Camassa-Holm and M-CIV equations with self-consistent sources: geometry and peakon solutions

Gulmira Yergaliyeva^{*}, Tolkynay Myrzakul[†], Gulgassyl Nugmanova[‡], Kuralay Yesmakhanova[§] and Ratbay Myrzakulov[¶] Eurasian International Center for Theoretical Physics, Eurasian National University, Nur-Sultan, 010008, Kazakhstan

Abstract

In this paper, we study one of generalized Heisenberg ferromagnet equations with selfconsistent sources, namely, the so-called M-CIV equation with self-consistent sources (M-CIVESCS). The Lax representation of the M-CIVESCS is presented. We have shown that the M-CIVESCS and the CH equation with self-consistent sources (CHESCS) is geometrically equivalent each to other. The gauge equivalence between these equations is proved. Soliton (peakon) and pseudospherical surfaces induced by these equations are considered. The one peakon solution of the M-CIVESCS is presented.

KEYWORDS: Camassa-Holm equation with self-consistent sources; Heisenberg ferromagnet equation; Heisenberg ferromagnet equation with self-consistent sources; Heisenberg ferromagnet equation with self-consistent potentials; Lax representation; conservation laws; peakon; soliton.

1 Introduction

Camassa-Holm equation (CHE) has the form

$$u_t + 2\omega u_x - u_{xxt} + 3uu_x = 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}, \tag{1.1}$$

where u = u(x, t) is the fluid velocity in the x direction and $\omega = const$ is related to the critical shallow water wave speed. This equation has several equivalent forms, for example, the following ones

$$q_t + 2u_x q + uq_x = 0, (1.2)$$

$$q - u + u_{xx} - \omega = 0, (1.3)$$

^{*}Email: gyergaliyeva@gmail.com

[†]Email: trmyrzakul@gmail.com

[‡]Email: nugmanovagn@gmail.com

[§]Email: kryesmakhanova@gmail.com

[¶]Email: rmyrzakulov@gmail.com

$$\kappa_t + (u\kappa)_x = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

$$u - u_{xx} + \omega - \nu \kappa^2 = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

The CHE was implicitly contained in the class of multi-Hamiltonian system introduced by Fuchssteiner and Fokas [1]. It explicitly derived as a shallow water wave equation by Camassa and Holm [2] and after their works, the CHE and its different modifications have been studied from many kinds of views [3]-[18]. The CHE shares most of the properties of the integrable system of KdV type and possesses Lax representation (LR), the bi-Hamiltonian structure, smooth solitary wave solutions (as $\omega > 0$). For example, the solitary wave solutions become piecewise smooth and have cusps at their peaks when $\omega \to 0$. When $\omega = 0$, these kind of solutions are weak solutions and are called "peakons".

Integrable Heisenberg ferromagnet type equations play important role in modern physics and mathematics (see, e.g., Refs. [20]-[61]). Recently, integrable generalized Heisenberg ferromagnet equations which are equivalent to Camassa-Holm type equations were presented (see, e.g., refs. [20]-[25]). In particular, it is shown that the CHE is (geometrically and gauge) equivalent to the following Myrzakulov-CIV (M-CIV) equation [20]-[27]

$$A_{xt} + (uA_x)_x + (uA_x^2 + 0.5\{A_x, A_t\})A - \frac{1}{4\beta^2}[A, A_x] = 0, \qquad (1.6)$$

$$tr(A_x^2) + 8\beta^2(u - u_{xx}) = 0 (1.7)$$

or

$$(A_t + uA_x)_x + (u_{xx} - u_x - 2u)A - \frac{1}{4\beta^2}[A, A_x] = 0, \qquad (1.8)$$

$$tr(A_x^2) + 8\beta^2(u - u_{xx}) = 0.$$
(1.9)

Here

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_3 & A^- \\ A^+ & -A_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{\pm} = A_1 \pm iA_2, \quad A^2 = I, \quad \mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2, A_3), \quad \mathbf{A}^2 = 1,$$
(1.10)

$$A_x^2 = -4\beta^2 qI, \quad \{A_t, A_x\} = [(8\beta^2 u - 4)q - 2(u_x + u_{xx})]I.$$
(1.11)

