ON THE MONOID OF COFINITE PARTIAL ISOMETRIES OF \mathbb{N}^n WITH THE USUAL METRIC

OLEG GUTIK AND ANATOLII SAVCHUK

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the structure of the monoid \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n of cofinite partial isometries of the *n*-th power of the set of positive integers \mathbb{N} with the usual metric for a positive integer $n \ge 2$. We describe the elements of the monoid \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n as partial transformation of \mathbb{N}^n , the group of units and the subset of idempotents of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n , the natural partial order and Green's relations on \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n . In particular we show that the quotient semigroup $\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n/\mathfrak{C}_{mg}$, where \mathfrak{C}_{mg} is the minimum group congruence on \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n , is isomorphic to the symmetric group \mathscr{S}_n and $\mathscr{D} = \mathscr{J}$ in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n . Also, we prove that for any integer $n \ge 2$ the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\mathscr{S}_n \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathscr{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{N}^n), \cup)$ of the free semilattice with the unit $(\mathscr{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{N}^n), \cup)$ by the symmetric group \mathscr{S}_n .

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this paper we shall follow the terminology of [3, 13]. We shall denote the the cardinality of the set A by |A|. For any positive integer n by \mathscr{S}_n we denote the group of permutations of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

A semigroup S is called *inverse* if for any element $x \in S$ there exists a unique $x^{-1} \in S$ such that $xx^{-1}x = x$ and $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$. The element x^{-1} is called the *inverse of* $x \in S$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then the function inv: $S \to S$ which assigns to every element x of S its inverse element x^{-1} is called an *inversion*.

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of all idempotents in S by E(S). If S is an inverse semigroup, then E(S) is closed under multiplication and we shall refer to E(S) a as band (or the band of S). Then the semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order \preccurlyeq on E(S): $e \preccurlyeq f$ if and only if ef = fe = e. This order is called the *natural partial order* on E(S). A semilattice is a commutative semigroup of idempotents.

A linearly ordered subset of a poset is called a *chain*. An ω -*chain* is a chain which is order isomorphic to the set of all negative integers with the usual order \leq .

If S is an inverse semigroup then the semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order \preccurlyeq on S: $s \preccurlyeq t$ if and only if there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that s = te. This order is called the *natural partial order* on S [17].

By $(\mathscr{P}_{\infty}(X), \cup)$ we shall denote the *free semilattice with identity* over a set X of cardinality $\geq \omega$, i.e., $(\mathscr{P}_{\infty}(X), \cup)$ is the set of all finite subsets (with the empty set) of X with the semilattice operation "union".

A congruence \mathfrak{C} on a semigroup S is called *non-trivial* if \mathfrak{C} is distinct from universal and identity congruences on S, and a group congruence if the quotient semigroup S/\mathfrak{C} is a group. If \mathfrak{C} is a congruence on a semigroup S then by \mathfrak{C}^{\sharp} we denote the natural homomorphism from S onto the quotient semigroup S/\mathfrak{C} . Every inverse semigroup S admits a least (minimum) group congruence \mathfrak{C}_{mg} :

 $a\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}b$ if and only if there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that ae = be

(see [15, Lemma III.5.2]).

Date: September 20, 2019.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20M18, 20M20, 20M30.

Key words and phrases. Partial isometry, inverse semigroup, partial bijection, natural partial order, Green's relations, least group congruence, F-inverse semigroup, semidirect product, free semilattice, symmetric group.

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the Green relations on S by $\mathscr{R}, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{J}, \mathscr{D}$ and \mathscr{H} (see [4] or [3, Section 2.1]):

$$a\mathscr{R}b \text{ if and only if } aS^{1} = bS^{1};$$

$$a\mathscr{L}b \text{ if and only if } S^{1}a = S^{1}b;$$

$$a\mathscr{J}b \text{ if and only if } S^{1}aS^{1} = S^{1}bS^{1};$$

$$\mathscr{D} = \mathscr{L} \circ \mathscr{R} = \mathscr{R} \circ \mathscr{L};$$

$$\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{L} \cap \mathscr{R}.$$

If $\alpha: X \to Y$ is a partial map, then we shall denote the domain and the range of α by dom α and ran α , respectively. A partial map $\alpha: X \to Y$ is called *cofinite* if both sets $X \setminus \text{dom } \alpha$ and $Y \setminus \text{ran } \alpha$ are finite.

Let \mathscr{I}_{λ} denote the set of all partial one-to-one transformations of a non-zero cardinal λ together with the following semigroup operation:

$$x(\alpha\beta) = (x\alpha)\beta$$
 if $x \in \operatorname{dom}(\alpha\beta) = \{y \in \operatorname{dom}\alpha : y\alpha \in \operatorname{dom}\beta\}$, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{I}_{\lambda}$.

The semigroup \mathscr{I}_{λ} is called the symmetric inverse (monoid) semigroup over cardinal λ (see [3]). The symmetric inverse semigroup was introduced by Wagner [17] and it plays a major role in the theory of semigroups. By $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}^{cf}$ is denoted a subsemigroup of injective partial selfmaps of λ with cofinite domains and ranges in \mathscr{I}_{λ} . Obviously, $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}^{cf}$ is an inverse submonoid of the semigroup \mathscr{I}_{λ} . The semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}^{cf}$ is called the monoid of injective partial cofinite selfmaps of λ [9].

A partial transformation $\alpha \colon (X, d) \to (X, d)$ of a metric space (X, d) is called *isometric* or a *partial isometry*, if $d(x\alpha, y\alpha) = d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \text{dom } \alpha$. It is obvious that the composition of two partial isometries of a metric space (X, d) is a partial isometry, and the converse partial map to a partial isometry is a partial isometry, too. Hence the set of partial isometries of a metric space (X, d) with the operation the composition of partial isometries is an inverse submonoid of the symmetric inverse monoid over the cardinal |X|. Also, it is obvious that the set of partial cofinite isometries of a metric space (X, d) with the operation the composition of partial isometries is an inverse submonoid of the monoid of injective partial cofinite selfmaps of the cardinal |X|.

The semigroup \mathbf{ID}_{∞} of all partial cofinite isometries of the set of integers \mathbb{Z} with the usual metric $d(n,m) = |n-m|, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ established in the Bezushchak papers [1, 2]. In [1] the generators of the semigroup \mathbf{ID}_{∞} are described and there proved that \mathbf{ID}_{∞} has the exponential growth. We remark that the semigroup \mathbf{ID}_{∞} is inverse submonoid of the monoid of all partial cofinite bijections of \mathbb{Z} , and elements of \mathbf{ID}_{∞} are restrictions of isometries of \mathbb{Z} onto its cofinite subsets in the Lawson interpretation (see [13, p. 9]). Green's relations and principal ideals of \mathbf{ID}_{∞} are described in [2]. In [10] is shown that the quotient semigroup $\mathbf{ID}_{\infty}/\mathfrak{C}_{mg}$ is isomorphic to the group $\mathbf{Iso}(\mathbb{Z})$ of all isometries of \mathbb{Z} , the semigroup \mathbf{ID}_{∞} is *F*-inverse, and \mathbf{ID}_{∞} is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\mathbf{Iso}(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathscr{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$ of the free semilattice ($\mathscr{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}), \cup$) by the group $\mathbf{Iso}(\mathbb{Z})$. Also in [10] established semigroup and shift-continuous topologies on \mathbf{ID}_{∞} and embedding of the discrete semigroup \mathbf{ID}_{∞} into compact-like topological semigroups.

