

# Construction of sequences with high nonlinear complexity from a generalization of the Hermitian function field

A.S. Castellanos\*, L. Quoos† and G. Tizziotti‡

## Abstract

For  $r \geq 1$  an odd integer, we provide a sequence from the function field  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  of the maximal curve over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$  defined by the affine equation  $y^q + y = x^{q^r+1}$ . This sequence has high nonlinear complexity, and this fact comes from the existence of a rational function on  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  with pole divisor of small degree, and support in certain  $q$  rational places.

**MSC codes (2020):** 14G50; 94A55

## 1 Introduction

In recent years the study of sequences over finite fields has increased due to its applications in cryptography and pseudorandom number generation, see e.g. [3, 8, 9] and [10]. In cryptography, to assess the suitability of a pseudorandom sequence, the complexity-theoretic and statistical requirements must be tested. In practice, both categories of tests - complexity-theoretic and statistical - should be carried out since these two categories

---

\*Alonso S. Castellanos is with Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Campus Santa Mônica, Av. João Naves de Avila 2121, Uberlândia-MG, CEP 38.408-100, Brazil, [email: alonso.castellanos@ufu.br](mailto:alonso.castellanos@ufu.br), [orcid: 0000-0003-2862-5339](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2862-5339).

†Luciane Quoos is with Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Centro de Tecnologia - Bloco C, Cidade Universitária - Av. Athos da Silveira Ramos, 149 - Ilha do Fundão, CEP 21.941-909 - Brazil, [email: luciane@im.ufrj.br](mailto:luciane@im.ufrj.br), [orcid: 0000-0001-6310-0859](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6310-0859).

‡Guilherme Tizziotti is with the Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Campus Santa Mônica, Av. João Naves de Avila 2121, Uberlândia-MG, CEP 38.408-100, Brazil, [email: guilhermect@ufu.br](mailto:guilhermect@ufu.br), [orcid: 0000-0003-1026-0546](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1026-0546).

are in a sense independent. For details on the testing of pseudorandom sequences in a cryptographic context, we refer the reader to [11]. In this paper, we are concerned about the complexity-theoretic analysis of pseudorandom sequences.

One of the requirements of such sequences is that it should be very hard to replicate the entire sequence from the knowledge of a part of it, that is, its complexity should be large. Many different complexity measures are available in the literature, the most usual being the *linear complexity*.

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite field with  $q$  elements, where  $q$  is the power of a prime  $p$ . The linear complexity  $L(\mathbf{t}_n)$  of a sequence  $\mathbf{t}_n = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is the length  $m$  of a shortest linear recurrence relation

$$t_{j+m} = c_{m-1}t_{j+m-1} + \dots + c_0t_j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n - m - 1,$$

where  $c_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$  for all  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ . We refer to the recent handbook [9] for a concise survey on linear complexity of sequences.

In the past years various types of sequences with a large linear complexity have been constructed from function fields, see [14] and [15]. In [10], Niederreiter and Xing investigated the complexity measure of certain sequences related to feedback shift registers with feedback functions of higher algebraic degree, the so-called *nonlinear complexity*. More precisely, for a non zero sequence  $\mathbf{t}_n$  of length  $n \geq 1$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the nonlinear complexity  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$  is defined as the smallest  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  for which there exists a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  of degree at most  $k$  in each variable such that

$$t_{i+m} = f(t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+m-1}), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n - m.$$

If we allow the total degree of the polynomial  $f$  to be at most  $k$  a similar nonlinear complexity  $L^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$  can be found, see Definition 2.3.

In this paper, we focus on constructing sequences with large nonlinear complexity. Recently, constructions of sequences from function fields with high nonlinear complexity arose in the work of Niederreiter and Xing [10]; Luo, Xing, and You [8]; and Geil, Özbudak and Ruano [3]. In [8], the authors construct sequences over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  using the rational and cyclotomic function fields. In [10, 3], the authors work over the Hermitian function field  $\mathcal{H}$  to construct sequences over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  of length  $(q - 1)(q^2 - 1)$  with large nonlinear complexity. Both constructions rely on the action of a large cyclic subgroup of the automorphism group  $Aut(\mathcal{H})$  of the function field  $\mathcal{H}$  on the  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ -rational places of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The results in [3, Theorems 1, 2] improve the bounds on the nonlinear complexities given in [10, Theorems 3, 4].

In this work, we consider a generalization of the Hermitian curve, the maximal curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$  by the affine equation  $y^q + y = x^{q^r+1}$ , where  $r \geq 1$  is an odd integer. Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  its function field and by  $P_\infty$  the only pole of  $x$  and  $y$ .