There are many integrable generalizations of the CHE. One of such generalizations is the CHE with self-consistent sources (CHESCS) [3]. Such type integrable equations with self-consistent sources have attracted much attention in recent years [15]. They have important applications in many branches of physics. For example, the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with self-consistent sources describes the nonlinear interaction of an electrostatic high-frequency wave with the ion acoustic wave in a two component homogeneous plasma. Another example is the KdV equation with self-consistent sources. It describes the interaction of long and short capillary-gravity waves. The famous KP equation with self-consistent sources represents the nonlinear interaction of a long wave with a short wave packet propagating on the x-y plane at some angle to each other. In this paper, we would like to study the M-CIV equation with self-consistent sources (M-CIVESCS) and its relation with the CHESCS.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the M-CIVESCS and its Lax representation. In Section 3, the integrable motion of curves induced by the M-CIVESCS are

constructed. The gauge equivalence between the M-CIVESCS and CHESCS is established in Section 4. The peakon (soliton) and pseudo-spherical surfaces induced by the M-CIVESCS and the CHESCS are presented in Section 5 and in Section 6, respectively. In Section 7, the formulas of the one peakon solution of the M-CIVESCS is presented. The M-CIVESCS and the CHESCS with N self-consistent sources is given in Section 8. In Section 9, the conclusion is presented.

2 M-CIV equation with self-consistent sources

One of examples of the peakon spin systems is the following Myrzakulov-CIV equation with selfconsistent sources (M-CIVESCS)

$$[A, A_{xt}] + (u[A, A_x])_x - \frac{1}{\beta^2} A_x - \alpha (\omega[A, A_x])_x + \frac{2\alpha^2 \chi}{\beta^2 + \alpha} A_x + \frac{2\alpha\beta^2 \chi_x}{\beta^2 + \alpha} A = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\psi_{1x} - \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\right)\left[\left(A_x^+ A^- - A^+ A_x^-\right)\psi_1 + 2\left(A_x^- A_3 - A^- A_{3x}\right)\psi_2\right] = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\psi_{2x} - \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\right) \left[2(A^+A_{3x} - A_x^+A_3)\psi_1 + (A^+A_x^- - A_x^+A^-)\psi_2\right] = 0.$$
 (2.14)

This equation can be written in the following equivalent form

$$A_{xt} + uA_{xx} + (uA_x^2 + 0.5\{A_x, A_t\})A + u_1A_x + u_3I + u_2[A, A_x] = 0,$$
(2.15)

$$\psi_{1x} - \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\right)\left[\left(A_x^+ A^- - A^+ A_x^-\right)\psi_1 + 2\left(A_x^- A_3 - A^- A_{3x}\right)\psi_2\right] = 0, \qquad (2.16)$$

$$\psi_{2x} - \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\right) \left[2(A^+A_{3x} - A_x^+A_3)\psi_1 + (A^+A_x^- - A_x^+A^-)\psi_2\right] = 0, \qquad (2.17)$$

where $\omega = \phi_1^2$, $\chi = \omega_x + \omega$, $\alpha, \beta = consts$ and

$$u_1 = u - \alpha \omega, \quad u_2 = \frac{\alpha^2 \chi}{\beta^2 + \alpha} - \frac{1}{4\beta^2}, \quad u_3 = \frac{\alpha \beta^2 \chi_x}{\beta^2 + \alpha}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_3 & A^- \\ A^+ & -A_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{\pm} = A_1 \pm iA_2, \quad A^2 = I, \quad \mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2, A_3), \quad \mathbf{A}^2 = 1.$$
(2.19)

The LR of the M-CIVESCS reads as

$$\Psi_x = U_1 \Psi, \tag{2.20}$$

$$\Psi_t = V_1 \Psi. \tag{2.21}$$

Here

$$U_1 = \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\right) [A, A_x], \qquad (2.22)$$

$$V_1 = \left(\frac{1}{4\beta^2} - \frac{1}{4\lambda^2}\right)A + \left[\frac{1}{8\beta\lambda} - \frac{1}{8\beta^2} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\right)u\right][A, A_x] + \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\beta}\right)Z, \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$Z = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{u_x + u_{xx}}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha \beta \chi_x}{\beta^2 + \alpha} \right]^{-1} \left[A, A_t - \left(\frac{1}{2\beta} - u + \frac{\alpha \beta \omega}{\beta^2 + \alpha} \right) A_x \right].$$
(2.24)

3 Integrable motion of space curves induced by the M-CIVESCS

In this section, we consider the integrable motion of space curves induced by the M-CIVESCS. As usual, let us consider a smooth space curve $\gamma(x,t) : [0,X] \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^3$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let x is the arc length of the curve at each time t. The corresponding Frenet-Serret equation and its temporal counterpart look like

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 \\ \mathbf{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}_x = C \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 \\ \mathbf{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 \\ \mathbf{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}_t = G \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 \\ \mathbf{e}_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.25)$$

where \mathbf{e}_j are the unit tangent vector (j = 1), principal normal vector (j = 2) and binormal vector (j = 3) which given by $\mathbf{e}_1 = \gamma_x$, $\mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{\gamma_{xx}}{|\gamma_{xx}|}$, $\mathbf{e}_3 = \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2$, respectively. Here