Let \mathbb{IN}_{∞} be the set of all partial cofinite isometries of the set of positive integers \mathbb{N} with the usual metric $d(n,m) = |n-m|, n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then \mathbb{IN}_{∞} with the operation of composition of partial isometries is an inverse submonoid of \mathscr{I}_{ω} . The semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞} of all partial co-finite isometries of positive integers is studied in [11]. There we describe the Green relations on the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞} , its band and proved that \mathbb{IN}_{∞} is a simple *E*-unitary *F*-inverse semigroup. Also in [11], the least group congruence \mathfrak{C}_{mg} on \mathbb{IN}_{∞} is described and proved that the quotient-semigroup $\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}/\mathfrak{C}_{mg}$ is isomorphic to the additive group of integers $\mathbb{Z}(+)$. An example of a non-group congruence on the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞} is presented. Also we proved that a congruence on the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞} is group if and only if its restriction onto an isomorphic copy of the bicyclic semigroup in \mathbb{IN}_{∞} is a group congruence.

For an arbitrary positive integer $n \ge 2$ by \mathbb{N}^n we denote the *n*-th power of the set of positive inters \mathbb{N} with the usual metric:

$$d((x_1, \cdots, x_n), (y_1, \ldots, y_2)) = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n - y_n)^2}.$$

Let \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} be the set of all partial cofinite isometries of \mathbb{N}^{n} . It is obvious that \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} with the operation of composition of partial isometries is an inverse submonoid of \mathscr{I}_{ω} and later by \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} we shall denote the monoid of all partial cofinite isometries of \mathbb{N}^{n} .

By I we denote the identity map of \mathbb{N}^n which obviously is the unit of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n . Later by $H(\mathbb{I})$ we shall denote the group of units of \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n .

In the paper we study the structure of the monoid \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . We describe the elements of the monoid \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} as partial transformations of \mathbb{N}^{n} , the group of units and the subset of idempotents of \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} , the natural partial order and Green's relations on \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . In particular we show that the quotient semigroup $\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n}/\mathfrak{C}_{mg}$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group \mathscr{S}_{n} and $\mathscr{D} = \mathscr{J}$ in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . Also, we prove that for any integer $n \geq 2$ the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is isomorphic to the symmetric group \mathscr{S}_{n} and $\mathscr{D} = \mathscr{J}$ in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . Also, we prove that for any integer $n \geq 2$ the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is isomorphic to the symmetric group \mathscr{S}_{n} .

2. Properties of partial cofinite isometries of \mathbb{N}^n

The definition of an isometry implies the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. Let n be a positive integer ≥ 2 . Then every permutation σ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ induces an isometry $\alpha_{\sigma} \colon \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{N}^n$, $\alpha_{\sigma} \colon x \mapsto x \circ \alpha$, of the set \mathbb{N}^n .

We denote $\mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1)$ and $\vec{\mathbf{1}}_i = \{(1, \ldots, \underbrace{x_i}_{i-\text{th}}, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^n \colon x_i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Also

for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and any positive real number r we put

$$\mathcal{M}_r(\mathbf{x}) = |\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{N}^n \colon d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = r\}|$$

Lemma 2.2. Let n be a positive integer ≥ 2 . Then the following statements holds.

- (*i*) $\mathcal{M}_1(1) = n$.
- (ii) If for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ exactly k coordinates of \mathbf{x} distinct from 1 for some k = 1, ..., n, then $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{x}) = n + k$.

Proof. (i) It is obvious that the set $\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{N}^n : d(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{y}) = 1\}$ consists of

$$\{(\underbrace{2}_{1-\mathrm{st}}, 1, \ldots, 1), (1, \underbrace{2}_{2-\mathrm{nd}}, \ldots, 1), \ldots, (1, \ldots, \underbrace{2}_{n-\mathrm{th}})\},\$$

which implies the equality $\mathcal{M}_1(1) = n$.

(*ii*) Fix an arbitrary $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that exactly k coordinates of \mathbf{x} distinct from 1 for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. By Proposition 2.1 without loss of generality we may assume that only the first k coordinates of \mathbf{x} distinct from 1, i.e., $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_k, 1, \ldots, 1)$ for some $x_1 > 1, \ldots, x_k > 1$. This implies that the set $\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{N}^n : d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1\}$ coincides with the following set

$$\{(x_1 - 1, \dots, x_k, 1, \dots, 1), (x_1 + 1, \dots, x_k, 1, \dots, 1), \dots, \\ (x_1, \dots, x_k - 1, 1, \dots, 1), (x_1, \dots, x_k + 1, 1, \dots, 1), \\ (x_1, \dots, x_k, \underbrace{2}_{(k+1)-\text{th}}, \dots, (x_1, \dots, x_k, 1, \dots, \underbrace{2}_{n-\text{th}})\},$$

and hence $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{x}) = n + k$.

Lemma 2.2(i) implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. Let n be a positive integer ≥ 2 . Then $(1)\alpha = 1$ for every isometry α of \mathbb{N}^n .

For any positive integers k > 1 and m we denote

$$\mathbf{k}_1 = (\underbrace{k}_{1-\text{st}}, 1, \dots, 1), \dots, \ \mathbf{k}_j = (1, \dots, 1, \underbrace{k}_{j-\text{th}}, 1, \dots, 1), \dots, \ \mathbf{k}_n = (1, \dots, 1, \underbrace{k}_{n-\text{th}})$$

and

 $\mathbf{C}_m = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \colon x_1 \leqslant m, \dots, x_n \leqslant m \right\}.$

Later we need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2.4. Let x and y be any positive integers. If the sequence $\{a_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, where $a_i = \sqrt{(x+i)^2 + y}$, contains an integer then $\{a_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ has infinitely many non-integer members.

Proof. Suppose that $n = \sqrt{x^2 + y}$ is an integer. Since $x < \sqrt{x^2 + y}$ we get that

$$2x < 2\sqrt{x^2 + y} \iff$$

$$x^2 + 2x + 1 + y < x^2 + y + 2\sqrt{x^2 + y} + 1 \iff$$

$$(x + 1)^2 + y < (\sqrt{x^2 + y} + 1)^2 \iff$$

$$\sqrt{(x + 1)^2 + y} < n + 1,$$

which implies that $n < \sqrt{(x+1)^2 + y} < n+1$. Thus, $\sqrt{(x+1)^2 + y}$ is irrational, and hence the statement of the lemma holds.

Lemma 2.5. Let *n* be a positive integer ≥ 2 . Let $\alpha \colon \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{N}^n$ be a partial cofinite isometry of \mathbb{N}^n . Then for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ there exists a unique $j(i) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and an integer q(i) such that $(\mathbf{m}_i)\alpha = (\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{i}))_{j(i)}$ for any $\mathbf{m}_i \in \text{dom } \alpha$. Moreover α determines the permutation $i \mapsto j(i)$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Proof. Since α is a partial cofinite isometry of \mathbb{N}^n there exists a positive integer $m \geq 3$ such that $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \operatorname{dom} \alpha \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{m-1}$ and $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{m-1}$. Since the set \mathbf{C}_{m+1} is finite there exists a positive integer k such that $\mathbf{p}_i \notin \mathbf{C}_{m+1}$ and $(\mathbf{p}_i)\alpha \notin \mathbf{C}_{m+1}$ for any integer $p \geq k$. By Proposition 2.1 without loss of generality we may assume that i = j(i) = 1, i.e., $(\mathbf{k}_1)\alpha = \mathbf{q}_1$ for some positive integer q.

