

ELEMENTARY METHODS FOR PERSISTENT HOMOTOPY GROUPS

HENRY ADAMS, MEHMET ALİ BATAN, MEHMETÇİK PAMUK, HANİFE VARLI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the basic properties of persistent homotopy groups. We show that the persistent fundamental group benefits from the Van Kampen theorem, and the interleaving distance between total spaces is less than or equal to the maximum of the interleaving distances between subspaces. We also prove excision and Hurewicz theorems for persistent homotopy groups. As an application, we analyze the sublevelset persistent homotopy groups of the energy landscape of alkane molecules.

1. INTRODUCTION

Topological data analysis is a recently emerging and fast-growing field for analyzing complex data using geometry and topology. Persistent homology is a powerful tool in topological data analysis for investigating data structure. Persistent homology studies topological features of a space that persist for some range of parameter values.

Let X be a topological space. By a filtration of X , we mean a family $\{X_k\}$ of increasing subsets of X with respect to inclusions, i.e., $X_k \subset X_l$ for $k < l \in \mathbb{R}$. Persistent homology is based on analyzing the homological changes occurring along the filtration. It captures the topology of a filtration in terms of a multiset of intervals, called barcodes, corresponding to lifespans of topological features. This is done by considering the homomorphisms $H_*(i_{k,l}): H_*(X_k) \rightarrow H_*(X_l)$ induced by the inclusion maps $i_{k,l}: X_k \hookrightarrow X_l$. Persistent homology has been developed as an algebraic method to study topological features of filtered spaces built from data, such as components, graph structures, holes, and voids. This theory has many applications and has become a central tool in topological data analysis [11, 19].

Although many notions from algebraic topology have been introduced into the setting of persistent homology, some of the most basic notions from homotopy theory remain largely absent from the literature, with a few notable exceptions. In their pioneering work, Frosini and Mulazzani [16] introduced and studied size homotopy groups as an algebraic tool that allows one to obtain more efficient lower bounds for natural size distances. In [25], instead of using homology, Letscher applied the homotopy functor to filtered spaces and defined persistent homotopy groups (see Section 2 for further details). Concurrent with our paper, Mémoli and Zhou have studied persistent homotopy groups of metric spaces and their stability properties [30].

Date: March 25, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 55P65, 55Q05; Secondary: 55N31, 57Q05.

Key words and phrases. persistent fundamental group, Van Kampen theorem, interleaving, excision theorem, Hurewicz theorem.

Although homotopy groups are more challenging to work with than homology groups, they can also capture more information. For example, for knots, one can work with the fundamental group of the complement [20], whereas the homology groups of the complement give no further information. Letscher [25] applied persistent homotopy to detect if a complex is knotted and if that knotting can be unknotted in a larger complex. He also applied these techniques to analyse protein, RNA, and DNA structures. Moreover, in [7], persistent fundamental group calculations are carried out for knots arising from experimental data on protein backbones.

Suppose M denotes an unknown metric space, and we are given a partial sampling X from M . How can we recover topological properties of M ? Questions of this kind frequently arise in topological data analysis. The idea of persistent homology is to use filtrations (Vietoris–Rips and Čech complexes) to track the topological changes. For example, the Vietoris–Rips complexes of the circle obtain the homotopy types of the circle, the 3-sphere, the 5-sphere, the 7-sphere, etc., as the proximity parameter increases, until finally, the complex is contractible [1]. These odd-sphere homotopy types have consequences for the persistent homology of spaces containing geodesic loops [39]. Much less is known about the persistent homology of Vietoris–Rips complexes of n -spheres, and very little is known in general about the persistent homology of a manifold M ; for a more comprehensive discussion we refer the reader to [2, 3, 18, 26]. One of our motivations in writing this paper is to prepare a theoretical background for questions such as in [2, Section 7].

One possible application of persistent homotopy groups is in image analysis. Methods for image analysis have become an essential tool for many sciences, and topological data analysis has proven highly successful in aiding in a variety of such applications (for applications to environmental science, see for example [31, 34, 38]). The theorems in our paper allow one to patch together topological information about smaller sub-images to obtain results about an entire image or to understand how various modifications (e.g. taking quotients) to a filtered complex change the persistence diagram (see [5, Section 5]).

In this paper, we apply persistent homotopy groups to the study of chemical energy landscapes. The conformation space of a molecule parametrizes its possible different shapes. The energy function on this conformation space determines which configurations are more likely and how the molecule might transition from one configuration to another. As one varies the energy threshold, the number of connected components in a sublevelset of the conformation space determines the number of essentially distinct conformations, and the number of 1-dimensional holes is related to the number of minimal energy paths between local energy minima. In [28], the persistent homology diagrams of the alkane molecules were characterized, and in this paper, we explain how the persistent homotopy groups of these molecules provide even more information.

Our paper aims to develop elementary methods for determining persistent homotopy groups. In Section 2, we recall the definition of persistent homotopy groups, particularly persistent fundamental groups. Calculating persistent homotopy groups can be challenging, even at a single filtration level. In such cases, it might be better to consider X as a union of its subspaces whose homotopy groups are easier to calculate. For this reason, we

prove in Section 2 that the Van Kampen theorem remains valid for persistent fundamental groups. In Subsection 2.3, we define fundamental groupoids and discuss a persistent version of the Van Kampen theorem for fundamental groupoids.

In Section 3, following [9, Section 3], we define the interleaving distance between persistent homotopy groups. Also, this section discusses the relationship between the Van Kampen theorem and the interleaving distances between persistent fundamental groups. We show that for any based topological spaces X and X' that decompose as the union of path-connected open subsets A and B , and A' and B' respectively, the interleaving distance between persistent homotopy groups $\pi_1 F_X$ and $\pi_1 G_{X'}$ is bounded above by $\max \{(d_I(\pi_1 F_A, \pi_1 G_{A'}), d_I(\pi_1 F_B, \pi_1 G_{B'}), d_I(\pi_1 F_{A \cap B}, \pi_1 G_{A' \cap B'}))\}$, the maximum interleaving distance between the corresponding pieces. (Corollary 3.3).

In Section 4, we give an excision theorem for persistent homotopy groups (Theorem 4.2). Also in this section, we prove a persistent version of the suspension theorem (Theorem 4.7), which may help answer Question (3) in [37, Section 7, p.28]. In [30, Theorem 1.5], the authors prove a persistent version of the Hurewicz theorem for persistent fundamental groups. Furthermore, in Section 4, we prove a persistent version of the Hurewicz theorem for higher persistent homotopy groups (Theorem 4.8).

In Section 5, we analyze the sublevelset persistent homotopy groups of the energy landscape of alkane molecules. We also explain the additional information these persistent homotopy groups contain beyond what persistent homology provides.

Our theorems apply most naturally when a filtration is given on a topological space $X = A \cup B$; that filtration then induces a filtration on A and B . For example, a real-valued function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induces a sublevelset filtration $\{f^{-1}(-\infty, k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{R}}$ on X , and also (by restriction) on A and B . This setting is common in applications, for example when the function f is a measurement such as temperature or pressure defined on a subset X of Euclidean space, or for example when f is an energy function defined on a configuration space X of a chemical system, and then one decomposes X into parts. Another common application of topology is when Z is a point cloud dataset, and one uses a Vietoris–Rips simplicial complex to measure the shape of the data [11]. We caution the reader since the Vietoris–Rips complex of a union could be larger than the union of Vietoris–Rips complexes, if dataset Z is decomposed as $Z = Z_A \cup Z_B$ then we could have $X := \text{VR}(Z; r) \supsetneq \text{VR}(Z_A; r) \cup \text{VR}(Z_B; r)$, and hence in order to obtain $X = A \cup B$ one might have to choose A and B to be larger than $\text{VR}(Z_A; r)$ and $\text{VR}(Z_B; r)$, respectively.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Claudia Landi, Facundo Mémoli, and Ling Zhou for their comments on the second version of this paper. This research was supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) [grants number 117F015 and 220N359].

2. THE VAN KAMPEN THEOREM FOR PERSISTENT FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS

2.1. Persistent Homotopy Groups. Let \mathbf{Top}_* be the category of based topological spaces and basepoint-preserving continuous maps. Let \mathbf{R} denote the category whose

objects are the real numbers \mathbb{R} and which admits a unique morphism $k \rightarrow l$ whenever $k \leq l$. Let us also denote the functors from \mathbf{R} to the category \mathbf{Top}_\bullet by $\mathbf{Top}_\bullet^{\mathbf{R}}$.

A filtration $\{X_k\}$ for a space X is a nested sequence of subspaces. Throughout the paper, we regard filtered topological spaces (topological spaces admitting a filtration) as members of $\mathbf{Top}_\bullet^{\mathbf{R}}$; that is, we consider filtered topological spaces indexed by \mathbb{R} .

For example, for a topological space X and a continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the sublevelsets $f^{-1}((-\infty, k)) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) < k\}$ can be assembled into a filtration $F \in \mathbf{Top}_\bullet^{\mathbf{R}}$. For $k \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $F(k) := f^{-1}((-\infty, k))$ and consider it as a topological space with the subspace topology. For $k \leq l$, we define $F(k \rightarrow l)$ to be inclusion $F(k) \hookrightarrow F(l)$, which is a continuous map. We refer to such a filtration as the sublevelset filtration of f .

Let \mathbf{Gp} denote the category of groups and group homomorphisms between them. Now, consider the homotopy group functor $\pi_n: \mathbf{Top}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Gp}$. It induces a push-forward from $\mathbf{Top}_\bullet^{\mathbf{R}}$ to $\mathbf{Gp}^{\mathbf{R}}$ via post-composition.

Definition 2.1. The category of persistent homotopy groups is $\mathbf{Gp}^{\mathbf{R}}$. Its objects are the functors $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gp}$, and the morphisms in $\mathbf{Gp}^{\mathbf{R}}(F, G)$ are the natural transformations $F \Rightarrow G$. The morphisms from F to G in $\mathbf{Gp}^{\mathbf{R}}$ admit a pointwise description as a collection of group homomorphisms $\{\phi(k): F(k) \rightarrow G(k) \mid k \in \mathbb{R}\}$ such that for any $k \leq l$ in \mathbb{R} , we have the following commutative diagram (Figure 1).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(k) & \xrightarrow{F(k \rightarrow l)} & F(l) \\ \downarrow \phi(k) & & \downarrow \phi(l) \\ G(k) & \xrightarrow{G(k \rightarrow l)} & G(l) \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. Commutative diagram of group homomorphisms.