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa_1 & \kappa_2 \\ -\kappa_1 & 0 & \tau \\ -\kappa_2 & -\tau & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_3 & \omega_2 \\ -\omega_3 & 0 & \omega_1 \\ -\omega_2 & -\omega_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.26)

where τ , κ_1, κ_2 are the "torsion", "geodesic curvature" and "normal curvature" of the curve, respectively; ω_j are some functions. The compatibility condition of the equations (3.25) reads as

$$C_t - G_x + [C, G] = 0 (3.27)$$

or in elements

$$\kappa_{1t} - \omega_{3x} - \kappa_2 \omega_1 + \tau \omega_2 = 0, \qquad (3.28)$$

$$\kappa_{2t} - \omega_{2x} + \kappa_1 \omega_1 - \tau \omega_3 = 0, \qquad (3.29)$$

$$\tau_t - \omega_{1x} - \kappa_1 \omega_2 + \kappa_2 \omega_3 = 0. \tag{3.30}$$

We now assume $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{e}_1$. Let take place the following expressions

$$\kappa_1 = i, \quad \kappa_2 = \lambda(q-1), \quad \tau = -i\lambda(q+1),$$
(3.31)

where $q = 0.5\lambda(\kappa_2 + i\tau)$. Then we have

$$\omega_1 = i[(0.5\lambda^{-1} - \lambda u)(q+1) + 0.5\lambda^{-2}(u_x + u_{xx})], \qquad (3.32)$$

$$\omega_2 = [(0.5\lambda^{-1} - \lambda u)(q+1) + 0.5\lambda^{-1}(u_x + u_{xx})], \qquad (3.33)$$

$$\omega_3 = i[0.5\lambda^{-2} - u - u_x]. \tag{3.34}$$

Now Eqs.(3.28)-(3.30) give us the following equations for q, u, ϕ_1 :

$$q_t + 2qu_x + uq_x - \omega_x + \omega_{xxx} = 0, (3.35)$$

$$\phi_{1xx} - (\alpha^2 q + \frac{1}{4})\phi_1 = 0 \tag{3.36}$$

or

$$q_t + 2qu_x + uq_x - \left[(\phi_1^2)_x - (\phi_1^2)_{xxx}\right] = 0, \qquad (3.37)$$

$$\phi_{1xx} - (\alpha^2 q + \frac{1}{4})\phi_1 = 0.$$
(3.38)

It is nothing but the CHESCS [3]-[4]. So, we have proved that the M-CIVESCS is the Lakshmanan (geometrical) equivalent to the CHESCS.

4 Gauge equivalence between the M-CIVESCS and the CHESCS

Above, we have shown that the M-CIVESCS and the CHESCS are the geometrical (Lakshmanan) equivalent each to other. Now we consider the possible gauge equivalence between these equations [26]. First, we note that from the results of the previous section and from the isomorphism $so(3) \approx su(2)$ follow the LR for the CHESCS of the form [3]

$$\Phi_x = U_2 \Phi, \tag{4.39}$$

$$\Phi_t = V_2 \Phi, \tag{4.40}$$

where

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -0.5 & \lambda \\ \lambda q & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_2 = V_{20} + V_2'.$$
(4.41)

Here

$$V_{20} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u_x + u_{xx}}{2} - \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} & \frac{1}{2\lambda} - u\lambda \\ \frac{u_x + u_{xx} + q}{2\lambda} - uq\lambda & \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} - \frac{u_x + u_{xx}}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4.42)$$

$$V_{2}^{\prime} = -\frac{\alpha\lambda^{2}\chi}{2(\lambda^{2}+\alpha)}\sigma_{3} + \frac{\alpha\lambda^{3}\omega}{\lambda^{2}+\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ q & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\alpha\lambda\chi_{x}}{2(\lambda^{2}+\alpha)}\Sigma, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.43)

The compatibility condition $\Phi_{xt} = \Phi_{tx}$ given by

$$U_{2t} - V_{2x} + [U_2, V_2] = 0 (4.44)$$

is equivalent to the CHESCS (3.35)-(3.36). Consider the transformation $\Psi = g^{-1}\Phi$, where Ψ is the solution of the equations (2.20)-(2.21) and $g = \Phi|_{\lambda=\beta}$. Then the Lax pairs of the M-CIVESCS and CHESCS is related by the following equations

$$U_1 = g^{-1}U_2g - g^{-1}g_x, \quad V_1 = g^{-1}V_2g - g^{-1}g_t.$$
(4.45)

