

# Typical Irreducible Characters of Generalized Quantum Groups

Hiroyuki Yamane\*

## Abstract

We introduce the definition of the typical irreducible modules of the generalized quantum groups, and prove the Weyl-Kac-type formulas of their characters. As a by-product, we obtain the Weyl-Kac-type character formulas of the typical irreducible modules of the quantum superalgebras associated with the basic classical Lie superalgebras, which is explained in Introduction.

## 1 Introduction

In 1977, V. Kac [21][22] gave the Weyl-type character formula of the typical finite-dimensional irreducible modules of the basic classical Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{k}$ . See also [26, Subsection 8.6 and Theorem 14.4.2]. The highest weights of the non-typical (atypical) finite-dimensional irreducible modules of  $\mathfrak{k}$  stay in a Zariskii closed subset of the linear space spanned by those of all the finite-dimensional irreducible modules of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . In this paper, we give its counterpart for the generalized quantum groups over any field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero. As for the N. Geer's related result [27], see Remark 4.2.

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the field of complex numbers. From now on until the end of Introduction, associative algebras and Lie superalgebras are those over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $I := \{1, \dots, \theta\}$ . Let  $q_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^\times := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  ( $i, j \in I$ ), and  $Q := (q_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  (the  $\theta \times \theta$ -matrix). In the same way as that for the Lusztig's definition of the quantum groups in [25, Subsection 3.1.1], we define the generalized quantum groups  $U_Q$ . As an associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra,  $U_Q$  has generators  $K_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $L_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $E_i$ ,  $F_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) satisfying the equations

$$\begin{aligned} K_i K_j &= K_j K_i, L_i L_j = L_j L_i, K_i L_j = L_j K_i, \\ K_i K_i^{-1} &= K_i^{-1} K_i = L_i L_i^{-1} = L_i^{-1} L_i = 1, \\ K_i E_i &= q_{ij} E_j K_i, q_{ij} K_i F_i = F_j K_i, q_{ji} L_i E_i = E_j L_i, L_i F_i = q_{ji} F_j L_i, \\ E_i F_j - F_j E_i &= \delta_{ij} (-K_i + L_i), \end{aligned}$$

( $i, j \in I$ ). Let  $U_Q^0$  (resp.  $U_Q^+$ , resp.  $U_Q^-$ ) be the  $\mathbb{C}$ -subalgebras of  $U_Q$  generated by  $\{K_i^{\pm 1}, L_i^{\pm 1} | i \in I\}$  (resp.  $\{E_i^{\pm 1} | i \in I\}$ , resp.  $\{F_i^{\pm 1} | i \in I\}$ ). Then we have the

---

\*This work was supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 19K03420.

$\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphism  $g : U_Q^- \otimes U_Q^0 \otimes U_Q^+ \rightarrow U_Q$  with  $g(Y \otimes Z \otimes X) := YZX$ , we identify  $U_Q^0$  with the Laurent polynomial  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}[K_i^{\pm 1}, L_i^{\pm 1} | i \in I]$ , and we identify  $U_Q^+$  and  $U_Q^-$  with the Nichols algebra of diagonal-type with the braidings defined from  $Q$  and  $Q^{\text{op}} := (q_{ji})_{i,j \in I}$  respectively. Let  $R_+^Q$  be the Kharchenko's positive root system of  $U_Q^+$  (and  $U_Q^-$ ). In this paper, we introduce an appropriate definition of the typical finite-dimensional irreducible modules of  $U_Q$  in the case where  $|R_+^Q| < \infty$ , and prove the Weyl-Kac type formula of their characters. For such  $U_Q$ , we have already achieved the (axiomatic) Weyl groupoids [17], [10], the Bruhat order of the Weyl groupoids [5], the Shapovalov determinants [18], the defining relations [3] (see also [1], [19], [28], [29], [30]), the universal  $R$ -matrices [4], the classification of the finite-dimensional irreducible modules [6] and the Harish-Chandra-type theorem for the (skew) center [8] (see also [7], [9]).

Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a linear space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}(0)$  and  $\mathfrak{a}(1)$  be linear subspaces of  $\mathfrak{a}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}(0) \oplus \mathfrak{a}(1)$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $\mathfrak{a}(t) := \mathfrak{a}(t \pm 2)$ . Let  $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear map with  $[\mathfrak{a}(t), \mathfrak{a}(t')] \subset \mathfrak{a}(t+t')$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{a} = (\mathfrak{a}, [\cdot, \cdot])$  is a *Lie superalgebra over  $\mathbb{C}$*  if

$$\begin{aligned} [X, [Y, Z]] &= [[X, Y], Z] + (-1)^{st}[Y, [X, Z]], & [Y, X] &= -(-1)^{st}[X, Y] \\ (X \in \mathfrak{a}(s), Y \in \mathfrak{a}(t), Z \in \mathfrak{a}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{b}$  be one of the Lie superalgebras over  $\mathbb{C}$  which are  $A(m-1, n-1) = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$  ( $\theta = m+n-1 \geq 3, 1 \leq m < n$ ),  $\mathfrak{sl}(n|n)$  ( $\theta = 2n-1 \geq 3$ ),  $B(m, n) = \mathfrak{osp}(2m+1|2n)$  ( $\theta = m+n \geq 1, m \geq 0, n \geq 1$ ),  $C(n) = \mathfrak{osp}(2|2n-2)$  ( $\theta = n \geq 3$ ),  $D(m, n) = \mathfrak{osp}(2m|2n)$  ( $\theta = m+n \geq 3, m \geq 2, n \geq 1$ ),  $F(4)$  ( $\theta = 4$ ),  $G(3)$  ( $\theta = 3$ ),  $D(2, 1; a)$  ( $\theta = 3, a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1\}$ ), where  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We call these Lie superalgebras *the finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras of type A-G of rank  $\theta$* . The family of *the basic classical Lie superalgebras of rank  $\theta$*  is composed of these Lie superalgebras except for  $\mathfrak{sl}(n|n)$  ( $\theta+1 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ ) and  $A(n-1, n-1) = \mathfrak{sl}(n|n)/\mathbb{C}\mathcal{I}$  ( $\theta = 2n-1 \geq 3$ ), where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the  $2n \times 2n$  identity matrix. Each of this family is a simple Lie superalgebra.

The quantum superalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$  of the above  $\mathfrak{b}$  can be obtained from a generalized quantum group by slight modification (see Remark 1.2). As Theorem 1.1 below, we state a formula of the characters of the typical finite-dimensional irreducible modules of  $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $\theta$ -dimensional linear space over  $\mathbb{C}$  with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $\Pi := \{\alpha_i | i \in I\}$ . Let  $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a symmetric bilinear map. Let  $p : \mathbb{Z}\Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism such that  $p(\Pi) \subset \{0, 1\}$ . We define the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}((\cdot, \cdot), \Pi, p) = \mathfrak{g}(0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(1)$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  by the following axioms ( $\mathfrak{g}1$ )-( $\mathfrak{g}3$ ).

( $\mathfrak{g}1$ ) There exists a direct sum  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear space such that  $[\mathfrak{g}_\lambda, \mathfrak{g}_\mu] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda+\mu}$  ( $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi$ ) and  $\mathfrak{g}(t) = \bigoplus_{p(\lambda)-t \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$  ( $t \in \{0, 1\}$ ).

( $\mathfrak{g}2$ ) There exist  $e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $f_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}$  ( $i \in I$ ) and a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $\{h_i | i \in I\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  such

that

$$[h_i, h_j] = 0, [h_i, e_j] = (\alpha_i, \alpha_j)e_j, [h_i, f_j] = -(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)f_j, [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij}h_j \quad (i, j \in I).$$

(Hence  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_0 = |I|$ ,  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha_i} = 1$ , and  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi \mid \dim \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \geq 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Pi \cup \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\Pi$ .)  
(g3)

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Pi \setminus \{0\} \text{ (resp. } \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\Pi \setminus \{0\}\text{),} \\ & \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \mid \forall i \in I, [f_i, X] = 0 \text{ (resp. } [e_i, X] = 0)\} = \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{R}^+ := \{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Pi \setminus \{0\} \mid \dim \mathfrak{g}_\beta \geq 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+ := \{\beta \in \mathcal{R}^+ \mid (\beta, \beta) = 0\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+ := \{\beta \in \mathcal{R}^+ \mid (\beta, \beta) \neq 0, \beta \notin 2\mathcal{R}^+\}$ . If  $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{R}^+ = \mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+ \cup \{2\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+, p(\beta) \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}^+ \cup (-\mathcal{R}^+)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus (\oplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{R}} \mathfrak{g}_\beta)$ , where let  $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{g}_0$ . Let  $(\mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+)_t := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+ \mid (-1)^{p(\gamma)} = (-1)^t\}$  ( $t \in \{0, 1\}$ ). Let  $\mathbb{C}^\times := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ . Let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $q = \exp(a)$ . For  $b \in \mathbb{C}$ , let  $q^b := \exp(ab)$  as usual. Let

$$\acute{q}_{ij} := (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)p(\alpha_j)} q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} (\in \mathbb{C}^\times) \quad (i, j \in I).$$

Let  $\acute{Q} := (\acute{q}_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}_\infty^\times := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, z^n \neq 1\}$ . Assume that  $\prod_{t=1}^k \acute{q}_{i_t j_t} \in \mathbb{C}_\infty^\times$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i_t, j_t \in I$  ( $1 \leq t \leq k$ ) with  $\prod_{t=1}^k (\alpha_{i_t}, \alpha_{j_t}) \neq 0$ . Then if  $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$ ,  $R_+^{\acute{Q}}$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+$  (see Remark 3.1).

Assume  $\theta = 1$ . Then  $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$ . In fact,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ , resp.  $\mathfrak{sl}(1|1)$ , resp.  $\mathfrak{h}_1$ ) if  $p(\alpha_1) = 0$  (resp. 1, resp. 1, resp. 0) and  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_1) \neq 0$  (resp.  $\neq 0$ , resp.  $= 0$ , resp.  $= 0$ ), where  $\mathfrak{h}_1$  is the Heisenberg Lie algebra.

Assume that  $\theta \geq 2$ ,  $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$  and there exists  $(i, j) \in I' \times (I \setminus I')$  with  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \neq 0$  for every non-empty proper subset  $I'$  of  $I$ . We have  $|\mathcal{R}^+| < \infty$  and  $(\mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+)_0 = \emptyset$  for  $U_{\acute{Q}}$ . The Weyl groupoids of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $U_{\acute{Q}}$  are isomorphic (see [6, Lemma 5.1 (2)]). From this fact, using the classification theorem [14] of the (finite-type) Nichols algebras of diagonal-type, we obtain a new proof that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to one of the finite dimensional simple Lie algebras of rank  $= \theta$  and the finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras of type  $A-G$  of rank  $= \theta$ , which has originally been proved by [20].

Assume  $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$  until the end of Introduction. Let  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  be the quantum superalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . As an associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra,  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is defined with the generators  $k_i^{\pm 1}, e_i, f_i \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  ( $i \in I$ ) and the relations composed of those of (QS1-3) below and the same ones as those of [29, (QS4)(1-17), (QS5)(1-17)] of Proposition 6.7.1] (see also [28, Proposition 10.4.1]).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(QS1)} \quad & k_i k_i^{-1} = k_i^{-1} k_i = 1, \quad k_i k_j = k_i k_j, \\ \text{(QS2)} \quad & k_i e_j k_i^{-1} = q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} e_j, \quad k_i f_j k_i^{-1} = q^{-(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} f_j, \\ \text{(QS3)} \quad & e_i f_j - (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)p(\alpha_j)} f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{k_i - k_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear subspaces  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $k_i^\pm \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})_0$ ,  $e_i \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $f_i \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha_i}$  ( $i \in I$ ) and  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi} U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\lambda$ .

Let  $\text{Gr}_\Pi$  be the set of group homomorphisms from  $\mathbb{Z}\Pi$  to  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ . Define  $\hat{\rho}_\Pi \in \text{Gr}_\Pi$  by  $\hat{\rho}_\Pi(\alpha_i) := \hat{q}_{ii}$  ( $i \in I$ ). It follows from Proposition 3.5 that

$$\forall \beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+, \exists r^\beta(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ s.t. } \hat{\rho}_\Pi(\beta) = ((-1)^{p(\beta)} q^{(\beta, \beta)})^{r^\beta(\beta)}.$$

Let  $\text{Bi}_\Pi$  be the group of bijections from  $\mathbb{Z}\Pi$  to  $\mathbb{Z}\Pi$ , where the multiplication is define by  $st := s \circ t$  ( $s, t \in \text{Bi}_\Pi$ ).

Let  $\Omega \in \text{Gr}_\Pi$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}(\Omega)$ ) be the Verma module (resp. the highest weight irreducible module) of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  with the highest weight vector  $\tilde{v}_\Omega$  (resp.  $v_\Omega$ ) satisfying  $k_i^{\pm 1} \tilde{v}_\Omega = \Omega(\pm \alpha_i) \tilde{v}_\Omega$  and  $e_i \tilde{v}_\Omega = 0$  (resp.  $k_i^{\pm 1} v_\Omega = \Omega(\pm \alpha_i) v_\Omega$  and  $e_i v_\Omega = 0$ ). Let  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)_\lambda := U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\lambda \tilde{v}_\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\Omega)_\lambda := U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\lambda v_\Omega$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi$ ). Then  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\Pi} \mathcal{M}(\Omega)_\lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\Omega) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\Pi} \mathcal{L}(\Omega)_\lambda$  and  $\dim \mathcal{M}(\Omega)_\mu = \dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega)_\mu = 0$  ( $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\Pi$ ). For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{M}(\Omega)_\lambda$  equals the cardinality of the set of maps  $f : \mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  with  $f((\mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+)_1) \subset \{0, 1\}$  and  $\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+} f(\beta) \beta = \lambda$ . For  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi$ , define  $\Omega^{+\nu} \in \text{Gr}_\Pi$  by  $\Omega^{+\nu}(\lambda) := (-1)^{p(\nu)p(\lambda)} q^{(\nu, \lambda)} \Omega(\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi$ ).

Assume  $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega) < \infty$ . It follows that

$$\forall \beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+, \exists n^\Omega(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \text{ s.t. } \Omega(2\beta) = ((-1)^{p(\beta)} q^{(\beta, \beta)})^{n^\Omega(\beta)}.$$

For  $\beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+$ , define  $s_\beta, \dot{s}_\beta^\Omega \in \text{Bi}_\Pi$  by

$$s_\beta(\lambda) := \lambda - \frac{2(\beta, \lambda)}{(\beta, \beta)} \beta, \quad \dot{s}_\beta^\Omega(\lambda) := s_\beta(\lambda) - (r^\beta(\beta) + n^\Omega(\beta)) \beta \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi).$$

Let  $W^\Pi$  (resp.  $\dot{W}^\Omega$ ) be the subgroup of  $\text{GL}(\mathcal{E})$  (resp.  $\text{Bi}_\Pi$ ) generated by  $\{s_\beta | \beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+\}$  (resp.  $\{\dot{s}_\beta^\Omega | \beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+\}$ ). Then we have the group isomorphism  $h : W^\Pi \rightarrow \dot{W}^\Omega$  defined by  $h(s_\beta) := \dot{s}_\beta^\Omega$  ( $\beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+$ ). There exists a group homomorphism  $\text{sgn}^\Omega : \dot{W}^\Omega \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  such that  $\text{sgn}^\Omega(\dot{s}_\beta^\Omega) = -1$ .

By our main theorem Theorem 3.14 below, we have:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that*

$$(1.1) \quad (\mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+)_0 = \emptyset, \dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega) < \infty \text{ and } \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+} (1 - (-1)^{p(\gamma)} \hat{\rho}_\Pi(\gamma) \Omega(2\gamma)) \neq 0.$$

*Then we have*

$$(1.2) \quad \dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega)_\lambda = \sum_{\dot{w} \in \dot{W}^\Omega} \text{sgn}^\Omega(\dot{w}) \cdot \dim \mathcal{M}(\Omega^{+\dot{w}(0)})_{\lambda - \dot{w}(0)} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi).$$

We call  $\mathcal{L}(\Omega)$  of (1.1) *typical*. The formula (1.2) for  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+ = \emptyset$  has been obtained by [2, Theorem 4.15 (3)].

*Remark 1.2.* Since  $\dot{Q}$  is a  $\theta \times \theta$  symmetric matrix, for every  $i \in I$ ,  $K_i L_i - 1$  belongs to the center  $Z(\bar{U}_{\dot{Q}})$  of  $\bar{U}_{\dot{Q}}$ . Consider the quotient  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\bar{U}_{\dot{Q}} := U_{\dot{Q}} / (\sum_{i \in I} (K_i L_i - 1) U_{\dot{Q}})$ . Let  $d : U_{\dot{Q}} := \bar{U}_{\dot{Q}}$  be the canonical map. Let  $\bar{K}_i := d(K_i)$ ,  $\bar{E}_i := d(E_i)$ ,  $\bar{F}_i := d(F_i)$ . Let  $\bar{U}_{\dot{Q}}^\sigma = \bar{U}_{\dot{Q}} \oplus \bar{U}_{\dot{Q}} \sigma$  (resp.  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^\sigma = U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \sigma$ ) be the associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra obtained from  $\bar{U}_{\dot{Q}}$  (resp.  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ ) by adding the element  $\sigma$  with  $\sigma^2 = 1$ ,  $\sigma \bar{K}_i^{\pm 1} \sigma = \bar{K}_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $\sigma \bar{E}_i \sigma = (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)} \bar{E}_i$ ,  $\sigma \bar{F}_i \sigma = (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)} \bar{F}_i$  (resp.  $\sigma k_i^{\pm 1} \sigma = k_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $\sigma e_i \sigma = (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)} e_i$ ,  $\sigma f_i \sigma = (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)} f_i$ ). Then we have the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra isomorphism  $t : \bar{U}_{\dot{Q}}^\sigma \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})^\sigma$  defined by  $t(\bar{K}_i^{\pm 1}) := k_i^{\pm 1} \sigma^{p(\alpha_i)}$ ,  $t(\bar{E}_i) := e_i$ ,  $t(\bar{F}_i) := -(q - q^{-1}) f_i \sigma^{p(\alpha_i)}$ ,  $t(\sigma) := \sigma$ . Moreover  $R_+^{\dot{Q}}$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{R}^+ \setminus 2\mathcal{R}^+$ . See also Remark 3.1.

