

# Entropy and applications of the area law in open quantum systems

Tarek Khalil<sup>a, \*</sup>

and

Jean Richert<sup>b †</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences(V),  
Lebanese University, Nabatieh, Lebanon

<sup>b</sup> Institut de Physique, Université de Strasbourg,  
3, rue de l'Université, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex,  
France

October 2, 2019

## Abstract

Open quantum systems interact with their environment and their dynamical behaviour depends strongly both on the spectral properties of the environment and the structure of the interaction between the physical system and the environment. We examine the consequences of these spectral and structural properties on simple but general systems in the case of deterministic (non stochastic) interactions of arbitrary strength. The central point of interest concerns the role played by the semi-group property of the interaction in its relation with entropy and area laws properties of the system of interest.

Keywords: open quantum systems, time scales, divisibility property, area law, entropy, reversibility

PACS numbers: 03.65.-w, 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Yz, 65.40.-Gr

## 1 Introduction

The interaction between an open quantum system and its environment generates a response of the system which is due to the coupling between the two parts. An important amount of work concerning the evolution of such systems

---

\*E-mail address: tkhalil@ul.edu.lb

†E-mail address: j.mc.richert@gmail.com

has been developed over several decades. For a compilation and recent developments concerning Markov processes one may consult f.i. refs. [1, 2].

The evolution of an open quantum system depends strongly on the nature of the interaction, either stochastic or deterministic, and the possible structure of the interaction. Different aspects concerning the behaviour of the system in the latter case have been studied recently see f.i. [3, 4, 5]. There it came out that the structure of the interaction has indeed strong consequences concerning time delays, coherence, and energy transfer between the system and its environment.

In the present work we examine the evolution of the entropy. The interest in this concept has been raised in conjunction with the question of entanglement. The concept of entanglement entropy [6] says that the entropy of the reduced state of a subsystem grows proportionally to the boundary of the system and not proportionally to its volume as a priori expected, following a so called "area law". This point has led to a conjecture stated by Kitaev which has been proven some time ago [8]. It is the aim of the present work to examine the entropy content and evolution an open quantum in order to confirm this formal result and comment it for different types of systems in deterministic interaction with their environment.

The presentation of the results goes as follows. In section 2 we recall the proven conjecture. In section 3 we introduce the formal expression and properties of the density operators which govern the total system and the subsystem of interest. Sections 4 and 5 specializes to systems with specific dimensions, their entropy and the time evolution of the entropy. We comment the results and their consequences in section 6. Technical details concerning the calculations are shifted to appendices.

## 2 Entropy of time dependent open quantum systems

We consider a bipartite quantum system  $A$  and  $E$  in which  $A$  is the part of physical interest and  $E$  an environment. The two subsystems are entangled and the entanglement is generated by an interaction which works between the two parts. The study of these systems led to the concept of entanglement entropy and area laws [6]. In case of a dynamical evolution the maximum entanglement increase of such systems at time  $t = 0$  has been conjectured by Kitaev and proven later to be verified [7, 8]

$$\Gamma_{max} = \left. \frac{dS_A(t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \leq c \|\hat{H}_{AE}\| \log \delta \quad (1)$$

where  $\delta = \min(d_A, d_E)$ , the smallest dimension of  $A$  and  $E$  space,  $\|\hat{H}_{AE}\|$  is the norm of the interaction Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{AE}$  and  $c$  a constant of the order of

unity. The entropy related to  $A$  is given by

$$S_A(t) = -Tr_E[\hat{\rho}_{AE}(t) \ln \hat{\rho}_{AE}(t)] \quad (2)$$

where  $\hat{\rho}_{AE}(t)$  is the density operator in  $A \oplus E$  space.

In the following we aim to examine the behaviour of the entropy  $S_A(t)$  of specific open quantum systems over finite time intervals  $[0, \tau]$  and test relation (1).

### 3 The density operator of an open quantum system: general definition, divisibility property

#### 3.1 Definition

The general expression of the matrix elements of the density operator  $\hat{\rho}_{AE}(\tau)$  in  $(A \oplus E)$  space at time  $\tau$  can be written as

$$\rho_{\nu_1 \nu_2}^{j_1 j_2}(\tau) = \sum_{i_1 i_2} \sum_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} a_{i_1 \alpha_1} a_{i_2 \alpha_2}^* \langle j_1 \nu_1 | \hat{U}(\tau) | i_1 \alpha_1 \rangle \langle i_2 \alpha_2 | \hat{U}^*(\tau) | j_2 \nu_2 \rangle \quad (3)$$

where  $\hat{U}(\tau) = e^{-i\hat{H}\tau}$  and  $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_E + \hat{H}_{AE}$  is the total Hamiltonian of the subsystems ( $A$ ,  $E$ ) and their interaction, and  $a_{i_k \alpha_k}$  the amplitudes of the states  $|i_k\rangle$  and  $|\alpha_k\rangle$  of  $A \oplus E$  at time  $t = 0$ . Below we shall consider that  $\hat{H}_{AE}$  is a non stochastic interaction.