2.2. The Van Kampen Theorem for Persistent Fundamental Groups. Let X be a topological space with a fixed basepoint x_0 . Let $F_{(X, x_0)}$ be a filtration for X , i.e., $F_{(X, x_0)} \in \mathbf{Top}_\bullet^{\mathbf{R}}$ with $x_0 \in F_{(X, x_0)}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

We define (k, l) -persistent fundamental group of X with respect to the filtration $F_{(X, x_0)}$ to be the image of the group homomorphism $\pi_1 F_{(X, x_0)}(k \rightarrow l)$ induced by the inclusion $F_{(X, x_0)}(k) \hookrightarrow F_{(X, x_0)}(l)$. Throughout the paper, for notational ease, we denote this group by $\pi_1^{k, l}(X)$.

Note that $\pi_1^{k, l}(X)$ consists of the fundamental group elements in $\pi_1(F_{(X, x_0)}(k))$ that are still alive (i.e., that persist) in $\pi_1(F_{(X, x_0)}(l))$. Keeping in mind that all filtration levels contain the base point (see also Remark 2.3), we often denote $F_{(X, x_0)}$ by F_X and we frequently use $\pi_1 F_X$ instead of $\pi_1 F_{(X, x_0)}$.

Now, let us recall the Van Kampen theorem, which gives a method for computing the fundamental groups of spaces that can be decomposed into simpler subspaces whose fundamental groups are already known (see [21] for a general version of the theorem and further details).

Theorem 2.2. *If $X = A \cup B$ with A , B , and $A \cap B$ open and path-connected, then the induced homomorphism*

$$\Phi: (\pi_1(A) * \pi_1(B))/N \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$$

is an isomorphism where N is the normal subgroup generated by all elements of the form $\pi_1(A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)(w) \pi_1(A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)(w)^{-1}$ for $w \in \pi_1(A \cap B)$; see the commutative diagram in Figure 2.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \pi_1(A) & & \\
 & \nearrow^{\pi_1(A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)} & & \searrow_{\pi_1(A \hookrightarrow X)} & \\
 \pi_1(A \cap B) & & & & \pi_1(X) \\
 & \searrow_{\pi_1(A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)} & & \nearrow_{\pi_1(B \hookrightarrow X)} & \\
 & & \pi_1(B) & &
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. This diagram is commutative: $\pi_1(A \hookrightarrow X)\pi_1(A \cap B \hookrightarrow A) = \pi_1(B \hookrightarrow X)\pi_1(A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)$.

In the remainder of this subsection, we show that the Van Kampen theorem is valid also for persistent fundamental groups. Assume that X is decomposed as $X = A \cup B$ where A , B , and $A \cap B$ are path-connected open subsets of X containing the basepoint x_0 . The intersections of the filtration F_X with the subspaces A , B , and $A \cap B$ induce filtrations for these subspaces, which we denote by the functors F_A , F_B , and $F_{A \cap B}$, respectively.

Remark 2.3. In this version of the Van Kampen theorem, we assume that the induced filtration for $A \cap B$ contains the chosen basepoint at each filtration level. But even if one uses a filtration on a path-connected space X constructed from finite samples from X , early filtration terms could be non-path-connected. The fundamental group could, at best, give information only on one path component of each term in the filtration. Using fundamental groupoids, it may be possible to capture information on many path components in each term of the filtration. For this reason, after we give a proof of the Van Kampen theorem for persistent fundamental groups, we then investigate fundamental groupoids.

Before we proceed any further, let us pause here to introduce some notation. Let $Y \subseteq Z$ be subsets of X . We denote the morphism $\pi_1 F_Y(k \rightarrow l)$ by $\pi_1(Y_{kl})$ for all $k < l \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that $\pi_1^{k,l}(Y) = \text{Im } \pi_1(Y_{kl})$. Also, we denote the morphism from $\pi_1 F_Y(k)$ to $\pi_1 F_Z(k)$ by $\pi_1((Y \hookrightarrow Z)_k)$.

By the proof of Theorem 2.2, the map

$$\Phi_k: \pi_1 F_A(k) * \pi_1 F_B(k) \rightarrow \pi_1 F_X(k),$$

extended by homomorphisms $\pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_k)$ and $\pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_k)$, is surjective. Moreover the map Φ_k induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_1 F_X(k) \cong (\pi_1 F_A(k) * \pi_1 F_B(k))/N_k,$$

where N_k is the normal subgroup generated by all the elements of the form

$$\pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)_k)(w) \pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)_k)(w)^{-1}$$

for $w \in \pi_1 F_{A \cap B}(k)$.

To work with maps between persistent fundamental groups, we consider the restrictions of the maps $\pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)_l)$ and $\pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)_l)$ to the image of $\pi_1((A \cap B)_{kl})$, the restriction of $\pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_l)$ to the image of $\pi_1(A_{kl})$, and the restriction of $\pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_l)$ to the image of $\pi_1(B_{kl})$. Let us denote these homomorphisms by $\pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)_{kl})$, $\pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)_{kl})$, $\pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_{kl})$, and $\pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_{kl})$, respectively.

Note that the homomorphisms $\pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_{kl})$ and $\pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_{kl})$ extend to a homomorphism

$$\Phi^{k,l}: \pi_1^{k,l}(A) * \pi_1^{k,l}(B) \rightarrow \pi_1^{k,l}(X).$$

Now, we are ready to state and prove the *Van Kampen theorem for persistent fundamental groups*.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose the induced filtration for $A \cap B$ is path-connected and contains the chosen base point at each filtration level. The kernel of the homomorphism $\Phi^{k,l}$ is the normal subgroup N_{kl} that is generated by all elements of the form $\pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)_{kl})(w) \pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)_{kl})(w)^{-1}$ for $w \in \pi_1^{k,l}(A \cap B)$, and so $\Phi^{k,l}$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi_1^{k,l}(X) \cong (\pi_1^{k,l}(A) * \pi_1^{k,l}(B)) / N_{kl}.$$


Proof. We first show that the homomorphism $\Phi^{k,l}$ is surjective. For $x \in \pi_1^{k,l}(X)$, there exists an element $x_k \in \pi_1(F_X(k))$ such that $\pi_1(X_{kl})(x_k) = x$. Surjectivity of Φ_k implies that there exist $a_k^{(1)}, \dots, a_k^{(n)} \in \pi_1(F_A(k))$ and $b_k^{(1)}, \dots, b_k^{(n)} \in \pi_1(F_B(k))$ such that $\Phi_k(a_k^{(1)} b_k^{(1)} \dots a_k^{(n)} b_k^{(n)}) = x_k$. By the naturality of Figure 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(X_{kl}) \pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_k) &= \pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_l) \pi_1(A_{kl}), \\ \pi_1(X_{kl}) \pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_k) &= \pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_l) \pi_1(B_{kl}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \pi_1(X_{kl})(x_k) \\ &= \pi_1(X_{kl})(\Phi_k(a_k^{(1)} b_k^{(1)} \dots a_k^{(n)} b_k^{(n)})) \\ &= \pi_1(X_{kl}) \left(\pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_k)(a_k^{(1)}) \dots \pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_k)(b_k^{(n)}) \right) \\ &= \pi_1(X_{kl}) \left(\pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_k)(a_k^{(1)}) \right) \dots \pi_1(X_{kl}) \left(\pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_k)(b_k^{(n)}) \right) \\ &= \pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_l) \pi_1(A_{kl})(a_k^{(1)}) \dots \pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_l) \pi_1(B_{kl})(b_k^{(n)}) \\ &= \pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_{kl})(a_l^{(1)}) \dots \pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_{kl})(b_l^{(n)}) \\ &= \Phi^{k,l}(a_l^{(1)} b_l^{(1)} \dots a_l^{(n)} b_l^{(n)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_l^{(i)} = \pi_1(A_{kl})(a_k^{(i)})$ and $b_l^{(i)} = \pi_1(B_{kl})(b_k^{(i)})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, $\Phi^{k,l}$ is surjective.


 FIGURE 3. Fundamental group homomorphisms between levels k and l .

Let N_{kl} be the subgroup generated by the elements of the form

$$\{\pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)_{kl})(w) \pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)_{kl})(w)^{-1} \mid w \in \pi_1^{k,l}(A \cap B)\}.$$

Next, we show that the kernel of $\Phi^{k,l}$ is N_{kl} . First, observe that since

$$\pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_l) \pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)_l) = \pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_l) \pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)_l),$$

we have

$$\pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_{kl}) \pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)_{kl}) = \pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_{kl}) \pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)_{kl}).$$

So the kernel of $\Phi^{k,l}$ contains all the elements of the form

$$\pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)_{kl})(w) \pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)_{kl})(w)^{-1}$$

for $w \in \pi_1^{k,l}(A \cap B)$. On the other hand, any element in the kernel of $\Phi^{k,l}$ must be an element of N_l that is the image of an element in N_k . And, since the factorizations of the same element are equivalent (see [21, p. 45]) at each level of the filtration, we have $\ker \Phi^{k,l} = N_{kl}$. \square

2.3. The Van Kampen Theorem for Persistent Fundamental Groupoids. Let X be a topological space and p and q be a pair of points in X . The fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1(X)$ of X is a category whose objects are the points of X , and whose morphisms from p to q are the homotopy classes of paths in X from p to q (relative to endpoints). These morphisms are denoted $\text{Mor}(p, q)$. As discussed in the previous subsection, the classical fundamental group at the basepoint x_0 is $\text{Mor}(x_0, x_0)$ in $\Pi_1(X)$.

Theorem 2.5 (Van Kampen theorem for the fundamental groupoid). *Let X be a topological space and A and B be two open subsets of X such that $X = A \cup B$. Then, the following diagram, in which all morphisms are induced by inclusions of spaces, is a pushout square of groupoids:*

We refer the reader to [8] for the proof and more details on fundamental groupoids.

We define (k, l) -**persistent fundamental groupoid** of X with respect to the filtration F_X to be the image of the group homomorphism $\Pi_1 F_X(k \rightarrow l)$ induced by the inclusion

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Pi_1(A \cap B) & \longrightarrow & \Pi_1(A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Pi_1(B) & \longrightarrow & \Pi_1(X)
\end{array}$$

of $F_X(k)$ into $F_X(l)$. Throughout this subsection, we denote this persistent fundamental groupoid by $\Pi_1^{k,l}(X)$. We want to emphasize that we do not choose a basepoint in this setup.