## 2 Generalized quantum groups

### 2.1 Preliminaries

For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ , let  $J_{x,y} := \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq z \leq y\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a field. Let  $\mathbb{K}^\times := \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{K}$ , let  $(n)_x := \sum_{r=1}^n x^{r-1}$ , and  $(n)_x! := \prod_{r=1}^n (r)_x$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{K}^\times$ , let  $(n; x, y) := 1 - x^{n-1} y$  and  $(n; x, y)! := \prod_{m=1}^n (m; x, y)$ .

For  $x \in \mathbb{K}^\times$ , define  $\hat{o}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus \{1\}$  by

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{o}(x) := \begin{cases} \min\{r' \in J_{2,\infty} \mid (r')_x! = 0\} & \text{if } (r'')_x! = 0 \text{ for some } r'' \in J_{2,\infty}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non-zero finite rank free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Let  $\theta' := \text{Rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{A}) \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\theta \in J_{1,\theta'}$  and  $I := J_{1,\theta}$ . Let  $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\} \cup \{\epsilon_r \mid r \in J_{\theta+1,\theta'}\}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -base of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Define an injection  $\pi : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  by  $\alpha_i := \pi(i)$  ( $i \in I$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{A}_\pi = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_\pi^+ := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}} := \bigoplus_{r=1}^{\theta' - \theta} \mathbb{Z} \epsilon_r$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_\pi \oplus \mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}} = \{0\}$  if  $\theta' = \theta$ .

Let  $\chi : \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$  be a map such that

$$(2.2) \quad \forall \lambda, \forall \mu, \forall \nu \in \mathfrak{A}, \chi(\lambda + \mu, \nu) = \chi(\lambda, \nu) \chi(\mu, \nu), \chi(\lambda, \mu + \nu) = \chi(\lambda, \mu) \chi(\lambda, \nu).$$

There exists a unique associative  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra (with 1)  $U = U(\chi, \pi)$  satisfying the following conditions (U1)-(U6).

(U1) As a  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra,  $U$  is generated by the elements:

$$(2.3) \quad K_\lambda, L_\lambda \ (\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}), \quad E_i, F_i \ (i \in I).$$

(U2) The elements of (2.3) satisfy the following relations.

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} K_0 = L_0 = 1, K_\lambda K_\mu &= K_{\lambda+\mu}, L_\lambda L_\mu = L_{\lambda+\mu}, K_\lambda L_\mu = L_\mu K_\lambda, \\ K_\lambda E_i &= \chi(\lambda, \alpha_i) E_i K_\lambda, L_\lambda E_i = \chi(-\alpha_i, \lambda) E_i L_\lambda, \\ K_\lambda F_i &= \chi(\lambda, -\alpha_i) F_i K_\lambda, L_\lambda F_i = \chi(\alpha_i, \lambda) F_i L_\lambda, \\ E_i F_j - F_j E_i &= \delta_{ij}(-K_{\alpha_i} + L_{\alpha_i}). \end{aligned}$$

(U3) Define the map  $\varsigma_1 : \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow U$  by  $\varsigma_1(\lambda, \mu) := K_\lambda L_\mu$ . Define the  $\mathbb{K}$ -subalgebra  $U^0 = U^0(\chi, \pi)$  of  $U$  by  $U^0 := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}(\varsigma_1(\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}))$ . Then  $\varsigma_1$  is injective, and  $\varsigma_1(\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A})$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -basis of  $U^0$ .

(U4) There exist the  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspaces  $U_\lambda = U(\chi, \pi)_\lambda$  of  $U$  ( $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ ) satisfying the following conditions (U4-1)-(U4-3).

(U4-1) We have  $U^0 \subset U_0$  and  $E_i \in U_{\alpha_i}, F_i \in U_{-\alpha_i}$  ( $i \in I$ ).

(U4-2) We have  $U_\lambda U_\mu \subset U_{\lambda+\mu}$  ( $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ ).

(U4-3) We have  $U = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi} U_\lambda$  as a  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear spaces.

(U5) Let  $U^+ = U^+(\chi, \pi)$  (resp.  $U^- = U^-(\chi, \pi)$ ) be the  $\mathbb{K}$ -subalgebra (with 1) of  $U$  generated by  $E_i$  (resp.  $F_i$ ) ( $i \in I$ ). Define the  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear homomorphism  $\varsigma_2 : U^- \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} U^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} U^+ \rightarrow U(\chi, \pi)$  by  $\varsigma_2(Y \otimes Z \otimes X) := YZX$ . Then  $\varsigma_2$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear isomorphism.

(U6) For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ , define  $U_\lambda^+ = U^+(\chi, \pi)_\lambda$  (resp.  $U_\lambda^- = U^-(\chi, \pi)_\lambda$ ) by  $U_\lambda^+ := U^+ \cap U_\lambda$  (resp.  $U_\lambda^- = U^- \cap U_\lambda$ ). Then for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+ \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $\{X \in U_\lambda^+ | \forall i \in I, [X, F_i] = 0\} = \{0\}$  and  $\{Y \in U_{-\lambda}^- | \forall i \in I, [E_i, Y] = 0\} = \{0\}$ .

Note that  $U^+ = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+} U_\lambda^+, U^- = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^-} U_\lambda^-, U_0^+ = U_0^- = \mathbb{K} \cdot 1_U$  and  $U_{\alpha_i}^+ = \mathbb{K} \cdot E_i, U_{-\alpha_i}^- = \mathbb{K} \cdot F_i$  ( $i \in I$ ).

We also regard  $U = U(\chi, \pi)$  as a Hopf algebra  $(U, \Delta, S, \varepsilon)$  by

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(K_\lambda) &= K_\lambda \otimes K_\lambda, \Delta(L_\lambda) = L_\lambda \otimes L_\lambda, \Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_{\alpha_i} \otimes E_i, \\ \Delta(F_i) &= F_i \otimes L_{\alpha_i} + 1 \otimes F_i, S(K_\lambda) = K_{-\lambda}, S(L_\lambda) = L_{-\lambda}, \\ S(E_i) &= -K_{-\alpha_i} E_i, S(F_i) = -F_i L_{-\alpha_i}, \\ \varepsilon(K_\lambda) &= \varepsilon(L_\lambda) = 1, \varepsilon(E_i) = \varepsilon(F_i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $U^{+,b} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}} U^+ K_\lambda$ , and  $U^{-,b} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}} U^- L_\lambda$ . Then  $U = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}(U^{-,b} U^{+,b}) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}(U^{+,b} U^{-,b})$ .

As in a standard way (see [11]), we have the bilinear form  $\vartheta = \vartheta^{\chi, \pi} : U^{+,b} \times U^{-,b} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  such that  $\vartheta|_{U^+ \times U^-}$  is non-degenerate and the following equations are

satisfied.

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta(K_\lambda, L_\mu) &= \chi(\lambda, \mu), \vartheta(E_i, F_j) = \delta_{ij}, \vartheta(K_\lambda, F_j) = \vartheta(E_i, L_\lambda) = 0, \\
\vartheta(X^+ Y^+, X^-) &= \sum_{k^-} \vartheta(X^+, (X^-)_{k^-}^{(2)}) \vartheta(Y^+, (X^-)_{k^-}^{(1)}), \\
\vartheta(X^+, X^- Y^-) &= \sum_{k^+} \vartheta((X^+)_{k^+}^{(1)}, X^-) \vartheta((X^+)_{k^+}^{(2)}, Y^-), \\
\vartheta(S(X^+), X^-) &= \vartheta(X^+, S^{-1}(X^-)), \\
\vartheta(X^+, 1) &= \varepsilon(X^+), \vartheta(1, X^-) = \varepsilon(X^-), \\
X^- X^+ &= \sum_{r^+, r^-} \vartheta((X^+)_{r^+}'^{(1)}, S((X^-)_{r^-}'^{(1)})) \vartheta((X^+)_{r^+}'^{(3)}, (X^-)_{r^-}'^{(3)}) \\
&\quad \cdot (X^+)_{r^+}'^{(2)} (X^-)_{r^-}'^{(2)}, \\
X^+ X^- &= \sum_{r^+, r^-} \vartheta((X^+)_{r^+}'^{(3)}, S((X^-)_{r^-}'^{(3)})) \vartheta((X^+)_{r^+}'^{(1)}, (X^-)_{r^-}'^{(1)}) \\
&\quad \cdot (X^-)_{r^-}'^{(2)} (X^+)_{r^+}'^{(2)}
\end{aligned}$$

( $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $i, j \in I$ , and  $X^+, Y^+ \in U^{+,b}$ ,  $X^-, Y^- \in U^{-,b}$ ). Here  $(X^+)_{k^+}^{(x)}$  and  $(X^-)_{k^-}^{(x)}$  with  $x \in J_{1,2}$  (resp.  $(X^+)_{r^+}'^{(y)}$  and  $(X^-)_{r^-}'^{(y)}$  with  $y \in J_{1,3}$ ) are any elements of  $U^{+,b}$  and  $U^{-,b}$  respectively satisfying  $\Delta(X^\pm) = \sum_{k^\pm} (X^\pm)_{k^\pm}^{(1)} \otimes (X^\pm)_{k^\pm}^{(2)}$ , (resp.  $((\text{id}_U \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta)(X^\pm) = \sum_{r^\pm} (X^\pm)_{r^\pm}'^{(1)} \otimes (X^\pm)_{r^\pm}'^{(2)} \otimes (X^\pm)_{r^\pm}'^{(3)}$ ). We have  $\vartheta(X^+ K_\lambda, X^- L_\mu) = \chi(\lambda, \mu) \vartheta(X^+, X^-)$  ( $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $X^+ \in U^+$ ,  $X^- \in U^-$ ) and  $\vartheta(U_\lambda^+, U_\mu^-) = \{0\}$  ( $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+$ ,  $\lambda \neq \mu$ ).

Define the  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear map  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{h}^{\chi, \pi} : U(\chi, \pi) \rightarrow U^0(\chi, \pi)$  by

$$\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{h}^{\chi, \pi}(Y K_\lambda L_\mu X) = \varepsilon(Y) \varepsilon(X) K_\lambda L_\mu$$

( $X \in U^+$ ,  $Y \in U^-$ ,  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ).

Let  $I'$  be a non-empty subset of  $I$ . Let  $\theta_1 := |I'|$ . Define the bijection  $\kappa_{I'} : J_{1, \theta_1} \rightarrow I'$  by  $\kappa_{I'}(t) < \kappa_{I'}(t+1)$  ( $t \in J_{1, \theta_1 - 1}$ ). Let  $\theta_2 \in J_{0, \theta' - \theta}$ . If  $\theta_2 \neq 0$ , let  $j_y \in J_{1, \theta' - \theta}$  ( $y \in J_{1, \theta_2}$ ) be such that  $j_y < j_{y+1}$  ( $y \in J_{1, \theta_2 - 1}$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{A}' := (\oplus_{t=1}^{\theta_1} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{\kappa_{I'}(t)}) \oplus (\oplus_{y=1}^{\theta_2} \mathbb{Z} \epsilon_{j_y})$ . Define the map  $\pi_{I'} : J_{1, \theta_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}'$  by  $\pi_{I'} := \pi \circ \kappa_{I'}$ . Then we have the Hopf algebra monomorphism  $f : U(\chi|_{\mathfrak{A}' \times \mathfrak{A}'}, \pi_{I'}) \rightarrow U(\chi, \pi)$  defined by  $f(K_\lambda L_\mu) := K_\lambda L_\mu$  ( $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}'$ ),  $f(E_t) := E_{\kappa_{I'}(t)}$ ,  $f(F_t) := F_{\kappa_{I'}(t)}$  ( $t \in J_{1, \theta_1}$ ). So

$$(2.6) \quad \text{we identify } U(\chi|_{\mathfrak{A}' \times \mathfrak{A}'}, \pi_{I'}) \text{ with } \text{Im} f.$$

Let  $q_{ij} := \chi(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$  ( $i, j \in I$ ). We call  $(\chi, \pi)$  *reducible* if there exists non-empty proper subsets  $I', I''$  such that  $I' \cap I'' = \emptyset$ ,  $I = I' \cup I''$  and  $q_{ij} q_{ji} = 1$  for all  $i \in I'$  and all  $j \in I''$ . If  $(\chi, \pi)$  is not reducible, we call it *irreducible*. See also the map  $f_3$  of Subsection 3.4.

## 2.2 Kharchenko's PBW theorem

For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$ , let  $q_\lambda := \chi(\lambda, \lambda)$  and  $c_\lambda := \hat{\sigma}(q_\lambda)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** (Kharchenko's PBW theorem [23, Theorem 2], [24, Theorem 2.2], see also [13, Theorem 3.14], [9, Appendix].) *Keep the notation as above. Then there exists a unique pair of  $(R_+^{\chi, \pi}, \varphi_+^{\chi, \pi})$  of a subset  $R_+^{\chi, \pi}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}_\pi^+ \setminus \{0\}$  and a map  $\varphi_+^{\chi, \pi} : R_+^{\chi, \pi} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  satisfying the following. Let  $X := \{(\alpha, t) \in R_+^{\chi, \pi} \times \mathbb{N} \mid t \in J_{1, \varphi_+^{\chi, \pi}(\alpha)}\}$ . Define the map  $z : X \rightarrow R_+^{\chi, \pi}$  by  $z(\alpha, t) := \alpha$ . Let  $Y$  be the set of maps  $y : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $|\{x \in X \mid y(x) \geq 1\}| < \infty$  and  $(y(x))_{q_z(x)}! \neq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then*

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+, \dim U^+(\chi, \pi)_\lambda = |\{y \in Y \mid \sum_{x \in X} y(x)z(x) = \lambda\}|.$$

By [14](see also [6, Theorem 4.15 (1)]), using (2.11) below, we see that

$$(2.7) \quad \text{if } \text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0, \theta \geq 2 \text{ and } |R_+^{\chi, \pi}| < \infty \text{ and } (\chi, \pi) \text{ is irreducible,} \\ \text{then } q_\beta \neq 1 \text{ for all } \beta \in R_+^{\chi, \pi}.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** ([12, Proposition 1], [18, Theorem 4.9]) *Assume  $|R_+^{\chi, \pi}| < \infty$ .*

- (1) *We have  $\varphi_+^{\chi, \pi}(R_+^{\chi, \pi}) = \{1\}$ .*
- (2) *Let  $M := |R_+^{\chi, \pi}|$ . Then there exist  $E_\beta \in U^+(\chi, \pi)_\beta$ ,  $F_\beta \in U^-(\chi, \pi)_{-\beta}$  ( $\beta \in R_+^{\chi, \pi}$ ) such that  $E_\beta F_\beta - F_\beta E_\beta = -K_\beta + L_\beta$  and  $\{E_{g(1)}^{m_1} \cdots E_{g(M)}^{m_M} \mid (m_s)_{q_{g(s)}}! \neq 0 (s \in J_{1, M})\}$  and  $\{F_{g(1)}^{n_1} \cdots F_{g(M)}^{n_M} \mid (n_t)_{q_{g(s)}}! \neq 0 (t \in J_{1, M})\}$  are  $\mathbb{K}$ -bases of  $U^+(\chi, \pi)$  and  $U^-(\chi, \pi)$  respectively for every bijection  $g : J_{1, M} \rightarrow R_+^{\chi, \pi}$ .*

Let  $\omega : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism. Let

$$(2.8) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_\omega(\chi, \pi) := \{Z \in U(\chi, \pi)_0 \mid \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi, \forall X \in U(\chi, \pi)_\lambda, ZX = \omega(\lambda)XZ\}.$$

Define the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $\hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi} : \mathfrak{A}_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$  by

$$\hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\alpha_j) := q_{\alpha_j} \quad (j \in I),$$

where  $\alpha_j := \pi(j)$ , as above. Let  $\omega_{\lambda, \mu; \beta}^\chi := \omega(\beta) \cdot \frac{\chi(\beta, \mu)}{\chi(\lambda, \beta)}$  ( $\beta \in R_+^{\chi, \pi}$ ,  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ).

For each  $\beta \in R_+^{\chi, \pi}$ , let  $\mathfrak{B}_{\omega, \pi}^\chi(\beta)$  be the  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear subspace of  $U^0(\chi, \pi)$  formed by the elements

$$\sum_{(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{A}^2} a_{(\lambda, \mu)} K_\lambda L_\mu$$

with  $a_{(\lambda, \mu)} \in \mathbb{K}$  satisfying the following equations (e1) $_\beta$ -(e4) $_\beta$ .

