

PERIODIC CONTINUED FRACTIONS OVER S -INTEGERS IN NUMBER FIELDS AND SKOLEM'S p -ADIC METHOD

BRADLEY W. BROCK, NOAM D. ELKIES, AND BRUCE W. JORDAN

ABSTRACT. We generalize the classical theory of periodic continued fractions (PCFs) over \mathbf{Z} to rings \mathcal{O} of S -integers in a number field. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta, \beta^*\}$ be the multi-set of roots of a quadratic polynomial in $\mathcal{O}[x]$. We show that PCFs $P = [b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}]$ of type (N, k) potentially converging to a limit in \mathcal{B} are given by \mathcal{O} -points on an affine variety $V := V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ generically of dimension $N + k - 2$. We give the equations of V in terms of the continuant polynomials of Wallis and Euler. The integral points $V(\mathcal{O})$ are related to writing matrices in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ as products of elementary matrices. We give an algorithm to determine if a PCF converges and, if so, to compute its limit.

Our standard example generalizes the PCF $\sqrt{2} = [1, \overline{2}]$ to the \mathbf{Z}_2 -extension of \mathbf{Q} : $F_n = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_n)$, $\alpha_n := 2 \cos(2\pi/2^{n+2})$, with integers $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathbf{Z}[\alpha_n]$. We want to find the PCFs of α_{n+1} over \mathcal{O}_n of type (N, k) by finding the \mathcal{O}_n -points on $V(\mathcal{B}_{n+1})_{N,k}$ for $\mathcal{B}_{n+1} := \{\alpha_{n+1}, -\alpha_{n+1}\}$. There are three types $(N, k) = (0, 3), (1, 2), (2, 1)$ such that the associated PCF variety $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ is a curve; we analyze these curves. For generic \mathcal{B} , Siegel's theorem implies that each of these three $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}(\mathcal{O})$ is finite. We find all the \mathcal{O}_n -points on these PCF curves $V(\mathcal{B}_{n+1})_{N,k}$ for $n = 0, 1$. When $n = 1$ we make extensive use of Skolem's p -adic method for $p = 2$, including its application to Ljunggren's equation $x^2 + 1 = 2y^4$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *regular* (or *simple*) continued fraction $[c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots]$ is one where $c_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $c_i > 0$ for $i > 0$. It is a classical fact that all regular continued fractions converge. Every irrational real number has a unique representation as a regular continued fraction. By a celebrated theorem of Lagrange [Lag70], a real number α is a quadratic irrational if and only if its regular continued fraction is eventually periodic, that is, of the form $P = [b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}]$. The eventually periodic continued fraction P – henceforth simply called periodic – is of *type* (N, k) and of *period* k . A famous example of this is the continued fraction $\sqrt{2} = [1, \overline{2}]$ of type $(1, 1)$.

In this paper we generalize the theory of periodic continued fractions (PCFs) from \mathbf{Z} to the S -integers \mathcal{O} of a number field K . We establish the foundations of periodic \mathcal{O} -continued fractions in terms of products of 2×2 matrices over \mathcal{O} . A PCF P over \mathcal{O} (or an \mathcal{O} -PCF) formally satisfies a quadratic polynomial $Q(x) \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ with multi-set of roots $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta, \beta^*\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$. We say that P has roots \mathcal{B} . If P actually converges to a limit $\hat{\beta}$, then $\hat{\beta} \in \mathcal{B}$. Requiring that $P = [y_1, \dots, y_N, \overline{x_1, \dots, x_k}]$ formally satisfy $Q(x) = Ax^2 + Bx + C \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ with roots \mathcal{B} defines a *PCF variety* $V := V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{N,k} := \mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{A}^k$ generically of dimension $N + k - 2$. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ but $\beta = \sqrt{\alpha} \notin \mathcal{O}$, we write $V(\alpha)_{N,k}$ for $V(\{\beta, -\beta\})_{N,k}$. We give the equations of these PCF varieties using the continuant polynomials of Wallis [Wal56, p. 191] and Euler [Eul44, pp. 103, 106]. An \mathcal{O} -PCF $[b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}]$ with roots

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G30; Secondary 11C20.

Key words and phrases. periodic continued fraction, Skolem's p -adic method, Ljunggren's equation, diophantine equations.

\mathcal{B} corresponds to a point $(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k) \in V(\mathcal{O})$. Unlike the classical theory of regular \mathbf{Z} -PCFs, here β can have infinitely many \mathcal{O} -PCFs of type (N, k) . This leads to questions about the integral points on the varieties $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ – for example, are the \mathcal{O} -points degenerate, i.e., is their Zariski closure a proper subvariety? As a first result on the geometry of $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$, we show that it fibers over Fermat-Pell conic curves in Theorem 3.5.

But there is more than the algebraic theory: one also has to worry about convergence, which is delicate. For example, $[1, \overline{-1, 2}]$ does not converge, but $[1, \overline{-2, 2}]$ does. We formulate classical convergence conditions as Algorithm 1 which is easy to apply, deferring the proofs to an appendix. The criteria for convergence involve both algebraic conditions and inequalities. For *any* \mathcal{B} we define an affine variety $V_{N,k}$ in Definition 3.1 which is a divisor on $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ having the property that all points on $V_{N,k}$ correspond to *divergent* continued fractions, cf. Corollary 4.4. Finding \mathcal{O} -PCFs for β then entails a two-step process: 1) Find the points $(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ on $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}(\mathcal{O})$. 2) Determine whether the \mathcal{O} -PCF $[b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}]$ converges to β using Algorithm 1.

We generalize the prototypical continued fraction $\sqrt{2} = [1, \overline{2}]$, which gives a \mathbf{Z} -point on $V(2)_{1,1}$, to the \mathbf{Z}_2 -extension $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} F_n$ of \mathbf{Q} with $F_n = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_n)$ and $\alpha_n = 2 \cos(2\pi/2^{n+2})$. Note that $\alpha_{n+1}^2 = 2 + \alpha_n$ and that the integers of F_n are $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathbf{Z}[\alpha_n]$ for $n \geq 0$. Our problem is to find the $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha_n]$ -PCFs of type (N, k) for α_{n+1} , with $\sqrt{2} = [1, \overline{2}]$ corresponding to $n = 0$ and $(N, k) = (1, 1)$. Hence we have:

Problem 1.1. Find $V(2 + \alpha_n)_{N,k}(\mathcal{O}_n)$, $n \geq 0$.

In Section 5 we analyze the easy case of the three PCF varieties of dimension less than 1, solving Problem 1.1 for $(N, k) = (0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 1)$.

The second half of the paper – Sections 6, 7, and 8 – studies the diophantine geometry of the three PCF varieties which are curves, namely $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ with $(N, k) = (0, 3), (2, 1)$, and $(1, 2)$. We prove in Theorems 6.3, 7.1, and 8.1 that for generic \mathcal{B} the \mathcal{O} -points on these PCF curves are finite in number by applying Siegel’s theorem. We solve Problem 1.1 for $(N, k) = (2, 1), (1, 2)$, and $(0, 3)$ (the cases of curves) and $n = 0, 1$. These curve examples amply illustrate the arithmetic richness of these varieties, with the case $n = 1$ not surprisingly giving the greatest difficulty. The one break we get is that $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{2,1}(\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]) = \emptyset$ by a simple congruence argument – so there are no periodic $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -continued fractions of $\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ of type $(2, 1)$, cf. Proposition 7.4. We use Skolem’s p -adic method [Sko34, Sko38] for $p = 2$ to find $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{0,3}(\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$ and $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{1,2}(\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$. Skolem’s method does not always apply in diophantine problems, and it does not always work even when it applies, but on these PCF curves over the \mathbf{Z}_2 -extension of \mathbf{Q} it is effective. The argument for $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{1,2}$ in Section 8 is particularly involved since Skolem does not apply directly but only after passing to a cover.

An early (1942) application of the p -adic method was Ljunggren’s famous result [Lju42] solving $x^2 + 1 = 2y^4$ over \mathbf{Z} – the only solutions are $(x, y) = (\pm 1, \pm 1)$ and $(x, y) = (\pm 239, \pm 13)$. We use Ljunggren two separate times – once for $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{0,3}$ and once for $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{1,2}$. Indeed, the appearance of $239 + 169\sqrt{2}$ in the periodic continued fraction

$$\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} = \overline{[57 - 39\sqrt{2}, 239 + 169\sqrt{2}, -733 + 52\sqrt{2}]}$$

of type $(0, 3)$ in Corollary 6.8 is certainly suggestive of Ljunggren. Theorem 6.7 gives the sixteen $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -points on $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{0,3}$ with the eight $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -PCFs of $\alpha_2 = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ of type

(0, 3) given in Corollary 6.8. Likewise Theorem 8.11 finds the twenty $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -points on (a component of) $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{1,2}$ with the ten $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -PCFs for α_2 of type (1, 2) given in Corollary 8.19. In each case we prove there are no others. The integral points we find are interesting: one could hardly guess the very slowly converging periodic continued fraction

$$\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} = \left[442 + 312\sqrt{2}, \overline{-298532 + 211094\sqrt{2}, 884 + 624\sqrt{2}} \right]$$

of type (1, 2) appearing in Corollary 8.19.

2. PERIODIC CONTINUED FRACTIONS

Suppose $c_i \in \mathbf{C}$, $i \geq 1$. A finite continued fraction $F := [c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n]$ with *partial quotients* c_i is defined inductively as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} [c_1] &= c_1, \\ [c_1, c_2] &= c_1 + 1/c_2, \text{ and} \\ [c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n] &= [c_1, [c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n]] \\ &= c_1 + \frac{1}{c_2 + \frac{1}{c_3 + \frac{1}{c_4 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{c_{n-1} + \frac{1}{c_n}}}}} \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C}). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In other words, if we define an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^1 by $\phi_c(z) = c + 1/z$ and put

$$\phi_F := \phi_{c_1} \circ \phi_{c_2} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{c_n},$$

then $F = \phi_F(\infty)$. For $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ define the matrix

$$D(\alpha) := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and set } t := D(0); \quad \text{note that } D(\alpha)^{-1} = tD(-\alpha)t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

For a matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ define the automorphism \bar{A} of \mathbb{P}^1 by

$$\bar{A} : z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$. With this notation

$$\phi_c = \overline{D(c)}.$$

For $\beta \in \mathbf{C}$, set

$$\vec{v}_\beta := \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{v}_\infty := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As usual we identify $z \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ with \vec{v}_z .

Definition 2.1. Let $F = [c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n]$ be a finite continued fraction. Define

$$M(F) = \begin{bmatrix} p_n & p_{n-1} \\ q_n & q_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^n D(c_i) = D(c_1)D(c_2) \cdots D(c_n).$$

Explicit formulas for p_n and q_n are known classically; we give them later in (9).

So $\phi_F = \overline{M(F)}$, and $F = p_n/q_n$ in terms of the c_i . Furthermore, using (2)

$$\begin{aligned} M(F)^{-1} &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} [tD(-c_{n-i})t] = t \left[\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} D(-c_{n-i}) \right] t \\ &= M([0, -c_n, \dots, -c_1, 0]) \end{aligned}$$

gives the inverse. Note that

$$\det M(F) = \det M(F)^{-1} = (-1)^n.$$

We define the infinite continued fraction $C := [c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots]$ to be a formal expression as in (1), but which does not terminate. We define $M_n(C) = M([c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n])$ for $n \geq 1$, $M_0(C) = I$, and its *convergents* to be $[c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n] = M_n(C)_{11}/M_n(C)_{21} \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$. The *value* of C is

$$\hat{\beta}([c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots]) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n]$$

if the limit exists. In the theory of *regular* (or *simple*) continued fractions for approximating real numbers, the $c_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ are positive for $i > 1$, and the limit always exists. We say that C is over a ring $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ (or that it is an \mathcal{O} -continued fraction) if $c_i \in \mathcal{O}$ for all i .

The symbol $[c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots]$ is often used to denote both the continued fraction and its value if it exists, but we have chosen to restrict this abuse of notation to the finite case or when all the c_i 's are explicit real numbers. In addition we write equality between two continued fractions if they are connected by formal manipulations that leave the value unchanged if it exists. For example, the following lemma says that we can write

$$[\dots, a, 0, b, \dots] = [\dots, a + b, \dots].$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $C = [\dots, a, 0, b, \dots]$ be a finite or infinite continued fraction, one of whose partial quotients is 0. The value $\hat{\beta}(C)$ exists if and only if $\hat{\beta}([\dots, a + b, \dots])$ exists, in which case the two values are equal.*

Proof. It suffices to observe that $\phi_a(\phi_0(\phi_b(z))) = \phi_{a+b}(z)$. □

Another way to prove this is to note that the convergents of $[\dots, a + b, \dots]$ are the same as those of $[\dots, a, 0, b, \dots]$ with two omissions.

Definition 2.3. The infinite continued fraction $P = [c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots]$ is *periodic* if there are integers $N \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$ so that $c_n = c_{n+k}$ for all integers $n > N$. We write

$$P := [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k}] \tag{3}$$

for the infinite periodic continued fraction

$$[b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1, \dots, a_k, \dots] = [c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots]$$

if c_n depends only on $n \bmod k$ for $n > N$, and say P has *type* (N, k) and *period* k . If N and k are both minimal, we say that (N, k) is the *minimal type* and k is the *minimal period*. We set $\mathbb{A}^{N,k} = \mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{A}^k \cong \mathbb{A}^{N+k}$. The PCF P in (3) determines a point $p = p(P) = (b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{A}^{N,k}$ and likewise the point $p = (b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{A}^{N,k}$ determines the PCF $P = P_{N,k}(p) = [b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}]$.

Definition 2.4. We set the following notation for

$$P = [b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}] \quad \text{or} \quad p = (b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{A}^{N,k} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{N,k}(p) = E(P) &= \begin{bmatrix} E_{11}(P) & E_{12}(P) \\ E_{21}(P) & E_{22}(P) \end{bmatrix} = M([b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k, 0, -b_N, \dots, -b_1, 0]) \quad (4) \\ &= D(b_1) \cdots D(b_N) D(a_1) \cdots D(a_k) t D(-b_N) \cdots D(-b_1) t \\ &= M([b_1, \dots, b_N]) M([a_1, \dots, a_k]) M([b_1, \dots, b_N])^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Quad}(P)(x) := \text{Quad}_{N,k}(p)(x) = E_{21}(P)x^2 + [E_{22} - E_{11}](P)x - E_{12}(P)$ having

$\mathcal{B}(P) := \mathcal{B}_{N,k}(p) = \{\beta, \beta^*\}$ as multi-set of roots so that

$\text{Quad}(P)(x) = E_{21}(P)(x - \beta)(x - \beta^*)$ if $\infty \notin \mathcal{B}(P)$.

$$\begin{aligned} P^* &= [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N, 0, \overline{-a_k, -a_{k-1}, \dots, -a_1}] \\ &= \begin{cases} [0, \overline{-a_k, -a_{k-1}, \dots, -a_1}] & \text{if } N = 0 \\ [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{N-1}, b_N - a_k, \overline{-a_{k-1}, \dots, -a_1, -a_k}] & \text{if } N > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 2.2. We have $E_{11}(P), E_{12}(P), E_{21}(P), E_{22}(P) \in \mathbf{Z}[b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k]$.

In terms of variables $(y_1, \dots, y_N, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ put

$$E_{N,k} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} M([y_1, \dots, y_N]) M([x_1, \dots, x_k]) M([y_1, \dots, y_N])^{-1} \\ D(y_1) \cdots D(y_N) D(x_1) \cdots D(x_k) t D(-y_N) \cdots D(-y_1) t; \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

we have $E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{21}, E_{22} \in \mathbf{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_N, x_1, \dots, x_k]$.

Remark 2.5. Note that $\det E_{N,k}(p) = (-1)^k$.