We claim that $((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{s})_1)\alpha = (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{s})_1$ for any positive integer s. Since $\mathbf{k}_1 \notin \mathbf{C}_{m+1}$, we have that

$$|\{\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha \colon d(\mathbf{x}, (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{2} + \mathbf{t})_1) = 1\}| = n + 1,$$

for every positive integer t. Since α is a partial cofinite isometry of \mathbb{N}^n and $(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{1})_1 \in \text{dom } \alpha$, the above implies that either $((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})_1)\alpha = (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{1})_1$ or $((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})_1)\alpha = (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{1})_1$. We claim that $((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})_1)\alpha = (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{1})_1$. Suppose to the contrary that $((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})_1)\alpha = (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{1})_1$. Next we consider the following sequence $\{(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})_1\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{N}^n . By the above assumption there exists a positive integer s such that $((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})_1)\alpha \notin \mathbf{C}_{m+1} \cup \mathbf{1}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{1}_n$ for all $p \ge s$. By Lemma 2.4 the sequence $\{d(((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})_1)\alpha, (\mathbf{k}_1))\alpha\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ contains non-integer numbers. But the sequence $\{d((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})_1, \mathbf{k}_1)\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ has only positive integers, which contradicts that α is a partial isometry of \mathbb{N}^n . The obtained contradiction implies that $((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{1})_1)\alpha = (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{1})_1$. Next, similar arguments and induction imply that $((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})_1)\alpha = (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p})_1$ for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix an arbitrary integer l < k such that $\mathbf{l}_1 \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha$. We claim that $(\mathbf{l}_1)\alpha = (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{l})_1$. Indeed, in the other case we have that $d(\mathbf{l}_1, (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})_1) = k + p - l$ for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence the sequence $\{d(\mathbf{l}_1, (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})_1)\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ contains only integer elements. But since $(\mathbf{l}_1)\alpha = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ with some $x_i \neq 1$, $i = 2, \ldots, n$, we have that

$$d((\mathbf{l}_1)\alpha, ((\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p})_1)\alpha) = \sqrt{(x_1-k+p)^2 + (x_2-1)^2 + \dots + (x_2-1)^n},$$

and hence by Lemma 2.4 the sequence $\{d((\mathbf{l}_1)\alpha, ((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})_1)\alpha)\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ contains non-integer elements. This contradicts that α is a partial isometry of \mathbb{N}^n , which completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Let n be a positive integer ≥ 2 . Let $\alpha \colon \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{N}^n$ be a partial cofinite isometry of \mathbb{N}^n such that $(\mathbf{m}_i)\alpha \in \mathbf{1}_i$ for some $\mathbf{m}_i \in \text{dom } \alpha \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then $(\mathbf{x}_i)\alpha = \mathbf{x}_i$ for any $\mathbf{x}_i \in \text{dom } \alpha \cap \mathbf{1}_i$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $(\mathbf{x}_i)\alpha \neq \mathbf{x}_i$ for some $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{1}_i$. Without loss of generality we may assume that i = 1. Then there exists a non-zero integer p such that $(\mathbf{x}_1)\alpha = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p})_1$. We assume that p > 0.

Fix an arbitrary $\mathbf{y}_2 \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap \vec{\mathbf{1}}_2 \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$. Lemma 2.5 implies that without loss of generality we may assume that y < x. Since α is a partial isometry of \mathbb{N}^n ,

(1)
$$d((\mathbf{x}_1)\alpha, (\mathbf{y}_2)\alpha) = d(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) = \sqrt{(x-1)^2 + (y-1)^2}.$$

This and the equality $(\mathbf{x}_1)\alpha = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p})_1$ imply that there exists a positive integer q such that $(\mathbf{y}_2)\alpha = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q})_2$. Then we have that

(2)
$$d((\mathbf{x}_1)\alpha, (\mathbf{y}_2)\alpha) = d((\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p})_1, (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{q})_2) = \sqrt{(x + p - 1)^2 + (y - q - 1)^2}.$$

By equalities (1) and (2) we get that

$$(x-1)^{2} + (y-1)^{2} = (x-1)^{2} + 2(x-1)p + p^{2} + (y-1)^{2} - 2(y-1)q + q^{2},$$

and hence

(3)
$$p^{2} + q^{2} + 2(xp - yq) - 2(p - q) = 0.$$

Again, since α is a partial isometry of \mathbb{N}^n , Lemma 2.5 implies that

(4)
$$d(((\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{1})_1)\alpha, ((\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{1})_2)\alpha) = d((\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{1})_1, (\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{1})_2) = \sqrt{(x+1-1)^2 + (y+1-1)^2} = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2},$$

 $((\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{1})_1)\alpha = (\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{p})_1$ and $((\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{1})_2)\alpha = (\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{q})_2.$ Then

(5)
$$d(((\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{1})_{1})\alpha, ((\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{1})_{2})\alpha) = d((\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{p})_{1}, (\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{q})_{2}) =$$
$$= \sqrt{(x+1+p-1)^{2} + (y+1-q-1)^{2}} =$$
$$= \sqrt{(x+p)^{2} + (y-q)^{2}}.$$

By equalities (4) and (5) we have that

$$x^{2} + y^{2} = x^{2} + 2xp + p^{2} + y^{2} - 2yq + q^{2},$$

and after some arithmetic simplifications we get that

(6)
$$p^2 + q^2 + 2(xp - yq) = 0.$$

Then equalities (3) and (6) imply that p = q. Therefore, $(\mathbf{y}_2)\alpha = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p})_2$. Then equalities (1) and (2) imply that

$$(x-1)^{2} + (y-1)^{2} = (x-1)^{2} + 2(x-1)p + p^{2} + (y-1)^{2} - 2(y-1)p + p^{2},$$

and hence

$$2p(x-y+p) = 0.$$

This contradicts the assumptions p > 0 and x > y.

In the case when p < 0 we choose x < y, and by similar way as in the above we get a contradiction. The obtained contradictions imply that $(\mathbf{x}_i)\alpha = \mathbf{x}_i$ for any $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{1}_i$ with $x \ge k$, i = 1, ..., n. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Theorem 2.7. Let *n* be a positive integer ≥ 2 and $\alpha \colon \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{N}^n$ be a partial cofinite isometry of \mathbb{N}^n such that $(\mathbf{m}_i)\alpha \in \vec{\mathbf{1}}_i$ for some $\mathbf{m}_i \in \text{dom } \alpha \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then $(\mathbf{x})\alpha = \mathbf{x}$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \text{dom } \alpha$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6 it is sufficiently to show that $(\mathbf{x})\alpha = \mathbf{x}$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha \setminus (\vec{\mathbf{1}}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \vec{\mathbf{1}}_n)$.