By definition  $A$  and  $E$  are entangled over the time interval  $t = [0, \tau]$ . The projected density operator is obtained by taking the trace over the states  $|\gamma\rangle$  in  $E$  space

$$\rho_A^{j_1 j_2}(\tau) = \sum_{i_1 i_2} \sum_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} a_{i_1 \alpha_1} a_{i_2 \alpha_2}^* \langle j_1 \gamma | \hat{U}(\tau) | i_1 \alpha_1 \rangle \langle i_2 \alpha_2 | \hat{U}^*(\tau) | j_2 \gamma \rangle \quad (4)$$

#### 3.2 Divisibility property concerning the time evolution of the system

It has been shown in ref. [4] that the time propagator  $U(\tau)$  is divisible, i.e. possesses a semi-group property in time under one of the following conditions:

- There is a unique state  $|\gamma\rangle$  in  $E$  space. This may be the case if f.i. the system  $E$  is in its ground state, see Appendix A.
- More generally the symmetry properties of  $\hat{H}_E$  and  $\hat{H}_{AE}$  are such that  $[\hat{H}_E, \hat{H}_{AE}] = 0$ . In this case the environment  $E$  stays at  $t = \tau$  in one of the states it occupied at  $t = 0$ , in practice  $E$  space it is reduced to a single state during the dynamical evolution of  $A \oplus E$ , see Appendix B.

## 4 Two-dimensional system $A$ - one dimensional environment $E$

We proceed now with the determination of the behaviour of the system  $A$ , the entropy and its time derivative in the restricted case where  $dim_A = 2$  and  $dim_E = 1$ .

### 4.1 The density matrix in $A+E$ space

The expression of the matrix elements of  $\hat{\rho}_{AE}(t)$  reads

$$\rho_{AE}^{j_1 j_2 \gamma}(t) = \sum_{i_1 i_2} h^{j_1 i_1 \gamma}(t) h^{*j_2 i_2 \gamma}(t) \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h^{j_1 i_1 \gamma}(t) &= a_{i_1 \gamma} \langle j_1 \gamma | e^{-i\hat{H}t} | i_1 \gamma \rangle \\ h^{*j_2 i_2 \gamma}(t) &= a_{i_2 \gamma}^* \langle i_2 \gamma | e^{+i\hat{H}t} | j_2 \gamma \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

### 4.2 The entropy for $dim_E = 1$ , $[\hat{H}_E, \hat{H}_{AE}] = 0$ at $t=0$

In order to obtain the entropy and its derivative we diagonalize the density matrix. The eigenvalues read

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{11}(t) &= (\rho_{AE}^{j_1 j_1 \gamma}(t) + \rho_{AE}^{j_2 j_2 \gamma}(t) - \Delta^{1/2})/2 \\ \sigma^{22}(t) &= (\rho_{AE}^{j_1 j_1 \gamma}(t) + \rho_{AE}^{j_2 j_2 \gamma}(t) + \Delta^{1/2})/2 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\Delta = (\rho_{AE}^{j_1 j_1 \gamma}(t) - \rho_{AE}^{j_2 j_2 \gamma}(t))^2 + 4\rho_{AE}^{j_1 j_2 \gamma}(t)\rho_{AE}^{j_2 j_1 \gamma}(t) \quad (8)$$

At time  $t = 0$  one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{11}(0) &= 0 \\ \sigma^{22}(0) &= |a_{j_1 \gamma}|^2 + |a_{j_2 \gamma}|^2 = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

if the states in  $A$  space are normalized.

Since it is a scalar quantity the entropy is the same in the original and diagonalized basis of states. Hence the entropy

$$S_A(0) = -Tr_E Tr_A S^{(d)}(0) = - \sum_{i=1,2} \sigma^{ii}(0) \ln \sigma^{ii}(0) = 0 \quad (10)$$

### 4.3 The entropy for $\dim_E = 1$ , $[\hat{H}_E, \hat{H}_{AE}] = 0$ at $t = \tau$

The same calculation can be also be performed at  $t = \tau$ . If  $\dim_E = 1$   $\hat{H}$  is diagonal in the basis of states in which  $\hat{H}_A$  and  $\hat{H}_E$  are diagonal. Indeed if  $[\hat{H}_E, \hat{H}_{AE}] = 0$  one can factorize the evolution operator  $\hat{U}(\tau)$

$$\hat{U}(\tau) = e^{-i\tau\tilde{H}_A} e^{-i\tau\hat{H}_E} = \hat{U}^A(t) e^{-i\tau\hat{H}_E} \quad (11)$$

The matrix elements of  $\tilde{H}_A = \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_{AE}$  in  $A$  space and  $\hat{H}_E$  are then of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j\gamma | \tilde{H}_A | k\gamma \rangle &= e_j \delta_{jk} + \delta e_{jk}(\gamma) \\ e_j &= \langle j | \hat{H}_A | j \rangle \\ \delta e_{jk}(\gamma) &= \langle j\gamma | \hat{H}_{AE} | k\gamma \rangle \\ \langle j\gamma | \hat{H}_E | j\gamma \rangle &= \eta_\gamma \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Since the entropy  $S^{(d)}(0)$  is calculated in the space  $A$  in which it is diagonal the time translation unitary operator  $\hat{U}^A(t)$  has to be rotated into the same basis of states. The corresponding unitary operator is called  $\hat{V}^A(t)$ . As a consequence

$$S_A(\tau) = Tr_A[\hat{V}^{A\dagger}(\tau) S^{(d)}(0) \hat{V}^A(\tau)] = S_A(0) = 0 \quad (13)$$

The result shows that the entropy comes out to be constant over a finite interval of time in both cases of interest.