(e1) $_\beta$  For  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{A}^2$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , if  $q_\beta \neq 1$ ,  $c_\beta = 0$  and  $\omega_{\lambda, \mu; \beta}^\chi = q_\beta^t$ , then the equation  $a_{(\lambda+t\beta, \mu-t\beta)} = \hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\beta)^t \cdot a_{(\lambda, \mu)}$  holds.

(e2) $_\beta$  For  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{A}^2$ , if  $c_\beta = 0$  and  $\omega_{\lambda, \mu; \beta}^\chi \neq q_\beta^t$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the equation  $a_{(\lambda, \mu)} = 0$  holds.



(e3) $_{\beta}$  For  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{A}^2$ , if  $q_{\beta} \neq 1$ ,  $c_{\beta} \geq 2$  and  $\omega_{\lambda, \mu; \beta}^{\chi} = q_{\beta}^t$  for some  $t \in J_{1, c_{\beta}-1}$ , the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{(\lambda+(c_{\beta}x+t)\beta, \mu-(c_{\beta}x+t)\beta)} \hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\beta)^{-(c_{\beta}x+t)} \\ &= \sum_{y=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{(\lambda+c_{\beta}y\beta, \mu-c_{\beta}y\beta)} \hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\beta)^{-c_{\beta}y} \end{aligned}$$

holds.

(e4) $_{\beta}$  For  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathfrak{A}^2$ , if  $c_{\beta} \geq 2$  and  $\omega_{\lambda, \mu; \beta}^{\chi} \neq q_{\beta}^m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the  $c_{\beta} - 1$  equations

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{(\lambda+(c_{\beta}x+t)\beta, \mu-(c_{\beta}x+t)\beta)} \hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\beta)^{-(c_{\beta}x+t)} \\ &= \sum_{y=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{(\lambda+c_{\beta}y\beta, \mu-c_{\beta}y\beta)} \hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\beta)^{-c_{\beta}y} \\ & (t \in J_{1, c_{\beta}-1}) \end{aligned}$$

hold. Let

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\omega}^{\chi, \pi} := \bigcap_{\beta \in R_{+}^{\chi, \pi}} \mathfrak{B}_{\omega}^{\chi, \pi}(\beta).$$

**Theorem 2.3.** ([8, Theorem 10.4]) *Assume  $|R_{+}^{\chi, \pi}| < \infty$ . If  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 0$ , assume that  $q_{\beta} \neq 1$  for all  $\beta \in R_{+}^{\chi, \pi}$ . Then we have the  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear isomorphism  $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{C}_{\omega}^{\chi, \pi} : \mathfrak{Z}_{\omega}(\chi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{\omega}^{\chi, \pi}$  defined by  $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{C}_{\omega}^{\chi, \pi}(X) := \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{h}^{\chi, \pi}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Injectivity of  $\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{C}_{\omega}^{\chi, \pi}$  can be proved in the same way as that for [8, Lemma 9.2].

First we assume that  $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}} = \{0\}$  and  $q_{\beta} \neq 1$  for all  $\beta \in R_{+}^{\chi, \pi}$ . The statement of [8, Theorem 10.4] has claimed that the above theorem holds if  $\mathbb{K}$  is an algebraically closed field. However, by the equation  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{S}$  in [8, (10.5)], we can easily see that it really holds for any field  $\mathbb{K}$ .

Second we assume  $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}} = \{0\}$  and  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ . We use an induction on  $|I|$ . Assume that there exists  $\beta \in R_{+}^{\chi, \pi}$  with  $q_{\beta} = 1$ . By (2.7), there exists  $i \in I$  such that  $\beta = \alpha_i$  and  $q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1$  for all  $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$ . Let  $\acute{I} := I \setminus \{i\}$ . Then  $E_i E_j = q_{ij} E_j E_i = \frac{1}{q_{ji}} E_j E_i$  and  $F_i F_j = q_{ji} F_j F_i = \frac{1}{q_{ij}} F_j F_i$  for all  $j \in \acute{I}$ . Let  $\acute{U}_0 := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} F_i^k U^0(\chi, \pi) E_i^k$ . Let  $\acute{\mathfrak{A}}_{\pi} := \bigoplus_{j \in \acute{I}} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_j$ ,  $\acute{\mathfrak{A}}_{\pi}^{+} := \bigoplus_{j \in \acute{I}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_j$ ,  $\acute{\chi} := \chi|_{\acute{\mathfrak{A}}_{\pi} \times \acute{\mathfrak{A}}_{\pi}}$ , and  $\acute{\pi} := \pi_j$ . Let  $\acute{U}_0^{\lambda} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}(U^{-}(\chi, \pi)_{-\lambda} U^{+}(\chi, \pi)_{\lambda})$  ( $\lambda \in \acute{\mathfrak{A}}_{\pi}^{+}$ ). Let  $\acute{U}_0 := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \acute{\mathfrak{A}}_{\pi}^{+}} \acute{U}_0^{\lambda}$ . Then

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{we have the } \mathbb{K}\text{-linear isomorphism} \\ & f : \acute{U}_0 \otimes \acute{U}_0 \rightarrow U(\chi, \pi)_0 \text{ defined by } f(X \otimes Y) := XY. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$ . Let  $\acute{\mathfrak{Z}}_a := \{Z \in U(\chi, \pi)_0 \mid Z E_i = a E_i Z, Z F_i = a^{-1} F_i Z\}$ , and  $\acute{\mathfrak{Z}}_a :=$

$\{C \in \dot{U}_0 \mid CE_i = aE_iC, CF_i = a^{-1}F_iC\}$ . Let  $\dot{\mathcal{H}}_a := \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \dot{\mathfrak{A}}_\pi, a \frac{\chi(\alpha_i, \mu)}{\chi(\lambda, \alpha_i)} = 1} \mathbb{K}K_\lambda L_\mu$ , and  $\dot{\mathcal{H}}_a := \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \dot{\mathfrak{A}}_\pi, a \frac{\chi(\alpha_i, \mu)}{\chi(\lambda, \alpha_i)} = 1} \mathbb{K}K_\lambda L_\mu$ . Then  $\dot{\mathcal{H}}_a = \bigoplus_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}} \dot{\mathcal{H}}_a K_{\alpha_i}^x L_{\alpha_i}^y$ . By [7, Theorem 2.4], we have  $\dot{\mathfrak{Z}}_a = \dot{\mathcal{H}}_a$ . By (2.9), we have  $\dot{\mathfrak{Z}}_a = \bigoplus_{\chi(\lambda, \alpha_i) b = a} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}(\dot{U}_0^\lambda \dot{\mathcal{H}}_b)$ , which implies  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{h}^{\chi, \pi}(\dot{\mathfrak{Z}}_a) = \dot{\mathcal{H}}_a$ . Since  $\mathfrak{Z}_\omega(\chi, \pi) \subset \dot{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\omega(\alpha_i)}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{h}^{\chi, \pi}(\mathfrak{Z}_\omega(\chi, \pi)) \subset \dot{\mathcal{H}}_{\omega(\alpha_i)}$ . The condition  $(e2)_{\alpha_i}$  means that  $a(\lambda, \mu) = 0$  if  $\omega(\alpha_i) \cdot \frac{\chi(\alpha_i, \mu)}{\chi(\lambda, \alpha_i)} \neq 1$ . Hence  $\dot{\mathcal{H}}_{\omega(\alpha_i)} = \{Z \in U^0(\chi, \pi) \mid Z \text{ satisfies } (e2)_{\alpha_i}\}$ . For  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define the group homomorphism  $\dot{\omega}_{x, y} : \dot{\mathfrak{A}}_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$  by  $\dot{\omega}_{x, y}(\lambda) := \chi(\alpha_i, \lambda)^{-(x+y)} \omega(\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \in \dot{\mathfrak{A}}_\pi$ ). Note that  $\dot{\omega}_{x, y}(\lambda) = \frac{\chi(\lambda, y\alpha_i)}{\chi(x\alpha_i, \lambda)} \omega(\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \in \dot{\mathfrak{A}}_\pi$ ). Then

$$\mathfrak{B}_\omega^{\chi, \pi} = \bigoplus_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathfrak{B}_{\dot{\omega}_{x, y}}^{\dot{\chi}, \dot{\pi}} \cap \dot{\mathcal{H}}_{\omega(\alpha_i)}) K_{\alpha_i}^x L_{\alpha_i}^y.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{Z}_\omega(\chi, \pi) \subset \bigoplus_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{Z}_{\dot{\omega}_{x, y}}(\dot{\chi}, \dot{\pi}) K_{\alpha_i}^x L_{\alpha_i}^y$ , we have  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{h}^{\chi, \pi}(\mathfrak{Z}_\omega(\chi, \pi)) \subset \mathfrak{B}_\omega^{\chi, \pi}$ . By [8, Theorem 10.4] and a careful understanding (see Remark 2.4 below) the equation  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{S}$  in [8, (10.5)], we see that for every  $Z \in \mathfrak{B}_{\dot{\omega}_{x, y}}^{\dot{\chi}, \dot{\pi}} \cap \dot{\mathcal{H}}_{\omega(\alpha_i)}$ , there exists  $C \in \mathfrak{Z}_{\dot{\omega}_{x, y}}(\dot{\chi}, \dot{\pi})$  such that  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{h}^{\chi, \pi}(C) = Z$  and  $CE_i = \omega(\alpha_i)E_iC$  and  $CF_i = \frac{1}{\omega(\alpha_i)}F_iC$ . Then we can see that the statement holds.

Finally we assume  $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}} \neq \{0\}$ . For  $\lambda', \mu' \in \mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}}$ , define the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $\omega_{\lambda', \mu'} : \mathfrak{A}_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$  by  $\omega_{\lambda', \mu'}(\lambda) := \omega(\lambda) \frac{\chi(\lambda, \mu')}{\chi(\lambda', \lambda)}$ . Let  $\chi'' := \chi|_{\mathfrak{A}_\pi \times \mathfrak{A}_\pi}$ . Since  $U(\chi, \pi) = \bigoplus_{\lambda', \mu' \in \mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}}} K_{\lambda'} L_{\mu'} U(\chi'', \pi)$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\omega(\chi, \pi) = \bigoplus_{\lambda', \mu' \in \mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}}} K_{\lambda'} L_{\mu'} \mathfrak{Z}_{\omega_{\lambda', \mu'}}(\chi'', \pi).$$

Then we can see that the statement is true.  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.* Seeing carefully [8, Subsection 10.1], we see that for  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{Z}\mathcal{S}$  in [8, (10.5)] for  $\lambda \in \dot{\mathfrak{A}}_\pi$ , the components of the matrix  $\mathcal{G}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Z}$ , resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ ) belong to  $\dot{\mathcal{H}}_a$  for  $a = \omega(\alpha_i)$  (resp.  $\frac{\omega(\alpha_i)}{\chi(\lambda, \alpha_i)}$ , resp.  $\chi(\lambda, \alpha_i)$ ).

## 2.3 Basic facts

Let  $\mathbb{K}_\infty^\times := \{x \in \mathbb{K}^\times \mid x \neq 1, \hat{\delta}(x) \neq 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{K}^\times \mid x^m \neq 1 (m \in \mathbb{N})\}$ .

In Subsection 2.3, assume  $|R_+^{\chi, \pi}| < \infty$ . Let  $i \in I$ . For  $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$ , let  $N_{ij}^{\chi, \pi} = \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \alpha_j + m\alpha_i \in R_+^{\chi, \pi}\}$ . Define the map  $\tau_i^\chi(\pi) : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\pi$  by  $\tau_i^\chi(\pi)(i) := -\alpha_i$  and  $\tau_i^\chi(\pi)(j) := \alpha_j + N_{ij}^{\chi, \pi} \alpha_i$  ( $i \in I \setminus \{j\}$ ). Clearly  $\tau_i^\chi(\pi)(I)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -base of  $\mathfrak{A}_\pi$ . It is well-known that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_i^\chi(\tau_i^\chi(\pi)) &= \pi, \quad R_+^{\chi, \tau_i^\chi(\pi)} \setminus \{-\alpha_i\} = R_+^{\chi, \pi} \setminus \{\alpha_i\}, \\ N_{ij}^{\chi, \pi} &= N_{ij}^{\chi, \tau_i^\chi(\pi)} = \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid (m)_{q_{ii}}! (m; q_{ii}, q_{ij}q_{ji})! \neq 0\} \quad (j \in I \setminus \{i\}), \end{aligned}$$

see [12, Proposition 1] (and [6, Lemma 4.9 (2)]).

**Lemma 2.5.** (See [6, Lemmas 4.21 and 4.22] for example.) Let  $i \in I$ . Assume that  $q_{ii} \in \mathbb{K}_\infty^\times$ . Let  $\alpha'_j := \tau_i^{\chi}(\pi)(j)$  ( $j \in I$ ). Let  $q'_{jk} := \chi(\alpha'_j, \alpha'_k)$  ( $j, k \in I$ ). Define the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module isomorphism  $\acute{s}_i : \mathfrak{A}_\pi \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\pi$  by  $\acute{s}_i(\alpha_j) := \alpha'_j$  ( $j \in I$ ). Then we have the following.

- (1) For every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\chi(\lambda, \alpha_i)\chi(\alpha_i, \lambda) = q_{ii}^n$ .
- (2) We have  $q_{jj} = q'_{jj}$  and  $q_{jk}q_{kj} = q'_{jk}q'_{kj}$  for all  $j, k \in I$ .
- (3) We have  $\acute{s}_i^2 = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}_\pi}$ , and

$$\chi(\acute{s}_i(\lambda), \acute{s}_i(\lambda)) = \chi(\lambda, \lambda), \quad \chi(\acute{s}_i(\lambda), \acute{s}_i(\mu))\chi(\acute{s}_i(\mu), \acute{s}_i(\lambda)) = \chi(\lambda, \mu)\chi(\mu, \lambda)$$

for all  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ .

- (4) We have  $\acute{s}_i(R_+^{\chi, \pi}) = R_+^{\chi, \tau_i^{\chi}(\pi)}$ . Moreover  $\acute{s}_i(\lambda) = \lambda - n\alpha_i$  for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$  with  $\chi(\alpha_i, \lambda)\chi(\lambda, \alpha_i) = q_{ii}^n$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $i \in I$ . For  $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$ , let  $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{K}^\times$  be such that

$$a_j b_j (N_{ij}^{\chi, \pi})_{q_{ii}}! (N_{ij}^{\chi, \pi}; q_{ii}, q_{ij} q_{ji})! = 1,$$

and define  $E_{m,i,j}^{\chi, \pi} \in U^+(\chi, \pi)_{\alpha_j + m\alpha_i}$  (resp.  $F_{m,i,j}^{\chi, \pi} \in U^+(\chi, \pi)_{-\alpha_j - m\alpha_i}$ ) ( $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) by  $E_{0,i,j}^{\chi, \pi} := E_j$  (resp.  $F_{0,i,j}^{\chi, \pi} := F_j$ ) and  $E_{m+1,i,j}^{\chi, \pi} := E_i E_{m,i,j}^{\chi, \pi} - q_{ii}^m q_{ij} E_{m,i,j}^{\chi, \pi} E_i$ , (resp.  $F_{m+1,i,j}^{\chi, \pi} := F_i F_{m,i,j}^{\chi, \pi} - q_{ii}^m q_{ij} F_{m,i,j}^{\chi, \pi} F_i$ ). Then we have the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra isomorphism  $T_i^{\chi, \tau_i^{\chi}(\pi)} : U(\chi, \tau_i^{\chi}(\pi)) \rightarrow U(\chi, \pi)$  with  $T_i^{\chi, \tau_i^{\chi}(\pi)}(K_\lambda L_\mu) = K_\lambda L_\mu$  ( $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ),  $T_i^{\chi, \tau_i^{\chi}(\pi)}(E_i) = F_i L_{-\alpha_i}$ ,  $T_i^{\chi, \tau_i^{\chi}(\pi)}(F_i) = K_{-\alpha_i} E_i$ ,  $T_i^{\chi, \tau_i^{\chi}(\pi)}(E_j) = a_j E_{N_{ij}^{\chi, \pi}, i, j}^{\chi, \pi}$  ( $j \neq i$ ) and  $T_i^{\chi, \tau_i^{\chi}(\pi)}(F_j) = b_j F_{N_{ij}^{\chi, \pi}, i, j}^{\chi, \pi}$  ( $j \neq i$ ), see [15, Theorem 6.7].

Let  $\mathbb{B}(I)$  the set of all maps from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $I$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{B}(I)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Define  $\tau_{f,t}^{\chi}(\pi)$  in the way that  $\tau_{f,0}^{\chi}(\pi) := \pi$  and  $\tau_{f,t}^{\chi}(\pi) := \tau_{f(t)}^{\chi}(\tau_{f,t-1}^{\chi}(\pi))$ .

Let  $M := |R_+^{\chi, \pi}|$ . We know that

$$(2.11) \quad \text{there exists } f \in \mathbb{B}(I) \text{ such that } R_+^{\chi, \pi} = \{\tau_{f,t-1}^{\chi}(\pi)(f(t)) \mid t \in J_{1,M}\},$$

see [8, Lemma 5.5].

