

1D SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH COMPLEX POTENTIALS

EVGENY KOROTYAEV

ABSTRACT. We consider a Schrödinger operator with complex-valued potentials on the line. The operator has essential spectrum on the half-line plus eigenvalues (counted with algebraic multiplicity) in the complex plane without the positive half-line. We determine series of trace formulas. Here we have the new term: a singular measure, which is absent for real potentials. Moreover, we estimate of sum of Im part of eigenvalues plus singular measure in terms of the norm of potentials. The proof is based on classical results about the Hardy spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

1.1. Introduction. We consider a Schrödinger operator $H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x)$ on the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where the potential q is complex and satisfies:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|)|q(x)|dx < \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

It is known that the spectrum of the operator H has two components: the essential spectrum which covers the half-line $[0, \infty)$ plus $N \leq \infty$ eigenvalues (counted with multiplicity) in the cut spectral domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$. We denote them by $E_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, according to their multiplicity. Note, that the multiplicity of each eigenvalue equals 1, but we call the multiplicity of the eigenvalue its algebraic multiplicity. Define the half-planes $\mathbb{C}_{\pm} = \{\pm \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$. Instead of the energy $E \in \mathbb{C}$ we define the momentum $k = \sqrt{E} \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$. We call $k_j = \sqrt{E_j} \in \mathbb{C}_+$ also the eigenvalues of the operator H . Of course, E is really the energy, but since k is the natural parameter, we will abuse terminology. We define the set $\mathbb{k}_q = \{k_1, \dots, k_N \in \mathbb{C}_+\}$ and label $k_1, \dots, k_N \in \mathbb{C}_+$ by

$$\operatorname{Im} k_1 \geq \operatorname{Im} k_2 \geq \operatorname{Im} k_3 \geq \dots \geq \operatorname{Im} k_n \geq \dots \quad (1.2)$$

We shortly describe results about trace formulas:

- In 1960 Buslaev and Faddeev [BF60] determined the classical results about trace formulas for Schrödinger operators with real decaying potentials on half-line. The case of the real line was discussed by Faddeev and Zakharov in the nice paper [FZ71].
- There are a lot of results about one dimensional case, see [KS09] and references therein.
- The multidimensional case was studied in [B66]. Trace formulas for Stark operators and magnetic Schrödinger operators were discussed in [KP03], [KP04].
- The trace formulas for Schrödinger operators with real periodic potentials were obtained in [KK95, K97]. They were used to obtain two-sided estimates of potential in terms of gap lengths (or the action variables for KdV) in [K00] via the conformal mapping theory for the quasimomentum.
- Trace formulas for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials on the lattice \mathbb{Z}^d and on \mathbb{R}^3 are considered recently in [K17], [KL18], [MN15] and [K17x] respectively. In [K17], [KL18]

Date: September 19, 2019.

Key words and phrases. Complex potentials, trace formula.

for the discrete case the main tool is the classical results about the Hardy spaces in the disc. Trace formulas for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials on \mathbb{R}_+ with the Dirichlet boundary condition are discussed in [K18]. In the case \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{R}_+ the Hardy spaces in the upper half-plane \mathbb{C}_+ are used.

1.2. The Hardy spaces. Introduce the Jost solutions $f_{\pm}(x, k)$ of the equation

$$-f_{\pm}'' + qf_{\pm} = k^2 f_{\pm}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (1.3)$$

with the conditions

$$f_{\pm}(x, k) = e^{\pm i x k} + o(1) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Here and in the following ' denotes the derivative w.r.t. the first variable. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the Jost solutions $f^{\pm}(x, k)$ are analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ , continuous up to the real line. Introduce the Wronskian w and functions ψ, Ψ in \mathbb{C}_+ by

$$w(k) = \{f_-(x, k), f_+(x, k)\}|_{x=0}, \quad \psi(k) = \frac{w(k)}{2ik}, \quad \Psi = \frac{w}{2i(k+i)}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\{y, f\} = yf' - y'f$. The function ψ satisfies (uniformly in $\arg k \in [0, \pi]$):

$$\psi(k) = 1 - \frac{q_0 + o(1)}{2ik} \quad \text{as } |k| \rightarrow \infty, \quad q_0 := \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(t) dt. \quad (1.6)$$

The function ψ has $N \geq 0$ zeros in \mathbb{C}_+ given by $k_j \in \mathbb{k}_q$, counted with multiplicity.

Define the Hardy space \mathcal{H}_p . Let a function $F(k), k = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}_+$ be analytic on \mathbb{C}_+ . For $0 < p \leq \infty$ we say $F \in \mathcal{H}_p = \mathcal{H}_p(\mathbb{C}_+)$ if F satisfies $\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} < \infty$, where $\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_p}$ is given by

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} = \begin{cases} \sup_{v>0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |F(u+iv)|^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } 0 < p < \infty \\ \sup_{k \in \mathbb{C}_+} |F(k)| & \text{if } p = \infty \end{cases}.$$

Note that the definition of the Hardy space \mathcal{H}_p involves all $v = \text{Im } k > 0$.

We define

$$\|q\|_{\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^{\alpha} |q(x)| dx, \quad \alpha \geq 0, \quad \|q\| = \|q\|_0.$$

Describe the properties of the functions w, ψ, Ψ :

- The function w, ψ, Ψ have the same zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus \{0\}$, and these zeros $\{k_j\}$ in the upper-half plane \mathbb{C}_+ labeled by (1.2) satisfy (see e.g., [Sa10]):

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \text{Im } k_j < \infty. \quad (1.7)$$

- if $w(0) \neq 0$ and $q_0 \neq 0$, then the function $\psi - 1 \notin \mathcal{H}_p$ for any $p > 0$, since ψ has asymptotics (1.6).
- The properties of w give that $\Psi - 1 \in \mathcal{H}_p$ for any $p > 1$ and all q .
- If $w(0) = 0$, then the function $\psi - 1 \in \mathcal{H}_p$ for any $p > 1$.
- Due to (1.6) all zeros of w are uniformly bounded and satisfy (see e.g., [AAD01])

$$\mathbb{k}_q \subset \{k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} : w(k) = 0\} \subset \{k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} : |k| \leq r_c\}, \quad \text{where } r_c := \frac{\|q\|}{2}. \quad (1.8)$$

In order to study $\psi(k)$ in the upper-half plane we defined the Blaschke product B by

$$B(k) = \prod_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{k - k_j}{k - \bar{k}_j} \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+. \quad (1.9)$$

This product converges absolutely for each $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$, since all zeros of w are uniformly bounded, see (1.8). Moreover, it has an analytic continuation from \mathbb{C}_+ into the domain $\{|k| > r_c\}$, where $r_c = \frac{\|q\|}{2}$ and has the following Taylor series

$$\begin{aligned} \log B(k) &= -i \frac{B_0}{k} - i \frac{B_1}{2k^2} - i \frac{B_2}{3k^3} - \dots, \quad \text{as } |k| > r_c, \\ B_0 &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^N \operatorname{Im} k_j, \quad B_n = 2 \sum_{j=1}^N \operatorname{Im} k_j^{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

where each sum $B_n, n \geq 1$ is absolutely convergence and satisfies

$$|B_n| \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^N |\operatorname{Im} k_j^{n+1}| \leq \pi(n+1)r_c^n B_0. \quad (1.11)$$

We use asymptotics of B at large $|k|$ to determine the trace formulas. Note that the function B has a complicated properties in the disk $\{|k| < r_c\}$ and very good properties for $\{|k| > r_c\}$. We describe the basic properties of eigenvalues and the Blaschke product B .

Proposition 1.1. *Let a potential q be complex and satisfy $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|)|q(x)|dx < \infty$. Then*

- i) The Blaschke product $B(k), k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ given by (1.9) belongs to \mathcal{H}_∞ with $\|B\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \leq 1$.*
- ii) Let $q_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x)dx$, $\|q\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |xq(x)|dx$ and let $A = \|q\| \|q\|_1 e^{\|q\|_1}$. Then*
 - If $A < \operatorname{Re} q_0$, then the operator H does not have eigenvalues.*
 - If $A < \operatorname{Re}(-q_0)$, then the operator H has exactly one simple eigenvalue.*

Example. Consider the potential $q(x) = ctx^{t^2-1}, x \in (0, 1)$ and $q(x) = 0$ for $x > 1$, where $c \in \mathbb{C}, t > 0$. We have

$$\|q\| = \frac{|c|}{t}, \quad \|q\|_1 = \frac{|c|t}{1+t^2}, \quad q_0 = \frac{c}{t}, \quad A = \frac{|c|^2}{1+t^2} \exp \frac{|c|t}{1+t^2}.$$

If t is small, then the complex potential q is rather big and due to (1.8) all eigenvalues belong to the half-disk with the radius $r_c = \frac{\|q\|}{2} = \frac{|c|}{2t}$. Let $c = |c|e^{i\phi}$ and let $t > 0$ be sufficiently small. We have two cases:

- If $\phi = \frac{\pi}{3}$, then $\operatorname{Re} q_0 = \frac{|c|}{2t} > A$ and by Proposition 1.1, the operator H has not eigenvalues.
- If $\phi = -\frac{\pi}{3}$, then $\operatorname{Re}(-q_0) = \frac{|c|}{2t} > A$ and by Proposition 1.1, the operator H has one simple eigenvalue.

1.3. Trace formulas and estimates. We describe the function ψ in terms of a canonical factorization. In general, the function $\psi \notin \mathcal{H}_p$ for all $p > 0$, but we show that the function ψ has a canonical factorization for each potential q .