### 4.4 Derivative of the entropy for $\dim_E = 1$ , $[\hat{H}_E, \hat{H}_{AE}] = 0$ at $t = 0$

There remains now to verify whether these results agree with the area law at  $t = 0$  given by Eq.(1).

The expression of the entropy of the subsystem  $A$  in the basis of states in which  $A$  is diagonal leads to the derivative

$$\left. \frac{dS_A^{(d)}(t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \left[ \frac{d\sigma^{11}(t)}{dt} (1 + \ln \sigma^{11}(t)) + \frac{d\sigma^{22}(t)}{dt} (1 + \ln \sigma^{22}(t)) \right] \Big|_{t=0} \quad (14)$$

The derivatives of  $\sigma^{ll}(t)$  are easily calculated with some algebra starting from the expressions given by Eq.(6) at time  $t = 0$ .

At  $t = 0$   $\sigma^{11}(0) = 0$  and this is also the case for its derivative and the derivative of  $\sigma^{22}(t)$ . This leads to

$$\left. \frac{dS_A^{(d)}(t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \left[ \frac{d\sigma^{22}(t)}{dt} (1 + \ln \sigma^{22}(t)) \right] \Big|_{t=0} = 0 \quad (15)$$

which is in agreement with Eq.(1) since  $\ln 0 = -\infty$  in this expression. The entropy stays constant and equal to zero over finite intervals of time hence we may conclude that its derivative stays equal to zero at any time  $\tau$ .

## 5 The entropy for $\dim_E = N \neq 1$ , $[\hat{H}_A, \hat{H}_{AE}] = 0$

Here we consider the case where the Hamiltonian of the system  $A$  commutes with the interaction  $\hat{H}_{AE}$  and  $\dim_E \geq 2$ . We rely on a model in which these conditions are realized and work out the entropy of the system  $A$  and its derivative.

Consider the system and its environment described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_E + \hat{H}_{AE} \quad (16)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_A &= \omega \hat{J}_z \\ \hat{H}_E &= \beta b^\dagger b \\ \hat{H}_{AE} &= \eta (b^\dagger + b) \hat{J}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $b^\dagger, b$  are boson operators,  $\omega$  is the rotation frequency of the system,  $\beta$  the quantum of energy of the oscillator and  $\eta$  the strength parameter in the coupling interaction between  $A$  and  $E$ .

Since  $\hat{J}_z$  and  $\hat{J}^2$  commute in the basis of states  $\{|jm\rangle\}$  the matrix elements of  $\hat{H}$  in  $A$  space read

$$\langle jm | \hat{H} | jm \rangle = \omega m + \beta b^\dagger b + \eta j(j+1)(b^\dagger + b) \quad (18)$$

The expression of the density operator  $\hat{\rho}_A(t)$  at time  $t$  is then obtained by taking the trace over the environment states of the total Hamiltonian  $\hat{\rho}(t)$  leading to

$$\hat{\rho}_A(t) = \text{Tr}_E \hat{\rho}(t) \quad (19)$$

whose matrix elements read

$$\rho_A^{jm_1, jm_2}(t) = \rho_0^{jm_1, jm_2}(t) \Omega_E(j, j, t) \quad (20)$$

with

$$\rho_0^{jm_1, jm_2}(t) = e^{[-i\omega(m_1 - m_2)]t} / (2j + 1) \quad (21)$$

The bosonic environment contribution can be put in the following form

$$\Omega_E(j, j, t) = \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{n', n''} \frac{E_{n, n'}(j, t) E_{n'', n}^*(j_2, t)}{[(n!)(n'')]^{1/2}} \quad (22)$$

The expression is exact. The Zassenhaus development formulated in Appendix D was used in order to work out the  $\Omega_E$  [17]. The expressions of the polynomials  $E_{n, n'}(t)$  and  $E_{n'', n}^*(t)$  are developed in Appendix E.

The entropy  $S_A(t) = -Tr_E \hat{\rho}(t) \ln \hat{\rho}(t)$  can be worked out analytically. For  $j = 1/2$  and in the frame in which  $\rho_0^{j^{m_1}, j^{m_2}}(t)$  is diagonal it reads

$$S_A(t) = -\Omega_E(j, j, t) \ln \Omega_E(j, j, t) \quad (23)$$

As an example we restrict the bosonic space to  $N = 1$ , i.e. a subsystem  $E$  of dimension 2

$$\Omega_E(j, j, t) = \Pi(t) e^{2\Psi(t)} \quad (24)$$

The algebraic expression of  $\Omega_E$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(t) = & 2[1 + \alpha(t)(\zeta^2(t) + \zeta(t) - 1) + 2\alpha^2(t)(1 - \zeta(t))] \\ & + \zeta(t)[\zeta^3(t) + \zeta^2(t) + \zeta(t) - 1] + \alpha^4(t)(\zeta^4(t) - 1) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

using the expressions given in Appendix E.

