

# Weaving Hilbert Space Frames and Duality

Fahimeh Arabyani Neyshaburi and Ali Akbar Arefijamaal

**Abstract.** Weaving Hilbert space frames have been introduced recently by Bemrose et al. to deal with some problems in distributed signal processing. In this paper, we survey this topic from the viewpoint of the duality principle, so we obtain new properties in weaving frame theory related to dual frames. Specifically, we give some sufficient conditions under which a frame with its canonical dual, alternate duals or approximate duals constitute some concrete pairs of woven frames. Moreover, we survey the stability of weaving frames under perturbations and different operators.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** 42C15.

**Keywords.** Riesz bases, Hilbert space frames, dual frames, woven frames.

## 1. Introduction

Today, frame theory have been developed in various areas of pure and applied science and engineering [3, 7, 9, 10, 12]. Due to redundance requirement in applications and theoretical goals, in over the past two decades, several generalizations of frames have been presented [1, 2, 4, 13, 17, 19, 20]. Recently, Bemrose et al. [6] have introduced a new concept in frame theory due to some problems in distributed signal processing, called *weaving Hilbert space frames*. This notion also has potential applications in wireless sensor networks which require distributed processing using different frames. Two given frames  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$  for a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  are woven if there exist constants  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$  so that for every subset  $J \subset I$  the family  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J} \cup \{\psi_i\}_{i \in J^c}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with frame bounds  $A$  and  $B$ . In [6, 14] the authors presented some remarkable properties of weaving frames and weaving Riesz bases. In this paper, we give new basic properties of weaving frames related to dual frames to survey under which conditions a frame with its dual constitute woven frames. Moreover, we present some approaches for constructing concrete pairs of woven frames.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will review some basic definitions and results related to frames and weaving frames in Hilbert

spaces. Section 3 is devoted to presenting several methods of constructing concrete pairs of woven frames. We also obtain some conditions to prove that a frame with its duals or approximate duals constitute some pairs of woven frames. As a consequence, we show that every Riesz basis is woven with its canonical dual. Finally, we survey the stability of woven frames under small perturbations in Section 4.

## 2. Review and preliminaries

A family of vectors  $\varphi := \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  in a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a Riesz basis if  $\overline{\text{span}}\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I} = \mathcal{H}$  and there exist the constants  $0 < A_\varphi \leq B_\varphi < \infty$  so that for all  $\{c_i\}_{i \in I} \in l^2(I)$ ,

$$A_\varphi \sum_{i \in I} |c_i|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{i \in I} c_i \varphi_i \right\|^2 \leq B_\varphi \sum_{i \in I} |c_i|^2.$$

The constants  $A_\varphi$  and  $B_\varphi$  are called *Riesz basis bounds*. Even though, Riesz bases are useful tools in some applications, they present a unique decomposition of signals. Redundancy is one of the striking properties of frame, which helps us obtain infinitely many decompositions of a signal and reduce the errors of reconstruction formulas.

**Definition 2.1.** A family of vectors  $\varphi := \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a *frame* for  $\mathcal{H}$  if there exist the constants  $0 < A_\varphi \leq B_\varphi < \infty$  such that

$$A_\varphi \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} | \langle f, \varphi_i \rangle |^2 \leq B_\varphi \|f\|^2, \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}). \quad (2.1)$$

The constants  $A_\varphi$  and  $B_\varphi$  are called *frame bounds*. The supremum of all lower frame bounds is called the *optimal lower frame bound* and likewise, the *optimal upper frame bound* is defined as the infimum of all upper frame bounds.  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  is said to be a *Bessel sequence* whenever in (2.1), the right-hand side holds. A frame  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  is called *A-tight frame* if  $A = A_\varphi = B_\varphi$ , and in the case of  $A_\varphi = B_\varphi = 1$  it is called a *Parseval frame*. Moreover,  $\varphi$  is an  $\epsilon$ -*nearly Parseval frame* [8] if

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} | \langle f, \varphi_i \rangle |^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|f\|^2, \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}).$$

Also,  $\varphi$  is called *equal-norm* if there exists  $c > 0$  so that  $\|\varphi_i\| = c$  for all  $i \in I$  and it is  $\epsilon$ -*nearly equal norm* if

$$(1 - \epsilon)c \leq \|\varphi_i\| \leq (1 + \epsilon)c.$$

Given a frame  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$ , the *frame operator* is defined by

$$S_\varphi f = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, \varphi_i \rangle \varphi_i.$$

It is a bounded, invertible, and self-adjoint operator [15]. Also, the *synthesis operator*  $T_\varphi : l^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is defined by  $T_\varphi \{c_i\} = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \varphi_i$ . The frame operator can be written as  $S_\varphi = T_\varphi T_\varphi^*$  where  $T_\varphi^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow l^2$ , the adjoint of  $T$ , given by

