

# RIGIDITY THEOREM FOR A STATIC TRIPLE WITH HALF HARMONIC WEYL CURVATURE

LI CHEN AND XI GUO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that the static triple  $(M^4, g, V)$  with  $\delta W^\pm = 0$  and positive scalar curvature must be the standard hemisphere.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53C24, 53C25.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a  $n$ -dimension complete Riemannian manifold. A static potential is a non-trivial solution  $V \in C^\infty(M)$  to the equation

$$(1.1) \quad HessV - \Delta V g - V Ric = 0.$$

In fact, the equation (1.1) was originally derived from the linearization of the scalar curvature equation. Suppose  $M$  is a compact manifold, we denote  $\mathcal{G}$  be the space of smooth Riemannian metrics on  $M$ . It is known that the scalar curvature  $R$  can be consider as a mapping  $R : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ . Then the derivative  $dR$  at  $g$  is given by

$$(1.2) \quad dR_g(h) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} R_{g+th} = div_g^2 h - \Delta tr_g h - \langle Ric, h \rangle_g.$$

Using Stokes' theorem, the  $L_2$ -adjoint operator  $dR_g^*$  of  $dR_g$  with respect to the canonical  $L_2$ -inner product defined by  $g$  is

$$(1.3) \quad dR_g^*(V) = Hess_g V - \Delta_g V - V Ric.$$

So if  $(M, g)$  admit a static potential, then  $g$  is a singular point of the mapping  $R$ .

**Definition 1.1** ([1]). *A static triple is a triple  $(M, g, V)$  consisting of a connected  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifold  $M$  with boundary  $\partial M$  (possibly empty), a complete Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  and a static potential  $V \in C^\infty(M)$  that is non-negative and vanishes precisely on  $\partial M$ . Two static triples  $(M_i, g_i, V_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are said to be equivalent when there exists a diffeomorphism  $\phi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  such that  $\phi^* g_2 = c g_1$  for some constant  $c > 0$  and  $V_2 \circ \phi = \lambda V_1$  for some constant  $\lambda > 0$ .*

In [8], Kobayashi gave all examples of connected complete conformally flat Riemannian manifold which admits a non-trivial solution to (1.1). We state Theorem 3.1 of [8] in the case of positive scalar curvature in dimension 4.

**Theorem 1.1** ([8]). *Let  $(M^4, g, V)$  be a static triple with scalar curvature 12. If  $(M, g)$  is locally conformally flat, then  $(M^4, g, V)$  is covered by a static triple that is equivalent to one of the following:*

- i) The standard hemisphere  $(\mathbb{S}_+^4, g_0, V = x_4)$ .*
- ii) The standard cylinder over  $S^3$  with the product metric,*

$$\left([0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \times \mathbb{S}^3, g = dt^2 + \frac{1}{2}g_0, V = \frac{1}{2}\sin 2t\right).$$

- iii) For some  $m \in (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$ ,*

$$\left([r_h(m), r_c(m)] \times \mathbb{S}^3, g = \frac{dr^2}{1 - r^2 - \frac{2m}{r^2}} + r^2g_0, V = \sqrt{1 - r^2 - \frac{2m}{r^2}}\right)$$

where  $r_h(m) < r_c(m)$  are the positive zeroes of  $V$ . Here a static triple  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{V})$  covers a static triple  $(M, g, V)$  when there exists a covering map  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  such that  $\tilde{g} = \pi^*g$  and  $\tilde{V} = \pi \circ V$ .

Motivated by the work of Kobayashi and Obata [8, 10], Miao and Tam studied the M-T metric in [11], which satisfies the equation

$$(1.4) \quad \text{Hess}_g V - \Delta_g V - V \text{Ric} = g,$$

under Einstein or locally conformally flat assumptions. While inspired by the trend developed by Cao and Chen in [5], Barros, Diogenes and Ribeiro weaken the assumption [2]. They proved that for a Bach-flat simply connected, compact manifold with M-T metric, if its boundary isometric to a standard sphere  $\mathbb{S}^3$ , then it should be isometric to a geodesic ball in a simply connected space form.