The calculations of the entropy at  $t = 0$  leads to

$$S_A(t)|_{t=0} = -2 \ln 2 \quad (26)$$

and shows an intricate oscillatory behaviour for  $t \neq 0$  which may be periodic in time or not depending on the commensurability of the oscillating functions  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\zeta(t)$ . The derivative of the entropy can be worked out in a similar manner

$$dS_A(t)/dt|_{t=0} = -\gamma(\ln 2 + 1) \quad (27)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\Gamma = dS_A(t)/dt|_{t=0} = -3/4(1 + 1/\ln 2)\eta \ln 2 \quad (28)$$

since  $j = 1/2$ ,  $\gamma = j(j+1)\eta$ , and  $\eta$  is the strength of the interaction Hamiltonian. One recognizes here the expression  $\Gamma_{max}$  of the Kitaev conjecture shown in Eq.1. [7, 8]. If  $\eta$  is positive  $\Gamma$  is negative, hence smaller than  $\Gamma_{max}$ . If  $\eta$  is negative the equal sign shows that  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{max}$  in Eq.28. Introducing  $c = 3/4(1 + 1/\ln 2)$  shows that this constant is close to  $c = 1$  and one may conjecture that it decreases with increasing  $dim_d$  so that  $c(d)$  in Eq.1 decreases as expected by the theory, see [7].

The dimension of the bosonic environment space can evidently be extended to any dimension  $N$ .

## 6 Comments and physical interpretation of the results, conclusion

The present results lead to a certain number of comments:

- The present process for  $\dim_E = 1$  could be experimentally realized if the spectrum of subsystem  $E$  could be reduced to the ground state at very low temperature or if the coupling Hamiltonian between the two subsystems would commute with the Hamiltonian of the bath. One may however notice that both processes may be difficult to implement experimentally.
- The present results were restricted to  $\dim_A = 2$ . They can be generalized to any dimension of  $A$  space. The behaviour of the entropy and its derivative at  $t = 0$  should not change. This is expected because the restriction to a one-dimensional  $E$  space forbids any energy exchange between the system and its environment keeping the entropy constant.
- Generalization to higher dimensions in  $E$  space: if  $[\hat{H}_E, \hat{H}_{AE}] = 0$  the subsystem  $E$  stays in the state in which it lived at time  $t = 0$ , see Appendix B. If however the states in  $A$  and  $E$  space are entangled at time  $t = 0$  this is not necessarily the case. Then the subsystem  $E$  generates memory effects which can be interpreted as due to "jumps" from one state to the other and this should generate entropy in subsystem  $A$ , see Appendix C. It is expected that the entropy changes over a finite interval of time.
- Last the constancy of  $S_A(\tau)$ , equal to zero or finite, may be related to reversibility of the dynamical process [9, 10]. There is no energy exchange between the  $A$  and  $E$  subsystem in this case even though energy may be stored in  $\hat{H}_{AE}$ .
- In the case where  $[\hat{H}_A, \hat{H}_{AE}] = 0$  the model shows a very different behaviour of the entropy of the subsystem  $A$  in section 5, independently of the size of  $E$  space. There the entropy is finite and reversibility does no longer survive. The results are in agreement with those predicted by the area law.
- The model introduced in this case was restricted to a more or less realistic physical case corresponding to the description of a non decohering system. The introduction of a more realistic coupling in which the operator  $\hat{J}^2$  would f.i. be replaced by  $\hat{J}_z$  would however not change the qualitative behaviour of the entropy.
- The general case corresponding to  $[\hat{H}_A, \hat{H}_{AE}] \neq 0$  and  $[\hat{H}_E, \hat{H}_{AE}] \neq 0$  leads to a strongly model dependent behaviour.

In the present work we examined the properties of some specific tractable types of open quantum systems concerning their evolution with time and questioned the prediction of area laws which are related to entanglement entropy.



We worked out these quantities on simple physical systems and examined explicitly the connection between these concepts. We showed in particular the role played by the structure of the environment of the examined system and the crucial importance of the properties of the interaction acting between the system and its environment.

For reasons of completeness we repeat in the following appendices results which have already been shown in former work [19].

## 7 Appendix A: Divisibility when $\dim_E = 1$

Here we consider the case where an open system possesses the divisibility property. Its evolution is described by a density operator  $\hat{\rho}_A(t)$  which evolves in time under the action of the evolution operator  $\hat{T}(t, 0)$

$$\hat{\rho}_A(t) = \hat{T}(t, 0)\hat{\rho}_A(0) \quad (29)$$

At time  $t > 0$  the reduced density operator in  $A$  space is  $\hat{\rho}_A(t) = Tr_E[\hat{\rho}(t)]$  where  $\hat{\rho}(t)$  is the density operator of the total system  $S+E$ . Under the assumption that subsystems  $A$  and  $E$  do not interact at time  $t = 0$  it can be written [11]

$$\hat{\rho}_A(t) = \sum_{i_1, i_2} c_{i_1} c_{i_2}^* \hat{\Phi}_{i_1, i_2}(t, 0) \quad (30)$$