$T_\varphi^* \varphi = \{\langle \varphi, \varphi_i \rangle\}_{i \in I}$  is called the *analysis operator*. The family  $\{S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  is also a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ , called the *canonical dual* frame. In general, a frame  $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is called an *alternate dual* or simply a *dual* for  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  if

$$f = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, \psi_i \rangle \varphi_i, \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}). \quad (2.2)$$

All frames have at least a dual, the canonical dual, and redundant frames have infinite many alternate dual frames. It is known that every dual frame is of the form  $\{S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i + u_i\}_{i \in I}$ , where  $\{u_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a Bessel sequence that satisfies

$$\sum_{i \in I} \langle f, u_i \rangle \varphi_i = 0, \quad (f \in \mathcal{H}). \quad (2.3)$$

Now, let us to present some preliminaries about weaving frames which are used in our main results.

**Definition 2.2.** A finite family of frames  $\{\phi_{ij}\}_{j=1, i \in I}^M$  in Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be *woven* if there are universal constants  $A$  and  $B$  so that for every partition  $\{J_j\}_{j=1}^M$  of  $I$ , the family  $\{\phi_{ij}\}_{j=1, i \in J_j}^M$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with bounds  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Each family  $\{\phi_{ij}\}_{j=1, i \in J_j}^M$  is called a *weaving*.

Moreover the family  $\{\phi_{ij}\}_{j=1, i \in I}^M$  is called *weakly woven* if for every partition  $\{J_j\}_{j=1}^M$  of  $I$ , the family  $\{\phi_{ij}\}_{j=1, i \in J_j}^M$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ . The following theorem shows that weakly woven is equivalent to the frames being woven.

**Theorem 2.3.** [6] *Given two frames  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$  for  $\mathcal{H}$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The two frames are woven.*
- (ii) *The two frames are weakly woven.*

In the following we mention some properties, proved in [6], which are used in the present paper.

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $\{\phi_{ij}\}_{j=1, i \in I}^M$  is a family of Bessel sequences for  $\mathcal{H}$  with a Bessel bound  $B_j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq M$ . Then every weaving is a Bessel sequence with a Bessel bound  $\sum_{j=1}^M B_j$ .*

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a frame and  $T$  an invertible operator satisfying  $\|I_{\mathcal{H}} - T\|^2 < \frac{A_\varphi}{B_\varphi}$ . Then  $\varphi$  and  $T\varphi$  are woven with the universal lower bound  $(\sqrt{A_\varphi} - \sqrt{B_\varphi} \|I_{\mathcal{H}} - T^*\|)^2$ .*

**Definition 2.6.** If  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$ , let

$$d_{W_1}(W_2) = \inf\{\|f - g\| : f \in W_1, g \in S_{W_2}\},$$

and

$$d_{W_2}(W_1) = \inf\{\|f - g\| : f \in S_{W_1}, g \in W_2\},$$

where  $S_{W_i} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}} \cap W_i$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$  is the unit sphere in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then the distance between  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  is defined as

$$d(W_1, W_2) = \min\{d_{W_1}(W_2), d_{W_2}(W_1)\}$$

**Theorem 2.7.** *If  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$  are two Riesz bases for  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the following are equivalent*

- (i)  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are woven.
- (ii) For every  $J \subset I$ ,  $d(\overline{\text{span}}\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J}, \overline{\text{span}}\{\psi_i\}_{i \in J^c}) > 0$ .
- (iii) There is a constant  $c > 0$  so that for every  $J \subset I$ ,

$$d_{\varphi_J, \psi_{J^c}} := d(\overline{\text{span}}\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J}, \overline{\text{span}}\{\psi_i\}_{i \in J^c}) \geq c.$$

Throughout this paper, we suppose  $\mathcal{H}$  is a separable Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{H}_m$  an  $m$ -dimensional Hilbert space,  $I$  a countable index set and  $I_{\mathcal{H}}$  is the identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For every  $J \subset I$ , we show the complement of  $J$  by  $J^c$ . Also, we use of  $T_{\varphi_J}$  and  $S_{\varphi_J}$  to denote the synthesis operator and frame operator of a frame  $\varphi$ , whenever the index set is limited to  $J \subset I$  and we use of  $[n]$  to denote the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

### 3. Weaving and duality

In this section, we concentrate on examining some conditions under which a frame with its duals (approximate duals) are woven. This approach helps us construct some concrete pairs of woven frames.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\varphi := \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a redundant frame so that*

$$\|I_{\mathcal{H}} - S_{\varphi}^{-1}\|^2 < \frac{A_{\varphi}}{B_{\varphi}}. \quad (3.1)$$

*Then  $\varphi$  has infinitely many dual frames  $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$  for which  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$  are woven.*

