Global smooth solutions of the damped Boussinesq equations with a class of large initial data

Jinlu Li¹^{*}, Xing Wu²[†], Weipeng Zhu^{3‡}

¹School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Gannan Normal University,

Ganzhou, Jiangxi, 341000, China

²College of Information and Management Science, Henan Agricultural University,

Zhengzhou, Henan, 450002, China

³School of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China

Abstract: The global regularity problem concerning the inviscid Boussinesq equations remains an open problem. In an attempt to understand this problem, we examine the damped Boussinesq equations and study how damping affects the regularity of solutions. In this paper, we consider the global existence to the damped Boussinesq equations with a class of large initial data, whose $B_{p,r}^s$ or $\dot{B}_{p,r}^s$ norms can be arbitrarily large. The idea is splitting the linear Boussinesq equations from the damped Boussinesq equations, the exponentially decaying solution of the former equations together with the structure of the Boussinesq equations help us to obtain the global smooth solutions.

Keywords: Boussinesq equations; Global existence; Large initial data.

MSC (2010): 35Q35; 76B03

1 Introduction

This paper considers the global smooth solutions for the incompressible Boussinesq equations with damping

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nu u + \nabla p = \theta e_d, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0, \\ \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta + \lambda \theta = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \ge 0, \\ (u, \theta)|_{t=0} = (u_0, \theta_0), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where u is the velocity vector, p is the pressure, θ denotes the temperature or density which is a scalar function. $e_d = (0, 0, ..., 0, 1)^T$ and ν, λ are two positive parameters, standing for kinematic viscosity and thermal diffusivity, respectively.

The Boussinesq equations model large scale atmospheric and oceanic flows that are responsible for cold fronts and the jet stream [12, 14] and mathematically has received significant attention,

^{*}E-mail: lijinlu@gnnu.cn

[†]E-mail: ny2008wx@163.com

[‡]E-mail: mathzwp2010@163.com

since it has a vortex stretching effect similar to that in the 3D incompressible flow. When νu is replaced by $-\nu\Delta u$, $\lambda\theta$ by $-\lambda\Delta\theta$, (1.1) becomes the standard viscous Boussinesq equations, the global in time regularity in two dimension is well understood even in the zero diffusivity $(\nu > 0, \lambda = 0)$ or the zero viscosity case $(\nu = 0, \lambda > 0)$ [1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10], however the global regularity in dimension three appears to be out of reach. while $\nu = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$, (1.1) is reduced to the inviscid Boussinesq equations, due to the absence of dissipative terms, the global solution or finite-time singularity evoluting from general initial data remains unsolved in spite of the progress on the local well-posedness and regularity criteria [6, 7, 11, 15, 16, 17]. Recently, following the convex integration method, Tao and Zhang [16] obtained the Hölder continuous solution with compact support both in space and time for inviscid 2D Boussinesq equations.

When adding velocity damping term νu and temperature damping term $\lambda \theta$, Adhikar et al. [2] proved (1.1) admits a unique global small solution with the initial data satisfying

$$\|\nabla u_0\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} < \min\{\frac{\nu}{2C}, \frac{\lambda}{C}\}, \qquad \|\nabla \theta_0\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}} < \frac{\nu}{2C} \|\nabla u_0\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty,1}},$$

where $\dot{B}^{0}_{\infty,1}$ is the homogeneous Besov space. We are interested in that whether or nor (1.1) possesses a global solution without the smallness assumption. If not, it may be helpful to obtain the global solutions for a class of large initial data. Our effort in this paper is precisely based on this. In what follows, let (U, Θ) be the solution of the "linearized damped Boussinesq equation",

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U + \nu U + \nabla p = \Theta e_d, \\ \partial_t \Theta + \lambda \Theta = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} U = 0, \\ (U, \Theta)|_{t=0} = (U_0, \Theta_0). \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

The second equation in (1.2) satisfied by Θ is a simple linear equation, and has the solution $\Theta = e^{-\lambda t} \Theta_0$. If we resort to the equations (1.2) of the vorticity $W = \nabla \times U$, then W satisfys

2D:
$$\partial_t W + \nu W = \partial_1 \Theta,$$

3D: $\partial_t W + \nu W = (\partial_2 \Theta, -\partial_1 \Theta, 0)^T,$

Therefor both W and Θ have explicit exponential decay expressions, so does for U. By virtue of the good exponential decay property of U and Θ , we obtain the global solution of (1.1) which is constructed with the large initial data.