Suppose to the contrary that there exists $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \text{dom } \alpha \setminus (\mathbf{1}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{1}_n)$ with $x_1, \ldots, x_n \neq 1$ such that $(\mathbf{x})\alpha = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \neq \mathbf{x}$. Lemma 2.5 implies that $(\mathbf{p}_1)\alpha = \mathbf{p}_1$ for all positive integers p such that $\mathbf{p}_1 \in \text{dom } \alpha$. Then we have that

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_{1}) = d((\mathbf{x})\alpha, (\mathbf{p}_{1})\alpha) \iff$$

$$(x_{1} - p)^{2} + (x_{2} - 1)^{2} + \dots + (x_{n} - 1)^{2} = (y_{1} - p)^{2} + (y_{2} - 1)^{2} + \dots + (y_{n} - 1)^{2} \iff$$

$$x_{1}^{2} - 2x_{1}p + p^{2} + (x_{2} - 1)^{2} + \dots + (x_{n} - 1)^{2} - y_{1}^{2} + 2y_{1}p - p^{2} - (y_{2} - 1)^{2} - \dots - (y_{n} - 1)^{2} = 0 \iff$$

$$2(y_{1} - x_{1})p + (x_{2} - 1)^{2} + \dots + (x_{n} - 1)^{2} + x_{1}^{2} - y_{1}^{2} - (y_{2} - 1)^{2} - \dots - (y_{n} - 1)^{2} = 0.$$
We can define the prove the prove of the pro

Since the partial map α is cofinite equation (7) has infinitely many solutions in \mathbb{N} with the respect to the variable p. This implies that $y_1 = x_1$ and

$$(x_2 - 1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - 1)^2 + x_1^2 - y_1^2 - (y_2 - 1)^2 - \dots - (y_n - 1)^2 = 0.$$

 \Box

Similar arguments and Lemma 2.5 imply that $y_i = x_i$ for any other $i \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$.

3. Algebraic property of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}^n_{∞}

Theorem 2.7 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. Let n be any positive integer ≥ 2 and $\alpha \colon \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{N}^n$ be an isometry such that $(\mathbf{2}_i)\alpha = \mathbf{2}_i$ for all i = 1, ..., n. Then α is the identity map of \mathbb{N}^n .

The following theorem describes the structure of the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} .

Theorem 3.2. For any positive integer $n \ge 2$ the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n is isomorphic to the group \mathscr{S}_n . Moreover, every element of $H(\mathbb{I})$ is induced by a permutation of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Proof. It is obvious that every element of the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}^n_{∞} is an isometry of \mathbb{N}^n .

Fix an arbitrary isometry α of \mathbb{N}^n . Since the set $\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{N}^n : d(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{y}) = 1\}$ coincides with

$$\mathbf{D}_{1}(1) = \{(\underbrace{2}_{1-\mathrm{st}}, 1, \dots, 1), (1, \underbrace{2}_{2-\mathrm{nd}}, \dots, 1), \dots, (1, \dots, \underbrace{2}_{n-\mathrm{th}})\},\$$

we have that $(\mathbf{D}_1(1))\alpha = \mathbf{D}_1(1)$. Then there exists a permutation $\sigma: \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $(\mathbf{2}_i)\alpha = \mathbf{2}_{(i)\sigma}$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$. By Proposition 2.1 the permutation σ induces the isometry $\alpha_{\sigma} \colon \mathbb{N}^n \to \mathbb{N}^n$, $x \mapsto x \circ \alpha$ of the set \mathbb{N}^n . It is obvious that $(\mathbf{2}_i)\alpha\alpha_{\sigma}^{-1} = \mathbf{2}_i$ and $(\mathbf{2}_j)\alpha_{\sigma}^{-1}\alpha = \mathbf{2}_j$ for all $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. Since $\alpha\alpha_{\sigma}^{-1}$ and $\alpha_{\sigma}^{-1}\alpha$ are isometries of \mathbb{N}^n , Corollary 3.1 implies that $\alpha\alpha_{\sigma}^{-1} = \alpha_{\sigma}^{-1}\alpha = \mathbb{I}$. This implies that the isometry α is induced by some permutation of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, which completes the proof of the theorem.

Proposition 3.3. Let n be any positive integer ≥ 2 . Then the following statements hold.

- (i) An element α of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n is an idempotent if and only if $(x)\alpha = x$ for every $x \in \text{dom } \alpha$.
- (ii) If $\varepsilon, \iota \in E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n)$, then $\varepsilon \leq \iota$ if and only if dom $\varepsilon \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \iota$.
- (iii) The semilattice $E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n)$ is isomorphic to the free semilattice $(\mathscr{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{N}^n), \cup)$ under the mapping $(\varepsilon)h = \mathbb{N} \setminus \operatorname{dom} \varepsilon$.
- (iv) Every maximal chain in $E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n)$ is an ω -chain.
- (v) \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is an inverse semigroup.
- (vi) $\alpha \mathscr{R} \beta$ in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} if and only if dom $\alpha = \operatorname{dom} \beta$.
- (vii) $\alpha \mathscr{L}\beta$ in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} if and only if $\operatorname{ran} \alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$.
- (viii) $\alpha \mathscr{H} \beta$ in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} if and only if dom $\alpha = \operatorname{dom} \beta$ and $\operatorname{ran} \alpha = \operatorname{ran} \beta$.

Proof. Statements (i) - (iv) are trivial and their proofs follow from the definition of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} .

(v) Fix an arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n}$. Since α is a partial cofinite isometry of \mathbb{N}^{n} , we conclude that so is its inverse α^{-1} . This implies that \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is regular, and hence by statement (*iii*) and the Wagner-Preston Theorem (see [3, Theorem 1.17]), \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is an inverse semigroup.

Statements (vi)-(viii) follow from the description of Green's relations \mathscr{R}, \mathscr{L} and \mathscr{H} on the symmetric inverse monoid \mathscr{I}_{ω} and Proposition 3.2.11 of [13].

Lemma 3.4. Let n be any positive integer ≥ 2 and α be an arbitrary element of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . Then there exist unique elements σ_{l} and σ_{r} of the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} such that $\sigma_{l}\alpha$ and $\alpha\sigma_{r}$ are idempotents in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} .

Proof. Suppose that $\sigma: \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}, i \mapsto j(i)$ is a permutation defined in Lemma 2.5 for the partial isometry α . We put σ_{α} is an element of $H(\mathbb{I})$ which is induced by the permutation σ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ (see Theorem 3.2) and σ_{α}^{-1} is its inverse. Then the assumption of Theorem 2.7 holds for $\alpha \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}$, and hence by Proposition 3.3(i), $\alpha \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is an idempotent of \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . Next we put $\sigma_{r} = \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}$.

By a similar way we suppose that $\overline{\sigma}: \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}, i \mapsto j(i)$ is a permutation defined in Lemma 2.5 for α^{-1} , i.e., $\overline{\sigma}$ is inverse of σ . We put $\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}$ is an element of $H(\mathbb{I})$ which is induced by the permutation $\overline{\sigma}$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is its inverse. Then by Proposition 3.3(*i*) the element $\alpha^{-1}\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is an idempotent of \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} , and hence so is its inverse $(\alpha^{-1}\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}^{-1})^{-1} = \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}\alpha$, because by Proposition 3.3(*v*) the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is inverse. Hence, we put $\sigma_{l} = \sigma_{\alpha}$.