Let  $R^{\chi, \pi} := R_+^{\chi, \pi} \cup (-R_+^{\chi, \pi})$ . Let  $R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi} := \{\beta \in R^{\chi, \pi} \mid q_\beta \in \mathbb{K}_\infty^\times\}$ ,  $R_{\text{null}}^{\chi, \pi} := R^{\chi, \pi} \setminus R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ , and  $R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi} := R_+^{\chi, \pi} \cap R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ ,  $R_{+, \text{null}}^{\chi, \pi} := R_+^{\chi, \pi} \cap R_{\text{null}}^{\chi, \pi}$ .

### 3 Main Result

#### 3.1 Irreducible bicharacters with $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ and $|R_+^{\chi, \pi}| < \infty$

Let  $(R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi})^b := R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi} \cup \{\beta \in R_{\text{null}}^{\chi, \pi} | q_\beta = 1\}$ .

From now on until Remark 3.13, we assume that

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0, |R_+^{\chi, \pi}| < \infty, \dim U^+(\chi, \pi) = \infty (\Leftrightarrow (R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi})^b \neq \emptyset), \text{ and } (\chi, \pi) \text{ is irreducible.}$$

By the classification theorem of [14], we have an injection  $\bar{\pi} : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  being one of  $(\bar{\pi}0)$ - $(\bar{\pi}5)$  below such that  $\mathfrak{A}_\pi = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} \bar{\pi}(i)$  and  $\pi = \tau_{f,t}^\chi(\bar{\pi}) \circ d$  for some bijection  $d : I \rightarrow I$ , some  $f \in \mathbb{B}(I)$  and some  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  after modifying  $\theta'$  and the values  $\chi(\pi(i), \epsilon_r)$ ,  $\chi(\epsilon_r, \pi(i))$ ,  $\chi(\epsilon_r, \epsilon_{r'})$ .

$$(3.2) \quad \text{From now on until Remark 3.13, using } (\bar{\pi}0)\text{-}(\bar{\pi}5) \text{ below, we fix } \theta', \chi(\bar{\pi}(i), \epsilon_r), \chi(\epsilon_r, \bar{\pi}(i)), \chi(\epsilon_r, \epsilon_{r'}). \text{ See also (3.19) below.}$$

By (2.10), we have

$$(3.3) \quad R^{\chi, \bar{\pi}} = R^{\chi, \pi}, \quad R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \bar{\pi}} = R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}, \quad R_{\text{null}}^{\chi, \bar{\pi}} = R_{\text{null}}^{\chi, \pi}, \quad (R^{\chi, \bar{\pi}})^b = (R^{\chi, \pi})^b.$$

Let  $\bar{\alpha}_i := \bar{\pi}(i)$ . Let  $\bar{q}_{ij} := \chi(\bar{\alpha}_i, \bar{\alpha}_j)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathfrak{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear space. Note that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $\Upsilon : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$  defined by  $\Upsilon(\lambda) := \lambda \otimes 1$  ( $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$ ) is injective. For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$ , we denote  $\Upsilon(\lambda)$  by  $\lambda$  for simplicity. We define  $\varpi_i \in \mathfrak{A}$  ( $i \in I$ ) and a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear map  $(|) : \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by using  $(\bar{\pi}0)$ - $(\bar{\pi}5)$  below. Let  $\bar{k} := \det[(\bar{\alpha}_i | \bar{\alpha}_j)]_{i,j \in I}$ .

$(\bar{\pi}0)$  ( $\theta = \bar{q}_{11} = 1$ -case) Assume  $\theta = 1$  and  $\bar{q}_{11} = 1$ . We have  $R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \bar{\pi}} = \emptyset$  and  $R_{+, \text{null}}^{\chi, \bar{\pi}} = \{\bar{\alpha}_1\}$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty}^{\times}$ . Let  $\theta' := 2$ ,  $\varpi_1 := \epsilon_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}} = \mathbb{Z} \varpi_1$ ,  $\chi(\varpi_1, \varpi_1) = 1$ ,  $\chi(\bar{\alpha}_1, \varpi_1) := 1$ ,  $\chi(\varpi_1, \bar{\alpha}_1) = x$ , and  $(\varpi_1 | \varpi_1) = (\bar{\alpha}_1 | \bar{\alpha}_1) = 0$  and  $(\varpi_1 | \bar{\alpha}_1) = 1$ .

$(\bar{\pi}1)$  (Cartan-type) Let  $\theta' := \theta$ . Define  $(|)$  in the way that  $(\bar{\alpha}_j | \bar{\alpha}_j) \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $j \in I$ ) and  $A := [\frac{2(\bar{\alpha}_i | \bar{\alpha}_j)}{(\bar{\alpha}_j | \bar{\alpha}_j)}]_{i,j \in I}$  is one on the matrices in [16, Table 1 of Subsection 1.4]. Namely  $A$  is the Cartan matrix of an irreducible root system in the sense of [16, Section 11]. Let  $\chi$  be such that  $\bar{q}_{ii} = q^{(\bar{\alpha}_i | \bar{\alpha}_i)}$  and  $\bar{q}_{ij} \bar{q}_{ji} = q^{2(\bar{\alpha}_i | \bar{\alpha}_j)}$  ( $i, j \in I$ ) for some  $q \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty}^{\times}$ . Note that  $(|)$  is positive definite. Let  $\varpi_i \in \mathfrak{A}$  ( $i \in I$ ) be such that  $(\varpi_i | \bar{\alpha}_j) = \delta_{ij} \cdot \bar{k}$  ( $i, j \in I$ ). We have  $R_{+, \text{null}}^{\chi, \bar{\pi}} = \emptyset$ . The set  $R_+^{\chi, \bar{\pi}} = R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \bar{\pi}}$  can be identified with the positive root system of the finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  whose Cartan matrix is  $A$ , see [6, Lemma 5.3] for example.

$(\bar{\pi}2)$  (Super-type) Let  $X = [x_{ij}]_{i,j \in I}$  be one of the  $\theta \times \theta$ -symmetric matrices

(i.e.,  $x_{ij} = x_{ji}$ ) over  $\mathbb{Z}$  below.

- (i) ( $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ -type) Assume  $\theta \geq 2$ . Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $m + n + 1 = \theta$  and  $m \geq n$ . Let  $x_{ii} := 2, x_{ii+1} := -1$  ( $i \in I_{1,m}$ ),  $x_{m+1,m+1} := 0, x_{jj} := -2, x_{j-1,j} := 1$  ( $j \in I_{m+2,m+n+1}$ ), and  $x_{i',j'} := 0$  ( $|i' - j'| \geq 2$ ).
- (ii) ( $B(m, n)$ -type) Assume  $\theta \geq 2$ . Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $m + n = \theta$ . Let  $x_{ii} := -2, x_{ii+1} := 1$  ( $i \in I_{1,n-1}$ ),  $x_{nn} := 0, x_{jj} := 2, x_{j-1,j} := -1$  ( $i \in I_{n+1,m+n-1}$ ),  $x_{m+n,m+n} := 1, x_{m+n-1,m+n} := -1$  and  $x_{i',j'} := 0$  ( $|i' - j'| \geq 2$ ).
- (iii) ( $C(n)$ -type) Assume  $\theta \geq 3$ . Let  $n := \theta$ . Let  $x_{11} := 0, x_{ii} := 2, x_{i-1,i} := -1$  ( $j \in I_{2,n-1}$ ),  $x_{nn} := 4, x_{n-1,n} := -2$  and  $x_{i',j'} := 0$  ( $|i' - j'| \geq 2$ ).
- (iv) ( $D(m, n)$ -type) Assume  $\theta \geq 3$ . Let  $m \in J_{2,\infty}, n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $m + n = \theta$ . Let  $x_{ii} := -2, x_{ii+1} := 1$  ( $i \in I_{1,n-1}$ ),  $x_{nn} := 0, x_{jj} := 2, x_{j-1,j} := -1$  ( $j \in I_{n+1,m+n-1}$ ),  $x_{m+n,m+n} := 2, x_{m+n-1,m+n} := 0, x_{m+n-2,m+n} := -1$ , and  $x_{i',j'} := 0$  ( $i' + 2 \leq j', (i', j') \neq (m + n - 2, m + n)$ ).
- (v) ( $F(4)$ -type) Assume  $\theta = 4$ . Let  $x_{11} := 0, x_{22} := 2, x_{33} := x_{44} := 4, x_{12} := -1, x_{23} := x_{34} := -2$ , and  $x_{i',j'} := 0$  ( $|i' - j'| \geq 2$ ).
- (vi) ( $G(3)$ -type) Assume  $\theta = 3$ . Let  $x_{11} := 0, x_{22} := 2, x_{33} := 6, x_{12} := -1, x_{23} := -3$ , and  $x_{i',j'} := 0$  ( $|i' - j'| \geq 2$ ).
- (vii) ( $D(2, 1; a)$ -type) Assume  $\theta = 3$ . Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, -1\}$ . Let  $x_{11} := -2, x_{22} := 0, x_{33} := -2a, x_{12} := 1, x_{23} := a$  and  $x_{13} := 0$ .

Note that  $\det X = 0$  if and only if  $X$  is of  $\mathfrak{sl}(m|m)$ -type with  $\theta = 2m + 1$ . Define  $(\cdot | \cdot)_{|\mathfrak{A}_\pi \times \mathfrak{A}_\pi}$  by  $(\bar{\alpha}_i | \bar{\alpha}_j) := x_{ij}$ . Let  $q \in \mathbb{K}_\infty^\times$ . Let  $\chi_{|\mathfrak{A}_\pi \times \mathfrak{A}_\pi}$  be such that  $q_{ii} = q^{x_{ii}}$  ( $x_{ii} \neq 0$ ),  $q_{jj} = -1$  ( $x_{jj} = 0$ ),  $q_{i'j'} = q^{2x_{i'j'}}$ . If  $\det X \neq 0$ , let  $\theta' := \theta$ , and let  $\varpi_i \in \mathfrak{A}$  ( $i \in I$ ) such that  $(\varpi_i | \bar{\alpha}_j) = \delta_{ij} \cdot \bar{k}$  ( $i, j \in I$ ). Assume  $\det X = 0$ . Let  $\theta' = \theta + 1 (= 2m + 2)$ . Let  $(\epsilon_1 | \epsilon_1) := 1$  and  $(\epsilon_1 | \bar{\alpha}_i) := \delta_{i1}$  ( $i \in I$ ). Let  $\chi(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) := q$  and  $\chi(\epsilon_1, \bar{\alpha}_i) := 1, \chi(\bar{\alpha}_i, \epsilon_1) := q^{2\delta_{i1}}$  ( $i \in I$ ). For  $i \in J_{2,\theta}$ , let  $\epsilon_i := \epsilon_1 - \sum_{t=1}^{i-1} \alpha_t$ . Let  $\varpi_i := \sum_{t=1}^i \epsilon_t$  ( $i \in J_{1,m+1}$ ) and  $\varpi_j := \varpi_{m+1} - \sum_{t=m+2}^j \epsilon_t$  ( $i \in J_{m+2,\theta}$ ). We see that  $(\varpi_i | \bar{\alpha}_j) = \delta_{ij}$  and  $\chi(\varpi_i, \bar{\alpha}_j)\chi(\bar{\alpha}_j, \varpi_i) = q^{2\delta_{ij}}$ . Note that  $R^{X,\bar{\pi}} = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j | i, j \in I, i < j\}$ . See also Remark 3.1 below.

( $\bar{\pi}3$ ) (Extra- $D(2, 1; a)$ -type) Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{K}_\infty^\times$  with  $xy \neq 1$ . We assume that  $\theta = 3$ ,  $q_{11} = x, q_{22} = -1, q_{33} = y, q_{12}q_{21} = x^{-1}, q_{23}q_{32} = y^{-1}, q_{13}q_{31} = 1$ . Define  $(\cdot | \cdot)_{|\mathfrak{A}_\pi \times \mathfrak{A}_\pi}$  by  $(\bar{\alpha}_1 | \bar{\alpha}_1) = 2, (\bar{\alpha}_2 | \bar{\alpha}_2) = 0, (\bar{\alpha}_3 | \bar{\alpha}_3) = -4, (\bar{\alpha}_1 | \bar{\alpha}_2) = -1, (\bar{\alpha}_1 | \bar{\alpha}_3) = 0, (\bar{\alpha}_2 | \bar{\alpha}_3) = 2$ .

(i) Assume  $xy \in \mathbb{K}_\infty^\times$ . Let  $\theta' = \theta, \varpi_1 := \bar{\alpha}_1, \varpi_2 := \bar{\alpha}_1 + 2\bar{\alpha}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_3, \varpi_3 := \bar{\alpha}_3$ . Note  $\chi(\varpi_2, \bar{\alpha}_i)\chi(\bar{\alpha}_i, \varpi_2) = (xy)^{-\delta_{i2}}$ . Note  $R_{+, \text{real}}^{X,\bar{\pi}} = \{\varpi_i | i \in I\}$ . We have  $R_{+, \text{null}}^{X,\bar{\pi}} = \{\bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\alpha}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_3, \bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_3\}$ .

(ii) Assume  $xy \notin \mathbb{K}_\infty^\times$ . Let  $\theta' := \theta + 1, \varpi_1 := \bar{\alpha}_1, \varpi_2 := \epsilon_1, \varpi_3 := \bar{\alpha}_3, \chi(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) := 1, \chi(\bar{\alpha}_i, \epsilon_1) := 1, \chi(\epsilon, \bar{\alpha}_i) = x^{\delta_{i2}}$ , and  $(\epsilon_1 | \epsilon_1) = (\epsilon_1 | \bar{\alpha}_1) = (\epsilon_1 | \bar{\alpha}_3) = 0$  and  $(\epsilon_1 | \bar{\alpha}_2) = 1$ . We have  $R_{+, \text{real}}^{X,\bar{\pi}} = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_3\}$  and  $R_{+, \text{null}}^{X,\bar{\pi}} = \{\bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\alpha}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_3, \bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_3, \bar{\alpha}_1 + 2\bar{\alpha}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_3\}$ .

( $\bar{\pi}4$ ) (Extra-rank-4-type) Let  $q \in \mathbb{K}_\infty^\times$ . Assume  $\theta = 4$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}} := \{0\}$ . Define  $(|)$  in the same way as that for  $\mathfrak{sl}(3|2)$ -type. Let  $g_1 := g_2 := 0$  and  $g_3 := g_4 := 1$ . Let  $\chi$  be such that  $q_{ii} := (-1)^{g_i} q^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)}$  ( $i \in I$ ) and  $q_{i',j'} q_{j',i'} := (-1)^{g_{i'} g_{j'}} q^{2(\alpha_{i'}|\alpha_{j'})}$  ( $i', j' \in I, i' \neq j'$ ). Let  $\varpi_i$  be the same as that for  $\mathfrak{sl}(3|2)$ -type. We have  $R_{+, \text{real}}^{X, \bar{\pi}} = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\alpha}_4, \bar{\alpha}_1 + 2\bar{\alpha}_2 + 3\bar{\alpha}_3 + 2\bar{\alpha}_4, \bar{\alpha}_1 + 2\bar{\alpha}_2 + 3\bar{\alpha}_3 + \bar{\alpha}_4\}$  and  $R_{+, \text{null}}^{X, \bar{\pi}} = \{\beta \in R_+^{X, \bar{\pi}} | \chi(\beta, \beta) = -1\} = \{\bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_3 + \bar{\alpha}_4, \bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_3, \bar{\alpha}_1 + 2\bar{\alpha}_2 + 2\bar{\alpha}_3 + \bar{\alpha}_4, \bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2 + 2\bar{\alpha}_3 + \bar{\alpha}_4, \bar{\alpha}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_3 + \bar{\alpha}_4, \bar{\alpha}_3 + \bar{\alpha}_4, \bar{\alpha}_2 + 2\bar{\alpha}_3 + \bar{\alpha}_4, \bar{\alpha}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_3, \bar{\alpha}_3\}$ .

( $\bar{\pi}5$ ) (Extra-rank-2-type) Let  $q \in \mathbb{K}_\infty^\times$ . Assume  $\theta = 2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}} := \{0\}$ . Define  $(|)$  in the same way as that for  $\mathfrak{sl}(2|1)$ -type. Let  $g'_1 := 0$  and  $g'_2 := 1$ . Let  $\zeta \in \mathbb{K}^\times$  be such that  $\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1 = 0$ . Let  $\chi$  be such that  $q_{ii} := \zeta^{g'_i} q^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)}$  ( $i \in I$ ) and  $q_{i',j'} q_{j',i'} := \zeta^{2g'_{i'} g'_{j'}} q^{2(\alpha_{i'}|\alpha_{j'})}$  ( $i', j' \in I$ ). Then  $\varpi_i$  can be the same as that for  $\mathfrak{sl}(2|1)$ -type. We have  $R_{+, \text{real}}^{X, \bar{\pi}} = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_1 + 2\bar{\alpha}_2\}$  and  $R_{+, \text{null}}^{X, \bar{\pi}} = \{\bar{\alpha}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\alpha}_2\}$ .

