} : \begin{array}{l} |\mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{q}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{T}) - \mathbf{V}(\mathfrak{q}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{T})| > (T_\infty)^{\frac{d-2}{2}+\gamma_\infty} |\mathbb{T}^f|^{\frac{d-2}{2}+\gamma_f} \\ \text{for some } \mathbb{T} \in [j_\infty, j_\infty + 1) \times J^f \end{array} \right\}.$$

For each $J = (j_\infty, p_1^{j_1}, \dots, p_s^{j_s})$, let $\varepsilon_1 = j_\infty^{-\alpha_\infty} |J^f|^{-\alpha_f}$ and $\varepsilon_2 = j_\infty^{-\beta_\infty} |J^f|^{-\beta_f}$, where $\alpha_\infty, \alpha_f, \beta_\infty$ and β_f are positive.

Covering of the space. There is a constant $C(\mathcal{K}) > 0$ (cf. [18, Lemma 2.1]) such that for each J , we can find a subset $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{K}, J)$ of \mathcal{K} for which

(i) $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h}, \varepsilon_1)$, where

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h}, \varepsilon_1) = \left(\{ g_\infty \in \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R}) : \|g_\infty\|_{op} \leq 1 + \varepsilon_1 \} \times \prod_{p \in S_f} G_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) \right) \cdot \mathfrak{h};$$

(ii) $\#\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{K}, J) < C(\mathcal{K}) \varepsilon_1^{-\frac{1}{2}(d+2)(d-1)}$.

Here, $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ is the operator norm and $G_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ if $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{UL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ or $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ if $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Note that the exponent $(d+2)(d-1)/2$ is the codimension of $\mathrm{SO}(d)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ since $\mathbf{G}/\Gamma \simeq \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{Z}) \times \prod_{p \in S_f} G_p(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Observe that each

$$\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_S^d : \mathfrak{q}_0^{\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathfrak{l}, \|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty < T_\infty \text{ and } \|\mathbf{v}\|_p = T_p, \forall p \in S_f$$

corresponds to

$$\mathbf{w}(= \mathfrak{g}\mathbf{v}) \in \mathfrak{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d : \mathfrak{q}_0^{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathbf{w}) \in \mathfrak{l}, \|\mathbf{w}\|_\infty < T_\infty(1 + \varepsilon_1) \text{ and } \|\mathbf{w}\|_p = T_p, \forall p \in S_f.$$

If we put $\mathsf{T}_1 = (T_\infty(1 - \varepsilon_1), \mathsf{T}^f)$ and $\mathsf{T}_2 = (T_\infty(1 + \varepsilon_1), \mathsf{T}^f)$, we have

$$A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}_1} \subseteq A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}} \subseteq A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}_2}.$$

By Lemma 5.4, since

$$\mu(A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}_2} - A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}_1}) \sim c_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h} \mu(l) 2(d-2) \varepsilon_1 |\mathsf{T}|^{d-2},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h}, \varepsilon_1) : \left| \mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}) - \mathbf{V}(\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}) \right| > (T_\infty)^{\frac{d-2}{2} + \gamma_\infty} |\mathsf{T}^f|^{\frac{d-2}{2} + \gamma_f} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \text{for some } \mathsf{T} \in [j_\infty, j_\infty + 1) \times \mathsf{J}^f \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h}, \varepsilon_1) : \left| \mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d, A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}}) - \mu(A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}}) \right| > (T_\infty)^{\frac{d-2}{2} + \gamma_\infty} |\mathsf{T}^f|^{\frac{d-2}{2} + \gamma_f} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \text{for some } \mathsf{T} \in [j_\infty - \varepsilon_1, (j_\infty + 1) + \varepsilon_1) \times \mathsf{J}^f \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

if $\varepsilon_1 |\mathsf{T}|^{d-2} < T_\infty^{(d-2)/2 + \gamma_\infty} |\mathsf{T}^f|^{(d-2)/2 + \gamma_f}$. Hence we need that