We observe that the uniqueness of the elements σ_l and σ_r follows from Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 3.5. Let n be any positive integer ≥ 2 and α be an arbitrary element of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . Then there exists the unique element σ_{α} of the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ and the unique idempotents $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)}$ and $\varepsilon_{r(\alpha)}$ of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} such that

$$\alpha = \sigma_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \varepsilon_{r(\alpha)} \sigma_{\alpha}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 there exists unique elements $\sigma_{l_{\alpha}}$ and $\sigma_{r_{\alpha}}$ of the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ such that $\sigma_{l_{\alpha}}\alpha$ and $\alpha\sigma_{r_{\alpha}}$ are idempotents of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}^{n}_{∞} . This implies that

(8)
$$\sigma_{l_{\alpha}}\alpha = \alpha^{-1}\alpha$$
 and $\alpha\sigma_{r_{\alpha}} = \alpha\alpha^{-1}$

because \mathbb{IN}^n_{∞} is an inverse semigroup, $\operatorname{ran}(\sigma_{l_{\alpha}}\alpha) = \operatorname{ran}(\alpha^{-1}\alpha)$ and $\operatorname{dom}(\alpha\sigma_{r_{\alpha}}) = \operatorname{dom}(\alpha\alpha^{-1})$. Hence we get that

$$\alpha = \sigma_{l_{\alpha}} \sigma_{l_{\alpha}} \alpha = \sigma_{l_{\alpha}} \alpha^{-1} \alpha$$
 and $\alpha = \alpha \sigma_{r_{\alpha}} \sigma_{r_{\alpha}}^{-1} = \alpha \alpha^{-1} \sigma_{r_{\alpha}}^{-1}$.

We put

$$\sigma_{l(\alpha)} = \sigma_{l_{\alpha}}, \qquad \sigma_{r(\alpha)} = \sigma_{r_{\alpha}}^{-1}, \qquad \varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \alpha^{-1}\alpha, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varepsilon_{r(\alpha)} = \alpha\alpha^{-1}.$$

We claim that $\sigma_{l(\alpha)} = \sigma_{r(\alpha)}$. Indeed, the inequality $\sigma_{l(\alpha)} \neq \sigma_{r(\alpha)}$ and Theorem 3.2 imply that the restriction $\sigma_{l(\alpha)}^{-1} \sigma_{r(\alpha)}|_{\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \mathbf{C}_m} : \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \mathbf{C}_m \to \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \mathbf{C}_m$ is not the identity map for any positive integer m. This implies that $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} \neq \sigma_{l(\alpha)}^{-1} \varepsilon_{r(\alpha)} \sigma_{r(\alpha)}$, which contradicts the equalities $\alpha = \sigma_{l(\alpha)} \varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \varepsilon_{r(\alpha)} \sigma_{r(\alpha)}$. Hence $\sigma_{l(\alpha)} = \sigma_{r(\alpha)}$ and we put $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sigma_{r(\alpha)}$.

The uniqueness of the elements σ_{α} , $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)}$ and $\varepsilon_{r(\alpha)}$ follows from Lemma 2.5 and equalities (8), because \mathbb{IN}^{n}_{∞} is an inverse semigroup.

Lemma 3.5 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. For any positive integer $n \ge 2$ the subset $H(\mathbb{I}) \cup E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n) \subset \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n$ is a set of generators of \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n . Moreover, so is any subset $A = A_1 \sqcup A_2 \subset \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n$ such that A_1 generates the group $H(\mathbb{I})$ and A_2 generates the set $E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n)$.

The following theorem describes the natural partial order on the semigroups \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} in the terms of Lemma 3.5.

Theorem 3.7. Let n be any positive integer ≥ 2 . Let α and β be elements of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . Let

$$\alpha = \sigma_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \varepsilon_{r(\alpha)} \sigma_{\alpha} \qquad and \qquad \beta = \sigma_{\beta} \varepsilon_{l(\beta)} = \varepsilon_{r(\beta)} \sigma_{\beta}$$

for some elements σ_{α} and σ_{β} of the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ and idempotents $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)}$, $\varepsilon_{r(\alpha)}$, $\varepsilon_{l(\beta)}$ and $\varepsilon_{r(\beta)}$ of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . Then $\alpha \preccurlyeq \beta$ in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} if and only if $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\beta}$, $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} \preccurlyeq \varepsilon_{l(\beta)}$ and $\varepsilon_{r(\alpha)} \preccurlyeq \varepsilon_{r(\beta)}$ in $E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\alpha \preccurlyeq \beta$ in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n . We consider the case $\alpha = \sigma_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{l(\alpha)}$ and $\beta = \sigma_{\beta} \varepsilon_{l(\beta)}$. Then there exists an idempotent $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n$ such that $\alpha = \beta \varepsilon$. Then $\sigma_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \sigma_{\beta} \varepsilon_{l(\beta)} \varepsilon$ and hence

$$\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1} \sigma_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1} \sigma_{\beta} \varepsilon_{l(\beta)} \varepsilon_{\beta}$$

because $\sigma_{\alpha} \in H(\mathbb{I})$. Since $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)}$, $\varepsilon_{l(\beta)}$ and ε are idempotents of $\mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{n}$ there exists a positive integer m such that

 $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \operatorname{dom} \varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} \cup \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \operatorname{dom} \varepsilon_{l(\beta)} \cup \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \operatorname{dom} \varepsilon \subseteq \mathbf{C}_m,$

and hence by Proposition 3.3, $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \operatorname{dom}(\varepsilon_{l(\beta)}\varepsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_m$. Then the equality $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}\sigma_{\beta}\varepsilon_{l(\beta)}\varepsilon$ implies that the restriction of $\sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}\sigma_{\beta}|_{\mathbb{N}^n\setminus\mathbb{C}_m} \colon \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \mathbb{C}_m \rightharpoonup \mathbb{N}^n$ is the identity partial map of $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \mathbb{C}_m$, and hence by Theorem 3.2 we get that $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\beta}$. Then

$$\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1} \sigma_{\beta} \varepsilon_{l(\beta)} \varepsilon = \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1} \sigma_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{l(\beta)} \varepsilon = \mathbb{I} \varepsilon_{l(\beta)} \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{l(\beta)} \varepsilon$$

and hence $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} \preccurlyeq \varepsilon_{l(\beta)}$ in $E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})$.

The proof in the case $\alpha = \varepsilon_{r(\alpha)}\sigma_{\alpha}$ and $\beta = \varepsilon_{r(\beta)}\sigma_{\beta}$ is similar using Lemma 1.4.6 of [13].

The implication (\Leftarrow) is trivial and it follows from Lemma 1.4.6 and Proposition 1.4.7 of [13].

Since $\alpha \mathfrak{C}_{mg}\beta$ in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} if and only if there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n}$ such that $\gamma \preccurlyeq \alpha$ and $\gamma \preccurlyeq \beta$ (see [13, Section 2.4, p. 62]), Theorem 3.7 implies that $\alpha \mathfrak{C}_{mg}\beta$ in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} if and only if $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\beta}$. Hence the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.8. Let n be any positive integer ≥ 2 . Then the quotient semigroup $\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n}/\mathfrak{C}_{mg}$ is isomorphic to the group \mathscr{S}_{n} and the natural homomorphism $\mathfrak{C}_{mg}^{\sharp}:\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n}\to\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n}/\mathfrak{C}_{mg}$ is defined in the following way: $\alpha\mapsto\sigma_{\alpha}$.

