

# LIMITS OF DUAL CURVES VIA FOLIATIONS

EDUARDO ESTEVES, NIVALDO MEDEIROS AND WÁLLACE SOUSA

ABSTRACT. We develop a method to compute limits of dual plane curves in Zeuthen families of any kind. More precisely, we compute the limit 0-cycle of the ramification scheme of a general linear system on the generic fiber, only assumed geometrically reduced, of a Zeuthen family of any kind.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. The problem.** Let  $C(t)$  be a family of projective plane curves degenerating to  $C := C(0)$ . More precisely, consider the one-parameter family  $C(t)$  of plane curves given by a convergent *homogeneous power series*

$$F(t) := F_0 + F_1t + F_2t^2 + \cdots + F_it^i + \cdots,$$

with  $F_i \in \mathbb{C}[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  homogeneous of the same degree and  $F_0 \neq 0$ . Suppose that for  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  near 0 the plane curve  $C(t)$  is nonsingular. We may ask which plane curve the dual curve to  $C(t)$  degenerates to as  $t$  approaches 0. In other words, what is the limit of the dual plane curves of the family?

The present article addresses this question, which is not new. Indeed, the history of the problem goes back to at least the 19th Century, surfacing in works by Maillard [11] and Zeuthen [14], [15]. They worked on computing limits of dual curves for certain one-parameter families of plane curves  $C(t)$  as a step in the determination of characteristic numbers of plane curves.

Characteristic numbers are basic enumerative invariants. They answer the question: How many smooth plane curves of a given degree  $d$  pass through  $a$  general points and are tangent to  $b$  general lines, for  $a + b = d(d + 3)/2$ ? For  $d = 2$  the numbers are classical, obtained through the moduli of complete conics, a blowup of projective 5-space along the Veronese surface; see [8] for a history.

Zeuthen predicted those numbers for  $d = 3, 4$ . For this Zeuthen used certain families  $C(t)$  which he called of “first kind”, “second kind” and “third kind” (see Remark 7.4), observing that for them the limit of the dual curves depends only on the first few terms of the power series expansion of  $F(t)$ .

More recently, van Gastel [6] computed limits of conormals of plane curves, following the theory on the conormal scheme developed by Kleiman [9], with the same purpose as Maillard and Zeuthen, to compute characteristic numbers. Also, Katz [7] computed limits of dual curves by using Newton polygons, for families satisfying certain *regularity* conditions.

For  $d = 3$  the characteristic numbers were rigorously computed by Aluffi [1] and Kleiman and Speiser [10]. And for  $d = 4$  most of them were computed by Aluffi [2] and van Gastel [6], and

---

*Key words and phrases.* Dual curves, foliations, ramification points.

First author supported by CNPq, Proc. 304623/2015-6 and FAPERJ, Proc. E-26/202.992/2017. Third author partially supported by CAPES, Finance Code 001.

the remaining by Vakil [13] using Kontsevich’s moduli space of stable maps. For  $d = 5$  and above most characteristic numbers are not computed.

The present paper defines Zeuthen families of type  $n$  for every natural number  $n$  (following van Gastel’s definition, which is different from Zeuthen’s for  $n = 4$ ) and introduces a new approach to computing limits of dual curves, and more generally limits of ramification points. With our method we are able to compute for instance limits of dual curves for Zeuthen families of the “third kind”, which are not *regular* in general, in Katz’s terminology. More generally, we consider families of curves  $C(t)$  given by homogeneous power series of the form

$$F(t) = E^2 A + F_1 t + F_2 t^2 + \cdots ,$$

where  $E$  and  $A$  are square-free and coprime. If  $C(t)$  is generically reduced, we give a formula for the limit of the dual curves of the family  $C(t)$ , our Corollary 7.6.

Our argument is algebraic. We may replace  $\mathbb{C}$  by any algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

We do not compute characteristic numbers. It would be a natural endeavor to apply the techniques developed here to compute new characteristic numbers, but we suspect that the work ahead is still substantial.

**1.2. The method.** Let  $C$  be a projective plane curve defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ . If  $C$  is smooth, to describe its dual curve we may consider the *ramification schemes*  $R_C(V)$  associated to linear systems  $V$  on  $C$ . More precisely, for each  $P \in C$  and each nonnegative integer  $\ell$ , let  $V(-\ell P) \subseteq V$  be the linear subsystem of sections of  $V$  vanishing at  $P$  with multiplicity at least  $\ell$ . We call  $P$  a *ramification point* of  $V$  if  $V(-(\dim V)P) \neq 0$ . We can see the set of ramification points of  $V$  as a subscheme of  $C$ . In fact, this scheme can be computed locally as the locus cut out on  $C$  by a “Wronskian” curve, even if  $C$  is singular; see Subsection 2.4. It is this scheme that we denote by  $R_C(V)$ .

Given a general point  $R \in \mathbb{P}_k^2$ , consider the ramification subscheme of  $C$  associated to the linear system  $V_R$  cut out by the lines passing through  $R$ , so that a simple point  $P$  of  $C$  is in the support of the subscheme if and only if the line  $\overline{RP}$  is tangent to  $C$  at  $P$ . If  $C$  is smooth then the subscheme is a Cartier divisor and the dual curve  $C^\vee$  satisfies:

$$C^\vee \cap R^\vee = \sum_P n_P \overline{RP}^\vee, \tag{1.2.1}$$

where  $n_P$  is the multiplicity of  $P$  in the ramification divisor. This implies that the dual curve of a smooth plane curve is completely determined by ramification schemes. So we may, in principle, compute limits of dual curves by computing limits of ramification schemes.

If  $C$  is singular, but without multiple components, its dual curve is usually defined using only its smooth locus, as the closure in the dual plane of the locus of tangent lines to simple points of  $C$ . It is however better, for our purposes, to adopt a different definition, that in [3], p. 240. With that definition, the dual curve  $C^\vee$  is made up of the usual dual curves of the components of  $C$ , each with multiplicity 1, and the lines dual to the singular points  $P \in C$ , each with multiplicity  $n_P$  equal to the intersection multiplicity of a general polar with  $C$  at  $P$ . Thus, if  $C = C(0)$  for a family  $C(t)$ , then  $C^\vee$  is the limit of the dual curves of this family. Also, Equation (1.2.1) holds!

If  $C$  has multiple components, and  $C = C(0)$  for a family  $C(t)$ , the limit of the dual curves depends on the family  $C(t)$ . To compute the limit, we compute the limit of the ramification divisors of the linear systems cut out on the family by the lines passing through a general point  $R \in \mathbb{P}_k^2$ . (In other words, we describe the limit of the dual curves by describing its intersection with a general line in the dual plane.) And to compute limits of ramification divisors we resort to foliations.

A (singular) foliation of the projective plane is a rank-1 subsheaf of the tangent bundle  $T_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}$ . In more concrete terms, a foliation is associated to a homogeneous derivation of  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ , that is, a derivation

$$\partial = G_0\partial_{X_0} + G_1\partial_{X_1} + G_2\partial_{X_2},$$

where  $G_0, G_1, G_2 \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  are homogeneous of the same degree. If  $C$  is given by  $F = 0$ , we say the foliation leaves  $C$  invariant, or that  $\partial$  is a  $F$ -derivation, if  $F|\partial(F)$ . That is the case for instance if  $\partial = \partial_{F,H}$  for any homogeneous polynomial  $H$ ; see Subsection 2.1.

If  $V$  is a linear system on  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$  given by homogeneous polynomials in  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  of the same degree, we can use a  $F$ -derivation  $\partial$  to compute ramification. More precisely, we can consider the so-called *extatic* curve  $W_\partial(V) = 0$  of the foliation, defined in [12]. The polynomial  $W_\partial(V)$  is the Wronskian determinant of a basis of  $V$  with respect to  $\partial$ ; see Subsection 2.1. If the ramification scheme,  $R_C(V)$ , of the linear system cut out on  $C$  by  $V$  is a Cartier divisor and  $\partial = \partial_{F,H}$  for  $H$  prime to  $F$ , then our Lemma 2.6 implies that  $W_\partial(V) = 0$  cuts out on  $C$  the divisor  $R_C(V)$  plus  $\binom{r+1}{2}$  times the divisor cut out by  $H = 0$ .

Our lemma is the main ingredient of our first application of our method, Proposition 4.3, as we explain now.

If  $C = C(0)$  for a family of curves  $C(t)$  given by a homogeneous power series  $F(t) = \sum F_i t^i$ , we want to consider a family of foliations given by a family of derivations

$$\partial(t) = G_0(t)\partial_{X_0} + G_1(t)\partial_{X_1} + G_2(t)\partial_{X_2},$$

where the  $G_i(t)$  are homogeneous power series of the same degree. We want to choose a  $\partial(t)$  that is a  $F(t)$ -derivation, that is,  $F(t)|\partial(t)(F(t))$ . For instance,  $\partial(t) := \partial_{F(t),H}$  for  $H$  prime to  $F$  and  $\partial'(t) := (1/t)\partial_{F_0, F(t)-F_0}$  are  $F(t)$ -derivations. If  $C$  has multiple components,  $\partial'(t)$  is a multiple of those components. Factoring them out, we get a derivation  $\partial''(t)$ . If  $F_1$  is prime to  $F_0$ , then  $\partial''(0)$  does not vanish on any component of  $C$ . We say  $\partial''(t)$  is a *reduction* of  $\partial'(t)$ ; see Subsection 4.1.