Since we have the Van Kampen theorem at each filtration level for fundamental groupoids, we have the following diagram, where the top and bottom squares are pushouts (see Figure 4).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& \Pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)_k) & \rightarrow & \Pi_1 F_A(k) & \xrightarrow{\Pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_k)} & \Pi_1 F_X(k) \\
& \searrow & & \searrow & \Pi_1(A_{kl}) & \searrow \\
\Pi_1 F_{A \cap B}(k) & & & & & \Pi_1 F_X(k) \\
\downarrow & \Pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)_k) & \rightarrow & \Pi_1 F_B(k) & \xrightarrow{\Pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_k)} & \Pi_1 F_X(k) \\
\Pi_1((A \cap B)_{kl}) & \searrow & & \searrow & \Pi_1(B_{kl}) & \searrow \\
& & & & & \Pi_1 F_X(k) \\
& \Pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)_l) & \rightarrow & \Pi_1 F_A(l) & \xrightarrow{\Pi_1((A \hookrightarrow X)_l)} & \Pi_1 F_X(l) \\
& \searrow & & \searrow & \Pi_1(A_{kl}) & \searrow \\
\Pi_1 F_{A \cap B}(l) & & & & & \Pi_1 F_X(l) \\
\downarrow & \Pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)_l) & \rightarrow & \Pi_1 F_B(l) & \xrightarrow{\Pi_1((B \hookrightarrow X)_l)} & \Pi_1 F_X(l) \\
\Pi_1((A \cap B)_{kl}) & \searrow & & \searrow & \Pi_1(B_{kl}) & \searrow \\
& & & & & \Pi_1 F_X(l)
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 4. The fundamental groupoid morphisms between levels k and l .

As we remarked earlier, it is certainly possible that a filtration of a space need not be path-connected at each stage in the filtration. Using fundamental groupoids, it may be possible to capture information on many path components in each term of the filtration.

3. INTERLEAVING DISTANCE

In this section, following [13] and [9], we define the interleaving distance between persistent homotopy groups. Then, we look at the relationship between the Van Kampen theorem and the interleaving distance.

Let $F, G \in \mathbf{Gp}^{\mathbf{R}}$ be two functors. We say that F and G are δ -interleaved for some $\delta \geq 0$ if there exists two families of morphisms $\{\phi(k) : F(k) \rightarrow G(k + \delta) \mid k \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\{\varphi(k) : G(k) \rightarrow F(k + \delta) \mid k \in \mathbb{R}\}$ such that the diagrams in Figure 5 commute for all $k \leq l$ (see [9] for a more general definition and details).

This induces the following extended pseudometric (see [9, Theorem 3.3]), the *interleaving distance* between F and G , which is defined as

$$d_I(F, G) = \inf\{\delta \geq 0 \mid F \text{ and } G \text{ are } \delta\text{-interleaved}\}.$$

We set $d_I(F, G) = \infty$ if F and G are not δ -interleaved for any $\delta \geq 0$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(k) & \xrightarrow{F(k \rightarrow l)} & F(l) \\
 \downarrow \phi(k) & & \downarrow \phi(l) \\
 G(k + \delta) & \xrightarrow{G(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta)} & G(l + \delta)
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 G(k) & \xrightarrow{G(k \rightarrow l)} & G(l) \\
 \downarrow \varphi(k) & & \downarrow \varphi(l) \\
 F(k + \delta) & \xrightarrow{F(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta)} & F(l + \delta)
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & G(k + \delta) & \\
 \phi(k) \nearrow & & \searrow \varphi(k + \delta) \\
 F(k) & \xrightarrow{F(k \rightarrow k + 2\delta)} & F(k + 2\delta)
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & F(k + \delta) & \\
 \varphi(k) \nearrow & & \searrow \phi(k + \delta) \\
 G(k) & \xrightarrow{G(k \rightarrow k + 2\delta)} & G(k + 2\delta)
 \end{array}$$

 FIGURE 5. Commutative diagrams for the δ -interleaved functors F and G .

We say that the functors F and G are *isomorphic* if there is a family of isomorphisms $\{\phi(k) : F(k) \rightarrow G(k) \mid k \in \mathbb{R}\}$ in the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(k) & \xrightarrow{F(k \rightarrow l)} & F(l) \\
 \downarrow \phi(k) & & \downarrow \phi(l) \\
 G(k) & \xrightarrow{G(k \rightarrow l)} & G(l)
 \end{array}$$

The interleaving distance d_I defined above is indeed an extended pseudometric since it can take the value ∞ , and since $d_I(F, G) = 0$ does not imply that F and G are isomorphic. But, if we identify functors whose interleaving distance is 0, then d_I is an extended metric on this set of equivalence classes (see [9, Section 3] for more details).

Let $X, X' \in \mathbf{Top}_\bullet^{\mathbf{R}}$ with basepoints x_0, x'_0 , respectively. Let $F_X, G_{X'} \in \mathbf{Top}_\bullet^{\mathbf{R}}$ be filtrations for these spaces. Assume that $X = A \cup B$ and $X' = A' \cup B'$ are covered by open and path-connected subsets such that $x_0 \in A \cap B$ and $x'_0 \in A' \cap B'$. Define a functor $F_A \in \mathbf{Top}_\bullet^{\mathbf{R}}$ as $F_A(k) = F_X(k) \cap A$, which serves as a filtration for the subspace A . Similarly, we can define functors $F_B, F_{A \cap B} \in \mathbf{Top}_\bullet^{\mathbf{R}}$, again by considering intersections with the filtration levels of X , providing filtrations for the corresponding subspaces B and $A \cap B$. Since we have assumed that $x_0 \in F_X(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$, this implies that x_0 is in $F_A(k), F_B(k)$, and $F_{A \cap B}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$. In the same manner, we can define the functors $G_{A'}, G_{B'}$ and $G_{A' \cap B'}$ as a filtration for the corresponding subspaces of X' .

We write $\pi_1 F_-$ to denote the persistent fundamental group functors.

For any $k \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the following isomorphism coming from the Van Kampen theorem at level k of our filtrations

$$\pi_1 F_X(k) \cong (\pi_1 F_A(k) * \pi_1 F_B(k)) / N_k.$$

Here, N_k is the normal subgroup generated by all the elements of the form

$$\pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow A)_k)(w) \pi_1((A \cap B \hookrightarrow B)_k)(w)^{-1}$$

for $w \in \pi_1 F_{A \cap B}(k)$. Let us define a functor $\pi_1 F_{(A*B)/N} \in \mathbf{Gp}^{\mathbf{R}}$ by

$$\pi_1 F_{(A*B)/N}(k) = (\pi_1 F_A(k) * \pi_1 F_B(k))/N_k$$

By utilizing the isomorphism mentioned above, it can be concluded that:

Theorem 3.1. *The functors $\pi_1 F_X$ and $\pi_1 F_{(A*B)/N}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Left to the reader. □

We, of course, also have that $\pi_1 G_{X'}$ and $\pi_1 G_{(A*B')/N'}$ are isomorphic, where all of these terms are defined similarly.

In the following, we show that if the persistent fundamental group functors $\pi_1 F_A$ and $\pi_1 G_{A'}$ are δ -interleaved, if $\pi_1 F_B$ and $\pi_1 G_{B'}$ are δ -interleaved, and if $\pi_1 F_{A \cap B}$ and $\pi_1 G_{A' \cap B'}$ are δ -interleaved, then the persistent fundamental group functors $\pi_1 F_{(A*B)/N}$ and $\pi_1 G_{(A*B')/N'}$ are also δ -interleaved. Using the isomorphisms from Theorem 3.1, this will then give that $\pi_1 F_X$ and $\pi_1 G_{X'}$ are δ -interleaved.

If the functors $\pi_1 F_A$ and $\pi_1 G_{A'}$ are δ -interleaved, then for each $k \in \mathbb{R}$ there exist two families of homomorphisms $\{m(k): \pi_1 F_A(k) \rightarrow \pi_1 G_{A'}(k + \delta)\}$ and $\{n(k): \pi_1 G_{A'}(k) \rightarrow \pi_1 F_A(k + \delta)\}$ such that the diagrams in Figure 6 commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_1 F_A(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 F_A(k \rightarrow l)} & \pi_1 F_A(l) & & \pi_1 G_{A'}(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 G_{A'}(k \rightarrow l)} & \pi_1 G_{A'}(l) \\
 \downarrow m(k) & & \downarrow m(l) & & \downarrow n(k) & & \downarrow n(l) \\
 \pi_1 G_{A'}(k + \delta) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 G_{A'}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta)} & \pi_1 G_{A'}(l + \delta) & & \pi_1 F_A(k + \delta) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 F_A(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta)} & \pi_1 F_A(l + \delta)
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \pi_1 G_{A'}(k + \delta) & \\
 m(k) \nearrow & & \searrow n(k + \delta) \\
 \pi_1 F_A(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 F_A(k \rightarrow k + 2\delta)} & \pi_1 F_A(k + 2\delta)
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \pi_1 F_A(k + \delta) & \\
 n(k) \nearrow & & \searrow m(k + \delta) \\
 \pi_1 G_{A'}(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 G_{A'}(k \rightarrow k + 2\delta)} & \pi_1 G_{A'}(k + 2\delta)
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 6. Commutative diagrams of δ -interleaved functors $\pi_1 F_A$ and $\pi_1 G_{A'}$ (analogous diagrams exist for δ -interleaved functors $\pi_1 F_B$ and $\pi_1 G_{B'}$).

Also if the functors $\pi_1 F_B$ and $\pi_1 G_{B'}$ are δ -interleaved, then there exists two families of homomorphisms $\{s(k): \pi_1 F_B(k) \rightarrow \pi_1 G_{B'}(k + \delta)\}$ and $\{u(k): \pi_1 G_{B'}(k) \rightarrow \pi_1 F_B(k + \delta)\}$ such that analogous diagrams to the ones in Figure 6 (with A replaced by B , n replaced by s , and m replaced by u) commute.

We also have similar families of interleaving homomorphisms between $\pi_1 F_{A \cap B}(k)$ and $\pi_1 G_{A' \cap B'}(k + \delta)$, and between $\pi_1 G_{A' \cap B'}(k)$ and $\pi_1 F_{A \cap B}(k + \delta)$. We suppress the notation for these homomorphisms to make our arguments easier to follow.

For each $k \in \mathbb{R}$, we can define a homomorphism

$$p(k): \pi_1 F_{(A*B)/N}(k) \rightarrow \pi_1 G_{(A*B')/N'}(k + \delta)$$

by $p(k)((a_1b_1 \dots a_nb_n)N_k) = (m(k)(a_1)s(k)(b_1) \dots m(k)(a_n)s(k)(b_n))N'_{k+\delta}$, where the maps between N_k and $N'_{k+\delta}$ are defined through the homomorphisms between $\pi_1 F_{A \cap B}(k)$ and $\pi_1 G_{A' \cap B'}(k + \delta)$.