Theorem 1.2. *Let a potential q satisfy (1.1). Then the function $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and Ψ is continuous up to the real line. Moreover, ψ has a canonical factorization in \mathbb{C}_+ given by*

$$\psi = \psi_{in} \psi_{out}. \quad (1.12)$$

- ψ_{in} is the inner factor of ψ having the form

$$\psi_{in}(k) = \frac{B(k)}{2ik} e^{-iK(k)}, \quad K(k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu(t)}{k-t}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+. \quad (1.13)$$

- B is the Blaschke product defined by (1.9) and $d\nu(t) \geq 0$ is some singular compactly supported measure on \mathbb{R} , which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\mathbb{R}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\nu(t) < \infty, \\ \text{supp } \nu &\subset \{z \in \mathbb{R} : w(z) = 0\} \subset [-r_c, r_c], \quad r_c = \frac{\|q\|}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

- The function $K(\cdot)$ has an analytic continuation from \mathbb{C}_+ into the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-r_c, r_c]$ and has the following Taylor series

$$K(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{K_j}{k^{j+1}}, \quad K_j = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^j d\nu(t). \quad (1.15)$$

- the function $\log |\psi(t+i0)|$ belongs to $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ and ψ_{out} is the outer factor given by

$$\psi_{out}(k) = e^{iM(k)}, \quad M(k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log |\psi(t)|}{k-t} dt, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+. \quad (1.16)$$

Remark. 1) These results are crucial to determine trace formulas in Theorem 1.3.
2) Due to (1.6) the integral $M(k)$ in (1.16) converges absolutely for each $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$.

We recall the well-known results. Introduce the Sobolev space W_m defined by

$$W_m = \left\{ q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) : xq(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), q^{(j)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), j = 1, \dots, m \right\}, \quad m \geq 0. \quad (1.17)$$

If $q \in W_{m+1}$, $m \geq 0$, then the function $\psi(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$i \log \psi(k) = -\frac{Q_0}{k} - \frac{Q_2}{k^3} - \frac{Q_4}{k^5} + \dots - \frac{Q_{2m} + o(1)}{k^{2m+1}}, \quad (1.18)$$

as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $\arg k \in [0, \pi]$, where due to [FZ71] we have

$$Q_0 = \frac{q_0}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x) dx, \quad Q_2 = \frac{1}{2^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} q^2(x) dx, \dots \quad (1.19)$$

Define constants I_j, \mathcal{J}_j by

$$\begin{aligned} I_j &= \text{Im } Q_j, \quad \mathcal{J}_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} (h(t) + h(-t)) dt, \quad \mathcal{J}_j = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} (h_{j-1}(t) + h_{j-1}(-t)) dt, \\ h(t) &= \log |\psi(t)|, \quad h_j = t^{j+1}(h(t) + P_j(t)), \quad P_j(t) = \frac{I_0}{t} + \frac{I_1}{t^2} + \dots + \frac{I_j}{t^{j+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

In particular, we have $I_{2j+1} = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} (t(h(t) - h(-t)) + 2I_0) dt. \quad (1.21)$$

The integral \mathcal{J}_0 converges absolutely since (1.6) gives $\psi(t)\psi(-t) = 1 + \frac{O(1)}{t^2}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Theorem 1.3. (*Trace formulas*) *If a potential q satisfies (1.1), then*

$$B_0 + \frac{\nu(\mathbb{R})}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re} q(x) dx = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \log |\psi(t)\psi(-t)| dt. \quad (1.22)$$

Let a potential $q \in W_{m+1}$ for some $m \geq 0$. Then the following identities hold true:

$$\frac{B_j}{j+1} + K_j + \operatorname{Re} Q_j = \mathcal{J}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2m, \quad (1.23)$$

in particular,

$$\frac{B_1}{2} + K_1 = \mathcal{J}_1, \quad (1.24)$$

$$\frac{B_2}{3} + K_2 + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re} q^2(x) dx = \mathcal{J}_2. \quad (1.25)$$

Remark. Recall that $B_0 \geq 0$ and $K_0 = \frac{\nu(\mathbb{R})}{\pi} \geq 0$. Thus in order to estimate $B_0 + \frac{\nu(\mathbb{R})}{\pi} \geq 0$ in terms of the potential q we need to estimate the integral \mathcal{J}_0 in terms of the potential q .

Theorem 1.4. (*Estimates*) *Let a potential q satisfy (1.1). Then the following hold true*

$$B_0 + \frac{\nu(\mathbb{R})}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re} q(x) dx \leq \frac{2}{\pi} (1 + \|q\|_1) + \frac{2}{\pi} r_c (C_0 + \log r_c), \quad (1.26)$$

where $\|q\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |xq(x)| dx$, $r_c = \frac{\|q\|}{2}$ and $C_0 = \log \frac{3e}{2} + \frac{3e^2}{4} + \frac{9}{16}e^4$.

Remark. In Section 6 we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 for the case of Schrödinger operators on the half-line with the Neumann boundary condition. Recall that trace formulas of Schrödinger operators on the half-line with Dirichlet boundary condition were discussed in [K18].

Consider estimates for complex compactly supported potentials. In this case the Wronskian $w(k)$ is the entire function and has a finite number of zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\operatorname{supp} q \subset [0, \gamma]$ for some $\gamma > 0$. Then the number of zeros $\mathcal{N}(\rho)$ of w (counted with multiplicity) in disk $\mathbb{D}_\rho(it)$ with the center $it = i2\|q\|$ and the radius $\rho \geq \sqrt{2}\|q\|$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{N}(\rho) \leq 1 + \frac{4}{\log 2} \left(\frac{\gamma\rho}{\pi} + \frac{\|q\|}{\rho} \right). \quad (1.27)$$

In particular, the number of zeros \mathcal{N}_+ of $w(k)$ (counted with multiplicity) in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{N}_+ \leq C_1 + C_2\gamma\|q\|, \quad (1.28)$$

where the constants $C_1, C_2 \leq 5$ (see more about C_1, C_2 in Lemma 5.1).

Note that the estimate of \mathcal{N}_+ was obtained in [FLS16], when q decays exponentially at infinity.

Our main goal is to determine trace formulas for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials on the line. Our trace formula is the identity (1.22), where the left hand side is the integral from the real part of potential, the sum of $\operatorname{Im} k_j$ and the integral of the singular measure and the right hand side is the integral from $\log |\psi(k+i0)\psi(-k+i0)|$ on the real line. Here we have the new term, the singular measure, which is absent for real potentials. Moreover, in (1.26) we estimate the singular measure and the sum of $\operatorname{Im} k_j$ in terms of the potential. In our consideration the results and technique from [K17x], [K18] are important.

In contrast to trace formulas for complex potentials, there are many results on estimates of eigenvalues in terms of potentials, see recent articles [F18, FS17] and references therein. There exist many recent results about bounds on sums of powers of eigenvalues 1-dimensional Schrödinger operators with complex-valued potentials in terms of L^p -norms of the potentials published in [FLLS06, DHK09, LS09, Sa10, H11, F18].

We shortly describe the plan of the paper. In Section 2 we present the main properties of the Jost functions and the Wronskian w . In Section 3 we prove main theorems. Section 4 is a collection of needed facts about Hardy spaces. In Section 5 we discuss the case of compactly supported potentials. In Section 6 we consider Schrödinger operators on the half-line with the Neumann boundary condition.

2. FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTIONS

2.1. Fundamental solutions. It is well known that that the Jost solution $f^+(x, k)$ of equation (1.3) satisfies the integral equation

$$f_+(x, k) = e^{ixk} + \int_x^\infty \frac{\sin k(t-x)}{k} q(t) f_+(t, k) dt, \quad (x, k) \in [0, \infty) \times \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+. \quad (2.1)$$

We describe the main properties of the Jost solution. Due to (2.1) the function $y^+(x, k) = e^{-ikx} f^+(x, k)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$y_+(x, k) = 1 + \int_x^\infty G(t-x, k) q(t) y_+(t, k) dt, \quad G(t, k) = \frac{\sin kt}{k} e^{ikt}, \quad (2.2)$$

$\forall (x, k) \in [0, \infty) \times \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$. The standard iterations give $y_+(x, k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} y_+(x, k) &= 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} y_{+,n}(x, k), \\ y_{+,n}(x, k) &= \int_x^\infty G(t-x, k) q(t) y_{+,n-1}(t, k) dt, \quad y_{+,0} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The identity (2.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_+(0, k) &= y_+(0, k) = 1 + \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin kt}{k} q(t) f_+(t, k) dt, \\ f_+(0, k)' &= ik - \int_0^\infty q(t) f_+(t, k) \cos ktdt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Let $q_\pm = q\chi_\pm$, where χ_\pm is the characteristic function of the set \mathbb{R}_\pm . We recall well-known properties of the Jost solutions (see e.g., [F63]). Define $\|q\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |xq(x)| dx$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\int_0^\infty (1+x)|q(x)| dx < \infty$ and let $\varsigma_+ \in \{\|q_+\|_1, \frac{\|q_+\|}{|k|}\}$. Then the functions $f_+(x, \cdot), f_+(x, \cdot)', x \geq 0$ are analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ and continuous up to the real line and satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} |y_+(x, k)| &\leq e^{\varsigma_+}, \\ |y_+(x, k) - 1| &\leq \varsigma_+ e^{\varsigma_+}, \\ |y_+(x, k) - 1 - y_1^+(x, k)| &\leq \frac{\varsigma_+^2}{2} e^{\varsigma_+}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f_+(0, k) - 1| &\leq \varsigma_+ e^{\varsigma_+}, \\ |f_+(0, k) - 1 - f_{+,1}(0, k)| &\leq \frac{\varsigma_+^2}{2} e^{\varsigma_+}, \\ f_{+,1}(0, k) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin kt}{k} e^{ikt} q_+(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, $f_+(0, \cdot)'$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |f_+(0, k)' - ik| &\leq \|q_+\| e^{\varsigma_+}, \\ |f_+(0, k)' - ik + f_{+,1}(0, k)'| &\leq \|q_+\| \varsigma_+ e^{\varsigma_+}, \\ f_{+,1}(0, k)' &= \int_0^\infty e^{ikx} q(x) \cos kx dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. Let $\varsigma_+ = \frac{\|q_+\|}{|k|}$ and $\mathcal{D}_n(x) = \{t = (t_j)_1^n \in \mathbb{R}^n : x = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n\}$ for $x > 0$. Substituting the estimate $|G(t, k)| \leq \frac{1}{|k|}$ for all $t \geq 0$, $k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus \{0\}$ into the identity

$$y_{+,n}(x, k) = \int_{\mathcal{D}_n(x)} \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} G(t_j - t_{j-1}, k) q(t_j) dt_j \right), \quad (2.8)$$

we obtain

$$|y_{+,n}(x, k)| \leq \frac{1}{|k|^n} \int_{\mathcal{D}_n(x)} \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} |q(t_j)| dt_j \right) = \frac{\|q_+\|^n}{n! |k|^n}. \quad (2.9)$$