If  $V$  is a general linear system on  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ , in the sense that all the polynomials defining it are prime to  $F_0$ , then the extatic curve  $W_{\partial''(0)}(V) = 0$  intersects  $C(0)$ , cutting out a Cartier divisor. We can thus use the family of extatic curves given by  $W_{\partial''(t)}(V)$  to compute the limit of the ramification divisors associated to  $V$  in the family; a formula is given in Proposition 4.3.

Unfortunately though, the condition on  $F(t)$  above is too strict. To be able to compute limits of dual curves in Zeuthen families, we need more flexibility. For a component of  $C = C(0)$  that is not multiple,  $\partial(0)$  does not vanish on that component. It does vanish on the multiple components of  $C$ , but one might not be able to reduce  $\partial(t)$  as we were able to reduce  $\partial'(t)$ . On the other hand,  $\partial''(0)$  vanishes on the common components of  $C$  and  $F_1 = 0$ , but we might not be able to reduce  $\partial''(t)$ . The flexibility we want is that of choosing for each component of  $C$  a family of derivations *adapted* to it, work independently with each family, and compute the limit on each component

of  $C$  of the ramification divisors of  $V$  on  $C(t)$ , by computing the limit on that component of the intersection of the family  $C(t)$  with the associated family of extatic curves.

We develop these ideas in Section 5, whose main result, Theorem 5.3, relies heavily on a general formula for limits of Cartier divisors appearing in [5]. It is this formula that allows us to put together the limits computed on each component of  $C$  to obtain a global limit, if certain conditions are satisfied.

In Section 6 we apply Theorem 5.3 to compute limits of ramification divisors for families  $C(t)$  that do not satisfy the conditions for Proposition 4.3, but that include all Zeuthen families of the first kind. These families are given by homogeneous power series  $F(t) = F_0 + F_1t + \cdots$  such that the common factors of  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  are simple factors of  $F_0$ . For these families,  $\partial(t)$  and  $\partial''(t)$  are the families of derivations needed. Applying Theorem 5.3 we get a formula for the limit of the ramification divisors of families of general linear systems along  $C(t)$ , our Theorem 6.1, generalizing Proposition 4.3. As a corollary, we give a formula for the limit of the dual curves of these families; see Corollary 6.2.

Finally, we show that we can also apply Theorem 5.3 to compute limits of ramification divisors of general linear systems for Zeuthen families of any kind, our Theorem 7.5, and as a corollary we get formulas for the limits of dual curves. Here we potentially need more than two families of derivations.

Many interesting questions arise from our study. First, when can one apply Theorem 5.3 to compute limits of ramification divisors? When are there families of  $F(t)$ -derivations adapted to each component of  $C$  and satisfying the conditions stipulated in the theorem? Second, how to handle nongeneral linear systems, for instance the system of all lines when  $C$  contains one? In this case, the question is: what are the limits of inflection points along  $C(t)$ ?

**1.3. Outline.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we show how to compute ramification schemes of linear systems on curves  $C$  using Wronskians induced by foliations. In Section 3 we extend the construction to families  $C(t)$  and give in Section 4 a formula for the limit of the ramification schemes of families of general linear systems along  $C(t)$ , provided  $C(t)$  degenerates to  $C(0)$  along a general direction. In Section 5 we show how limits of ramification schemes can be computed when one can find adapted families of derivations, even for nongeneral degenerations; we specify conditions and give a formula in Theorem 5.3. In Section 6 we use the method of adaptation to generalize the formula we obtained in Section 4. Finally, in Section 7 we compute limits of dual curves for Zeuthen families of any kind, our Corollary 7.6.

## 2. WRONSKIAN AND RAMIFICATION SCHEMES

**2.1. Wronskians.** Let  $k$  be a ring and  $S$  a  $k$ -algebra. Let  $\partial$  be a  $k$ -derivation of  $S$ , and  $v := [a_0 \cdots a_r]$  a row matrix of elements  $a_i \in S$ . We say the determinant

$$W_{\partial}(v) := \det \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_r \\ \partial(a_0) & \partial(a_1) & \cdots & \partial(a_r) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial^r(a_0) & \partial^r(a_1) & \cdots & \partial^r(a_r) \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\partial^i$  denotes the  $i$ -th iteration of  $\partial$ , is the *Wronskian* of  $v$  with respect to  $\partial$ .

The multilinearity of the determinant and the Leibniz rule of derivations yield the following properties of the Wronskian:

- (1)  $W_{c\partial}(v) = c^{\binom{r+1}{2}}W_{\partial}(v)$  for each  $c \in S$ .
- (2)  $W_{\partial}(vM) = (\det M)W_{\partial}(v)$  for each square matrix  $M$  of size  $r + 1$  and entries in  $k$ .

If  $V \subseteq S$  is a free  $k$ -module of finite rank, denote  $W_{\partial}(V) := W_{\partial}(v)$ , where  $v := [a_0 \cdots a_r]$ , for  $a_0, \dots, a_r \in S$  a ordered  $k$ -basis. Property (2) above yields that  $W_{\partial}(V)$  is well defined modulo multiplication by an invertible element of  $k$ .

Let  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ . For each integer  $d \geq 0$ , let  $S_d \subseteq S$  denote the free  $k$ -submodule of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$ , including 0. A  $k$ -submodule  $V \subseteq S$  is said to be *homogeneous* of degree  $d$  if  $V \subseteq S_d$ .

Let  $\partial_{X_0}, \partial_{X_1}, \partial_{X_2}$  be the partial  $k$ -derivations of  $S$  with respect to the variables  $X_0, X_1, X_2$ . A  $k$ -derivation  $\partial$  of  $S$  can be expressed in the form

$$\partial = G_0\partial_{X_0} + G_1\partial_{X_1} + G_2\partial_{X_2},$$

where  $G_0, G_1, G_2 \in S$ . We say that  $\partial$  is *homogeneous of degree  $d$*  if  $G_0, G_1, G_2$  are homogeneous of degree  $d$ .

Given  $P \in S$ , let

$$\nabla(P) := \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{X_0}(P) & \partial_{X_1}(P) & \partial_{X_2}(P) \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $Q \in S$  is another polynomial, let

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{P,Q} &:= \det \begin{bmatrix} \nabla(P) \\ \nabla(Q) \\ \nabla \end{bmatrix} := \det \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{X_0}(P) & \partial_{X_1}(P) & \partial_{X_2}(P) \\ \partial_{X_0}(Q) & \partial_{X_1}(Q) & \partial_{X_2}(Q) \\ \partial_{X_0} & \partial_{X_1} & \partial_{X_2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &:= \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{X_1}(P) & \partial_{X_2}(P) \\ \partial_{X_1}(Q) & \partial_{X_2}(Q) \end{vmatrix} \partial_{X_0} - \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{X_0}(P) & \partial_{X_2}(P) \\ \partial_{X_0}(Q) & \partial_{X_2}(Q) \end{vmatrix} \partial_{X_1} + \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{X_0}(P) & \partial_{X_1}(P) \\ \partial_{X_0}(Q) & \partial_{X_1}(Q) \end{vmatrix} \partial_{X_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $k$  is a field. If  $\partial := G_0\partial_{X_0} + G_1\partial_{X_1} + G_2\partial_{X_2}$  is a homogeneous derivation of  $S$  of degree  $d$ , then  $\partial$  induces a section of  $T_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}(d-1)$ , or equivalently, a map

$$\eta: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}(1-d) \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}, \tag{2.1.1}$$

where  $T_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}$  is the tangent bundle of  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ . We can describe  $\eta$  in very concrete terms: the direction given by  $\eta$  at a point  $P \in \mathbb{P}_k^2$  is that of the line passing through  $P$  and  $(G_0(P) : G_1(P) : G_2(P))$ , whenever these two points are distinct. This line is defined away from the closed subscheme  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^2$  cut out by the maximal minors of the matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_0 & X_1 & X_2 \\ G_0 & G_1 & G_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that these minors are  $W_{\partial}([X_0 \ X_1])$ ,  $W_{\partial}([X_0 \ X_2])$  and  $W_{\partial}([X_1 \ X_2])$ . A point  $P \in Z$  is called a *singularity of  $\eta$* , or *singular for  $\eta$* .

The section of  $T_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}$  is nonzero, or equivalently,  $Z \neq \mathbb{P}_k^2$ , whence a (singular) *foliation* of degree  $d$  of  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ , if  $\partial$  is not a multiple of the Euler derivation:

$$\varepsilon := X_0\partial_{X_0} + X_1\partial_{X_1} + X_2\partial_{X_2}.$$

The foliation induced by  $\partial$  leaves invariant the plane curve  $C$  defined by  $F = 0$ , for  $F \in S$  homogeneous, if and only if  $F|\partial(F)$ . In other words, dualizing the map (2.1.1) we get the “vector field”  $\eta^\vee : \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}(d-1)$ ; the curve  $C$  is invariant by  $\eta^\vee$  if there is a vector field  $\eta' : \Omega_C^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}(d-1)|_C$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}^1|_C & \xrightarrow{\eta^\vee|_C} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}(d-1)|_C \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \Omega_C^1 & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^2}(d-1)|_C. \end{array}$$

Also, there are finitely many singularities of the foliation on  $C$  if and only if  $\gcd(\partial, F) = 1$ . Here, if  $\partial = G_0\partial_{X_0} + G_1\partial_{X_1} + G_2\partial_{X_2}$ , then  $\gcd(\partial, F)$  is, by definition, the greatest common divisor of  $F$  and the maximal minors of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_0 & X_1 & X_2 \\ G_0 & G_1 & G_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

When  $\gcd(\partial, F) = 1$  we say that  $\partial$  is *prime* to  $F$ . When  $F|\partial(F)$  we say that  $\partial$  is a  $F$ -derivation.