with

$$\hat{\Phi}_{i_1, i_2}(t, 0) = \sum_{j_1, j_2} C_{(i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)}(t, 0) |j_1\rangle_S \langle j_2| \quad (31)$$

where the super matrix  $C$  reads

$$C_{(i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)}(t, 0) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma} d_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} U_{(i_1 j_1), (\alpha_1 \gamma)}(t, 0) U_{(i_2 j_2), (\alpha_2 \gamma)}^*(t, 0) \quad (32)$$

where  $d_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$  is the weight attached to the states  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} U_{(i_1 j_1), (\alpha_1 \gamma)}(t, 0) &= \langle j_1 \gamma | \hat{U}(t, 0) | i_1 \alpha_1 \rangle \\ U_{(i_2 j_2), (\alpha_2 \gamma)}^*(t, 0) &= \langle i_2 \alpha_2 | \hat{U}^*(t, 0) | j_2 \gamma \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The divisibility criterion reads

$$\hat{\rho}_A(t, 0) = \hat{T}(t, \tau) \hat{T}(\tau, 0) \hat{\rho}_A(0) \quad (34)$$

with  $\tau$  in the interval  $[0, t]$ .

For this to be realized the following relation must be verified by the super matrix  $C$

$$C_{(i_1, i_2), (k_1, k_2)}(t, 0) = \sum_{j_1, j_2} C_{(i_1, i_2), (j_1, j_2)}(t_s, 0) C_{(j_1, j_2), (k_1, k_2)}(t, t_s) \quad (35)$$

Using the explicit expression of the super matrix  $C$  given by Eqs.(30-31) the divisibility constraint in Eq.(33) for fixed states  $(i_1, i_2)$ ,  $(k_1, k_2)$  imposes the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma} d_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} U_{(i_1 k_1), (\alpha_1 \gamma)}(t) U_{(i_2 k_2), (\alpha_2 \gamma)}^*(t) &= \sum_{j_1, j_2} \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2} d_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} d_{\beta_1, \beta_2} \\ \sum_{\gamma, \delta} U_{(j_1 k_1), (\beta_1 \delta)}(t - t_s) U_{(i_1 j_1), (\alpha_1 \gamma)}(t_s) U_{(j_2 k_2), (\beta_2 \delta)}^*(t - t_s) U_{(i_2 j_2), (\alpha_2 \gamma)}^*(t_s) & \quad (36) \end{aligned}$$

In order to find a solution to this equality and without loss of generality we consider the case where the density matrix in  $E$  space is diagonal. Then the equality reads

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha, \gamma} d_{\alpha, \alpha} U_{(i_1 k_1), (\alpha \gamma)}(t) U_{(i_2 k_2), (\alpha \gamma)}^*(t) &= \sum_{j_1, j_2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} d_{\alpha, \alpha} d_{\beta, \beta} \\ \sum_{\gamma, \delta} U_{(j_1 k_1), (\beta \delta)}(t - t_s) U_{(i_1 j_1), (\alpha \gamma)}(t_s) U_{(j_2 k_2), (\beta \delta)}^*(t - t_s) U_{(i_2 j_2), (\alpha \gamma)}^*(t_s) & \quad (37) \end{aligned}$$

A sufficient condition to realize the equality is obtained if  $d_{\beta, \beta} = d_{\alpha, \alpha}$  and consequently if the weights  $d$  on both sides are to be the same one ends up with  $d_{\alpha, \alpha} = 1$ . This last condition imposes a unique state in  $E$  space, say  $|\eta\rangle$ . In this case  $d_{\eta, \eta} = 1$  and Eq.(36) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} U_{(i_1 k_1), (\eta \eta)}(t) U_{(i_2 k_2), (\eta \eta)}^*(t) &= \sum_{j_1} U_{(i_1 j_1), (\eta \eta)}(t_s) U_{(j_1 k_1), (\eta \eta)}(t - t_s) \\ & \quad \sum_{j_2} U_{(j_2 k_2), (\eta \eta)}^*(t - t_s) U_{(i_2 j_2), (\eta \eta)}^*(t_s) \quad (38) \end{aligned}$$

which proves the equality.

The interaction Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{AE}$  generates entanglement between the system  $A$  and the environment  $E$ . This coupling is also the source of time retardation memory effects in the time behaviour of the system  $A$ . One may ask how the absence of retardation imposed by the strict divisibility constraint is correlated with the entanglement induced by the coupling between the two systems.

When divisibility is strictly verified by means of the sufficient condition found above the matrix elements of  $\hat{\rho}(t)$  take the form

$$\rho_A^{j_1, j_2}(t) = \sum_{i_1, i_2} a_{i_1, \eta} a_{i_2, \eta}^* \langle j_1 \eta | \hat{U}(t) | i_1 \eta \rangle \langle i_2 \eta | \hat{U}^*(t) | j_2 \eta \rangle | j_1 \rangle \langle j_2 | \quad (39)$$

In this case considered in the text entanglement between  $A$  and  $E$  is reduced to the coupling of the system to a one-dimensional environment space. The Hilbert space of the total system  $A + E$  reduces in practice to dimension  $d + 1$  where  $d$  is the dimension of  $A$ .