This shows that for each $x \geq 0$ the series (2.3) converges uniformly on bounded subset of $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus \{|k| > \varepsilon\}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Each term of this series is an analytic function in \mathbb{C}_+ . Hence the sum is an analytic function in \mathbb{C}_+ . Summing the majorants we obtain estimates (2.5)-(2.6) for $\varsigma_+ = \frac{\|q_+\|}{|k|}$. Thus the functions $f_+(x, \cdot), f_+'(x, \cdot), x \geq 0$ are analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ and continuous up to the real line without the point 0.

Let in addition $\|q_+\|_1 = \int_0^\infty x |q(x)| dx < \infty$ and let $\varsigma_+ = \|q_+\|_1$. The function $G(t, k) = \frac{\sin kt}{k} e^{ikt}$ satisfy $|G(t, k)| \leq t$ for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+}, t > 0$. Then using above arguments we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |y_{+,n}(x, k)| &\leq \int_{\mathcal{D}_n(x)} \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} |(t_j - t_{j-1}) q(t_j)| dt_j \right) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{D}_n(x)} \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} |t_j q(t_j)| dt_j \right) = \frac{\|q_+\|_1^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

This shows that for each $x \geq 0$ the series (2.3) converges uniformly on bounded subset of $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$. Each term of this series is an analytic function in \mathbb{C}_+ . Hence the sum is an analytic function in \mathbb{C}_+ . Summing the majorants we obtain estimates (2.5)-(2.6) for $\varsigma_+ = \|q_+\|_1$. Thus the functions $f_+(x, \cdot), f_+'(x, \cdot), x \geq 0$ are analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ and continuous up to the real line.

Consider $f_+(0, k)'$. From (2.5) and (2.4) we get the first estimate in (2.7). From (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_+'(0, k) - ik &= - \int_0^\infty (\cos kx) e^{ikx} q(x) y_+(x, k) dx = -f_{+,1}'(0, k) - F_2(k), \\ f_{+,1}'(0, k) &= \int_0^\infty (\cos kx) e^{ikx} q(x) dx, \quad F_2(k) = \int_0^\infty (\cos kx) e^{ikx} q(x) (y_+(x, k) - 1) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$|F_2(k)| \leq \int_0^\infty |q(x)| |y_+(x, k) - 1| dx \leq \|q_+\|_{\zeta_+} e^{\zeta_+},$$

which yields (2.7). ■

We consider the Jost function $f_-(x, \cdot)$, defined by (1.4), which satisfies the integral equation

$$f_-(x, k) = e^{-ikx} - \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{\sin k(t-x)}{k} q(t) f_-(t, k) dt, \quad x \leq 0, \quad k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+, \quad (2.12)$$

and the function $y_-(x, k) = e^{ikx} f_-(x, k)$ also satisfies the integral equation

$$y_-(x, k) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{\sin k(t-x)}{k} q(t) e^{ik(x-t)} y_-(t, k) dt. \quad (2.13)$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\int_{-\infty}^0 (1+|x|)|q(x)|dx < \infty$ and let $x \leq 0$ and let $\zeta_- \in \left\{ \|q_-\|_1, \frac{\|q_-\|}{|k|} \right\}$. Then the functions $f_-(x, \cdot)$, $f_-(x, \cdot)'$ are analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ and continuous up to the real line and satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} |y_-(x, k)| &\leq e^{\zeta_-}, \\ |y_-(x, k) - 1| &\leq \zeta_- e^{\zeta_-}, \\ |y_-(x, k) - 1 - y_1^-(x, k)| &\leq \frac{\zeta_-^2}{2} e^{\zeta_-}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f_-(0, k) - 1| &\leq \zeta_- e^{\zeta_-}, \\ |f_-(0, k) - 1 - f_1^-(0, k)| &\leq \frac{\zeta_-^2}{2} e^{\zeta_-}, \\ f_{-,1}'(0, k) &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\sin kt}{k} e^{-ikt} q_-(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

and $f_-'(0, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |f_-(0, k)' - ik| &\leq \|q_-\| e^{\zeta_-}, \\ |f_-(0, k)' - ik + f_{-,1}'(0, k)| &\leq \|q_-\| \zeta_- e^{\zeta_-}, \\ f_{-,1}'(0, k) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 q(x) e^{ikx} \cos kx dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. The proof repeats the case of Lemma 2.1. ■

Using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we have the following decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned} f_+(0, k) &= 1 + F_s^+(k), \quad F_s^+(k) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin kt}{k} q(t) f_+(t, k) dt, \\ f_+'(0, k) &= ik - kF_c^+(k), \quad F_c^+(k) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos kt}{k} q(t) f_+(t, k) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_-(0, k) &= 1 - F_s^-(k), & F_s^-(k) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\sin kt}{k} q(t) f_-(t, k) dt, \\ f_-'(0, k) &= -ik + kF_c^-(k), & F_c^-(k) &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos kt}{k} q(t) f_-(t, k) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|)|q(x)|dx < \infty$ and let $\varsigma \in \left\{ \|q\|_1, \frac{\|q\|}{|k|} \right\}$. Then the Wronskian w is analytic in \mathbb{C}_+ and continuous up to the real line and satisfies*

$$|w(k)| \leq (2|k| + \|q\|)e^\varsigma, \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} w &= 2ik - w_1(k) - w_2(k), \\ w_1(k) &= \int_0^{\infty} q(t)y_+(t, k)dt + \int_{-\infty}^0 q(t)y_-(t, k)dt, \\ w_2(k) &= k(F_s^+ F_c^- - F_c^+ F_s^-) = \int_0^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{(1 - e^{i2k(x-y)})}{2ik} q(x)q(y)y_+(x, k)y_-(y, k)dy, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |w_1(k)| &\leq \|q\|e^\varsigma, & |w_1(k) - q_0| &\leq \|q\|e^\varsigma, \\ |w_2(k)| &\leq (\|xq_+\| \|q_-\| + \|q_+\| \|xq_-\|)e^\varsigma, & |w_2(k)| &\leq \frac{\|q_-\| \|q_+\|}{|k|} e^\varsigma, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where $q_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} q dx$, and we have at $\varsigma = \frac{\|q\|}{|k|}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(k) - 1| &\leq \frac{(\varsigma + \varsigma^2)}{2} e^\varsigma, \\ |\psi(k) - 1 + \frac{q_0}{2ik}| &\leq \varsigma^2 e^\varsigma. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Proof. We show (2.19). From Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w(k)| &= |f_-(0, k)f_+(0, k)' - f_-(0, k)'f_+(0, k)| \\ &\leq e^\varsigma (|k| + \|q_+\|e^{\varsigma+}) + (|k| + \|q_-\|e^{\varsigma-})e^{\varsigma+} \leq (2|k| + \|q\|)e^\varsigma. \end{aligned}$$

We show (2.20). Let $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Using (2.17), (2.18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \{f_-, f_+\} = (f_- f_+' - f_-' f_+)(0, k) \\ &= (1 - F_s^-)(ik - kF_c^+) - (-ik + kF_c^-)(1 + F_s^+) \\ &= 2ik - k(F_c^+ - iF_s^+) - k(F_c^- + iF_s^-) - k(F_s^+ F_c^- - F_c^+ F_s^-), \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k(F_c^+ - iF_s^+) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ikt} q(t) f_+(t, k) dt = \int_0^{\infty} q(t) y_+(t, k) dt, \\ k(F_c^- + iF_s^-) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{ikt} q(t) f_-(t, k) dt = \int_0^{\infty} q(t) y_-(t, k) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Thus we have

$$w = 2ik - \int_0^{\infty} q(t) y_+(t, k) dt - \int_{-\infty}^0 q(t) y_-(t, k) dt - k(F_s^+ F_c^- - F_c^+ F_s^-). \quad (2.25)$$

Let $c_x = \cos kx$ and $s_x = \sin kx$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &= k(F_s^+ F_c^- - F_c^+ F_s^-) = \int_0^\infty dx \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{(s_x c_y - c_x s_y)}{k} q(x)q(y) f_+(x, k) f_-(y, k) dy \\ &= - \int_0^\infty dx \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{(1 - e^{i2k(x-y)})}{2ik} q(x)q(y) y_+(x, k) y_-(y, k) dy, \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

which yields (2.20). We show (2.21). Let $q_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} q(x) dx$. From (2.5), (2.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(k) &= q_0 + \int_0^\infty q(x)(y_+(x, k) - 1) dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 q(x)(y_-(x, k) - 1) dx, \\ |w_1(k) - q_0| &\leq \int_0^\infty |q(x)(y_+(x, k) - 1)| dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 |q(x)(y_-(x, k) - 1)| dx \\ &\leq \|q_+\| \varsigma_+ e^{\varsigma_+} + \|q_-\| \varsigma_- e^{\varsigma_-} \leq \|q\| \varsigma e^\varsigma. \end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments yield $|w_1(k)| \leq \|q\| e^\varsigma$.