The following theorem gives more detail description of Green's relations $\mathscr{R}, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{H}, \mathscr{D}$ and \mathscr{J} on the semigroup \mathbb{IN}^n_{∞} .

Theorem 3.9. Let n be any positive integer ≥ 2 and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n}$. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) $\alpha \mathscr{L}\beta$ if and only if there exists $\sigma \in H(\mathbb{I})$ such that $\alpha = \sigma\beta$;
- (ii) $\alpha \mathscr{R}\beta$ if and only if there exists $\sigma \in H(\mathbb{I})$ such that $\alpha = \beta \sigma$;
- (iii) $\alpha \mathscr{H} \beta$ if and only if there exist $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in H(\mathbb{I})$ such that $\alpha = \sigma_1 \beta$ and $\alpha = \beta \sigma_2$;
- (iv) $\alpha \mathscr{D}\beta$ if and only if there exist $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in H(\mathbb{I})$ such that $\alpha = \sigma_1 \beta \sigma_2$;
- (v) $\mathscr{D} = \mathscr{J}$ on \mathbb{IN}^n_{∞} ;
- (vi) every \mathscr{J} -class in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is finite and consists of incomparable elements with the respect to the natural partial order \preccurlyeq on \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} .

Proof. (i) (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\alpha \mathscr{L}\beta$ in \mathbb{IN}^n_{∞} . Then $\alpha^{-1}\alpha = \beta^{-1}\beta$ and by Lemma 3.4 there exists unique elements $\sigma_{l_{\alpha}}$ and $\sigma_{l_{\beta}}$ of the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ such that $\sigma_{l_{\alpha}}\alpha$ and $\sigma_{l_{\beta}}\alpha$ are idempotents of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}^n_{∞} . This implies that

$$\sigma_{l_{\alpha}}\alpha = \alpha^{-1}\alpha = \beta^{-1}\beta = \sigma_{l_{\beta}}\beta$$

because \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is an inverse semigroup and

$$\operatorname{ran}(\sigma_{l_{\alpha}}\alpha) = \operatorname{ran}(\alpha^{-1}\alpha) = \operatorname{ran}(\beta^{-1}\beta) = \operatorname{ran}(\sigma_{l_{\beta}}\beta).$$

Hence we get that $\alpha = \mathbb{I}\alpha = \sigma_{l_{\alpha}}^{-1}\sigma_{l_{\alpha}}\alpha = \sigma_{l_{\alpha}}^{-1}\sigma_{l_{\beta}}\beta$, and the element $\sigma = \sigma_{l_{\alpha}}^{-1}\sigma_{l_{\beta}} \in H(\mathbb{I})$ is requested.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that there exists $\sigma \in H(\mathbb{I})$ such that $\alpha = \sigma\beta$. Then

$$\alpha^{-1}\alpha = (\sigma\beta)^{-1}\sigma\beta = \beta^{-1}\sigma^{-1}\sigma\beta = \beta^{-1}\mathbb{I}\beta = \beta^{-1}\beta,$$

and hence $\alpha \mathscr{L} \beta$ in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} .

The proof of statement (ii) is similar to (i).

Statement (iii) follows from (i) and (ii).

 $(iv) (\Rightarrow)$ Suppose that $\alpha \mathscr{D}\beta$ in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . Then there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n}$ such that $\alpha \mathscr{L}\gamma$ and $\gamma \mathscr{R}\beta$ and by statements (i) and (ii) we get that there exist $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in H(\mathbb{I})$ such that $\alpha = \sigma_{1}\gamma$ and $\gamma = \beta\sigma_{2}$, and hence $\alpha = \sigma_{1}\beta\sigma_{2}$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that there exist $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in H(\mathbb{I})$ such that $\alpha = \sigma_1 \beta \sigma_2$. Then $\alpha = \sigma_1 \gamma$ for $\gamma = \beta \sigma_2$, which implies by statements (i) and (ii) that $\alpha \mathscr{L} \gamma$ and $\gamma \mathscr{R} \beta$, and hence $\alpha \mathscr{D} \beta$ in \mathbb{IN}^n_{∞} .

(v) By Proposition 3.2.17 of [13] it is sufficient to show that every \mathscr{D} -class D in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} contains a minimal element with the respect to the partial natural order \preccurlyeq on \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . Fix an arbitrary \mathscr{D} -class D in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} and any $\alpha \in D$. Then by Lemma 3.5 there exists the unique element σ_{α} of the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ and the unique idempotent $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)}$ of \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} such that $\alpha = \sigma_{\alpha}\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)}$. Then $\sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}\alpha = \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}\sigma_{\alpha}\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \mathbb{I}\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \varepsilon_{l(\alpha)}$ and $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} \in D$ by statement (*iv*). Also statement (*iv*) and Theorem 3.2 imply that if an idempotent ε belongs to D then $|\mathbb{N}^{n} \setminus \operatorname{dom} \varepsilon| = |\mathbb{N}^{n} \setminus \operatorname{dom} \varepsilon_{l(\alpha)}|$, and hence all idempotents in \mathscr{D} -class D are incomparable with respect to the natural partial order \preccurlyeq on \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . Thus, every \mathscr{D} -class D in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} contains a minimal element.

(vi) The first statement follows from (v), (iv) and Theorem 3.2.

Let α and β be elements of a some \mathscr{J} -class j in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} such that $\alpha \preccurlyeq \beta$. Then by Lemma 3.5 there exist the unique elements $\sigma_{\alpha}, \sigma_{\beta} \in H(\mathbb{I})$ and $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)}, \varepsilon_{l(\beta)} \in E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})$ such that $\alpha = \sigma_{\alpha}\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)}$ and $\beta = \sigma_{\beta}\varepsilon_{l(\beta)}$. By Theorem 3.7, $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\beta}$ and $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} \preccurlyeq \varepsilon_{l(\beta)}$ in $E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})$. Then $\sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}\alpha = \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}\sigma_{\alpha}\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \varepsilon_{l(\alpha)}$ and $\sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}\beta = \sigma_{\alpha}^{-1}\sigma_{\beta}\varepsilon_{l(\beta)} = \varepsilon_{l(\beta)}$ are \mathscr{J} -equivalent idempotents in \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} . By the proof of statement (v) we get that $\varepsilon_{l(\alpha)} = \varepsilon_{l(\beta)}$, and hence by Lemma 3.5, $\alpha = \beta$.

4. The structure theorem for the semigroup \mathbb{IN}^n_{∞}

Recall [14], an inverse semigroup S is said to be F-inverse if every element of S has a unique maximal element above it in the natural partial order \preccurlyeq , i.e. every \mathfrak{C}_{mg} -class has a maximum element. It is obvious that every F-inverse semigroup contains a unit. An inverse semigroup S is E-unitary if, whenever e is an idempotent in $S, s \in S$ and $e \preccurlyeq s$, then s is an idempotent in S [16].

Lemma 3.5 implies the following two corollaries.

Corollary 4.1. \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is an *F*-inverse semigroup for any positive integer $n \ge 2$.

Corollary 4.2. \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is an *E*-unitary inverse semigroup for any positive integer $n \ge 2$.

For any element s of an inverse semigroup S we denote $\downarrow s = \{x \in S : x \preccurlyeq s\}$, where \preccurlyeq is the natural partial order on S.