We first recall the definition of the Besov spaces. Choose a radial, non-negative, smooth and radially decreasing function $\chi : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,1]$ such that it is supported in $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ for $|\xi| \leq \frac{3}{4}$. Let $\varphi(\xi) = \chi(\frac{\xi}{2}) - \chi(\xi)$. Then φ is supported in the ring $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$ and $\varphi \equiv 1$ for $\frac{4}{3} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{3}{2}$. For $u \in \mathcal{S}'$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the Littlewood-Paley operators: $\dot{\Delta}_q u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-q}\cdot)\mathcal{F}u), \Delta_q u = \dot{\Delta}_q u$ for $q \geq 0, \Delta_q u = 0$ for $q \leq -2$ and $\Delta_{-1}u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi\mathcal{F}u)$, and $S_q u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-q}\xi)\mathcal{F}u)$. Here we use $\mathcal{F}(f)$ or \hat{f} to denote the Fourier transform of f.

The standard vector-valued functions $u: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ in Besov spaces $B^s_{p,r}$ and $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}$ can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{B^s_{p,r}} &\triangleq \left| \left| (2^{js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right| \right|_{\ell^r} < +\infty, \\ \|u\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}} &\triangleq \left| \left| (2^{js} \|\dot{\Delta}_j u\|_{L^p})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right| \right|_{\ell^r} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, if supp $\hat{u} \in \{\xi : \frac{4}{3} \le |\xi| \le \frac{3}{2}\}$, then we have

$$||u||_{L^p} = ||u||_{B^s_{p,r}} = ||u||_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}}$$

Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 Let d = 2, 3. Assume that the initial data fulfills $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ and

$$u_0 = U_0 + v_0 \quad and \quad \theta_0 = \Theta_0 + \vartheta_0$$

with

supp
$$\hat{U}_0(\xi), \ \hat{\Theta}_0(\xi) \subset \mathcal{C} := \left\{ \xi \Big| \ \frac{4}{3} \le |\xi| \le \frac{3}{2} \right\}.$$
 (1.3)

Denote

$$E_0 = \int_0^\infty (||U \cdot \nabla U||_{H^3} + ||U \cdot \nabla \Theta||_{H^3}) dt, \quad F_0 = \int_0^\infty ||(U, \Theta)||_{L^\infty} dt.$$

There exists a sufficiently small positive constant δ , and a universal constant C such that if

$$\left(||v_0||_{H^3}^2 + ||\vartheta_0||_{H^3}^2 + E_0\right) \cdot \exp\left(CF_0 + CE_0\right) \le \delta,\tag{1.4}$$

then the system (1.1) has a unique global solution.

Corollary 1.1 For d = 2, we let $v_0 = \vartheta_0 = 0$ and

$$U_0 = \nabla^{\perp} a_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 a_0 \\ -\partial_1 a_0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Theta_0 = a_0,$$

where

$$\operatorname{supp} \hat{a}_0(\xi) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} := \left\{ \xi \left| |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \le \varepsilon, \ \frac{4}{3} \le |\xi| \le \frac{3}{2} \right\}.$$

Then, direct calculations show that the left side of (1.4) becomes

$$C\varepsilon||a_0||_{L^2}||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}\exp\Big(C\varepsilon||a_0||_{L^2}||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}+C||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}\Big).$$