Note that these LR can be rewritten in the equivalent scalar forms. For example, the equivalent scalar form of the LR for the CHESCS is given by [3]

$$\phi_{1xx} = (\lambda^2 q + \frac{1}{4})\phi_1, \tag{4.46}$$

$$\phi_{1t} = \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda^2} - u + \frac{\alpha\lambda^2\omega}{\lambda^2 + \alpha}\right)\phi_{1x} + \left(\frac{1}{2}u_x - \frac{\alpha\lambda^2\omega_x}{2(\lambda^2 + \alpha)}\right)\phi_1.$$
(4.47)

The compatibility condition $\phi_{1xxt} = \phi_{1txx}$ is equivalent to the CHESCS (3.35)-(3.36). Finally we present the following important relation between the solutions of the M-CIVESCS and the CHESCS:

$$tr(A_x^2) = -8\beta^2 q = -8\beta^2 (u - u_{xx})$$
(4.48)

or

$$\mathbf{A}_x^2 = -4\beta^2 q = -4\beta^2 (u - u_{xx}). \tag{4.49}$$

5 Peakon (soliton) surfaces

5.1 Peakon surfaces corresponding to the CHESCS

As well-known, the Sym-Tafel formula gives an interesting connection between the classical geometry of manifolds immersed in \mathbb{R}^n and the integrable systems. Using the Sym-Tafel formula, here we want to construct the soliton (peakon) surface induced by the CHESCS and the M-CIVESCS. To this end, let us consider a λ -family of parametric surfaces given by the matrix $r = r(x, t, \lambda)$. According to the Sym-Tafel formula, this matrix defines as

$$r = \Phi^{-1} \Phi_{\lambda}, \tag{5.50}$$

where Φ is the solution of the equations (4.39)-(4.40). We have

$$r_x = \Phi^{-1} U_{2\lambda} \Phi, \quad r_t = \Phi^{-1} V_{2\lambda} \Phi.$$
 (5.51)

Then the components of the metric tensor define as

$$g_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_{,i} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{,j},\tag{5.52}$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$ is the position vector, $\mathbf{r}_{,1} \equiv \mathbf{r}_x$, $\mathbf{r}_{,2} \equiv \mathbf{r}_t$. Then for example, the first fundamental form of the soliton surface is given by

$$I = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j = \mathbf{r}_x^2 dx^2 + 2\mathbf{r}_x \mathbf{r}_t dx dt + \mathbf{r}_t^2 dt^2,$$
(5.53)

where

$$\mathbf{r}_x^2 = \frac{1}{2}tr(r_x^2), \quad \mathbf{r}_x\mathbf{r}_t = \frac{1}{2}tr(r_xr_t), \quad \mathbf{r}_t^2 = \frac{1}{2}tr(r_t^2).$$
 (5.54)

For example,

$$g_{11} = \mathbf{r}_x^2 = q. \tag{5.55}$$

Similarly, we can construct the second fundamental form in the standard way (see, e.g., [19]).

5.2 Peakon surfaces corresponding to the M-CIVESCS

Let us now we present the main elements of the soliton (peakon) surfaces induced by the M-CIVESCS. In this case, the matrix r is given by

$$r = \Psi^{-1} \Psi_{\lambda}. \tag{5.56}$$

Here Ψ is the solution of the equations (2.20)-(2.21). These equations give

$$r_x = \Psi^{-1} U_{1\lambda} \Psi, \quad r_t = \Psi^{-1} V_{1\lambda} \Psi.$$
(5.57)

Then the components of the metric tensor is given by the formula (5.52). For example,

$$g_{11} = -\frac{1}{8\beta^2} tr(A_x^2). \tag{5.58}$$

Now it is not difficult to construct the first and second fundamental forms of the peakon (soliton) surfaces that we can do in the standard way (see, e.g., [19]).

6 Pseudo-spherical surfaces

In this section, we briefly present the main facts on the pseudo-spherical surfaces induced by the M-CIVESCS and the CHESCS.

6.1 Pseudo-spherical surfaces related with the CHESCS

Consider the following linear problem

$$d\Phi = Y_2\Phi,\tag{6.59}$$

where

$$Y_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{2} & \omega^{1} - \omega^{3} \\ \omega^{1} + \omega^{3} & -\omega^{2} \end{pmatrix} = U_{2}dx + V_{2}dt.$$
(6.60)

The integrable condition of the 1-form Y_2 is given by

$$d^{2}Y_{2} = (U_{2t} - V_{2x} + [U_{2}, V_{2}])dt \wedge dx = 0.$$
(6.61)

This means that the one-forms ω_j satisfy the following structure equations

$$d\omega^1 = \omega^3 \wedge \omega^2, \tag{6.62}$$

$$d\omega^2 = \omega^1 \wedge \omega^3, \tag{6.63}$$

$$d\omega^3 = \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2. \tag{6.64}$$

These equations are equivalent to the CHESCS.