We call $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{N,k}(P)$ the *roots* of P or p . Here we have the usual convention that ∞ is a root of $0x^2 + Bx + C$ and a double root of $0x^2 + 0x + C$. We leave $\mathcal{B}_{N,k}(P)$ undefined if $E_{N,k}(P)$ is a multiple of the identity because this corresponds to $\text{Quad}_{N,k}(P) = 0$. In Proposition 2.10 we shall see that $E(P)$ does not depend on the choice of N but does depend on k . In particular it can happen that $E(P)$ is defined for k but not defined for some multiple of k , corresponding to the case $G = 0$ in Proposition 2.10. This can only happen if $\hat{\beta}(P)$ does not exist. The PCF P^* is called the *dual* of P (Galois [Gal28]). So if $N > 0$, the dual of a PCF of type (N, k) can be made to be of type (N, k) . However, the dual of type $(0, k)$ is of type $(1, k)$. The dual of the dual gives the original continued fraction because $[\dots, a, 0, 0, b, \dots] = [\dots, a, b, \dots]$ by Lemma 2.2. We shall see that the value $\hat{\beta}(P)$ of a PCF if it exists is a root of the quadratic polynomial $\text{Quad}(P)$, and the value of the dual if it exists is the other root. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} E(P^*) &= M([b_1, \dots, b_N]) M([a_1, \dots, a_k])^{-1} M([b_1, \dots, b_N])^{-1} \\ &= E(P)^{-1} = (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} E_{22}(P) & -E_{12}(P) \\ -E_{21}(P) & E_{11}(P) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Consequently, $\text{Quad}_{N,k}(P^*) = -(-1)^k \text{Quad}_{N,k}(P)$ and $\mathcal{B}(P^*) = \mathcal{B}(P)$.

The matrix $E(P)$ plays a key role; it is almost true that the conjugacy class of $E(P)$ determines the convergence behavior of P , the exception being Theorem 4.3(b). The following straightforward linear algebra proposition applies to a general 2×2 matrix E , which we shall apply to our matrix $E(P)$.

Proposition 2.6. Let $E = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ with at least one of $a - d, b, c$ nonzero. If β is a root of

$$cx^2 + (d - a)x - b = 0,$$

possibly infinite, then the vector \vec{v}_β is the unique eigenvector of E up to scalars with eigenvalue a if $\beta = \infty$ and with eigenvalue $c\beta + d$ otherwise.

Example 2.7. Suppose $P = [2, \overline{-2}, 4] = \sqrt{2}$ with $(N, k) = (1, 2)$. Then

$$E := E(P) = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -4 \\ -2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1},$$

$$\text{Quad}_{1,2}(P) = -2x^2 + 4, \quad \mathcal{B}_{1,2}(P) = \{\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}\}, \quad P^* = [-2, \overline{2}, -4], \quad \det E = 1 = (-1)^k,$$

$$E\vec{v}_{\sqrt{2}} = (-2\sqrt{2} - 3)\vec{v}_{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad E\vec{v}_{-\sqrt{2}} = (2\sqrt{2} - 3)\vec{v}_{-\sqrt{2}}.$$

For any continued fraction $C = [c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots]$ formal manipulation shows that

$$[0, -c_N, -c_{N-1}, \dots, -c_2, -c_1, 0, C] = [c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3}, \dots].$$

Hence, since our continued fraction P is periodic of type (N, k) we have

$$P = [b_1, \dots, b_k, a_1, \dots, a_k, 0, -b_N, -b_{N-1}, \dots, -b_2, -b_1, 0, P].$$

Thus $P = \overline{E(P)}(P)$ by Equation (4). Since

$$E(P) = E_{N,k}(P) = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11}(P) & E_{12}(P) \\ E_{21}(P) & E_{22}(P) \end{bmatrix},$$

we get

$$\frac{E_{11}(P)P + E_{12}(P)}{E_{21}(P)P + E_{22}(P)} = P \quad \text{and hence} \quad E_{21}(P)P^2 + [E_{22} - E_{11}](P)P - E_{12}(P) = 0.$$

So $\text{Quad}_{N,k}(P)(P) = 0$ provided $\overline{E(P)}$ is not the identity.

We can write the entries of $E(P)$ explicitly using Euler's continuant polynomials K_n [Eul44]. Define K_n recursively by

$$K_{-2} = 1, \quad K_{-1} = 0, \quad K_0 = 1,$$

$$K_1(c_1) = c_1,$$

$$K_n(c_1, \dots, c_n) = K_{n-1}(c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})c_n + K_{n-2}(c_1, \dots, c_{n-2}) \quad (7)$$

$$\text{or, equivalently, } K_n(c_1, \dots, c_n) = c_1 K_{n-1}(c_2, \dots, c_n) + K_{n-2}(c_3, \dots, c_n).$$

For example,

$$K_2(c_1, c_2) = c_1 c_2 + 1,$$

$$K_3(c_1, c_2, c_3) = c_1 c_2 c_3 + c_1 + c_3,$$

$$K_4(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) = c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 + c_1 c_2 + c_1 c_4 + c_3 c_4 + 1,$$

$$K_5(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5) = c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 c_5 + c_1 c_2 c_3 + c_1 c_2 c_5 + c_1 c_4 c_5 + c_3 c_4 c_5 + c_1 + c_3 + c_5, \text{ etc.}$$

The recursion relation (7) is exactly what is needed to show the identity

$$D(c_1)D(c_2) \cdots D(c_n) = \begin{bmatrix} K_n(c_1, \dots, c_n) & K_{n-1}(c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \\ K_{n-1}(c_2, \dots, c_n) & K_{n-2}(c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

for $n \geq 0$ by induction. This in turn gives formulas for p_n and q_n in Definition 2.1:

$$p_n = K_n(c_1, \dots, c_n) \quad \text{and} \quad q_n = K_{n-1}(c_2, \dots, c_n). \quad (9)$$

Continuant polynomials have the following properties:

$$[c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n] = \frac{K_n(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)}{K_{n-1}(c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n)}, \quad (10)$$

$$K_{n+1}(c_1, \dots, c_n, 0) = K_{n-1}(c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_{m+n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_m, 0, b_1, \dots, b_n) &= K_{m+n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_m + b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ &= K_{m-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{m-1})K_n(b_1, \dots, b_n) + K_m(a_1, \dots, a_m)K_{n-1}(b_2, \dots, b_n). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Equations (10) and (11) give another proof of Lemma 2.2.

We can use (8) to give the 2×2 matrix $E_{N,k}(P)$ in (4):

Proposition 2.8. *We have $E_{N,k}(P) = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11}(P) & E_{12}(P) \\ E_{21}(P) & E_{22}(P) \end{bmatrix}$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} E_{11}(P) &= K_{2N+k+2}(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k, 0, -b_N, \dots, -b_1, 0) \\ &= \begin{cases} K_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) & \text{if } N = 0, 1, \\ K_{2N+k-2}(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k - b_N, -b_{N-1}, \dots, -b_2) & \text{if } N \geq 2; \end{cases} \\ E_{21}(P) &= K_{2N+k+1}(b_2, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k, 0, -b_N, \dots, -b_1, 0) \\ &= \begin{cases} K_{k-1}(a_2, \dots, a_k) & \text{if } N = 0, 1, \\ K_{2N+k-3}(b_2, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k - b_N, -b_{N-1}, \dots, -b_2) & \text{if } N \geq 2; \end{cases} \\ E_{12}(P) &= K_{2N+k+1}(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k, 0, -b_N, \dots, -b_1) \\ &= \begin{cases} K_{k-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) & \text{if } N = 0, \\ K_{k+1}(b_1, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k - b_1) & \text{if } N = 1, \\ K_{2N+k-1}(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k - b_N, -b_{N-1}, \dots, -b_1) & \text{if } N \geq 2; \end{cases} \\ E_{22}(P) &= K_{2N+k}(b_2, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k, 0, -b_N, \dots, -b_1) \\ &= \begin{cases} K_{k-2}(a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}) & \text{if } N = 0, \\ K_k(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k - b_1) & \text{if } N = 1, \\ K_{2N+k-2}(b_2, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k - b_N, -b_{N-1}, \dots, -b_1) & \text{if } N \geq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Once we establish that P does not converge if E is a multiple of the identity in Theorem 4.3, the following proposition will follow from the above discussion.

Proposition 2.9. *If $P = [b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}]$ converges, then its value $\hat{\beta}(P) \in \mathcal{B}_{N,k}(P)$ for any type (N, k) of P . If P is over \mathcal{O} , then $\hat{\beta}(P)$ satisfies the quadratic polynomial $\text{Quad}(P) \in \mathcal{O}[x]$.*

The next proposition gives a property of the entries of E which will have a geometric consequence in Section 3. To help with the notation make the a_i periodic by writing

$$a_i = a_{1+((i-1) \bmod k)} \quad \text{for } i > k. \quad (12)$$

Proposition 2.10. Fix integers $N, \ell \geq 0$ and $k, m \geq 1$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &= \begin{cases} b_i & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N, \\ a_{i-N} & \text{for } N+1 \leq i \leq N+\ell, \end{cases} \\ c_i &= a_{i+\ell} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq mk, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and define $G = G_{k,m}(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbf{Z}[a_1, \dots, a_k]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{(k+1)j} \binom{m-1-j}{j} \times \\ &\quad (K_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) + K_{k-2}(a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}))^{m-1-2j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (E_{N+\ell, mk})_{21}(d_1, \dots, d_{N+\ell}, c_1, \dots, c_{mk}) &= G(E_{N,k})_{21}(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k), \\ [(E_{N+\ell, mk})_{22} - (E_{N+\ell, mk})_{11}](d_1, \dots, d_{N+\ell}, c_1, \dots, c_{mk}) \\ &= G[(E_{N,k})_{22} - (E_{N,k})_{11}](b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k), \\ (E_{N+\ell, mk})_{12}(d_1, \dots, d_{N+\ell}, c_1, \dots, c_{mk}) &= G(E_{N,k})_{12}(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First we prove the proposition for $m = 1$. Since $a_{k+i} = a_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} E_{N+\ell, k} &= M([b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_{k+\ell}, 0, -a_\ell, \dots, -a_1, -b_N, \dots, -b_1, 0]) \\ &= M([b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k, 0, -b_N, \dots, -b_1, 0]) = E_{N,k} \end{aligned}$$

by applying the identity (11) ℓ times, thus proving $G = 1$ in this case.

So without loss of generality we can now assume $\ell = 0$. Let E be as in Equation (4) so that $E_{N, mk} = (E_{N,k})^m$, and

$$E_{11} + E_{22} = \text{Tr}(E) = K_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) + K_{k-2}(a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}) = r_1 + r_2,$$

where r_i are the eigenvalues of E , since trace is invariant under conjugation. By the Cayley–Hamilton theorem and induction, $E^m = t_{m-1}E - \det(E)t_{m-2}I$ where

$$t_{m-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{r_1^m - r_2^m}{r_1 - r_2} & \text{if } r_1 \neq r_2, \\ mr_1^{m-1} & \text{if } r_1 = r_2. \end{cases}, \text{ so } \frac{(E_{N, mk})_{21}}{(E_{N, k})_{21}} = \frac{(E_{N, mk})_{22} - (E_{N, mk})_{11}}{(E_{N, k})_{22} - (E_{N, k})_{11}} = \frac{(E_{N, mk})_{12}}{(E_{N, k})_{12}} = G$$

with $G := t_{m-1}$. From this, together with $\text{Tr}(E) = r_1 + r_2$ and $\det(E) = r_1 r_2 = (-1)^k$, we can derive the polynomial expression for G to be $U_{m-1}(\text{Tr}(E)/2)$ if k is even and $U_{m-1}(i \text{Tr}(E)/2)/i^{m-1}$ if k is odd, where U_{m-1} is the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind. \square

3. PCF VARIETIES

Let $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be an algebraic closure of \mathbf{Q} , and let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the S -integers in a number field K . Suppose \mathcal{B} is the multi-set $\{\beta, \beta^*\}$ of roots in $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ of the quadratic polynomial $Ax^2 + Bx + C \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ with $(0, 0, 0) \neq (A, B, C) \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathcal{O})$ and the usual convention that one (resp., both) of β, β^* is ∞ if $A = 0$ (resp., $A = B = 0$). Of course $Ax^2 + Bx + C \in \mathcal{O}[x] = A(x - \beta)(x - \beta^*)$ if $A \neq 0$.

Definition 3.1. Let $E_{N,k} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. Define the variety

$$\begin{aligned} V_{N,k} : E_{21}(y_1, \dots, y_N, x_1, \dots, x_k) &= [E_{22} - E_{11}](y_1, \dots, y_N, x_1, \dots, x_k) \\ &= E_{12}(y_1, \dots, y_N, x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The *PCF variety* $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ is the affine variety over \mathcal{O} defined by the three equations

$$\begin{aligned} A[E_{22} - E_{11}](y_1, \dots, y_N, x_1, \dots, x_k) &= BE_{21}(y_1, \dots, y_N, x_1, \dots, x_k), \\ -AE_{12}(y_1, \dots, y_N, x_1, \dots, x_k) &= CE_{21}(y_1, \dots, y_N, x_1, \dots, x_k), \\ -BE_{12}(y_1, \dots, y_N, x_1, \dots, x_k) &= C[E_{22} - E_{11}](y_1, \dots, y_N, x_1, \dots, x_k) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

in the notation (5). We call (N, k) the *type* of the PCF variety $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$. In the special case that $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ but $\beta = \sqrt{\alpha} \notin \mathcal{O}$, we shorten the notation to $V(\alpha)_{N,k} := V(\{\beta, -\beta\})_{N,k}$.

Proposition 3.2. (a) *The variety $V_{N,k} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{N,k}$ does not depend on N in the following sense: Let*

$$\pi_{N,k} : \mathbb{A}^{N,k} = \mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{A}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^k$$

be projection onto the second factor. Then $V_{N,k} = \pi_{N,k}^{-1}(V_{0,k})$.

(b) *If $k = 1$, then $V_{N,k} = \emptyset$.*

(c) *We have $\dim V_{N,k} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ N & \text{if } k = 2 \\ N + k - 3 & \text{if } k \geq 3. \end{cases}$*

Proof. The variety $V_{N,k} \subset \mathbb{A}^{N,k}$ is defined by requiring that

$$M[y_1, \dots, y_N]M([x_1, \dots, x_k])M[y_1, \dots, y_N]^{-1}$$

equal a multiple of the identity as in Definition 2.4. This is true if and only if $M([x_1, \dots, x_k])$ is a multiple of the identity, proving (a).

To prove (b), it suffices by (a) to remark that $V_{0,1} = \emptyset$ since $M([x_1]) = D(x_1)$ is never a multiple of the identity.

To prove (c), observe that

$$\dim V_{0,k} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 2 \\ k - 3 & \text{if } k \geq 3 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim V_{N,k} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ N + \dim V_{0,k} & \text{if } k > 1. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

Remark 3.3. Because of Proposition 3.2(a), we can eliminate the variables y_1, \dots, y_N in (14) and write the equations defining $V_{N,k} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{N,k}$ as follows: Let $E_{0,k} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{E}_{11} & \mathcal{E}_{12} \\ \mathcal{E}_{21} & \mathcal{E}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. Then we have

$$V_{N,k} : \mathcal{E}_{12}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \mathcal{E}_{21}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = [\mathcal{E}_{22} - \mathcal{E}_{11}](x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0. \quad (16)$$

3.1. First Properties of PCF Varieties.

(a) The dimension of $V_{N,k}$ is $N + k - 3$ if $k \geq 3$ by Proposition 3.2(c). The dimension of $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ is generically $N + k - 2$.¹ So generically $V_{N,k}$ is a divisor on $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ for any \mathcal{B}

¹We know of three degenerate cases where the dimension of a component is $> N + k - 2$. These are $(N, k) = (1, 2)$ with $A = B = 0$ in Section 8, $(N, k) = (0, 1)$ with $C = -A$ in 5.1, and $(N, k) = (0, 2)$ with $A = B = 0$ or $B = C = 0$ in 5.2.

if $k \geq 3$. If $P = [b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}]$ is an \mathcal{O} -PCF with value $\hat{\beta}(P) \in \mathcal{B}$, then

$$p = p(P) = (b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k) \in V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{N,k}(\mathcal{O}).$$

(b) For integers $\ell \geq 0$, $m \geq 1$ the map

$$(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k) \mapsto (d_1, \dots, d_{N+\ell}, c_1, \dots, c_{mk})$$

defined by (13) induces inclusions $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k} \hookrightarrow V(\mathcal{B})_{N+\ell, mk}$ of PCF varieties by Proposition 2.10.

- (c) Conjugates of PCF varieties are PCF varieties: For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$, let $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$ be the multi-set $\{\sigma(\beta), \sigma(\beta^*)\}$. Then $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}^\sigma = V(\sigma(\mathcal{B}))_{N,k}$.
- (d) Let P be a PCF of type (N, k) with roots $\mathcal{B} = B(P)$. The dual construction $P \mapsto P^*$ of Galois [Gal28] induces a linear involution on $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{N,k}$ if $N > 0$. It induces an inclusion $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,k} \hookrightarrow V(\mathcal{B})_{1,k}$ if $N = 0$.