Similarly, for each $k \in \mathbb{R}$, we can define another homomorphism

$$q(k) : \pi_1 G_{(A' * B')/N'}(k) \rightarrow \pi_1 F_{(A * B)/N}(k + \delta)$$

by $q(k)((a'_1b'_1 \dots a'_nb'_n)N'_k) = (n(k)(a'_1)u(k)(b'_1) \dots n(k)(a'_n)u(k)(b'_n))N_{k+\delta}$.

We want to remark here that to have well-defined interleavings between the quotient spaces, we need to use the interleavings between intersection spaces. The reason is for $w \in \pi_1 F_{A \cap B}(k)$, $m(w)$ and $s(w)$ need not be equal to each other in $\pi_1 G_{A'}(k + \delta)$ and $\pi_1 G_{B'}(k + \delta)$, but we do have that $m(w)s(w)^{-1} \in N'_{k+\delta}$.

To prove that the functors $\pi_1 F_{(A * B)/N}$ and $\pi_1 G_{(A' * B')/N'}$ are δ -interleaved, we need to show that these two families and homomorphisms form the commutative diagrams in Figure 7. We only show that the topmost diagram is commutative; the commutativity of the remaining diagrams can be checked similarly.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1 F_{(A * B)/N}(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 F_{(A * B)/N}(k \rightarrow l)} & \pi_1 F_{(A * B)/N}(l) \\ \downarrow p(k) & & \downarrow p(l) \\ \pi_1 G_{(A' * B')/N'}(k + \delta) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 G_{(A' * B')/N'}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta)} & \pi_1 G_{(A' * B')/N'}(l + \delta) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1 G_{(A' * B')/N'}(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 G_{(A' * B')/N'}(k \rightarrow l)} & \pi_1 G_{(A' * B')/N'}(l) \\ \downarrow q(k) & & \downarrow q(l) \\ \pi_1 F_{(A * B)/N}(k + \delta) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 F_{(A * B)/N}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta)} & \pi_1 F_{(A * B)/N}(l + \delta) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \pi_1 G_{(A' * B')/N'}(k + \delta) & \\ p(k) \nearrow & & \searrow q(k + \delta) \\ \pi_1 F_{(A * B)/N}(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 F_{(A * B)/N}(k \rightarrow k + 2\delta)} & \pi_1 F_{(A * B)/N}(k + 2\delta) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \pi_1 F_{(A * B)/N}(k + \delta) & \\ q(k) \nearrow & & \searrow p(k + \delta) \\ \pi_1 G_{(A' * B')/N'}(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 G_{(A' * B')/N'}(k \rightarrow k + 2\delta)} & \pi_1 G_{(A' * B')/N'}(k + 2\delta) \end{array}$$

FIGURE 7. δ -interleaving for $\pi_1 F_{((A * B)/N)}$ and $\pi_1 G_{((A' * B')/N')}$.

For the topmost diagram in Figure 7 to be commutative, we must check that

$$p(l) \circ \pi_1 F_{(A*B)/N}(k \rightarrow l) = \pi_1 G_{(A'*B')/N'}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta) \circ p(k).$$

Let $(a_1 b_1 \dots a_n b_n) N_k \in \pi_1 F_{(A*B)/N}(k)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & p(l) \left(\pi_1 F_{(A*B)/N}(k \rightarrow l) \left((a_1 b_1 \dots a_n b_n) N_k \right) \right) \\ &= p(l) \left((\pi_1 F_A(k \rightarrow l)(a_1) \pi_1 F_B(k \rightarrow l)(b_1) \dots \pi_1 F_A(k \rightarrow l)(a_n) \pi_1 F_B(k \rightarrow l)(b_n)) N_l \right) \\ &= (m(l) (\pi_1 F_A(k \rightarrow l)(a_1)) s(l) (\pi_1 F_B(k \rightarrow l)(b_1)) \dots \\ & \quad m(l) (\pi_1 F_A(k \rightarrow l)(a_n)) s(l) (\pi_1 F_B(k \rightarrow l)(b_n))) N'_{l+\delta} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_1 G_{(A'*B')/N'}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta) \left(p(k) \left((a_1 b_1 \dots a_n b_n) N_k \right) \right) \\ &= \pi_1 G_{(A'*B')/N'}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta) \left((m(k)(a_1) s(k)(b_1) \dots m(k)(a_n) s(k)(b_n)) N'_{k+\delta} \right) \\ &= (\pi_1 G_{A'}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta) (m(k)(a_1)) \pi_1 G_{B'}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta) (s(k)(b_1)) \dots \\ & \quad \pi_1 G_{A'}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta) (m(k)(a_n)) \pi_1 G_{B'}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta) (s(k)(b_n))) N'_{l+\delta} \end{aligned}$$

Since $m(l) \pi_1 F_A(k \rightarrow l) = \pi_1 G_{A'}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta) m(k)$ and $s(l) \pi_1 F_B(k \rightarrow l) = \pi_1 G_{B'}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta) s(k)$, we get $p(l) \pi_1 F_{(A*B)/N}(k \rightarrow l) = \pi_1 G_{(A'*B')/N'}(k + \delta \rightarrow l + \delta) p(k)$. Thus, the topmost diagram in Figure 7 is commutative. The rest follow similarly, and thus, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X and X' be based topological spaces decomposed as a union of path-connected open subsets A and B and A' and B' , respectively. If $\pi_1 F_A$ and $\pi_1 G_{A'}$ are δ -interleaved, if $\pi_1 F_B$ and $\pi_1 G_{B'}$ are δ -interleaved, and if $\pi_1 F_{A \cap B}$ and $\pi_1 G_{A' \cap B'}$ are δ -interleaved, then $\pi_1 F_{(A*B)/N}$ and $\pi_1 G_{(A'*B')/N'}$ are also δ -interleaved.*

Note that if F and G are δ -interleaved, then they are also ϵ -interleaved for any $\epsilon \geq \delta$. Under the conditions of the above theorem, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *The interleaving distance between the persistence homotopy groups $\pi_1 F_X$ and $\pi_1 G_{X'}$ satisfies*

$$d_I(\pi_1 F_X, \pi_1 G_{X'}) \leq \max \{ (d_I(\pi_1 F_A, \pi_1 G_{A'}), d_I(\pi_1 F_B, \pi_1 G_{B'}), d_I(\pi_1 F_{A \cap B}, \pi_1 G_{A' \cap B'})) \}.$$

4. EXCISION AND HUREWICZ THEOREMS FOR PERSISTENT HOMOTOPY GROUPS

The excision property, which enables one to relate homology groups of a pair to that of a pair of subspaces, is one of the main reasons why homology can often be effectively calculated. Homotopy groups, conversely, do not satisfy excision, which is why they are generally much harder to calculate. However, depending on connectivity, there is a specific dimension range in which excision holds for homotopy groups (for definitions and homotopy theoretical properties, we again refer the reader to [21]). This section shows that persistent homotopy benefits from the excision and Hurewicz theorems. We also obtain the Freudenthal suspension theorem for persistent homotopy groups as a result of the excision theorem.

Let X be a topological space with a fixed basepoint $x_0 \in X$. We recall that $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ is the homotopy classes of maps $S^n \rightarrow X$ that fix the basepoint. Similarly, for X a space with $x_0 \in A \subseteq X$, we recall that $\pi_n(X, A, x_0)$ is the homotopy classes of maps of pairs $(D^n, S^{n-1}) \rightarrow (X, A)$ that fix the basepoint.

Let F_X be a filtration for X , i.e., $F_X \in \mathbf{Top}^{\mathbf{R}}$ and $x_0 \in F_X(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$. We define (k, l) -**persistent homotopy group** of X with respect to the filtration F_X to be the image of the group homomorphism $\pi_n F_X(k \rightarrow l)$ induced by the inclusion of $F_X(k)$ into $F_X(l)$ (cf. [25]). We denote this group by $\pi_n^{k,l}(X)$.

Let us also assume that X is a CW complex decomposed as the union of subcomplexes A and B with the intersection $C = A \cap B$ connected and $x_0 \in C$. As in the previous section, the filtration F_X induces filtrations on A , B and C which we denote by F_A , F_B and F_C , respectively. We assume that $x_0 \in F_A(k)$, $x_0 \in F_B(k)$, and $x_0 \in F_C(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, F_X induces filtrations for the relative pairs (A, C) , (B, C) and (X, B) which we denote by $F_{(A,C)}$, $F_{(B,C)}$ and $F_{(X,B)}$, respectively. For example, for $k \in \mathbb{R}$, note that $F_{(A,C)}(k)$ is the pair of spaces $(F_A(k), F_C(k))$.

Similarly, we define the (k, l) -**persistent homotopy group** of a relative pair (A, C) concerning a chosen filtration as the image of the group homomorphism $\pi_n F_{(A,C)}(k \rightarrow l)$ induced by the inclusion of $F_{(A,C)}(k)$ into $F_{(A,C)}(l)$. We denote this group by $\pi_n^{k,l}(A, C)$.

Recall that a space X is said to be n -connected if $\pi_k(X) = 0$ for $k \leq n$. Similarly, the pair (X, A) is called n -connected if $\pi_k(X, A) = 0$ for $k \leq n$. Let us also recall the excision theorem for homotopy groups.

Theorem 4.1 (Excision). *If the pair (A, C) is m -connected and the pair (B, C) is n -connected, for $m, n \geq 0$, with C connected and nonempty, then the map $\pi_u(A, C) \rightarrow \pi_u(X, B)$ induced by inclusion is an isomorphism for $u < m + n$ and a surjection for $u = m + n$.*

Next, we state and prove an excision theorem for persistent homotopy groups: In the remainder of this section let us fix two filtration levels k and l with $k < l$ and assume that the spaces $F_A(k)$, $F_B(k)$, $F_X(k)$, $F_A(l)$, $F_B(l)$, $F_X(l)$ are subcomplexes of X . Suppose that the relative pair $F_{(A,C)}(k)$ is m_1 -connected, $F_{(B,C)}(k)$ is n_1 -connected, $F_{(A,C)}(l)$ is m_2 -connected, and $F_{(B,C)}(l)$ is n_2 -connected. Suppose also that $F_C(k)$ and $F_C(l)$ are non-empty and connected. By Theorem 4.1, we have the following isomorphisms induced by inclusions

$$f_k: \pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k) \rightarrow \pi_u F_{(X,B)}(k)$$

for $u < m_1 + n_1$ and

$$f_l: \pi_u F_{(A,C)}(l) \rightarrow \pi_u F_{(X,B)}(l)$$

for $u < m_2 + n_2$. Note that both f_k and f_l are isomorphisms for $u < \min\{m_1 + n_1, m_2 + n_2\}$. By naturality, we have the following commutative diagram:

We state the following *excision theorem for persistent homotopy groups*, which, under certain connectivity conditions, allows us to work with a pair of smaller spaces, (A, C) , and get information about a pair of bigger spaces, (X, B) .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k \rightarrow l)} & \pi_u F_{(A,C)}(l) \\
\downarrow f_k & & \downarrow f_l \\
\pi_u F_{(X,B)}(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_u F_{(X,B)}(k \rightarrow l)} & \pi_u F_{(X,B)}(l)
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 8. Commutative diagram between levels k and l .