Let S be any F-inverse semigroup. Then for any $s \in S$ we denote by e_s the idempotent $ss^{-1} \in S$ and by t_s the maximum element in the \mathfrak{C}_{mg} -class of $s \in S$, and by T_S the set $\{t_s : s \in S\}$. We note that T is the set of maximal elements of S, and that T_S need not be closed under multiplication [14]. For each $x \in S$, as in [14] let e_x denote the idempotent xx^{-1} .

The structure of *F*-inverse semigroups is described in [14], and later we need the following two statements for the description of the structure of the semigroup $I\mathbb{N}^n_{\infty}$.

Lemma 4.3 ([14, Lemma 3]). Let S be an F-inverse semigroup and 1_S denote the identity element of S. Then

(i) E(S) is a semilattice with 1_S for an identity element.

(ii) On T_S define the following multiplication:

$$u * v = t_{uv}, \quad for \quad u, v \in T_S.$$

Then $(T_S, *)$ is a group with 1_S for an identity element, and each $t \in T_S$ has t^{-1} as its group inverse in $(T_S, *)$.

(iii) For each $t \in T_S$ the map $\mathfrak{F}_t: E(S) \to \downarrow e_t$, defined by

$$(f)\mathfrak{F}_t = tft^{-1}, \qquad f \in E(S),$$

is a homomorphism onto $\downarrow e_t$, and moreover \mathfrak{F}_{1_S} is the identity map on E(S).

- (iv) For each $t \in T_S$ $(1_S)\mathfrak{F}_t = e_t$ and $(e_t)\mathfrak{F}_{t^{-1}} = e_{t^{-1}}$.
- (v) For each $u, v \in T_S$

$$((1_S)\mathfrak{F}_u)\mathfrak{F}_v \cdot (f)\mathfrak{F}_{u*v} = ((f)\mathfrak{F}_u)\mathfrak{F}_v, \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in E(S);$$

(vi) If $u, v \in T_S$ then

$$f \cdot (g)\mathfrak{F}_u \leqslant e_{u*v},$$

for all idempotents $f \leq e_u$ and $g \leq e_v$ of S.

Theorem 4.4 ([14, Theorem 3]). Let S be an F-inverse semigroup and let $\mathscr{S} = \bigcup_{t \in T_S} (\downarrow e_t \times \{t\})$. Define the multiplication \circ on \mathscr{S} as follows. If $u, v \in T_S$ then for idempotents $f \leq e_u$ and $g \leq e_v$ put

(9)
$$(f,u) \circ (g,v) = (f \cdot (g)\mathfrak{F}_u, u * v) +$$

Then \circ is a well-defined multiplication on \mathscr{S} and (\mathscr{S}, \circ) is isomorphic to S under the map $\mathfrak{H}: S \to \mathscr{S}, s \mapsto (ss^{-1}, t_s).$

Let A and B be semigroups, $\mathsf{End}(B)$ be the semigroup of endomorphisms of B and the following homomorphism $\mathfrak{h}: A \to \mathsf{End}(B): b \mapsto \mathfrak{h}_b$ is defined. Then the set $A \times B$ with the semigroup operation

$$(a_1, b_1) \cdot (a_2, b_2) = (a_1 a_2, (b_1) \mathfrak{h}_{a_2} b_2), \qquad a_1, a_2 \in A, \ b_1, b_2 \in B,$$

is called the *semidirect product* of the semigroup A by B with the respect to the homomorphism \mathfrak{h} and it is denoted by $A \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}} B$ [13]. In this case we say that the right action of the semigroup A is defined on the semigroup of endomorphisms of B. We remark that a semidirect product of two inverse semigroups is not need an inverse semigroup (see [13, Section 5.3]).

Lemma 4.5. The map $\mathfrak{h}: H(\mathbb{I}) \to End(E(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}^n_{\infty})), \sigma \mapsto \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$, where $(\alpha)\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1}\alpha\sigma$ is the automorphism of the semilattice $E(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}^n_{\infty})$, is a homomorphism, and moreover $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{I}}$ is the identity automorphism of $E(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}^n_{\infty})$.

Proof. For any $\sigma \in H(\mathbb{I}), \varepsilon, \iota \in E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n)$ we have that

$$(\varepsilon\iota)\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1}\varepsilon\iota\sigma = \sigma^{-1}\varepsilon\sigma\sigma^{-1}\iota\sigma = (\varepsilon)\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}(\iota)\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma},$$

and hence \mathfrak{h}_{σ} is an endomorphism of the semilattice $E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})$. Also, since for any $\sigma \in H(\mathbb{I})$ and $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})$ the element $\sigma \varepsilon \sigma^{-1}$ is an idempotent of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} and $(\sigma \varepsilon \sigma^{-1})\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1}(\sigma \varepsilon \sigma^{-1})\sigma = \varepsilon$, the homomorphism \mathfrak{h}_{σ} is a surjective map. It is obvious that $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{I}}$ is the identity automorphism of the semilattice $E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})$.

Suppose that $(\varepsilon)\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma} = (\iota)\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$ for some $\gamma \in H(\mathbb{I})$ and $\varepsilon, \iota \in E(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}^{n}_{\infty})$. Since $H(\mathbb{I})$ is the group of units of the semigroup $\mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}^{n}_{\infty}$, the following equalities

$$\sigma^{-1}\varepsilon\sigma = (\varepsilon)\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma} = (\iota)\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1}\iota\sigma$$

imply that

$$\varepsilon = 1\varepsilon 1 = \sigma \sigma^{-1} \varepsilon \sigma \sigma^{-1} = \sigma \sigma^{-1} \iota \sigma \sigma^{-1} = 1\iota 1 = \iota,$$

and hence \mathfrak{h}_{σ} is an automorphism of the semilattice $E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n)$.

Fix arbitrary $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in H(\mathbb{I})$. Then for any idempotent $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n$ we have that

$$(\varepsilon)\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} = (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^{-1}\varepsilon\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-1}\varepsilon\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_2^{-1}(\varepsilon)\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma_1}\sigma_2 = ((\varepsilon)\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma_1})\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma_2} = (\varepsilon)(\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma_1}\cdot\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma_2}),$$

and hence so defined map $\mathfrak{h} \colon H(\mathbb{I}) \to \mathsf{End}\,(E(\mathbb{IN}^n_\infty))$ is a homomorphism.

The following theorem describes the structure of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} .

Theorem 4.6. Let n be any positive integer ≥ 2 . Then the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\mathscr{S}_{n} \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}} (\mathscr{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{N}^{n}), \cup)$ of free semilattice with the unit $(\mathscr{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{N}^{n}), \cup)$ by the symmetric group \mathscr{S}_{n} .

Proof. Since by Theorem 3.2 the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ of the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is isomorphic to the symmetric group \mathscr{S}_{n} , it is sufficient to show that the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is isomorphic to the semidirect product $H(\mathbb{I}) \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}} E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})$ the semilattice $E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})$ by the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ of \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} with the respect to the homomorphism $\mathfrak{h} \colon H(\mathbb{I}) \to \operatorname{End}(E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})), \sigma \mapsto \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$, where $(\varepsilon)\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1}\varepsilon\sigma, \varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})$.