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{d-2}{2} + \gamma_\infty > (d-2) - \alpha_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d-2}{2} + \gamma_f > (d-2) - \alpha_f.$$

Transfer w.r.t. T . Let us divide the interval $[j_\infty - \varepsilon_1, (j_\infty + 1) + \varepsilon_1)$ into $\left(\lfloor \frac{1+2\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} \rfloor + 1\right)$ pieces. For each $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{1+2\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} \rfloor$, observe that

$$\mu\left(A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, ((j_\infty - \varepsilon_1) + (k+1)\varepsilon_2, \mathsf{J}^f)} - A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, ((j_\infty - \varepsilon_1) + k\varepsilon_2, \mathsf{J}^f)}\right) \sim c_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h} \mu(l) \varepsilon_2 j_\infty^{d-3} |\mathsf{J}^f|^{d-2}.$$

Again, by Lemma 5.4, if

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{d-2}{2} + \gamma_\infty > (d-3) - \beta_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d-2}{2} + \gamma_f > (d-2) - \beta_f,$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h}, \varepsilon_1) : \left| \mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d, A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}}) - \mu(A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}}) \right| > (T_\infty)^{\frac{d-2}{2} + \gamma_\infty} |\mathsf{T}^f|^{\frac{d-2}{2} + \gamma_f} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \text{for some } \mathsf{T} \in [j_\infty - \varepsilon_1, (j_\infty + 1) + \varepsilon_1) \times \mathsf{J}^f \right\} \\ & \subseteq \bigcup_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{1+2\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} \rfloor} \left\{ \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{h}, \varepsilon_1) : \left| \mathbf{N}(\mathfrak{g}\mathbb{Z}_S^d, A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}}) - \mu(A_{\mathfrak{q}_0^h, l, \mathsf{T}}) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. > (T_\infty)^{\frac{d-2}{2} + \gamma_\infty} |\mathsf{T}^f|^{\frac{d-2}{2} + \gamma_f} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \text{for } \mathsf{T} = ((j_\infty - \varepsilon_1) + k\varepsilon_2, \mathsf{J}^f) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, by Chebyshev's inequality with Proposition 4.2 (b) (see also (4.2)), it follows that

$$m(\mathcal{C}_J) \leq C_{\mathcal{F}_{prod}} j_\infty^{-2\gamma_\infty} |\mathsf{J}^f|^{-2\gamma_f} \times \varepsilon_1^{-\frac{1}{2}(d+2)(d-1)} \times \left\lfloor \frac{1+2\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} \right\rfloor.$$

Hence $\sum_{J \geq J_0} m(\mathcal{C}_J) \rightarrow 0$ as $J_0 \rightarrow \infty$ if

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & -2\gamma_\infty + \alpha_\infty \left(\frac{1}{2}(d+2)(d-1) \right) + \beta_\infty < -1 \quad \text{and} \\ & -2\gamma_f + \alpha_f \left(\frac{1}{2}(d+2)(d-1) \right) + \beta_f < 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6), the set $\bigcap_{J_0} \bigcup_{J \geq J_0} \mathcal{C}_J$ has measure zero if