3.2. PCF Varieties $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ fiber over Fermat-Pell conics. Suppose now that $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta, \beta^*\}$ is the multi-set of roots of $Ax^2 + Bx + C \in \mathcal{O}[x]$, where we assume throughout this subsection that $A \neq 0$. Since $Ax^2 + Bx + C = A(x - \beta)(x - \beta^*)$ we have $A(x\beta + y)(x\beta^* + y) = Cx^2 - Bxy + Ay^2$.

Definition 3.4. Suppose $A \neq 0$. The *Fermat-Pell conic* $\text{FP}_k(\mathcal{B})_{/\mathcal{O}}$ is the plane curve

$$\text{FP}_k(\mathcal{B}) : A(x\beta + y)(x\beta^* + y) = Cx^2 - Bxy + Ay^2 = (-1)^k A, \quad (17)$$

which is irreducible if $B^2 - 4AC \neq 0$. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\beta = \sqrt{\alpha} \notin \mathcal{O}$, we write $\text{FP}(\alpha)$ for $\text{FP}(\{\beta, -\beta\})$. In this case we recover the familiar Fermat-Pell equation, namely

$$\text{FP}_k(\alpha) : y^2 - \alpha x^2 = (-1)^k.$$

Theorem 3.5. *There is a fibration $\pi_{\text{FP}} : V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k} \rightarrow \text{FP}_k(\mathcal{B})$ over the Fermat-Pell conic defined by*

$$\pi_{\text{FP}}(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k) = (E_{21}(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k), E_{22}(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k)) \quad (18)$$

with the polynomials E_{21} , E_{22} as in Definition 2.4 and Proposition 2.8.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.6 applied to $E = E_{N,k}(b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ and Remark 2.5. \square

Definition 3.6. For $A \neq 0$, let $\Sigma_k \subseteq \text{FP}_k(\mathcal{B})$ be the zero-dimensional subscheme

$$\Sigma_k = \langle x = 0, y^2 = (-1)^k \rangle.$$

Proposition 3.7. *For $A \neq 0$ and the Fermat-Pell fibration $\pi_{\text{FP}} : V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k} \rightarrow \text{FP}_k(\mathcal{B})$, we have $\pi_{\text{FP}}^{-1}(\Sigma_k) = V_{N,k} \subseteq V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$.*

Proof. Assume $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta, \beta^*\}$ with $\mathcal{O}[x] \ni A(x - \beta)(x - \beta^*) = Ax^2 + Bx + C = 0$, $A \neq 0$. Then we have that $p \in V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ is in $\pi_{\text{FP}}^{-1}(\Sigma_k) \subseteq V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ if and only if $E_{21}(p) = 0$. But since $\text{Quad}(p) = E_{21}x^2 + (E_{22} - E_{11})x - E_{12}$ must be a multiple of $Ax^2 + Bx + C$ with $A \neq 0$, this multiple must be 0, i.e., E is a multiple of the identity. But $V_{N,k}$ was defined by the equations specifying that $E(p)$ was a multiple of the identity. \square

If $(0, \lambda)$ is on $\text{FP}_k(\mathcal{B})$, then $\lambda = \pm i^k$ and

$$\pi_{\text{FP}}^{-1}(0, \lambda) = \{p \in V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k} \mid E(p) = \lambda \text{Id}_{2 \times 2}\}.$$

3.3. The \mathbf{Z}_2 -Extension of \mathbf{Q} . The prototypical PCF, essentially known to the ancient Greeks, is $\sqrt{2} = [1, \bar{2}]$. We are interested in generalizing this ur-example to the \mathbf{Z}_2 -extension of \mathbf{Q} . We review this tower of number fields now and set notation. For an integer $m \geq 1$, let ζ_m be the primitive m th root of unity $e^{2\pi i/m}$ with

$$\alpha_n = \zeta_{2^{n+2}} + \bar{\zeta}_{2^{n+2}} = 2 \cos(2\pi/2^{n+2})$$

for $n \geq -1$. Hence, $\alpha_{-1} = -2$, $\alpha_0 = 0$, $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{2}$, $\alpha_2 = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$, $\alpha_3 = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}}$, etc. The relation

$$\alpha_n^2 = 2 + \alpha_{n-1}$$

holds for $n \geq 0$. The totally real number field $F_n := \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_n) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^{n+2}})^+$ is Galois over $F_0 = \mathbf{Q}$ with $\text{Gal}(F_n/\mathbf{Q}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2^n\mathbf{Z}$ and F_{n+1} a quadratic extension of F_n for $n \geq 0$. Furthermore, $F_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} F_n$ is the (unique) \mathbf{Z}_2 -extension of \mathbf{Q} . The integers of the number field F_n are $\mathcal{O}_n := \mathbf{Z}[\alpha_n]$ for $n \geq 0$.

With this notation $\alpha_1 = \sqrt{2} = [1, \bar{2}]$ gives the point $(1, 2) \in V(2)_{1,1}(\mathbf{Z})$. The problem of finding *all* \mathbf{Z} -PCFs for $\sqrt{2}$ of type $(1, 1)$ would involve finding the integral points on $V(2)_{1,1}$. We will see in Proposition 5.2 that

$$V(2)_{1,1}(\mathbf{Z}) = \{\pm(1, 2)\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{1,1}(\mathbf{Z})$$

with $[1, \bar{2}]$ converging to $\sqrt{2}$ and $[1, -\bar{2}]$ converging to $-\sqrt{2}$. Hence there is only one \mathbf{Z} -PCF of $\sqrt{2}$ of type $(1, 1)$. The generalization of this to the \mathbf{Z}_2 -extension of \mathbf{Q} is:

Problem 3.8. Find the $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha_n]$ -PCFs for α_{n+1} , $n \geq 0$.

The associated diophantine problem for PCF varieties is:

Problem 3.9. Find $V(2 + \alpha_n)_{N,k}(\mathcal{O}_n)$, $n \geq 0$.

4. CONVERGENCE

Let $P = [b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}]$ be an \mathcal{O} -PCF with roots $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{N,k}(P)$; put $V := V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$. Say that a point $p \in V(\mathbf{C})$ is convergent if the PCF $P(p)$ converges and divergent otherwise. For any \mathcal{O} -algebra $R \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ set

$$V(R)^{\text{con}} = \{p \in V(R) \mid p \text{ is convergent}\} \quad (19)$$

$$V(R)^{\text{div}} = \{p \in V(R) \mid p \text{ is divergent}\}.$$

We then have

$$V(R) = V(R)^{\text{con}} \coprod V(R)^{\text{div}}. \quad (20)$$

We want to understand the decomposition (20); Corollary 4.4 will show that

$$V_{N,k}(R) \subseteq V(R)^{\text{div}}.$$

The convergence of $P = [b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}]$ was understood in the nineteenth century (see, e.g., [JT80, Chapter 3]). It only depends on the a_i , but the criteria for convergence involve both algebraic conditions and inequalities. To give the inequalities, make the a_i periodic as in (12). Then we have the condition for divergence:

INEQ 4.1. $M([a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{k+j}]_{21}) = 0$ and $|M([a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{k+j}]_{22})| > 1$ for some $0 \leq j \leq k-1$.

In this section we give the practical Algorithm 1 which given P answers the questions

- (a) Does P converge?
- (b) If so, which element of $\mathcal{B}(P)$ is its value (or limit)?

We defer proofs to the appendix.

Definition 4.2. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ with $ad - bc = \varepsilon = \pm 1$. Let λ_{\pm} be the eigenvalues of A chosen so that $|\lambda_+| \geq 1 \geq |\lambda_-|$. If $A \neq \pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}I$ let

$$\beta_{\pm} = \beta_{\pm}(A) = \frac{\lambda_{\pm} - d}{c} = \frac{b}{\lambda_{\pm} - a} \left(= \frac{a - \lambda_{\mp}}{c} = \frac{b}{d - \lambda_{\mp}} \right) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$$

where we take whichever expression is not the indeterminate $0/0$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $P = [b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}]$ be a PCF. Then the value $\hat{\beta}(P)$ exists if and only if **none** of the following three conditions is satisfied:

- (a) $E(P) = \pm i^k I$.
- (b) INEQ 4.1 holds.
- (c) $\text{Tr}(E(P))^2 \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 \leq (-1)^k \text{Tr}(E(P))^2 < 4$.

If it converges, then the value $\hat{\beta}(P) = \beta_+(E(P))$.

Corollary 4.4. Set $V := V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$. Then $V_{N,k}(\mathbf{C}) \subseteq V(\mathbf{C})^{\text{div}}$ for any \mathcal{B} .

Proof. We have $p \in V_{N,k}(\mathbf{C})$ if and only if $E(p)$ is a multiple of I . □

We reformulate Theorem 4.3 as the following algorithm. Step 2 corresponds to checking for Case (a), and Step 6 corresponds to checking for Case (c).

Algorithm 1: The value (or limit) of a periodic continued fraction

Input : A periodic continued fraction $P = [b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}]$.

Output : Either its value (or limit) $\hat{\beta}(P)$ or “doesn’t exist”.

- 1 compute $E = E(P)$ from (4);
 - 2 **if** E is a multiple of the identity **then** print “doesn’t exist”; **break**;
 - 3 compute the multi-set of roots $\mathcal{B}(P) = \{\beta, \beta^*\}$ of $E_{21}(P)x^2 + [E_{22} - E_{11}](P)x - E_{12}(P)$;
 - 4 **if** $\beta = \beta^*$ **then** $\hat{\beta}(P) := \beta = \beta^*$; return $\hat{\beta}(P)$; **break**;
 - 5 WLOG assume $\beta \neq \infty$ and compute $|E_{21}\beta + E_{22}|$;
 - 6 **if** $|E_{21}\beta + E_{22}| = 1$ **then** print “doesn’t exist”; **break**;
 - 7 **if** $|E_{21}\beta + E_{22}| > 1$ **then** $\hat{\beta}(P) := \beta$ **else** $\hat{\beta}(P) := \beta^*$;
 - 8 **if** INEQ 4.1 is satisfied **then** print “doesn’t exist”; **break**; **else** return $\hat{\beta}(P)$;
-

Remark 4.5.

- (a) The conditions are ordered from the least likely to the most likely to occur, with convergence (none of them occurring) the most likely of all; cf. Proposition A.1.
- (b) The dual PCF

$$P^* = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N, 0, \overline{-a_k, -a_{k-1}, \dots, -a_1}]$$

converges under the same conditions, except the inequality is reversed in the second condition. If it converges, the limit is the “other” fixed point $\beta_+(E(P^*)) = \beta_-(E(P))$, cf. Corollary A.6.

(c) Since $(E_{21}z + E_{22})(E_{21}z - E_{11}) = -(-1)^k$, we could use the inequality

$$|E_{21}\beta_+(E) - E_{11}| < 1 < |E_{21}\beta_-(E) - E_{11}|$$

instead in the algorithm. Furthermore, $|E_{21}z + E_{22}|$ tells us how fast the continued fraction converges: each successive convergent provides

$$\frac{2}{k} \log_{10}(|E_{21}z + E_{22}|) = -\frac{2}{k} \log_{10}(|E_{21}z - E_{11}|)$$

decimal digits of accuracy on average.² If $z = \beta_+(E) = \beta_-(E)$, and therefore the limit exists and $|E_{21}z + E_{22}| = 1$, exponentially many convergents are needed for each additional digit of accuracy. For example the m -th convergent of $[2i]$ is $i + i/m$, so the n -th digit isn't accurate until past the 10^n -th convergent.

(d) As an example of what can go wrong if INEQ 4.1 is satisfied, the convergents c_n of $[2, -1/2, 1]$ have the following behavior:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{3n} = 5 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{3n+1}, \text{ but } c_{3n+2} = 0 \text{ for every } n.$$

5. PCF VARIETIES OF TYPE (N, k) WHEN $N + k \leq 2$

Since the dimension of a PCF variety of type (N, k) is $N + k - 2$ and $k \geq 1$, there are three types where PCF varieties consist of a finite set of points.

5.1. **Type $(0, 1)$.** We have

$$E_{0,1} = E = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

from (5). Hence if $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta, \beta^*\}$ are roots of the quadratic polynomial $Ax^2 + Bx + C$, the variety $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,1}$ is given (15) by

$$A(-x_1) = B, \quad -A(1) = C, \quad -B(1) = C(-x_1). \quad (21)$$

From (14), the variety $V_{0,1} = \emptyset$. Nominally the dimension of $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,1}$ is -1 , which means that only special β, β^* give a nonempty variety. For these special β the variety has exactly one point. The condition for $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,1}$ to be nonempty from (21) is $C = -A \neq 0$ or, equivalently, $\beta\beta^* = -1$. The one-point variety consists of $a_1 = -B/A$, which is in $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,1}(\mathcal{O})$ if and only if $\beta + \beta^* \in \mathcal{O}$. In case $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,1} \neq \emptyset$, the Fermat-Pell conic $\text{FP}_1(\mathcal{B})$ of (17) is given by $-x^2 + (\beta + \beta^*)xy + y^2 = -1$, and the map $\pi_{\text{FP}} : V(\mathcal{B})_{0,1} \rightarrow \text{FP}_1(\mathcal{B})$ by (18) is $\pi_{\text{FP}}(a_1) = (1, 0)$.

We have thus proved:

Proposition 5.1. *If $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,1} \neq \emptyset$ and $\beta + \beta^* = 0$, then $\beta = \pm 1$. In particular, the variety $V(2 + \alpha_n)_{0,1} = \emptyset$, and there are no $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha_n]$ -PCFs for α_{n+1} of type $(0, 1)$ for $n \geq 0$.*

According to Algorithm 1 let β, β^* be the two roots of $x^2 - a_1x - 1 = 0$. If $a_1 = \pm 2i$ the value of the PCF $P = [\overline{a_1}]$ exists and $\hat{\beta}(P) = \beta = \beta^* = \pm i$. If $\beta \neq \beta^*$ but $|\beta| = 1$, then the value does not exist. If $|\beta| > 1 > |\beta^*|$, then $\hat{\beta}(P) = \beta$. It is easy enough to say what happens more directly. If $a_1^2 \in \mathbf{R}$ and $-4 < a_1^2 \leq 0$, then the value does not exist. Otherwise, $\hat{\beta}(P) = \beta_+(E(P))$.

² A simple calculation shows that the (nk) -th convergent has error $O(1/\lambda_1^{2n})$ where $\lambda_1 = E_{21}\beta_+(E) + E_{22}$ is the larger eigenvalue of E .

5.2. **Type (0, 2).** From (5),

$$E_{0,2} = E = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1x_2 + 1 & x_1 \\ x_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence if $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta, \beta^*\}$ are roots of $Ax^2 + Bx + C$, the variety $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,2}$ is given (15) by

$$A(-x_1x_2) = B(x_2), \quad -A(x_1) = C(x_2), \quad -B(x_1) = C(-x_1x_2).$$

From (14), the variety $V_{0,2}$ is given by $x_2 = x_1 = -x_1x_2 = 0$, so $V_{0,2} \subseteq V(\mathcal{B})_{0,2}(\mathbf{C})^{\text{div}}$ in the notation of (19) is the point $(0,0)$. If $A, B, C \neq 0$, then $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,2}$ consists of two points $(a_1, a_2) = (-B/A, B/C)$ and the point $(0,0)$ constituting the divisor $V_{0,2} \subseteq V(\mathcal{B})_{0,2}$. If $B = 0 \neq A, C$; $C = 0 \neq B$; or $A = 0 \neq B$, then there is only the extraneous point $(0,0)$. If $B = C = 0$, then $V(\{0,0\})_{0,2}$ is one-dimensional, consisting of the line $x_1 = 0$, and if $A = B = 0$, then $V(\{\infty, \infty\})_{0,2}$ is also one-dimensional, consisting of the line $x_2 = 0$. The Fermat-Pell conic $\text{FP}_2(\mathcal{B})$ is given (17) by $Cx^2 - Bxy + Ay^2 = A$ and the map $\pi_{\text{FP}} : V(\mathcal{B})_{0,2} \rightarrow \text{FP}_2(\mathcal{B})$ by $\pi_{\text{FP}}(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, 1)$. We have thus proved:

Proposition 5.2. (a) For $n \geq 1$, $V(\alpha_{n-1})_{0,2}$ consists of the extraneous point $(a_1, a_2) = (0,0)$.