6.2 Pseudo-spherical surfaces related with the M-CIVESCS

We now return to the M-CIVESCS. To construct the pseudo-spherical surfaces induced by this equation, let us consider the linear problem

$$d\Psi = Y_1 \Psi, \tag{6.65}$$

where the 1-form Y_1 reads as

$$Y_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{2} & \sigma^{1} - \sigma^{3} \\ \sigma^{1} + \sigma^{3} & -\sigma^{2} \end{pmatrix} = U_{1}dx + V_{1}dt.$$
(6.66)

As in the previous subsection, we consider the integrable condition of the 1-form Y_1 :

$$d^{2}Y_{1} = (U_{1t} - V_{1x} + [U_{1}, V_{1}])dt \wedge dx = 0$$
(6.67)

which in components takes the form

$$d\sigma^1 = \sigma^3 \wedge \sigma^2, \tag{6.68}$$

$$d\sigma^2 = \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^3, \tag{6.69}$$

$$d\sigma^3 = \sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^2. \tag{6.70}$$

These equations is equivalent to the M-CIVESCS. Thus the M-CIVESCS and the CHESCS describe some kind pseudo-spherical surfaces as their analogies without sources (see, e.g., refs. [16]-[17]).

7 One peakon solution of the M-CIV ESCS

As the integrable equation, the M-CIVESCS has all ingredients of integrable systems like LR, conservation laws, bi-Hamiltonian structure, soliton solutions and so on. In particular, it admits the peakon solutions. Here let us present a one peakon solution of the M-CIVESCS. To construct this 1-peakon solution, we use the corresponding 1-peakon solution of the CHESCS [3]. The 1-peakon solution of the M-CIVESCS has the form

$$A^{+} = \frac{2g_1g_2}{|g_1|^2 + |g_2|^2}, \quad A_3 = \frac{|g_1|^2 - |g_2|^2}{|g_1|^2 + |g_2|^2}, \tag{7.71}$$

$$\psi_1 = \frac{\bar{g}_1\phi_1 + \bar{g}_2\phi_2}{|g_1|^2 + |g_2|^2}, \quad \psi_2 = \frac{-g_2\phi_1 + g_1\phi_2}{|g_1|^2 + |g_2|^2}.$$
(7.72)

Here

$$\phi_2 = \lambda^{-1}(\phi_{1x} + 0.5\phi_1), \quad g_j = \phi_j|_{\lambda=\beta},$$
(7.73)

where

$$\phi_1 = \sqrt{\sigma'(t)c} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x - ct + \sigma(t)|}, \quad u = c e^{-|x - ct + \sigma(t)|}$$
(7.74)

is the 1-peakon solution of the CHESCS [3].

8 Integrable self-consistent N sources case

In the previous sections, we have considered the M-CIVESCS and the CHESCS with the one selfconsistent source. In this section, we present, in short form, integrable generalizations of these equations with N self-consistent sources.

8.1 M-CIV equation with self-consistent N-sources

The M-CIVE with N self-consistent sources has the form

$$[A, A_{xt} + (uA_x)_x] - \frac{1}{\beta^2} A_x - \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\lambda_j (\omega_j [A, A_x])_x + \frac{2\lambda_j^2 \chi_j}{\beta^2 + \lambda_j} A_x + \frac{2\lambda_j \beta^2 \chi_{jx}}{\beta^2 + \lambda_j} A \right) = 0, (8.75)$$

$$\psi_{1jx} - \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{4\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\right) \left[\left(A_x^+ A^- - A^+ A_x^-\right) \psi_{1j} + 2\left(A_x^- A_3 - A^- A_{3x}\right) \psi_{2j} \right] = 0, \quad (8.76)$$

$$\psi_{2jx} - \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{4\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\right) \left[2(A^+ A_{3x} - A_x^+ A_3)\psi_{1j} + (A^+ A_x^- - A_x^+ A^-)\psi_{2j}\right] = 0, (8.77)$$

where $\omega_j = \phi_{1j}^2$, $\chi_j = \omega_{jx} + \omega_j$, $\alpha, \beta = consts$ and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_3 & A^- \\ A^+ & -A_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{\pm} = A_1 \pm iA_2, \quad A^2 = I, \quad \mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2, A_3), \quad \mathbf{A}^2 = 1.$$
(8.78)