For d = 3, we let $v_0 = \vartheta_0 = 0$ and

$$U_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 a_0 \\ -\partial_1 a_0, \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Theta_0 = a_0,$$

where

supp
$$\hat{a}_0(\xi) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_0 := \left\{ \xi \mid |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \le \varepsilon, \ \frac{41}{30} \le |\xi_h| \le \frac{22}{15}, \ \varepsilon \le |\xi_3| \le 2\varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then, direct calculations show that the left side of (1.4) becomes

$$C\varepsilon||a_0||_{L^2}||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}\exp\Big(C\varepsilon||a_0||_{L^2}||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}+C||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}\Big).$$

Remark 1.1 For d = 2, we set

$$a_0(x_1, x_2) = \varepsilon^{-1} \left(\log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi(x_1, x_2),$$

where the smooth functions χ satisfying $\hat{\chi}(-\xi_1, -\xi_2) = \hat{\chi}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$,

$$\operatorname{supp} \hat{\chi} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \hat{\chi}(\xi) \in [0,1] \quad and \quad \hat{\chi}(\xi) = 1 \quad for \quad \xi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_1,$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 \triangleq \Big\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \le \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon, \ \frac{25}{18} \le |\xi| \le \frac{13}{9} \Big\}.$$

Then, direct calculations show that the left side of (1.4) becomes

$$C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \exp\left(C\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

In fact, one has

$$||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1} \approx \left(\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 and $||a_0||_{L^2} \approx \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$

Therefore, choosing ε small enough, we deduce that the system (1.1) has a unique global solution. Moreover, we can show that

$$||u_0||_{L^{\infty}} \gtrsim \left(\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad ||\theta_0||_{L^{\infty}} \gtrsim \left(\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Remark 1.2 For d = 3 and 1 we set

$$a_0(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} (\log \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi(x_1, x_2) \phi(x_3).$$

where the smooth functions χ, ϕ satisfying

$$\operatorname{supp} \hat{\chi} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \hat{\chi}(\xi) \in [0,1] \quad and \quad \hat{\chi}(\xi) = 1 \quad for \quad \xi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_1,$$

and

$$\operatorname{supp}\hat{\phi}(\xi') \in [\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon], \quad \hat{\phi}(\xi') \in [0, 1] \quad and \quad \hat{\phi}(\xi) = 1 \quad for \quad \xi' \in [\frac{5}{4}\varepsilon, \frac{7}{4}\varepsilon].$$

Then, direct calculations show that the left side of (1.4) can be bounded by

$$C\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p}}\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}e^{C\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}.$$

Therefore, choosing ε small enough, we deduce that the system (1.1) has a global solution.

$$||u_0||_{L^p} \gtrsim \left(\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad ||\theta_0||_{L^p} \gtrsim \left(\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Notations: Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ be a multi-index and $D^{\beta} = \partial^{|\beta|} / \partial_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\beta_2} \partial_{x_3}^{\beta_3}$ with $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3$. For the sake of simplicity, $a \leq b$ means that $a \leq Cb$ for some "harmless" positive constant C which may vary from line to line. [A, B] stands for the commutator operator AB - BA, where A and B are any pair of operators on some Banach space X. We also use the notation $||f_1, \dots, f_n||_X \triangleq ||f_1||_X + \dots + ||f_n||_X$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Before giving the proof, we present some estimates which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Denoting v = u - U and $\vartheta = \theta - \Theta$, we can reformulate the system (1.1) and (1.2) equivalently as

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + U \cdot \nabla v + v \cdot \nabla U + \nu v + \nabla p' - \vartheta e_d = -U \cdot \nabla U := f, \\
\partial_t \vartheta + v \cdot \nabla \vartheta + U \cdot \nabla \vartheta + v \cdot \nabla \Theta + \lambda \vartheta = -U \cdot \nabla \Theta := g, \\
\operatorname{div} v = 0, \\
(v, c)|_{t=0} = (v_0, c_0).
\end{cases}$$
(2.5)