*Remark 3.1.* Let  $\bar{\pi}$  be of  $\mathfrak{b}$ -type in ( $\bar{\pi}2$ ), where  $\mathfrak{b}$  is one of the finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras of type  $A$ - $G$  of rank  $= \theta$ , see Introduction. Then  $\mathfrak{b}$  is identified with  $\mathfrak{g}$  of Introduction for  $x_{ij} = (\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$  and  $p(\alpha_i) = 0$  ( $b_{ii} \neq -1$ ),  $p(\alpha_j) = 1$  ( $b_{ii} = -1$ ). Under this identification (more precisely, under the identification  $\{\bar{\pi}(i) | i \in I\}$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_i = \bar{\pi}(i)$  with  $\Pi$  and  $\alpha_i$ ),  $U_{\mathcal{Q}}$  and  $R_+^{\mathcal{Q}}$  of Introduction is identified with  $U(\chi|_{\mathfrak{A}_\pi \times \mathfrak{A}_\pi}, \bar{\pi})$  and  $R_{+, \text{real}}^{X, \bar{\pi}}$  respectively for  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , and  $R_+^{X, \bar{\pi}}$ ,  $R_{+, \text{real}}^{X, \bar{\pi}}$  and  $R_{+, \text{null}}^{X, \bar{\pi}}$  are identified with  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+$  of Introduction respectively, see [6, Lemma 5.6]. For  $\pi$  of (3.1), we have  $R^{X, \pi} = R_+^{X, \bar{\pi}} \cup (-R_+^{X, \bar{\pi}})$ ,  $R_{\text{real}}^{X, \pi} = R_{+, \text{real}}^{X, \bar{\pi}} \cup (-R_{+, \text{real}}^{X, \bar{\pi}})$ ,  $R_{\text{null}}^{X, \pi} = R_{+, \text{null}}^{X, \bar{\pi}} \cup (-R_{+, \text{null}}^{X, \bar{\pi}})$ , and  $R_+^{X, \pi} = R^{X, \pi} \cap (\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i)$ ,  $R_{+, \text{real}}^{X, \pi} = R_{\text{real}}^{X, \pi} \cap (\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i)$ ,  $R_{+, \text{null}}^{X, \pi} = R_{\text{null}}^{X, \pi} \cap (\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i)$ , where recall  $\alpha_i = \pi(i)$ .

We see:

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{A} (= \mathfrak{A}_\pi \oplus \mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}}), \forall \beta \in R_{\text{real}}^{X, \pi}, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, \chi(\lambda, \beta) \chi(\beta, \lambda) = q_\beta^k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha'_i := \tau_{f,t}^X(\bar{\pi})(i)$  ( $i \in I$ ) and  $q'_{i,j} := \chi(\alpha'_i, \alpha'_j)$  ( $i', j' \in I$ ). By [14, Tables 1-4], we see that for  $i, j \in I$  with  $q'_{i,i} \in \mathbb{K}_\infty^\times$ , we have  $q'_{i,j} q'_{j,i} = (q'_{i,i})^{-k}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Then the claim for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$  follows from (2.11). If  $\theta' = \theta + 1$ , The claim for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}}$  can be seen in a direct way.  $\square$

Let  $\beta \in R_{\text{real}}^{X, \pi}$ . By Lemma 3.2, we have the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module automorphism  $s_\beta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  defined in the way that  $s_\beta(\lambda) := \lambda - k\beta$  for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$  with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $\chi(\beta, \lambda) \chi(\lambda, \beta) = q_\beta^k$ . We directly see that  $\chi(s_\beta(\mu), s_\beta(\mu)) = \chi(\mu, \mu)$  and  $\chi(s_\beta(\mu), s_\beta(\nu)) \chi(s_\beta(\nu), s_\beta(\mu)) = \chi(\mu, \nu) \chi(\nu, \mu)$  for all  $\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Note  $s_{-\beta} = s_\beta$  and  $s_\beta^{-1} = s_\beta$ . If  $\beta = \pi(i)$  for some  $i \in I$ , we have  $(s_\beta)|_{\mathfrak{A}_\pi} = \acute{s}_i$ .

Let  $W_{\text{real}}^{X, \pi}$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{A})$  generated by  $\{s_\beta | \beta \in R_{\text{real}}^{X, \pi}\}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** (1) *The map  $\varphi : \mathfrak{A}_\pi \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}^\times)^\theta$  defined by*

$$\varphi(\lambda) := (\chi(\varpi_j, \lambda) \chi(\lambda, \varpi_j))_{j \in I} \quad (\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi)$$

is injective.

(2) As for  $(|)$ , the following (2a) and (2b) hold.

(2a)  $(\beta|\beta) \neq 0$  and  $s_\beta(\lambda) = \lambda - \frac{2(\beta|\lambda)}{(\beta|\beta)}\beta$  for all  $\beta \in R_{\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi}$  and all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

(2b) Let  $Y_\pm := \{\beta \in R_{\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi} | \pm(\beta|\beta) > 0\}$  and  $Z_\pm := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} Y_\pm$ . Then  $Z_+ \cap Z_- = \{0\}$  and  $(Z_+|Z_-) = \{0\}$ . Moreover  $(Y_+, (|)_{|Z_+ \times Z_+})$  and  $(Y_-, -( |)_{|Z_- \times Z_-})$  are root systems in the sense of [16, Subsection 9.2].

*Proof.* Recalling (3.3), we can directly prove the claims.  $\square$

We also regard  $R_{\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi}$  as the root system in the sense of [16, Subsection 9.2] with the inner product  $(|)'$  defined by  $(z_1^\pm | z_2^\pm)' = \pm(z_1^\pm | z_2^\pm)$ ,  $(z_1^\pm | z_2^\mp) = 0$  ( $z_1^\pm, z_2^\pm \in Z_\pm$ ).

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $f : \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear homomorphism such that  $0 \notin f(R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi,\pi})$ . Let  $X'_f := \{\beta \in R_{\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi} | f(\beta) > 0\}$ . Let  $X''_f := \{\beta \in X' | \exists k \in J_{2,\infty}, \gamma_t \in X'(t \in J_{1,k}), \beta = \sum_{t=1}^k \gamma_t\}$ . Let  $X_f := X'_f \setminus X''_f$ . (Note that  $X'_f$  for  $f$  with  $f(\alpha_i) = f(\epsilon_r) = 1$  equals  $R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi,\pi}$ .) Then we have the following.*

(1)  $X_f$  is a base of the root system  $R_{\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi}$ .

(2)  $W_{\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi}$  is the Weyl group of the root system  $R_{\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi}$ . As a group,  $W_{\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi}$  can be presented by the generators  $\{s_\beta | \beta \in X_f\}$  and the relations  $(s_\alpha s_\beta)^{m(\alpha,\beta)} = e$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in X$ ), where  $m(\alpha, \beta) := |X'_f \cap (\mathbb{R}\alpha + \mathbb{R}\beta)|$ .

(3) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_t \in X_f$  ( $t \in J_{1,n}$ ). Let  $w = s_{\gamma_1} s_{\gamma_2} \cdots s_{\gamma_n}$ . Assume that  $w \notin \{s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} \cdots s_{\beta_m} | m \in J_{1,n-1}, \beta_r \in X_f (r \in J_{1,m})\} \cup \{e\}$ . Then  $s_{\gamma_1} s_{\gamma_2} \cdots s_{\gamma_{t-1}}(\gamma_t) \in X'_f$  for  $t \in J_{1,n}$ .

(4) Let  $\hat{\delta} := \sum_{\alpha \in X'_f} \alpha (\in \mathfrak{A}_\pi)$ . Then we have  $s_\alpha(\hat{\delta}) = \hat{\delta} - 2\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in X_f$ .

Moreover the map  $g : W_{\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\pi$  defined by  $g(w) := w(\hat{\delta})$  is injective.

(5) We have the group monomorphism  $h : W_{\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{A}_\pi)$  defined by  $h(w) := w|_{\mathfrak{A}_\pi}$  ( $w \in W_{\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi}$ ).

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3 (2), we see that the claims follow from the well-known facts of the root systems and the Weyl groups.  $\square$

By Lemmas 3.4 (2) and 3.3 (2a), we see that there exists a unique group homomorphism  $\text{sgn}^{\chi,\pi} : W_{\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that  $\text{sgn}^{\chi,\pi}(s_\beta) = -1$  ( $\beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi,\pi}$ ).

## 3.2 Irreducible modules

Let  $\Lambda : U^0(\chi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra homomorphism. Then there exists an irreducible  $U(\chi, \pi)$ -module  $\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)$  such that there exists  $v_\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda) \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying the conditions that letting  $\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)_\lambda := U(\chi, \pi)_\lambda v_\Lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ ), we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda) &= \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+} \mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)_{-\nu}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)_\mu = \{0\} \quad (-\mu \notin \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+), \\ \mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)_{-\nu} &= U^-(\chi, \pi)_{-\nu} v_\Lambda \quad (\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+), \\ Z v_\Lambda &= \Lambda(Z) v_\Lambda \quad (Z \in U^0(\chi, \pi)) \text{ and } E_i v_\Lambda = 0 \quad (i \in I). \end{aligned}$$

There also exists a  $U(\chi, \pi)$ -module  $\mathcal{M}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)$  such that there exists  $\tilde{v}_\Lambda \in \mathcal{M}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying the same conditions of those of (3.4) with  $\mathcal{M}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)$  and  $\tilde{v}_\Lambda$  in place of  $\mathcal{M}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)$  and  $v_\Lambda$  respectively and the condition that  $\dim U^-(\chi, \pi)_{-\nu} \tilde{v}_\Lambda = \dim U^-(\chi, \pi)_{-\nu}$  ( $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+$ ). Then there exists a unique  $U(\chi, \pi)$ -module epimorphism  $f : \mathcal{M}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)$  with  $f(\tilde{v}_\Lambda) = v_\Lambda$ . It is clear that  $f(\mathcal{M}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)_\lambda) = \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ ). We call  $\mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)$ ) *the highest weight irreducible (resp. the Verma)  $U(\chi, \pi)$ -module of  $\Lambda$* . For  $i \in I$ , we can easily see that

$$(3.5) \quad F_i^m v_\Lambda \neq 0 \iff (m)_{q_{ii}}!(m; q_{ii}^{-1}; \Lambda(K_{\alpha_i} L_{-\alpha_i}))! \neq 0.$$

Define the map  $O^{\chi, \pi} : U^0(\chi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  by  $O^{\chi, \pi}(Z) := 0$  for all  $(Z \in U^0(\chi, \pi))$ .

Let  $i \in I$ . Define the map  $\tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) : U^0(\chi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  as follows. If there exists  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $F_i^h v_\Lambda \neq 0$  and  $F_i^{h+1} v_\Lambda = 0$ , let  $\tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) : U^0(\chi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  be the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra homomorphism defined by  $\tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)(K_\lambda L_\mu) = \frac{\chi(h\alpha_i, \mu)}{\chi(\lambda, h\alpha_i)} \Lambda(K_\lambda L_\mu)$  ( $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ). If  $F_i^m v_\Lambda \neq 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) := O^{\chi, \tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(\pi)}$ . We also let  $\tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(O^{\chi, \pi}) := O^{\chi, \tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(\pi)}$ .

If  $\tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) \neq O^{\chi, \tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(\pi)}$ , letting  $\pi' := \tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(\pi)$  and  $\Lambda' := \tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)$ , we have the  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear isomorphism

$$\hat{T}_{\Lambda', i}^{\chi, \pi'} : \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi'}(\Lambda') \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) \text{ with } \hat{T}_{\Lambda', i}^{\chi, \pi'}(X v_{\Lambda'}) = T_i^{\chi, \pi'}(X) F_i^h v_\Lambda \text{ } (X \in U(\chi, \pi')),$$

where let  $h$  be as above, see [6, Lemma 6.3].

For  $f \in \mathbb{B}(I)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , define  $\tau_{f, t}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)$  in the way that  $\tau_{f, 0}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) := \Lambda$  and  $\tau_{f, t}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) := \tau_{f, t}^{\chi, \pi'}(\tau_{f, t-1}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda))$  (if  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ), where  $\pi' := \tau_{f, t-1}^{\chi, \pi}(\pi)$ .

It follows from [6, Lemma 6.6] that

$$\dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) < \infty \iff \forall f \in \mathbb{B}(I), \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \tau_{f, t}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) \neq O^{\chi, \tau_{f, t}^{\chi, \pi}(\pi)}.$$

### 3.3 Main theorem for irreducible cases

Let  $c_i := \hat{\delta}(q_{ii}) (= c_{\alpha_i})$  ( $i \in I$ ). Then we have

$$(3.6) \quad \chi(\alpha_i, \lambda)^{c_i-1} \chi(\lambda, \alpha_i)^{c_i-1} = \frac{\hat{\rho}^{\chi, \tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(\pi)}(\lambda)}{\hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\lambda)} \quad (i \in I, \lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi),$$

see [8, Lemma 7.2] for example.

For  $\beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ , let  $R_{+, \beta}^{\chi, \pi} := \{\alpha \in R_+^{\chi, \pi} \mid s_\beta(\alpha) \in -R_+^{\chi, \pi}\}$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ . Then*

$$(3.7) \quad \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, \hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\beta) = q_\beta^m \text{ and } m\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in R_{+, \beta}^{\chi, \pi}} (1 - c_\alpha) \alpha.$$

*Proof.* Let  $i \in I$ . Recall  $\alpha_i = \pi(i)$ . Recall from (2.10) that  $R_+^{\chi, \tau_i^{\chi, \pi}(\pi)} =$



$R_+^{X,\pi} \setminus \{\alpha_i\} \cup \{-\alpha_i\}$ . If  $\beta = \alpha_i$ , we have (3.7) for  $m = 1$  since  $c_i = 0$ . We show (3.7) for  $\tau_i^X(\pi)$  in place of  $\pi$  after assuming (3.7) for  $\pi$  is correct. Recall that for  $\beta \in R_+^{X,\pi}$ , we have  $s_\beta(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i - n\beta$  with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $q_\beta^n = \chi(\beta, \alpha_i)\chi(\alpha_i, \beta)$ .

For  $\beta \in R_+^{X,\tau_i^X(\pi)}$ , we see that

$$R_{+,\beta}^{X,\tau_i^X(\pi)} = \begin{cases} \{-\alpha_i\} & \text{if } \beta = -\alpha_i (= \tau_i^X(\pi)(i)), \\ R_{+,\beta}^{X,\pi} & \text{if } n = 0, \\ R_{+,\beta}^{X,\pi} \cup \{s_\beta(\alpha_i), -\alpha_i\} & \text{if } n \neq 0 \text{ and } s_\beta(\alpha_i) \in R_+^{X,\pi}, \\ R_{+,\beta}^{X,\pi} \setminus \{-s_\beta(\alpha_i), \alpha_i\} & \text{if } n \neq 0, \beta \neq -\alpha_i \text{ and } s_\beta(\alpha_i) \in -R_+^{X,\pi} \end{cases}$$

Then we easily obtain (3.7) from (3.6).  $\square$

Define the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module isomorphism  $\hat{\rho}_{\text{full}}^{X,\pi} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$  by  $(\hat{\rho}_{\text{full}}^{X,\pi})|_{\mathfrak{A}_\pi} := \hat{\rho}^{X,\pi}$  and  $\hat{\rho}_{\text{full}}^{X,\pi}(\mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}}) = \{1\}$ .

Define the subalgebra  $U^{0,\dagger}(\chi, \pi)$  of  $U^0(\chi, \pi)$  by  $U^{0,\dagger}(\chi, \pi) := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathbb{K}K_\lambda L_{-\lambda}$ . Define the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra automorphism  $\hat{\rho}_\dagger : U^{0,\dagger}(\chi, \pi) \rightarrow U^{0,\dagger}(\chi, \pi)$  by  $\hat{\rho}_\dagger(K_\lambda L_{-\lambda}) := \hat{\rho}_{\text{full}}^{X,\pi}(\lambda)K_\lambda L_{-\lambda}$  ( $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$ ). For  $\beta \in R_{+,\text{real}}^{X,\pi}$ , define the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra automorphism  $s_\beta^\dagger : U^{0,\dagger}(\chi, \pi) \rightarrow U^{0,\dagger}(\chi, \pi)$  by  $s_\beta^\dagger(K_\lambda L_{-\lambda}) := K_{s_\beta(\lambda)}L_{-s_\beta(\lambda)}$  ( $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$ ). Let  $\dot{s}_\beta^\dagger := \hat{\rho}_\dagger \circ s_\beta^\dagger \circ \hat{\rho}_\dagger^{-1}$ . Then  $\dot{s}_\beta^\dagger(K_\lambda L_{-\lambda}) = \hat{\rho}^{X,\pi}(\beta)^{-t}K_{s_\beta(\lambda)}L_{-s_\beta(\lambda)}$  for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\chi(\beta, \lambda)\chi(\lambda, \beta) = q_\beta^t$ . Let  $W_{\dagger,\text{real}}^{X,\pi}$  (resp.  $\dot{W}_{\dagger,\text{real}}^{X,\pi}$ ) be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}\text{-algebra}}(U^{0,\dagger}(\chi, \pi))$  generated by  $\{s_\beta^\dagger | \beta \in R_{+,\text{real}}^{X,\pi}\}$  (resp.  $\{\dot{s}_\beta^\dagger | \beta \in R_{+,\text{real}}^{X,\pi}\}$ ). Obviously we have

the group isomorphism  $\xi_\dagger^{X,\pi} : W_{\text{real}}^{X,\pi} \rightarrow W_{\dagger,\text{real}}^{X,\pi}$  (resp.  $\dot{\xi}_\dagger^{X,\pi} : W_{\text{real}}^{X,\pi} \rightarrow \dot{W}_{\dagger,\text{real}}^{X,\pi}$ ) defined by  $\xi_\dagger^{X,\pi}(s_\beta) = s_\beta^\dagger$  (resp.  $\dot{\xi}_\dagger^{X,\pi}(s_\beta) = \dot{s}_\beta^\dagger$ ) for  $\beta \in R_{+,\text{real}}^{X,\pi}$ .