The LR of the M-CIVESCS reads as

$$\Psi_x = U_1 \Psi, \tag{8.79}$$

$$\Psi_t = V_1 \Psi. \tag{8.80}$$

Here

$$U_1 = \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\right)[A, A_x], \tag{8.81}$$

$$V_{1} = \left(\frac{1}{4\beta^{2}} - \frac{1}{4\lambda^{2}}\right)A + \left[\frac{1}{8\beta\lambda} - \frac{1}{8\beta^{2}} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{4\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\right)u\right][A, A_{x}] + \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\beta}\right)\sum_{j=1}^{N} Z_{j}, (8.82)$$

where

$$Z_j = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{u_x + u_{xx}}{\beta} - \frac{\lambda_j \beta \chi_{jx}}{\beta^2 + \lambda_j} \right]^{-1} \left[A, A_t - \left(\frac{1}{2\beta} - u + \frac{\lambda_j \beta \omega_j}{\beta^2 + \lambda_j} \right) A_x \right].$$
(8.83)

8.2 CHE with self-consistent *N*-sources

The CHE with N self-consistent sources has the form [3]

$$q_t + 2qu_x + uq_x - \sum_{j=1}^{N} [(\varphi_j^2)_x - (\varphi_j^2)_{xxx}] = 0, \qquad (8.84)$$

$$\varphi_{j,xx} - (\lambda_j q + \frac{1}{4})\varphi_j = 0.$$
(8.85)

Its LR reads as [3]

$$\phi_{xx} = \left(\frac{1}{4} + \lambda q\right)\phi, \tag{8.86}$$

$$\phi_t = \frac{u_x}{2}\phi + (\frac{1}{2\lambda} - u)\phi_x + 2\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda\lambda_j\phi_j}{\lambda - \lambda_j}(\phi_{jx}\phi - \phi_j\phi_x), \qquad (8.87)$$

which means that the CHESCS is Lax integrable [3].

9 Conclusion

The integrable generalized Heisenberg ferromagnet equation with self-consistent sources, namely, the M-CIVESCS is investigated. The integrable motion of space curves induced by the M-CIVESCS is constructed. Using this result, the geometrical equivalence between the M-CIVESCS and the CHESCS is established. It is shown that the M-CIVESCS and the CHESCS is gauge equivalent each to other. The simplest conservation law and the one peakon solution are constructed. The peakon (soliton) surfaces induced by the M-CIVESCS and the CHESCS are presented.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministry of Edication and Science of Kazakhstan under grants 011800935 and 011800693.

References

- [1] Fuchssteiner B., Fokas A.S. Physica D, 4, 47 (1981)
- [2] Camassa R., Holm D. Phys. Rev. Lett., **71**, 1661 (1993)
- [3] Huang Y., Yao Y., Zeng Y. On Camassa-Holm equation with self-consistent sources and its solutions, [arXiv:0811.2552]
- [4] Baltaeva I.I., Urazboev G.U. About the Camassa-Holm equation with a self-consistent source, Ufa mathematical journal, 3, N2, 10-18 (2011)
- [5] Yehui Huang. On Multi-Soliton Solution of Degasperis-Process Equation with Self-Consistent Sources, International Journal of Nonlinear Science, 18, No.3, 217-223 (2014)
- [6] Yehui Huang, Yunbo Zeng, Orlando Ragnisco. The Degasperis-Process equation with selfconsistent sources, [arXiv:0807.0085]
- [7] Qi Li, Wen Zhang, Qiu-Yuan Duan, Deng-Yuan Chen. The transformation between the AKNS hierarchy and the KN hierarchy with self-consistent sources, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, 18, No.4, 483490 (2011)
- [8] Runliang Lin, Haishen Yao, Yunbo Zeng. Restricted Flows and the Soliton Equation with Self-Consistent Sources, SIGMA, 2, 096 (2006)
- [9] Yuqin Yao, Yehui Huang, Yunbo Zeng. The two-component Camassa-Holm equation with selfconsistent sources and its multisoliton solutions, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 162, No1, 63-73 (2010)
- [10] Wen-Xiu Ma, Ruguang Zhou. A coupled AKNS-Kaup-Newell soliton hierarchy, Journal of Mathematical Physics, (1999).[arXiv:solv-int/9908005]
- [11] Yuqin Yao, Yunbo Zeng. Integrable Rosochatius deformations of higher-order constrained flows and the soliton hierarchy with self-consistent sources, [arXiv:0806.2251]
- [12] Zhihua Yang, Ting Xiao, Yunbo Zeng. Integrable dispersionless KdV hierarchy with sources, [arXiv:0606047]
- [13] Yuqin Yao, Yehui Huang, Guixiang Dong, Yunbo Zeng. The new integrable deformations of short pulse equation and sine-Gordon equation, and their solutions, [arXiv:1012.0462]
- [14] Yuqin Yao, Yunbo Zeng. The generalized Kupershmidt deformation for constructing new integrable systems from integrable bi-Hamiltonian systems, [arXiv:1005.0281]
- [15] Myrzakulov R. Soliton Equations with Self-Consistent Sources, [arXiv:1409.0486]