Let  $k$  be an infinite field and  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ . Let  $F \in S$  be a nonconstant homogeneous polynomial.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $G, H \in S$ . We say that  $G$  is *projectively equivalent* to  $H$  modulo  $F$  in  $S$  if there are  $A \in S$  and  $a \in k - \{0\}$  such that  $G = aH + AF$ . Let  $\partial_1$  and  $\partial_2$  be two  $F$ -derivations. We say that  $\partial_1$  and  $\partial_2$  are *projectively equivalent modulo  $F$* , and we denote  $\partial_1 \equiv_F \partial_2$ , if there is  $a \in k - \{0\}$  such that for each linear form  $L$  there are a homogeneous  $k$ -derivation  $\partial$  and a homogeneous polynomial  $N \in S$  satisfying

$$L(\partial_1 - a\partial_2) = F\partial + N\varepsilon. \quad (2.2.1)$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\partial_1$  and  $\partial_2$  be two  $F$ -derivations. If  $\partial_1 \equiv_F \partial_2$  and  $V \subseteq S_d$  is a homogeneous  $k$ -vector space, then the subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$  cut out by  $W_{\partial_1}(V)$  on  $F$  is the same as that cut out by  $W_{\partial_2}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, fixing a basis of  $V$ , it follows from (2.2.1) and the multilinearity of the determinant that  $F$  divides

$$L^{\binom{r+1}{2}}(W_{\partial_1}(V) - a^{\binom{r+1}{2}}W_{\partial_2}(V))$$

for each linear form  $L$ , where  $\dim_k(V) = r + 1$ . Thus, since  $k$  is infinite,

$$W_{\partial_1}(V) \equiv_F a^{\binom{r+1}{2}}W_{\partial_2}(V)$$

□

**2.4. Ramification schemes.** Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero and  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ . Let  $F \in S$  be a nonzero homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d > 0$ . The equation  $F = 0$  defines a projective plane curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}_k^2$ .

Let  $V \subset S$  be a homogeneous  $k$ -vector space of degree  $e$  and dimension  $r + 1$ , for certain integers  $e > 0$  and  $r \geq 0$ . The space  $V$  induces a linear system of (projective) rank  $r$  and degree  $de$  on  $C$ . Let  $R_F(V)$  denote the *ramification scheme* of  $C$  associated to  $V$ . On the open subset  $X_i \neq 0$ , the ramification scheme is the locus cut out by  $F$  and the Wronskian  $W_{\partial_{F, X_i}}(V)$ , for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ .

Now,  $R_F(V)$  might be infinite, indeed:

**Proposition 2.5.** ([4], Prop. 7.8, p. 133) *The ramification scheme  $R_F(V)$  is finite if and only if  $F$  is square-free and the linear system  $V$  is nondegenerate on each geometric irreducible component of  $C$ .*

In other words, denoting by  $\bar{k}$  an algebraic closure of  $k$ , the ramification scheme  $R_F(V)$  is finite if and only if the irreducible factors of the polynomial  $F$  in  $\bar{k}[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  are distinct and do not divide any nonzero element of  $V \otimes_k \bar{k}$ .

If  $R_F(V)$  is finite, then  $R_F(V)$  may be viewed as a Cartier divisor of  $C$ . Before showing the next result we need introduce a few more concepts.

Let  $P, Q \in S$  be nonconstant homogeneous polynomials with  $\gcd(P, Q) = 1$ . Let  $(P \cdot Q)$  denote the subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$  cut out by  $P$  and  $Q$ , and  $[P \cdot Q]$  the associated 0-cycle. We will also view  $(P \cdot Q)$  as a Cartier divisor of the curve cut out by  $P = 0$  or  $Q = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero. Let  $P \in S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  be a nonzero homogeneous polynomial, and  $C \subset \mathbb{P}_k^2$  the curve given by  $P = 0$ . Let  $V \subset S$  be a homogeneous  $k$ -vector space of dimension  $r + 1$ , for a nonnegative integer  $r$ . Then the following four statements hold:*

(1) *If  $Q_1, Q_2 \in S$  are nonconstant and homogeneous, then*

$$Q_2 \partial_{P, Q_1} \equiv_P Q_1 \partial_{P, Q_2}.$$

(2) *For each nonconstant homogeneous polynomial  $Q \in S$  prime to  $P$ , the ramification scheme  $R_P(V)$  associated to  $V$  on  $C$  is finite if and only if  $\gcd(W_{\partial_{P, Q}}(V), P) = 1$ , and in this case*

$$(W_{\partial_{P, Q}}(V) \cdot P) = R_P(V) + \binom{r+1}{2} (Q \cdot P) \quad (2.6.1)$$

*as Cartier divisors of  $C$ .*

(3) *If  $P$  is square-free, then  $\gcd(\partial_{P, Q}, P) = 1$  for each nonconstant homogeneous polynomial  $Q \in S$  prime to  $P$ .*

(4) *Let  $\partial$  be a  $P$ -derivation with  $\gcd(\partial, P) = 1$ . If  $P$  is square-free and  $V$  is nondegenerate on each geometric irreducible component of  $C$ , then  $\gcd(W_{\partial}(V), P) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let us prove the first statement. Let  $L$  be any nonzero linear homogeneous polynomial. We may assume without loss of generality that  $L = X_2$ .

For each homogeneous polynomial  $Q$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \partial'_{P, Q} := \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{X_0}(P) & \partial_{X_1}(P) & \varepsilon(P) \\ \partial_{X_0}(Q) & \partial_{X_1}(Q) & \varepsilon(Q) \\ \partial_{X_0} & \partial_{X_1} & \varepsilon \end{vmatrix} &:= \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{X_1}(P) & \varepsilon(P) \\ \partial_{X_1}(Q) & \varepsilon(Q) \end{vmatrix} \partial_{X_0} - \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{X_0}(P) & \varepsilon(P) \\ \partial_{X_0}(Q) & \varepsilon(Q) \end{vmatrix} \partial_{X_1} \\ &+ \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{X_0}(P) & \partial_{X_1}(P) \\ \partial_{X_0}(Q) & \partial_{X_1}(Q) \end{vmatrix} \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the Euler derivation. Notice that  $X_2\partial_{P,Q} = \partial'_{P,Q}$ . Let  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  be the degrees of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , and set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{X_0} &:= q_2 Q_2 \partial_{X_0}(Q_1) - q_1 Q_1 \partial_{X_0}(Q_2), \\ Q_{X_1} &:= q_2 Q_2 \partial_{X_1}(Q_1) - q_1 Q_1 \partial_{X_1}(Q_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$q_2 Q_2 \varepsilon(Q_1) - q_1 Q_1 \varepsilon(Q_2) = q_2 Q_2 q_1 Q_1 - q_1 Q_1 q_2 Q_2 = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_2(q_2 Q_2 \partial_{P,Q_1} - q_1 Q_1 \partial_{P,Q_2}) &= q_2 Q_2 \partial'_{P,Q_1} - q_1 Q_1 \partial'_{P,Q_2} \\ &= pP \begin{vmatrix} Q_{X_0} & Q_{X_1} \\ \partial_{X_0} & \partial_{X_1} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{X_0}(P) & \partial_{X_1}(P) \\ Q_{X_0} & Q_{X_1} \end{vmatrix} \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p$  is the degree of  $P$ . The proof of Statement 1 is complete.

To prove the remaining statements, we may assume  $k$  is algebraically closed. Let us prove the second statement. Apply Statement 1 to  $Q_1 := Q$  and  $Q_2 := X_2$ . Then  $X_2\partial_{P,Q}$  and  $Q\partial_{P,X_2}$  are equivalent modulo  $P$ , and hence

$$X_2 \binom{r+1}{2} W_{\partial_{P,Q}}(V) \equiv_P c Q \binom{r+1}{2} W_{\partial_{P,X_2}}(V), \quad (2.6.2)$$

for some  $c \in k^*$ . Now,  $W_{\partial_{P,X_2}}(V) = 0$  cuts out the subscheme  $R_P(V)$  on  $C$  in the open set  $X_2 \neq 0$ . Since  $\gcd(Q, P) = 1$ , it follows from (2.6.2) that  $R_P(V)$  is finite on  $X_2 \neq 0$  if and only if  $\gcd(W_{\partial_{P,Q}}(V), P)$  is a power of  $X_2$ . Applying the same argument to the open sets  $X_0 \neq 0$  and  $X_1 \neq 0$ , it follows that  $R_P(V)$  is finite if and only if  $\gcd(W_{\partial_{P,Q}}(V), P) = 1$ .