From (2.5), (2.14) if $|k| \geq 1$ we obtain

$$|w_2(k)| \leq \int_0^\infty dx \int_{-\infty}^0 |q(x)q(y) y_+(x, k) y_-(y, k)| \frac{dy}{|k|} \leq \frac{\|q_+\| \|q_-\|}{|k|} e^{\varsigma_+ + \varsigma_-} \leq \varsigma \|q\| e^\varsigma,$$

and if $|k| \leq 1$ we get

$$|w_2(k)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}_-} (x - y) |q(x)q(y) y_+(x, k) y_-(y, k)| dy \leq (\|xq_+\| \|q_-\| + \|q_+\| \|xq_-\|) e^\varsigma,$$

where the simple estimate has been used : $|1 - e^{ikz}| \leq z|k|$ for all $(k, z) \in \mathbb{C}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

We show (2.22). From (2.21) we have $w(k) - 2ik + q_0 = (q_0 - w_1) - w_2$ and

$$|w(k) - 2ik + q_0| = |(q_0 - w_1) - w_2| \leq 2\|q\| \varsigma e^\varsigma,$$

which yields the first estimate in (2.22). Similar arguments give the second one in (2.22). ■

3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

In order to study zeros of the function $\psi(k) = \frac{w(k)}{2ik}$ in the upper-half plane we need to study the Blaschke product, defined by (1.9). Recall that in order to describe the basic properties of the Blaschke product B as an analytic function in \mathbb{C}_+ we modify the function ψ and define the modified function by $\Psi(k) = \frac{w(k)}{2i(k+i)}$, $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$. We recall the well-known identity

$$\psi(k) = \det(I + Y_0(k)), \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad (3.1)$$

where that $Y_0(k)$ is a trace class operator given by

$$Y_0(k) = |q|^{\frac{1}{2}} R_0(k) |q|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i \arg q}, \quad R_0(k) = (H_0 - k^2)^{-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Proof Proposition 1.1. The zeros of w, ψ and Ψ in \mathbb{C}_+ are the same. Due to estimate (2.19) the function $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$. The estimate (2.22) gives that all zeros of Ψ are uniformly bounded. Note that (see page 53 in [G81]), in general, in the upper half plane the condition (1.7) is replaced by

$$\sum \frac{\operatorname{Im} k_j}{1 + |k_j|^2} < \infty, \quad (3.2)$$

and the Blaschke product with zeros k_j has the form

$$B(z) = \frac{(k-i)^m}{(k+i)^m} \prod_{k_j \neq 0}^N \frac{|1+k_j^2|}{1+k_j^2} \left(\frac{k-k_j}{k-\bar{k}_j} \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+. \quad (3.3)$$

If all moduli $|k_n|$ are uniformly bounded, the estimate (3.2) becomes $\sum \text{Im } k_j < \infty$ and the convergence factors in (3.3) are not needed, since $\prod_{k_j \neq 0}^N \left(\frac{k-k_j}{k-\bar{k}_j} \right)$ already converges.

The statement i) is a standard fact for the function $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$, see Sect. VI in [Ko98]. Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 4.3 imply ii). ■

We describe the determinant $\psi(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ in terms of a canonical factorization.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From Proposition 1.1 we have that the modified function $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ and due to (2.22) the function Ψ has asymptotics $\Psi(k) = 1 + O(1/k)$ as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $\arg k \in [0, \pi]$. Then from Theorem 4.1 we deduce that the function $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ has a canonical factorization in \mathbb{C}_+ given by

$$\Psi = \Psi_{in} \Psi_{out}, \quad \Psi_{in}(k) = B(k) e^{-iK(k)}, \quad K(k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu(t)}{k-t}. \quad (3.4)$$

- $d\nu(t) \geq 0$ is some singular compactly supported measure on \mathbb{R} , which satisfies

$$\nu(\mathbb{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\nu(t) < \infty, \quad \text{supp } \nu \subset \{k \in \mathbb{R} : \Psi(k) = 0\} \subset [-r_c, r_c], \quad (3.5)$$

for $r_c = \frac{\|q\|}{2}$, since w satisfies (1.8).

- The function $K(\cdot)$ has an analytic continuation from \mathbb{C}_+ into the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-r_c, r_c]$ and has the following Taylor series

$$K(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{K_j}{k^{j+1}}, \quad K_j = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^j d\nu(t). \quad (3.6)$$

- B is the Blaschke product for $\text{Im } k > 0$ given by (1.9).
- Ψ_{out} is the outer factor given by $\Psi_{out}(k) = e^{iM(k)}$, where $M(k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log |\Psi(t)|}{k-t} dt$, $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$.

We consider the function $\Psi = \psi\xi$, where $\xi = \frac{k}{k+i}$. It is clear that $\xi = \frac{k}{k+i}$ has the following factorization

$$\xi(k) = \frac{k}{k+i} = \exp \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log |\xi(t)| dt}{k-t}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\log \xi(k)$ in \mathbb{C}_+ is defined by $\log \xi(k) = O(1/k)$ as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$. This yields

$$M(k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log |\psi(t)|}{k-t} dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log |\xi(t)|}{k-t} dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log |\psi(t)|}{k-t} dt + \log \xi(k),$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Here $\log |\Psi|, \log |\xi| \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, which yields $\log |\psi| \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, since $\Psi = \psi\xi$. Thus from the properties of Ψ we obtain all properties of ψ formulated in Theorem 1.2. ■

Remark that a canonical factorization is the first trace formula. It is a generating function, the differentiation of a canonical factorization produces an identity for $\text{Tr}(R(k) - R_0(k))$, $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$, where $R(k) = (H - k^2)^{-1}$ and $R_0(k) = (-\partial_x^2 - k^2)^{-1}$ is the free resolvent.

Corollary 3.1. *Let a potential q satisfy (1.1). Then the trace formula*

$$-2k \text{Tr} \left(R(k) - R_0(k) \right) = \sum \frac{2i \text{Im } k_j}{(k - k_j)(k - \bar{k}_j)} + \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{(t - k)^2}, \quad (3.8)$$

holds true for any $k \in \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \mathbb{k}_q$, where the measure $d\mu(t) = \log |\psi(t)| dt - d\nu(t)$ and the series converges uniformly in every bounded disc in $\mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \mathbb{k}_q$.

Proof. We repeat arguments from the proof of Corollary 1.3 from [K17]. Differentiating (1.12) and using Theorem 1.2 we obtain

$$\frac{\psi'(k)}{\psi(k)} = \frac{B'(k)}{B(k)} - \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{(k-t)^2}, \quad \frac{B'(k)}{B(k)} = \sum \frac{2i \operatorname{Im} k_j}{(k-k_j)(k-\bar{k}_j)}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad (3.9)$$

where $d\mu(t) = h(t)dt - d\nu(t)$. The derivative of the determinant $\psi = \det(I + Y_0(k))$ defined by (3.1) satisfies

$$\frac{\psi'(k)}{\psi(k)} = -2k \operatorname{Tr} (R(k) - R_0(k)), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad (3.10)$$

see [GK69]. Combining (3.9), (3.10) we obtain (3.8). Note that the series converges uniformly in every bounded disc in $\mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \mathbb{k}_q$, since $\sum \operatorname{Im} k_j < \infty$. ■

We prove the first main result about the trace formulas.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let a potential q satisfy (1.1). Then due to Lemma 2.1 the function $\psi(t) = 1 - \frac{\operatorname{Im} Q_0 + O(1/t)}{t}$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and due to Theorem 4.1 the function $h(t) = \log |\psi(t)|$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, belongs to $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$. Then the function $h(t)$ satisfies all conditions in Lemma 4.2 for $m = 0$ and the function $M(k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{h(t)}{k-t} dt$, $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ satisfies $M(k) = \frac{\mathcal{J}_0 + iI_0 + o(1)}{k}$ as $k = iv$, $v \rightarrow \infty$. From Lemma 4.2 and from asymptotics (1.18) we obtain

$$\exp \left[i \frac{Q_0 + o(1)}{k} \right] = \exp \left[-i \frac{B_0}{k} - i \frac{K_0}{k} + i \frac{\mathcal{J}_0 + iI_0}{k} + \frac{o(1)}{k} \right],$$

as $k = iv$, $v \rightarrow \infty$, which yields $\operatorname{Re} Q_0 = B_0 + K_0 - \mathcal{J}_0$, since $I_j = \operatorname{Im} Q_j$. Thus we have (1.22). The proof of (1.23) is similar. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We estimate the integral $\mathcal{J}_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \xi(k) dk$ in (1.21), where $\xi(k) = \log |\psi(k)\psi(-k)|$. We rewrite \mathcal{J}_0 in the following form

$$\mathcal{J}_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} (\mathcal{J}_{01} + \mathcal{J}_{02}), \quad \mathcal{J}_{01} = \int_0^\varepsilon \xi(k) dk, \quad \mathcal{J}_{02} = \int_\varepsilon^\infty \xi(k) dk, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\varepsilon := \|q\|$ for shortness. Consider \mathcal{J}_{01} for the case $\varepsilon \geq 1$. We have

$$\mathcal{J}_{01} = \int_0^1 \xi(k) dk + \int_1^\varepsilon \xi(k) dk. \quad (3.12)$$