In fact, it is well-known that on four-dimensional manifold  $M^4$  the bundle of two-forms splits  $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_+^2 + \Lambda_-^2$  into the +1-eigenspace of the Hodge  $*$ -operator (self-dual two-forms) and -1-eigenspace (anti-self-dual two-forms). Then the Weyl curvature tensor  $W = W^+ + W^-$ , where  $W^\pm : \Lambda_\pm^2 \rightarrow \Lambda_\pm^2$  and we say  $W^\pm$  is harmonic if  $\delta W^\pm = 0$ , where  $\delta$  is the divergence. In [14], J. Wu, P. Wu and Wylie proved that a four-dimensional gradient shrinking Ricci soliton with  $\delta W^\pm = 0$  is either Einstein, or a finite quotient of  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , or  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Inspired by their work, we consider the 4-dimensional static triple with  $\delta W^\pm = 0$ , our main results are the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(M^4, g, V)$  be a compact simply connected static triple with positive scalar curvature and  $\delta W^\pm = 0$ . If the boundary isometric to a standard sphere  $\mathbb{S}^3$ , then  $(M^4, g, V)$  is equivalent to the standard hemisphere  $(\mathbb{S}_+^4, g_{can}, V = x_4)$ .*

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(M^4, g, V)$  be a compact connected static triple with positive scalar curvature and  $\delta W^\pm = 0$ . If  $M$  has 2 connected components and each connected component of  $\partial M$  is isometric to a sphere, then  $(M^4, g, V)$  is covered by ii) or iii) in Theorem 1.1.*

**Acknowledgement.** The second author thanks Prof. Xiaodong Wang for his helpful discussion. This research was also supported in part by Hubei Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics (Hubei University).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

### 2.1. Setting and General facts.

For later convenience, we first state our conventions on Riemann Curvature tensor and derivative notation. Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold and  $g$  be a Riemannian metric on  $M$  with Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ . For a  $(s, r)$ -tensor field  $\alpha$  on  $M$ , its covariant derivative  $D\alpha$  is a  $(s, r + 1)$ -tensor field given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla\alpha(Y^1, \dots, Y^s, X_1, \dots, X_r, X) \\ &= \nabla_X\alpha(Y^1, \dots, Y^s, X_1, \dots, X_r) \\ &= X(\alpha(Y^1, \dots, Y^s, X_1, \dots, X_r)) - \alpha(\nabla_X Y^1, \dots, Y^s, X_1, \dots, X_r) \\ &\quad - \dots - \alpha(Y^1, \dots, Y^s, X_1, \dots, \nabla_X X_r), \end{aligned}$$

the coordinate expression of which is denoted by

$$\nabla\alpha = (\alpha_{k_1 \dots k_r, k_{r+1}}^{l_1 \dots l_s}).$$

We can continue to define the second covariant derivative of  $\alpha$  as follows:

$$\nabla^2\alpha(Y^1, \dots, Y^s, X_1, \dots, X_r, X, Y) = (\nabla_Y(\nabla\alpha))(Y^1, \dots, Y^s, X_1, \dots, X_r, X),$$

the coordinate expression of which is denoted by

$$\nabla^2\alpha = (\alpha_{k_1 \dots k_r, k_{r+1} k_{r+2}}^{l_1 \dots l_s}).$$

In particular, for a function  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we have the following important identity

$$\nabla^2 f(X, Y) = YX(f) - (\nabla_Y X)f.$$

Similarly, we can also define the higher order covariant derivative of  $\alpha$ :

$$\nabla^3\alpha = \nabla(\nabla^2\alpha), \dots,$$

and so on. Our convention for the Riemannian curvature (3,1)-tensor  $Rm$  is defined by

$$Rm(X, Y)Z = -\nabla_X\nabla_Y Z + \nabla_Y\nabla_X Z + \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z.$$

By picking a local coordinate chart  $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $M$ , the component of the (3,1)-tensor  $Rm$  is defined by

$$Rm\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} = R_{ijk}{}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}$$

and  $R_{ijkl} \doteq g_{lm}R_{ijk}{}^m$ . Ricci curvature and scalar curvature are given by  $R_{ik} \doteq R_{ijk}{}^j$  and  $R \doteq R_{ik}g^{ik}$  respectively. Then, we have the standard

commutation formulas (Ricci identities):