Furthermore, if  $\gcd(W_{\partial_{P,Q}}(V), P) = 1$ , then (2.6.2) yields that

$$\binom{r+1}{2} (X_2 \cdot P) + (W_{\partial_{P,Q}}(V) \cdot P) = \binom{r+1}{2} (Q \cdot P) + (W_{\partial_{P,X_2}}(V) \cdot P).$$

Thus, on the open set  $X_2 \neq 0$  the equation (2.6.1) is true. By analogy, (2.6.1) holds everywhere.

Now, let us prove that the third statement follows from the second. Since  $k$  is infinite, we may assume that  $P$  has no linear factor which is a linear combination of just two coordinate functions, say  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ . Let  $Q \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  be a nonconstant homogeneous polynomial prime to  $P$  and let  $V \subset k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  be the  $k$ -vector subspace spanned by  $X_0, X_1$ . Since  $\gcd(L, P) = 1$  for each  $L \in V$  and  $P$  is square-free, Proposition 2.3.1 implies that  $R_P(V)$  is finite. So, it follows from the Statement 2 that  $W_{\partial_{P,Q}}(V)$  is prime to  $P$ , and then  $\partial_{P,Q}$  is prime to  $P$ .

Finally, let us prove the last statement. We may assume  $P$  is irreducible and not a multiple of  $X_2$ . By Proposition 2.5 the scheme  $R_P(V)$  is finite, and thus  $P$  does not divide  $W_{\partial_{P,X_2}}(V)$  by Statement 2. Since  $\Omega_C^1$  is generically invertible, there is a dense open subset  $U \subset C$  such that  $\partial = \partial_{P,X_2}$  on  $U$ . It follows that

$$(W_{\partial}(V) \cdot P) = (W_{\partial_{P,X_2}}(V) \cdot P)$$

on  $U$ . Since  $(W_{\partial_{P,X_2}}(V) \cdot P)$  is finite,  $\gcd(W_{\partial}(V), P) = 1$ . □



## 3. INFINITESIMAL FAMILIES AND LIMITS

**3.1. Families and limits.** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero,  $k[[t]]$  the ring of formal power series and  $k((t)) := k[[t]][1/t]$  the field of formal Laurent series. Let  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ . Put  $S[[t]] := S \otimes_k k[[t]]$  and  $S((t)) := S \otimes_k k((t))$ . View  $S[[t]]$  (resp.  $S((t))$ ) with the induced grading, where  $t$  has degree zero. A homogeneous element of  $S[[t]]$  (resp.  $S((t))$ ) will be called a *homogeneous power series* (resp. *Laurent series*).

For each  $k$ -vector space  $V$ , let  $V[[t]]$  be the  $k[[t]]$ -module of power series on  $t$  with coefficients in  $V$ . Given  $P(t) \in V[[t]]$ , denote by  $P(0)$  the constant coefficient.

Let  $V(t) \subseteq S[[t]]$  be a  $k[[t]]$ -submodule. We say that  $V(t)$  is *saturated* if for each  $P(t) \in S[[t]]$  such that  $tP(t) \in V(t)$ , then also  $P(t) \in V(t)$ . Assume that  $V(t)$  is a nonzero, saturated and homogeneous  $k[[t]]$ -submodule of  $S[[t]]$ . Thus, since  $k[[t]]$  is a principal ideal domain,  $V(t)$  is free, of rank  $r + 1$  for some integer  $r \geq 0$ , and  $V(t)$  has a  $k[[t]]$ -basis  $[P_0(t) \cdots P_r(t)]$  of homogeneous power series whose constant coefficients are linearly independent over  $k$ . Denote by  $V(0)$  the  $k$ -vector space spanned by  $P_0(0), \dots, P_r(0)$ .

We view  $V(t)$  as a family of linear systems on the projective plane with limit  $V(0)$ .

Let  $F(t) \in S_e[[t]]$  with  $F(0) \neq 0$ , where  $e$  is a positive integer. We view  $F(t) = 0$  as defining a family  $C(t)$  of plane curves of degree  $e$ . The generic curve  $C^*$  is cut out by  $F^* = 0$ , which is  $F(t)$  viewed as an element of  $S_e((t))$ . Let  $V(t) \subseteq S_d[[t]]$  be a nonzero saturated  $k[[t]]$ -submodule, where  $d$  is a positive integer. The  $k[[t]]$ -module  $V(t)$  induces a family of linear systems of degree  $de$  on the family of curves  $C(t)$ . The generic linear system is induced by  $V^*$ , which is just  $V(t)[1/t]$ , viewed as a  $k((t))$ -vector subspace of  $S_d((t))$ .

Generally, we use the superscript “\*” to mean that a certain family of “objects” should be considered as an “object” over  $k((t))$ .

For each closed subscheme  $R \subset \mathbb{P}_{k((t))}^2$ , we denote by

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} R \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^2$$

its schematic boundary in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ , called *limit*.

Assume the generic ramification scheme  $R_{F^*}(V^*) \subset \mathbb{P}_{k((t))}^2$  is finite. Denote by  $R_F^0(V)$  the schematic boundary of  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$  in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ , and denote by  $[R_F^0(V)]$  the associated 0-cycle. Our aim is to compute  $[R_F^0(V)]$ .

**3.2.  $F(t)$ -derivations.** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ . Let  $F(t) \in S_e[[t]]$  with  $F(0) \neq 0$ . Let  $V(t) \subset S[[t]]$  be a nonzero, homogeneous, saturated  $k[[t]]$ -submodule of rank  $r + 1$ , for some integer  $r > 0$ .

To compute the schematic boundary  $R_F^0(V)$  of the generic ramification scheme  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$ , we will consider homogeneous  $k[[t]]$ -derivations  $\partial(t)$  of  $S[[t]]$ . Such derivations can be expressed in terms of the natural basis  $\partial_{X_0}, \partial_{X_1}, \partial_{X_2}$  in the form

$$\partial(t) = G_0(t)\partial_{X_0} + G_1(t)\partial_{X_1} + G_2(t)\partial_{X_2},$$

where  $G_0(t), G_1(t), G_2(t)$  are homogeneous power series with the same degree, say  $m$ . Set

$$\partial(0) := G_0(0)\partial_{X_0} + G_1(0)\partial_{X_1} + G_2(0)\partial_{X_2}.$$

If  $\partial(0)$  is not a multiple of the Euler derivation, then  $\partial(t)$  gives a family of singular foliations of the plane. We say  $\partial(t)$  is a  $F(t)$ -derivation if  $F(t)|\partial(t)(F(t))$ . Geometrically, the family of foliations given by  $\partial(t)$  leaves invariant the family of plane curves  $C(t)$  defined by  $F(t) = 0$ .

A simple example of a  $F(t)$ -derivation is

$$\partial_{(F(t), H(t))} = \det \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{X_0}(F(t)) & \partial_{X_1}(F(t)) & \partial_{X_2}(F(t)) \\ \partial_{X_0}(H(t)) & \partial_{X_1}(H(t)) & \partial_{X_2}(H(t)) \\ \partial_{X_0} & \partial_{X_1} & \partial_{X_2} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $H(t)$  is any homogeneous power series. If  $H(t)$  has positive degree, and  $H^*$  and  $F^*$  are coprime in  $S((t))$ , we can use  $\partial_{F^*, H^*}$  to compute  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$  on the generic curve  $C^*$  defined by  $F^* = 0$ . Indeed, assuming that  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$  is finite, by Lemma 2.6, its expression as a Cartier divisor on the generic curve is:

$$R_{F^*}(V^*) = (W_{\partial_{F^*, H^*}}(V^*) \cdot F^*) - \binom{r+1}{2} (H^* \cdot F^*). \quad (3.2.1)$$

**Remark 3.3.** To compute  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$  we can simply pick  $H \in S$  homogeneous, nonconstant and prime to  $F(0)$ . In this case, to compute  $R_F^0(V)$  we can use Expression (3.2.1). However, the schematic boundary of  $(W_{\partial_{F^*, H^*}}(V^*) \cdot F^*)$  will not necessarily be  $(W_{\partial_{F(0), H}}(V(0)) \cdot F(0))$ . In fact, the latter might not even make sense. It will not when an irreducible factor of  $F(0)$  is multiple or divides a nonzero polynomial of  $V(0)$ . In any of these cases, this factor will also be a factor of  $W_{\partial_{F(0), H}}(V(0))$ .

#### 4. DEGENERATIONS ALONG A GENERAL DIRECTION

**4.1. Reduced  $F(t)$ -derivations.** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ . For each nonzero polynomial  $P \in S$ , write

$$P = \prod_{i=1}^m E_i^{e_i},$$

where  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  are the irreducible factors of  $P$ . Let

$$\bar{\nabla}(P) := \left( \prod_{i=1}^m E_i \right) \frac{\nabla(P)}{P} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^m E_i \right) \sum_{i=1}^m e_i \frac{\nabla(E_i)}{E_i}.$$

Notice that

$$\nabla(P) = \left( \prod_i E_i^{e_i-1} \right) \cdot \bar{\nabla}(P),$$

for every  $P \in S$ .