Theorem 4.2. *For fixed filtration levels k and l with $k < l$, suppose that the relative pair $F_{(A,C)}(k)$ is m_1 -connected, $F_{(B,C)}(k)$ is n_1 -connected, $F_{(A,C)}(l)$ is m_2 -connected, and $F_{(B,C)}(l)$ is n_2 -connected. Suppose also that $F_C(k)$ and $F_C(l)$ are non-empty and connected. Let $\alpha: \pi_u^{k,l}(A, C) \rightarrow \pi_u^{k,l}(X, B)$ be the map defined by*

$$\alpha(a) = \pi_u F_{(X,B)}(k \rightarrow l)(f_k(b))$$

for any $b \in \pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k)$ satisfying $\pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k \rightarrow l)(b) = a$. Then α is an isomorphism for $u < \min\{m_1 + n_1, m_2 + n_2\}$ and a surjection for $u = \min\{m_1 + n_1, m_2 + n_2\}$.

Proof. Thanks to the commutativity of the diagram in Figure 8, α is a well-defined homomorphism. We first prove that α is an isomorphism for $u < \min\{m_1 + n_1, m_2 + n_2\}$.

The map α is one-to-one: Let $a, a' \in \pi_u^{k,l}(A, C)$ be such that $\alpha(a) = \alpha(a')$. By definition, a and a' are nonzero elements in $\pi_u F_{(A,C)}(l)$ such that $a = \pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k \rightarrow l)(b)$ and $a' = \pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k \rightarrow l)(b')$ for some nonzero b and b' in $\pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k)$, respectively. Hence, by definition of α , we have

$$\pi_u F_{(X,B)}(k \rightarrow l)(f_k(b)) = \pi_u F_{(X,B)}(k \rightarrow l)(f_k(b')).$$

By commutativity of the diagram in Figure 8, we get

$$f_l(\pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k \rightarrow l)(b)) = f_l(\pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k \rightarrow l)(b')).$$

Since f_l is isomorphism for $u < \min\{m_1 + n_1, m_2 + n_2\}$, we obtain $\pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k \rightarrow l)(b) = \pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k \rightarrow l)(b')$, which means $a = a'$. Therefore, α is one-to-one.

The map α is onto: Let c be a nonzero element of $\pi_u^{k,l}(X, B)$. By definition of persistent homotopy groups, there exists a nonzero $c' \in \pi_u F_{(X,B)}(k)$ such that $c = \pi_u F_{(X,B)}(k \rightarrow l)(c')$. Since f_k is an isomorphism for $u < \min\{m_1 + n_1, m_2 + n_2\}$, there exists a nonzero $b \in \pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k)$ such that $c' = f_k(b)$. Let $a = \pi_u F_{(A,C)}(k \rightarrow l)(b)$, then by definition $a \in \pi_u^{k,l}(A, C)$. We have

$$\alpha(a) = \pi_u F_{(X,B)}(k \rightarrow l)(f_k(b)) = \pi_u F_{(X,B)}(k \rightarrow l)(c') = c,$$

which means that α is onto. □

In the following example, we try to show how to get information about a pair of spaces by working on a pair of smaller spaces.

Example 4.3. Let X be the torus decomposed as $X = A \cup B$, where $A \cap B$ is the circle C as shown in Figure 9. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the height function whose sublevelsets are assembled into the filtrations $F_{(A,C)}$, $F_{(B,C)}$ and $F_{(X,B)}$ as above. Let $k < l$ be two fixed

filtration levels. Note that the pairs $F_{(A,C)}(k)$ and $F_{(B,C)}(k)$ are m -connected for any positive integer m . The pair $F_{(A,C)}(l)$ is 1-connected and the pair $F_{(B,C)}(l)$ is 0-connected. By Theorem 4.2, we have an isomorphism

$$\pi_u^{k,l}(A, C) \cong \pi_u^{k,l}(X, B)$$

for $u < 1$ and a surjection $\alpha: \pi_u^{k,l}(A, C) \rightarrow \pi_u^{k,l}(X, B)$ for $u = 1$. Since $\pi_1^{k,l}(A, C)$ is trivial, we can immediately conclude that $\pi_1^{k,l}(X, B)$ is also trivial.




FIGURE 9. The height function f on $X = A \cup B$.

Throughout the paper, we refer to such persistent homology groups as the sublevelset persistent homology groups of f .

As a corollary of Theorem 4.2, we prove a Freudenthal suspension theorem, which defines a stability property for persistent homology groups.

Consider the suspension SX of X as the union of two copies of the cone over X , that is, $SX = C_+X \cup C_-X$, where $C_+X = (X \times I)/(X \times \{1\})$ and $C_-X = (X \times I)/(X \times \{0\})$. The filtration F_X of X induces filtrations on SX , C_+X and C_-X as $F_{SX}(k) := SF_X(k)$, $F_{C_+X}(k) := C_+F_X(k)$ and $F_{C_-X}(k) := C_-F_X(k)$, where the suspensions and cones are taken at the point x_0 .

Next, we state some lemmas from which the suspension theorem for persistent homology groups follows as a corollary.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $F_X(k)$ is $(n - 1)$ -connected and $F_X(l)$ is $(m - 1)$ -connected. Then*

$$\pi_{u+1}^{k,l}(C_+X, X) \cong \pi_{u+1}^{k,l}(SX, C_-X)$$

for $u + 1 < \min\{2n, 2m\}$.

Proof. Since $F_X(k)$ is $(n - 1)$ -connected we have $\pi_u F_X(k) = 0$ for $u \leq n - 1$, and since $F_{C_+X}(k)$ is contractible we have $\pi_u F_{C_+X}(k) = 0$ for each $u > 0$. Thanks to the following long exact sequence of the relative pair $F_{(C_+X, X)}(k)$

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_u F_X(k) \rightarrow \pi_u F_{C_+X}(k) \rightarrow \pi_u F_{(C_+X, X)}(k) \rightarrow \pi_{u-1} F_X(k) \rightarrow \cdots$$

we get $\pi_u F_{(C_+X, X)}(k) = 0$ for $u \leq n$, that is, the relative pair $F_{(C_+X, X)}(k)$ is n -connected. Similarly, the pair $F_{(C_-X, X)}(k)$ is n -connected and the pairs $F_{(C_+X, X)}(l)$ and $F_{(C_-X, X)}(l)$

are m -connected. Since $F_{SX}(k) = F_{C_+X}(k) \cup F_{C_-X}(k)$ and $F_{C_+X}(k) \cap F_{C_-X}(k) = F_X(k)$, for each k , we get

$$\pi_{u+1}^{k,l}(C_+X, X) \cong \pi_{u+1}^{k,l}(SX, C_-X)$$

for $u + 1 < \min\{2n, 2m\}$ by Theorem 4.2. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that $F_X(k)$ and $F_X(l)$ are connected. Then*

$$\pi_{u+1}^{k,l}(C_+X, X) \cong \pi_u^{k,l}(X)$$

for each $u > 0$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_{u+1}F_{(C_+X,X)}(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{u+1}F_{(C_+X,X)}(k \rightarrow l)} & \pi_{u+1}F_{(C_+X,X)}(l) \\ \downarrow \partial_{u,k} & & \downarrow \partial_{u,l} \\ \pi_u F_{(X,x_0)}(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_u F_X(k \rightarrow l)} & \pi_u F_X(l) \end{array}$$

FIGURE 10. Commutative diagram of homotopy groups.

Proof. Consider Figure 10, which is commutative by naturality. The boundary homomorphisms $\partial_{u,k}$ and $\partial_{u,l}$ are isomorphisms for each $u > 0$, which follow from the long exact sequence of the corresponding relative pairs. Let $\gamma: \pi_{u+1}^{k,l}(C_+X, X) \rightarrow \pi_u^{k,l}(X)$ be the map defined as $\gamma(a) = \pi_u F_X(k \rightarrow l)(\partial_{u,k}(b))$ where $a = \pi_{u+1}F_{(C_+X,X)}(k \rightarrow l)(b)$ for some $b \in \pi_{u+1}F_{(C_+X,X)}(k)$. The map γ is a well-defined isomorphism which follows from the commutativity of Figure 10. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $F_X(k)$ and $F_X(l)$ are connected. Then*

$$\pi_{u+1}^{k,l}(SX) \cong \pi_{u+1}^{k,l}(SX, C_-X)$$

for each $u > 0$.

Proof. Consider the following long exact sequence for the relative pair $F_{(SX, C_-X)}(k)$:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_{u+1}F_{C_-X}(k) \rightarrow \pi_{u+1}F_{SX}(k) \rightarrow \pi_{u+1}F_{(SX, C_-X)}(k) \rightarrow \pi_u F_{C_-X}(k) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since $F_{C_-X}(k)$ is contractible, $\pi_u F_{C_-X}(k) = 0$ for each $u > 0$. Thus, we have isomorphisms

$$Sf_k: \pi_{u+1}F_{SX}(k) \rightarrow \pi_{u+1}F_{(SX, C_-X)}(k)$$

and

$$Sf_l: \pi_{u+1}F_{SX}(l) \rightarrow \pi_{u+1}F_{(SX, C_-X)}(l).$$

Now consider the following commutative diagram:

Let $\beta: \pi_{u+1}^{k,l}(SX) \rightarrow \pi_{u+1}^{k,l}(SX, C_-X)$ be the map defined by $\beta(a) = \pi_{u+1}F_{(SX, C_-X)}(k \rightarrow l)(Sf_k(b))$, where $a = \pi_{u+1}F_{SX}(k \rightarrow l)(b)$ for some $b \in \pi_{u+1}F_{SX}(k)$. Using the commutativity of Figure 11, one can deduce that β is an isomorphism. \square

Now, the Freudenthal suspension theorem for persistent homotopy groups follows as a corollary of the above lemmas.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_{u+1}F_{SX}(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{u+1}F_{SX}(k \rightarrow l)} & \pi_{u+1}F_{SX}(l) \\
\downarrow Sf_k & & \downarrow Sfi \\
\pi_{u+1}F_{(SX, C-X)}(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{u+1}F_{(SX, C-X)}(k \rightarrow l)} & \pi_{u+1}F_{(SX, C-X)}(l)
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 11. Commutative diagram of homotopy groups.