*Proof.* Using the assumption with the aid of Proposition 2.5 implies that  $\varphi$  and  $\{S_{\varphi}^{-1}\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  are woven frames for  $\mathcal{H}$  with the universal lower bound  $\mathcal{A} := (\sqrt{A_{\varphi}} - \sqrt{B_{\varphi}}\|I_{\mathcal{H}} - S_{\varphi}^{-1}\|)^2$ . Now, let  $U = \{u_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a Bessel sequence, which satisfies (2.3) and take  $\epsilon > 0$  so that

$$\epsilon^2 B_U + 2\epsilon\sqrt{B_U/A_{\varphi}} < \mathcal{A}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then  $\Psi_{\alpha} := \{S_{\varphi}^{-1}\varphi_i + \alpha u_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a dual frame of  $\varphi$ , for all  $0 < \alpha < \epsilon$ . To show  $\varphi$  and  $\Psi_{\alpha}$  constitute woven frames for  $\mathcal{H}$ , due to Proposition 2.4 we only need to prove the existence of a universal lower bound. Suppose  $J \subset I$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i \in J} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in J^c} |\langle f, S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i + \alpha u_i \rangle|^2 \\
= & \sum_{i \in J} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in J^c} |\langle f, S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i \rangle + \langle f, \alpha u_i \rangle|^2 \\
\geq & \sum_{i \in J} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in J^c} \left| |\langle f, S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i \rangle| - |\langle f, \alpha u_i \rangle| \right|^2 \\
\geq & \sum_{i \in J} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in J^c} |\langle f, S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \\
& - \sum_{i \in J^c} |\langle f, \alpha u_i \rangle|^2 - 2 \sum_{i \in J^c} |\langle f, S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i \rangle| |\langle f, \alpha u_i \rangle| \\
\geq & \left( A - \alpha^2 B_U - 2\alpha \sqrt{B_U/A_\varphi} \right) \|f\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and so the lower bound is obtained by (3.2).  $\square$

Recall that the notion of approximate dual for discrete frames introduced by Christensen and Laugesen in [16] due to the explicit computation of dual frames seems rather intricate. Approximate duals are not only easier to construct but also lead to perfect reconstructions. Let  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ . A Bessel sequence  $\psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$  is called an *approximate dual frame* of  $\varphi$ , whenever  $\|I_{\mathcal{H}} - T_\psi T_\varphi^*\| < 1$ . Applying Theorem 2.1 of [18] we obtain the next result.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\varphi := \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a redundant frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  and there exists an operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  so that*

$$\|I_{\mathcal{H}} - T\| < 1, \quad \|I_{\mathcal{H}} - T^* S_\varphi^{-1}\|^2 < \frac{A_\varphi}{B_\varphi}. \quad (3.3)$$

*Then,  $\varphi$  has infinitely many approximate dual frames such as  $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$ , for which  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$  are woven.*

*Proof.* Applying Theorem 2.1 of [18] the sequence  $\{T^* S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i + \theta^* \delta_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an approximate dual of  $\varphi$ , in which  $\{\delta_i\}_{i \in I}$  is the standard orthonormal basis of  $l^2$  and the operator  $\theta \in B(\mathcal{H}, l^2)$  satisfies  $T_\varphi \theta = 0$ . Also, by Proposition 2.5,  $\varphi$  and  $\{T^* S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  are woven with the universal lower bound  $(\sqrt{A_\varphi} - \sqrt{B_\varphi} \|I_{\mathcal{H}} - T^* S_\varphi^{-1}\|)^2$ . Using an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 if we take  $\epsilon > 0$  so that

$$\epsilon^2 \|\theta\|^2 + 2\epsilon \|\theta\| \|S_\varphi^{-1} T\| \sqrt{B_\varphi} < \left( \sqrt{A_\varphi} - \sqrt{B_\varphi} \|I_{\mathcal{H}} - T^* S_\varphi^{-1}\| \right)^2,$$

then  $\Psi_\alpha := \{T^* S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i + \alpha \theta^* \delta_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an approximate dual frame of  $\varphi$ , for all  $0 < \alpha < \epsilon$ . Moreover, similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 one can check that  $\varphi$  and  $\Psi_\alpha$  are woven frames.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Every redundant Parseval frame and also  $A$ -tight frame with  $A > 1/2$  has infinitely many dual frames which are woven. Moreover, all  $A$ -tight frames have infinitely many approximate dual frames which are woven with the original frame.*

**Example 3.4.** Suppose  $\mathcal{H} = l^2$  with the standard orthonormal basis  $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and consider the following known Parseval frame for  $\mathcal{H}$

$$\varphi = \left\{ \delta_1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\delta_2, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\delta_2, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\delta_3, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\delta_3, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\delta_3, \dots \right\}.$$