Let  $F(t) \in S[[t]]$  be a homogeneous power series of positive degree and nonzero constant coefficient  $F(0)$ . Let

$$H(t) := (F(t) - F(0))/t,$$

and put

$$\partial(t) := \begin{vmatrix} \bar{\nabla}(F(0)) \\ \nabla(H(t)) \\ \nabla \end{vmatrix}.$$

The derivation  $\partial(t)$  is an  $F(t)$ -derivation. Indeed, first  $\partial(t)(H(t)) = 0$ . In addition,  $\partial(t)(F(0)) = 0$ , since  $\nabla(F(0))$  is a multiple of the first row of the matrix whose determinant is  $\partial(t)$ . Thus

$$\partial(t)(F(t)) = \partial(t)(F(0)) + t\partial(t)(H(t)) = 0.$$

We say that  $\partial(t)$  is the *reduced*  $F(t)$ -derivation.

**Lemma 4.2.** *With notation as above, if  $\gcd(F(0), H(0)) = 1$  then  $\gcd(\partial(0), F(0)) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that, if  $F(0) = \prod_i E_i^{e_i}$  is the factorization of  $F(0)$ , then

$$\partial(0) = e_i \left( \prod_{j \neq i} E_j \right) \partial_{E_i, H(0)} + E_i \partial_i,$$

where  $\partial_i$  is a derivation. So, if  $\gcd(E_i, H(0)) = 1$ , Lemma 2.6 yields  $\gcd(\partial(0), E_i) = 1$  for each  $i$ .  $\square$

Let  $F(t) := \sum_{i \geq 0} F_i t^i \in S[[t]]$  be homogeneous of positive degree with  $F_0 \neq 0$  and  $V \subset S[[t]]$  a nonzero, homogeneous, saturated  $k[[t]]$ -submodule of rank  $r + 1$ , where  $r$  is a nonnegative integer. In the next result we will see how to compute  $R_F^0(V)$  in the case where  $F_0$  has multiple factors, at least when  $F(t) \in S[[t]]$  is a deformation of  $F_0$  along a general direction, more precisely, when  $\gcd(F_0, F_1) = 1$ , and  $V(0)$  is nondegenerate on each component of the curve  $C(0)$  given by  $F_0 = 0$ .

If  $F(t)$  is a deformation of  $F_0$  along a general direction then the generic curve  $C^*$ , given by  $F^* = 0$ , is geometrically reduced. This fact is proved below.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ . Let  $F(t) := \sum_{i \geq 0} F_i t^i \in S[[t]]$  be a homogeneous power series of positive degree with  $F_0 \neq 0$ , and  $C(t)$  the family of plane curves it defines. Write*

$$F_0 = \prod_{i=1}^m E_i^{e_i},$$

where  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  are the irreducible factors of  $F_0$ . Assume that  $\gcd(F_0, F_1) = 1$ . Then the generic curve  $C^*$  is geometrically reduced. Furthermore, let  $V(t) \subset S[[t]]$  be a nonzero, homogeneous, saturated  $k[[t]]$ -submodule of rank  $r + 1$ , for  $r \geq 0$ . Assume that  $V(0)$  is nondegenerate on each component of  $C(0)$ . Then  $V^*$  is nondegenerate on each geometric component of  $C^*$ , the generic ramification scheme  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$  is finite, and the 0-cycle of its limit  $[R_F^0(V)]$  in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$  satisfies:

$$[R_F^0(V)] = \sum_i e_i [R_{E_i}(V(0))] + \binom{r+1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (e_i + e_j) [E_i \cdot E_j] + \binom{r+1}{2} \sum_i (e_i - 1) [E_i \cdot F_1],$$

where  $R_{E_i}(V(0))$  is the ramification scheme of the linear system induced by  $V(0)$  on the curve given by  $E_i = 0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $V(t)$  is given. (One could let  $V(t) := V[[t]]$  for a one-dimensional linear system  $V$  generated by a homogeneous polynomial prime to  $F_0$ .) Let

$$H(t) := (F(t) - F_0)/t.$$

We have

$$\partial_{F(t), H(t)} = \partial_{F(0), H(t)} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^m E_i^{e_i - 1} \right) \partial(t), \quad (4.3.1)$$

where  $\partial(t)$  is the reduced  $F(t)$ -derivation. In addition, for each  $E_i$ , as pointed out in the proof of Lemma 4.2,

$$\partial(0) = e_i \left( \prod_{j \neq i} E_j \right) \partial_{E_i, F_1} + E_i \partial_i, \quad (4.3.2)$$

where  $\partial_i$  is a derivation. Since, by hypothesis, each  $E_i$  does not divide either  $F_1$  or a nonzero polynomial of  $V(0)$ , we have  $\gcd(W_{\partial(0)}(V(0)), E_i) = 1$  by Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.6. It follows that  $\gcd(W_{\partial^*}(V^*), F^*) = 1$ . Furthermore, since  $\gcd(F_0, F_1) = 1$ , also  $\gcd(F^*, H^*) = 1$  and  $\gcd(W_{\partial_{F^*, H^*}}(V^*), F^*) = 1$ . It follows now from Lemma 2.6 that  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$  is finite, and thus, by Proposition 2.5, that  $C^*$  is geometrically reduced and  $V^*$  is nondegenerate on each geometric component of  $C^*$ .

By Lemma 2.6,

$$(W_{\partial_{F^*, H^*}}(V^*) \cdot F^*) = R_{F^*}(V^*) + \binom{r+1}{2} (H^* \cdot F^*).$$

From Expression (4.3.1),

$$R_{F^*}(V^*) = (W_{\partial^*}(V^*) \cdot F^*) + \binom{r+1}{2} \left( \sum_i (e_i - 1) (E_i^* \cdot F^*) - (F^* \cdot H^*) \right). \quad (4.3.3)$$

Now, since  $H(0) = F_1$ ,

$$[\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (E_i^* \cdot F^*)] = [E_i \cdot F_1] \quad \text{and} \quad [\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (F^* \cdot H^*)] = \sum_i e_i [E_i \cdot F_1].$$

So, it follows from (4.3.3) that

$$[R_F^0(V)] = [\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (W_{\partial^*}(V^*) \cdot F^*)] - \binom{r+1}{2} \sum_i [E_i \cdot F_1]. \quad (4.3.4)$$

Now, since  $\gcd(W_{\partial(0)}(V(0)), E_i) = 1$  for each  $E_i$ , we have

$$[\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (W_{\partial^*}(V^*) \cdot F^*)] = [W_{\partial(0)}(V(0)) \cdot F(0)] = \sum_i e_i [W_{\partial(0)}(V(0)) \cdot E_i].$$

Using Formula (4.3.2) and Lemma 2.6 we get

$$[W_{\partial(0)}(V(0)) \cdot E_i] = \binom{r+1}{2} \left( \sum_{j \neq i} [E_j \cdot E_i] + [E_i \cdot F_1] \right) + [R_{E_i}(V(0))].$$

Thus

$$[\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (W_{\partial^*}(V^*) \cdot F^*)] = \sum_i e_i [R_{E_i}(V(0))] + \binom{r+1}{2} \left( \sum_i e_i [E_i \cdot F_1] + \sum_{i < j} (e_j + e_i) [E_i \cdot E_j] \right).$$

Combining the above expression with (4.3.4), we get the desired expression for  $[R_F^0(V)]$ .  $\square$

## 5. ADAPTATIONS

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ . Let  $F(t) \in S[[t]]$  be a homogeneous power series with positive degree and nonzero constant coefficient  $F(0)$ . Let  $V(t) \subset S[[t]]$  be a homogeneous, saturated  $k[[t]]$ -submodule of rank  $r+1$  for some nonnegative integer  $r$ .

If the generic ramification scheme  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$  is finite, we would like to compute its limit 0-cycle  $[R_F^0(V)]$  in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ . As we saw in Lemma 2.6, we can choose a  $F(t)$ -derivation  $\partial(t)$  such that

$\gcd(W_{\partial^*}(V^*), F^*) = 1$  and this allows us to compute  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$ . However, as pointed out in Remark 3.3, the schematic boundary of  $(W_{\partial^*}(V^*) \cdot F^*)$  will not necessarily be  $(W_{\partial(0)}(V(0)) \cdot F(0))$ .

To remedy this we will consider modified derivations adapted to each factor of  $F(0)$ .

Indeed, to compute the limit of the ramification scheme  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$  in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$ , we may change  $\partial(t)$  to any  $F(t)$ -derivation  $\partial_1(t)$  such that the induced  $k((t))$ -derivations  $\partial^*$  and  $\partial_1^*$  of  $S((t))$  are projectively equivalent modulo  $F^*$ . The change is allowed because, by Proposition 2.3,

$$W_{\partial_1^*}(V^*) \equiv_{F^*} cW_{\partial^*}(V^*),$$

for some  $c \in k((t)) - \{0\}$ .