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_{k_1 \dots k_r, ji}^{l_1 \dots l_s} - \alpha_{k_1 \dots k_r, ij}^{l_1 \dots l_s} &= \sum_{a=1}^r R_{ijk_a}{}^m \alpha_{k_1 \dots k_{a-1} m k_{a+1} \dots k_r}^{l_1 \dots l_s} \\ &\quad - \sum_{b=1}^s R_{ijm}{}^{l_b} \alpha_{k_1 \dots k_r}^{l_1 \dots l_{b-1} m l_{b+1} \dots l_s}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_{k_1 \dots k_r, ji}^{l_1 \dots l_s}$  denote the second covariant derivative of the tensor  $\alpha_{k_1 \dots k_r}^{l_1 \dots l_s}$ . And the Weyl curvature tensor of  $(M, g)$  is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad W_{ijkl} = R_{ijkl} - (P_{ik}g_{jl} - P_{il}g_{jk} + P_{jl}g_{ik} - P_{jk}g_{il}),$$

where  $P$  is *Schouten* tensor given by

$$(2.3) \quad P_{ij} = \frac{1}{n-2} (R_{ij} - \frac{R}{2(n-1)} g_{ij}).$$

## 2.2. a static triple.

**Lemma 2.1.** [4, 6] *The static equation (1.1) is equivalent to the equations*

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Hess}V = V(\text{Ric} - \lambda g),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta V = -\lambda V.$$

where the scalar curvature  $R = (n-1)\lambda$  is constant. Moreover, if  $(M, g)$  admits a static potential  $V \in C^\infty(M)$ , then

i) 0 is a regular value of  $V$ ;

ii)  $V = 0$  is totally geodesic;

iii)  $|\nabla V|$  is locally constant and positive on  $\{V = 0\}$ .

So without loss of generality, when  $n = 4$ , we assume  $R = 12$  and  $|\nabla V| = 1$  on  $\{V = 0\}$ . In this case, the static equation (1.1) equivalent to

$$(2.6) \quad \text{Hess}V = V(E - g),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta V = -4V.$$

where  $E = \text{Ric} - \frac{R}{n}g$  is the Einstein tensor. We introduce the covariant 3-tensor  $T_{ijk}$  (see (2.12) in [2]):

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ijk} &= \frac{n-1}{n-2} (V_k R_{ij} - V_j R_{ik}) - \frac{R}{n-2} (g_{ij} V_k - g_{ik} V_j) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-2} (V^s R_{ks} g_{ij} - V^s R_{js} g_{ik}), \end{aligned}$$

which can be written as

$$(2.8) \quad T_{ijk} = \frac{n-1}{n-2} (V_k E_{ij} - V_j E_{ik}) + \frac{1}{n-2} (V^s E_{ks} g_{ij} - V^s E_{js} g_{ik}).$$

**Remark 2.1.** *The indices of the tensor  $T$  above is different with the one in [2]. It is important to highlight that  $T_{ijk}$  was defined similarly to the tensor  $D$  in [5].*

For convenient, now we omit the summation and identification through the duality defined by metric, e.g.  $W_{sijk,s}$  stands for  $\sum_s W_{ijk,s}^s$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *When  $n = 4$ , if  $(M, g, V)$  is a static triple, then*

$$(2.9) \quad T_{ijk} = V_s W_{sijk} + 2V W_{sijk,s}.$$

The proof is easy, we omit it here.

### 2.3. Half Weyl curvature.

For a 4-dimension Riemannian manifold, let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $TM$ , for any pair  $(ij)$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ , denote  $(i'j')$  to be the dual of  $(ij)$ , i.e., the pair such that  $e_i \wedge e_j \pm e_{i'} \wedge e_{j'} \in \Lambda_{\pm}^2$ . In other words,  $(ijj'j') = \sigma(1234)$  for some even permutation. It is well known that  $W_{ijkl} = W_{i'j'k'l'}$ , then

$$W_{ijkl}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(W_{ijkl} \pm W_{i'j'k'l'}).$$

And we have the following Weitzenböck formula (See (16.73) in [3]).

**Proposition 2.1.** [3] *Every orient Riemannian 4-manifold with  $\delta W^{\pm} = 0$  satisfies*

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta |W^{\pm}|^2 = 2|\nabla W^{\pm}|^2 + R|W^{\pm}|^2 - 144 \det W^{\pm}.$$

**Remark 2.2.** *The definition of  $|W^{\pm}|$  in [3] is  $\frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijkl} (W_{ijkl}^{\pm})^2$ , and in our paper is  $\sum_{ijkl} (W_{ijkl}^{\pm})^2$ . Besides the laplacian differs from Besses by a sign.*

And likely in [14], we also define

$$(2.11) \quad T_{ijk}^{\pm} = V_s W_{sijk}^{\pm} + 2V W_{sijk,s}^{\pm}.$$

We get the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $(M, g, V)$  be a static triple, then*