Let  $\omega_0 : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$  be the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra isomorphism defined by  $\omega_0(\mathfrak{A}) = \{1\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}_{\omega_0,\dagger,\text{pre}}^{X,\pi} := \{Z \in U^{0,\dagger}(\chi, \pi) | \forall \beta \in R_{+,\text{real}}^{X,\pi}, \dot{s}_\beta^\dagger(Z) = Z\} (= \{Z \in U^{0,\dagger}(\chi, \pi) | \forall w \in \dot{W}_{\dagger,\text{real}}^{X,\pi}, w(Z) = Z\})$ . By Lemma 3.2, we see that for  $Z \in U^{0,\dagger}(\chi, \pi)$ ,

$$Z \in \mathfrak{B}_{\omega_0,\dagger,\text{pre}}^{X,\pi} \iff \forall \beta \in R_{+,\text{real}}^{X,\pi}, Z \text{ satisfies } (e1)_\beta \text{ and } (e2)_\beta.$$

For  $\beta \in R_{+,\text{null}}^{X,\pi}$ , we let

$$P_{\pm\beta}^{X,\pi} := \sum_{t=0}^{c_\beta-1} (\hat{\rho}^{X,\pi}(\beta)K_\beta L_{-\beta})^{\pm t} \in U^{0,\dagger}(\chi, \pi),$$

and we have  $P_{-\beta}^{X,\pi} = (\hat{\rho}^{X,\pi}(\beta)K_\beta L_{-\beta})^{1-c_\beta} P_\beta^{X,\pi}$ , where if  $q_\beta = 1$ ,  $P_{\pm\beta}^{X,\pi} = 0$  since  $c_\beta = 0$ . Let  $\hat{P}^{X,\pi} := \prod_{\beta \in R_{+,\text{null}}^{X,\pi}} P_\beta^{X,\pi} P_{-\beta}^{X,\pi}$ . We have  $\hat{P}^{X,\pi} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\omega_0,\dagger,\text{pre}}^{X,\pi}$ . Moreover we can easily see the following.

**Lemma 3.6.** *We have*

$$\hat{P}^{\chi, \pi} \cdot \mathfrak{B}_{\omega_0, \dagger, \text{pre}}^{\chi, \pi} \subset U^{0, \dagger}(\chi, \pi) \cap \mathfrak{B}_{\omega_0}^{\chi, \pi}.$$

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{From now on until Remark 3.13,} \\ \text{we assume that } \dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) < \infty \text{ and } \Lambda(\hat{P}^{\chi, \pi}) \neq 0. \end{array}$$

Then we call  $\mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)$  *typical*. Let  $\mathfrak{E}^\Lambda$  be a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with a basis  $\{e_\nu^\Lambda \mid \nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi\}$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{E}^\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi} \mathbb{Z}e_\nu^\Lambda$ . For  $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ , define the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Lambda^{+\nu} : U^0(\chi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  by  $\Lambda^{+\nu}(K_\lambda L_\mu) := \frac{\chi(\lambda, \nu)}{\chi(\nu, \mu)} \Lambda(K_\lambda L_\mu)$  ( $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ).

By Lemma 3.3 (1), we see that for  $\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ ,

$$(3.9) \quad \mu = \nu \Leftrightarrow e_\mu^\Lambda = e_\nu^\Lambda \Leftrightarrow (\Lambda^{+\mu})|_{U^{0, \dagger}(\chi, \pi)} = (\Lambda^{+\nu})|_{U^{0, \dagger}(\chi, \pi)} \Leftrightarrow \Lambda^{+\mu} = \Lambda^{+\nu}.$$

Since  $\dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) < \infty$ , by (3.5) and (3.7), we have

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$  and  $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ . Then there exist  $a_\beta^\Lambda(\nu), b_\beta^\Lambda(\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that*

$$(3.10) \quad \Lambda^{+\nu}(K_\beta L_{-\beta}) = q_\beta^{a_\beta^\Lambda(\nu)} = \frac{q_\beta^{b_\beta^\Lambda(\nu)}}{\hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\beta)}.$$

Let  $\text{Bi}(\mathfrak{A}_\pi)$  be the set of bijections from  $\mathfrak{A}_\pi$  to  $\mathfrak{A}_\pi$ .

Let  $\beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ . Define  $\sigma_\beta^\Lambda \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{E}^\Lambda)$  (resp.  $\dot{\sigma}_\beta^\Lambda \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{E}^\Lambda)$ ) in the way that  $\sigma_\beta^\Lambda(e_\nu^\Lambda) := e_{\nu - a_\beta^\Lambda(\nu)\beta}^\Lambda$  (resp.  $\dot{\sigma}_\beta^\Lambda(e_\nu^\Lambda) := e_{\nu - b_\beta^\Lambda(\nu)\beta}^\Lambda$ ) for  $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ . Let  $r_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that

$$(3.11) \quad q_\beta^{r_\beta} = \hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\beta),$$

see (3.7). Then we see that  $b_\beta^\Lambda(\nu) = a_\beta^\Lambda(\nu) + r_\beta$  and

$$(3.12) \quad \sigma_\beta^\Lambda(e_{\nu+\mu}^\Lambda) = e_{\nu - a_\beta^\Lambda(\nu)\beta + s_\beta(\mu)}^\Lambda \quad \dot{\sigma}_\beta^\Lambda(e_{\nu+\mu}^\Lambda) = e_{\nu - (a_\beta^\Lambda(\nu) + r_\beta)\beta + s_\beta(\mu)}^\Lambda \quad (\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi).$$

Define  $s_\beta^\Lambda \in \text{Bi}(\mathfrak{A}_\pi)$  (resp.  $\dot{s}_\beta^\Lambda \in \text{Bi}(\mathfrak{A}_\pi)$ ) by  $s_\beta^\Lambda(\mu) := s_\beta(\mu) - a_\beta^\Lambda(0)\beta$  (resp.  $\dot{s}_\beta^\Lambda(\mu) := s_\beta(\mu) - (a_\beta^\Lambda(0) + r_\beta)\beta$ ) for  $\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ . We have  $\sigma_\beta^\Lambda(e_\mu^\Lambda) = e_{s_\beta^\Lambda(\mu)}^\Lambda$  and  $\dot{\sigma}_\beta^\Lambda(e_\mu^\Lambda) = e_{\dot{s}_\beta^\Lambda(\mu)}^\Lambda$  for  $\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ .

We have

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{array}{l} \forall \nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi, \forall \beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}, \forall Z \in U^{0, \dagger}(\chi, \pi), \\ \Lambda^{+s_\beta^\Lambda(\nu)}(Z) = \Lambda^{+\nu}(s_\beta^\dagger(Z)), \quad \Lambda^{+\dot{s}_\beta^\Lambda(\nu)}(Z) = \Lambda^{+\nu}(\dot{s}_\beta^\dagger(Z)). \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda}$  (resp.  $\dot{\mathfrak{W}}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda}$ ) be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{E}^\Lambda)$  generated by  $\{\sigma_\beta^\Lambda \mid \beta \in$

$R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$  (resp.  $\{\dot{\sigma}_\beta^\Lambda | \beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}\}$ ). Let  $W_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda}$  (resp.  $\dot{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda}$ ) be the subgroup of  $\text{Bi}(\mathfrak{A}_\pi)$  generated by  $\{s_\beta^\Lambda | \beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}\}$  (resp.  $\{\dot{s}_\beta^\Lambda | \beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}\}$ ). We have the group isomorphism  $\eta_{\Lambda, \nu}^{\chi, \pi} : \mathfrak{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda} \rightarrow W_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda}$  (resp.  $\dot{\eta}_{\Lambda, \nu}^{\chi, \pi} : \dot{\mathfrak{W}}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda} \rightarrow \dot{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda}$ ) with  $\eta_{\Lambda, \nu}^{\chi, \pi}(\sigma_\beta^\Lambda) = s_\beta^\Lambda$  (resp.  $\dot{\eta}_{\Lambda, \nu}^{\chi, \pi}(\dot{\sigma}_\beta^\Lambda) = \dot{s}_\beta^\Lambda$ ) for  $\beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *We have the group isomorphism  $\eta_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi} : W_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi} \rightarrow W_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda}$  (resp.  $\dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi} : W_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi} \rightarrow \dot{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda}$ ) defined by  $\eta_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(s_\beta) = s_\beta^\Lambda$  (resp.  $\dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(s_\beta) = \dot{s}_\beta^\Lambda$ ) for  $\beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the claim for  $\eta_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}$ . We can similarly prove the claim for  $\dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}$ . By (3.9) and (3.13), the group epimorphism  $\eta_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}$  exists, where we use  $\dot{\xi}_\dagger^{\chi, \pi}$ . By Lemma 3.4 (4) and (3.12), we see that for sufficiently large  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the map  $g : W_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\pi$  defined by  $g(w) := \eta_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(w)(k\hat{\delta})$  is injective.  $\square$

We have the group isomorphism  $\xi_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi} := (\eta_{\Lambda, \nu}^{\chi, \pi})^{-1} \circ \eta_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi} : W_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda}$  and  $\dot{\xi}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi} := (\dot{\eta}_{\Lambda, \nu}^{\chi, \pi})^{-1} \circ \dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi} : W_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi} \rightarrow \dot{\mathfrak{W}}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda}$ .

As for the following proposition, compare with [16, Lemma and its proof in Subsection 23.3].

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ . Then it follows that*

$$(3.14) \quad \forall Z \in U^{0, \dagger}(\chi, \pi) \cap \mathfrak{B}_{\omega_0}^{\chi, \pi}, \Lambda^{+\nu}(Z) = \Lambda(Z) \iff \exists \gamma \in \dot{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda}, \gamma(\nu) = 0.$$

*Proof.* The fact in (3.13) implies  $\Leftarrow$ .

We prove  $\Rightarrow$ . Note  $\Lambda = \Lambda^{+0}$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ , let  $X_\lambda := \{\gamma(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi | \gamma \in \dot{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda}\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda := \{K_{\varpi_j} L_{-\varpi_j} - \Lambda^{+\lambda}(K_{\varpi_j} L_{-\varpi_j}) | j \in I\}$ . Assume  $0 \notin X_\nu$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} := (\cup_{\lambda \in X_0 \cup X_\nu} \mathcal{F}_\lambda) \setminus \mathcal{F}_0$ . Let  $Z''_\nu := \hat{P}^{\chi, \pi} \cdot \prod_{h \in \mathcal{F}} h$ . Then  $\Lambda(Z''_\nu) \neq 0$  and  $\Lambda^{+\lambda}(Z''_\nu) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in (X_0 \cup X_\nu) \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $Z'_\nu := \frac{1}{\Lambda(Z''_\nu)} Z''_\nu$ . Let  $Y := \{a(Z'_\nu) | a \in \dot{W}_{\dagger, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}\}$ , and  $Z_\nu := \sum_{\dot{Z} \in Y} \dot{Z}$ . We have  $Z_\nu \in U^{0, \dagger}(\chi, \pi) \cap \mathfrak{B}_{\omega_0}^{\chi, \pi}$  and  $\Lambda^{+\nu}(Z_\nu) = 0$ . Let  $y := |Y|$ . Let  $a_t \in \dot{W}_{\dagger, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$  ( $t \in J_{1, y}$ ) be such that  $Y := \{a_t(Z'_\nu) | t \in J_{1, y}\}$ . We may assume  $a_1 = e$ . Let  $\gamma_t := (\dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi} \circ (\dot{\xi}_\dagger^{\chi, \pi})^{-1})(a_t^{-1})$  ( $t \in J_{1, y}$ ). Then  $\Lambda(Z_\nu) = |\{t \in J_{1, y} | \gamma_t(0) = 0\}| \geq 1$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.10.* Keep the notation in Proof of Proposition 3.9. Let  $\dot{G}_\dagger := \{a \in \dot{W}_{\dagger, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi} | a(Z'_\nu) = Z'_\nu\}$  and  $\dot{G}_\Lambda := \{\gamma \in \dot{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi, \Lambda} | \gamma(0) = 0\}$ . Let  $y' := |\{t \in J_{1, y} | \gamma_t(0) = 0\}|$ , and assume that  $\gamma_{t'}(0) = 0$  ( $t' \in J_{1, y'}$ ) and  $\gamma_{t''}(0) \neq 0$  ( $t'' \in J_{y'+1, y}$ ). Then  $\dot{G}_\Lambda = \cup_{t=1}^{y'} (\dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi} \circ (\dot{\xi}_\dagger^{\chi, \pi})^{-1})(\dot{G}_\dagger a_t^{-1})$ , where the cup of RHS is disjoint union. In particular,  $\Lambda(Z_\nu) = y' = \frac{|\dot{G}_\Lambda|}{|\dot{G}_\dagger|}$ .

Let  $\hat{\mathfrak{E}}^\Lambda$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module formed by all  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphisms from  $\mathfrak{E}^\Lambda$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exists a unique  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module monomorphism  $\iota^\Lambda : \mathfrak{E}^\Lambda \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{E}}^\Lambda$  with  $\iota^\Lambda(e_\nu^\Lambda)(e_\lambda^\Lambda) = \delta_{\nu, \lambda}$ . We shall denote  $\iota^\Lambda(e_\nu^\Lambda)$  by  $e_\nu^\Lambda$  for simplicity. We shall regard  $\mathfrak{E}^\Lambda$  as the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $\hat{\mathfrak{E}}^\Lambda$  in a natural way.

Let

$$[\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)]^\Lambda := \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+} \dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)_{-\nu} e_{-\nu}^\Lambda.$$

**Lemma 3.11.** *We have:*

$$(3.15) \quad \forall \beta \in R_{+,\text{real}}^{\chi,\pi}, \quad \sigma_\beta^\Lambda([\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)]^\Lambda) = [\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)]^\Lambda.$$

*Proof.* Use the notation of Theorem 2.2 (2). Let  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$  be the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra of  $U(\chi, \pi)$  generated by  $K_\beta^{\pm 1}, L_\beta^{\pm 1}, E_\beta, F_\beta$ . Let  $\mathbb{X} := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{K}^\times$ . For  $(n, a) \in \mathbb{X}$ , let  $V_{n,a}$  be an irreducible  $(n+1)$ -dimensional left  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ -module such that there exists  $v_{n,a} \in V_{n,a} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $E_\beta v_{n,a} = 0, K_\beta v_{n,a} = a v_{n,a}$  and  $L_\beta v_{n,a} = a q_\beta^{-n} v_{n,a}$ . Since the actions of  $K_\beta^{\pm 1}$  and  $L_\beta^{\pm 1}$  on  $\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)$  are diagonalizable, the following (\*) and (\*\*) hold. For a  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear subspace  $M$  of  $\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{K}^\times$  (resp.  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ ), let  $M_{x,y} := \{m \in M \mid K_\beta m = xm, L_\beta m = ym\}$  (resp.  $M_\lambda := M \cap \mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)_\lambda$ ). Note  $\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{x,y \in \mathbb{K}^\times} \mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)_{x,y}$ .

(\*) Any  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ -submodule  $M$  of  $\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)$  satisfies  $M = \bigoplus_{x,y \in \mathbb{K}^\times} M_{x,y}$ . Moreover there exists a  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear subspace  $N'$  of  $M$  such that  $M = N' \oplus N$  and  $N' = \bigoplus_{x,y \in \mathbb{K}^\times} N'_{x,y}$ .  
(\*\*) For any nonzero  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ -submodule  $M$  of  $\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)$  and any proper  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ -submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , any non-zero irreducible  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ -submodule of  $M/N$  is isomorphic to  $V_{n,a}$  for some  $(n, a) \in \mathbb{X}$ .

We shall show the following (#).

(#) As a  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ -module,  $\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)$  is a completely reducible module whose irreducible components are isomorphic to  $V_{n,a}$  for some  $(n, a) \in \mathbb{X}$ .

Let  $Z := q_\beta K_\beta - L_\beta + (1 - q_\beta) F_\beta E_\beta$  and  $Y := K_\beta L_\beta$ . Then  $Z$  and  $Y$  are central elements of  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ . Note that  $Z v_{n,a} = (a q_\beta - a q_\beta^{-n}) v_{n,a}$  and  $Y v_{n,a} = a^2 q_\beta^{-n} v_{n,a}$ . For  $(n, a), (r, b) \in \mathbb{X}$ , we see that  $a q_\beta - a q_\beta^{-n} = b q_\beta - b q_\beta^{-r}$  and  $a^2 q_\beta^{-n} = b^2 q_\beta^{-r}$  if and only if  $(n, a) = (r, b)$ . For  $(n, a) \in \mathbb{X}$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)} := \{v \in \mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda) \mid Zv = (a q_\beta - a q_\beta^{-n})v, Yv = a^2 q_\beta^{-n}v\}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b := \{v \in \mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda) \mid Yv = a^2 q_\beta^{-n}v, \exists h \in \mathbb{N}, (Z - (a q_\beta - a q_\beta^{-n}))^h v = 0\}$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)} \subset \mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b \neq \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(n,a)} \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{X}' := \{(n, a) \in \mathbb{X} \mid \mathcal{L}_{(n,a)} \neq \{0\}\}$ . By (\*) and (\*\*), we have  $\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{(n,a) \in \mathbb{X}'} \mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b$ . Note that  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b$  are  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ -submodules of  $\mathcal{L}^{\chi,\pi}(\Lambda)$ , and that  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi} (\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)})_\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi} (\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b)_\lambda$ . Note the following.