## 8 Appendix B: Divisibility when $[\hat{H}_E, \hat{H}_{AE}] = 0$

We show now that the semi-group (divisibility) property can be realized even if there is more than one state in  $E$  space. To see this we introduce the explicit expression of the master equation which governs an open quantum system in a time local regime. Its expression reads [12, 13, 23, 24, 25]

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\rho}_A(t) = \sum_n \hat{L}_n \hat{\rho}_A(t) \hat{R}_n^\dagger \quad (40)$$

where  $\hat{L}_n$  and  $\hat{R}_n$  are time independent operators.

Using the general form of the density operator  $\hat{\rho}_S(t)$  given by Eqs. (29-30)

$$\hat{\rho}_A^{j_1 j_2}(t) = \sum_{i_1 i_2} c_{i_1} c_{i_2}^* \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma} d_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \langle j_1 \gamma | \hat{U}(t, t_0) | i_1 \alpha_1 \rangle \langle i_2 \alpha_2 | \hat{U}^*(t, t_0) | j_2 \gamma \rangle \quad (41)$$

and taking its time derivative leads to two contributions to the matrix elements of the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{A1}^{j_1 j_2}(t) &= (-i) \sum_{i_1 i_2} c_{i_1} c_{i_2}^* \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} d_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sum_{\beta \gamma k_1} \langle j_1 \gamma | \hat{H} | k_1 \beta \rangle \\ &\quad \langle k_1 \beta | e^{-i\hat{H}(t-t_0)} | i_1 \alpha_1 \rangle \langle i_2 \alpha_2 | e^{i\hat{H}(t-t_0)} | j_2 \gamma \rangle \\ \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{A2}^{j_1 j_2}(t) &= (+i) \sum_{i_1 i_2} c_{i_1} c_{i_2}^* \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} d_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \sum_{\beta \gamma k_2} \langle j_1 \gamma | e^{-i\hat{H}(t-t_0)} | i_1 \alpha_1 \rangle \\ &\quad \langle i_2 \alpha_2 | e^{i\hat{H}(t-t_0)} | k_2 \beta \rangle \langle k_2 \beta | \hat{H} | j_2 \gamma \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\rho}_A^{j_1j_2}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}[\rho_{A1}^{j_1j_2}(t) + \rho_{A2}^{j_1j_2}(t)] \quad (43)$$

From the explicit expression of the density operator matrix element given by Eqs. (41-43) one sees that the structure of the master equation given by Eq.(40) which induces the divisibility can only be realized if  $|\beta\rangle = |\gamma\rangle$ . Two general solutions can be found:

- There is only one state  $|\gamma\rangle$  in  $E$  space. This result has already been seen on the expression of the density operator above(see Appendix A).
- If the environment stays in a fixed state  $|\gamma\rangle$ , i.e. if the Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H} = \hat{H}_E + \hat{H}_{AE}$  is diagonal in a basis of states in which  $\hat{H}_E$  is diagonal. Then, if the system starts in a given state  $|\gamma\rangle$  it will stay in this state over the whole interval of time and the density operator will be characterized by a definite index  $\gamma$ ,  $\hat{\rho}_{A\gamma}(t)$ . The central point to notice here is the fact that this happens if  $[\hat{H}_E, \hat{H}_{AE}] = 0$ . The result is also valid if the subsystems  $A$  and  $E$  are entangled from the start at  $t = 0$ .

## 9 Appendix C: Memory effects for $dim_E \neq 1$

- Memory effects and absence of divisibility: two-time approach

We use the projection formalism [14, 16, 21, 22] and the expression developed in Appendix A in order to analyze the time evolution of the density operator of the total system  $A + E$

$$\hat{\rho}(t, t_0) = \sum_{i_1, i_2} c_{i_1} c_{i_2}^* \sum_{\alpha} d_{\alpha\alpha} U(t, t_0) |i_1 \alpha\rangle \langle i_2 \alpha| U^\dagger(t, t_0) \quad (44)$$

We write the expression of  $\hat{\rho}(t, t_0)$  in a basis of states in which  $\hat{H}_E$  is diagonal.

We introduce projection operators  $\hat{P}$  and  $\hat{Q}$  in  $E$  space such that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t, t_0) &= \sum_{k=1}^n |\gamma_k\rangle \langle \gamma_k| \hat{\rho}(t, t_0) \\ \hat{Q}\hat{\rho}(t, t_0) &= \sum_{l=n+1}^N |\gamma_l\rangle \langle \gamma_l| \hat{\rho}(t, t_0) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where  $N$  is the total finite or infinite number of states in  $E$  space and  $\hat{P} + \hat{Q} = \hat{I}$  where  $\hat{I}$  is the identity operator.