We define a map $\mathfrak{T}: \mathbb{IN}^n_{\infty} \to H(\mathbb{I}) \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}} E(\mathbb{IN}^n_{\infty})$ in the following way

$$(\alpha)\mathfrak{T} = \left(\sigma_{\alpha}, \alpha^{-1}\alpha\right),\,$$

where the element σ_{α} of the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ of \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^{n} is defined by formula $\alpha \mapsto \sigma_{\alpha}$ in Theorem 3.8. By Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.8 the map $\mathfrak{T} \colon \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n} \to H(\mathbb{I}) \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}} E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})$ is well defined and by Theorem 3.9(*iv*) it is surjective. Suppose there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n}$ such that $(\alpha)\mathfrak{T} = (\beta)\mathfrak{T}$. Then $(\sigma_{\alpha}, \alpha^{-1}\alpha) = (\sigma_{\beta}, \beta^{-1}\beta)$ and by Thejrem 3.7 we get that

$$\alpha = \sigma_{\alpha} \alpha^{-1} \alpha = \sigma_{\beta} \beta^{-1} \beta = \beta,$$

and hence the map $\mathfrak{T} \colon \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n \to H(\mathbb{I}) \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}} E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^n)$ is injective.

Fix arbitrary $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n}$. Then Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.8 imply that $\alpha\beta\mathfrak{C}_{mg}\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{\beta}$, and since $\sigma_{\alpha}, \sigma_{\beta} \in H(\mathbb{I})$, we get that $\sigma_{\alpha}\sigma_{\beta} = \sigma_{\alpha\beta}$. This implies that

$$(\alpha)\mathfrak{T}(\beta)\mathfrak{T} = (\sigma_{\alpha}, \alpha^{-1}\alpha)(\sigma_{\beta}, \beta^{-1}\beta) = (\sigma_{\alpha}\sigma_{\beta}, \sigma_{\beta}^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\alpha\sigma_{\beta}\beta^{-1}\beta) = (\sigma_{\alpha\beta}, \sigma_{\beta}^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\alpha\sigma_{\beta}\beta^{-1}\beta).$$

By Lemma 4.5 the map $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma} \colon E(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{n}) \to E(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{n}) \colon \alpha \mapsto \sigma^{-1}\alpha\sigma$ is an automorphism of the semilattice $E(\mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{n})$, and hence we get that $\sigma_{\beta}^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\alpha\sigma_{\beta}$ is an idempotent of the semigroup $\mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{n}$. By Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.7 for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}_{\infty}^{n}$ there exists the unique element σ_{α} of the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ such that $\alpha \preccurlyeq \sigma_{\alpha}$. Lemma 1.4.6 from [13] implies that $\beta = \sigma_{\beta}\beta^{-1}\beta$, and hence

$$\sigma_{\beta}^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\alpha\sigma_{\beta}\beta^{-1}\beta = (\sigma_{\beta}^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\alpha\sigma_{\beta})(\beta^{-1}\beta)(\beta^{-1}\beta) =$$

$$= (\beta^{-1}\beta\gamma_{\beta}^{-1})(\alpha^{-1}\alpha)(\sigma_{\beta}\beta^{-1}\beta) =$$

$$= (\sigma_{\beta}\beta^{-1}\beta)^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}\alpha)(\sigma_{\beta}\beta^{-1}\beta) =$$

$$= \beta^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}\alpha)\beta =$$

$$= (\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1})(\alpha\beta) =$$

$$= (\alpha\beta)^{-1}(\alpha\beta).$$

Therefore, we have that

$$(\alpha\beta)\mathfrak{T} = \left(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}, (\alpha\beta)^{-1}\alpha\beta\right) = (\alpha)\mathfrak{T}(\beta)\mathfrak{T},$$

and hence the map $\mathfrak{T}: \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n} \to H(\mathbb{I}) \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}} E(\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{n})$ is a homomorphism, which completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

Remark 4.7. The monoid \mathbb{IN}_{∞} of all partial cofinite isometries of the set of positive integers \mathbb{N} is a submonoid of the monoid $\mathscr{I}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbb{N})$ of cofinite, monotone, non-decreasing, injective partial transformations of \mathbb{N} [12]. The structure of the semigroup is described in [8]. Also, Lemma 3.5 implies that for any positive integer $n \ge 2$ the semigroup \mathbb{IN}_{∞}^n is an inverse submonoid of the monoid $\mathscr{PO}_{\infty}(\mathbb{N}_{\leq}^n)$ of monotone injective partial selfmaps of \mathbb{N}_{\leq}^n with the order product having cofinite domain and image [5, 6, 7].

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Alex Ravsky for his comments and suggestions.

O. GUTIK AND A. SAVCHUK

References

- O. Bezushchak, On growth of the inverse semigroup of partially defined co-finite automorphisms of integers, Algebra Discrete Math. (2004), no. 2, 45–55.
- [2] O. Bezushchak, Green's relations of the inverse semigroup of partially defined co-finite isometries of discrete line, Visn., Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauky, Kyiv. Univ. Im. Tarasa Shevchenka (2008), no. 1, 12–16.
- [3] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol. I., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1961; Vol. II., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [4] J. A. Green, On the structure of semigroups, Ann. Math. (2) 54 (1951), no. 1, 163–172.
- [5] O. Gutik and O. Krokhmalna, The monoid of monotone injective partial selfmaps of the poset (\mathbb{N}^n, \leq) with cofinite domains and images, Preprint.
- [6] O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, On the monoid of monotone injective partial selfmaps of N_{\leq}^2 with co-finite domains and images, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. 81 (2016), 100–116.
- [7] O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, On the monoid of monotone injective partial selfmaps of N_{\leq}^2 with co-finite domains and images, II, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. 82 (2016), 109–127.
- [8] O. Gutik and D. Repovš, Topological monoids of monotone, injective partial selfmaps of N having cofinite domain and image, Stud. Sci. Math. Hungar. 48 (2011), no. 3, 342–353.
- [9] Gutik O., Repovš D. On monoids of injective partial cofinite selfmaps, Math. Slovaca 65 (2015), no. 5, 981–992.
- [10] O. Gutik and A. Savchuk, On the semigroup ID_{∞} , Visn. Lviv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. 83 (2017), 5–19 (in Ukrainian).
- [11] O. Gutik and A. Savchuk, The semigroup of partial co-finite isometries of positive integers, Bukovyn. Mat. Zh. 6 (2018), no. 1–2, 42–51 (in Ukrainian).
- [12] O. Gutik and A. Savchuk, On inverse submonoids of the monoid of almost monotone injective co-finite partial selfmaps of positive integers, Preprint (arXiv:1904.11802).
- [13] M. Lawson, Inverse Semigroups. The Theory of Partial Symmetries, Singapore: World Scientific, 1998.
- [14] R. McFadden and L. O'Carroll, F-inverse semigroups, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., III. Ser. 22 (1971), no. 4, 652–666.
- [15] M. Petrich, *Inverse Semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
- [16] T. Saitô, Proper ordered inverse semigroups, Pacif. J. Math. 15 (1965), no. 2, 649–666.
- [17] V. V. Wagner, *Generalized groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 84 (1952), 1119–1122 (in Russian).

Faculty of Mathematics, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Universytetska 1, Lviv, 79000, Ukraine

E-mail address: oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua, ovgutik@yahoo.com, asavchuk3333@gmail.com