- [16] Reyes E.G. Geometric integrability of the CamassaHolm Equation, Letters in Mathematical Physics, 59, No2, 117131 (2002)
- [17] Reyes E.G. Pseudo-potentials, nonlocal symmetries and integrability of some shallow water equations, [arXiv:nlin/0212045]
- [18] Ivanov R., Lyons T., Orr N. A dressing method for soliton solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation, AIP Conference Proceedings 1895, 040003 (2017): https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5007370
- [19] Cieslinski J. The Darboux-Bianchi-Backlund transformation and soliton surfaces, [arXiv:1303.5472]
- [20] Mussatayeva A., Myrzakul T., Nugmanova G., Yesmakhanova K. Gauge equivalence between the Myrzakulov-CIV equation and the Camassa-Holm Equation
- [21] Mussatayeva A., Myrzakul T., Nugmanova G., Yesmakhanova K., Myrzakulov R. Integrable Motion of Curves, Spin Equation and Camassa-Holm Equation, [arXiv:1907.10910]
- [22] Kutum B. Gauge equivalence between the M-CV equation and the two-component Camassa-Holm equation
- [23] Taishiyeva A., Myrzakul T., Nugmanova G., Yesmakhanova K. On the Gauge Equivalence between the 2-component Camassa-Holm and Myrzakulov-CVI equations
- [24] Taishiyeva A., Myrzakul T., Nugmanova G., Yesmakhanova K., Myrzakulov R. Geometric Flows of Curves, Two-Component Camassa-Holm Equation and Generalized Heisenberg Ferromagnet Equation
- [25] Kutum B., Nugmanova G., Myrzakul T., Yesmakhanova K., Myrzakulov R. Integrable Deformation of Space Curves, Generalized Heisenberg Ferromagnet Equation and Two-Component Modified Camassa-Holm Equation, [arXiv:1908.01371]
- [26] Yergaliyeva G., Yesmakhanova K., Nugmanova G., Myrzakul T. Gauge equivalence between the Myrzakulov-CIV equation with self-consistent sources and Camassa-Holm equation with self-consistent sources
- [27] Mussatayeva A., Myrzakul T., Nugmanova G., Yesmakhanova K., Myrzakulov R. Integrable Motion of Curves, Spin Equation and Camassa-Holm Equation, [arXiv:1907.10910]
- [28] G. Nugmanova, Z. Zhunussova, K. Yesmakhanova, G. Mamyrbekova, R. Myrzakulov. International Journal of Mathematical, Computational, Statistical, Natural and Physical Engineering, 9, N8, 328-331 (2015).
- [29] U. Saleem, M. Hasan. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 43, 045204 (2010).
- [30] R. Myrzakulov, S. Vijayalakshmi, G. Nugmanova , M. Lakshmanan Physics Letters A, 233 , 14-6, 391-396 (1997).
- [31] R. Myrzakulov, S. Vijayalakshmi, R. Syzdykova, M. Lakshmanan, J. Math. Phys., 39, 2122-2139 (1998).