(##) For any proper  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ -submodule  $M$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b$ , a non-zero irreducible  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b/M$  is isomorphic to  $V_{n,a}$ .

Let  $(n, a) \in \mathbb{X}'$  and let  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{b,(t)} := \{v \in \mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b \mid K_\beta v = aq_\beta^{-t}v, L_\beta v = aq_\beta^{t-n}v\} = \{v \in \mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b \mid K_\beta v = aq_\beta^{-t}v, K_\beta L_\beta^{-1}v = q_\beta^{n-2t}v\}$  ( $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Let  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{bb} := \bigoplus_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{b,(t)}$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{bb}$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{bb} = \bigoplus_{t=0}^n \mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{b,(t)} \text{ and } \dim \mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{b,(t)} = \dim \mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{b,(0)} \text{ (} t \in J_{1,n}\text{)}.$$

We have  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{b,(t)} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi} (\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{b,(t)})_\lambda$ . Let  $\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$  be such that  $(\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b)_\mu \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $v \in (\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b)_\mu \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  be such that  $E_\beta^t v \neq 0$  ( $t \in J_{0,k}$ ) and  $E_\beta^{k+1}v = 0$ . We see that  $\bigoplus_{r=0}^n \mathbb{K}F_\beta^r E_\beta^k v$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b$  isomorphic to  $V_{n,a}$  with  $E_\beta^k v \in (\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{b,(0)})_{\mu+k\alpha_i} \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence  $v \in (\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{b,(k)})_\mu \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence we have  $\mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^b = \mathcal{L}_{(n,a)}^{bb}$ . Then we see that (3.15) is true (and that (#) is also true).  $\square$

Let  $(\hat{\mathfrak{C}}^\Lambda)' := \{\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+} a_\mu e_{\nu-\mu}^\Lambda \in \hat{\mathfrak{C}}^\Lambda \mid \nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi, a_\mu \in \mathbb{Z} (\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi)\}$ . Let  $\check{\mathfrak{C}}^\Lambda := \{\sum_{t=1}^k c_t \in \hat{\mathfrak{C}}^\Lambda \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, c_t \in (\hat{\mathfrak{C}}^\Lambda)' (t \in J_{1,k})\}$ . In fact,  $\check{\mathfrak{C}}^\Lambda = (\hat{\mathfrak{C}}^\Lambda)'$ .

For  $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ , let  $[[\nu]]^\Lambda := \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+} (\dim U^-(\chi, \pi)_{-\mu}) e_{\nu-\mu}^\Lambda \in \check{\mathfrak{C}}^\Lambda$ , i.e.,

$$[[\nu]]^\Lambda (e_{\nu+\lambda}^\Lambda) = \dim \mathcal{M}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda + \nu)_\lambda \quad (\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi).$$

Regard  $\mathfrak{C}^{\omega_0} (= \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi} \mathbb{Z} e_\lambda^{\omega_0})$  as the unital associative commutative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra defined by  $e_\lambda^{\omega_0} e_\mu^{\omega_0} := e_{\lambda+\mu}^{\omega_0}$ , where the unit is  $e_0^{\omega_0}$ . Regard  $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}^\Lambda$  as the left  $\mathfrak{C}^{\omega_0}$ -module defined by  $e_\lambda^{\omega_0} \cdot e_\mu^\Lambda := e_{\lambda+\mu}^\Lambda$ . By a standard argument, we have

$$(3.16) \quad \left( \prod_{\beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}} (e_0^{\omega_0} - e_{-\beta}^{\omega_0}) \right) \cdot [[\nu]]^\Lambda = \left( \prod_{\alpha \in R_{+, \text{null}}^{\chi, \pi}} (e_0^{\omega_0} + e_{-\alpha}^{\omega_0} + \cdots + e_{-(c_\alpha - 1)\alpha}^{\omega_0}) \right) \cdot e_\nu^\Lambda$$

For  $w \in W_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ , let  $[[w]]_\Lambda := [[\dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(w)(0)]]^\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** (Recall the assumptions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.8).) Let  $W := W_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ . Then we have

$$[\mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)]^\Lambda = \sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}^{\chi, \pi}(w) [[w]]_\Lambda,$$

where  $[[w]]_\Lambda \neq [[w']]_\Lambda$  ( $w \neq w'$ ). In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)_\lambda &= \sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}^{\chi, \pi}(w) \dim \mathcal{M}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda + \dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(w)(0))_{\lambda - \dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(w)(0)} \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}^{\chi, \pi}(w) \dim U^-(\chi, \pi)_{\lambda - \dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(w)(0)} \end{aligned}$$

( $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ ), and  $-\dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(w)(0) \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+ \setminus \{0\}$  ( $w \neq e$ ).

*Proof.* For  $w \in W$ , let  $p_w := |\{w' \in W \mid [[w']]_\Lambda = [[w]]_\Lambda\}|$ . Then  $p_w = p_e$  for all  $w \in W$ . By (3.14), using a standard argument such as that for [16, Proposition and Corollary in Subsection 24.2], we see that there exist  $b_w \in \frac{1}{p_e} \mathbb{Z}$  ( $w \in W$ ) satisfying the following (b1)-(b3).

- (b1)  $b_w = b_{w'}$  for  $w, w' \in W$  with  $\llbracket w' \rrbracket_\Lambda = \llbracket w \rrbracket_\Lambda$ .
- (b2)  $[\mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)]^\Lambda = \sum_{w \in W} b_w \llbracket w \rrbracket_\Lambda$ .
- (b3) For  $w \in W$ ,  $b_w = 0$  if  $-\dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(w)(0) \notin \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+$ .

(As for (b1), keep in mind the set  $W/H$  of right class classes, where  $H := \{w' \in W \mid \llbracket w' \rrbracket_\Lambda = \llbracket w \rrbracket_\Lambda\}$  for a fixed  $w \in W$ .) By (3.16), we have

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\prod_{\beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}} (e_0^{\omega_0} - e_{-\beta}^{\omega_0})) \cdot [\mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)]^\Lambda \\ &= (\prod_{\alpha \in R_{+, \text{null}}^{\chi, \pi}} (e_0^{\omega_0} + e_{-\alpha}^{\omega_0} + \cdots + e_{-(c_\alpha - 1)\alpha}^{\omega_0})) \cdot \sum_{w \in W} b_w e_{\dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(w)(0)}^\Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.7), (3.15) and (3.17), we see that  $b_{s_\beta w} = -b_w$  and  $\dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(s_\beta w)(0) \neq \dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(w)(0)$  for  $\beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$  and  $w \in W$  with  $b_w \neq 0$ . Hence (3.17) implies that  $b_e = \frac{1}{p_e}$  and

$$(3.18) \quad \forall w \in W, \quad b_w = \frac{\text{sgn}^{\chi, \pi}(w)}{p_e} \quad (\neq 0).$$

For  $\beta \in R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ , we have  $k_\beta \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\dot{\xi}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(s_\beta)(e_0^\Lambda) = e_{-k_\beta \beta}^\Lambda$ , i.e.,  $-k_\beta \beta = \dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(s_\beta)(0)$ . Hence by Lemma 3.4 (3) and (3.12), we see that  $-\dot{\eta}_\Lambda^{\chi, \pi}(w)(0) \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+ \setminus \{0\}$  for all  $w \in W \setminus \{e\}$ . Hence  $p_e = 1$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.13.* Assume that  $q_\beta = 1$  for some  $\beta \in R_+^{\chi, \pi}$ . Recall that  $(\chi, \pi)$  is irreducible (see (3.1)). Then  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}0)$ , see (2.7). We have  $\hat{P}^{\chi, \pi} = 0$ . We see that the following (a), (b), (c) are equivalent.

- (a)  $\dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) < \infty$ . (b)  $\dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) = 1$ . (c)  $\Lambda(K_{\alpha_1} L_{-\alpha_1}) = 1$ .

### 3.4 General case

Assume  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ . Let  $\chi$  be as in (2.2). Assume  $|R_+^{\chi, \pi}| < \infty$ . In this subsection, we do not impose the assumption (3.1) or the one (3.2). Nevertheless the notations in this subsection can be defined in the same way as above. Recall (2.6). Let  $U^0(\chi, \pi)^{\text{ex}} := \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}_{\text{ex}}} \mathbb{K} K_\lambda L_\mu$ . Let  $\chi' := \chi|_{\mathfrak{A}_\pi \times \mathfrak{A}_\pi}$ . Then we have the  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear isomorphism  $f_1 : U(\chi', \pi) \otimes U^0(\chi, \pi)^{\text{ex}} \rightarrow U(\chi, \pi)$  defined by  $f_1(X \otimes Y) := XY$ . In particular,  $R_+^{\chi', \pi} = R_+^{\chi, \pi}$ . Let  $\Lambda : U^0(\chi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra homomorphism. Let  $\Lambda' := \Lambda|_{U(\chi', \pi)}$ . Then we have the  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear isomorphism  $f_2 : \mathcal{L}^{\chi', \pi}(\Lambda') \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)$  with  $f_2(Xv_{\Lambda'}) = Xv_\Lambda$  ( $X \in U(\chi', \pi)$ ). In particular,

$$(3.19) \quad \dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi', \pi}(\Lambda')_\lambda = \dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)_\lambda \quad (\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi).$$

Assume that there exists a non-empty proper subset of  $I_1$  of  $I$  such that  $q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1$  ( $i \in I_1, j \in I \setminus I_1$ ). Let  $I_2 := I \setminus I_1$ . For  $t \in J_{1,2}$ , let  $\mathfrak{A}_t := \bigoplus_{i \in I_t} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ ,  $\pi_t := \pi|_{I_t}$  and

$\chi_t := \chi|_{\mathfrak{A}_t \times \mathfrak{A}_t}$  and  $\Lambda_t := \Lambda|_{U(\chi_t, \pi_t)}$ . Then we have the  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} f_3 &: U(\chi_1, \pi_1) \otimes U(\chi_2, \pi_2) \otimes U^0(\chi, \pi)^{\text{ex}} \rightarrow U(\chi, \pi) \\ & (f_3(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes Y) := X_1 X_2 Y), \\ f_4 &: \mathcal{L}^{\chi_1, \pi_1}(\Lambda_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\chi_2, \pi_2}(\Lambda_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) \quad (f_4(X_1 v_{\Lambda_1} \otimes X_2 v_{\Lambda_2}) := X_1 X_2 v_{\Lambda}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_t \in U(\chi_t, \pi_t)$  ( $t \in J_{1,2}$ ) and  $Y \in U^0(\chi, \pi)^{\text{ex}}$ . In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_+^{\chi, \pi} &= R_+^{\chi_1, \pi_1} \cup R_+^{\chi_2, \pi_2}, \quad R_+^{\chi_1, \pi_1} \cap R_+^{\chi_2, \pi_2} = \emptyset, \\ \dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} &= \dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi_1, \pi_1}(\Lambda_1)_{\lambda_1} \cdot \dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi_2, \pi_2}(\Lambda_2)_{\lambda_2} \quad (\lambda_t \in \mathfrak{A}_t \ (t \in J_{1,2})). \end{aligned}$$

By [18, Theorem 7.3], we see that

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{if } q_\beta \neq 1 \text{ and } \Lambda(\hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\beta) q_\beta^{-t} K_\beta L_\beta^{-1} - 1) \neq 0 \\ & \text{for all } \beta \in R_+^{\chi, \pi} \text{ and all } t \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } q_\beta^t \neq 1, \\ & \text{then } \dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)_\lambda = \dim \mathcal{M}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)_\lambda = \dim U^+(\chi, \pi)_\lambda \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\beta \in R_{+, \text{null}}^{\chi, \pi}$ , we have  $P_{\pm\beta}^{\chi, \pi} = \prod_{t=1}^{c_\beta-1} ((\hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\beta) K_\beta L_{-\beta})^{\pm 1} - q_\beta^t)$ .

For  $\beta \in R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ , we have the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module automorphism  $\bar{s}_\beta : \mathfrak{A}_\pi \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\pi$  defined in the way that  $\bar{s}_\beta(\lambda) := \lambda - k\beta$  for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$  with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $\chi(\beta, \lambda)\chi(\lambda, \beta) = q_\beta^k$ . Let  $\bar{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{A}_\pi)$  generated by  $\{\bar{s}_\beta | \beta \in R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}\}$ . By Lemma 3.4 (5), we have the group homomorphism  $\overline{\text{sgn}}^{\chi, \pi} : \bar{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that  $\overline{\text{sgn}}^{\chi, \pi}(\bar{s}_\beta) = -1$  ( $\beta \in R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ ). For  $\beta \in R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ , define  $r_\beta (\in \mathbb{Z})$  by  $\hat{\rho}^{\chi, \pi}(\beta) = q_\beta^{r_\beta}$  (see also (3.11)), and, if  $\dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) < \infty$ , let  $n_\beta^\Lambda (\in \mathbb{Z})$  be such that  $\Lambda(K_\beta L_{-\beta}) = q_\beta^{n_\beta^\Lambda}$ .

Using the above argument, by Theorem 3.12, we see that

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $\chi$  and  $\Lambda$  be of this subsection. Assume that  $\dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) < \infty$  and  $\Lambda(\hat{P}^{\chi, \pi}) \neq 0$ . Then we have the group action of  $\bar{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_\pi$  defined by  $\bar{s}_\beta \cdot \lambda := \bar{s}_\beta(\lambda) - (r_\beta + n_\beta^\Lambda)\beta$  ( $\beta \in R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi$ ). Moreover we have*

$$\dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda)_\lambda = \sum_{w \in \bar{W}_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \pi}} \overline{\text{sgn}}^{\chi, \pi}(w) \dim U^-(\chi, \pi)_{\lambda - w \cdot 0} \quad (\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi),$$

where  $-w \cdot 0 \in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+ \setminus \{0\}$  for  $w \neq e$ .

## 4 Appendix—Classification of $\Lambda$ with $\dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) < \infty$ for $\pi = \bar{\pi}$

Keep the notation as in Subsection 3.1. In particular,  $m$  and  $n$  ( $\in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) mean those of  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ .

Let  $\bar{\alpha}_0 (\in \mathfrak{A}_\pi^+)$  be 0 (resp.  $\sum_{i=n}^\theta \bar{\alpha}_i$ , resp.  $\bar{\alpha}_{\theta-1} + \bar{\alpha}_\theta + 2 \sum_{i=n}^{\theta-2} \bar{\alpha}_i$ , resp.  $2\bar{\alpha}_1 +$

$3\bar{\alpha}_2 + 2\bar{\alpha}_3 + \bar{\alpha}_4$ , resp.  $\bar{\alpha}_1 + 2\bar{\alpha}_2 + \bar{\alpha}_3$ , resp.  $\bar{\alpha}_1 + 3\bar{\alpha}_2 + 2\bar{\alpha}_3 + \bar{\alpha}_4$ , resp.  $\bar{\alpha}_1 + 2\bar{\alpha}_2$ ) if  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}0)$ ,  $(\bar{\pi}1)$ ,  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(i),  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(iii) or  $(\bar{\pi}3)$ -(ii) (resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(ii), resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(iv), resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(v) resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(vi),  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(vii) or  $(\bar{\pi}3)$ -(i), resp.  $(\bar{\pi}4)$ , resp.  $(\bar{\pi}5)$ ). Let  $\bar{\Pi}_0 \subset \mathfrak{A}_{\bar{\pi}}^{\pm}$  be  $\emptyset$  (resp.  $\{\bar{\alpha}_i | i \in I\}$ , resp.  $\{\bar{\alpha}_i | i \in I \setminus \{m+1\}\}$ , resp.  $\{\bar{\alpha}_0\} \cup \{\bar{\alpha}_i | i \in I \setminus \{n\}\}$ , resp.  $\{\bar{\alpha}_i | i \in I \setminus \{1\}\}$ , resp.  $\{\bar{\alpha}_0, \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\alpha}_3, \bar{\alpha}_4\}$ , resp.  $\{\bar{\alpha}_0, \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\alpha}_3\}$ , resp.  $\{\bar{\alpha}_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_3\}$ , resp.  $\{\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_3\}$ , resp.  $\{\bar{\alpha}_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\alpha}_4\}$ , resp.  $\{\bar{\alpha}_0, \bar{\alpha}_1\}$ ) if  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}0)$  (resp.  $(\bar{\pi}1)$ , resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(i), resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(ii) or  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(vi), resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(iii), resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(v), resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(vi), resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(vii) or  $(\bar{\pi}3)$ -(i), resp.  $(\bar{\pi}3)$ -(ii), resp.  $(\bar{\pi}4)$ , resp.  $(\bar{\pi}5)$ ). We see that

if  $\bar{\pi}$  is not of  $(\bar{\pi}0)$ , then  $\bar{\Pi}_0$  is the base of  
the root system  $R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \bar{\pi}}$  with  $R_{+, \text{real}}^{\chi, \bar{\pi}} = R_{\text{real}}^{\chi, \bar{\pi}} \cap \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \bar{\Pi}_0$ .