Applying D^{β} to $(2.5)_1$ and $(2.5)_2$ respectively and taking the scalar product with $\sigma D^{\beta} v$ and $D^{\beta} \vartheta$ respectively, adding them together and then summing the result over $|\beta| \leq 3$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\sigma||v||_{H^{3}}^{2}+||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}^{2}\right)+\sigma\nu||v||_{H^{3}}^{2}+\lambda||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}^{2}-\sigma(\vartheta,v_{d})_{H^{3}}\triangleq\sum_{i=1}^{4}I_{i},$$
(2.6)

where

$$\begin{split} I_{1} &= -\sigma \sum_{0 < |\beta| \le 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} [D^{\beta}, v \cdot] \nabla v \cdot D^{\beta} v dx - \sum_{0 < |\beta| \le 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} [D^{\beta}, v \cdot] \nabla \vartheta \cdot D^{\beta} \vartheta dx, \\ I_{2} &= -\sigma \sum_{0 < |\beta| \le 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} D^{\beta} (U \cdot \nabla v) \cdot D^{\beta} v dx - \sum_{0 < |\beta| \le 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} D^{\beta} (U \cdot \nabla \vartheta) \cdot D^{\beta} \vartheta dx, \\ I_{3} &= -\sigma \sum_{0 \le |\beta| \le 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} D^{\beta} (v \cdot \nabla U) \cdot D^{\beta} v dx - \sum_{0 \le |\beta| \le 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} D^{\beta} (v \cdot \nabla \Theta) \cdot D^{\beta} \vartheta dx, \\ I_{4} &= -\sigma \sum_{0 \le |\beta| \le 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} D^{\beta} (U \cdot \nabla U) \cdot D^{\beta} v dx - \sum_{0 \le |\beta| \le 3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} D^{\beta} (U \cdot \nabla \Theta) \cdot D^{\beta} \vartheta dx. \end{split}$$

Next, we need to estimate the above terms one by one.

According to the commutate estimate (See [13]),

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} ||[D^{\alpha}, \mathbf{g}]\mathbf{f}||_{L^2} \le C(||\mathbf{f}||_{H^{m-1}} ||\nabla \mathbf{g}||_{L^{\infty}} + ||\mathbf{f}||_{L^{\infty}} ||\mathbf{g}||_{H^m}),$$
(2.7)

we obtain

$$I_{1} \leq \sigma \sum_{0 < |\beta| \leq 3} ||[D^{\beta}, v \cdot] \nabla v||_{L^{2}} ||\nabla v||_{H^{2}} + \sum_{0 < |\beta| \leq 3} ||[D^{\beta}, v \cdot] \nabla \vartheta||_{L^{2}} ||\nabla \vartheta||_{H^{2}} \leq C ||\nabla v||_{L^{\infty}} ||v||_{H^{3}} ||\nabla v||_{H^{2}} + C (||\nabla v||_{L^{\infty}} ||\nabla \vartheta||_{H^{2}} + ||v||_{H^{3}} ||\nabla \vartheta||_{L^{\infty}}) ||\nabla \vartheta||_{H^{2}} \leq C ||v||_{H^{3}} \Big(||\nabla v||_{H^{2}}^{2} + ||\nabla \vartheta||_{H^{2}}^{2} \Big) \leq C ||v||_{H^{3}} \Big(||v||_{H^{3}}^{2} + ||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}^{2} \Big).$$
(2.8)

Invoking the following calculus inequality which is just a consequence of Leibniz's formula,

$$\sum_{|\beta|\leq 3} ||[D^{\beta}, \mathbf{g}]\mathbf{f}||_{L^2} \leq C(||\nabla \mathbf{g}||_{L^{\infty}} + ||\nabla^3 \mathbf{g}||_{L^{\infty}})||\mathbf{f}||_{H^2},$$

we obtain

$$I_{2} \leq \sigma \sum_{0 < |\beta| \leq 3} ||[D^{\beta}, U \cdot] \nabla v||_{L^{2}} ||\nabla v||_{H^{2}} + \sum_{0 < |\beta| \leq 3} ||[D^{\beta}, U \cdot] \nabla \vartheta||_{L^{2}} ||\nabla \vartheta||_{H^{2}} \\ \leq C \Big(||\nabla U||_{L^{\infty}} + ||\nabla^{3}U||_{L^{\infty}} \Big) \Big(||v||_{H^{3}}^{2} + ||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}^{2} \Big) \leq C ||U||_{L^{\infty}} \Big(||v||_{H^{3}}^{2} + ||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}^{2} \Big),$$
(2.9)