$$\gamma_\infty, \gamma_f > \frac{d-2}{2} \cdot \frac{d^2 + d - 1}{d^2 + d + 4}.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Athreya and G. Margulis, *Logarithm laws for unipotent flows I*, J. Mod. Dyn. 3 (2009), no. 3, 359-378.
- [2] J. Athreya and G. Margulis, *Values of random polynomials at integer points*, (English summary) J. Mod. Dyn. 12 (2018), 9–16.
- [3] P. Bandi, A. Ghosh and J. Han, *A generic effective Oppenheim theorem for systems of forms* (preprint, [arXiv: 2003.06114](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.06114))
- [4] M. Björklund and A. Gorodnik, *Central limit theorems in the geometry of numbers*, Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. 24 (2017), 110-122.
- [5] M. Björklund and A. Gorodnik, *Central limit theorems for Diophantine approximants* (preprint, [arXiv:1804.06084](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.06084))
- [6] D. Dolgopyat, B. Fayad and I. Vinogradov, *Central limit theorems for simultaneous Diophantine approximations*, J. Éc. polytech. Math. 4 (2017), 1-36.
- [7] S. Dani and G. Margulis, *Limit distributions of orbits of unipotent flows and values of quadratic forms*, I. M. Gel'fand Seminar, 91-137, Adv. Soviet Math., 16, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [8] A. Eskin, G. Margulis and S. Mozes, *Upper bounds and asymptotics in a quantitative version of the Oppenheim conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) 147 (1998), no. 1, 93-141.
- [9] A. Ghosh, A. Gorodnik and A. Nevo, *Optimal Density for values of generic polynomial maps* (preprint, [arXiv:1801.01027](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.01027))
- [10] A. Ghosh and D. Kelmer, *A quantitative Oppenheim theorem for generic ternary quadratic forms*, J. Mod. Dyn. 12 (2018), 1-8.
- [11] A. Ghosh, D. Kelmer and S. Yu, *Effective density for inhomogeneous quadratic forms I: Generic Forms and fixed shifts*, IMRN (2020), [doi:10.1093/imrn/rnaa206](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnaa206)
- [12] A. Guilloux, *Polynomial dynamic and lattice orbits in S-arithmetic homogenous spaces*, Confluentes Math. 2 (2010), no. 1, 1-35.
- [13] J. Guo, *On lattice points in large convex bodies*, Acta Arith. 151 (2012), no. 1, 83-108.
- [14] J. Han, S. Lim, K. Mallahi-Karai, *Asymptotic distribution of values of isotropic quadratic forms at S-integral points*, Journal of Modern Dynamics, Volume 11, 2017, 501-550
- [15] A. Ivić, E. Krätzel, M. Kühleitner, W. Nowak *Lattice points in large regions and related arithmetic functions: recent developments in a very classic topic*, (English summary) Elementare und analytische Zahlentheorie, 89–128, Schr. Wiss. Ges. Johann Wolfgang Goethe Univ. Frankfurt am Main, 20, Franz Steiner Verlag Stuttgart, Stuttgart, 2006.
- [16] D. Kleinbock and G. Margulis, *Logarithm laws for flows on homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math. 138 (1999), no. 3, 451-494.
- [17] E. Krätzel, *Lattice points*, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series), 33, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1988. 320 pp.
- [18] D. Kelmer and S. Yu, *Values of random polynomials in shrinking targets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 373 (2020), no. 12, 8677-8695.
- [19] C. Rogers, *Mean values over the space of lattices*, Acta Math. 94 (1955), 249-287.
- [20] C. Rogers, *Two integral inequalities*, J. London Math. Soc. 31 (1956), 235-238.
- [21] C. Rogers, *The number of lattice points in a set*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 6 (1956), 305-320.
- [22] O. Sargent, *Equidistribution of values of linear forms on quadratic surfaces*, Algebra Number Theory, Volume 8, Number 4 (2014), 895-932.
- [23] W. Schmidt, *On the convergence of mean values over lattices*, Canad. J. Math. 10 (1958), 103-110.
- [24] W. Schmidt, *A metrical theorem in geometry of numbers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 516-529.
- [25] W. Schmidt, *Asymptotic formulae for point lattices of bounded determinant and subspaces of bounded height*, Duke Math. J. 35 (1968), 327-339.

- [26] N. Shah, *Limit distributions of expanding translates of certain orbits on homogenous spaces*, Proc. Indian. Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 106 (1996), no. 2, 105-125.
- [27] C. Siegel, *A mean value theorem in geometry of numbers*, Ann. Math. 46 (1945), 340-347.