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{ijk}^{\pm} &= \frac{3}{4}(V_k E_{ij} - V_j E_{ik}) \pm \frac{3}{4}(V_{k'} E_{ij'} - V_{j'} E_{ik'}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}(V_s E_{sj} g_{ik} - V_s E_{sk} g_{ij}) \mp \frac{1}{4}(V_s E_{sj'} g_{ik'} - V_s E_{sk'} g_{ij'}), \end{aligned}$$

in particular,

$$(2.13) \quad |T|^2 = 2|T^+|^2 = 2|T^-|^2.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $\delta W^{\pm} = 0$  and  $\nabla V \neq 0$  at  $p \in M$ , then  $\nabla V$  is an eigenvector of  $E$ .*

In fact, the proof of Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 is similar with Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 in [14], so we omit it here.

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $\nabla V \neq 0$  at  $p \in M$ , let  $e_1 = \nabla V / |\nabla V|$ , and choose  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  be the unit eigenvectors of  $E$ . Then,*

$$(2.14) \quad W_{1212}^{\pm} = -\frac{1}{4}(E_{11} + 3E_{22}),$$

$$(2.15) \quad W_{1313}^{\pm} = -\frac{1}{4}(E_{11} + 3E_{33}),$$

$$(2.16) \quad W_{1414}^{\pm} = -\frac{1}{4}(E_{11} + 3E_{44}).$$

And  $W_{1i1k}^{\pm} = 0$ , if  $i \neq k$ .

*Proof.* For  $\delta W^{\pm} = 0$ , from (2.11), we have

$$(2.17) \quad T_{ijk}^{\pm} = |\nabla V| W_{ijk}^{\pm}.$$

Let  $i = k = 2$  and  $j = 1$ , then  $j' = 3$ ,  $k' = 4$ . By (2.12),

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{212}^{\pm} &= |\nabla V| W_{1212}^{\pm} \\ &= \frac{3}{4}(-|\nabla V| E_{22}) - \frac{1}{4}(|\nabla V| E_{11}). \end{aligned}$$

And by a similar argument we get (2.15) and (2.16). If  $j = 1$  and  $i \neq k$ , then  $j' \neq 1$ ,  $k' \neq 1$ , so

$$T_{i1k}^{\pm} = |\nabla V| W_{i1k}^{\pm} = 0.$$

□

We will use the orthonormal basis above on the rest part of the paper. For convenient, we denote  $\lambda_1 = W_{1212}^{\pm}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = W_{1313}^{\pm}$ ,  $\lambda_3 = W_{1414}^{\pm}$ . From Lemma 2.5, we get

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} |W^{\pm}|^2 &= 16(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2) \\ &= (E_{11} + 3E_{22})^2 + (E_{11} + 3E_{33})^2 + (E_{11} + 3E_{44})^2 \\ &= 9|E|^2 - 12E_{11}^2. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. SOME LEMMAS

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(M^4, g, V)$  be a static triple with scalar curvature 12 and  $\delta W^{\pm} = 0$ . If  $\partial M$  is isometric to a standard sphere  $\mathbb{S}^3$ , then  $W^{\pm} = 0$  on  $\partial M$ .*

*Proof.* We assume that  $\partial M$  has constant sectional curvature  $K$ , since it is isometric to a standard sphere  $\mathbb{S}^3$ . For  $\partial M = \{p \in M : V(p) = 0\}$ , then  $\partial M$  is totally geodesic. So from Gauss equation and Codazzi equation, we know

$$(3.1) \quad R_{ijkl} = K(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}),$$

$$(3.2) \quad R_{1ijk} = 0$$

for  $i, j, k, l > 1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 12 = R &= R_{11} + R_{22} + R_{33} + R_{44} \\ &= R_{11} + R_{1212} + 2K + R_{1313} + 2K + R_{1414} + 2K \\ &= 2R_{11} + 6K, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(3.3) \quad E_{11} = 3 - 3K.$$

For

$$R_{22} = R_{1212} + R_{3232} + R_{4242},$$

we have

$$R_{1212} = E_{22} + 3 - 2K,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} W_{1212} &= R_{1212} - \frac{1}{2}(E_{11} + E_{22}) - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(E_{22} - E_{11}) + 2 - 2K. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(3.4) \quad W_{1212}^\pm = \frac{1}{2}W_{1212} = \frac{1}{4}(E_{22} - E_{11}) + 1 - K.$$