We will actually consider something slightly more general, and for this we make the definitions below.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $F(t) \in S[[t]]$  be a homogeneous power series of positive degree and nonzero constant coefficient  $F(0)$ . Let  $E$  be an irreducible factor of  $F(0)$  and  $\partial(t)$  a  $F(t)$ -derivation. We say that  $\partial(t)$  is *adapted* to  $E$  if  $\gcd(\partial(0), E) = 1$ . We say that a  $F(t)$ -derivation  $\partial_1(t)$  is an *adaptation* of  $\partial(t)$  to  $E$  if  $\partial_1(t)$  is adapted to  $E$  and there is a homogeneous power series  $G(t) \in S[[t]]$  such that  $\gcd(G(0), E) = 1$  and  $\partial_1^* \equiv_{F^*} G^* \partial^*$ .

We do not know when such adaptations exist in general. But when they do, we may compute the limit 0-cycle  $[R_F^0(V)]$  using Theorem 5.3, which is a simple consequence of Proposition 5.2 below.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. Let  $F(t), G(t) \in S[[t]]$  be homogeneous power series of positive degree with  $F(0) \neq 0$ . Let  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  be the irreducible factors of  $F(0)$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  their respective multiplicities. Assume that, for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , there are homogeneous power series  $L_i(t), M_i(t) \in S[[t]]$  such that:*

- (1)  $L_i^* G^*$  is projectively equivalent to  $M_i^*$  modulo  $F^*$  in  $S((t))$ ;
- (2)  $L_i(0)M_i(0)$  is prime to  $E_i$ .

Then  $F^*$  and  $G^*$  are coprime in  $S((t))$  and

$$\left[ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (G^* \cdot F^*) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i \left( [M_i(0) \cdot E_i] - [L_i(0) \cdot E_i] \right).$$

*Proof.* We may assume  $G(0) \neq 0$ . Also, we may work with an irreducible factor of  $F(t)$  at a time, so we may assume  $F(t)$  is irreducible.

We prove first that each of  $G^*$ ,  $L_i^*$  and  $M_i^*$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  is coprime with  $F^*$  in  $S((t))$ . Indeed, for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , it follows from (1) that there are a homogeneous  $A_i(t) \in S[[t]]$  with  $A_i(0) \neq 0$ , a power series  $r_i(t) \in k[[t]]$  with  $r_i(0) \neq 0$ , and integers  $m_i$  and  $p_i$  such

$$L_i(t)G(t) = t^{p_i} r_i(t)M_i(t) + t^{m_i} A_i(t)F(t). \quad (5.2.1)$$

If  $p_i < 0$ , since  $A_i(0)F(0)M_i(0) \neq 0$ , we would have  $m_i = p_i$ . But then  $r_i(0)M_i(0) = -A_i(0)F(0)$  and thus  $E_i$  would divide  $M_i(0)$ , contradicting (2). Thus  $p_i \geq 0$ . Since  $A_i(0)F(0) \neq 0$ , also  $m_i \geq 0$ .

If  $G^*$  and  $F^*$  had a nontrivial common factor in  $S((t))$ , then  $G(t)$  and  $F(t)$  would have a common factor of positive degree in  $S[[t]]$ . Since  $F(t)$  is irreducible, it would follow that  $F(t)|G(t)$ . But

then it would follow from (5.2.1) that  $F(t)$  would divide  $M_i(t)$  for each  $i$ , and hence  $E_i|M_i(0)$ , contradicting (2).

Similarly, we show that  $L_i^*$  and  $M_i^*$  are coprime with  $F^*$  in  $S((t))$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

Let  $B$  be the spectrum of  $k[[t]]$  and let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}_B^2$  be the subscheme cut out by  $F(t) = 0$ . Let  $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$  be the projection. Then  $\pi$  is flat, with special fiber  $C(0)$  of pure dimension 1. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the subscheme cut out by  $G(t) = 0$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ . It is an effective Cartier divisor because  $F^*$  and  $G^*$  are coprime in  $S((t))$ . Similarly, the subschemes  $\mathcal{H}_i$  and  $\mathcal{K}_i$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  cut out by  $L_i(t) = 0$  and  $M_i(t) = 0$ , respectively, are effective Cartier divisors for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

For each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , let  $\xi_i$  be the generic point of the irreducible primary subscheme of the special fiber  $C(0)$  cut out by  $E_i^{e_i} = 0$ . It follows from Equation (5.2.1) that  $\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{H}_i = p_i C(0) + \mathcal{K}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . And it follows from (2) that  $\xi_i \notin \mathcal{H}_i + \mathcal{K}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Apply now [5], Thm. 4.1, p. 1722.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let  $F(t) \in S[[t]]$  be a homogeneous power series of positive degree and nonzero constant coefficient  $F(0)$ . Let  $\partial(t)$  be a  $F(t)$ -derivation. Let  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  be the irreducible factors of  $F(0)$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  their multiplicities. Let  $V(t) \subset S[[t]]$  be a homogeneous, saturated  $k[[t]]$ -submodule of rank  $r+1$  for  $r \geq 0$ . Assume that, for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , the system induced by  $V(0)$  on the curve given by  $E_i = 0$  is nondegenerate. Assume as well that there are a positive integer  $p$ , and homogeneous power series  $H_i(t), K_i(t) \in S[[t]]$  and an  $E_i$ -adapted  $F(t)$ -derivation  $\partial_i(t)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$  such that:*

- (1)  $\partial_i^* \equiv_{F^*} H_i^* \partial^*$  in  $S((t))$ ;
- (2)  $H_i^{*p}$  is projectively equivalent to  $K_i^*$  module  $F^*$  in  $S((t))$
- (3)  $K_i(0)$  is prime to  $E_i$ .

Then  $W_{\partial^*}(V^*)$  and  $F^*$  are coprime in  $S((t))$  and

$$\left[ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (W_{\partial^*}(V^*) \cdot F^*) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i [W_{\partial_i(0)}(V(0)) \cdot E_i] - 1/p \binom{r+1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i [K_i(0) \cdot E_i].$$

*Proof.* Set  $G(t) := W_{\partial(t)}(V(t))^p$ . Also, let  $L_i(t) := K_i(t)^{\binom{r+1}{2}}$  and  $M_i(t) := W_{\partial_i(t)}(V(t))^p$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Apply Proposition 5.2 and divide the resulting equation by  $p$ .  $\square$

## 6. DEGENERATIONS ALONG A QUASI-GENERAL DIRECTION

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ . Let  $F(t) := \sum F_i t^i \in S[[t]]$  be a homogeneous power series of positive degree with  $F_0 \neq 0$ , and  $C(t)$  the family of plane curves it defines. Write*

$$F_0 = \prod_{i=1}^m E_i^{e_i},$$

where  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  are the irreducible factors of  $F_0$ . Assume that  $\gcd(E_i, F_1) = 1$  for each  $i$  such that  $e_i > 1$ . Then the generic curve  $C^*$  is geometrically reduced. Furthermore, let  $V(t) \subset S[[t]]$  be a nonzero, homogeneous, saturated  $k[[t]]$ -submodule of rank  $r+1$ , for  $r \geq 0$ . Assume that  $V(0)$  is nondegenerate on each component of  $C(0)$ . Then  $V^*$  is nondegenerate on each geometric component of  $C^*$ , the generic ramification scheme  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$  is finite, and the 0-cycle of its limit

$[R_F^0(V)]$  in  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$  satisfies:

$$[R_F^0(V)] = \sum_i e_i [R_{E_i}(V(0))] + \binom{r+1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (e_i + e_j) [E_i \cdot E_j] + \binom{r+1}{2} \sum_i (e_i - 1) [E_i \cdot F_1].$$

where  $R_{E_i}(V(0))$  is the ramification scheme of the linear system induced by  $V(0)$  on the curve given by  $E_i = 0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Proposition 4.3, we may assume  $V(t)$  is given. Let  $H \in k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$  homogeneous and prime to  $F_0$ . The  $F(t)$ -derivation

$$\partial_1(t) := \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{X_0}(F(t)) & \partial_{X_1}(F(t)) & \partial_{X_2}(F(t)) \\ \partial_{X_0}(H) & \partial_{X_1}(H) & \partial_{X_2}(H) \\ \partial_{X_0} & \partial_{X_1} & \partial_{X_2} \end{vmatrix}$$

is adapted to each  $E_i$  with  $e_i = 1$ . Furthermore, the reduced  $F(t)$ -derivation

$$\partial_2(t) := \frac{1}{E_1^{e_1-1} \dots E_m^{e_m-1}} \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{X_0}(F_0) & \partial_{X_1}(F_0) & \partial_{X_2}(F_0) \\ \partial_{X_0}(G(t)) & \partial_{X_1}(G(t)) & \partial_{X_2}(G(t)) \\ \partial_{X_0} & \partial_{X_1} & \partial_{X_2} \end{vmatrix},$$

where  $G(t) := (F(t) - F_0)/t$ , is adapted to each  $E_i$  with  $e_i > 1$ .

We need to compare  $\partial_1(t)$  to  $\partial_2(t)$  to use Theorem 5.3. First observe that

$$t\partial_2(t) = \frac{1}{E_1^{e_1-1} \dots E_m^{e_m-1}} \begin{vmatrix} \partial_{X_0}(F_0) & \partial_{X_1}(F_0) & \partial_{X_2}(F_0) \\ \partial_{X_0}(F(t)) & \partial_{X_1}(F(t)) & \partial_{X_2}(F(t)) \\ \partial_{X_0} & \partial_{X_1} & \partial_{X_2} \end{vmatrix},$$

and hence, by Lemma 2.6,

$$H^* \partial_2^* \equiv_{F^*} E_1 \cdots E_m \partial_1^*$$

as  $k((t))$ -derivations of  $k[X_0, X_1, X_2]((t))$ . Set

$$\partial(t) := \prod_{e_i > 1} E_i \partial_1(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_3(t) := H \partial_2(t).$$

Since  $\gcd(F_0, H) = 1$ , it follows that  $\partial_3(t)$  is an adaptation of  $\partial(t)$  to each  $E_i$  with  $e_i > 1$ .