The estimate (2.19) gives with $\varsigma = \|q\|_1$ for $k \in (0, 1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \xi(k) dk &\leq 2 \int_0^1 \log \left(\frac{k + r_c}{k} e^\omega \right) dk = 2\|q\|_1 + 2 \int_0^1 \log \left(1 + \frac{r_c}{k} \right) dk \\ &= 2\|q\|_1 + 2 \log(1 + r_c) + 2 \int_0^1 \frac{\frac{r_c}{k} dk}{1 + \frac{r_c}{k}} \leq 2\|q\|_1 + 2 \log(1 + r_c) + 2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

and with $\varsigma = \frac{\|q\|}{|k|}$ for $k \in (1, \varepsilon)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\varepsilon \xi(k) dk &\leq 2\|q\| \int_1^\varepsilon \frac{dk}{k} + 2 \int_1^\varepsilon \log\left(1 + \frac{r_c}{k}\right) dk \\ &= 2\varepsilon \log \varepsilon + 2k \log\left(1 + \frac{r_c}{k}\right) \Big|_1^\varepsilon + 2 \int_1^\varepsilon \frac{\frac{r_c}{k} dk}{1 + \frac{r_c}{k}} \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \log \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon \log \frac{3}{2} - 2 \log(1 + r_c) + 2(\varepsilon - 1). \end{aligned}$$

This estimate and (3.13) give

$$\mathcal{J}_{01} \leq 2\|q\|_1 + 2\varepsilon\left(1 + \log \frac{3}{2} + \log \varepsilon\right). \quad (3.14)$$

Consider \mathcal{J}_{02} . Due to (2.21) we define $g(k), \tilde{g}(k)$ by $\psi = 1 + g$ and $g = -\frac{q_0}{2ik} + \tilde{g}(k)$. The estimate (2.21) gives for $k > \varepsilon$:

$$|\tilde{g}(k)| \leq \varsigma^2 e^\varsigma, \quad |\psi(k) - 1| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varsigma(1 + \varsigma)e^\varsigma \leq \varsigma e^\varsigma, \quad (3.15)$$

where $\varsigma = \frac{\|q\|}{|k|} < 1$. This yields

$$f(t) = \psi(t)\psi(-t) = (1 + g(k))(1 + g(-k)) = 1 + g(k) + g(-k) + g(k)g(-k), \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |g(k)g(-k)| &\leq \varsigma^2 e^{2\varsigma}, \\ g(k) + g(-k) &= \tilde{g}(k) + \tilde{g}(-k), \quad |\tilde{g}(k) + \tilde{g}(-k)| \leq 2\varsigma^2 e^{2\varsigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Thus we obtain

$$f(k) = 1 + f_1(k), \quad f_1(k) = \tilde{g}(k) + \tilde{g}(-k) + g(k)g(-k), \quad |f_1(k)| \leq 3\varsigma^2 e^{2\varsigma}. \quad (3.18)$$

This yields

$$f\bar{f} = (1 + f_1)(1 + \bar{f}_1) = 1 + 2 \operatorname{Re} f_1 + |f_1|^2, \quad (3.19)$$

and then

$$\log |f|^2 \leq F, \quad F = 2 \operatorname{Re} f_1 + |f_1|^2 \leq 6\varsigma^2 e^{2\varsigma} + 9\varsigma^4 e^{4\varsigma}. \quad (3.20)$$

We have the identities

$$\begin{aligned} V_m &= \int_\varepsilon^\infty \frac{\varepsilon^m}{t^m} e^{\frac{m\varepsilon}{t}} dt = \varepsilon \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^m} e^{\frac{m}{t}} dt = \varepsilon \int_0^1 s^{m-2} e^{ms} ds, \\ V_2 &= \varepsilon \frac{e^2 - 1}{2}, \quad V_4 = \varepsilon \frac{5e^4 - 1}{32}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}_{02} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \log |f(k)|^2 dk \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\varepsilon^\infty F dk, \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$\int_\varepsilon^\infty F dk \leq \int_\varepsilon^\infty (6\varsigma^2 e^{2\varsigma} + 9\varsigma^2 e^{4\varsigma}) dk = 6V_2 + 9V_4 = \varepsilon C_1, \quad (3.22)$$

where $C_1 = 3(e^2 - 1) + \frac{9}{32}(e^4 - 1)$. Thus collecting (3.14)-(3.22) we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}_0 \leq \frac{2\|q\|_1}{\pi} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi} \left(1 + \log \frac{3}{2} + \log \varepsilon\right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi} C_1 = \frac{2\|q\|_1}{\pi} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi} \left(1 + C_1 + \log \frac{3}{2} + \log \varepsilon\right). \quad (3.23)$$

Consider the case $\varepsilon < 1$. We need to estimate only \mathcal{J}_{01} , since we obtain the estimate \mathcal{J}_{02} for any $\varepsilon > 0$. The estimate (2.19) gives with $\varsigma = \|q\|_1$ for $k \in (0, \varepsilon)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\varepsilon \xi(k) dk &\leq 2 \int_0^\varepsilon \log\left(\frac{k+r_c}{k} e^\omega\right) dk = 2\|q\|_1 \varepsilon + 2 \int_0^\varepsilon \log\left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2k}\right) dk \\ &= 2\varepsilon\|q\|_1 + 2\varepsilon \int_0^1 \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{2t}\right) dt = 2\varepsilon\|q\|_1 + 2\varepsilon \log \frac{3^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

since $\int_0^1 \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{2t}\right) dt = \log \frac{3^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2}$. Thus collecting (3.24), (3.22) we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}_0 \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi} \|q\|_1 + \frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi} \log \frac{3^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi} C_1.$$

■

4. APPENDIX: ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS IN THE UPPER HALF-PLANE

Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and let $\{k_j\}$ be its zeros, uniformly bounded by r_0 . Then its Blaschke product $B \in \mathcal{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and satisfies

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow +0} B(u + iv) = B(u + i0), \quad |B(u + i0)| = 1 \quad \text{almost e.w. for } u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log |B(u + iv)| du = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

The function $\log B(k)$ is analytic in $\{|k| > r_0\}$ and has the corresponding Taylor series given by

$$\log B(k) = -\frac{iB_0}{k} - \frac{iB_1}{2k^2} - \frac{iB_2}{3k^3} - \dots - \frac{iB_{n-1}}{nk^n} - \dots \quad (4.3)$$

where each sum $B_n = 2 \sum_j \operatorname{Im} k_j^{n+1}$, $n \geq 0$ is bounded and satisfies

$$|B_n| \leq 2 \sum |\operatorname{Im} k_j^{n+1}| \leq \pi(n+1)r_0^n B_0 < \infty \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (4.4)$$

see e.g., [K17x]. We recall the standard facts about the canonical factorization, see e.g. [G81], [Ko98] and in the needed specific form for us from [K17x].

Theorem 4.1. *Let a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_p$ and $f(t + i0) = 1 + O(t^{-a})$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ for some $p \geq 1, a > 0$. Then f has a canonical factorization in \mathbb{C}_+ given by*

$$f = f_{in} f_{out}, \quad f_{in}(k) = B(k) e^{-iK(k)}, \quad K(k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu(t)}{k-t}. \quad (4.5)$$

• $d\nu(t) \geq 0$ is some singular compactly supported measure on \mathbb{R} , which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\mathbb{R}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\nu(t) < \infty, \\ \operatorname{supp} \nu &\subset \{k \in \mathbb{R} : f(k) = 0\} \subset [-r_c, r_c], \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

for some $r_c > 0$.

• The function $K(\cdot)$ has an analytic continuation from \mathbb{C}_+ into the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-r_c, r_c]$ and has the following Taylor series

$$K(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{K_j}{k^{j+1}}, \quad K_j = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^j d\nu(t). \quad (4.7)$$

- B is the Blaschke product for $\text{Im } k > 0$ given by (1.9).
- f_{out} is the outer factor given by

$$f_{\text{out}}(k) = e^{iM(k)}, \quad M(k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log |f(t)|}{k-t} dt, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad (4.8)$$

where the function $\log |f(t+i0)|$ belongs to $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark. 1) These results are crucial to determine trace formulas in Theorem 1.3.

2) The integral $M(k)$ in (4.8) converges absolutely, since $f(t) = 1 + \frac{O(1)}{t^a}$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

In order to determine trace formulas in Theorem 1.3 we recall asymptotics from [K17x].

Lemma 4.2. Let h be a real function from $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ and let h satisfy

$$h(t) = -P_m(t) - \frac{O(1)}{t^{m+2}}, \quad P_m(t) = \frac{I_0}{t} + \frac{I_1}{t^2} + \dots + \frac{I_m}{t^{m+1}}, \quad (4.9)$$

as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, for some constants $a > 0$, $I_0, I_1, \dots, I_m \in \mathbb{R}$ and integer $m \geq 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{h(t)}{k-t} dt = \frac{J_0 + iI_0}{k} + \frac{J_1 + iI_1}{k^2} + \dots + \frac{J_m + iI_m + o(1)}{k^{m+1}}, \quad (4.10)$$

at $k = iv, v \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$J_j = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} (h_j(t) + h_j(-t)) dt, \quad h_0 = h, \quad h_j = t^j (h(t) + P_{j-1}(t)), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Lemma 4.3. Let a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ and be continuous up to the real line. Assume that it satisfies

$$|f(k) - (2ik - \tau_o)| \leq \tau \varsigma e^{\varsigma}, \quad \forall k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+, \quad \varsigma = \min\{\sigma, \frac{\tau}{|k|}\}, \quad (4.11)$$

for some positive constants σ, τ and $\tau_o \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and $|\tau_o| \leq \tau$. Then

i) If $\tau \sigma e^{\sigma} < \text{Re } \tau_o$, then the function f does not have zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$.

ii) If $\tau \sigma e^{\sigma} < -\text{Re } \tau_o$, then function f has exactly one simple zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$.