The evolution of the density operator is given the Liouvillian equation

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}(t, t_0)}{dt} = \hat{L}(t)\hat{\rho}(t, t_0) = -i[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}(t, t_0)] \quad (46)$$

Projecting this equation respectively on  $\hat{P}$  and  $\hat{Q}$  subspaces leads to a set of two coupled equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t, t_0)}{dt} &= \hat{P}\hat{L}(t)\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t, t_0) + \hat{P}\hat{L}(t)\hat{Q}\hat{\rho}(t, t_0)(a) \\ \frac{d\hat{Q}\hat{\rho}(t, t_0)}{dt} &= \hat{Q}\hat{L}(t)\hat{Q}\hat{\rho}(t, t_0) + \hat{Q}\hat{L}(t)\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t, t_0)(b) \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Choosing  $t_0 = 0$  in order to simplify the equations and solving formally the second equation gives

$$\hat{Q}\hat{\rho}(t) = e^{\hat{Q}\hat{L}(t)t}\hat{Q}\hat{\rho}(t=0) + \int_0^t dt' e^{\hat{Q}\hat{L}(t')t'}\hat{Q}\hat{L}(t')\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t-t') \quad (48)$$

If inserted into the first equation one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t)}{dt} &= \hat{P}\hat{L}(t)\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t) + \hat{P}\hat{L}(t)e^{\hat{Q}\hat{L}(t)t}\hat{Q}\hat{\rho}(0) + \hat{P}\hat{L}(t) * \\ &\quad \int_0^t dt' e^{\hat{Q}\hat{L}(t')t'}\hat{Q}\hat{L}(t')\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t-t') \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

This first order two-time integro-differential equation reduces to an ordinary one-time differential equation under one of the the following conditions:

- There is only one state  $|\gamma\rangle$  in  $E$  space. Then  $\dim\hat{P} = 1$  and  $\dim\hat{Q} = 0$ . As a consequence Eq.(46) reduces to

$$\frac{d\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t)}{dt} = i\hat{P}[\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t), \hat{H}] \quad (50)$$

- The density operator at  $t = 0$  is such that  $\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(0)\hat{Q} = 0$ , i.e.  $\hat{\rho}(0)$  is block diagonal and furthermore  $[\hat{H}_E, \hat{H}_{AE}] = 0$  in a basis of states in which  $\hat{H}_E$  is diagonal. Then  $\hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{Q} = 0$  and in the second terms of Eqs.(47a) and (47b),  $\hat{P}[\hat{Q}\hat{\rho}(t), \hat{H}] = 0$  and  $\hat{Q}[\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t), \hat{H}] = 0$ . This eliminates the second terms in Eqs.(47) which decouple.

Hence the evolution of the P-projected density operator  $\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t)$  is local in time and possesses the divisibility property. This result is again in agreement with the results obtained above and also with ref. [15]. Finally the evolution of the density operator in  $A$  space  $\hat{\rho}_A(t)$  is governed by

$$Tr_{PE} \frac{d\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t)}{dt} = iTr_{PE} \hat{P}[\hat{P}\hat{\rho}(t), \tilde{H}]$$

where  $PE$  stands for the  $P$  projection of  $E$  space and  $\tilde{H} = \hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_{AE}$ .

- Memory effects and absence of divisibility: one-time approach

Consider the case  $[\hat{H}_E, \hat{H}_{AE}] \neq 0$  in a basis of states in which  $\hat{H}_E$  is diagonal. The violation of divisibility is then realized because  $\hat{H}_{AE}$  possesses non-diagonal elements. Then the evolution of the density matrix is described by a master equation whose matrix elements for a fixed state  $|\gamma\rangle$  in  $E$  space depends on a unique time variable and takes the form

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}_{A\gamma}^{ik}(t)}{dt} = (-i)[\hat{H}_d^\gamma, \hat{\rho}_{A\gamma}(t)]^{ik} + (-i)\left[\sum_{\beta \neq \gamma} [\Omega_{\gamma\beta}^{ik}(t) - \Omega_{\beta\gamma}^{ik}(t)]\right] \quad (51)$$

where  $\hat{H}_d^\gamma$  is the diagonal part in  $E$  space of  $\hat{H}$  for fixed  $\gamma$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\gamma\beta}^{ik}(t) &= \sum_j \langle i\gamma | \hat{H}_{AE} | \beta j \rangle \langle j\beta | \hat{\rho}(t) | \gamma k \rangle \\ \Omega_{\beta\gamma}^{ik}(t) &= \sum_j \langle i\gamma | \hat{\rho}(t) | \beta j \rangle \langle j\beta | \hat{H}_{AE} | \gamma k \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

In the present formulation the master equation depends on a unique time variable although it describes a non divisible process. Physically it is the fact for the environment to get the opportunity to "jump" from a state  $|\gamma\rangle$  to another state  $|\beta\rangle$  which produces necessarily a time delay. This time delay induces the violation of the semi-group property when this delay is absent in the process. Here the strength of the violation is measured by the strength of the non-diagonal elements.