Set

$$A_1 := \prod_{e_i=1} E_i \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 := \prod_{e_i>1} E_i$$

It follows from Theorem 5.3, for  $p = 1$ , that  $W_{\partial^*}(V^*)$  and  $F^*$  are coprime, whence, since  $A_2^*$  and  $F^*$  are coprime,  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$  is finite by Lemma 2.6. As a consequence,  $C^*$  is geometrically reduced and  $V^*$  is nondegenerate on each geometric component of  $C^*$  by Proposition 2.5.

It follows as well from Theorem 5.3, for  $p = 1$ , that

$$[\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (W_{\partial^*}(V^*) \cdot F^*)] = \sum_{e_i > 1} e_i [W_{\partial_3(0)}(V(0)) \cdot E_i] + [W_{\partial(0)}(V(0)) \cdot A_1] - \binom{r+1}{2} \sum_{e_i > 1} e_i [E_i \cdot A_1]. \quad (6.1.2)$$

Now, since  $\partial_3(0)$  is equivalent to  $(HA_1A_2/E_i)\partial_{E_i, F_1}$  modulo  $E_i$  for each  $i$ , Lemma 2.6 implies that

$$(W_{\partial_3(0)}(V(0)) \cdot E_i) = \binom{r+1}{2} \left( (HF_1 \cdot E_i) + \sum_{j \neq i} (E_j \cdot E_i) \right) + R_{E_i}(V(0)) \quad (6.1.3)$$

for each  $i$  with  $e_i > 1$ . In the same way, since  $\partial(0)$  is equivalent to  $(A_2 F_0 / E_i) \partial_{E_i, H}$  modulo  $E_i$ , we get

$$(W_{\partial(0)}(V(0)) \cdot E_i) = \binom{r+1}{2} \left( \frac{A_2 F_0 H}{E_i} \cdot E_i \right) + R_{E_i}(V(0)) \quad (6.1.4)$$

for each  $i$  with  $e_i = 1$ . Finally,

$$(W_{\partial^*}(V^*) \cdot F^*) = R_{F^*}(V^*) + \binom{r+1}{2} (A_2^* H^* \cdot F^*). \quad (6.1.5)$$

So, taking the limit in Equation (6.1.5) we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (W_{\partial^*}(V^*) \cdot F^*) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} R_{F^*}(V^*) + \binom{r+1}{2} \left( (A_2 \cdot F_1) + (H \cdot F_0) \right) \quad (6.1.6)$$

Thus, substituting (6.1.3), (6.1.4) and (6.1.6) in (6.1.2), and taking associated 0-cycles, the desired formula follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.2.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ . Let  $F(t) := \sum F_i t^i \in S[[t]]$  be a homogeneous power series of positive degree with  $F_0 \neq 0$ , and  $C(t)$  the family of plane curves it defines. Write*

$$F_0 = \prod_{i=1}^m E_i^{e_i},$$

where  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  are the irreducible factors of  $F_0$ . Let  $C_i$  be the curve defined by  $E_i = 0$  for each  $i$ . Assume that  $\gcd(E_i, F_1) = 1$  for each  $i$  such that  $e_i > 1$ . Then the generic curve  $C^*$  is geometrically reduced, and the limit of the dual plane curves of  $C(t)$  satisfies:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (C^*)^\vee = \sum_i e_i C_i^\vee + \sum_{i < j} (e_i + e_j) [E_i \cdot E_j]^\vee + \sum_i (e_i - 1) [E_i \cdot F_1]^\vee.$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 6.1 for  $V(t) := V[[t]]$ , where  $V$  is a general pencil of lines and use (1.2.1).  $\square$

**Remark 6.3.** If  $\gcd(E_i, F_1) = 1$  for each  $i$  such that  $e_i > 1$ , then  $F(t)$  is *regular* in Katz's terminology. In [7], Thm. 3, p. 103, Katz gives a formula for  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (C^*)^\vee$  under the regularity assumption. Our formula looks different from Katz's; it is actually just simpler to present, as our formula is a special case of his.

## 7. ZEUTHEN FAMILIES

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $F(t) := E^2 A + F_1 t + F_2 t^2 + \dots \in S[[t]]$  be a homogeneous power series of positive degree, where  $A$  and  $E$  are square-free and coprime. Let  $E := \prod_j E_j$  be the decomposition in irreducible factors. For each  $E_j$ , let  $B_j := E^2 A / E_j^2$ , let  $\Delta_{1,j} := F_1$ , and put*

$$\Delta_{n+2,j} := B_j^{n+1} F_{n+2} - \sum_{i+r=n+2} \frac{\Delta'_{i,j}}{2} \cdot \frac{\Delta'_{r,j}}{2}$$



for each integer  $n \geq 0$ , where  $\Delta'_{i,j} := \Delta_{i,j}/E_j$  for all  $i, j$ . Then, for each  $E_j$  and each integer  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$B_j^{2n+1}F(t) \equiv \left( E_j B_j^{n+1} + (\Delta'_{1,j} B_j^n t)/2 + \cdots + (\Delta'_{i,j} B_j^{n+1-i} t^i)/2 + \cdots + (\Delta'_{n+1,j} t^{n+1})/2 \right)^2 \\ + B_j^n \Delta_{n+2,j} t^{n+2} \pmod{t^{n+3}}.$$

*Proof.* Simple verification.  $\square$

**Definition 7.2.** We say that  $F(t)$  is of *type  $n$*  for  $E_j$  if  $E_j$  divides  $\Delta_{1,j}, \dots, \Delta_{n-1,j}$  but does not divide  $\Delta_{n,j}$ .

**Definition 7.3.** We call  $\Delta_{i,j}$  the  $i$ -th *discriminant* of  $F(t)$  associated to  $E_j$ .

**Remark 7.4.** When  $E$  is irreducible, the family  $C(t)$  given by  $F(t) = 0$  is a Zeuthen family of the first, second or third kind if and only if  $F(t)$  is of type 1, 2 or 3 for  $E$ , respectively, cf. [6]. Also, if  $F(t)$  is of type 1, then  $F(t)$  is a special case of the  $F(t)$  considered in Section 6.

**Theorem 7.5.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ . Let  $F(t) := E^2 A + F_1 t + \cdots \in S[[t]]$  be a homogeneous power series of positive degree, where  $A$  and  $E$  are square-free and coprime, and  $C(t)$  the family of plane curves it defines. Let  $E = E_1 \cdots E_m$  be the decomposition in irreducible factors. Assume the generic curve  $C^*$  is geometrically reduced. Then for each  $E_j$  there is an integer  $n_j$  such that  $F(t)$  is of type  $n_j$  for  $E_j$ . Furthermore, let  $V(t) \subset S[[t]]$  be a saturated, homogeneous  $k[[t]]$ -submodule of rank  $r + 1$ , for some integer  $r \geq 0$ . Assume that  $V(0)$  is nondegenerate on each component of  $C(0)$ . Then the generic ramification scheme  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$  is finite and the limit 0-cycle  $[R_F^0(V)]$  satisfies*

$$[R_F^0(V)] = 2 \sum_{j=1}^m [R_{E_j}(V(0))] + [R_A(V(0))] + \binom{r+1}{2} [E^2 \cdot A] \\ + \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{r+1}{2} [\Delta_{n_j,j} \cdot E_j] - \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{r+1}{2} (n_j - 2) [B_j \cdot E_j],$$

with the  $\Delta_{i,j}$  and the  $B_j$  as defined in Lemma 7.1.

*Proof.* If  $F(t)$  were not of type  $n$  for  $E_j$  for any  $n > 0$ , then, by Lemma 7.1, we would have

$$F(t) = \frac{\left( E_j B_j + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1/2) \Delta'_{i,j} B_j^{1-i} t^i \right)^2}{B_j},$$

and thus on the open set  $B_j \neq 0$  the generic fiber would not be reduced. Thus  $F(t)$  is of type  $n_j$  for each  $E_j$  for a certain  $n_j$ .

There are now two cases to consider:

- $n_j = 1$ : First notice that  $B_j F(t) = (E_j B_j)^2 + t B_j D(t)$ , where  $D(t) := (F(t) - E^2 A)/t$ . Let

$$\partial'_j(t) := B_j \partial_{B_j D(t), E_j B_j}.$$

Then  $\partial'_j(t)$  is a  $F(t)$ -derivation and is adapted to  $E_j$  by Lemma 2.6.