Combining (2.14) with (3.4), we get

$$E_{22} = K - 1.$$

Then, using (3.3), we obtain  $W_{1212}^\pm = 0$ . With a same argument, we know  $W_{1313}^\pm = W_{1414}^\pm = 0$ . Then we finish the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(M^4, g, V)$  be a static triple with scalar curvature 12 and  $\delta W^\pm = 0$ , then the following equations hold:*

$$(3.5) \quad |W^\pm|^2 V_l + 12V_j E_{ik} W_{jilk}^\pm = 0,$$

$$(3.6) \quad E_{ik,j} W_{ijkl}^\pm = 0,$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \langle \nabla |W^\pm|^2, \nabla V \rangle - 4V |W^\pm|^2 + 12V E_{jl} E_{ik} W_{ijkl}^\pm = 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $\nabla V = 0$ , then (3.5) holds true. If  $\nabla V \neq 0$ , to prove (3.5), we just need to check

$$|W^\pm|^2 V_1 + 12V_1 E_{ik} W_{i1lk}^\pm = 0$$

and

$$V_1 E_{ik} W_{i1lk}^\pm = 0 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq l \leq 4,$$

which are equivalent to

$$E_{ik} W_{i1lk}^\pm = -\frac{1}{12} |W^\pm|^2$$

and

$$E_{ik} W_{i1lk}^\pm = 0 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq l \leq 4.$$

We know from Lemma 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ik} W_{i1lk}^\pm &= E_{ii} W_{1i1i}^\pm \\ &= -\frac{1}{3}(4W_{1i1i}^\pm + E_{11})W_{1i1i}^\pm \\ &= -\frac{1}{12} |W^\pm|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{ik}W_{1i2k}^\pm \\
&= E_{33}W_{1323}^\pm + E_{44}W_{1424}^\pm \\
&= \pm E_{33}W_{1314}^\pm \pm E_{44}W_{1431}^\pm = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have  $E_{ik}W_{1i3k}^\pm = E_{ik}W_{1i4k}^\pm = 0$ . So we finish the proof of (3.5). Furthermore, since  $V_{ijk}W_{ijkl}^\pm = 0$ , we get from the equation (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
V_s R_{sikj} W_{ijkl}^\pm &= (V_{ikj} - V_{ijk})W_{ijkl}^\pm = V_{ikj}W_{ijkl}^\pm \\
&= V E_{ik,j} W_{ijkl}^\pm + V_j E_{ik} W_{ijkl}^\pm,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies in view of the definition of  $W$ ,

$$(3.8) \quad V E_{ik,j} W_{ijkl}^\pm = V_s W_{sikj} W_{ijkl}^\pm - \frac{3}{2} V_j E_{ik} W_{ijkl}^\pm.$$

By a direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{sikj} W_{ijkl}^\pm &= -W_{skji} W_{ijkl}^\pm - W_{sjik} W_{ijkl}^\pm \\
&= -W_{ijks} W_{ijkl}^\pm - W_{sikj} W_{ijkl}^\pm.
\end{aligned}$$

It is known that a symmetric linear transformation on the space of 2-forms  $\Lambda^2$  commutes with the Hodge star  $*$  if and only if its Ricci contraction is proportional to  $g$  (see [9] or Theorem 1.3 in [12]). Since  $W^\pm$  commutes with the Hodge star  $*$ , hence, so does  $W^\pm \circ W^\pm$ . Thus we have

$$W_{ijks} W_{ijkl}^\pm = W_{ijks}^\pm W_{ijkl}^\pm = \frac{|W^\pm|^2}{4} g_{ls},$$

then

$$(3.9) \quad W_{sikj} W_{ijkl}^\pm = -\frac{|W^\pm|^2}{8} g_{ls}.$$

Taking the equation above into (3.8) arrives

$$V E_{ik,j} W_{ijkl}^\pm = -\frac{|W^\pm|^2}{8} V_l - \frac{3}{2} V_j E_{ik} W_{ijkl}^\pm = 0.$$

So (3.6) holds when  $V \neq 0$ . Since for static triple  $V$  vanish on  $\partial M$ , so from Lemma 3.1 we know  $W^\pm = 0$ . Then (3.6) holds when  $V = 0$ . At last, taking divergence on both sides of (3.5), we have (3.7).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $(M^4, g, V)$  be a connected complete static triple with scalar curvature 12 and  $\delta W^\pm = 0$ . If  $\nabla V \neq 0$  at  $p \in M$ , then we have at  $p$*

$$(3.10) \quad E_{jl} E_{ik} W_{ijkl}^\pm = \frac{4}{3} \det W^\pm - \frac{2}{9} E_{11} |W^\pm|^2.$$