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose that $F_X(k)$ is $(n-1)$ -connected and $F_X(l)$ is $(m-1)$ -connected. Then*

$$\pi_u^{k,l}(X) \cong \pi_{u+1}^{k,l}(SX)$$

for $u+1 < \min\{2n, 2m\}$.

Proof. The proof comes as a result of the Lemmas 4.4, 4.5, and 4.6. \square

Next, we state *the Hurewicz Theorem for persistent homotopy groups*. Consider the homology group functor $H_n : \mathbf{Top}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{Gp}$. We define (k, l) -**persistent homology group** of X with respect to the filtration F_X to be the image of the group homomorphism $H_n F_X(k \rightarrow l)$ induced by the inclusion of $F_X(k)$ into $F_X(l)$. Let us denote this group by $H_n^{k,l}(X)$.

In [30, Theorem 1.5], the authors prove a persistent version of the Hurewicz theorem for persistent fundamental groups. The following theorem tells us that, under certain connectivity conditions, there is a bijection between (k, l) -persistent homology classes and (k, l) -persistent homotopy classes.

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose that $F_X(k)$ is $(m-1)$ -connected and $F_X(l)$ is $(n-1)$ -connected for $m, n \geq 2$. Then $H_u^{k,l}(X) = 0$ for $0 < u < \min\{m, n\}$ and*

$$\pi_u^{k,l}(X) \cong H_u^{k,l}(X)$$

for $u = \min\{m, n\}$.

Proof. If $F_X(k)$ is $(m-1)$ -connected, then the relative homology satisfies $\tilde{H}_u(F_X(k)) = 0$ for $u < m$ by the Hurewicz Theorem [21]. Since $H_u(F_X(k)) = \tilde{H}_u(F_X(k))$, for $u > 0$, then $H_u(F_X(k)) = 0$, for $0 < u < m$. Thus, we obtain $H_u^{k,l}(X) = 0$, for $0 < u < \min\{m, n\}$.

Note that $F_X(k)$ and $F_X(l)$ are $(u-1)$ -connected for $u = \min\{m, n\}$. Thus, by the Hurewicz Theorem, we have the following isomorphisms

$$h_k : \pi_u F_X(k) \rightarrow H_u(F_X(k)).$$

and

$$h_l : \pi_u F_X(l) \rightarrow H_u(F_X(l)).$$

Now, consider the following commutative diagram:

Let $h : \pi_u^{k,l}(X) \rightarrow H_u^{k,l}(X)$ be the map defined as $h(a) = H_u F_X(k \rightarrow l)(h_k(b))$ where $a = \pi_u F_X(k \rightarrow l)(b)$ for some $b \in \pi_u F_X(k)$. Clearly, h is a well-defined homomorphism. By using the commutative diagram given in Figure 12, one can easily obtain that h is an isomorphism for $u = \min\{m, n\}$. \square


$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_u F_X(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_u F_X(k \rightarrow l)} & \pi_u F_X(l) \\
\downarrow h_k & & \downarrow h_l \\
H_u(F_X(k)) & \xrightarrow{H_u F_X(k \rightarrow l)} & H_u(F_X(l))
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 12. Commutative diagram between levels k and l .

5. AN APPLICATION TO ENERGY LANDSCAPES OF MOLECULES

In this section, we analyze the sublevelset persistent homotopy groups of the energy landscape of alkane molecules. In particular, we explain the additional information these persistent homotopy groups contain beyond what is shown in the persistent homology barcodes.

5.1. The potential energy landscape of alkanes. An n -alkane molecule consists of a linear chain of carbon atoms, with three hydrogen atoms attached to the two carbons at the end of the chain and two hydrogen atoms attached to each internal carbon. The n -alkane molecules with four, five, six, seven, and eight carbon atoms in the chain are called butane, pentane, hexane, heptane, and octane, respectively.



We study the Optimized Potentials for Liquid Simulations (OPLS-UA) model [23] for the energy function of alkanes, as considered in [28]. In this OPLS-UA model, the potential energy landscape of an alkane molecule is governed exclusively by the C–C–C dihedral angles ϕ_i . In the case of butane, a carbon chain of length four, there is only a single dihedral angle $\phi \in S^1$, where S^1 is the circle. So the energy landscape of butane is a function $f_1: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is defined via

$$f_1(\phi) = c_1(1 + \cos \phi) + c_2(1 - \cos 2\phi) + c_3(1 + \cos 3\phi).$$

Here the energy coefficients are $c_1/k_B = 355.03$ K, $c_2/k_B = -68.19$ K, and $c_3/k_B = 791.32$ K, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant [27]. The energy landscape of an alkane molecule with m carbon atoms has $n = m - 3$ dihedral angles, and the OPLS-UA energy function $f_n: (S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined via $f_n(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n) = f_1(\phi_1) + f_1(\phi_2) + \dots + f_1(\phi_n)$, where each $\phi_i \in S^1$ encodes a different dihedral angle.

See Figure 13 for a picture of the energy landscape $f_1: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for butane. This Morse function has a global minimum a with energy value $f_1(a) = \alpha = 0$, two local minima b_1 and b_2 with energy value $f_1(b_1) = f_1(b_2) = \beta = 3.47099\dots$, two local maxima c_1 and c_2 with energy value $f_1(c_1) = f_1(c_2) = \gamma = 13.8062\dots$, and finally one global maximum




FIGURE 13. Figure from [28]. Energy landscape of butane. The y -axis is energy, and the x -axis is the dihedral angle varying from 0 to 2π . From left to right, the critical points are d, b_1, c_1, a, c_2, b_2 .




FIGURE 14. Figure from [28]. (Left) Pentane sublevelsets $f_2^{-1}(-\infty, r] := \{y \in (S^1)^2 \mid f_2(y) \leq r\}$ are drawn, in green, for increasing values of energy value r . (Right) The pentane sublevelset persistent homology. The 0-, 1-, and 2-dimensional homology features are shown in red, blue, and green, respectively. The x -axis is energy (kJ/mol).

d with energy value $f_1(d) = \delta = 19.0626\dots$. The sublevelsets of the energy function $f_2: (S^1)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for pentane are shown in Figure 14.




FIGURE 15. Figure from [28] with critical levels indicated. Persistent homology barcodes for butane and pentane. The color of the bar indicates the homological dimension. The x -axis is energy (kJ/mol).




FIGURE 16. Figure from [28] with critical levels indicated. Persistent homology barcodes for hexane. The color of the bar indicates the homological dimension. The x -axis is energy (kJ/mol).

A common low-dimensional representation of a high-dimensional energy landscape is via a *merge tree* or *disconnectivity graph* [40, 41]. In such a representation, each connected component of an energy sublevelset corresponds to a vertex in a graph. As a result, merge trees encode how new configurations emerge and how new transition paths between configurations emerge as the energy level increases. However, merge trees do not contain any information about the shape of each connected component of an energy landscape. The paper [28] studied the persistent homology of the energy sublevelsets of alkane chains, showing that connected components of the energy landscape can have complicated topologies with a large number of i -dimensional holes for $i \geq 1$. Furthermore, an analytical formula was given for the OPLS-UA energy function $f_n: (S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ modelling $n + 3$ carbons in an alkane chain, based on the Künneth formula for persistent homology [10, 12, 17, 33]. This work is extended in [36], which shows how the persistent Künneth formula can be used to describe the persistent homology barcodes of the sublevelset persistence of any additive energy function over a product space, including, for example, branched alkanes.

5.2. Analysis of the persistent homotopy groups. In this subsection, we study sublevelset persistent homotopy groups of the energy functions for butane, pentane and hexane. For butane, there is essentially no difference between persistent homology and homotopy; for pentane, the only difference arises for the semi-infinite homology bars; and for hexane, significant differences between persistent homology and homotopy arise already for the finite-length persistent homology bars.

The butane and pentane sublevelset persistent homology barcodes are depicted in Figure 15. For butane, there is no significant difference between homology and homotopy persistence. For pentane, the difference appears at the last critical energy level, 2δ , when the sublevelset becomes the entire torus $S^1 \times S^1$. At the energy level 2δ , an H_2 persistent homology generator is introduced, and there is no change to H_1 (the two current bars continue). On the other hand, when we consider persistent homotopy, at the last critical energy level 2δ , there is no new π_2 generator, but instead, this level introduces a commutator relation on the fundamental group. Indeed, the fundamental group transitions from the free group on two generators to the free abelian group on two generators.

We analyze the sublevelset persistent homotopy groups of the energy function $f_3: (S^1)^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for hexane. For the persistent homology barcodes, see Figure 16. There are 20 critical sublevelsets for hexane, whose energies are ordered from smallest to largest as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &3\alpha, 2\alpha + \beta, \alpha + 2\beta, 3\beta, 2\alpha + \gamma, \alpha + \beta + \gamma, 2\alpha + \delta, \\ &2\beta + \gamma, \alpha + \beta + \delta, 2\beta + \delta, \alpha + 2\gamma, \beta + 2\gamma, \alpha + \gamma + \delta, \\ &\beta + \gamma + \delta, \alpha + 2\delta, 3\gamma, \beta + 2\delta, 2\gamma + \delta, \gamma + 2\delta, 3\delta. \end{aligned}$$

As we increase the energy level past a critical value, a collection of critical points (with that prescribed critical energy value) appear in the sublevelset. Each critical point of index i changes the shape of the sublevelset, up to homotopy equivalence, by attaching a cell of dimension i [4, 29]. As described in Appendices A and B of [28], in this way, we build up the Morse complex of the energy function, which is a CW complex model of

the 3-dimensional torus $(S^1)^3$, containing 27 critical 0-cells, 81 critical 1-cells, 81 critical 2-cells, and 27 critical 3-cells. We now describe these sublevelsets and their homotopy groups π_i for $i \leq 2$ as the energy values increase and hence as more critical cells are included.

At the critical energy levels $3\alpha, 2\alpha + \beta, \alpha + 2\beta, 3\beta$, we pass more and more critical points of index 0, and the homotopy types of the sublevelsets transition from one to seven, to nineteen, to twenty-seven contractible connected components. As can be seen in Figure 17, these twenty-seven connected components are arranged in the shape of a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ grid on the 3-dimensional torus $(S^1)^3$. At energy level $2\alpha + \gamma$, the first six critical points of index 1 appear (the purple edges in Figure 17), decreasing the number of connected components from twenty-seven down to twenty-one.