Proof. Define the function $\tilde{f}(k) = f(k) - (2ik - \tau_o)$, where $|\tilde{f}(k)| \leq \tau \sigma e^{\sigma}$. We define the new variable $t = \frac{2k}{\tau} \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$ and rewrite f in the form $f(k) = i\tau g(t)$, where

$$g(t) = t + i\varepsilon + i\tilde{g}(t), \quad \varepsilon = \frac{\tau_o}{\tau}, \quad \tilde{g}(t) = \frac{\tilde{f}(k)}{\tau}, \quad |\tilde{g}(t)| \leq \sigma e^{\sigma}, \quad |\varepsilon| \leq 1. \quad (4.12)$$

i) Consider the first case, and let $\sigma e^{\sigma} < \text{Re } \varepsilon$. Then we have for all $t \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$:

$$\text{Im } g(t) = \text{Im } t + \text{Re } \varepsilon + \text{Re } \tilde{g}(t) \geq \text{Im } t + \text{Re } \varepsilon - \sigma e^{\sigma} > 0. \quad (4.13)$$

ii) Consider the second case, let $\varepsilon = -r + iv, r > 0$ and let $\sigma e^{\sigma} < -\text{Re } \varepsilon = r$. Then $i\varepsilon = -(\nu + ir)$ and define the new variable $z = t - \nu$ and

$$g = z - ir + \tilde{g}(t), \quad g_0 = z - ir.$$

Introduce the contour $\mathcal{C}_\rho = \mathcal{C}_\rho^+ \cup I_\rho$, where $\mathcal{C}_\rho^+ = \{|z| = \rho, z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+}\}$ and $I_\rho = [-\rho, \rho]$ for $\rho \geq 2r$. Then, we have for all $z \in \mathcal{C}_\rho$ and any $\rho \geq 2r$:

$$|g(t) - g_0(z)| = |\tilde{g}(t)| \leq \sigma e^\sigma = |g_0(z)| \frac{ue^u}{|g_0(z)|} < |g_0(z)|, \quad t = z + \nu,$$

where we have used $\frac{\sigma e^\sigma}{|g_0(z)|} \leq \frac{\sigma e^\sigma}{r} < 1$ on \mathcal{C}_ρ , since $|g_0(t)| \geq r$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$|g_0(t)| = |\rho e^{i\phi} - ir| \geq \rho - r \geq r \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{C}_\rho.$$

Thus, by Rouché's theorem, g has one simple root, as g_0 in the region $\{|z| \leq \rho, z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+}\}$. ■

5. SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH COMPACTLY SUPPORTED POTENTIALS

5.1. Entire functions. An entire function $f(k)$ is said to be of exponential type if there is a constant β such that $|f(k)| \leq \text{const } e^{\beta|k|}$ everywhere. The infimum of the set of β for which such inequality holds is called the type of f .

Definition. Let $\mathcal{E}_\gamma, \gamma > 0$ denote the class of exponential type functions f satisfying

$$|f(k) - (2ik - \tau_o)| \leq \tau \varsigma e^{2\gamma k_- + \varsigma}, \quad \forall k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+}, \quad \varsigma(k) = \min\left\{\sigma, \frac{\tau}{|k|}\right\}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $k_- = \frac{1}{2}(|\text{Im } k| - \text{Im } k) \geq 0$, for some constants $(\sigma, \tau, \tau_o) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ and $|\tau_o| \leq v$ and each its zero z in $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$ satisfies $|z| \leq \frac{\tau}{2}$.

Note that if $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\text{supp } q \subset [0, \gamma]$, then the Wronskian $w \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma$, see below the proof of Theorem 1.5. Define the disk $\mathbb{D}_r(t) = \{z : |t - z| < r\}$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$.

Lemma 5.1. *i) Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma, \gamma > 0$. If $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and $|k| \geq 2\tau$, then*

$$\frac{|f(k)|}{|2k|} \geq \frac{6 - \sqrt{e}}{8} > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5.2)$$

Moreover, the number of zeros $\mathcal{N}(\rho)$ of f (counted with multiplicity) in disk $\mathbb{D}_\rho(it)$ with the center $it = i2\tau$ and the radius $\rho \geq \sqrt{2}\tau$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{N}(\rho) \leq 1 + \frac{4}{\log 2} \left(\frac{\gamma\rho}{\pi} + \frac{\tau}{\rho} \right), \quad (5.3)$$

In particular, the number of zeros \mathcal{N}_+ of f (counted with multiplicity) in $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{N}_+ \leq C_1 + C_2\gamma\tau, \quad (5.4)$$

where the constants $C_1 = 1 + \frac{8}{\sqrt{17}\log 2} + \varepsilon_1$ and $C_2 = \frac{2\sqrt{17}}{\pi\log 2} + \varepsilon_2$, for any small $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$.

Proof. i) We have $\varsigma(k) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\psi = \frac{f}{2ik}$ satisfies $|\psi(k) - (1 - \frac{\tau_o}{2ik})| \leq \frac{\tau\varsigma}{2|k|}e^\varsigma$ and then $|\psi(it) - (1 + \frac{\tau_o}{2it})| \leq \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{e}$ for any $k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$, which yields $|\psi(k)| \geq 1 - \frac{|\tau_o|}{4\tau} - \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{e} \geq \frac{6 - \sqrt{e}}{8} > \frac{1}{2}$.

ii) Recall the Jensen formula (see p. 2 in [Koo88]) for an entire function F any $r > 0$:

$$\log |F(0)| + \int_0^r \frac{\mathcal{N}_s(F)}{s} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |F(re^{i\phi})| d\phi, \quad (5.5)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_s(F)$ is the number of zeros of F in the disk $\mathbb{D}_s(0)$. We take the function $F(z) = f(it + z)$ and the disk $\mathbb{D}_r(it), t = 2\tau$ with the radius $r \geq \sqrt{8}\tau$. Thus (5.5) implies

$$\log |f(it)| + \int_0^r \frac{\mathcal{N}(s)}{s} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(k_\phi)| d\phi, \quad k_\phi = it - ire^{i\phi}, \quad (5.6)$$

where $\mathcal{N}(s) = \mathcal{N}_s(f(it + \cdot))$. We rewrite (5.6) in terms of a function $\psi(k) := \frac{f(k)}{2ik}$:

$$\log |\psi(it)| + \log |2t| + \int_0^r \frac{\mathcal{N}(s)}{s} ds = S + \log |2r|, \quad S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |\psi(k_\phi)| d\phi, \quad (5.7)$$

where we have used the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |it - re^{i\phi}| d\phi = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \left(r \left| \frac{it}{r} - e^{i\phi} \right| \right) d\phi = 2\pi \log r,$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |\alpha - e^{i\phi}| d\phi = 0$ for any $|\alpha| < 1$. From (5.1) we obtain at $k_\phi = it - ire^{i\phi}$ and $a \in [\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ defined by $\cos a = \frac{t}{r}$:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |\psi(k_\phi)| d\phi = S_0 + S_1, & S_0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-a}^a \log |\psi(k_\phi)| d\phi, \\ S_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_a^{2\pi-a} \log |\psi(k_\phi)| d\phi \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_a^{2\pi-a} \log (1 + \varsigma_1 e^{\varsigma_1}) d\phi = \frac{\pi - a}{\pi} \log (1 + \varsigma_1 e^{\varsigma_1}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

where on the circle $\{z : |it - z| = r\}$ using (5.1) we get for $k_\phi = it - ire^{i\phi}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{a \leq \phi \leq \pi} |k_\phi| &= |t - re^{ia}| = r \sin a = \sqrt{r^2 - t^2}, \\ \varsigma_1 &:= \max_{a \leq \phi \leq \pi} \varsigma(k_\phi) = \frac{\tau}{r \sin a} \leq \frac{\tau}{r} \sqrt{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{0 \leq \phi \leq a} |k_\phi| &= (r - t) = r(1 - \cos a), \\ \varsigma_o &:= \max_{0 \leq \phi \leq a} \varsigma(k_\phi) = \frac{\tau}{r(1 - \cos a)} \leq \frac{\tau}{r(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Consider the integral $S_{00} = \frac{2\gamma}{\pi} \int_0^a k_- d\phi$, where we have $k_- = r \cos \phi - t = r(\cos \phi - \cos a)$ for $\phi \in (-a, a)$. Thus we obtain

$$S_{00} = \frac{2\gamma}{\pi} \int_0^a r(\cos \phi - \cos a) d\phi = \frac{2\gamma r}{\pi} (\sin a - a \cos a) \leq \frac{2\gamma r}{\pi}, \quad (5.11)$$

and (5.1), (5.9) give

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-a}^a \log |\psi(k_\phi)| d\phi \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^a \log (1 + \varsigma_o e^{2\gamma k_- + \varsigma_o}) d\phi \\ &\leq S_{00} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^a \log (1 + \varsigma_o e^{\varsigma_o}) d\phi = S_{00} + \frac{2a}{\pi} \varsigma_o. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Collecting (5.8)-(5.12) we obtain

$$S \leq \frac{2}{\pi} (\gamma r + (\pi - a) \varsigma_1 + a \varsigma_o). \quad (5.13)$$

We show (5.3) and let $\rho = \frac{r}{2}$. We have

$$\int_0^r \mathcal{N}(s) \frac{ds}{s} \geq \mathcal{N}(\rho) \int_\rho^r \frac{ds}{s} = \mathcal{N}(\rho) \log 2.$$

Summing (5.8)-(5.13) we obtain

$$-\log 2 + \mathcal{N}(\rho) \log 2 < \frac{2}{\pi} (\gamma r + (\pi - a) \varsigma_1 + a \varsigma_o) \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \gamma r + \frac{3}{2} \varsigma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \varsigma_o \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \gamma r + \frac{8\tau}{r},$$

which yields (5.3). We show (5.4). We take any $r = \sqrt{17}\tau$ and $\rho = \frac{\tau}{2} > \frac{\sqrt{17}}{2}\tau$. Then all zeros of f in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$ belong to the disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_\rho(it)$ and (5.3) implies

$$\mathcal{N}_+ \leq C_1 + \gamma\tau C_2, \quad C_1 = 1 + \frac{8}{\sqrt{17} \log 2} + \varepsilon_1, \quad C_2 = \frac{2\sqrt{17}}{\pi \log 2} + \varepsilon_2,$$

for any small $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$, which yields (5.4). ■




FIGURE 1. The case $2Q < r_1 < 2\sqrt{2}Q$

5.2. Fundamental solutions. Consider the Schrödinger operator H , when the potential $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is complex and $\text{supp } q \subset [0, \gamma]$ for some $\gamma > 0$. In this case the equation (2.2) for $y_+(x, k) = e^{-ikx} f_+(x, k)$ for all $(x, k) \in [0, \gamma] \times \mathbb{C}$ has the form

$$y_+(x, k) = 1 + \int_x^\gamma \frac{\sin k(t-x)}{k} e^{ik(t-x)} q(t) y_+(t, k) dt. \quad (5.14)$$

We recall well-known some properties of the functions f_+, y_+ (see e.g., [K16]).