- [32] R. Myrzakulov, M. Lakshmanan, S. Vijayalakshmi, A. Danlybaeva, J. Math. Phys., 39, 3765-3771 (1998).
- [33] Myrzakulov R, Danlybaeva A.K, Nugmanova G.N. Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, V.118, 13, P. 441-451 (1999).
- [34] Myrzakulov R., Nugmanova G., Syzdykova R. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical & Theoretical, V.31, 147, P.9535-9545 (1998).
- [35] Myrzakulov R., Daniel M., Amuda R. Physica A., V.234, 13-4, P.715-724 (1997).
- [36] Myrzakulov R., Makhankov V.G., Pashaev O.?. Letters in Mathematical Physics, V.16, N1, P.83-92 (1989)
- [37] Myrzakulov R., Makhankov V.G., Makhankov A. Physica Scripta, V.35, N3, P. 233-237 (1987)
- [38] Myrzakulov R., Pashaev O.K., Kholmurodov Kh. Physica Scripta, V.33, N4, P. 378-384 (1986)
- [39] Anco S.C., Myrzakulov R. Journal of Geometry and Physics, v.60, 1576-1603 (2010)
- [40] Myrzakulov R., Rahimov F.K., Myrzakul K., Serikbaev N.S. On the geometry of stationary Heisenberg ferromagnets. In: "Non-linear waves: Classical and Quantum Aspects", Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, P. 543-549 (2004)
- [41] Myrzakulov R., Serikbaev N.S., Myrzakul Kur., Rahimov F.K. On continuous limits of some generalized compressible Heisenberg spin chains. Journal of NATO Science Series II. Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry, V 153, P. 535-542 (2004)
- [42] R.Myrzakulov, G. K. Mamyrbekova, G. N. Nugmanova, M. Lakshmanan. Symmetry, 7(3), 1352-1375 (2015). [arXiv:1305.0098]
- [43] R.Myrzakulov, G. K. Mamyrbekova, G. N. Nugmanova, K. Yesmakhanova, M. Lakshmanan. Physics Letters A, 378, N30-31, 2118-2123 (2014). [arXiv:1404.2088]
- [44] Myrzakulov R., Martina L., Kozhamkulov T.A., Myrzakul Kur. Integrable Heisenberg ferromagnets and soliton geometry of curves and surfaces. In book: "Nonlinear Physics: Theory and Experiment. II". World Scientific, London, P. 248-253 (2003)
- [45] Myrzakulov R. Integrability of the Gauss-Codazzi-Mainardi equation in 2+1 dimensions. In "Mathematical Problems of Nonlinear Dynamics", Proc. of the Int. Conf. "Progress in Nonlinear sciences", Nizhny Novgorod, Russia, July 2-6, 2001, V.1, P.314-319 (2001)
- [46] Chen Chi, Zhou Zi-Xiang. Darboux Tranformation and Exact Solutions of the Myrzakulov-I Equations. Chin. Phys. Lett., 26, N8, 080504 (2009)
- [47] Chen Hai, Zhou Zi-Xiang. Darboux Transformation with a Double Spectral Parameter for the Myrzakulov-I Equation. Chin. Phys. Lett., 31, N12, 120504 (2014)
- [48] Zhao-Wen Yan, Min-Ru Chen, Ke Wu, Wei-Zhong Zhao. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 81, 094006 (2012)
- [49] Yan Zhao-Wen, Chen Min-Ru, Wu Ke, Zhao Wei-Zhong. Commun. Theor. Phys., 58, 463-468 (2012)

- [50] K.R. Ysmakhanova, G.N. Nugmanova, Wei-Zhong Zhao, Ke Wu. Integrable inhomogeneous Lakshmanan-Myrzakulov equation, [nlin/0604034]
- [51] Zhen-Huan Zhang, Ming Deng, Wei-Zhong Zhao, Ke Wu. On the integrable inhomogeneous Myrzakulov-I equation, [arXiv: nlin/0603069]
- [52] Martina L, Myrzakul Kur., Myrzakulov R, Soliani G. Journal of Mathematical Physics, V.42, 13, P.1397-1417 (2001).
- [53] Xiao-Yu Wu, Bo Tian, Hui-Ling Zhen, Wen-Rong Sun and Ya Sun. Journal of Modern Optics, 2015.
- [54] Z.S. Yersultanova, M. Zhassybayeva, K. Yesmakhanova, G. Nugmanova, R. Myrzakulov. International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics, 13, N1, 1550134 (2016).. [arXiv:1404.2270]
- [55] Myrzakul Akbota and Myrzakulov Ratbay. Integrable Motion of Two Interacting Curves and Heisenberg Ferromagnetic Equations, Abstracts of XVIII-th Intern. Conference "Geometry, Integrability and Quantization", June 3-8, 2016, Bulgaria.
- [56] Myrzakul Akbota and Myrzakulov Ratbay. Integrable motion of two interacting curves, spin systems and the Manakov system. International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics, 13, N1, 1550134 (2016). [arXiv:1606.06598]
- [57] Myrzakul Akbota and Myrzakulov Ratbay. Darboux transformations and exact soliton solutions of integrable coupled spin systems related with the Manakov system, [arXiv:1607.08151]
- [58] Myrzakul Akbota and Myrzakulov Ratbay. Integrable geometric flows of interacting curves/surfaces, multilayer spin systems and the vector nonlinear Schrödinger equation. International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics, 13, N1, 1550134 (2016). [arXiv:1608.08553]
- [59] Myrzakulova Zh., Myrzakul A., Nugmanova G., MyrzakulovR. Notes on Integrable Motion of Two Interacting Curves and Two-layer Generalized Heisenberg Ferromagnet Equations, [arXiv:1811:12216]
- [60] Hussien R.A., Mohamed S.G. Generated Surfaces via Inextensible Flows of Curves in R³. Journal of Applied Mathematics, v.2016, Article ID 6178961 (2016).
- [61] Bekova G., Yesmakhanova K., Shaikhova G., Nugmanova G., Myrzakulov R. Geometric formulation and soliton solutions of the Myrzakulov-LXXIII equation and the complex short pulse equation,
- [62] C. Qu, J. Song and R. Yao. Multi-Component Integrable Systems and Invariant Curve Flows in Certain Geometries, Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications SIGMA 9 (2013), 001, 19 pages [arXiv:1301.0180]