FIGURE 17. Hexane sublevelset $f_3^{-1}((-\infty, 2\beta + \gamma])$. Purple edges appear at the level $2\alpha + \gamma$, green edges appear at the level $\alpha + \beta + \gamma$, brown edges appear at the level $2\alpha + \delta$ and the red edges appear at the level $2\beta + \gamma$.

At scale, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma$, the twenty-four green edges in Figure 17 appear. Together, these twenty-four edges reduce the number of connected components by twelve (from twenty-one connected components down to nine), and they produce the first twelve fundamental group generators. This gives the first non-trivial π_1 in a sublevelset, namely

$$\pi_1(f_3^{-1}(-\infty, \alpha + \beta + \gamma]) \cong *_{12}\mathbb{Z},$$

the free group on twelve generators. These twelve generators for π_1 can be seen as the twelve squares with green and purple edges in Figure 17. The vertex sets of these twelve

generators are listed below, with four generators in the xy plane, four in the xz plane, and four in the yz plane:

$$\begin{aligned}
 xy &: \{aaa, b_1aa, b_1b_1a, ab_1a\}, \{aaa, b_1aa, b_1b_2a, ab_2a\}, \{aaa, b_2aa, b_2b_1a, ab_1a\}, \{aaa, b_2aa, b_2b_2a, ab_2a\} \\
 xz &: \{aaa, b_1aa, b_1ab_1, aab_1\}, \{aaa, b_1aa, b_1ab_2, aab_2\}, \{aaa, b_2aa, b_2ab_1, aab_1\}, \{aaa, b_2aa, b_2ab_2, aab_2\} \\
 yz &: \{aaa, ab_1a, ab_1b_1, aab_1\}, \{aaa, ab_1a, ab_1b_2, aab_2\}, \{aaa, ab_2a, ab_2b_1, aab_1\}, \{aaa, ab_2a, ab_2b_2, aab_2\}.
 \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 18. A π_1 generator at the level $\alpha + \beta + \gamma$ with connecting edges labeled.

For notational ease, we denote a cell in our cubical complex as xyz , where each of x , y , and z are an element of the set $\{a, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2, d\}$. The dimension of such a cell is the number of entries of the form c_i or d . For example, b_1ab_1 is a 0-cell since it has no copies of c_i or d . For example, c_1ab_1 is the 1-cell connecting the vertex b_1ab_1 to the vertex aab_1 . And c_1ac_1 is the 2-cell, or square, whose boundary is shown in Figure 18.

We list the fundamental and second homotopy groups of the remaining sublevelsets below, starting with the last energy value for which both π_1 and π_2 are the trivial groups. By $*_k\mathbb{Z}$, we denote the free group on k generators, which is not abelian for $k \geq 2$, and by $\oplus_k\mathbb{Z}$, we denote the free abelian group on k generators. This analysis does not consider the homotopy groups π_i for $i \geq 3$, which can be quite complicated. Indeed, even for the 2-sphere we have $\pi_3(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \neq 0$, as generated by the Hopf fibration. For simplicity, at each row, π_1 and π_2 denote the homotopy groups of the sublevelset at the corresponding energy value:

$2\alpha + \gamma :$	$\pi_1 \cong 0$	$\pi_2 \cong 0$
$\alpha + \beta + \gamma :$	$\pi_1 \cong *_{12}\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong 0$
$2\alpha + \delta :$	$\pi_1 \cong *_{12}\mathbb{Z} *_{3}\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong 0$
$2\beta + \gamma :$	$\pi_1 \cong *_{12}\mathbb{Z} *_{3}\mathbb{Z} *_{16}\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong 0$
$\alpha + \beta + \delta :$	$\pi_1 \cong *_{12}\mathbb{Z} *_{3}\mathbb{Z} *_{16}\mathbb{Z} *_{12}\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong 0$
$2\beta + \delta :$	$\pi_1 \cong *_{12}\mathbb{Z} *_{3}\mathbb{Z} *_{16}\mathbb{Z} *_{12}\mathbb{Z} *_{12}\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong 0$
$\alpha + 2\gamma :$	$\pi_1 \cong *_{3}\mathbb{Z} *_{16}\mathbb{Z} *_{12}\mathbb{Z} *_{12}\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong 0$
$\beta + 2\gamma :$	$\pi_1 \cong *_{3}\mathbb{Z} *_{12}\mathbb{Z} *_{12}\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong \pi_2((\vee_{27}S^1) \vee (\vee_8S^2)) \cong \oplus_{\infty}\mathbb{Z}$
$\alpha + \gamma + \delta :$	$\pi_1 \cong *_{3}\mathbb{Z} *_{12}\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong \pi_2((\vee_{15}S^1) \vee (\vee_8S^2)) \cong \oplus_{\infty}\mathbb{Z}$
$\beta + \gamma + \delta :$	$\pi_1 \cong *_{3}\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong \pi_2((\vee_3S^1) \vee (\vee_{20}S^2)) \cong \oplus_{\infty}\mathbb{Z}$
$\alpha + 2\delta :$	$\pi_1 \cong \oplus_3\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong \pi_2(((S^1)^3 \setminus \{p\}) \vee (\vee_{20}S^2))$
$3\gamma :$	$\pi_1 \cong \oplus_3\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong \pi_2(((S^1)^3 \setminus \{p\}) \vee (\vee_{12}S^2))$
$\beta + 2\delta :$	$\pi_1 \cong \oplus_3\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong \pi_2(((S^1)^3 \setminus \{p\}) \vee (\vee_{18}S^2))$
$2\gamma + \delta :$	$\pi_1 \cong \oplus_3\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong \pi_2(((S^1)^3 \setminus \{p\}) \vee (\vee_6S^2))$
$\gamma + 2\delta :$	$\pi_1 \cong \oplus_3\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong \pi_2((S^1)^3 \setminus \{p\})$
$3\delta :$	$\pi_1 \cong \oplus_3\mathbb{Z}$	$\pi_2 \cong 0$

At the level $2\alpha + \delta$ in Figure 19(A), three edges appear and three new π_1 generators (1-cycles) are born. These are infinite cycles that never die, as they are generators for the fundamental group of the entire 3-dimensional torus.

At the level $2\beta + \gamma$ in Figure 19(B), twenty-four edges appear. Eight of these edges connect disconnected components, and we arrive at a connected sublevelset that will remain connected for the rest of the filtration. The remaining sixteen of these edges produce new π_1 generators. The sublevelset at this level is homotopy equivalent to $\vee_{31}S^1$.

At the level $\alpha + \beta + \delta$ in Figure 19(C), twelve edges appear. Twelve new π_1 generators are born, which are parallel copies, lying in the coordinate planes, of the 1-cycles that were born at the level $2\alpha + \delta$. This sublevelset is homotopy equivalent to $\vee_{43}S^1$.

At the level $2\beta + \delta$ in Figure 19(D), twelve edges appear connecting between vertices of the form $b_i b_j b_k$ for $i, j, k \in \{0, 1\}$. These twelve edges produce twelve new π_1 generators. The sublevelset is homotopy equivalent to $\vee_{55}S^1$.

At the level $\alpha + 2\gamma$ in Figure 19(E), the first twelve 2-cells appear. These each kill π_1 generators born at level $\alpha + \beta + \gamma$. For example, the π_1 generator given in Figure 18 is filled in by the 2-cell $c_1 a c_1$. This sublevelset is homotopy equivalent to $\vee_{43}S^1$.

At the level $\beta + 2\gamma$ in Figure 19(F), twenty-four more 2-cells appear. Sixteen of these 2-cells kill π_1 generators born at level $2\beta + \gamma$. The remaining eight 2-cells create 2-dimensional spheres, given by the eight hollow cubes arranged in a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ grid. This




FIGURE 19. Critical levels from $2\alpha + \delta$ to $2\gamma + \delta$. The new simplices are plotted in blue at each stage, and all prior simplices are plotted in gray.

sublevelset is homotopy equivalent to $(\vee_{27} S^1) \vee (\vee_8 S^2)$. Hence $\pi_1 \cong *_{27} \mathbb{Z}$. We remark that $\pi_2((\vee_{27} S^1) \vee (\vee_8 S^2))$ is complicated, and not even finitely generated. Indeed, the universal cover of $(\vee_{27} S^1) \vee (\vee_8 S^2)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\vee_\infty S^2$, the wedge sum of countably-infinite many copies of the sphere S^2 . By Hurewicz, $\pi_2(\vee_\infty S^2)$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_\infty \mathbb{Z}$, the direct sum of countably-infinite many copies of \mathbb{Z} . And since a space and its universal cover have isomorphic homotopy groups π_i for $i \geq 2$, this shows that $\pi_2((\vee_{27} S^1) \vee (\vee_8 S^2)) \cong \bigoplus_\infty \mathbb{Z}$.

At the level $\alpha + \gamma + \delta$ in Figure 19(G), twelve more 2-cells appear, and the twelve π_1 generators that were born at the level $\alpha + \beta + \delta$ are merged with the three infinite cycles that were born at the level $2\alpha + \delta$. These mergings can be seen as homotopies between circles through the new blue 2-cells. The homotopy type at this level is $(\vee_{15}S^1) \vee (\vee_8S^2)$, and hence $\pi_1 \cong *_{15}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_2 \cong \oplus_{\infty}\mathbb{Z}$.

At the level $\beta + \gamma + \delta$ in Figure 19(H), twenty-four 2-cells appear. As a result, twelve π_1 generators that were born at the level of the $2\beta + \delta$ are merged with the 3 infinite cycles that were born at the level $2\alpha + \delta$. Also at this level, twelve new π_2 generators (2-cycles) are born, again as hollow cubes. Four of these hollow cubes arise from the identification of the top and bottom faces, four from the identification of the right and left faces and four from the identification of the front and back faces. The homotopy type at this level is $(\vee_3S^1) \vee (\vee_{20}S^2)$, and hence $\pi_1 \cong *_3\mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_2 \cong \oplus_{\infty}\mathbb{Z}$.

At the level $\alpha + 2\delta$ in Figure 19(I), we have three new 2-cells, which give three new commutator relations, $[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$, $[a, c] = aca^{-1}c^{-1}$ and $[b, c] = bcb^{-1}c^{-1}$. Here, a , b , and c are the three π_1 generators that never die (born at level $2\alpha + \delta$). Hence, at the level $\alpha + 2\delta$ the fundamental group becomes abelian, namely $\pi_1 \cong \oplus_3\mathbb{Z}$. The homotopy type at this level is $((S^1)^3 \setminus \{p\}) \vee (\vee_{20}S^2)$.