If  $\bar{\alpha}_0 = 0$ , let  $c_{\bar{\pi}} := 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . If  $\bar{\alpha}_0 \neq 0$ , then let  $c_{\bar{\pi}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  be  $2m$  (resp.  $m$ , resp.  $4$ , resp.  $6$ , resp.  $2$ , resp.  $3$ ) if  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(ii) (resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(iv), resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(v), resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(vi) resp.  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(vii),  $(\bar{\pi}3)$ -(i) or  $(\bar{\pi}5)$ , resp.  $(\bar{\pi}4)$ ).

**Theorem 4.1.** ([6]) *Assume  $\bar{\pi} = \pi$ . Let  $\Lambda : U^0(\chi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra homomorphism. Let  $\lambda_{\beta} := \Lambda(K_{\beta} L_{\beta}^{-1})$  ( $\beta \in \bar{\Pi}_0 \cup \{\bar{\alpha}_0\}$ ).*

(1) *If  $\dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) < \infty$ , then*

$$(4.1) \quad \forall \beta \in \bar{\Pi}_0 \cup \{\bar{\alpha}_0\}, \exists t_{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \lambda_{\beta} = q_{\beta}^{t_{\beta}}.$$

(2) *Assume that  $\Lambda$  satisfies the condition in (4.1). Assume  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} = 0$  if  $\bar{\alpha}_0 = 0$ . Then*

$$\dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) < \infty \text{ if } t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} \geq c_{\bar{\pi}}.$$

*Moreover, if  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} < c_{\bar{\pi}}$ , then  $\dim \mathcal{L}^{\chi, \pi}(\Lambda) < \infty$  if and only if one of the following (C1)-(C11) holds.*

(C1)  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(ii).  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} \in J_{0, 2m-2} \cap 2\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_i} = 1$  for all  $i \in J_{n + \frac{t_{\bar{\alpha}_0}}{2} + 1, \theta}$ .

(C2)  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(iv).  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} \in J_{0, m-2}$ .  $\prod_{i=n}^{n+t_{\bar{\alpha}_0}} \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_i} = q^{-2t_{\bar{\alpha}_0}}$ .  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_j} = 1$  for all  $j \in J_{n+t_{\bar{\alpha}_0}+1, \theta}$ .

(C3)  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(iv).  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} = m - 1$ .  $\prod_{i=n}^{\theta-1} \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_i} = q^{-2(m-1)}$ .

(C4)  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} = 0$ .  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_i} = 1$  for all  $i \in I$ .

(C5)  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(v).  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} = 2$ .  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_2} = \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_4} = 1$ .  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_1} \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_3} = q^{-6}$ .

(C6)  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(v).  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} = 3$ .  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_1} \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_3} \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_4}^2 = q^{-12}$ .  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_2} = q^2 \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_3}$ .

(C7)  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(vi).  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} = 4$ .  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_2} = 1$ .

(C8)  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(vii).  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} = 1$ .  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_2} = 1$  or  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_1} \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_2} = q^2$ .

(C9)  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}3)$ -(i).  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} = 1$ .  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_2} = 1$  or  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_1} \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_2} = (xy)^{-1}$ .

(C10)  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}4)$ .  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} = 1$ .  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_3} = \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_4} = 1$ .

(C11)  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}4)$ .  $t_{\bar{\alpha}_0} = 2$ .  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_3} = 1$  or  $\lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_1} \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_3} \lambda_{\bar{\alpha}_4} = 1$ .



*Remark 4.2.* We make a comment on the N. Geer's result [27]. Keep the notation of Introduction. Recall  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\Omega \in \text{Gr}_\Pi$  from Introduction. Assume that  $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$  and  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$  ( $i, j \in I$ ). Then  $q \in \mathbb{C}_\infty^\times$ . If  $q$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and the condition is satisfied that

$$(4.2) \quad \forall i \in I, \exists a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ s.t. } \Omega(\alpha_i) = q^{a_i},$$

then [27, Theorem 1.2] tells that the dimensions  $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega)_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Pi$ ) are the same as those for the irreducible highest weight module of  $\mathfrak{g}$  having the highest weight vector  $v$  with  $h_i v = a_i v$  ( $i \in I$ ). However, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that there are  $\Omega$  with  $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega) < \infty$  such that  $\Omega$  do not satisfy the condition (4.2) (i.e., there cannot exist an irreducible highest weight module of  $\mathfrak{g}$  obtained from such  $\Omega$  by taking  $q \rightarrow 1$ ). For example, we have the following (1)-(3). Let  $\bar{\pi}$  be the one of Theorem 4.1 corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Assume that  $\bar{\pi}$  is of  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(t) and  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$  are  $x_{ij}$  of  $(\bar{\pi}2)$ -(t), where (t) is one of (i),  $\dots$ , (vii). Let  $\Omega_i := \Omega(2\alpha_i)$  ( $i \in I$ ). Let  $g_\Omega := \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+} (1 - (-1)^{p(\gamma)} \hat{\rho}_\Pi(\gamma) \Omega(2\gamma))$ , where recall (1.1). Identify  $\alpha_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) of Introduction with  $\bar{\alpha}_i = \bar{\pi}(i)$  of Subsection 3.1. Recall the Shapovalov determinant formula [18, Theorem 7.3] and see also [8, (7.14) and Theorem 7.5]. (1) Assume that (t) is (ii). Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to  $B(m, n) = \text{osp}(2m+1|2n)$  ( $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), and we have  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+ = \{\epsilon_{i_1} (i_1 \in J_{1, m+n}), \epsilon_{i_2} \pm \epsilon_{j_2} (\{i_2, j_2\} \subset J_{1, n}, i_2 < j_2, \text{ or } \{i_2, j_2\} \subset J_{n+1, m+n}, i_2 < j_2)\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+ = \{\epsilon_{i_3} \pm \epsilon_{j_3} | i_3 \in J_{1, n}, i_3 \in J_{n+1, m+n}\}$ , where  $\epsilon_i := \sum_{k=i}^{m+n} \alpha_k$  ( $i \in I$ ). In particular,  $\{\hat{\rho}_\Pi(\beta) | \beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+\} \subset \{-q^{2b} | b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . If there exist  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  ( $i \in I$ ) with  $\Omega_y = q^{-2d_y}$  ( $y \in J_{1, n-1}$ ),  $\Omega_z = q^{2d_z}$  ( $z \in J_{n+1, m+n-1}$ ),  $\Omega_{m+n} = q^{d_{m+n}}$  and  $\prod_{j=n}^{m+n} \Omega_j = -q^{-(2(m+d_n)+1)}$ , then  $\Omega$  does not satisfy the condition (4.2) but Theorem 4.1 (2) tells  $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega) < \infty$  and  $g_\Omega \neq 0$ , which implies that the equation (1.2) holds.

(2) Assume that (t) is (vii). Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to  $D(2, 1; a)$  with  $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, -1\}$ , where  $D(2, 1; 1)$  is isomorphic to  $D(2, 1)$ . Let  $\beta_1 := \alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_2 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ ,  $\beta_3 := \alpha_3$  and  $\beta_4 := \alpha_2$ ,  $\beta_5 := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ ,  $\beta_6 := \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ ,  $\beta_7 := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+ = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+ = \{\beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6, \beta_7\}$ . Note  $-2(\alpha_2, \alpha_i) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$  ( $i \in I$ ) (recall from [26, Subsection 8.3 and Corollary 8.5.4] that the  $\rho$  of a basic Lie superalgebra is  $\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+} \beta - \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+} \gamma)$ ). Then  $g_\Omega = \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+} (1 + \hat{\rho}_\Pi(\gamma) \Omega(2\gamma)) = \prod_{j=4}^7 (1 - q^{-2(\alpha_2, \beta_j)} \Omega(2\beta_j)) = (1 - \Omega_2)(1 - q^{-2} \Omega_1 \Omega_2)(1 - q^{-2a} \Omega_2 \Omega_3)(1 - q^{-2(1+a)} \Omega_1 \Omega_2 \Omega_3)$ . Assume that  $\Omega_1 = q^{-2d_1}$ ,  $\Omega_3 = q^{-2ad_3}$  and  $\Omega_1 \Omega_2^2 \Omega_3 = q^{2(1+a)(2+d_2)}$  for some  $d_t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  ( $t \in J_{1,3}$ ). Then  $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega) < \infty$  by Theorem 4.1 (2). We have  $\Omega_2 = (-1)^u q^{d_1 + ad_3 + (1+a)(2+d_2)}$  for some  $u \in J_{0,1}$ . Then  $g_\Omega = 0$  if and only if  $u = 0$  and  $0 \in \{d_1 + ad_3 + (1+a)(2+d_2), -2 - d_1 + ad_3 + (1+a)(2+d_2), -2a + d_1 - ad_3 + (1+a)(2+d_2), -d_1 - ad_3 + (1+a)d_2\}$ . Then [18, Theorem 7.3] tells that for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Pi$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega)_\lambda < \dim \mathcal{M}(\Omega)_\lambda$  if and only if there exists  $((b_1, b_2, b_3), (b_4, b_5, b_6, b_7)) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^3 \times (J_{0,1})^4$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^7 b_k \beta_k = \lambda$  and  $\prod_{k=1}^7 \prod_{t_k=1}^{b_k} (q^{t_k(\beta_k, \beta_k)} - q^{-2(\alpha_2, \beta_k)} \Omega(2\beta_k)) = 0$ . Note that the LHS of the last

equation equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\prod_{t_1=1}^{b_1} (q^{-2t_1} - q^{-2(1+d_1)})) (\prod_{t_2=1}^{b_2} (q^{2(1+a)t_2} - q^{2(1+a)(1+d_2)})) \\
& \cdot (\prod_{t_3=1}^{b_3} (q^{-2at_3} - q^{-2a(1+d_3)})) (\prod_{t_4=1}^{b_4} (1 - (-1)^u q^{d_1+ad_3+(1+a)(2+d_2)})) \\
& \cdot (\prod_{t_5=1}^{b_5} (1 - (-1)^u q^{-(2+d_1)+ad_3+(1+a)(2+d_2)})) \\
& \cdot (\prod_{t_6=1}^{b_6} (1 - (-1)^u q^{d_1-a(2+d_3)+(1+a)(2+d_2)})) (\prod_{t_7=1}^{b_7} (1 - (-1)^u q^{-d_1-ad_3+(1+a)d_2})).
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = k$  and  $\lambda = k(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$ . If  $u = 0$  (resp.  $u = 1$ ), then  $g_\Omega = 0$  and  $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega)_\lambda < \dim \mathcal{M}(\Omega)_\lambda$  (resp.  $g_\Omega \neq 0$  and  $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega)_\lambda = \dim \mathcal{M}(\Omega)_\lambda$ ).

(3) Assume that (t) is (iv). Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to  $D(m, n) = osp(2m|2n)$  ( $m \in J_{2,\infty}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), and we have  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{real}}^+ = \{2\epsilon_{i_1} (i_1 \in J_{1,n}), \epsilon_{i_2} \pm \epsilon_{j_2} (\{i_2, j_2\} \subset J_{1,n}, i_2 < j_2, \text{ or } \{i_2, j_2\} \subset J_{n+1, m+n}, i_2 < j_2)\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+ = \{\epsilon_{i_3} \pm \epsilon_{j_3} | i_3 \in J_{1,n}, i_3 \in J_{n+1, m+n}\}$ , where  $\epsilon_i := \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_{m+n} - \alpha_{m+n-1}) + \sum_{k=i}^{m+n-1} \alpha_k$  ( $i \in I$ ). In particular,  $\{\hat{\rho}_\Pi(\beta) | \beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{null}}^+\} \subset \{-q^{2b} | b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Let  $\Omega$  be such that there exist  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  ( $i \in I$ ) and  $u \in J_{0,1}$  with  $\Omega_y = q^{-2d_y}$  ( $y \in J_{1, n-1}$ ),  $\Omega_z = q^{2d_z}$  ( $z \in J_{n+1, m+n}$ ), and  $\prod_{j=n}^{m+n-1} \Omega_j = \Omega_{m+n} \cdot \prod_{j=n}^{m+n-2} \Omega_j = (-1)^u q^{-2(m+d_n)}$ . Then  $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega) < \infty$  by Theorem 4.1 (2). If  $u = 0$  (resp.  $u = 1$ ),  $\Omega$  satisfies (resp. does not satisfy) the condition (4.2). If  $u = 1$ , then  $g_\Omega \neq 0$ , which implies that the equation (1.2) holds. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $d_j = k$  for all  $j \in J_{n, m+n}$ . Then  $\Omega_n = (-1)^u q^{-2m(k+1)}$ . Let  $\lambda := k \cdot \sum_{j=n}^{m+n} \alpha_j (\in \mathbb{Z}\Pi)$ . By [18, Theorem 7.3], we see that if  $u = 0$  (resp.  $u = 1$ ), then  $g_\Omega = 0$  and  $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega)_\lambda < \dim \mathcal{M}(\Omega)_\lambda$  (resp.  $g_\Omega \neq 0$  and  $\dim \mathcal{L}(\Omega)_\lambda = \dim \mathcal{M}(\Omega)_\lambda$ ).

## References

- [1] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Angiono, and H. Yamane, On pointed Hopf superalgebras, *Contemp. Math.*, 544, (2011), 123-140.
- [2] N. Andruskiewitsch, D. Radford and H.-J. Schneider, Complete reducibility theorems for modules over pointed Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra* 324 (2010), no. 11, 2932-2970.
- [3] I. Angiono, A presentation by generators and relations of Nichols algebras of diagonal type and convex orders on root systems. *J. Europ. Math. Soc.* 17 (2015), 2643-2671.
- [4] I. Angiono and H. Yamane, The R-matrix of quantum doubles of Nichols algebras of diagonal type, *J. Math. Phys.* 56, (2015) 021702.
- [5] ———, Bruhat order and nil-Hecke algebras for Weyl groupoids, *J. Algebra Appl.* 17 (2018), no. 9, 1850166,

- [6] S. Azam, H. Yamane and M. Yousofzadeh, Classification of Finite Dimensional Irreducible Representations of Generalized Quantum Groups via Weyl Groupoids, preprint, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 51 (2015), no. 1, 59-130.
- [7] P. Batra and H. Yamane, Skew centers of rank-one generalized quantum groups, Toyama Mathematical Journal, Volume 37 (2015), 189-202.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, Centers of generalized quantum groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 222 (2018), no. 5, 1203-1241.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, Natural Elements of Center of Generalized Quantum Groups, preprint, arXiv:1901.05234, to appear in Contemp. Math.
- [10] M. Cuntz and I. Heckenberger, Finite Weyl groupoids, J. Reine Angew. Math. 702 (2015), 77-108.
- [11] V.G. Drinfel'd, Quantum groups, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), 798-820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [12] I. Heckenberger, The Weyl groupoid of a Nichols algebra of diagonal type, Invent. Math. 164 (2006) no, 1, 175-188.
- [13] \_\_\_\_\_, Nichols algebras, ECNU Shanghai, July 2008 <http://www.mathematik.uni-marburg.de/~heckenberger/na.pdf>
- [14] \_\_\_\_\_, Classification of arithmetic root systems, Adv. Math. **220** (2009), no. 1, 59–124.
- [15] \_\_\_\_\_, Lusztig isomorphisms for Drinfel'd doubles of bosonizations of Nichols algebras of diagonal type, J.Algebra, 323 (2010), 2130-2182.
- [16] J.E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and Representation Theory, Third printing, revised. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 9. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978.
- [17] I. Heckenberger and H. Yamane, A generalization of Coxeter groups, root systems, and Matsumoto's theorem. Math. Z. 259 (2008), 255-276.
- [18] \_\_\_\_\_, Drinfel'd doubles and Shapovalov determinants, Revista de la Union Matematica Argentina vol. 51-2 (2010), 107-146.
- [19] N. Jing, K. Misra, H. Yamane, Kostant-Lusztig  $\mathbb{A}$ -bases of multiparameter quantum groups, Representations of Lie algebras, quantum groups and related topics, 149-164, Contemp. Math., 713, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.

- [20] V. Kac, Lie superalgebras, *Advanced in Math.* 26 (1977), no. 1, 8-96.
- [21] ———, Representation of classical Lie superalgebras, *Differential geometrical methods in mathematical physics, II (Proc. Conf., Univ. Bonn, 1977)*, pp. 597-626, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [22] ———, Characters of typical representations of classical Lie superalgebras. *Comm. Algebra* 5 (1977), no. 8, 889-897.
- [23] V. Kharchenko, A quantum analogue of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, *Algebra and Logic* 38 (1999), no. 4, 259-276.
- [24] ———, Quantum Lie theory. A multilinear approach. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 2150. Springer, Cham, 2015. xiii+302 pp. ISBN: 978-3-319-22703-0; 978-3-319-22704-7
- [25] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [26] I. Musson, Lie superalgebras and enveloping algebras. *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, 131. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [27] N. Geer, Some remarks on quantized Lie superalgebras of classical type, *J. Algebra* 314 (2007), 565-580.
- [28] H. Yamane, Quantized enveloping algebras associated with simple Lie superalgebras and their universal R-matrices. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 30 (1994), no. 1, 15-87.
- [29] ———, On defining relations of affine Lie superalgebras and affine quantized universal enveloping superalgebras. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 35 (1999), no. 3, 321-390.
- [30] ———, Errata to: “On defining relations of affine Lie superalgebras and affine quantized universal enveloping superalgebras”, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 37 (2001), no. 4, 615-619.

Hiroyuki Yamane  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Science  
 Toyama University  
 Gofuku, Toyama 930-8555, JAPAN  
 e-mail: hiroyuki@sci.u-toyama.ac.jp