where we have used the fact that supp $\hat{U}(\xi)$, supp $\hat{\Theta}(\xi) \subset \text{supp } \hat{U}_0(\xi) \cup \text{supp } \hat{\Theta}_0(\xi) \subset \mathcal{C}$. By Leibniz's formula and Hölder's inequality, one has

$$I_{3} \leq \sigma ||v \cdot \nabla U||_{H^{3}} ||v||_{H^{3}} + ||v \cdot \nabla \Theta||_{H^{3}} ||\vartheta||_{H^{3}} \leq C \Big(||\nabla (U, \Theta)||_{L^{\infty}} + ||\nabla^{4} (U, \Theta)||_{L^{\infty}} \Big) \Big(||v||_{H^{3}}^{2} + ||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}^{2} \Big) \leq C ||(U, \Theta)||_{L^{\infty}} \Big(||v||_{H^{3}}^{2} + ||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}^{2} \Big).$$

$$(2.10)$$

Using Hölder's inequality and Young inequality, we deduce

$$I_{4} \leq C(||U \cdot \nabla U||_{H^{3}} + ||U \cdot \nabla \Theta||_{H^{3}})(||v||_{H^{3}} + ||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}) \leq C||(U \cdot \nabla U, U \cdot \nabla \Theta)||_{H^{3}} + C||(U \cdot \nabla U, U \cdot \nabla \Theta)||_{H^{3}}(||v||_{H^{3}}^{2} + ||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}^{2}).$$
(2.11)

Putting all the estimates (2.8)-(2.11) into (2.6), we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\sigma ||v||_{H^{3}}^{2} + ||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}^{2} \right) + \sigma \nu ||v||_{H^{3}}^{2} + \lambda ||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}^{2} - \sigma(\vartheta, v_{d})_{H^{3}} \\
\lesssim ||v||_{H^{3}} \left(||v||_{H^{3}}^{2} + ||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}^{2} \right) + \left(||(U, \Theta)||_{L^{\infty}} + ||(U \cdot \nabla U, U \cdot \nabla \Theta)||_{H^{3}} \right) \left(||v||_{H^{3}}^{2} + ||\vartheta||_{H^{3}}^{2} \right) \\
+ ||(U \cdot \nabla U, U \cdot \nabla \Theta)||_{H^{3}}.$$
(2.12)

According to the inequality

$$\sigma(\vartheta, v_d)_{H^3} \le C\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} ||v||_{H^3}^2 + C\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\vartheta||_{H^3}^2,$$

then we can choose σ small enough such that

$$\sigma\nu||v||_{H^3}^2 + \lambda||\vartheta||_{H^3}^2 - \sigma(\vartheta, v_d)_{H^3} \approx \sigma\nu||v||_{H^3}^2 + \lambda||\vartheta||_{H^3}^2.$$

For simplicity, we denote

$$A(t) = \sigma ||v||_{H^3}^2 + ||\vartheta||_{H^3}^2, \qquad B(t) = \sigma \nu ||v||_{H^3}^2 + \lambda ||\vartheta||_{H^3}^2,$$

then (2.12) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}A(t) + B(t) \le CA^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)B(t) + C\Big(||(U,\Theta)||_{L^{\infty}} + ||(U \cdot \nabla U, U \cdot \nabla \Theta)||_{H^{3}}\Big)A(t) + C||(U \cdot \nabla U, U \cdot \nabla \Theta)||_{H^{3}}.$$
(2.13)