- $n_j \geq 2$ : Set  $m_j := n_j - 2$ . By Lemma 7.1 we have that

$$B_j^{2m_j+1} F(t) \equiv \left( E_j B_j^{m_j+1} + (\Delta'_{1,j} B_j^{m_j} t)/2 + \cdots + (\Delta'_{m_j+1,j} t^{m_j+1})/2 \right)^2 \\ + B_j^{m_j} \Delta_{m_j+2,j} t^{m_j+2} \pmod{t^{m_j+3}}.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{1,j}(t) &:= E_j B_j^{m_j+1} + (\Delta'_{1,j} B_j^{m_j} t)/2 + \cdots + (\Delta'_{m_j+1,j} t^{m_j+1})/2, \\ Q_{2,j}(t) &:= (B_j^{2m_j+1} F(t) - Q_{1,j}(t)^2)/t^{m_j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F(t)$  is of type  $n_j$  for  $E_j$ , we have  $Q_{1,j}(t), Q_{2,j}(t) \in S[[t]]$  and  $E_j \nmid Q_{2,j}(0)$ . Let

$$\partial'_j(t) := B_j^{2m_j+1} \partial_{Q_{2,j}(t), Q_{1,j}(t)}.$$

Then  $\partial'_j(t)$  is a  $F(t)$ -derivation and is adapted to  $E_j$  by Lemma 2.6.

Let  $H \in S$  be homogeneous and prime to  $E^2 A$ . It follows from Lemma 2.6 that:

$$H(\partial'_j)^* \equiv_{F^*} \begin{cases} B_j^3 E_j \partial_{F^*, H^*} & \text{if } n_j = 1, \\ B_j^{2(2m_j+1)} Q_{1,j}^* \partial_{F^*, H^*} & \text{if } n_j \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

as  $k((t))$ -derivations of  $S((t))$ .

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(t) &:= \partial_{F(t), H}; \\ \partial_j(t) &:= H \partial'_j(t), \quad H_j := B_j^3 E_j \quad \text{and} \quad K_j(t) := B_j^5 D(t), \quad \text{if } n_j = 1; \\ \partial_j(t) &:= H \partial'_j(t), \quad H_j(t) := B_j^{2(2m_j+1)} Q_{1,j}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad K_j(t) := B_j^{4(2m_j+1)} Q_{2,j}(t), \quad \text{if } n_j \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

The data  $\partial_j(t)$ ,  $H_j(t)$  and  $K_j(t)$  satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 5.3 for  $p = 2$ . Thus  $W_{\partial^*}(V^*)$  and  $F^*$  are coprime, and hence  $R_{F^*}(V^*)$  is finite by Lemma 2.6. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} [\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (W_{\partial^*}(V^*) \cdot F^*)] &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^m [W_{\partial_j(0)}(V(0)) \cdot E_j] + [W_{\partial(0)}(V(0)) \cdot A] \\ &\quad - \binom{r+1}{2} \sum_{n_j=1} [B_j^5 \Delta_{1,j} \cdot E_j] \\ &\quad - \binom{r+1}{2} \sum_{n_j \geq 2} [B_j^{4(2m_j+1)} B_j^{m_j} \Delta_{n_j,j} \cdot E_j]. \end{aligned} \tag{7.5.7}$$

We will now consider each term of (7.5.7). From Lemma 2.6, since  $\partial_j(0) = H \partial'_j(0)$ , we have

$$[W_{\partial_j(0)}(V(0)) \cdot E_j] = \binom{r+1}{2} [H B_j^3 \Delta_{1,j} \cdot E_j] + [R_{E_j}(V(0))] \tag{7.5.8}$$

if  $n_j = 1$ , whereas

$$[W_{\partial_j(0)}(V(0)) \cdot E_j] = \binom{r+1}{2} [H B_j^{4m_j+2} \Delta_{n_j,j} \cdot E_j] + [R_{E_j}(V(0))] \tag{7.5.9}$$

if  $n_j \geq 2$  (recall that  $m_j = n_j - 2$ ). Also, since  $\partial(0) = \partial_{E^2 A, H} \equiv_A E^2 \partial_{A, H}$  as  $k$ -derivations of  $S$ ,

$$[W_{\partial(0)}(V(0)) \cdot A] = \binom{r+1}{2} [E^2 H \cdot A] + [R_A(V(0))]. \tag{7.5.10}$$

Finally,

$$(W_{\partial^*}(V^*) \cdot F^*) = R_{F^*}(V^*) + \binom{r+1}{2} (H^* \cdot F^*). \tag{7.5.11}$$

So, taking limit 0-cycles in (7.5.11) we get

$$[\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (W_{\partial}(V^*) \cdot F^*)] = [R_F^0(V)] + \binom{r+1}{2} [H \cdot A E^2]. \tag{7.5.12}$$

Thus, substituting (7.5.8), (7.5.9), (7.5.10) and (7.5.12) into Equation (7.5.7), the stated formula for  $[R_F^0(V)]$  follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.6.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and  $S := k[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ . Let  $F(t) := E^2A + F_1t + F_2t^2 + \dots \in S[[t]]$  be a homogeneous power series of positive degree, where  $A$  and  $E$  are square-free and coprime, and  $C(t)$  be the family of plane curves it defines. Let  $E = E_1 \cdots E_m$  be the decomposition in irreducible factors. Let  $C_j$  be the curve given by  $E_j = 0$  for each  $j$ , and  $C_A$  that given by  $A = 0$ . If the generic curve  $C^*$  is geometrically reduced, then the limit of the dual curves of the family  $C(t)$  satisfies:*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (C^*)^\vee = 2 \sum_{j=1}^m C_j^\vee + C_A^\vee + 2[E \cdot A]^\vee + \sum_{j=1}^m [\Delta_{n_j, j} \cdot E_j]^\vee - \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j - 2)[B_j \cdot E_j]^\vee,$$

where  $B_j := E^2A/E_j^2$ , where  $\Delta_{n_j, j}$  is the  $n_j$ -th discriminant of  $F(t)$  associated to  $E_j$  and  $n_j$  is the type of  $F(t)$  for  $E_j$ , for each  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 7.5 for  $V(t) := V[[t]]$ , where  $V$  is a general pencil of lines, and use (1.2.1).  $\square$

## 8. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Jorge Vitório Pereira and Israel Vainsencher for many discussions on the subject. We are specially grateful to Steven Kleiman for insights, for pointing out many references and for his comments on an earlier draft of this paper. The first and second author are grateful to MIT for its hospitality during a visit of theirs, when the seeds of this work were sown. The third author would like to thank IMPA for its hospitality while this work was being finished.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Aluffi, *The enumerative geometry of plane cubics. I. Smooth cubics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **317** (1990), 501–539.
- [2] P. Aluffi, *Two characteristic numbers for smooth plane curves of any degree*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **329** (1992), no. 1, 73–96.
- [3] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *The geometry of schemes*, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, v. 197, (2000).
- [4] E. Esteves, *Wronski algebra systems on families of singular curves*, Annales Scientifiques de L'É.N.S. 4 serie **29** (1996), 107–134.
- [5] E. Esteves, *Limits of Cartier divisors*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **214** (2010), 1718–1728.
- [6] L.J. van Gastel, *Characteristic numbers of plane curves: an excess intersection theoretical approach*, In: Enumerative algebraic geometry (Copenhagen, 1989), Contemp. Math. **123** (1991), 259–265.
- [7] S. Katz, *Discriminants and limits of duals of plane curves*, Contemp. Math. **123** (1991), 99–106.
- [8] S. Kleiman, *Chasles's enumerative theory of conics: a historical introduction*, Studies in algebraic geometry, pp. 117–138, MAA Stud. Math., 20, Math. Assoc. America, Washington, D.C., 1980.
- [9] S. Kleiman, *About the conormal scheme*. Complete intersections (Acireale, 1983), 161–197, Lecture Notes in Math., 1092, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [10] S. Kleiman and R. Speiser, *Enumerative geometry of nonsingular plane cubics*. In: Algebraic geometry (Sundance, 1988), Contemp. Math. **116** (1991), 85–113.
- [11] S. Maillard, *Recherche des caractéristiques des systèmes élémentaires de courbes planes du troisième ordre*, Cusset, Paris (1871).
- [12] J.V. Pereira, *Vector fields, invariant varieties and linear systems*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier (Grenoble) **51** (2001), 1385–1405.
- [13] R. Vakil, *The characteristic numbers of quartic plane curves*, Canad. J. Math. **51** (1999), no. 5, 1089–1120.

- [14] H. Zeuthen, *Détermination des caractéristiques des systèmes élémentaires de cubiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **74** (1872), 521–526, 604–607, 726–729.
- [15] H. Zeuthen, *Almindelige Egenskaber ved Systemer af plane Kurver*, Publi. Royal Acad. of Sciences, Copenhagen, Nat. and Math. Sci. **10** (1873), 287–393.

INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA, ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA  
110, 22460-320 RIO DE JANEIRO RJ, BRAZIL

*E-mail address:* `esteves@impa.br`

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, RUA  
PROFESSOR MARCOS WALDEMAR DE FREITAS REIS, S/N, CAMPUS GRAGOATÁ, 24210-201  
NITERÓI RJ, BRAZIL

*E-mail address:* `nivaldomedeiros@id.uff.br`

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA, CENTRO DE CIÊNCIAS EXATAS E DA NATUREZA,  
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CAMPUS UNIVERSITÁRIO, 58051-900 JOÃO PESSOA PB,  
BRAZIL

*E-mail address:* `wallace@mat.ufpb.br`