Putting

$$U = \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\delta_2, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\delta_2, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\delta_3, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\delta_3, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\delta_3, \frac{1}{3\sqrt{4}}\delta_4, \frac{1}{3\sqrt{4}}\delta_4, \frac{1}{3\sqrt{4}}\delta_4, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{4}}\delta_4, \dots \right\},$$

one can easily check that the Bessel sequence  $U$  satisfies (2.3) with the upper bound  $B_U = 1$ . Hence,  $\varphi + \alpha U$  is a dual frame of  $\varphi$  for all  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{3}$ , and

$$\epsilon^2 B_U + 2\epsilon\sqrt{B_U/A_\varphi} < 1,$$

where  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}$ . So,  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi + \alpha U$  are also woven frames. Moreover, by taking  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{5}$ ,  $T = \frac{1}{2}I_{\mathcal{H}}$ ,  $\theta f = \{\langle f, u_i \rangle\}_{i \in I}$ , for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and using Proposition 3.2 we see that the Bessel sequence  $\frac{1}{2}\varphi + \alpha U$  constitutes an approximate dual of  $\varphi$ , which is also woven with  $\varphi$ , for all  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{5}$ .

In the following theorem, we give some sufficient conditions under which a frame with its dual are woven.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *if  $\psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a dual frame of  $\varphi$  so that for any  $J \subset I$  the family  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J} \cup \{\psi_i\}_{i \in J^c}$  is a frame sequence. Then  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are woven.*
- (ii) *if  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $\varphi$  and its canonical dual are woven.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  so that  $f \perp \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J} \cup \{\psi_i\}_{i \in J^c}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^2 &= \langle f, f \rangle = \left\langle f, \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, \psi_i \rangle \varphi_i \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f, \sum_{i \in J} \langle f, \psi_i \rangle \varphi_i \right\rangle + \left\langle f, \sum_{i \in J^c} \langle f, \psi_i \rangle \varphi_i \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i \in J} \langle f, \psi_i \rangle \langle f, \varphi_i \rangle + \sum_{i \in J^c} \langle f, \psi_i \rangle \langle f, \varphi_i \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f = 0$  and consequently  $\overline{\text{span}}\{\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J} \cup \{\psi_i\}_{i \in J^c}\} = \mathcal{H}$  for all  $J \subseteq I$ . This implies that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are weakly woven, so Theorem 2.3 follows the desired result. To show (ii), let  $J \subset I$ ,  $X = \sum_{i \in J} a_i \varphi_i \in \overline{\text{span}}\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J}$  and  $Y = \sum_{i \in J^c} b_i S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i \in \overline{\text{span}}\{S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i\}_{i \in J^c}$  so that  $\|X\| = 1$  or  $\|Y\| = 1$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|X + Y\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i \in J} a_i \varphi_i + \sum_{i \in J^c} b_i S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i \in J} a_i \varphi_i \right\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{i \in J^c} b_i S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i \right\|^2 + 2\text{Re} \left\langle \sum_{i \in J} a_i \varphi_i, \sum_{i \in J^c} b_i S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i \right\rangle \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i \in J} a_i \varphi_i \right\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{i \in J^c} b_i S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i \right\|^2 \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are woven by Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

The excess of a frame  $\varphi$ , denoted by  $E(\varphi)$ , is the greatest integer  $m$  so that  $m$  elements can be deleted from the frame and still leave a frame, or  $+\infty$  if there is no upper bound to the number of elements that can be removed. Moreover, it is known that  $E(\varphi) = \dim(\text{Ker}T_\varphi)$  and zero excess frames are Riesz bases, see [5]. The above theorem, shows that every frame  $\varphi$  which  $E(\varphi) = 0$  is woven with its canonical dual. Also, every frame  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  with  $E(\varphi) = n$  can be written by  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} \cup \{\varphi_{i_1}, \dots, \varphi_{i_n}\}$ , where  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\{\varphi_{i_1}, \dots, \varphi_{i_n}\}$  are the redundant elements of  $\varphi$ . In the next theorem we show that under some condition frames are woven with their canonical duals.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  so that the norm of its redundant elements be small enough. Then  $\varphi$  is woven with its canonical dual.*

*Proof.* First let  $E(\varphi) = n$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Without loss of the generality, we can write  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I \setminus [n]} \cup \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ , where  $\phi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I \setminus [n]}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Hence, by Theorem 3.5  $\phi$  and  $S_\phi^{-1} \phi$  are woven and consequently  $\phi$  and  $S_\phi \phi$  are woven with a universal lower bound  $A$ , see Proposition 11 of [14]. Now, let

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} \|\varphi_i\|^2 < \sqrt{\frac{A}{B_\varphi}}. \quad (3.4)$$

We show that  $\varphi$  and its canonical dual are also woven. To this end, it is sufficient to prove the existence of a universal lower bound for frames  $\varphi$  and