Now, we define

$$\Gamma \triangleq \sup\{t \in [0, T^*) : \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} A(\tau) \le \eta\},\$$

where η is a small enough positive constant which will be determined later. Assume that $\Gamma < T^*$. For all $t \in [0, \Gamma]$, we obtain from (2.13) that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}A(t) + B(t) \le C\Big(||(U,\Theta)||_{L^{\infty}} + ||(U\cdot\nabla U, U\cdot\nabla\Theta)||_{H^3}\Big)A(t) + C||(U\cdot\nabla U, U\cdot\nabla\Theta)||_{H^3}.$$
(2.14)

which together with the assumption (1.4) yields that

$$A(t) \le C\Big(||v_0||_{H^3}^2 + ||\vartheta_0||_{H^3}^2 + E_0\Big) \cdot \exp\Big(CE_0 + CF_0\Big) \le C\delta.$$

Choosing $\eta = 2C\delta$, thus we can get $\sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} A(\tau) \leq \frac{\eta}{2}$ for $t \leq \Gamma$. So if $\Gamma < T^*$, due to the continuity of the solutions, we can obtain there exists $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ such that $\sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} A(\tau) \leq \eta$ for $t \leq \Gamma + \epsilon < T^*$, which contradicts with the definition of Γ . Thus, we can conclude $\Gamma = T^*$ and

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} \left(||v(\tau)||_{H^3}^2 + ||\vartheta(\tau)||_{H^3}^2 \right) \le C < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in (0,T^*),$$

which implies that $T^* = +\infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \Box

3 Proof of Corollary 1.1

In this section, we will give the proof of Corollary 1.1.

Case 1: d=2. Firstly, W satisfys

$$\partial_t W + \nu W = \partial_1 \Theta = \partial_1 \Theta_0 e^{-\lambda t}, \quad W|_{t=0} = W_0 = \nabla \times U_0.$$

Formally,

$$W = \begin{cases} e^{-\nu t} W_0 + \frac{1}{\nu - \lambda} \partial_1 \Theta_0 (e^{-\lambda t} - e^{-\nu t}), & \nu \neq \lambda, \\ e^{-\nu t} W_0 + t e^{-\nu t} \partial_1 \Theta_0, & \nu = \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we can deduce that

$$U = (-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla^{\perp} W = \begin{cases} e^{-\nu t} U_0 + \frac{1}{\nu - \lambda} \partial_1 (-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla^{\perp} \Theta_0 (e^{-\lambda t} - e^{-\nu t}), & \nu \neq \lambda, \\ e^{-\nu t} U_0 + t e^{-\nu t} \partial_1 (-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla^{\perp} \Theta_0, & \nu = \lambda \end{cases}$$

where $\nabla^{\perp} = (\partial_2, -\partial_1)^T$.

Lemma 3.1 Let d = 2. For small enough ε , under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the following estimates hold

$$E_0 \le C\varepsilon ||a_0||_{L^2} ||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}, \qquad F_0 \le C ||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}.$$
(3.15)

Proof of Lemma 3.1 With the above expressions of U and Θ , we can show that

$$U \cdot \nabla \Theta = \begin{cases} e^{-(\nu+\lambda)t} U_0 \cdot \nabla \Theta_0 + \frac{1}{\nu-\lambda} (e^{-2\lambda t} - e^{-(\nu+\lambda)t}) \partial_1 (-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla^{\perp} \Theta_0 \cdot \nabla \Theta_0, \ \nu \neq \lambda \\ e^{-2\nu t} U_0 \cdot \nabla \Theta_0 + t e^{-2\nu t} \partial_1 (-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla^{\perp} \Theta_0 \cdot \nabla \Theta_0, \ \nu = \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $U_0 \cdot \nabla \Theta_0 = 0$ and

$$\partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla^{\perp}\Theta_0\cdot\nabla\Theta_0 = (\partial_2-\partial_1)\partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}a_0\partial_1a_0 + \partial_1^2(-\Delta)^{-1}a_0(\partial_1-\partial_2)a_0.$$