*Proof.* If  $\nabla V \neq 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{jl} E_{ik} W_{ijkl}^\pm &= E_{ii} E_{jj} W_{ijij}^\pm \\
&= 2E_{11} \sum_{i \neq 1} E_{ii} W_{1i1i}^\pm + 2 \sum_{1 < i < j} E_{ii} E_{jj} W_{ijij}^\pm.
\end{aligned}$$



From Lemma 2.5 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i<j} E_{ii}E_{jj}W_{ijij}^{\pm} \\
&= E_{22}E_{33}W_{1414}^{\pm} + E_{22}E_{44}W_{1313}^{\pm} + E_{33}E_{44}W_{1212}^{\pm} \\
&= \frac{1}{9}[(4\lambda_1 + E_{11})(4\lambda_2 + E_{11})\lambda_3 + (4\lambda_1 + E_{11})(4\lambda_3 + E_{11})\lambda_2 \\
&\quad + (4\lambda_2 + E_{11})(4\lambda_3 + E_{11})\lambda_1] \\
&= \frac{2}{3} \det W^{\pm} - \frac{1}{36} E_{11} |W^{\pm}|^2
\end{aligned}$$

in view of  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$ . Thus (3.10) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $(M^4, g, V)$  be a connected complete static triple with scalar curvature 12 and  $\delta W^{\pm} = 0$ . If  $W^{\pm} = 0$  on  $\partial M$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad & \int_M V(7|W^{\pm}|^2 E(\nabla V, \nabla V) - 24|\nabla V|^2 \det W^{\pm}) dv \\
&= 3 \int_M V|W^{\pm}|^2 |\nabla V|^2 dv.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $W^{\pm} = 0$  on  $\partial M$ , then we have by the divergence theorem together with (3.5) and (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= 12 \int_M (|\nabla V|^2 V_j E_{ik} W_{ijkl}^{\pm})_{,l} dv \\
&= 12 \int_M |\nabla V|^2 V_{jl} E_{ik} W_{ijkl}^{\pm} dv - 2 \int_M |W^{\pm}|^2 \nabla^2 V (\nabla V, \nabla V) dv.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have by the equation (2.4)

$$12 \int_M |\nabla V|^2 (E_{jl} - g_{jl}) E_{ik} W_{ijkl}^{\pm} dv = 2 \int_M |W^{\pm}|^2 (E(\nabla V, \nabla V) - |\nabla V|^2) dv.$$

Then, noticing that  $E_{ii}W_{ijij}^{\pm} = 0$ , we get from Lemma 3.3

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M V(16|\nabla V|^2 \det W^{\pm} - \frac{8}{3} E(\nabla V, \nabla V) |W^{\pm}|^2) dv \\
&= 2 \int_M V|W^{\pm}|^2 (E(\nabla V, \nabla V) - |\nabla V|^2) dv.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.11) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $(M^4, g, V)$  be a connected complete static triple with scalar curvature 12 and  $\delta W^{\pm} = 0$ . If  $W^{\pm} = 0$  on  $\partial M$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & 2 \int_M V|W^{\pm}|^2 E(\nabla V, \nabla V) dv \\
&= - \int_M V^3 |E|^2 |W^{\pm}|^2 dv - \int_M V^2 E(\nabla |W^{\pm}|^2, \nabla V) dv.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $W^\pm = 0$  on  $\partial M$ , it can be easily get by the divergence theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M V|W^\pm|^2 E_{ij} V_i V_j dv \\
&= - \int_M (V|W^\pm|^2 E_{ij} V_i)_{,j} V dv \\
(3.13) \quad &= - \int_M V|W^\pm|^2 E_{ij} V_i V_j dv - \int_M V^3 |E|^2 |W^\pm|^2 dv \\
& \quad - \int_M V^2 E(\nabla|W^\pm|^2, \nabla V) dv
\end{aligned}$$