At the level 3γ in Figure 19(J), the first eight 3-cells appear. These 3-cells are of the form $c_i c_j c_k$ for $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$, and that is why these 3-cells are arranged in a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ grid. Each 3-cell kills one of the π_2 generators born at level $\beta + 2\gamma$. The homotopy type at this level is $((S^1)^3 \setminus \{p\}) \vee (\vee_{12}S^2)$.

At the level $\beta + 2\delta$ in Figure 19(K), the last six 2-cells appear, which are of the form $b_i dd$ and all permutations thereof. These give birth to six new “essential” π_2 generators. One of these generators can be seen in Figure 20. The homotopy type at this level is $((S^1)^3 \setminus \{p\}) \vee (\vee_{18}S^2)$. At this level, the 2-skeleton of the final simplicial complex is now complete, and therefore $\pi_1 \cong \oplus_3\mathbb{Z}$ remains unchanged for the remainder of the filtration.




FIGURE 20. One of the π_2 generators at the level $\beta + 2\delta$. Red-colored faces (left, right, back and front faces) appear at level $\beta + \gamma + \delta$, the purple-colored face (bottom face) appears at level $\alpha + 2\delta$, and the brown-colored face (top face) appears at level $\beta + 2\delta$.

At the level $2\gamma + \delta$ in Figure 19(L), twelve more 3-cells appear, of the form $c_i c_j d$ and all permutations thereof. These kill the twelve π_2 generators that were born at the level $\beta + \gamma + \delta$. The homotopy type at this level is $((S^1)^3 \setminus \{p\}) \vee (\vee_6 S^2)$.

At the level $\gamma + 2\delta$, six more 3-cells appear: $c_1 dd, c_2 dd, dc_1 d, dc_2 d, ddc_1, ddc_2$. These six 3-cells kill the six π_2 generators born at the level $\beta + 2\delta$. The homotopy type at this level is $(S^1)^3 \setminus \{p\}$, namely a 3-dimensional torus with a single point removed. This is known in the literature as the *spine* of a 3-manifold [32, 35].

Finally, at the level 3δ , the final 3-cell ddd appears. The sublevelset becomes the entire 3-dimensional torus $(S^1)^3$. We know that the homotopy groups of $(S^1)^3$ are $\pi_1((S^1)^3) = \oplus_3 \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_i((S^1)^3) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$, since the homotopy group of a product is the product of the homotopy groups, i.e. since $\pi_i((S^1)^3) = \prod_3 \pi_i(S^1)$.

Notice that considering homotopy groups in addition to homology groups of the sublevelset as in [28] shows us the difference between a wedge of circles and spheres and the product of circles. If one only considers persistent homology barcodes, then the barcodes obtained from the sublevelset persistent homology of hexane, as described in [28], could potentially have also been obtained from the filtration of a space that is homotopy equivalent to the wedge sum of three circles, three 2-dimensional spheres, and a single 3-dimensional sphere. However, by also considering persistent *homotopy* groups, we learn that such a wedge sum is not correct and that, instead, hexane is a filtration of the 3-dimensional torus (a 3-fold product of circles).

Question 5.1. We have described the persistent homotopy groups of the alkane molecules $f_n: (S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined via $f_n(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n) = f_1(\phi_1) + f_1(\phi_2) + \dots + f_1(\phi_n)$, in the case of butane ($n = 1$), pentane ($n = 2$), and hexane ($n = 3$). We ask if it is possible to give a description of these persistent homotopy groups for all values of n . It is conceivable that this goal is within reach; indeed [28] provided an analytical description of the persistent *homology* groups of $f_n: (S^1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for all values of n .

REFERENCES

1. M. Adamaszek and H. Adams, *The Vietoris-Rips complexes of a circle*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **290**, 2017, 1–40.
2. H. Adams, F. Frick and Z. Vřirk, *Vietoris thickenings and complexes have isomorphic homotopy groups*, Journal of Applied and Computational Topology **7**:2, 2023, 221–241.
3. M. Adamaszek and H. Adams and F. Frick, *Metric reconstruction via optimal transport*, SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry **2**, 2018, 597–619.
4. A. Banyaga and D. Hurtubise, *Lectures on Morse homology*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, 2024.
5. B. Bleile, A. Garin, T. Heiss, K. Maggs, V. Robins, *The Persistent Homology of Dual Digital Image Constructions* In: Gasparovic, E., Robins, V., Turner, K. (eds) Research in Computational Topology 2. Association for Women in Mathematics Series, **30**, 2022, 1–26.
6. M. Botnan, and M. Lesnick, *Algebraic stability of zigzag persistence modules*, Algebraic and geometric topology, **18**:6, 2018, 3133–3204.
7. P. Brendel, P. Dlotko, G. Ellis, M. Juda, M. Mrozek, *Computing fundamental groups from point clouds*, Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing **26** 1-2, 2015, 27–48
8. R. Brown, *Topology and Groupoids*, BookSurge PLC, 2006.

9. P. Bubenik, and C.A. Scott, *Categorification of Persistent Homology*, Discrete Comput. Geom., **51**, 2014, 600–627.
10. P. Bubenik and N. Milićević, *Homological algebra for persistence modules*, Foundations of Computational Mathematics, **21**, 2021, 1233–1278.
11. G. Carlsson, *Topology and data*, Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc. **46**, 2009, 255–308.
12. G. Carlsson and B. Filippenko, *Persistent homology of the sum metric*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, **224**:5, 2020, 106244.
13. F. Chazal, D. Cohen Steiner, M. Glisse, L. J. Guibas and S. Y. Oudot, *Proximity of persistence modules and their diagrams*, Proceedings of the 25th annual symposium on Computational geometry, SoCG 09, 2009, 237–246.
14. D. Cohen-Steiner, H. Edelsbrunner, and J. Harer. *Stability of persistence diagrams*, In Proc. 21st ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom., 2005, 263–271.
15. V. De Silva, E. Munch and A. Patel, *Categorified Reeb graphs*. Discrete and Computational Geometry, 55(4), 2016, 854–906.
16. P. Frossini and M. Mulazzani, *Size homotopy groups for computation of natural size distances*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **6(3)**, 1999, 455–464.
17. H. Gakhar and J.A. Perea, *Künneth Formulae in Persistent Homology*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.05656, 2019.
18. P. Gillespie, *Vietoris thickenings and complexes are weakly homotopy equivalent*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01019, 2023.
19. R. Ghrist, *Barcodes: the persistent topology of data*, Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc, **45**, 2008, 61–75.
20. C. Gordon and J. Luecke, *Knots Are Determined by Their Complements*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2**, 1989, 371–415.
21. A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
22. P.J. Hilton, *On the homotopy groups of the union of spheres*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, Second Series, **30**:2, 1955, 154–172.
23. W.L. Jorgensen, D.S. Maxwell, J. Tirrado-Rives, *Development and testing of the OPLS all-atom force field on conformational energetics and properties of organic liquids*, Journal of the American Chemical Society, **118**:45, 1996, 11225–11236.
24. M. Lesnick, *The theory of the interleaving distance on multidimensional persistence modules*, Foundations of Computational Mathematics, **15** Issue 3, 2015, 613–650.
25. D. Letscher, *On Persistent Homotopy, Knotted Complexes and the Alexander Module*, Proceedings of the 3rd Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science Conference, 2012, 428–441.
26. S. Lim, F. Mémoli, and O.B. Okutan, *Vietoris–Rips persistent homology, injective metric spaces, and the filling radius*, Accepted to appear in Algebraic & Geometric Topology, 2022.
27. B. Chen, M. G. Martin, and J. I. Siepmann, *Thermodynamic properties of the Williams, OPLS-AA, and MMFF94 all-atom force fields for normal alkanes*, The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, **102**:14, 1988, 2578–2586.
28. J. Mirth, Y. Zhai, J. Bush, E.G. Alvarado, H. Jordan, M. Heim, B. Krishnamoorth, M. Pflaum, A. Clark, Y Z, and H. Adams, *Representations of energy landscapes by sublevelset persistent homology: An example with n-alkanes*, The Journal of Chemical Physics, **154**:11, 2021, 114114.
29. J. Milnor, *Morse Theory*, Princeton Univesity Press, **51**, 1963.
30. F. Mémoli, L. Zhou, *Persistent homotopy groups of metric spaces*, arXiv preprint, arXiv:1912.12399v5, 2022.
31. G. Muszynski, K. Kashinath, V. Kurlin, and M. Wehner, *Topological data analysis and machine learning for recognizing atmospheric river patterns in large climate datasets*, Geoscientific Model Development, **12**:2, 2019, 613–628.
32. R.P. Osborne and R.S. Stevens, *Group Presentations Corresponding to Spines of 3-Manifolds I*, American Journal of Mathematics **96**:3, 1974, 454–471.

33. L. Polterovich, E. Shelukhin, and V. Stojisavljević, *Persistence modules with operators in Morse and Floer theory*, Moscow Mathematical Journal, **17**, 2017, 757–786.
34. R. Smith, *Groundwater-surface water connectivity of heavily modified rivers*, PhD Thesis, Durham University, 2019.
35. R.S. Stevens, *Classification of 3-manifolds with certain spines*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, **205**, 1975, 151-166.
36. B. Story, B. Sadhu, H. Adams, and A. Clark, *Additive energy functions have predictable landscape topologies*, The Journal of Chemical Physics, **158**:16, 2023.
37. H. R. Yoon, R. Ghrist, and C. Giusti, *Persistent Extensions and Analogous Bars: Data-Induced Relations Between Persistence Barcodes*, arXiv preprint, arXiv:2201.05190v2, 2022.
38. L. Ver Hoef, E. King, H. Adams, and I. Ebert-Uphoff, *A Primer on Topological Data Analysis to Support Image Analysis Tasks in Environmental Science*, Artificial Intelligence for the Earth Systems **2**:1, 2022, e220039.
39. Ž. Virk, *Footprints of Geodesics in Persistent Homology*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, **19**:160, (2022), 1–29.
40. D. Wales, *Energy Landscapes: Applications to Clusters, Biomolecules and Glasses*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
41. D. Wales, *The energy landscape as a unifying theme in molecular science*, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A, **363**, 2005, 357–377.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA
GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32611, USA
Email address: henry.adams@ufl.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY
ANKARA 06531, TURKEY
Email address: alibatan@metu.edu.tr, mpamuk@metu.edu.tr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ÇANKIRI KARATEKIN UNIVERSITY
ÇANKIRI 18100, TURKEY
Email address: hanifevarli@karatekin.edu.tr