Using the classical Kato-Ponce product estimates and the fact the Fourier transform of a distribute belonging to L^1 lies in L^{∞} , by a simple calculation, we obtain

$$||\partial_1(-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla^{\perp}\Theta_0 \cdot \nabla\Theta_0||_{H^3} \le C||(\partial_2 - \partial_1)a_0||_{L^{\infty}}||a_0||_{L^2} \le C\varepsilon||a_0||_{L^2}||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1},$$

which implies

$$||U \cdot \nabla \Theta||_{H^3} \le C e^{-\lambda t} \varepsilon ||a_0||_{L^2} ||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}$$

Take the similar argument as the term $U \cdot \nabla \Theta$, we also have

$$||U \cdot \nabla U||_{H^3} \le Ce^{-\min\{\nu,\lambda\}t} \varepsilon ||a_0||_{L^2} ||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}.$$

Thus, we complete the proof of Lemma 3.1. \Box

Case 2: d=3. Firstly, $W = \nabla \times U$ satisfys

$$\partial_t W + \nu W = (\partial_2 \Theta, -\partial_1 \Theta, 0)^{\mathrm{T}} = (\partial_2 \Theta_0, -\partial_1 \Theta_0, 0)^{\mathrm{T}} e^{-\lambda t}, \quad W_{t=0} = W_0 = \nabla \times U_0$$

Formally, we have

$$W = \begin{cases} e^{-\nu t} W_0 + \frac{1}{\nu - \lambda} (\partial_2 \Theta_0, -\partial_1 \Theta_0, 0)^{\mathrm{T}} (e^{-\lambda t} - e^{-\nu t}), & \nu \neq \lambda, \\ e^{-\nu t} W_0 + t e^{-\nu t} (\partial_2 \Theta_0, -\partial_1 \Theta_0, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}, & \nu = \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we can deduce that

$$U = (-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \times W$$

=
$$\begin{cases} e^{-\nu t} U_0 + \frac{1}{\nu - \lambda} (-\Delta)^{-1} (\partial_1 \partial_3 \Theta_0, \partial_2 \partial_3 \Theta_0, -(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) \Theta_0)^{\mathrm{T}} (e^{-\lambda t} - e^{-\nu t}), & \nu \neq \lambda, \\ e^{-\nu t} U_0 + t e^{-\nu t} (-\Delta)^{-1} (\partial_1 \partial_3 \Theta_0, \partial_2 \partial_3 \Theta_0, -(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) \Theta_0)^{\mathrm{T}}, & \nu = \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2 Let d = 3. For small enough ε , under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the following estimates hold

$$E_0 \le C\varepsilon ||a_0||_{L^2} ||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}, \qquad F_0 \le C ||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}.$$
(3.16)

Proof of Lemma 3.2 For the term $U \cdot \nabla \Theta$, we can show that when $\nu \neq \lambda$,

$$U \cdot \nabla \Theta = e^{-(\nu+\lambda)t} U_0 \cdot \nabla \Theta_0 + \frac{1}{\nu-\lambda} (e^{-2\lambda t} - e^{-(\nu+\lambda)t}) (-\Delta)^{-1} (\partial_1 \partial_3 \Theta_0, \partial_2 \partial_3 \Theta_0, -(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) \Theta_0)^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \nabla \Theta_0,$$

while $\nu = \lambda$,

$$U \cdot \nabla \Theta = e^{-2\nu t} U_0 \cdot \nabla \Theta_0 + t e^{-2\nu t} (-\Delta)^{-1} (\partial_1 \partial_3 \Theta_0, \partial_2 \partial_3 \Theta_0, -(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) \Theta_0)^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \nabla \Theta_0.$$

Notice that $U_0 \cdot \nabla \Theta_0 = 0$ and

$$(-\Delta)^{-1} (\partial_1 \partial_3 \Theta_0, \partial_2 \partial_3 \Theta_0, -(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) \Theta_0)^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \nabla \Theta_0$$

= $(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_1 \partial_3 \Theta_0 \partial_1 \Theta_0 + (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_2 \partial_3 \Theta_0 \partial_2 \Theta_0 - (-\Delta)^{-1} (\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) \Theta_0 \partial_3 \Theta_0.$