(b) There are no $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha_n]$ -PCFs of type $(0,2)$ for α_{n+1} , $n \geq 0$.

According to Algorithm 1 let β, β^* be the two roots of $a_2x^2 - a_1a_2x - a_1 = 0$. If $(a_1, a_2) = (0,0)$, then the value $\hat{\beta}(P)$ of the PCF $P = [\overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}]$ does not exist. If $a_1 = 0 \neq a_2$, then $\hat{\beta}(P) = \beta = \beta^* = 0$. If $a_1 \neq 0 = a_2$, then $\hat{\beta}(P) = \beta = \beta^* = \infty$. If $a_1a_2 = -4$, then $\hat{\beta}(P) = \beta = \beta^* = a_1/2 = -2/a_2$. If $\beta \neq \beta^*$ but $|a_1\beta + 1| = 1$, then $\hat{\beta}(P)$ does not exist. If $|a_2\beta + 1| > 1 > |a_2\beta^* + 1|$, then $\hat{\beta}(P) = \beta$.

5.3. **Type (1, 1).** From (5),

$$E_{1,1} = E = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & x_1y_1 + 1 - y_1^2 \\ 1 & x_1 - y_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence if $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta, \beta^*\}$ are roots of $Ax^2 + Bx + C$, the variety $V(\mathcal{B})_{1,1} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{1,1}$ is given (15) by

$$A(x_1 - 2y_1) = B, \quad A(y_1^2 - x_1y_1 - 1) = C, \quad B(y_1^2 - x_1y_1 - 1) = C(x_1 - 2y_1).$$

From (14), the variety $V_{1,1}$ is given by $1 = x_1y_1 + 1 - y_1^2 = x_1 - 2y_1 = 0$, so $V_{1,1}$ is the empty set. If $A = 0$, $V(\mathcal{B})_{1,1}$ is empty. Otherwise, the variety consists of two points (counting multiplicity) $(y_1, x_1) = (b_1, a_1)$ in a potentially quadratic extension with

$$a_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{B^2 - 4AC}{A^2} - 4} \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{B}{A} + a_1 \right).$$

The Fermat-Pell conic $\text{FP}_1(\mathcal{B})$ is given (17) by $Cx^2 - Bxy + Ay^2 = -A$ and the map $\pi_{\text{FP}} : V(\mathcal{B})_{1,1} \rightarrow \text{FP}_1(\mathcal{B})$ by $\pi_{\text{FP}}(y_1, x_1) = (1, x_1 - y_1)$.

Since $(x - \alpha_{n+1})(x + \alpha_{n+1}) = x^2 - (2 + \alpha_n)$, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. (a) The variety $V(2 + \alpha_n)_{1,1}$ consists of two points (b_1, a_1) with

$$a_1 = \pm 2\sqrt{1 + \alpha_n} \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 = a_1/2.$$

It has no points rational over $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha_n)$ if $n \geq 1$. The PCF variety $V(2)_{1,1}$ consists of the two points $(b_1, a_1) = \pm(1, 2)$.

(b) *There are no $\mathbf{Z}[\alpha_n]$ -PCFs of type (1, 1) for α_{n+1} if $n \geq 1$. If $n = 0$, there is precisely one: $\sqrt{2} = [1, \overline{2}]$.*

Proof. We need only remark that $1 - \alpha_n < 0$ if $n \geq 1$, and hence its Galois conjugate $1 + \alpha_n$ cannot be a square in $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha_n) \subset \mathbf{R}$. \square

According to Algorithm 1 let β, β^* be the two roots of $x^2 + (a_1 - 2b_1)x - b_1a_1 + b_1^2 - 1 = 0$. If $a_1 = \pm 2i$, the value of the PCF $P = [b_1, \overline{a_1}]$ exists and $\hat{\beta}(P) = \beta = \beta^* = b_1 \mp i$. If $\beta \neq \beta^*$ but $|\beta - b_1| = 1$, then the value does not exist. If $|\beta - b_1| < 1 < |\beta^* - b_1|$, then $\hat{\beta}(P) = \beta$.

6. PCF CURVES OF TYPE (0, 3)

There are three types $-(N, k) = (0, 3), (2, 1), (1, 2)$ – where the PCF variety $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ is 1-dimensional. The remainder of this paper is devoted to analyzing these PCF curves, beginning in this section with type (0, 3).

From (5) we have

$$E_{0,3} = E = D(x_1)D(x_2)D(x_3) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1x_2x_3 + x_3 + x_1 & x_1x_2 + 1 \\ x_2x_3 + 1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence the variety $V_{0,3} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{0,3}$ is defined by

$$x_1x_2 + 1 = x_2x_3 + 1 = x_2 - x_1x_2x_3 - x_3 - x_1 = 0,$$

and so $V_{0,3}$ consists of the two points $\pm(i, i, i)$. If $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta, \beta^*\}$ are the roots of $Ax^2 + Bx + C$, then from (15) the variety $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,3} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{0,3}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2 - x_1x_2x_3 - x_1 - x_3) &= B(x_2x_3 + 1), \\ A(-x_1x_2 - 1) &= C(x_2x_3 + 1), \\ B(-x_1x_2 - 1) &= C(x_2 - x_1x_2x_3 - x_1 - x_3). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Eliminating x_1 we can rewrite the Equations (22) as the plane curve

$$V(\mathcal{B})_{0,3}: A(x_2^2 + 1) - Bx_2(x_2x_3 + 1) + C(x_2x_3 + 1)^2 = 0. \tag{23}$$

Hence the curve $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,3}$ has genus 0 and, as we shall see, has a rational point if and only if $i \in K$ or $B^2 - 4AC$ is a nonzero sum of squares.

Proposition 6.1. *For even k , the variety $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ always has a K -rational point. For odd k , if the variety $V(\mathcal{B})_{N,k}$ has a K -rational point, then $B^2 - 4AC$ is the sum of two squares in K .*

Proof. We showed in 5.2 that $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,2}$ always has a K -rational point, so we are done in the even k case. If $\infty \in \mathcal{B}$, then $A = 0$ so $B^2 - 4AC = B^2$ is a square in K . Otherwise, let P be the PCF associated to the K -rational point. Note that, up to a square, $B^2 - 4AC$ is equal to

$$[E_{22} - E_{11}]^2(P) + 4[E_{12}E_{21}](P) = \text{Tr}(E(P))^2 - 4(-1)^k,$$

which is the sum of two squares if k is odd. \square

If $B^2 - 4AC = R^2 + S^2$ with $R, S \in K$, we can obtain an explicit parametrization of the rational curve $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,3}$ over K .

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose $B^2 - 4AC = R^2 + S^2 \neq 0$ with $R, S \in K$, and $C \neq -A$. Then all points (a_1, a_2, a_3) on $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,3}$ over K are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{-2C(t^2 + 1) + S(t^2 - 1) + 2Rt}{B(t^2 + 1) - R(t^2 - 1) + 2St}, \\ a_2 &= \frac{B(t^2 + 1) - R(t^2 - 1) + 2St}{-S(t^2 - 1) - 2Rt}, \\ a_3 &= \frac{2A(t^2 + 1) + S(t^2 - 1) + 2Rt}{B(t^2 + 1) - R(t^2 - 1) + 2St} \end{aligned}$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$. □

For example if $\beta = \alpha_1 = \sqrt{2}$ we get the parametrization

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \left(-\frac{t^2 + 2}{t^2 - 2}, -\frac{t^2 - 2}{t^2 - 4t + 2}, -\frac{2t}{t^2 - 2} \right)$$

by setting $A = 1$, $B = 0$, $C = -2$, $R = 2$, $S = -2$ and adjusting $t \rightarrow t - 1$. Four integral solutions are $(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \pm(3, -1, 2)$ ($t = 1, 2$) and $\pm(1, 1, 0)$ ($t = 0, \infty$). The conditions $B^2 \neq 4AC$ and $C \neq -A$ are necessary to make the curve geometrically irreducible. When $C = -A \neq 0$, the parametrization above, $(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (t, -(2At + B)/(At^2 + Bt - A), t)$, only gives one component. The other component is given by $a_2 = 0$, $a_3 = -B/A - a_1$. When $B^2 - 4AC = 0$ both geometric components are parametrized above, but one with $R = Si \neq 0$ and the other with $R = -Si \neq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbf{C}$ be the ring of S -integers in a number field K and suppose that $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta, \beta^*\}$ is the roots of $Ax^2 + Bx + C \in \mathcal{O}[x]$.

Theorem 6.3. *If $AC(A+C)(B^2 - 4AC) \neq 0$, then $V(\mathcal{B})_{0,3}(\mathcal{O})$ is finite. Hence any $\beta \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \setminus \mathcal{O}$ with $\beta\beta^* \neq -1$ has only finitely many \mathcal{O} -PCFs of type $(0, 3)$.*

Proof. If $AC(A+C)(B^2 - 4AC) \neq 0$ then the curve has four geometric points at infinity on the normalization using the parametrization in Proposition 6.2. Hence, by Siegel's Theorem 6.4 below, the curve has finitely many \mathcal{O} -points. □

Theorem 6.4 (Siegel [Sie14], [Ser89, p. 95]). *If an affine rational curve over \mathcal{O} has three or more geometric points at infinity after normalization, then it has finitely many \mathcal{O} -points. An affine curve of positive genus always has finitely many \mathcal{O} -points.*

Remark 6.5. In the excluded case where $AC(A+C)(B^2 - 4AC) = 0$ it is possible to get infinitely many \mathcal{O} -points. For example, let $A = 1$, $B = -1$, $C = 0$, and $\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. Then

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) = ((1 + \sqrt{2})^n, -(-1 + \sqrt{2})^n, -(1 + \sqrt{2})^{2n} + (1 + \sqrt{2})^n - 1)$$

for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ gives infinitely many $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -points on $V(\{1, 0\})_{0,3}$ corresponding to infinitely many $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -PCFs $[\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \overline{x_3}]$ of type $(0, 3)$ with value 0.

The Fermat-Pell conic $\text{FP}_3(\mathcal{B})$ is given (17) by $Cx^2 - Bxy + Ay^2 = -A$ and the map $\pi_{\text{FP}} : V(\mathcal{B})_{0,3} \rightarrow \text{FP}_3(\mathcal{B})$ by $\pi_{\text{FP}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_2x_3 + 1, x_2)$ from (18).

6.1. **Z-Points on $V(2)_{0,3}$.** For $\beta = \sqrt{2} = \alpha_1$, we have $A = 1$, $B = 0$, and $C = -2$. So Equations (22) say $V(2)_{0,3}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}x_2 - x_1x_2x_3 - x_1 - x_3 &= 0 \\ -x_1x_2 - 1 &= -2(x_2x_3 + 1),\end{aligned}$$

so in particular

$$x_2(x_1 - 2x_3) = 1.$$

For $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbf{Z}$ we must therefore have $x_2 = \pm 1$. Hence we have:

Proposition 6.6. (a) *There are precisely four \mathbf{Z} -points on $V(2)_{0,3}$. They are*

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \pm(1, 1, 0), \pm(3, -1, 2).$$

(b) *There are exactly two \mathbf{Z} -PCFs for $\sqrt{2}$ of type $(0, 3)$. They are $\sqrt{2} = \overline{[3, -1, 2]}$ and $\sqrt{2} = \overline{[1, 1, 0]}$, which is equivalent to the classical $\sqrt{2} = \overline{[1, 2]}$ by Lemma 2.2.*

Use Algorithm 1 to verify that the limits exist and are as stated.

6.2. **$\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -Points on $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{0,3}$.** For $\beta = \alpha_2$, we have $A = 1$, $B = 0$, and

$$C = -(2 + \alpha_1) = -uw, \text{ where } w = \sqrt{2}, u = 1 + w. \quad (24)$$

Equation (23) for $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{0,3}$ is

$$(2 + \sqrt{2})(1 + x_2x_3)^2 - x_2^2 = 1, \quad (25)$$

with x_1 determined by $x_1x_2 + 1 = (2 + w)(x_2x_3 + 1)$.

Setting $b = x_2$ and $a = x_3$ we can rewrite (25) as

$$uw(ab + 1)^2 = b^2 + 1, \quad \text{or} \quad b(uwa^2b + 2uwa - b) = -u. \quad (26)$$

Hence b must be a unit in the ring $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$, so

$$b = \pm u^n$$

for some $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\|b\| = \pm 1$, where for any $t = r + s\sqrt{2} \in \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ we define

$$\|t\| = N_{\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbf{Q}}(t) = r^2 - 2s^2.$$

First suppose $\|b\| = 1$. Then $b = m + 2nw$ with $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, m odd, implying that $\|b^2 + 1\| \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. But (26) then gives

$$2\|ab + 1\|^2 = \|b^2 + 1\| \equiv 4 \pmod{8}.$$

However, $4 \pmod{8}$ is not twice a square, so $\|b\| = 1$ is impossible, and we must have $\|b\| = -1$. If (a, b) is a solution to (26), so is $-(a, b)$. So, without loss of generality, suppose $b = u^{2j+1} = m + nw$ with $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, so $b + b^{-1} = 2nw$. Then

$$\frac{b}{uw}(b + b^{-1}) = \frac{u^{2j+1}}{uw}(2nw) = u^{2j}2n. \quad (27)$$

However, by (26) we have

$$(ab + 1)^2 = \frac{1}{uw}(b^2 + 1) = \frac{b}{uw}(b + b^{-1}).$$

Hence (27) shows that $u^{2j}2n$ must be a square in $\mathbf{Z}[w]$, which means that the integer n is either a square or twice a square. The latter is impossible since

$$m + nw = b = u(u^2)^j = (1 + w)(3 + 2w)^j \equiv (1 + w) \pmod{2}.$$

Hence $n = y^2$ and

$$\|b\| = (m + y^2w)(m - y^2w) = m^2 - 2y^4 = -1.$$

We thus recover Ljunggren's equation [Lju42] with the complete solution set over \mathbf{Z} given by $(m, y) = (\pm 1, \pm 1)$ and $(m, y) = (\pm 239, \pm 13)$. This corresponds to $b = x_2 = u^{\pm 7}$ and $b = u^{\pm 1}$. Hence we have

Theorem 6.7. *There are precisely sixteen $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -points on the curve $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{0,3}$. With $w = \sqrt{2}$ and $u = 1 + w$, they are*

$$\begin{aligned} \pm(a_1, a_2, a_3) = & (3 - w, 1 + w = u, 3 - 2w), (1 + w, -1 - w = -u, 1), \\ & (1 + w, -1 + w = u^{-1}, -1), (3 + 3w, 1 - w = -u^{-1}, 1 + 2w), \\ & (57 - 39w, 239 + 169w = u^7, -73 + 52w), \\ & (-421 + 299w, -239 - 169w = -u^7, -551 + 390w), \\ & (203 + 143w, -239 + 169w = u^{-7}, -109 - 78w), \\ & (681 + 481w, 239 - 169w = -u^{-7}, 369 + 260w). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6.8. *There are precisely eight $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -PCFs of $\alpha_2 = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ of type $(0, 3)$. They are*

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} = & \overline{[3 - \sqrt{2}, 1 + \sqrt{2}, 3 - 2\sqrt{2}]}, \\ = & \overline{[1 + \sqrt{2}, -1 - \sqrt{2}, 1]}, \\ = & \overline{[1 + \sqrt{2}, -1 + \sqrt{2}, -1]}, \\ = & \overline{[3 + 3\sqrt{2}, 1 - \sqrt{2}, 1 + 2\sqrt{2}]}, \\ = & \overline{[57 - 39\sqrt{2}, 239 + 169\sqrt{2}, -73 + 52\sqrt{2}]}, \\ = & \overline{[-421 + 299\sqrt{2}, -239 - 169\sqrt{2}, -551 + 390\sqrt{2}]}, \\ = & \overline{[203 + 143\sqrt{2}, -239 + 169\sqrt{2}, -109 - 78\sqrt{2}]}, \\ = & \overline{[681 + 481\sqrt{2}, 239 - 169\sqrt{2}, 369 + 260\sqrt{2}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Again we check convergence using Algorithm 1. □

The last two PCFs converge extremely slowly. For these $\overline{[a_1, a_2, a_3]}$, we have $|(a_1 a_2 + 1)\alpha_2 + a_1| \approx 1.002094$, which means every extra significant digit requires $\approx 3/(2 \log_{10}(1.002094)) \approx 1651$ more convergents by Remark 4.5(c).