Lemma 5.2. *Let $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\text{supp } q \subset [0, \gamma]$. Then the function $f_+(0, k)$ is entire and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} |y_+(x, k)| &\leq e^{2(\gamma-x)k_- + \varsigma}, \\ |y_+(x, k) - 1| &\leq \varsigma e^{2(\gamma-x)k_- + \varsigma}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$, where $k_- = \frac{1}{2}(|\text{Im } k| - \text{Im } k)$ and $\varsigma = \min\{\|q\|_1, \frac{\|q\|}{|k|}\}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\text{supp } q \subset [0, \gamma]$. Then the Wronskian w is entire and satisfies*

$$w(k) = 2ik - q_0 - \int_0^\infty q(t)(y_+(t, k) - 1) dt, \quad (5.16)$$

$$|w(k) - (2ik - q_0)| \leq \varsigma \|q\| e^{2\gamma k_- + \varsigma(k)}, \quad (5.17)$$

where $q_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} q dx$ and $\varsigma(k) = \min\{\|q\|_1, \frac{\|q\|}{|k|}\}$.

Proof. We show (2.19). From Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} w = \{f_-, f_+\} &= f_+'(0, k) + ikf_+(0, k) = 2ik + \int_0^\gamma (i \sin kx - \cos kx)q(x)f_+(x, k)dx, \\ w - 2ik &= - \int_0^\gamma e^{-ikx}q(x)f_+(x, k)dx = - \int_0^\gamma q(x)y_+(x, k)dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

Thus using (5.18) and Lemma 5.2 we obtain (5.16), (5.17). ■

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.5. Consider the Schrödinger operator H , when the potential $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is complex and $\text{supp } q \subset [0, \gamma]$ for some $\gamma > 0$. Due to Lemma 5.3 the Wronskian w is entire and satisfies $|w(k) - (2ik - q_0)| \leq \varsigma(k)e^{2\gamma k - \varsigma(k)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\varsigma(k) = \min\{\|q\|_1, \frac{\|q\|}{|k|}\}$. Thus due to this fact and (1.8) we obtain that the function $w \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ with $\tau = \|q\|, \tau_o = q_0$ and $\sigma = \|q\|_1$. Then Lemma 5.1 gives the estimate (1.27), (1.28). ■

6. OPERATORS ON A HALF-LINE WITH THE NEUMANN BOUNDARY CONDITION

6.1. Definitions. In this section we consider Schrödinger operators $H_{\mathbf{n}}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $H_{\mathbf{d}}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case 1 :} & \quad H_{\mathbf{n}}y = -y'' + q_+y, \quad \text{with Neumann b.c. } y'(0) = 0, \\ \text{Case 2 :} & \quad H_{\mathbf{d}}y = -y'' + q_+y, \quad \text{with Dirichlet b.c. } y(0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the potential q_+ is complex and satisfies:

$$\int_0^\infty (1+x)|q_+(x)|dx < \infty. \quad (6.1)$$

We define functions $w_{\mathbf{n}}, \psi_{\mathbf{n}}, \Psi_{\mathbf{n}}$ and $w_{\mathbf{d}} = \psi_{\mathbf{d}}$ in \mathbb{C}_+ by

$$w_{\mathbf{n}} = f_+'(0, k), \quad \psi_{\mathbf{n}}(k) = \frac{w_{\mathbf{n}}}{ik}, \quad \Psi_{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{w_{\mathbf{n}}}{i(k+i)}, \quad \psi_{\mathbf{d}} = w_{\mathbf{d}} = f_+(0, k)$$

It is known that the operator $H_a, a = \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{d}$ has two components: the essential spectrum which covers the half-line $[0, \infty)$ plus $N_a \leq \infty$ eigenvalues (counted with multiplicity) in the cut spectral domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$. We denote them by $E_{a,j} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty), j = 1, \dots, N_a$, according to their multiplicity. Note, that the multiplicity of each eigenvalue equals 1, but we call the multiplicity of the eigenvalue its algebraic multiplicity. We call $k_{a,j} = \sqrt{E_{a,j}} \in \mathbb{C}_+$ also the eigenvalues of the operator H_a and label them by $\text{Im } k_{a,1} \geq \text{Im } k_{a,2} \geq \text{Im } k_{a,3} \geq \dots$. We define the set $\mathbb{k}_a = \{k_{a,1}, k_{a,2}, \dots \in \mathbb{C}_+\}$ and the Blaschke product

$$B_a = \prod_{j \geq 1} \frac{k - k_{a,j}}{k - \bar{k}_{a,j}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

This product converges absolutely for each $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$, since all zeros of w_a are uniformly bounded, see (2.7). Moreover, it has an analytic continuation from \mathbb{C}_+ into the domain $\{|k| > r_c\}$, where $r_c = \frac{\|q\|}{2}$ and has the following Taylor series

$$\log B_a(k) = -i \frac{B_{a,0}}{k} - i \frac{B_{a,1}}{2k^2} - i \frac{B_{a,2}}{3k^3} - \dots, \quad \text{as } |k| > r_c, \quad (6.2)$$

where $B_{a,0} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N_a} \text{Im } k_{a,j}, \dots$

We show the relations between the operators H and H_a . Here we use a standard trick. For $q_+ \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ we define the Schrödinger operator \tilde{H} on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with an even potential \tilde{q} by

$$\tilde{H}y = -y'' + \tilde{q}y, \quad \tilde{q}(x) = q_+(|x|), x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.3)$$

Recall that the Schrödinger equation $-f'' + \tilde{q}f = k^2f$, has unique Jost solutions $\tilde{f}_\pm(x, k)$. For the case of the even potential \tilde{q} they satisfy

$$\tilde{f}_+(x, k) = \tilde{f}_-(-x, k) \quad \forall x \geq 0.$$

This implies that the Wronskian $\tilde{w}(k)$ for the operator \tilde{H} has the specific form:

$$\tilde{w}(k) = \{\tilde{f}_+(x, k), \tilde{f}_-(x, k)\}|_{x=0} = 2f_+(0, k)f'_+(0, k) = 2w_a w_n. \quad (6.4)$$

This identity is very useful. For example, if we have estimate (1.27), (1.28) for the operator H , then (6.4) gives the estimate (6.5), (6.6) for the operators H_n and H_a . Consider estimates for complex compactly supported potentials q_+ . In this case the Jost function $\psi(k) = f_+(0, k)$ is entire and due to (1.8) it has a finite number of zeros in \mathbb{C}_+ .

Corollary 6.1. *Let $q_+ \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and let $\text{supp } q_+ \subset [0, \gamma]$ for some $\gamma > 0$. Then the number of zeros $\mathcal{N}_\rho(w_a)$ of $w_a, a = \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{d}$ (counted with multiplicity) in disk $\mathbb{D}_\rho(it)$ with the center $it = i4\|q_+\|$ and the radius $\rho \geq \sqrt{8}\|q_+\|$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{N}_\rho(w_n) + \mathcal{N}_\rho(w_a) \leq 1 + \frac{4}{\log 2} \left(\frac{\gamma\rho}{\pi} + \frac{2\|q_+\|}{\rho} \right). \quad (6.5)$$

In particular, the number of zeros $\mathcal{N}_+(w_a)$ of w_a (counted with multiplicity) in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{N}_+(w_n) + \mathcal{N}_+(w_a) \leq C_1 + 2C_2\gamma\|q_+\|, \quad (6.6)$$

where the constants $C_1, C_2 \leq 5$ (see more about C_1, C_2 in Lemma 5.1).

Proof. Note that we have $\text{supp } \tilde{q} \subset [-\gamma, \gamma]$ and the identity $\|\tilde{q}\| = 2\|q_+\|$. Then using these relations and (6.4) and (1.27), (1.28) for the operator H , we obtain (6.5), (6.6) for the operators H_n and H_a . ■

We describe the basic properties of H_a, H_n and the Blaschke product B_n .