Using the classical Kato-Ponce product estimates and the fact the Fourier transform of a distribute belonging to L^1 lies in L^{∞} , after a simple calculation, we obtain

$$||(-\Delta)^{-1} (\partial_1 \partial_3 \Theta_0, \partial_2 \partial_3 \Theta_0, -(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) \Theta_0)^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \nabla \Theta_0||_{H^3}$$

$$\leq C ||\partial_3 a_0||_{L^{\infty}} ||a_0||_{L^2} \leq C \varepsilon ||a_0||_{L^2} ||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1},$$

which implies

$$||U \cdot \nabla \Theta||_{H^3} \le C e^{-\lambda t} \varepsilon ||a_0||_{L^2} ||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}.$$

Take a similar argument as the term $U \cdot \nabla \Theta$, we also have

 $||U \cdot \nabla U||_{H^3} \le C e^{-\min\{\nu, \lambda\}t} \varepsilon ||a_0||_{L^2} ||\hat{a}_0||_{L^1}.$

Thus, we complete the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Now Corollary 1.1 follows immediately from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. We complete the proof of Corollary 1.1 \Box

Acknowledgments

The work of Jinlu Li is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11801090). The work of Weipeng Zhu is partially supported by the China National Natural Science Foundation under grant number 11901092 and Guangdong Natural Science Foundation under grant number 2017A030310634.

References

- H. Abidi, T. Hmidi, On the global well-posedness for Boussinesq system, J. Differential Equations 233 (2007) 199-220.
- [2] D. Adhikar, C. Cao, J. Wu, X. Xu, Small global solutions to the damped two-dimensional Boussinesq equations, J. Differential Equations, 256 (2014) 3594-3613.
- [3] D. Chae, Global regularity for the 2D Boussinesq equations with partial viscosity terms, Adv. Math. 203 (2006) 497-513.
- [4] D. Chae, H.S. Nam, Local existence and blow-up criterion for the Boussinesq equations. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A 127(5) (1997) 935-946.
- [5] C. Chen, J. Liu, Global well-posedness of 2D nonlinear Boussinesq equations with mixed partial viscosity and thermal diffusivity, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 40 (12) (2017) 4412-4424.
- [6] X. Cui, C. Dou, Q. Jiu, Local well-posedness and blow up criterion for the inviscid Boussinesq system in Hölder spaces, J. Partial Differ. Equ. 25 (2012) 220-238.
- [7] Z. Hassainia, T. Hmidi, On the inviscid Boussinesq system with rough initial data, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 430 (2015) 777-809.
- [8] T. Hmidi, S. Keraani, On the global well-posedness of the Boussinesq system with zero viscosity, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 58 (2009) 1591-1618.

- T. Hou, C. Li, Global well-posedness of the viscous Boussinesq equations, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 12 (2005) 1-12.
- [10] M.-J. Lai, R. Pan, K. Zhao, Initial boundary value problem for two-dimensional viscous Boussinesq equations, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 199 (2011) 739-760.
- [11] X. Liu, M. Wang, Z. Zhang, Local well-posedness and blow up criterion of the Boussinesq equations in critical Besov spaces, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 12 (2010) 280-292.
- [12] A. Majda, Introduction to PDEs and Waves for the Atmosphere and Ocean, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 9, AMS/CIMS, 2003.
- [13] A. Majda, A. Bertozzi, Vorticity and Incompressible Flow, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [14] J. Pedlosky, Geophysical Fluid Dynamics, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [15] Y. Taniuchi, A note on the blow-up criterion for the inviscid 2-D Boussinesq equations, In: The NavierCStokes Equations: Theory and Numerical Methods (Ed. Salvi, R.). Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 223 (2002) 131-140.
- [16] T. Tao, L. Zhang, Hölder continuous solutions of Boussinesq equation with compact support, Journal of Functional Analysis, 272 (2017) 4334-4402.
- [17] R. Wan, J. Chen, Global well-posedness for the 2D dispersive SQG equation and inviscid Boussinesq equations, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. (2016) 67:104.