7. PCF CURVES OF TYPE (2, 1)

We now consider PCF curves of type (2, 1). From (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} E = E_{2,1} &= D(y_1)D(y_2)D(x_1)D(0)D(-y_2)D(-y_1)D(0) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -y_1y_2^2 - y_2 + y_1y_2x_1 + y_1 + x_1 & y_1^2y_2^2 + 2y_1y_2 + 1 - y_1^2y_2x_1 - y_1x_1 - y_1^2 \\ -y_2^2 + y_2x_1 + 1 & y_1y_2^2 + y_2 - y_1y_2x_1 - y_1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The variety $V_{2,1}$ from (16) is given by $1 = -x_1 = 1 = 0$, so it is empty as we know from Proposition 3.2(b).

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta, \beta^*\}$ be the roots of $Ax^2 + Bx + C \in \mathcal{O}[x]$. Then from Definition 3.1 the variety $V(\mathcal{B})_{2,1} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{2,1}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A(2y_1y_2^2 - 2y_1y_2x_1 - 2y_1 + 2y_2 - x_1) &= B(y_2x_1 - y_2^2 + 1), \\ A(-y_1^2y_2^2 + y_1^2y_2x_1 + y_1^2 - 2y_1y_2 + y_1x_1 - 1) &= C(y_2x_1 - y_2^2 + 1), \\ B(-y_1^2y_2^2 + y_1^2y_2x_1 + y_1^2 - 2y_1y_2 + y_1x_1 - 1) \\ &= C(2y_1y_2^2 - 2y_1y_2x_1 - 2y_1 + 2y_2 - x_1). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Generically the curve (28) has genus 1 and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &= (B^2 - 4AC)(y_2^2 + 1)^2 - 4A^2 \text{ or} \\ z^2 &= -4(Ay_1^2 + By_1 + C)^2 + B^2 - 4AC \text{ where} \\ y &= (2Ay_1 + B)(y_2^2 + 1) + 2Ay_2 = -Ax_1 - \frac{B^2 - 4AC}{A}y_2(y_2^2 - y_2x_1 - 1) \text{ and} \\ z &= x_1(Ay_1^2 + By_1 + C). \text{ Rearranging, } B^2 - 4AC \text{ is a sum of squares} \\ B^2 - 4AC &= \left(2Ay_1 + B + \frac{2Ay_2}{y_2^2 + 1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2A}{y_2^2 + 1}\right)^2 \\ &= (x_1^2 + 4)(Ay_1^2 + By_1 + C)^2. \\ &= \frac{A^2(x_1^2 + 4)}{(y_2^2 - y_2x_1 - 1)^2}, \text{ noting that} \\ A &= (Ay_1^2 + By_1 + C)(y_2^2 - y_2x_1 - 1). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

The j -invariant of its jacobian is

$$j = \frac{256(B^2 - 4AC - 3A^2)^3}{A^4(B^2 - 4AC - 4A^2)}.$$

If, say, $i \in K$ then the curve (29) has rational points

$$(y_1, y_2, x_1, y) = \left(-\frac{B}{2A} + \frac{i}{2}, i, \frac{2i(B^2 - 4AC - A^2)}{B^2 - 4AC + A^2}, 2iA\right), (\infty, i, 2i, -2iA).$$

Theorem 7.1. *If $B^2 - 4AC \neq 0$ or $i \notin K$, then the curve $V(\mathcal{B})_{2,1}(\mathcal{O})$ is finite. Hence, any $\beta \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \setminus \mathcal{O}$ has only finitely many \mathcal{O} -PCFs of type (2, 1).*

Proof. The curve in Equation (29) has genus 1 if and only if $A(B^2 - 4AC)(B^2 - 4AC - 4A^2) \neq 0$, in which case we are done by Siegel's Theorem 6.4. Otherwise, the curve has genus 0,

which we now analyze case by case. If $A = 0 \neq B$ we can let y_2 be the parameter and

$$(y_1, y_2, x_1, y) = \left(-\frac{C}{B} - \frac{y_2}{y_2^2 + 1}, y_2, \frac{y_2^2 - 1}{y_2}, B(y_2^2 + 1) \right),$$

so again we are done by Siegel since there are four points at infinity $x_2 = \pm i, 0, \infty$. If $A = B = 0$ we have the parametrization $(y_1, y_2, x_1, y) = (y_1, \pm i, \pm 2i, 0)$, but this corresponds to $\beta = \beta^* = \infty$. If $B^2 - 4AC - 4A^2 = 0 \neq A$ we have the parametrization

$$(y_1, y_2, x_1, y) = \left(-\frac{B}{2A} - \frac{t^2 - 6t + 1}{t^2 - 2t + 5}, \frac{t^2 - 6t + 1}{2(t^2 - 1)}, \frac{(t^2 + 2t - 7)(t^2 - 6t + 1)}{4(t - 3)(t^2 - 1)}, -\frac{(3t^2 - 2t + 3)(t^2 - 6t + 1)A}{2(t^2 - 1)^2} \right),$$

so again we are done by Siegel since $t = \pm 1, 3, 1 \pm 2i, \infty$ gives six points at infinity. If $B^2 - 4AC = 0$ then (29) says $y^2 + 4A^2 = 0$, so if $i \notin K$ then $A = 0$, a case we have already covered. Finally note that if $\beta \notin \mathcal{O}$ then β^* is uniquely determined and $B^2 - 4AC \neq 0$. \square

Remark 7.2. Suppose $B^2 - 4AC = 0$ and $i \in K$. Firstly if $B^2 - 4AC = 0$, then $\beta = \beta^* = -B/(2A)$ and $V(\mathcal{B})_{2,1}$ has genus 0. A parametrization is

$$(y_1, y_2, x_1, y) = \left(-\frac{B}{2A} - \frac{1}{y_2 - i}, y_2, 2i, -2iA \right),$$

which is integral if $\{\beta = -B/(2A), i, y_2\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, and $y_2 - i$ is a unit. Hence we can have infinitely many integral points in this case.

The Fermat-Pell conic $\text{FP}_1(\mathcal{B})$ is given by $Cx^2 - Bxy + Ay^2 = -A$ from (17) and the map $\pi_{\text{FP}} : \mathbb{A}^{2,1} \supseteq V(\mathcal{B})_{2,1} \rightarrow \text{FP}_1(\mathcal{B})$ is given by

$$\pi_{\text{FP}}(y_1, y_2, x_1) = (-y_2^2 + y_2x_1 + 1, y_1y_2^2 + y_2 - y_1y_2x_1 - y_1).$$

Proposition 7.3. *The PCF curve $V(2)_{2,1}$ has exactly four \mathbf{Z} -points:*

$$(y_1, y_2, x_1, y) = (1, 0, -2, 2), (-1, -2, -2, 2), (1, 2, 2, -2), (-1, 0, 2, -2).$$

Hence, $\sqrt{2}$ has exactly two \mathbf{Z} -PCFs of type $(2, 1)$:

$$\sqrt{2} = [-1, 0, \bar{2}] \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{2} = [1, 2, \bar{2}].$$

Proof. The quartic $-4(y_1^2 - 2)^2 + 8 = 4(-y_1^4 + 4y_1^2 - 2)$ from Equation (29) only has only two integral y_1 giving positive z^2 values, let alone square integer values, namely ± 1 , which yield

$$(y_1, y_2, x_1, y) = (1, 0, -2, 2), (-1, -2, -2, 2), (1, 2, 2, -2), (-1, 0, 2, -2).$$

\square

Proposition 7.4. (a) *We have $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{2,1}(\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]) = \emptyset$.*

(b) *There are no $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -PCFs of $\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ of type $(2, 1)$.*

Proof. By (29), the PCF curve $V(2 + \sqrt{2})_{2,1}$ is given by (with $w = \sqrt{2}$ as in Section 6.2)

$$z^2 = -4(y_1^2 - 2 - w)^2 + 8 + 4w = -4y_1^4 + 8(2 + w)y_1^2 - 4(4 + 3w) \quad (30)$$

with $w = \sqrt{2}$ as in (24). For (30) to have a solution in $\mathbf{Z}[w]$, we must have that

$$-y_1^4 + (4 + 2w)y_1^2 - (4 + 3w)$$

is a square in $\mathbf{Z}[w]$. But this is impossible mod 4 : $-y_1^4 + (4 + 2w)y_1^2 - (4 + 3w)$ must be w or $-1 - w$ mod 4, whereas the squares in $\mathbf{Z}[w]$ are 0, 1, 2, or $3 + 2w$ mod 4. \square

8. PCF CURVES OF TYPE (1, 2)

Lastly we consider the PCF curves with type (1, 2). These are substantially more difficult than the types (0, 3) and (2, 1) considered in Sections 6 and 7.

From (5)

$$E = E_{1,2} = D(y_1)D(x_1)D(x_2)D(0)D(-y_1)D(0) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1x_1 + 1 & y_1x_1x_2 + x_2 - y_1^2x_1 \\ x_1 & x_1x_2 - y_1x_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The variety $V_{1,2}$ from (16) is given by $x_1 = x_2 = -x_1x_2 = 0$, so it is a line; we know from Proposition 3.2(c) that $\dim V_{1,2} = 1$. From Definition 3.1 the curve $V(\mathcal{B})_{1,2}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1x_2 - 2y_1x_1) &= Bx_1, \\ A(y_1^2x_1 - y_1x_1x_2 - x_2) &= Cx_1, \\ B(y_1^2x_1 - y_1x_1x_2 - x_2) &= C(x_1x_2 - 2y_1x_1). \end{aligned}$$

The affine curve $V(\mathcal{B})_{1,2}$ has the line $V_{1,2} : x_1 = x_2 = 0$ as one component. This is the only component if $A = 0 \neq B$. If $A \neq 0$ a second component $V(\mathcal{B})'_{1,2}$ is the rational curve given by

$$(y_1, x_1, x_2) = \left(y_1, -\frac{2Ay_1 + B}{Ay_1^2 + By_1 + C}, \frac{2Ay_1 + B}{A} \right). \quad (31)$$

If $B^2 = 4AC, A \neq 0$ a third component is $(y_1, x_1, x_2) = (-B/(2A), x_1, 0)$. Finally, if $A = B = 0$ there is only one component—the two-dimensional one with $x_1 = 0$, which contains the first component mentioned above. If $x_2 \neq 0$ in this case the PCF has the value ∞ . For the remainder of the section we shall assume $A \neq 0$ and focus on $V(\mathcal{B})'_{1,2}$.

Theorem 8.1. (a) *If $B^2 \neq 4AC$, then $V(\mathcal{B})'_{1,2}(\mathcal{O})$ is finite.*

(b) *An algebraic number $\beta \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \setminus \mathcal{O}$ has only finitely many \mathcal{O} -PCFs of type (1, 2).*

Proof. By Equation (31), there are three points at infinity, $y_1 = \infty$ or a root of $Ay_1^2 + By_1 + C$, so we are done by Siegel's Theorem 6.4. \square

Rearranging Equation (31), we obtain

$$V(\mathcal{B})'_{1,2}: Ay_1^2x_1 + By_1x_1 + B + 2Ay_1 = -Cx_1. \quad (32)$$

For the special case of $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}, \sqrt{\alpha} \notin \mathcal{O}$, (32) simplifies to

$$V(\alpha)'_{1,2}: y_1^2x_1 + 2y_1 = \beta^2y_1.$$

In particular

$$V(2 + \alpha_n)'_{1,2}: y_1^2x_1 + 2y_1 = (2 + \alpha_n)x_1. \quad (33)$$

The \mathcal{O}_n -points on $V(2 + \alpha_n)'_{1,2}$ come in natural “families” of size 2^{n+1} , which is clearest to explain by a general lemma:

Lemma 8.2. *Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbf{C}$ be a Dedekind domain. Let $m \in \mathcal{O}$, and let σ be an automorphism of \mathcal{O} such that $\sigma(m)/m = u^2$ for some unit $u \in \mathcal{O}^\times$. If $(y_1, x_1) \in \mathcal{O}^2$ is a solution to $y_1^2x_1 + 2y_1 = mx_1$, then so is $(u^{-1}\sigma(y_1), u\sigma(x_1))$.*

We need the following lemma so that we can take $m = \alpha_{n+1}^2$. (Recall $F_{n+1} = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_{n+1})$ from the introduction.)

Lemma 8.3. *Let σ generate $\text{Gal}(F_{n+1}/\mathbf{Q})$. Then $\sigma^j(\alpha_{n+1})/\alpha_{n+1}$ is a unit in F_n .*

Proof. Since $N_{F_{n+1}/\mathbf{Q}}(\alpha_{n+1}) = 2$ and 2 ramifies completely in F_{n+1} , $\sigma^j(\alpha_{n+1})$ equals α_{n+1} up to a unit in F_{n+1} . Also F_n is the fixed field of the involution of F_{n+1} that takes α_{n+1} to $-\alpha_{n+1}$, which clearly fixes $\sigma^j(\alpha_{n+1})/\alpha_{n+1}$. \square

Applying Lemmas 8.2 and 8.3 we have the following

Proposition 8.4. *Let σ generate $\text{Gal}(F_{n+1}/\mathbf{Q})$ and let $u_j = \sigma^j(\alpha_{n+1})/\alpha_{n+1}$ for $0 \leq j < 2^{n+1}$; the u_j are distinct units in F_n with $u_{2^n} = -1$. If (y_1, x_1) is an \mathcal{O}_n -point on $V(2 + \alpha_n)'_{1,2}$ in (33), then so is $(u_j^{-1}\sigma^j(y_1), u_j\sigma^j(x_1))$.*

A consequence of Proposition 8.4 is the following:

Proposition 8.5. *The number N_n of \mathcal{O}_n -points on $V(2 + \alpha_n)'_{1,2}$ satisfies $N_n \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{n+1}}$. The number of \mathcal{O}_n -PCFs of α_{n+1} of type $(1, 2)$ is divisible by 2^n .*

Proof. The \mathcal{O}_n -points on $V(2 + \alpha_n)'_{1,2}$ consist of the extraneous point $(y_1, x_1) = (0, 0)$ together with families $(u_j^{-1}\sigma^j(y_1), u_j\sigma^j(x_1))$ with $y_1 \neq 0 \neq x_1$, which correspond to \mathcal{O}_n -PCFs for α_{n+1} or $-\alpha_{n+1}$ of type $(1, 2)$.

To see that the 2^{n+1} members of a family are distinct, suppose $x_1 = u_j\sigma^j(x_1)$ for some $j \neq 0$. Then $\sigma^j(\alpha_{n+1}x_1) = \alpha_{n+1}x_1$ and because some power of σ^j equals σ^{2^n} , $-\alpha_{n+1}x_1 = \sigma^{2^n}(\alpha_{n+1}x_1) = \alpha_{n+1}x_1$. So $x_1 = 0$, which implies $y_1 = 0$, a contradiction.

Note that Equation (33) implies $x_1x_2(x_1x_2 + 4) = 4y_1x_1(y_1x_1 + 2) = 4x_1^2\alpha_{n+1}^2 > 0$, which implies $x_1x_2 < -4$ or $x_1x_2 > 0$, so by Algorithm 1 the PCFs from the families all converge. \square

We next reduce finding integral points on $V(2 + \alpha_n)'_{1,2}$ to finding integral points on another curve. We give a general lemma.