$S_\varphi \varphi$ . Let  $J \subseteq I$  and take  $\alpha_{i,k} = \langle \varphi_i, \varphi_k \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i \in J} |\alpha_{i,1} \langle f, \varphi_1 \rangle + \dots + \alpha_{i,n} \langle f, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i \in J} \left| (\alpha_{i,1}, \dots, \alpha_{i,n}) \cdot (\overline{\langle f, \varphi_1 \rangle}, \dots, \overline{\langle f, \varphi_n \rangle}) \right|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (|\alpha_{i,1}|^2 + \dots + |\alpha_{i,n}|^2) (|\langle f, \varphi_1 \rangle|^2 + \dots + |\langle f, \varphi_n \rangle|^2) \\
&\leq \|f\|^2 (\|\varphi_1\|^2 + \dots + \|\varphi_n\|^2) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_1 \rangle|^2 + |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_2 \rangle|^2 + \dots + |\langle \varphi_i, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \right) \\
&\leq \|f\|^2 (\|\varphi_1\|^2 + \dots + \|\varphi_n\|^2) (B_\varphi \|\varphi_1\|^2 + \dots + B_\varphi \|\varphi_n\|^2) \\
&= \|f\|^2 B_\varphi (\|\varphi_1\|^2 + \dots + \|\varphi_n\|^2)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, without loss of the generality, let  $J \subseteq I \setminus [n]$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \sum_{i \in J} |\langle f, S_\varphi \varphi_i \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in J^c} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \left( \sum_{i \in J} |\langle f, S_\varphi \varphi_i \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in J^c \setminus [n]} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in [n]} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \left( \sum_{i \in J} \left| \langle f, S_\varphi \varphi_i \rangle + \sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_{i,k} \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle \right|^2 + \sum_{i \in J^c \setminus [n]} |\langle f, \varphi_k \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in [n]} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\geq \left( \sum_{i \in J} |\langle f, S_\varphi \varphi_i \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in J^c \setminus [n]} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in [n]} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad - \left( \sum_{i \in J} \left| \sum_{k \in [n]} \alpha_{i,k} \langle f, \varphi_k \rangle \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\geq \left( A \|f\|^2 + \sum_{i \in [n]} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} - \sqrt{B_\varphi} (\|\varphi_1\|^2 + \dots + \|\varphi_n\|^2) \|f\| \\
&\geq \sqrt{A} \|f\| - \sqrt{B_\varphi} (\|\varphi_1\|^2 + \dots + \|\varphi_n\|^2) \|f\| \\
&= \left( \sqrt{A} - \sqrt{B_\varphi} \sum_{i \in [n]} \|\varphi_i\|^2 \right) \|f\|,
\end{aligned}$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Therefore, the desired result follows provided that (3.4) holds. On the other hand, if  $E(\varphi) = \infty$ , we can write  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I \setminus \sigma} \cup \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in \sigma}$ ,



where  $|\sigma| = \infty$  and  $\phi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I \setminus \sigma}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Similar to the above computation one may easily check that if

$$\sum_{i \in \sigma} \|\varphi_i\|^2 < \sqrt{\frac{A}{B_\phi}}$$

where  $A$  is a universal lower bound of woven frames  $\phi$  and  $S_\phi \phi$ . Then  $\varphi$  is woven with its canonical dual  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$  be two frames for  $\mathcal{H}$ . The following hold:*

- (i) *If  $S_\varphi^{-1} \geq I_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $S_\varphi S_{\varphi_J} = S_{\varphi_J} S_\varphi$  for all  $J \subseteq I$ , then  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  are woven.*
- (ii) *If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are two woven Riesz bases and  $T_1, T_2$  are invertible operators so that*

$$d_{\varphi_J, \psi_{J^c}} > \max \{ \|T_1 - T_2\| \|T_1^{-1}\|, \|T_1 - T_2\| \|T_2^{-1}\| \}, \quad (\text{for all } J \subset I)$$

where  $d_{\varphi_J, \psi_{J^c}}$  is defined as in Theorem 2.7, then  $T_1 \varphi$  and  $T_2 \psi$  are woven.

*Proof.* We first show (i). Consider  $\phi_J = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J} \cup \{S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i\}_{i \in J^c}$ . Then  $\phi_J$  is a Bessel sequence for all  $J \subseteq I$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\phi_J} f &= \sum_{i \in J} \langle f, \varphi_i \rangle \varphi_i + \sum_{i \in J^c} \langle f, S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i \rangle S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi_i \\ &= S_{\varphi_J} f + S_\varphi^{-1} S_{\varphi_{J^c}} S_\varphi^{-1} f \\ &= S_\varphi f - S_{\varphi_{J^c}} f + S_\varphi^{-1} S_{\varphi_{J^c}} S_\varphi^{-1} f \\ &= S_\varphi f + (S_\varphi^{-1} - I_{\mathcal{H}}) S_{\varphi_{J^c}} (I_{\mathcal{H}} + S_\varphi^{-1}) f \end{aligned}$$

for each  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $(S_\varphi^{-1} - I_{\mathcal{H}}) S_{\varphi_{J^c}} (I_{\mathcal{H}} + S_\varphi^{-1})$  is a positive operator, we have

$$S_{\phi_J} \geq S_\varphi.$$

This implies that  $T_{\phi_J}^*$  is injective and so  $\phi_J$  is a frame for all  $J$ . Hence, (i) is obtained. To show (ii), let  $J \subset I$ ,  $f = \sum_{i \in J} c_i T_1 \varphi_i$  and  $g = \sum_{i \in J^c} d_i T_2 \psi_i$ .