Proposition 6.2. *Let a potential q_+ be complex and let $c_0 := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |q_+(x)|dx < \infty$. Then*

i) Each eigenvalue E of H_n satisfies $|E|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_0$.

ii) Let $q_{+,0} = \int_0^\infty q_+(x)dx$ and $c_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |xq_+(x)|dx < \infty$, and let $A = c_0c_1e^{c_1}$. Then

• *If $A < \text{Re } q_{+,0}$, then the operator H_a does not have eigenvalues.*

• *If $A < \text{Re}(-q_{+,0})$, then H_a does not have eigenvalues and the operator H_n has one simple eigenvalue.*

iii) The Blaschke product $B_n(k), k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ given by (1.9) belongs to \mathcal{H}_∞ with $\|B_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \leq 1$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the case of Proposition 1.1. ■

6.2. Trace formulas and estimates. We describe the Jost function ψ_n in terms of a canonical factorization, which in general $\psi_n \notin \mathcal{H}_\infty$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let q_+ satisfy (6.1). Then ψ_n has a standard canonical factorization:*

$$\psi_n = \psi_{n,in}\psi_{n,out},$$

where the inner factor $\psi_{\mathbf{n},in}$ and the outer factor $\psi_{\mathbf{n},out}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\mathbf{n},in}(k) &= \frac{B_{\mathbf{n}}(k)}{ik} e^{-iK_{\mathbf{n}}(k)}, & K_{\mathbf{n}}(k) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(t)}{k-t}, \\ \psi_{\mathbf{n},out}(k) &= e^{iM_{\mathbf{n}}(k)}, & M_{\mathbf{n}}(k) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log |\psi_{\mathbf{n}}(t+i0)|}{k-t} dt,\end{aligned}\tag{6.7}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and the function $\log |\psi_{\mathbf{n}}(t+i0)|$ belongs to $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$.

- $d\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(t) \geq 0$ is some singular compactly supported measure on \mathbb{R} , which satisfies

$$\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(t) < \infty, \quad \text{supp } \nu_{\mathbf{n}} \subset \{z \in \mathbb{R} : w_{\mathbf{n}}(z) = 0\} \subset [-r_c, r_c],\tag{6.8}$$

- The function $K_{\mathbf{n}}(\cdot)$ has an analytic continuation from \mathbb{C}_+ into the cut domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-r_c, r_c]$ and has the following Taylor series in the domain $\{|k| > r_c\}$:

$$K_{\mathbf{n}}(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{K_{\mathbf{n},j}}{k^{j+1}}, \quad K_{\mathbf{n},j} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^j d\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(t).\tag{6.9}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the case of Theorem 1.2. ■

The Jost function $\psi_{\mathbf{d}} = f_+(0, k)$ for the operator $H_{\mathbf{d}}$ on \mathbb{R}_+ with the Dirichlet boundary condition at $x = 0$ also has a standard canonical factorization similar to $\psi_{\mathbf{n}}$ in Theorem 6.3, see [K18]. Moreover, the corresponding inner factor $\psi_{\mathbf{d},in}$ is expressed in terms of some singular compactly supported measure $d\nu_{\mathbf{d}}(t) \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R} , which satisfies

$$\nu_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbb{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\nu_{\mathbf{d}}(t) < \infty, \quad \text{supp } \nu_{\mathbf{d}} \subset \{z \in \mathbb{R} : \psi_{\mathbf{d}}(z) = 0\} \subset [-r_c, r_c],\tag{6.10}$$

Then from (6.8) and (6.10) we obtain the simple fact:

$$\text{supp } \nu_{\mathbf{n}} \cap \text{supp } \nu_{\mathbf{d}} = \emptyset.\tag{6.11}$$

Theorem 6.4. *Let a potential q_+ satisfy (6.1). Then*

$$B_{\mathbf{n},0} + \frac{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{R})}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \text{Re } q_+(x) dx = \text{v.p.} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log |\psi_{\mathbf{n}}(t)| dt,\tag{6.12}$$

where the integral in the r.h.s converges. Moreover, the following hold true

$$B_{\mathbf{n},0} + \frac{\nu_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbb{R})}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \text{Re } q_+(x) dx \leq \frac{2}{\pi} (1 + \|q_+\|_1) + r_+ (C_o + \log r_+),\tag{6.13}$$

where $\|q_+\|_1 = \int_0^{\infty} |xq_+(x)| dx$ and $r_+ = \|q_+\|$ and C_o is some absolute constant.

Proof. The proof is similar to the case of Theorem 1.3 and 1.4. ■

Acknowledgments. EK is grateful to Ari Laptev (London) for discussions about the Schrödinger operators with complex potentials and to Alexei Alexandrov (St.Petersburg) for discussions and useful comments about Hardy spaces. Our study was supported by the RSF grant No 18-11-00032.

REFERENCES

- [AAD01] A. A. Abramov, A. Aslanyan, E. B. Davies, Bounds on complex eigenvalues and resonances. *J. Phys. A* 34 (2001), 57–72.
- [B66] Buslaev, V. S. The trace formulae and certain asymptotic estimates of the kernel of the resolvent for the Schrödinger operator in three-dimensional space (Russian), *Probl. Math. Phys. No. I, Spectral Theory and Wave Processes*, (1966) 82-101. Izdat. Leningrad Univ. Leningrad.
- [BF60] Buslaev, V.; Faddeev, L. Formulas for the traces for a singular Sturm-Liouville differential operator (English translation) *Dokl. AN SSSR*, 132(1960), no 1, 451–454.
- [DHK13] Demuth, M.; Hansmann, M.; Katriel, G. Lieb-Thirring type inequalities for Schrödinger operators with a complex-valued potential. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 75 (2013), no. 1, 1-5.
- [DHK09] M. Demuth, M. Hansmann, G. Katriel, On the discrete spectrum of non-selfadjoint operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* 257 (2009), no. 9, 2742–2759.
- [F63] Faddeev, L. The inverse problem in the quantum theory of scattering, *J. Math. Phys.* 4,1 (1963), 72–104, translated from *Usp. Mat. Nauk* (1959).
- [FZ71] Faddeev, L.; Zakharov, V. Kortevge-de Vries equation: a completely integrable Hamiltonian system, *Func. Anal. Appl.*, 5(1971), 18–27.
- [F18] R. L. Frank, Eigenvalue bounds for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials. III. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 370 (2018), no. 1, 219–240.
- [F11] Frank, R. L. Eigenvalue bounds for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 43 (2011), no. 4, 745–750.
- [FLS16] Frank, R.; Laptev, A.; Safronov, O. On the number of eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators with complex potentials. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* 94 (2016), no. 2, 377–390.
- [FS17] Frank, R. L.; Simon, B. Eigenvalue bounds for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials. II. *J. Spectr. Theory* 7 (2017), no. 3, 633–658.
- [FLS06] R. L. Frank, A. Laptev, E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, Lieb–Thirring inequalities for Schrödinger operators with complex-valued potentials. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 77 (2006), 309–316.
- [G81] Garnett, J. *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, London, 1981.
- [GK69] Gohberg, I, Krein, M: Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators. Translated from the Russian, *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, Vol. 18 AMS, Providence, R.I. 1969.
- [H11] Hansmann, M. An eigenvalue estimate and its application to non-selfadjoint Jacobi and Schrödinger operators. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 98 (2011), no. 1, 79–95.
- [KK95] Kargaev, P.; Korotyaev, E. Effective masses and conformal mappings. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 169 (1995), no. 3, 597–625.
- [KS09] Killip, R.; Simon, B. Sum rules and spectral measures of Schrödinger operators with L^2 potentials. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 170 (2009), no. 2, 739–782.
- [Ko98] Koosis, P. Introduction to H_p spaces, volume 115 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, 1998.
- [Koo88] Koosis, P. *The logarithmic integral I*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, London, New York 1988.
- [K97] Korotyaev, E. The estimates of periodic potentials in terms of effective masses. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 183 (1997), no. 2, 383–400.
- [K98] Korotyaev, E. Estimates of periodic potentials in terms of gap lengths. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 197 (1998), no. 3, 521–526.
- [K00] Korotyaev, E. Estimates for the Hill operator. I. *J. Differential Equations* 162 (2000), no. 1, 1–26.
- [K16] Korotyaev, E. Estimates of 1D resonances in terms of potentials, *Journal d’Analyse Mathématique*, 130(2016), 151–166.
- [K17] Korotyaev, E. Trace formulae for Schrödinger operators on lattice, arXiv:1702.01388.
- [K17x] Korotyaev, E. Trace formulas for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials, in press *Rus. J. Math. Phys.*, arXiv:1712.09053.
- [K18] Korotyaev, E. Trace formulas for Schrodinger operators with complex potentials on half-line, in press *Letter in Math. Phys.*, arXiv:1811.09252.
- [KL18] Korotyaev, E.; Laptev, A. Trace formulas for complex Schrödinger operators on cubic lattices, *Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences*, 8 (2018), 453–475.
- [KP04] Korotyaev, E.; Pushnitski, A. A trace formula and high-energy spectral asymptotics for the perturbed Landau Hamiltonian. *J. Funct. Anal.* 217 (2004), no. 1, 221–248.

- [KP03] Korotyaev, E.; Pushnitski, A. Trace formulae and high energy asymptotics for the Stark operator. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 28 (2003), no. 3-4, 817–842.
- [LS09] Laptev, A.; Safronov, O. Eigenvalue estimates for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 292 (2009), no. 1, 29–54.
- [MN15] Malamud, M.; Neidhardt, H. Trace formulas for additive and non-additive perturbations. *Adv. Math.* 274 (2015), 736–832.
- [Me85] Melin, A. Operator methods for inverse scattering on the real line. *Comm. P.D.E.* 10(1985), 677–786.
- [S10] Safronov, O. Estimates for eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator with a complex potential. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 42 (2010), no. 3, 452–456.
- [Sa10] Safronov, O. On a sum rule for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 138 (2010), no. 6, 2107–2112.

SAINT-PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITetskAYA NAB. 7/9, ST. PETERSBURG, 199034,
RUSSIA, E-MAIL ADDRESS: KOROTYAEV@GMAIL.COM, E.KOROTYAEV@SPBU.RU