Lemma 8.6. *Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbf{C}$ be a Dedekind domain, let p be a rational prime that has a unique \mathcal{O} -prime \mathfrak{p} above it, and assume $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$ is principal. If $y_1, x_1 \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfy $y_1^2x_1 + py_1 = \pi x_1$, then $p \mid x_1$ and $(x_1/p) \mid y_1$, so that $a = x_1/p, b = py_1/x_1 \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfy $a^2b^2 + b = \pi$. Conversely, if $a, b \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfy $a^2b^2 + b = \pi$, then $x_1 = pa, y_1 = ab \in \mathcal{O}$ satisfy $y_1^2x_1 + py_1 = \pi x_1$.*

Proof. We can write $p = \pi^e u$ for some unit u and $e > 0$. We have $\pi \mid y_1^2x_1 = \pi x_1 - \pi^e u y_1$ so $\pi \mid x_1$ or $\pi \mid y_1$. If $\pi \mid y_1$ we get $\pi(y_1/\pi)^2x_1 + \pi^e u(y_1/\pi) = x_1$, which implies $\pi \mid x_1$, so $\pi \mid x_1$ in either case. We have $y_1^2(x_1/\pi) + \pi^{e-1}u y_1 = \pi(x_1/\pi)$, which is identical to the original equation with x_1/π replacing x_1 and $e - 1$ replacing e , so we can repeat this process e times to show that $p = \pi^e u \mid x_1$. Now we have $y_1 = \pi a - y_1^2 a = a(\pi - y_1^2)$ with $a = x_1/p$, so $a \mid y_1$. Letting $y_1 = ab$ and dividing by a we obtain $b = \pi - (ab)^2$. \square

Remark 8.7. Applying Lemma 8.6 with $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_n = \mathbf{Z}[\alpha_n]$, $p = 2$, and $\pi = 2 + \alpha_n$, we see that \mathcal{O}_n -points on $V(2 + \alpha_n)'_{1,2}$ correspond one-to-one with \mathcal{O}_n -points on the curve

$$E(2 + \alpha_n): (a^2b + 1)b = 2 + \alpha_n. \quad (34)$$

The curve $E(2 + \alpha_n)$ is in turn closely related to the curve

$$F(2 + \alpha_n) = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty, \alpha_{n+1}, -\alpha_{n+1}\}$$

given over \mathcal{O}_n by the equation

$$u[t^2 - (2 + \alpha_n)] = 1. \quad (35)$$

Proposition 8.8. *The curves $E(2 + \alpha_n)$ and $F(2 + \alpha_n)$ are isomorphic over $\mathcal{O}_n[1/2]$.*

Proof. An explicit isomorphism from $E(2 + \alpha_n)$ in (34) to $F(2 + \alpha_n)$ in (35) is given by $t = ab$, $u = -1/b$ with inverse $b = -1/u$, $a = -ut$. \square

One could also deduce the finiteness of the \mathcal{O}_n -points on $E(2 + \alpha_n)$ via the finiteness of the $\mathcal{O}_n[1/2]$ -points on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \alpha_{n+1}, -\alpha_{n+1}\}$, which is a common application of Siegel's theorem. In the following we shall find all solutions to $(a^2b + 1)b = 2 + \alpha_n$, then recover the PCF as $\alpha_{n+1} = [ab, \overline{2a}, \overline{2ab}]$.

8.1. \mathbf{Z} -points on the Curve $E(2)$.

Proposition 8.9. *The \mathbf{Z} -points on the curve*

$$E(2): 2 = (a^2b + 1)b$$

are $(a, b) = (1, 1), (-1, 1), (-1, -2), (1, -2), (0, 2)$.

Proof. Firstly, $b \mid 2$ implies $b = \pm 1, \pm 2$, and then we can solve for a in each case. \square

As a consequence we deduce the following continued fractions.

Corollary 8.10. *There are precisely two \mathbf{Z} -PCFs for $\sqrt{2}$ of type $(1, 2)$:*

$$\sqrt{2} = [1, \overline{2, 2}] \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{2} = [2, \overline{-2, 4}].$$

8.2. $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -points on the curve $E(2 + \sqrt{2})$.

Theorem 8.11. *Let $w = \sqrt{2}$ and $u = \sqrt{2} + 1$. Then the $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -points on the curve*

$$E(2 + \sqrt{2}): 2 + \sqrt{2} = uw = (a^2b + 1)b \quad (36)$$

are $(a, b) = (0, wu)$ and the ten pairs $(\pm a, b)$ with a, b as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} b &= -u, a = -1, \\ b &= u, a = w - 1 = 1/u, \\ b &= 1/u^3, a = 13 + 9w = (3 + w)u^2, \\ b &= -u^5, a = 31 - 22w = -(3 - w)/u^3, \\ b &= w, a = 1, \\ b &= -wu^2, a = 1 - w = -1/u, \\ b &= -w/u, a = -2 - w = -wu, \\ b &= -wu^3, a = 4 - 3w = -w/u^2, \\ b &= -w/u^{13}, a = -13wu^{10}, \\ b &= -wu^{15}, a = -13w/u^{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Most of the rest of this section is devoted to proving Theorem 8.11.

By Equation (36), $b|w$ in F_1 , so $b = \pm u^k, \pm wu^k$. We divide the proof up into four cases: $\|b\| = 1, -1, -2, 2$, which correspond to $b = \pm u^{2k}, \pm u^{2k+1}, \pm wu^{2k}, \pm wu^{2k+1}$.

We change variables to simplify. Let $x = -wb/u \in \mathbf{Z}[w]$, so $\|x\| = 2\|b\|$. The identity $(ab)^2 = uw + wvx/2 = uw(x+2)/2$ implies

$$\|ab\|^2 = \|uw\|\|x+2\|/4 = (x+2) \left(\frac{\|b\|}{x} + 1 \right)$$

$$\text{and so } y^2 = x(x+2)(x+\|b\|) \text{ where } y = x\|ab\|, \quad (37)$$

which is elliptic if $\|b\| \neq 2$. Hence, it is necessary and sufficient to find all the $\mathbf{Z}[w]$ -points (x, y) on the curve (37) with $w(x+2)/(ux^2) = a^2$ a square in $\mathbf{Z}[w]$ and $\|x\| = 2\|b\|$.

We pause in this proof to prove a theorem that follows from the ‘‘method of descent’’ of Fermat and from an application of this method by Bessy.

Theorem 8.12. *The elliptic curve $E: y^2 = x^3 - x$ has Mordell–Weil group $E(F_1) \cong \mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. The seven affine F_1 -rational points are*

$$(x, y) = (0, 0), (1, 0), (-1, 0), (1 - w, \pm(2 - w)), (1 + w, \pm(2 + w)).$$

Proof. Let σ generate $\text{Gal}(F_1/\mathbf{Q})$, and denote the quadratic twist of E by 2 by $E^\sigma: y^2 = x^3 - 4x$, which is F_1 -isomorphic to E but not \mathbf{Q} -isomorphic. Fermat showed in effect that $E(\mathbf{Q}) = \langle (0, 0), (1, 0) \rangle = E[2] \cong (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^2$, and Bessy³ showed in effect that $E^\sigma(\mathbf{Q}) = \langle (0, 0), (2, 0) \rangle = E^\sigma[2] \cong (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^2$.

Suppose $P \in E(F_1)$. Then

$$2P = (P + P^\sigma) + (P - P^\sigma).$$

Now $(P + P^\sigma)^\sigma = P + P^\sigma$, so $P + P^\sigma \in E(F_1)^\sigma = E(\mathbf{Q})$. Likewise $(P - P^\sigma)^\sigma = -(P - P^\sigma)$, which identifies $P - P^\sigma$ with a point on $E^\sigma(\mathbf{Q})$. So we can recover $E(F_1)$ as the preimage in $E(F_1)$ of $E(\mathbf{Q}) + E^\sigma(\mathbf{Q})$ under multiplication by 2. Note that the sum $E(\mathbf{Q}) + E^\sigma(\mathbf{Q})$ need not be direct, but $E(\mathbf{Q}) \cap E^\sigma(\mathbf{Q})$ is contained in the 2-torsion subgroup $E[2] = E^\sigma[2]$. Since $E(\mathbf{Q}) = E[2]$ and $E^\sigma(\mathbf{Q}) = E^\sigma[2]$, we have $E(F_1) \subset E[4]$. The 4-division polynomial of E is $(x^3 - x)(x^2 + 1)(x^4 - 6x^2 + 1)$, which has seven roots in F_1 . Five of these give F_1 -rational y 's, which give the seven points in the theorem. Alternatively, we could have used Magma's `Generators [CBP97]` command. \square

Case $\|b\| = 1$. This easiest case is just a matter of considering Equation (36) mod 4. Indeed $\|b\| = 1$ implies $b = m + nw$ with $(m, n) \equiv (1, 0) \pmod{2}$. But then $2 + w - b = (2 - m) + (1 - n)w$ has norm $(2 - m)^2 - 2(1 - n)^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, which is not a square in \mathbf{Z} , so $2 + w - b = (ab)^2$ cannot be a square in $\mathbf{Z}[w]$.

Alternatively, Equation (37) with $\|b\| = 1$ is the elliptic curve $E: y^2 = (x+1)^3 - (x+1)$, which is \mathbf{Q} -isomorphic to the E in Theorem 8.12. So E has only affine points

$$(x, y) = (0, 0), (-1, 0), (-2, 0), (-w, \pm(2 - w)), (w, \pm(2 + w)),$$

³ Dickson [Dic66, Ch. XXII, page 617] attributes this to [Wik] Bernard Frénicle de Bessy (c. 1604–1674 [Dickson's ‘‘†1765’’ must be a transposition typo for 1675]), page 175 of a posthumous ‘‘Traité des Triangles Rectangles en Nombres, Paris, 1676, 101–6; Mém. Acad. Sc. Paris, 5, 1666–1699; éd. Paris 5, 1729, 174; Recu[e]il de plusieurs traitez [sic] de mathématiques de l'Acad. Roy. Sc. Paris, 1676’’. Bessy corresponded regularly with Fermat (1607–1665).

which have $\|x\| = 0, 1, 4, -2$, none of which equals $2\|b\| = 2$.

Case $\|b\| = -2$. Equation (37) with $\|b\| = -2$ is the elliptic curve $E: y^2 = x^3 - 4x$, which is F_1 -isomorphic to the E in Theorem 8.12. So E has only affine points

$$(x, y) = (0, 0), (2, 0), (-2, 0), (2 - 2w, \pm(4w - 4)), (2 + 2w, \pm(4w + 4)),$$

the last four of which have $\|x\| = -4 = 2\|b\|$ and $w(x+2)/(ux^2)$ a square. These correspond to the points $(a, b) = (\pm(1-w), -4-3w = -wu^2), (\pm 1, w)$, which correspond to the 5th and 6th pairs in the theorem.

Case $\|b\| = 2$. In this case the curve (37) is rational, and the norm of x needs to be 4. One solution is $(x, y) = (-2, 0)$ which gives the extraneous solution $(a, b) = (0, uw)$. Otherwise, to get integral points we let $t = y/(x+2)$ be integral, so that $(x, y) = (t^2, t(t^2+2))$ with $\|t\| = \pm 2$. Hence, we can take $t = \pm wu^j$, $x = 2u^{2j}$, and $b = -wu^{2j+1}$.

Lemma 8.13. *Let (z_1, z_2, z_3) be a $\mathbf{Z}[w]$ -solution to (22) with $(A, B, C) = (1, 0, -uw)$. Then $(\pm a, b) = ((z_2 z_3 + 1)/z_2^2, -uw z_2^2)$ are $\mathbf{Z}[w]$ -solutions to (36) with $\|b\| = 2\|z_2\|^2 = 2(-1)^2 = 2$ by the proof of Theorem 6.7. Conversely, if (a, b) is a $\mathbf{Z}[w]$ -solution to (36) with $\|b\| = 2$ then $(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_2(1 \pm ab)(a^2 b + 1), z_2, z_2(a^2 b \pm a + 1))$, where $z_2^2 = -b/(uw)$, is a $\mathbf{Z}[w]$ -solution to (22).*

Proof. Since z_2 is a unit of norm 1 and $-b/(uw)$ is the square of a unit from above, the proof reduces to algebraic verification that the two maps are well defined and inverse to each other. \square

The pair $(\pm a, b)$ corresponds to a quadruplet of (z_1, z_2, z_3) , so the sixteen solutions in Theorem 6.7 correspond to four pairs of solutions here, namely $b = -wu^{2j+1}$ with $j = \pm 1, \pm 7$ and $a = \pm(4-3w), \pm(2+w), \pm(149266-105547w), \pm(61828+43719w)$, respectively. In terms of y_1, x_1, x_2 the first map is

$$\left(y_1, x_1, x_2 \right) = \pm \left(z_1 z_2 + 1, -\frac{2}{z_2^2} (z_2 z_3 + 1), 2(z_1 z_2 + 1) \right).$$

Case $\|b\| = -1$. We try to proceed as in the first two cases. The curve $E: y^2 = x(x+2)(x-1)$, elliptic curve 96A1 in [Cre97] and 96.b3 in [LMF], has $E(\mathbf{Q}) = E[2]$. The curve $E^\sigma: y^2 = x(x+4)(x-2)$, elliptic curve 192A2 in [Cre97] and 192.a2 in [LMF], has $E^\sigma(\mathbf{Q}) \cong \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. The point $(x, y) = (4, 8)$ is a generator for $E^\sigma(\mathbf{Q})/\text{torsion}$, which corresponds to $(x, y) = (2, -2w)$ on the original curve E . Looking for rational preimages of $\langle (2, -2w), (1, 0), (0, 0) \rangle$ under [2] we find an additional point $P := (w, w)$ satisfying $2P = (2, -2w)$. So $E(F_1)$ is $\langle P, (1, 0), (0, 0) \rangle \cong \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$, which Magma's **Generators** tells us directly.

Searching $E(F_1) = nP + E[2]$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, for $n < 100$, the largest n we find with coordinates in $\mathbf{Z}[w]$ is $n = 4$. So E appears to have 23 affine $\mathbf{Z}[w]$ -points:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) = & (0, 0), (-2, 0), (1, 0), (-1, \pm w), (2, \pm 2w), (4, \pm 6w), \\ & (w, \pm w), (-w, \pm w), (-3w + 4, \pm(9w - 12)), (3w + 4, \pm(9w + 12)), \\ & (17w + 24, \pm(119w + 168)), (-17w + 24, \pm(119w - 168)), (25, \pm 90w), \end{aligned}$$

with $\|x\| = 0, 4, 1, 1, 4, 16, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, 625$. Of the six x 's with norm -2 only the 1st, 2nd, 5th, and 6th have square $w(x+2)/(ux^2)$, giving

$$(a, b) = (\pm 1, -1 - w), (\pm(1 - w), 1 + w), \\ (\pm(13 + 9w), -7 + 5w), (\pm(31 - 22w), -41 - 29w),$$

which correspond to the first four pairs in the theorem. We now have to roll up our sleeves and do some more work to show we get no other solutions in this case.

To simplify notation, for the remainder of this section we set $K = F_1 = \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_1) = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. Write $b = \pm uz^2$ for some unit z of $\mathbf{Z}[w]$. Setting $a' := ab$, we have $a'^2 = wu - b = wu \mp uz^2$ and

$$wu = a'^2 \pm uz^2, \quad (38)$$

which is a ‘‘generalized (Fermat–)Pell equation’’ over $\mathbf{Z}[w]$ subject to the additional condition that z be a unit.

The two choices of sign are equivalent under Galois conjugation, because the conjugate of uz^2 is $-u^{-1}\bar{z}^2 = -u(\bar{z}/u)^2$. We choose the minus sign, so that (38) is equivalent to

$$wu = N_{L_1/K}(a' + vz), \quad (39)$$

where

$$v = u^{1/2} \quad (40)$$

and L_1 is the quadratic extension $K(v)$ of K . Because u has one positive and one negative conjugate, this extension L_1 is a quartic number field with two real embeddings and one conjugate pair of complex embeddings. By Dirichlet’s unit theorem, then, the group U_{L_1} of units of L_1 has rank 2. The image of the norm map $N_{L_1/K} : U_{L_1} \rightarrow U_K$ has rank 1, because it contains the unit $u^2 = N_{L_1/K}(u)$, and is contained in the rank-1 group U_K . Therefore $\ker(N_{L_1/K})$ has rank 1, and is thus of the form $\pm u_1^{\mathbf{Z}}$ for some u_1 (so that if $u_1 = x_1 + vz_1$ then (x_1, z_1) is a fundamental solution of $x_1^2 - uz_1^2 = 1$ over $\mathbf{Z}[w]$). Hence the solutions $a' + vz \in \mathbf{Z}[v]$ of (39) constitute a finite number of cosets of $\pm u_1^{\mathbf{Z}}$, and in each coset the condition that $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z) = \pm 1$ becomes an exponential diophantine equation in one variable. Thus the p -adic technique applies: extend from $u_1^{\mathbf{Z}}$ to $u_1^{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ for some prime p , write $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z)$ as a function on \mathbf{Z}_p , and count the preimages of ± 1 . As it happens, our problem gives rise to a very favorable case of this technique: there is only one coset, and when we choose $p = 2$ we find four preimages, each corresponding to one of our known solutions. (In general, not all p -adic solutions come from \mathbf{Z} , and the spurious ones must be ruled out by further analysis.) The details follow.