in view of  $E_{ij,j} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $(M^4, g, V)$  be a connected complete static triple with scalar curvature 12 and  $\delta W^\pm = 0$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M V|\nabla V|^2 |W^\pm|^2 dv \\
&= \int_M V^3 (|\nabla W^\pm|^2 + 8|W^\pm|^2 - 72 \det W^\pm) dv + \int_M V^2 \langle \nabla V, \nabla |W^\pm|^2 \rangle dv.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using the equation (2.5), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M V|\nabla V|^2 |W^\pm|^2 dv \\
&= \int_M V \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta(V^2) - V \Delta V \right) |W^\pm|^2 dv \\
&= \int_M V \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta(V^2) + 4V^2 \right) |W^\pm|^2 dv \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_M V^2 \Delta(V|W^\pm|^2) dv + 4 \int_M V^3 |W^\pm|^2 dv,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the Green formulas to get the last equation by  $V = 0$  on  $\partial M$ . So, we get the conclusion from (2.10).  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We denote  $F = \frac{1}{2}(V^2 + |\nabla V|^2)$ , then differentiating it and we get by the equation (2.4)

$$F_i = V E_{ij} V_j.$$

Since  $E_{ij,i} = -R_i g_{ij} = 0$ , differentiating it again and we arrive

$$(4.1) \quad \Delta F = V^2 |E|^2 + E(\nabla V, \nabla V).$$

in view of the equations (2.4) and (2.5). If  $W^\pm = 0$  on  $\partial M$ , then we get by Green formulas

$$(4.2) \quad \int_M V \Delta(F|W^\pm|^2) dv + 4 \int_M V F |W^\pm|^2 dv = 0,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M V \left( V^2 |E|^2 + E(\nabla V, \nabla V) \right) |W^\pm|^2 dv + 2 \int_M V^2 E(\nabla |W^\pm|^2, \nabla V) dv \\ &\quad + \int_M V(V^2 + |\nabla V|^2) (|\nabla W^\pm|^2 + 8|W^\pm|^2 - 72 \det W^\pm) dv. \end{aligned}$$

in view of the equation (2.10) and (4.1). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad 0 &\geq \int_M V \left( E(\nabla V, \nabla V) |W^\pm|^2 + 8|\nabla V|^2 |W^\pm|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 72|\nabla V|^2 \det W^\pm \right) dv + 2 \int_M V^2 E(\nabla |W^\pm|^2, \nabla V) dv \\ &\quad + \int_M V^3 (|E|^2 |W^\pm|^2 + 8|W^\pm|^2 - 72 \det W^\pm) dv. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M V \left( E(\nabla V, \nabla V) |W^\pm|^2 + 8|\nabla V|^2 |W^\pm|^2 - 72|\nabla V|^2 \det W^\pm \right) dv \\ &= \int_M V \left( 17|\nabla V|^2 |W^\pm|^2 - 20E(\nabla V, \nabla V) |W^\pm|^2 \right) dv \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &- 20 \int_M V E(\nabla V, \nabla V) |W^\pm|^2 dv \\ &= 10 \int_M V^3 |E|^2 |W^\pm|^2 dv + 10 \int_M V^2 E(\nabla V, \nabla |W^\pm|^2) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the two equations above into (4.3), we arrive

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad 0 &\geq \int_M \left( 17V|\nabla V|^2 |W^\pm|^2 + 12V^2 E(\nabla |W^\pm|^2, \nabla V) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + V^3(11|E|^2 |W^\pm|^2 + 8|W^\pm|^2 - 72 \det W^\pm) \right) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.6, (4.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \quad 0 &\geq \int_M \left( 16V|\nabla V|^2 |W^\pm|^2 + 12V^2 E(\nabla |W^\pm|^2, \nabla V) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + V^3(11|E|^2 |W^\pm|^2 + 16|W^\pm|^2 - 144 \det W^\pm) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + V^2 \langle \nabla V, \nabla |W^\pm|^2 \rangle \right) dv. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\nabla V \neq 0$ , we have from (3.7) and Lemma 3.3,

$$(4.6) \quad \langle \nabla |W^\pm|^2, \nabla V \rangle = 4V|W^\pm|^2 - 16V \det W^\pm + \frac{8}{3} V E_{11} |W^\pm|^2,$$

which implies

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & E(\nabla|W^\pm|^2, \nabla V) \\ &= 4V E_{11}|W^\pm|^2 - 16V E_{11} \det W^\pm + \frac{8}{3}V E_{11}^2|W^\pm|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by

$$M_1 = \{p \in M, \nabla V(p) \neq 0\}.$$

By Sard theorem, we know

$$\text{Vol}(M \setminus M_1) = 0.$$

So, we can get by putting (4.6) and (4.7) into (4.5)