Suppose  $\|g\| = 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f - g\| &= \left\| \sum_{i \in J} c_i T_1 \varphi_i - \sum_{i \in J^c} d_i T_2 \psi_i \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i \in J} c_i T_1 \varphi_i - \sum_{i \in J^c} d_i T_1 \psi_i + \sum_{i \in J^c} d_i T_1 \psi_i - \sum_{i \in J^c} d_i T_2 \psi_i \right\| \\
&\geq \left\| T_1 \left( \sum_{i \in J} c_i \varphi_i - \sum_{i \in J^c} d_i \psi_i \right) \right\| - \left\| (T_1 - T_2) \sum_{i \in J^c} d_i \psi_i \right\| \\
&\geq \|T_1^{-1}\|^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i \in J^c} d_i \psi_i \right\| \left\| \frac{\sum_{i \in J} c_i \varphi_i}{\|\sum_{i \in J^c} d_i \psi_i\|} - \frac{\sum_{i \in J^c} d_i \psi_i}{\|\sum_{i \in J^c} d_i \psi_i\|} \right\| \\
&\quad - \|T_1 - T_2\| \left\| \sum_{i \in J^c} d_i \psi_i \right\| \\
&\geq (d_{\varphi_J, \psi_{J^c}} \|T_1^{-1}\|^{-1} - \|T_1 - T_2\|) \left\| \sum_{i \in J^c} d_i \psi_i \right\| \\
&\geq (d_{\varphi_J, \psi_{J^c}} \|T_1^{-1}\|^{-1} - \|T_1 - T_2\|) \|T_2\|^{-1} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $\|f\| = 1$  then similarly we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f - g\| &= \left\| \sum_{i \in J} c_i T_1 \varphi_i - \sum_{i \in J} c_i T_2 \varphi_i + \sum_{i \in J} c_i T_2 \varphi_i - \sum_{i \in J^c} d_i T_2 \psi_i \right\| \\
&\geq \left\| T_2 \left( \sum_{i \in J} c_i \varphi_i - \sum_{i \in J^c} d_i \psi_i \right) \right\| - \left\| (T_1 - T_2) \sum_{i \in J} c_i \varphi_i \right\| \\
&\geq (d_{\varphi_J, \psi_{J^c}} \|T_2^{-1}\|^{-1} - \|T_1 - T_2\|) \|T_1\|^{-1} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by considering

$$d_1 = (d_{\varphi_J, \psi_{J^c}} \|T_1^{-1}\|^{-1} - \|T_1 - T_2\|) \|T_2\|^{-1},$$

$$d_2 = (d_{\varphi_J, \psi_{J^c}} \|T_2^{-1}\|^{-1} - \|T_1 - T_2\|) \|T_1\|^{-1},$$

and taking  $c := \min\{d_1, d_2\}$  we obtain  $d_{T_1 \varphi_J, T_2 \psi_{J^c}} \geq c > 0$ . Thus, the result follows by Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

We know that applying two different operators to woven frames can give frames which are not woven, see Example 2 of [6]. The above theorem presents a condition where different operators preserve the weaving property, and the canonical duals of two woven frames are woven as a consequence.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$  be two woven Riesz bases for  $\mathcal{H}$  so that for every  $J \subset I$*

$$d_{\varphi_J, \psi_{J^c}} > \max \left\{ \|S_\varphi^{-1} - S_\psi^{-1}\| \|S_\varphi\|, \|S_\varphi^{-1} - S_\psi^{-1}\| \|S_\psi\| \right\}.$$

*Then  $S_\varphi^{-1} \varphi$  and  $S_\psi^{-1} \psi$  are also woven.*

#### 4. Woven frames and perturbations

In this section, we give some conditions which frames with their perturbations constitute woven frames. Proposition 2.5 states perturbed frames as the image of an invertible operator  $T$  of a given frame. In the following, we obtain some other invertible operators  $T$  for which  $\varphi$  and  $T\varphi$  are woven frames. First, we recall a notion of [11]. Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $\Omega = \{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be orthonormal bases for  $\mathcal{H}_n$ . Also, let  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $\beta = \{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be sequences of positive constants. An operator  $T : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$  is called admissible for  $(\Lambda, \Omega, \alpha, \beta)$ , if there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  for  $\mathcal{H}_n$  satisfying

$$T^* \lambda_i = \sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_k}} \langle e_i, \gamma_k \rangle \gamma_k, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Moreover, in the above definition if  $\Lambda = \Omega$  and  $\alpha = \beta$  we say that  $T$  is admissible for  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$ .