The unit group of L_1 is generated mod ± 1 by v and $v^3 - v^2 - v = -u + (u - 1)v = -(1 + w) + wv$.⁴ Of these, v has norm $-u$ but $-(1 + w) + wv$ has norm 1, so the kernel of $N_{L_1/K} : U_{L_1} \rightarrow U_K$ consists of the powers of

$$u_1 := -(1 + w) + wv$$

and their negatives. Note that $N_{L_1/K}(1 + v) = N_{L_1/K}(1 - v) = -w$ and that $(1 + v)/(1 - v) = -(1 + w) + wv$; since this is a unit, the ideals $(1 + v)$ and $(1 - v)$ are the same, so each has square (w) , whence the ideal (w) is ramified in L_1 (and thus the rational prime (2) of \mathbf{Q} is also totally ramified in L_1 , where it factors as $(1 + v)^4$). Now since the ideal $(wu) = (w)$

⁴ We obtained this using the built-in function `bnfinit` in `gp` [PAR]; these units v and $v^3 - v^2 - v$ are also the generators of $U_{L_1} \bmod \{\pm 1\}$ listed in the LMFDB [LMF] entry 4.2.1024.1 for L_1 .

is prime in K and ramified in L_1 , we know that the solutions $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}[v]$ of the equation $N_{L_1/K}(\alpha) = wu$ either form a single coset of $\pm u_1^{\mathbf{Z}}$ or do not exist at all; and a quick search finds the solution

$$\alpha_1 = 1 + w + v = u + v,$$

so the general solution is $\alpha = \pm \alpha_1 u_1^k$. (We could also have found an initial solution by working backwards from one of the first four lines of the list in the theorem with $\|b\| = -1$.) These solutions must be permuted by the Galois involution of L_1/K , and indeed we compute that $u - v = -\alpha_1 u_1$, so in general the $\text{Gal}(L_1/K)$ conjugate of $\alpha_1 u_1^k$ is $-\alpha_1 u_1^{1-k}$.

Recall that we seek $\alpha = a' + vz$ such that $N_{L_1/K}(\alpha) = wu$ and $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z) = \pm 1$. We now know that $N_{L_1/K}(\alpha) = wu$ is equivalent to $\alpha = \pm \alpha_1 u_1^k$, and that the v coefficient of $\alpha_1 u_1^k$ is invariant under $k \longleftrightarrow 1 - k$. We may thus assume that k is even. Moreover the choice of sign in $\alpha = \pm \alpha_1 u_1^k$ does not affect $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z)$. We tabulate $a', z, N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z)$ for the five smallest $\{k, 1 - k\}$ pairs, listing the even k first in each pair:

k	0, 1	2, -1	-2, 3	4, -3	-4, 5
a'	$\pm(1 + w)$	$\pm(5 + 3w)$	$\pm(21 + 15w)$	$\pm(97 + 69w)$	$\pm(449 + 317w)$
z	1	$-(3 + 2w)$	$13 + 10w$	$-(63 + 44w)$	$289 + 204w$
$N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z)$	1	1	-31	97	289

Extending this calculation further suggests that if $k' \equiv k \pmod{4}$ but $k' \neq k$, then the corresponding values of $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z)$ are congruent modulo $2^{\text{val}_2(k'-k)+3}$ but not modulo $2^{\text{val}_2(k'-k)+4}$, and in particular that no value appears more than once in each congruence class $k \equiv k_0 \pmod{4}$. Since each congruence class already contains one case of $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z) = 1$, this would imply that there are no others, and thus that our list of $\|b\| = -1$ solutions is complete. In the remainder of this section, we prove this (in the equivalent form of Proposition 8.17 below) by extending $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z)$ to a continuous function from $k \in 2\mathbf{Z}_2$ to \mathbf{Z}_2 , and in effect finding the valuation of this function's derivative.

We compute that $N_{L_1/K}(1 - u_1) = 4 + 2w$ and $N_{L_1/\mathbf{Q}}(1 - u_1) = 8$, so in particular $\text{val}_2(1 - u_1) = 3/4$ and $\text{val}_2(1 - u_1^2) = 3/2 > 1$. Thus u_1^{2j} has a 2-adically convergent binomial expansion

$$u_1^{2j} = (1 - (1 - u_1^2))^j = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{j}{n} (1 - u_1^2)^n$$

for $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, which extends to a continuous function $j \mapsto u_1^{2j}$ from \mathbf{Z}_2 to $\mathbf{Z}_2[v]$. The same is then true of a' and z , which take values in $\mathbf{Z}_2[w]$, and of $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z)$, taking values in \mathbf{Z}_2 .

We first determine the function $z = z(j)$. For $n \geq 0$, write

$$(1 - u_1^2)^n = r_n + v s_n$$

with $r_n, s_n \in \mathbf{Z}[w]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 u_1^{2j} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{j}{n} (u + v)(r_n + v s_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{j}{n} ((ur_n + us_n) + v(r_n + us_n)) \quad \text{using (40)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $z(j)$ is the v coefficient

$$z(j) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{j}{n} (r_n + us_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{j}{n} t_n,$$

where

$$t_n := r_n + us_n$$

(see Table 1 for the values of r_n, s_n, t_n for $0 \leq n \leq 4$). Therefore

$$N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z(j)) = z(j) \sigma(z(j)) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n_1+n_2} \binom{j}{n_1} \binom{j}{n_2} t_{n_1} \sigma(t_{n_2}). \quad (41)$$

We proceed to study how each term in the double sum changes when j is replaced by some j' that is 2-adically close to j .

TABLE 1. r_n, s_n, t_n for $n \leq 4$

n	0	1	2	3	4
r_n	1	$-4 - 4w$	$104 + 72w$	$-2080 - 1472w$	$42176 + 29824w$
s_n	0	$4 + 2w$	$-64 - 48w$	$1344 + 944w$	$-27136 - 19200w$
t_n	1	$4 + 2w$	$-56 - 40w$	$1152 + 816w$	$-23360 - 16512w$

We observed already that $\text{val}_2(1 - u^2) = 3/2$. Thus

$$\text{val}_2(r_n + vs_n) = \text{val}_2((1 - u^2)^n) = 3n/2.$$

We claim

Lemma 8.14. *Each of r_n and s_n , and thus also t_n , has valuation at least $3n/2$.*

Proof. We have seen that the ideal $(1 + v)^4$ of L_1 equals (2), so $\text{val}_2(1 + v) = 1/4$. Since $r_n + vs_n = (r_n - s_n) + (1 + v)s_n$ has valuation $3n/2$, and the image of K under val_2 is $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$, the terms $r_n - s_n$ and $(1 + v)s_n$ have different valuations, so each of these valuations must be at least $3n/2$, and then the same is true of the valuation of $r_n = (r_n - s_n) + s_n$ and Lemma 8.14 is proved. \square

As for the factor $\binom{j}{n_1} \binom{j}{n_2}$ in (41), we show

Lemma 8.15. *If n_1, n_2 are nonnegative integers, then*

$$\text{val}_2 \left(\binom{j'}{n_1} \binom{j'}{n_2} - \binom{j}{n_1} \binom{j}{n_2} \right) \geq \text{val}_2(j' - j) - n_1 - n_2 + 1$$

holds for all $j, j' \in \mathbf{Z}_2$, with strict inequality if both n_1 and n_2 are positive.

Proof. Let $P(X) = n_1!n_2! \binom{X}{n_1} \binom{X}{n_2} \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$. Then

$$\binom{j'}{n_1} \binom{j'}{n_2} - \binom{j}{n_1} \binom{j}{n_2} = \frac{P(j') - P(j)}{n_1!n_2!}.$$

The numerator is a multiple of $j' - j$, and thus has valuation at least $\text{val}_2(j' - j)$. For the denominator, we use the inequality

$$\text{val}_2(n!) \leq n, \quad (42)$$

which is valid for all nonnegative integers n , and strict for $n > 0$. (The difference is the number of 1s in the binary representation of n ; this is a known consequence of the general formula for $\text{val}_p(n!)$ in terms of the base- p representation of n .) This completes the proof of Lemma 8.15; it might seem that $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 0)$ is an exception, but in this case $\binom{j'}{n_1} \binom{j'}{n_2} - \binom{j}{n_1} \binom{j}{n_2} = 1 - 1 = 0$ for all j, j' and there is nothing to prove. \square

Combining Lemmas 8.14 and 8.15 gives

Lemma 8.16. *If n_1, n_2 are nonnegative integers, then*

$$\text{val}_2 \left(\left[\binom{j'}{n_1} \binom{j'}{n_2} - \binom{j}{n_1} \binom{j}{n_2} \right] t_{n_1} \sigma(t_{n_2}) \right) \geq \text{val}_2(j' - j) + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{2} + 1$$

holds for all $j, j' \in \mathbf{Z}_2$, with strict inequality if both n_1 and n_2 are positive. \square

Recall that our aim is to prove

Proposition 8.17. *If $j', j \in \mathbf{Z}_2$ with $j' \equiv j \pmod{2}$ then*

$$\text{val}_2(\mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}} z(j') - \mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}} z(j)) = \text{val}_2(j' - j) + 4.$$

(We took $k = 2j$, so if we likewise set $k' = 2j'$ then $k' \equiv k \pmod{4}$ makes $j' \equiv j \pmod{2}$, and $\text{val}_2(k' - k) + 3 = \text{val}_2(j' - j) + 4$.)

Proof. By Lemma 8.16 it suffices to prove this with the double sums (41) for $\mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}} z(j')$ and $\mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}} z(j)$ replaced by finite sums over $n_1 + n_2 < 6$ together with $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 6)$ and $(6, 0)$. We next check that it is enough to consider $n_1, n_2 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ together with $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 4)$ and $(4, 0)$.

Combining the (n_1, n_2) and (n_2, n_1) terms when $n_1 \neq n_2$, we rewrite (41) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(z(j)) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{j}{n}^2 \mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(t_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=n_1+1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n_1+n_2} \binom{j}{n_1} \binom{j}{n_2} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(t_{n_1} \sigma(t_{n_2})). \end{aligned}$$

We computed r_n, s_n, t_n for $0 \leq n \leq 8$; Table 1 lists the values for $n \leq 4$.

Each t_n turns out to have valuation $3n/2$, attaining equality in Lemma 8.14, so $\text{val}_2(\mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(t_n)) = 3n$. We next tabulate $\text{val}_2(\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(t_{n_1} \sigma(t_{n_2})) / (n_1! n_2!))$ for $n_1 < 3$ and $n_1 < n_2 \leq 6$, which includes all cases of $n_1 + n_2 \leq 6$ with $n_1 < n_2$, and thus confirms our claim that the terms with $n_1, n_2 \leq 2$ or $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 4)$ and $(4, 0)$ suffice:

n_2	1	2	3	4	5	6
$n_1 = 0$	3	3	7	4	6	6
$n_1 = 1$		6	6	6	7	12
$n_1 = 2$			7	6	10	8

(Note that we are using here the actual $\text{val}_2(n_i!)$, not the upper bound (42).)

Using only the terms for which

$$\text{val}_2(N_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(t_n)/n!) \quad \text{or} \quad \text{val}_2\left(\frac{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}(t_{n_1} \sigma(t_{n_2}))}{n_1! n_2!}\right)$$

is at most 4 (and thus omitting also the pair $(n_1, n_2) = (1, 2)$ and $(2, 1)$), we compute

$$\text{val}_2(N_{K/\mathbf{Q}} z(j)) = 1 + 2^4 \left(733j - \frac{4051}{3}j^2 + 740j^3 - \frac{368}{3}j^4 \right) + \eta(j)$$

for some function $\eta : \mathbf{Z}_2 \rightarrow 2^4\mathbf{Z}_2$ such that $\text{val}_2(\eta(j') - \eta(j)) > \text{val}_2(j' - j) + 4$ for all distinct $j, j' \in \mathbf{Z}_2$. So it remains to check that the difference between the values of $1 + 2^4(733X - \frac{4051}{3}X^2 + 740X^3 - \frac{368}{3}X^4)$ at $X = j'$ and $X = j$ has valuation exactly $\text{val}_2(j' - j) + 4$ provided $j' \equiv j \pmod{2}$. The term 1 does not change; the term $2^4 733X$ changes by $2^4 733(j' - j)$, which has the desired valuation $\text{val}_2(j' - j) + 4$; the term $2^4 \frac{4051}{3}X^2$ changes by $2^4 \frac{4051}{3}(j' - j)(j' + j)$, which has valuation strictly greater than $\text{val}_2(j' - j) + 4$ because $\text{val}_2(j' + j) > 0$ by the assumption $j' \equiv j \pmod{2}$; and the change in each of the remaining terms $2^4 740X^3$ and $-2^4 \frac{368}{3}X^4$ is $2^4(j' - j)$ times some multiple of 2, and thus has valuation $> \text{val}_2(j' - j) + 4$ for all $j, j' \in \mathbf{Z}_2$. \square

This proves Proposition 8.17, and thus completes at last our proof that our list of $\|b\| = -1$ solutions is complete, concluding the proof of Theorem 8.11.

Remark 8.18. We could also solve the case $\|b\| = -2$ using this method. Here $b = \pm w z^2$ so $wu = a'^2 + b = a'^2 \pm w z^2$ for some unit z . Again the two choices of sign are equivalent under Galois conjugation. This time we are working in the quartic field $L_2 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}) = \mathbf{Q}(v)$ where $v^2 = w$, number field 4.2.2048.1 in [LMF]; the units are generated mod ± 1 by $1 + v$ and $1 - v$, and the kernel of $N_{L_2/K} : U_L \rightarrow U_K$ is $\pm u_2^{\mathbf{Z}}$ where $u_2 = -(1 + v)/(1 - v) = (3 + 2w) + (2 + 2w)v$. The solutions of $N_{L_2/K} \alpha = wu$ in $\mathbf{Z}[v]$ are $\pm \alpha_2 u_2^k$ where $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\alpha_2 = u(w - v)$, with $\pm \alpha_2 u_2^{1-k}$ the $\text{Gal}(L_2/K)$ conjugate of $\pm \alpha_2 u_2^k$. This time there is only one pair of solutions $\alpha = \pm a' \pm v z$ with $N_{K/\mathbf{Q}} z = \pm 1$, namely $\pm u w \pm u v$ itself (with $k = 0, 1$). Again this can be proved by fixing the parity of k (which loses no generality thanks to the symmetry $k \longleftrightarrow 1 - k$) and regarding $\alpha_2 u_2^k$ as a $\mathbf{Z}_2[v]$ -valued function of $k \in 2\mathbf{Z}_2$. We spare the details, for both our sake and the reader's.

Corollary 8.19. *There are exactly ten $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ -PCFs for $\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ of type (1, 2). They are*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} &= [1 + \sqrt{2}, \overline{-2, 2 + 2\sqrt{2}}] \\
&= [1, \overline{2\sqrt{2} - 2, 2}] \\
&= [-1 + 2\sqrt{2}, \overline{26 + 18\sqrt{2}, -2 + 4\sqrt{2}}] \\
&= [5 + 3\sqrt{2}, \overline{62 - 44\sqrt{2}, 10 + 6\sqrt{2}}] \\
&= [\sqrt{2}, \overline{2, 2\sqrt{2}}] \\
&= [2 + \sqrt{2}, \overline{2 - 2\sqrt{2}, 4 + 2\sqrt{2}}] \\
&= [2, \overline{-4 - 2\sqrt{2}, 4}] \\
&= [2 + 2\sqrt{2}, \overline{8 - 6\sqrt{2}, 4 + 4\sqrt{2}}] \\
&= [-182 + 130\sqrt{2}, \overline{-123656 - 87438\sqrt{2}, -364 + 260\sqrt{2}}] \\
&= [442 + 312\sqrt{2}, \overline{-298532 + 211094\sqrt{2}, 884 + 624\sqrt{2}}].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Again we check convergence using Algorithm 1. □

For the last continued fraction $[b_1, \overline{a_1, a_2}]$ we have $a_1\alpha_2 - b_1a_1 - 1 \approx 0.995825$, which means that every extra significant digit requires $\approx -2/(2 \log_{10}(0.995825)) \approx 550$ more convergents by Remark 4.5(c).