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \geq & \int_M V^3 \left( 16 \frac{1}{V^2} |\nabla V|^2 |W^\pm|^2 \right. \\ & + 48 E_{11} |W^\pm|^2 - 192 E_{11} \det W^\pm + 32 E_{11}^2 |W^\pm|^2 \\ & + (11 |E|^2 |W^\pm|^2 + 16 |W^\pm|^2 - 144 \det W^\pm) \\ & \left. + 4 |W^\pm|^2 - 16 \det W^\pm + \frac{8}{3} E_{11} |W^\pm|^2 \right) dv. \end{aligned}$$

By the inequality (3.12) in [7], we know

$$(4.9) \quad |\det W^\pm| \leq \frac{1}{24\sqrt{6}} |W^\pm|^3.$$

Combining with (2.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \geq 16 \int_M V |\nabla V|^2 |W^\pm|^2 dv \\ & + \int_M V^3 |W^\pm|^2 \left( \frac{11}{9} |W^\pm|^2 + (48 + \frac{8}{3}) E_{11} + (32 + \frac{132}{9}) E_{11}^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{8}{\sqrt{6}} |E_{11}| |W^\pm| - \frac{20}{3\sqrt{6}} |W^\pm| + 20 \right) dv \\ & \geq \int_M V^3 |W^\pm|^2 \left( \frac{5}{9} |W^\pm|^2 - \frac{8}{\sqrt{6}} |E_{11}| |W^\pm| + \frac{24}{5} E_{11}^2 \right) \\ & + \left( \frac{2}{3} |W^\pm|^2 - \frac{20}{3\sqrt{6}} |W^\pm| + \frac{25}{9} \right) \\ & + \left( \frac{628}{15} E_{11}^2 + \frac{152}{3} E_{11}^2 + \frac{155}{9} \right) dv \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $W^\pm = 0$  if  $\nabla V \neq 0$ , then we get  $W^\pm = 0$  on  $M$  from the continuity of  $W^\pm$ . By (2.13), we know  $T^\pm = T = 0$ . Then with a similar discussion of Lemma 4.2 in [5] (or Lemma 4 in [2]), we know  $W = 0$ , i.e.  $M$  is locally conformally flat. Then Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.1 in [8]). In fact, Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.1 as well.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ambrozio: *On static three-manifolds with positive scalar curvature*. J. Differential Geom. **107**(1) (2017), 1–45.
- [2] A. Barros, R. Digenes, E. Ribeiro Jr.: *Bach-Flat Critical Metrics of the Volume Functional on 4-Dimensional Manifolds with Boundary*. J. Geom. Anal. **25** (2015), 2698–2715
- [3] A. Besse: *Einstein Manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (1987)
- [4] J.-P. Bourguignon: *Une stratification de l'espace des structures riemanniennes*. Compositio Math. **30** (1975), 1–41.
- [5] H.-D. Cao, Q. Chen,: *On Bach-flat gradient shrinking Ricci solitons*. Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), 1149–1169
- [6] A. Fischer, J. Marsden: *Linearization stability of nonlinear partial differential equations*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **27** (1975), 219–262
- [7] M. -J. Gursky: *Four-manifolds with  $\delta W^+ = 0$  and Einstein constants of the sphere*. Math. Ann. **318** (2000), 417–431
- [8] O. Kobayashi: *A differential equation arising from scalar curvature function*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **34**(4) (1982), 665–675
- [9] O. Kobayashi: *On a conformally invariant functional of the space of Riemannian metrics*. J. Math. Soc. Japan, **37** (1985), 373–389
- [10] O. Kobayashi, M. Obata: *Conformally-flatness and static space-time Manifolds and Lie Groups* (Notre Dame, Ind., 1980), Progr. Math., **14**, Birkhauser, Boston, MA (1981), 197–206.
- [11] P. Miao, L.-F. Tam: *Einstein and conformally flat critical metrics of the volume functional*. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 2907–2937
- [12] I. Singer, J. Thorpe: *The curvature of 4-dimensional Einstein spaces*. Global Analysis, Papers in Honor of K. Kodaira, Princeton Univ. Press, (1969), 355–365
- [13] X. Wang: *On the uniqueness of the AdS spacetime*. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **21**(4) (2005), 917–922
- [14] J.-Y. Wu, P. Wu, W. Wylie: *Gradient shrinking Ricci solitons of half harmonic Weyl curvature*. Calc. Var. **57**(5) (2018), 141–

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, 430062, WUHAN, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* chenli@hubu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, 430062, WUHAN, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* guoxi@hubu.edu.cn