Now, suppose  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a frame for  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_n$ . Also, let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be eigenvectors of  $S_\varphi$  with eigenvalues  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . If  $T$  is an invertible operator on  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , which is admissible for  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$  then  $\varphi$  and  $T\varphi = \{T\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are woven. More precisely, since  $T$  is admissible for  $(\Lambda, \alpha)$  so  $S_\varphi = TS_\varphi T^*$ , by Theorem 2.12 of [11]. On the other hand,  $T\varphi$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}_n$  with the frame operator  $S_{T\varphi} = TS_\varphi T^*$ . Hence, for all  $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and by considering  $\phi_J = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J} \cup \{T\varphi_i\}_{i \in J^c}$  we obtain

$$S_{\phi_J} = S_{\varphi_J} + S_{T\varphi_{J^c}} = S_\varphi,$$

where  $S_{\varphi_J}$  and  $S_{T\varphi_{J^c}}$  are the frame operators of  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J}$  and  $\{T\varphi_i\}_{i \in J^c}$ , respectively. Thus  $S_{\phi_J}$ , the frame operator of  $\phi_J$ , is a positive and invertible operator on  $\mathcal{H}_n$  and so  $\phi_J$  is a frame, i.e.,  $\varphi$  and  $T\varphi$  are woven.

The first results on perturbation of woven frames was given in [6], then authors in [21, 22] extended some of these results. In the sequel, we also extend Theorem 6.1 of [6] to obtain some new results.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$  are two frames so that for all sequences of scalars  $\{c_i\}_{i \in I}$  we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in I} c_i (\varphi_i - \psi_i) \right\| \leq \lambda_1 \left\| \sum_{i \in I} c_i \varphi_i \right\| + \lambda_2 \left\| \sum_{i \in I} c_i \psi_i \right\| + \mu \left( \sum_{i \in I} |c_i|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

for some positive numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu$ , where

$$\lambda_1 \sqrt{B_\varphi} + \lambda_2 \sqrt{B_\psi} + \mu < \sqrt{A_\varphi}.$$

Then,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are woven.

*Proof.* Consider  $T_{\varphi_{J^c}}$  and  $T_{\psi_{J^c}}$  as the synthesis operators of Bessel sequences  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J^c}$  and  $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in J^c}$ , respectively. Then for every  $J \subseteq I$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \sum_{i \in J} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in J^c} |\langle f, \psi_i \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \left( \sum_{i \in J} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \in J^c} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle - \langle f, \varphi_i - \psi_i \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\geq \left( \sum_{i \in I} |\langle f, \varphi_i \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left( \sum_{i \in J^c} |\langle f, \varphi_i - \psi_i \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\geq \sqrt{A_\varphi} \|f\| - \|T_{\varphi, J^c} f - T_{\psi, J^c} f\| \\
&\geq \left( \sqrt{A_\varphi} - \|T_\varphi - T_\psi\| \right) \|f\| \\
&\geq \left( \sqrt{A_\varphi} - \lambda_1 \|T_\varphi\| - \lambda_2 \|T_\psi\| - \mu \right) \|f\| \\
&\geq \left( \sqrt{A_\varphi} - \lambda_1 \sqrt{B_\varphi} - \lambda_2 \sqrt{B_\psi} - \mu \right) \|f\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the assumption  $\sqrt{A_\varphi} - \lambda_1 \sqrt{B_\varphi} - \lambda_2 \sqrt{B_\psi} - \mu > 0$ , the lower bound is obtained. Also, clearly  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in J} \cup \{\psi_i\}_{i \in J^c}$  is Bessel with an upper bound  $B_\varphi + B_\psi$ . Thus the result follows.  $\square$

*Remark 4.2.* It is worth to note that, Theorem 6.1 of [6] is obtained from the above theorem in case  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ , with the perturbation bound

$$\mu \leq \frac{A_\varphi}{2(\sqrt{B_\varphi} + \sqrt{B_\psi})} < \frac{\sqrt{A_\varphi}}{2}.$$

Hence, in Theorem 4.1 we have more freedom for perturbation bound.

Applying Theorem 4.1, we will obtain the following results.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Suppose  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $0 \neq h \in \mathcal{H}$ . Also, let  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a sequence of scalars so that*

$$\sum_{i \in I} |\lambda_i|^2 < \alpha \frac{A_\varphi}{\|h\|^2},$$

for some  $\alpha < 1$ . Then  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{\varphi_i + \lambda_i h\}_{i \in I}$  are woven.