Remark 8.20. In keeping with Proposition 8.5, the number 21 of \mathcal{O}_1 -points on $E(2 + \sqrt{2})$ in Theorem 8.11 (which is the same as the number of \mathcal{O}_1 -points on $V(2 + \sqrt{2})'_{1,2}$ by Remark 8.7) is congruent to 1 mod 4. Likewise also in keeping with Proposition 8.5, the number 10 of \mathcal{O}_1 -PCFs of α_2 of type (1, 2) is divisible by 2.

APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF CONVERGENCE RESULTS

As advertised we now prove the results in Section 4. By “converge” we mean converge in the $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ metric, so in particular we say the limit exists even if it is converging to infinity.

Proposition A.1. *Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$, $ad - bc = \varepsilon = \pm 1$, and $z \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$. Let*

$$w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{A}^n(z)$$

if the limit exists. Let λ_{\pm} be the eigenvalues of A chosen so that $|\lambda_+| \geq 1 \geq |\lambda_-|$. If $A \neq \pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}I$ let

$$\beta_{\pm} = \beta_{\pm}(A) = \frac{\lambda_{\pm} - d}{c} = \frac{b}{\lambda_{\pm} - a} \left(= \frac{a - \lambda_{\mp}}{c} = \frac{b}{d - \lambda_{\mp}} \right) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$$

where we take whichever expression is not the indeterminate 0/0.

- (a) *If $A = \pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}I$, then $w = z$ for every z .*
- (b) *If $|a + d| < 2$, $(a + d)\sqrt{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{R}$, and $z = \beta_{\pm}$, then $w = z$.*
- (c) *If $A \neq \pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}I$ and $a + d = \pm 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, then $w = \beta_+ = \beta_-$ for every z .*

- (d) Suppose $|a + d| > 2$ or $(a + d)\sqrt{\varepsilon} \notin \mathbf{R}$. If $z = \beta_-$, then $w = \beta_-$.
(e) The limit does not exist if and only if $|a + d| < 2$, $(a + d)\sqrt{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{R}$, and $z \neq \beta_{\pm}$.
(f) Suppose $|a + d| > 2$ or $(a + d)\sqrt{\varepsilon} \notin \mathbf{R}$. If $z \neq \beta_-$, then $w = \beta_+$.

The real dimension count of the choice (A, z) for the six cases is, respectively, 2, 5, 6, 6, 7, 8. In particular, case ((f)) is the generic case.

Proof. First note that if $A = \pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}I$, then $\bar{A}(z) = z$ for every z , so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{A}^n(z) = z$. So assume $A \neq \pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}I$ for the remainder of the proof, so that in particular β_{\pm} is defined. Since $\bar{A}(\beta_{\pm}) = \beta_{\pm}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{A}^n(\beta_{\pm}) = \beta_{\pm}.$$

As in the proof of Proposition 2.10 $A^n = t_{n-1}A - \varepsilon t_{n-2}I$ where

$$t_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_+^{n+1} - \lambda_-^{n+1}}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} & \text{if } \lambda_+ \neq \lambda_-, \\ (n+1)\lambda_+^n & \text{if } \lambda_+ = \lambda_-. \end{cases}$$

Hence if $t_{n-1} \neq 0$,

$$\bar{A}^n(z) = \frac{\left(a - \varepsilon \frac{t_{n-2}}{t_{n-1}}\right)z + b}{cz + \left(d - \varepsilon \frac{t_{n-2}}{t_{n-1}}\right)}.$$

If $|\lambda_+| > 1 > |\lambda_-|$ or $\lambda_+ = \lambda_- = \pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{A}^n(z) = \frac{\left(a - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_+}\right)z + b}{cz + \left(d - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_+}\right)} = \frac{(a - \lambda_-)z + b}{cz + (d - \lambda_-)} = \beta_+$$

provided $z \neq (\lambda_- - d)/c = b/(\lambda_- - a) = \beta_-$. If $|\lambda_+| = 1 = |\lambda_-|$ but $\lambda_+ \neq \lambda_-$ then the map $z \rightarrow \bar{A}(z)$ is conjugate to $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}z$ for some $\theta \neq 2\pi m$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{ni\theta}z$ does not exist unless z is one of the fixed points $z = 0, \infty$. \square

Remark A.2. (a) The indeterminate $0/0$ occurs in the definition of the β_{\pm} if and only if $bc = 0$ if and only if one of β_{\pm} is 0 or ∞ . Both expressions for, say, β_+ are the indeterminate $0/0$ if and only if A is a multiple of the identity, which is excluded from the definition.

(b) Cases (a) and (c) correspond to $\lambda_+ = \lambda_-$, Cases (b) and (e) to $|\lambda_+| = |\lambda_-| = 1$, $\lambda_+ \neq \lambda_-$, and Cases (d) and (f) to $|\lambda_+| > 1 > |\lambda_-|$. In Cases (d) and (f), $\beta_+(A)$ is called the *attractive* point and $\beta_-(A)$ the *repulsive* point. In Case (c) $\beta_+(A) = \beta_-(A)$ is also called attractive. In Cases (b) and (e) both points are called *indifferent*.

(c) An alternate and more direct definition of $\beta_{\pm}(A)$ is

$$\beta_{\pm}(A) = \frac{a - d \pm f_{-\varepsilon}(a + d)}{2c} = \frac{2b}{d - a \pm f_{-\varepsilon}(a + d)} \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$$

if $A \neq \pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}I$, where we take $\beta_{\pm}(A)$ to be whichever expression is not an indeterminate $0/0$,

$$f_{\pm}(z) = z\sqrt{1 \pm 4/z^2}$$

for $z \neq 0$ with the usual principal value for the square root, $f_+(0) = 2$, and $f_-(0) = 2i$. The key property of f_{\pm} is that $|z + f_{\pm}(z)| > 2$ everywhere but the branch cut, proved in

Proposition A.3 below. Note $f_{\pm}(-z) = -f_{\pm}(z)$ for $z \neq 0$, which is necessary for β_{\pm} to depend only on \overline{A} .

Proposition A.3. *The function $f_{-}(z)$ is holomorphic on the open set that is the complement of the branch cut $-2 \leq z \leq 2$ where z is real. The function $f_{+}(z)$ is holomorphic on the open set that is the complement of the branch cut $-2 \leq iz \leq 2$ where z is imaginary. Furthermore $|z + f_{\pm}(z)| = 2$ on the branch cuts and $|z + f_{\pm}(z)| > 2$ everywhere else.*

Proof. The holomorphicity and branch cuts follow from the definition of the branch cut of square root. The last statement is equivalent to

$$\left|1 + \sqrt{1 - z^2}\right| \begin{cases} = |z| & \text{if } z \text{ is real and } z^2 \geq 1, \\ > |z| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$|1 + \sqrt{1 - z^2}|^2 = 1 + |z^2 - 1| + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\sqrt{1 - z^2})$ and the real part of a square root is always ≥ 0 by definition, so the result now follows from the triangle inequality. \square

Corollary A.4. *Let A and z be as in Proposition A.1, and $\begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} = BAB^{-1}$ for some invertible B . Then $\overline{B}(\beta_{\pm}(A)) = \beta_{\pm}(BAB^{-1})$. Furthermore, let*

$$w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{BA}^n(z)$$

if the limit exists.

- (a) *If $A = \pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}I$, then $w = \overline{B}(z)$ for every z .*
- (b) *If $|a + d| < 2$, $(a + d)\sqrt{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{R}$, and $z = \beta_{\pm}(A)$, then $w = \overline{B}(z)$.*
- (c) *If $A \neq \pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}I$ and $a + d = \pm 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, then $w = \overline{B}(\beta_{+}(A)) = \overline{B}(\beta_{-}(A))$ for every z .*
- (d) *Suppose $|a + d| > 2$ or $(a + d)\sqrt{\varepsilon} \notin \mathbf{R}$. If $z = \beta_{-}(A)$, then $w = \overline{B}(\beta_{-}(A))$.*
- (e) *The limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{BA}^n(z)$ does not exist if and only if $|a + d| < 2$, $(a + d)\sqrt{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{R}$, and $z \neq \beta_{\pm}(A)$.*
- (f) *Suppose $|a + d| > 2$ or $(a + d)\sqrt{\varepsilon} \notin \mathbf{R}$. If $z \neq \beta_{-}(A)$, then $w = \overline{B}(\beta_{+}(A))$.*

Proof. Simply note that $\overline{BA}^n(z) = (\overline{BAB^{-1}})^n(\overline{B}z)$ and apply Proposition A.1. \square

Corollary A.5. *With notation as in Corollary A.4, let*

$$w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{BA}^n(\infty)$$

if the limit exists.

- (a) *If $A = \pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}I$, then $w = \overline{B}(\infty)$.*
- (b) *If $|a + d| < 2$, $(a + d)\sqrt{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{R}$, and $c = 0$, then $w = \overline{B}(\infty)$.*
- (c) *If $A \neq \pm\sqrt{\varepsilon}I$ and $a + d = \pm 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, then $w = \overline{B}(\beta_{+}(A)) = \overline{B}(\beta_{-}(A))$.*
- (d) *Suppose $|a + d| > 2$ or $(a + d)\sqrt{\varepsilon} \notin \mathbf{R}$. If $c = 0$ and $|a| < 1 < |d|$, then $w = \overline{B}(\infty)$.*
- (e) *The limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{BA}^n(\infty)$ does not exist if and only if $|a + d| < 2$, $(a + d)\sqrt{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{R}$, and $c \neq 0$.*
- (f) *Suppose $|a + d| > 2$ or $(a + d)\sqrt{\varepsilon} \notin \mathbf{R}$. If $c \neq 0$ or $|a| > 1 > |d|$, then $w = \overline{B}(\beta_{+}(A))$. \square*

We apply Corollary A.5 to $B = B_j = M([b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_j])$ and

$$A = A_j = M([a_{j+1}, \dots, a_k, a_1, \dots, a_j]) \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, k - 1.$$

By periodicity, $B_0^{-1}B_jA_j = A_0B_0^{-1}B_j$, and thus $B_jA_jB_j^{-1} = B_0A_0B_0^{-1} = E$ by Equation (4).

Theorem 4.3. Let $P = [b_1, \dots, b_N, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_k}]$ be a PCF. Then the value $\hat{\beta}(P)$ exists if and only if **none** of the following three conditions is satisfied:

- (a) $E(P) = \pm i^k I$.
- (b) With the a_i periodic as in (12),

$$M([a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{k+j}])_{21} = 0 \text{ and } |M([a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{k+j}])_{22}| > 1$$

for some $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$.

- (c) $\text{Tr}(E(P))^2 \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 \leq (-1)^k \text{Tr}(E(P))^2 < 4$.

If it converges, the value $\hat{\beta}(P) = \beta_+(E(P))$.

Proof. This is just an application of Corollary A.5 to B_j and A_j , for $j = 0, \dots, k-1$, with the complication that the limit for each j must be the same. As noted above the A_j are all in the same conjugacy class, which determines whether we're in one of four subsets of cases of Corollary A.5: Case (a), Cases (b)/(e), Case (c), or Cases (d)/(f). Because consecutive convergents cannot be equal, $\overline{B_j}(\infty) \neq \overline{B_{j+1}}(\infty)$, and therefore Case (a) (Theorem 4.3(a)), Case (d) (Theorem 4.3(b), which has to be checked for each j since it is not conjugation invariant), and Cases (b)/(e) (Theorem 4.3(c)) are excluded. So if the limit exists, we have to be in Case (c) or (f), and the limit is $\beta_+(E) = \overline{B_j}(\beta_+(A_j))$ for every j . \square

If Theorem 4.3(b) is satisfied, but not (a) and (c), then for each $j \bmod k$ the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [b_1, \dots, b_N, a_1, \dots, a_{j+nk}]$$

exists, and most are the same, but there is at least one pariah j for which the limit exists but is different from the others.

Corollary A.6. Let $P^* = [b_1, \dots, b_N, 0, \overline{-a_k, \dots, -a_2, -a_1}]$ be the PCF dual to P . Then the value $\hat{\beta}(P^*)$ exists if and only if **none** of the following three conditions is satisfied:

- (a) $E(P) = E(P^*)^{-1} = \pm \sqrt{(-1)^k} I$.
- (b) With the a_i periodic as in (12),

$$M([a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{k+j}])_{21} = 0 \text{ and } |M([a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{k+j}])_{22}| < 1$$

for some $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$.

- (c) $\text{Tr}(E(P))^2 \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 \leq (-1)^k \text{Tr}(E(P))^2 < 4$.

If it converges, the value $\hat{\beta}(P) = \beta_-(E(P)) = \beta_+(E(P^*))$.

Proof. By (6) $E(P^*) = E(P)^{-1}$, and hence $\text{Tr}(E(P^*)) = (-1)^k \text{Tr}(E(P))$. If $(A_j)_{21} = 0$, then $(A_j^{-1})_{11} = (-1)^k (A_j)_{22}$ and $(A_j^{-1})_{22} = (-1)^k (A_j)_{11}$. \square

Remark A.7. Notice that the conditions in Corollary A.6 are identical to the conditions in Theorem 4.3 except that the inequality in Theorem 4.3(b) is reversed.

REFERENCES

- [CBP97] John Cannon, Wieb Bosma, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system I: The user language*, J. Symbolic Comp. **24** (1997), no. 3–4, 235–265.
- [Cre97] J. E. Cremona, *Algorithms for modular elliptic curves*, Second, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [Dic66] Leonard Eugene Dickson, *History of the theory of numbers. Vol. II: Diophantine analysis*, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1966.

- [Eul44] Leonhard Euler, *De fractionibus continuis dissertatio*, Novi commentarii academiae scientiarum imperialis Petropolitanae **9** (1744), 98–137. Reprinted in his Opera Omnia, Series 1, Vol. 14, pp. 187–216. Translated from the Latin by B. F. Wyman and M. F. Wyman in *Math. Systems Theory* **18** (1985), no. 4, 295–328. MR818419
- [Gal28] Évariste Galois, *Analyse algébrique. Démonstration d'un théorème sur les fractions continues périodiques*, Annales de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées **19** (1828), 294–301.
- [JT80] William B. Jones and Wolfgang J. Thron, *Continued fractions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 11, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1980.
- [LMF] The LMFDB Collaboration, *The L-functions and modular forms database*. <http://www.lmfdb.org> as of May 2018.
- [Lag70] Joseph-Louis Lagrange, *Additions au mémoire sur la résolution des équations numériques*, Mémoires de l'Académie royale des Sciences et Belles-lettres de Berlin **24** (1770), 111–180. Reprinted in Oeuvres complètes, tome 2, 581–652.
- [Lju42] Wilhelm Ljunggren, *Zur Theorie der Gleichung $x^2 + 1 = Dy^4$* , Avh. Norske, Vid. Akad. Oslo **I** (1942), no. 5, 27.
- [PAR] The PARI Group, *The PARI/GP calculator*, Université de Bordeaux. Available at <http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr> as of May 2018.
- [Ser89] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Lectures on the Mordell–Weil theorem*, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1989. Translated from the French and edited by Martin Brown from notes by Michel Waldschmidt.
- [Sie14] Carl L. Siegel, *Über einige Anwendungen diophantischer Approximationen* [reprint of Abhandlungen der Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Physikalisch-mathematische Klasse 1929, Nr. 1], On some applications of Diophantine approximations, 2014, pp. 81–138.
- [Sko34] Thoralf A. Skolem, *Ein Verfahren zur Behandlung gewisser exponentialer Gleichungen und diophantischer Gleichungen*, 8. skand. mat.-kongr., 1934, pp. 163–168.
- [Sko38] Thoralf A. Skolem, *Diophantische Gleichungen*, Springer–Verlag, 1938.
- [Wal56] John Wallis, *Arithmetica infinitorum, sive nova methodus inquirendi in curvilinearum quadraturam, aliaque difficiliora matheseos problemata*, Typis Leon: Lichfield Academiae Typographi, Impensis Tho. Robinson, Oxford, 1656.
- [Wik] Wikipedia contributors, *Bernard Frénicle de Bessy*. Available as of May 2018.

CENTER FOR COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH, 805 BUNN DRIVE, PRINCETON, NJ 08540-1966, USA
E-mail address: brock@idaccr.org

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, 1 OXFORD STREET, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138-2901, USA
E-mail address: elkies@math.harvard.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BARUCH COLLEGE, THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, ONE BERNARD BARUCH WAY, NEW YORK, NY 10010-5526, USA
E-mail address: bruce.jordan@baruch.cuny.edu