## 10 Appendix D: the Zassenhaus development

If  $X = -i(t - t_0)(\hat{H}_A + \hat{H}_E)$  and  $Y = -i(t - t_0)\hat{H}_{AE}$

$$e^{X+Y} = e^X \otimes e^Y \otimes e^{-c_2(X,Y)/2!} \otimes e^{-c_3(X,Y)/3!} \otimes e^{-c_4(X,Y)/4!} \dots \quad (53)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(X, Y) &= [X, Y] \\ c_3(X, Y) &= 2[[X, Y], Y] + [[X, Y], X] \\ c_4(X, Y) &= 3[[[X, Y], Y], Y] + 3[[[X, Y], X], Y] + [[[X, Y], X], X], \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

The series has an infinite number of term which can be generated iteratively in a straightforward way [18]. If  $[X, Y] = 0$  the truncation at the third term leads to the factorisation of the  $X$  and the  $Y$  contribution. If  $[X, Y] = c$  where  $c$  is a  $c$ -number the expression corresponds to the well-known Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula.

## 11 Appendix E: The bosonic content of the density operator

The expressions of the bosonic contributions to the density matrix  $\rho_s^{jm_1, jm_2}(t)$  are given by

$$E_{n, n'}(j, t) = e^{-i\beta t} \sum_{n \geq n_2, n_3 \geq n_2} \sum_{n_3 \geq n_4, n' \geq n_4} (-i)^{n+n_3} (-1)^{n'+n_2-n_4} \frac{n! n'! (n_3!)^2 [\alpha(t)^{n+n_3-2n_2}] [\zeta(t)^{n_3+n'-2n_4}]}{(n-n_2)! (n_3-n_4)! (n_3-n_2)! (n'-n_4)!} e^{\Psi(t)} \quad (54)$$

and

$$E_{n', n}^*(t; j) = e^{i\beta t} \sum_{n'' \geq n_2, n_3 \geq n_2} \sum_{n_3 \geq n_4, n \geq n_4} i^{n''+n_3} (-1)^{n+n_2-n_4} \frac{n''! n! (n_3!)^2 [\alpha(t)^{n''+n_3-2n_2}] [\zeta(t)^{n+n_3-2n_4}]}{(n''-n_2)! (n_3-n_2)! (n_3-n_4)! (n-n_4)!} e^{\Psi(t)} \quad (55)$$

The different quantities which enter these expressions are

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{\gamma(j) \sin \beta t}{\beta} \quad (56)$$

$$\zeta(t) = \frac{\beta [1 - \cos \gamma(j)t]}{\gamma(j)} \quad (57)$$

$$\gamma(j) = \eta j(j+1) \quad (58)$$

$$\Psi(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\gamma^2(j) \sin^2(\beta t)}{\beta^2} + \frac{\beta^2 (1 - \cos \gamma(j)t)^2}{\gamma^2(j)} \right] \quad (59)$$

## References

- [1] Ángel Rivas, Susana F. Huelga and Martin B. Plenio, Rep.Prog. Phys. 77 (2014) 094001
- [2] Felix A. Pollock, César Rodríguez-Rozario, Thomas Frauenheim, Mauro Paternostro and Kavan Modi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, (2018) 040405
- [3] T. Khalil, J. Richert arXiv:1408.6352 [quant-ph]
- [4] T. Khalil, J. Richert arXiv:1605.09555 [quant-ph]
- [5] T. Khalil, J. Richert arXiv:1701.06027 [quant-ph]
- [6] J. Eisert, M. Cramer and M.B. Plenio, Rev.Mod.Phys. 82 (2010) 277
- [7] Sergey Bravyi, Phys. Rev. A 76 (2007) 052319 and refs. therein
- [8] Karel Van Acoleyen, Michaël Mariën and Frank Verstraete, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 170501
- [9] R. Zwanzig, "Ensemble Method in the Theory of Irreversibility", J. Chem. Phys. 33 (1960) 1338
- [10] R. Zwanzig, "Non Equilibrium Mechanics", Oxford University Press, 2001
- [11] Vladimir Bužek, Phys. Rev. A 58 (1998) 1723
- [12] G. Lindblad, Comm. Mat. Phys. 48 (1976) 119
- [13] V. Gorini, A. Kossakowski, E.C.G. Sudarshan, J. Math. Phys. 17 (1976) 821
- [14] S. Nakajima, "On Quantum Theory of Transport Phenomena", Prog. Theor. Phys. (1958) 20 (6): 948-959
- [15] Dariusz Chruściński and Andrzej Kossakowski, Eur. Phys J. D (2014)68:7
- [16] Dariusz Chruściński and Andrzej Kossakowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 050402
- [17] H. Zassenhaus, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 13 (1940) 1 - 100
- [18] Fernando Casas, Ander Murua, Mladen Nadinic, Computer Physics Communications 183, (2012) 2386
- [19] T. Khalil, J. Richert arXiv:1902.03929 [quant-ph]
- [20] Masuo Suzuki, Commun. math. Phys. 57 (1977) 193
- [21] R. Zwanzig, "Ensemble Method in the Theory of Irreversibility", J. Chem. Phys. 33 (1960) 1338



- [22] Inés de Vega, Daniel Alonso, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 15001 (2017),  
arXiv:1511.06994v1 [quant-ph]
- [23] E.-M. Laine, K. Luoma, J. Piilo, *cientific Reports* (2014) 4620
- [24] Michael J. W. Hall, James D. Cresser, Li Li, Erika Anderson, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, (2014) 042120
- [25] Philip Pearle, arXiv:1204.2016v1[math-ph]