*Proof.* Obviously,  $\{\varphi_i + \lambda_i h\}_{i \in I}$  is a Bessel sequence with the upper bound  $(\sqrt{B_\varphi} + \|\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I}\| \|h\|)^2$ . Moreover, for any sequence  $\{c_i\}_{i \in I}$  of scalars

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in I} c_i (\varphi_i + \lambda_i h - \varphi_i) \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i \in I} c_i \lambda_i h \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} |c_i| |\lambda_i| \|h\| \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i \in I} |c_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i \in I} |\lambda_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|h\| \\ &< \sqrt{\alpha A_\varphi} \left( \sum_{i \in I} |c_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

So, the result follows by Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Suppose  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  are relatively prime and  $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is an  $\epsilon$ -nearly equal norm and  $\epsilon$ -nearly Parseval frame for  $\mathcal{H}_m$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough. Then there exists an equal-norm Parseval frame  $\psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  so that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are woven.*

*Proof.* Considering

$$0 < \epsilon < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{8\alpha\sqrt{A_\varphi}}{4\sqrt{m} + 27m^2n(n-1)^8} \right\},$$

for some  $\alpha < 1$ . There exists an equal-norm Parseval frame  $\psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  so that

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2} \epsilon + \frac{27}{8} m^2 n (n-1)^8 \epsilon.$$

See [8]. Thus, for all  $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of scalars we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\varphi_i - \psi_i) \right\| &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2} \epsilon + \frac{27}{8} m^2 n (n-1)^8 \epsilon \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &< \alpha \sqrt{A_\varphi} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 4.1 we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

## References

1. Ali, S. T., Antoine, J. P. and Gazeau, J. P., Continuous frames in Hilbert spaces, *Ann. Physics.* **222**, 1-37, 1993.
2. Arabyani Neyshaburi, F. and Arefijamaal, A., Some constructions of  $K$ -frames and their duals, To appear in *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*
3. Arefijamaal, A. and Zekae, E., Signal processing by alternate dual gabor frames, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **35**, 535-540, 2013.
4. Arefijamaal, A. and Arabyani Neyshaburi, F., Some properties of dual and approximate dual of fusion frames, *Turkish J. Math.* **41**, 1191-1203, 2017.
5. Balan, R., Casazza, P. G., Heil, C. and Landau, Z., Deficits and excesses of frames, *Advances in comput. Math.*, Special Issue on frames. **18**, 93-116, 2003.
6. Bemrose, T., Casazza, P. G., Grochenig, K., Lammers, M. C. and Lynch, R. G., Weaving Hilbert space frames, *Operators and Matrices.* **10**(4), 1093-1116, 2016.
7. Benedetto, J., Powell, A. and Yilmaz, O., Sigm-Delta quantization and finite frames, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory.* **52**, 1990-2005, 2006.
8. Bodmann, B. G. and Casazza, P. G., The road to equal-norm Parseval frames, *J. Funct. Anal.* **258**(2), 397-420, 2010.
9. Bodmann, B. G. and Paulsen, V. I., Frames, graphs and erasures, *Linear. Algebra. Appl.* **404**, 118-146, 2005.
10. Bolcskel, H., Hlawatsch, F. and Feichtinger, H. G., Frame-theoretic analysis of oversampled filter banks, *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* **46**, 3256-3268, 1998.
11. Cahill, J., Casazza, P. G. and Kutyniok, G., Operators and frames, *J. Operator Theory.* **70**(1), 145-164, 2013.
12. Candes, E. J. and Donoho, D. L., New tight frames of curvelets and optimal representations of objects with piecewise  $C^2$  singularities, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **56**, 216-266, 2004.
13. Casazza, P. G. and Kutyniok, G., Frames of subspaces, *Contemp. Math.* **345**, 87-114, 2004.
14. Casazza, P. G., Lynch, R. G., Weaving properties of Hilbert space frames, In: *Proceedings of the SampTA.* 110-114, 2015.
15. Christensen, O., *Frames and Bases: An Introductory Course*, Birkhäuser, Boston. 2008.
16. Christensen, O. and Laugesen, R. S., Approximately dual frames in Hilbert spaces and applications to Gabor frames, *Sampl Theory Signal Image Process.* **9**, 77-89, 2010.
17. Găvruta, L., Frames for operators, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **32**, 139-144, 2012.
18. Javanshiri, H., Some properties of approximate dual frames in Hilbert spaces, *Result. Math.* **70**, 475-485, 2016.
19. Kaftal, V., Larson, D. R. and Zhang, Sh., Operator-valued frames, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361**, 6349-6385, 2009.
20. Sun, W., G-frames and g-Riesz bases, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **322**, 437-452, 2006.
21. Vashisht, L. K., Deepshikha., On weaving frames, *Houston J. Math.*, to appear.

22. Vashisht, L. K., Deepshikha., Garg, S., Daus, P. K., On genralized weaving frames of Hilbert spaces, Rocky Mountain J. Math, to appear.

Fahimeh Arabyani Neyshaburi

Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Hakim Sabzevari University, Sabzevar, Iran.

e-mail: [fahimeh.arabyani@gmail.com](mailto:fahimeh.arabyani@gmail.com)

Ali Akbar Arefijamaal

Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Hakim Sabzevari University, Sabzevar, Iran.

e-mail: [arefijamaal@hsu.ac.ir](mailto:arefijamaal@hsu.ac.ir)