We propose a new sequence, inspired by the sequences presented in [3] and [10], from the function field  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$  of length  $q(q^{2r} - q^{r-1} - q^{r-2} - \dots - q - 2)$ . The constructed sequence relies on the existence of functions in  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  with pole divisor in  $q$  fixed rational places  $P_\infty, Q_2, \dots, Q_q$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$ . These functions are determined using a characterization of the Weierstrass semigroup

$$H(P_\infty, Q_2, \dots, Q_q) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_q) \in \mathbb{N}^q \mid \exists f \in \mathcal{F}_{q,r}, (f)_\infty = a_1 P_\infty + a_2 Q_2 + \dots + a_q Q_q\},$$

given in [1]. The constructed sequence generalizes the results in [3, Theorems 1, 2] and [10, Theorem 4], see Remarks 3.2 and 3.4.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce two concepts of nonlinear complexity, and the function field  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$ . From a characterization of the Weierstrass semigroup at  $q$  collinear rational places  $P_\infty, Q_2, \dots, Q_q$  on the function field  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$ , in Section III we present the new sequence over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Nonlinear complexity of sequences

The following nonlinear complexity notion was first defined by Niederreiter and Xing in [10], and it is also the main notion used in [3] and [8].

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{t}_n = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  be a sequence of length  $n \geq 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $t_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then we define the  $k$ -th order nonlinear complexity  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$  to be 0. Otherwise,  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_1) := 1$  and, for  $n \geq 2$ , let  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$  be the smallest  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  for which there exists a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ , of degree at most  $k$  in each variable, such that*

$$t_{i+m} = f(t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+m-1}), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n - m. \quad (1)$$

It is clear that  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n) \geq 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . If  $n = 2$ , then  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_2) = 0$  or 1. Now, for  $n \geq 3$ , since (1) is satisfied for  $m = n - 1$  with  $f$  the constant polynomial  $t_n$ , we have that  $0 \leq N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n) \leq n - 1$ . Note that if  $\mathbf{t}_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ , then  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n) = n - 1$ .

Furthermore it suffices to consider  $1 \leq k \leq q - 1$ , since any polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  can be represented by a polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  of degree at most  $q - 1$  in each variable, see [7]. For  $k \geq q - 1$ , all nonlinear complexities  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$  of a fixed  $\mathbf{t}_n$  are the same and equal to the called maximum-order complexity  $M(\mathbf{t}_n) = N^{(q-1)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$  introduced by Jansen in [4] and [5].

For a positive integer  $n_1 \leq n$ , a *initial sequence*  $\mathbf{t}_{n_1}$  of a given sequence  $\mathbf{t}_n = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , means the sequence  $\mathbf{t}_{n_1} = (t_1, \dots, t_{n_1})$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{t}_{n_1}$  and  $\mathbf{t}_{n_2}$  be initial sequences of  $\mathbf{t}_n$ . If  $n_1 \leq n_2$ , then  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_{n_1}) \leq N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_{n_2})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $1 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n$  be integers, and consider the initial sequences  $\mathbf{t}_{n_1}$  and  $\mathbf{t}_{n_2}$  of the sequence  $\mathbf{t}_n$ . If  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_{n_2}) = m$ , then there exists  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  of degree at most  $k$  in each variable satisfying

$$t_{i+m} = f(t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+m-1}), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n_2 - m.$$

Since  $n_1 \leq n_2$ , we have that the condition  $t_{i+m} = f(t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+m-1})$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n_1 - m$  is also satisfied. The result follows.  $\square$

A modified notion for nonlinear complexity, denoted by  $L^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$ , was also used in [10] and [3]. The difference between the two notions is that the condition “of degree at most  $k$  in each variable” in  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$ , is replaced with the condition “of total degree at most  $k$ ” in  $L^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** *Given a sequence  $\mathbf{t}_n = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  of length  $n \geq 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $t_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we define the  $k$ -th order nonlinear complexity  $L^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$  to be 0. Otherwise  $L^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_1) := 1$  and, for  $n \geq 2$ , let  $L^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$  be the smallest  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  for which there exists a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  of total degree at most  $k$  such that*

$$t_{i+m} = f(t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+m-1}), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n - m.$$

It is clear that  $L^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n) \geq N^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$ , for any  $k$  and sequence  $\mathbf{t}_n$ . We also observe that  $L^{(1)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$  is not the same as the linear complexity  $L(\mathbf{t}_n)$  since for the computation of  $L^{(1)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$  we accept degree one polynomials with constant term. In fact, we always have  $L(\mathbf{t}_n) \geq L^{(1)}(\mathbf{t}_n)$ , and from a Remark on [7, p. 401] we also have  $L^{(1)}(\mathbf{t}_n) \geq L(\mathbf{t}_n) - 1$ .

Similarly to Lemma 2.2, we have that if  $\mathbf{t}_{n_1}$  and  $\mathbf{t}_{n_2}$  are initial sequences of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  with  $n_1 \leq n_2$ , then  $L^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_{n_1}) \leq L^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_{n_2})$ .

The Hermitian function field  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  by the affine equation  $y^q + y = x^{q+1}$ . In [10, Section IV], Niederreiter and Xing constructed a sequence  $\mathbf{s}_M$  from  $\mathcal{H}$  of length  $M = (q-1)(q^2-1)$ . The obtained lower bounds on the complexities from this sequence were closely connected to the existence of a function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  with pole divisor supported in two rational places and of degree  $q(q-1)$ . The following result gives us the bounds obtained for  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{s}_n)$  and  $L^{(k)}(\mathbf{s}_n)$  for initial sequences of  $\mathbf{s}_M$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *[10, Theorems 3 and 4] Let  $\mathbf{s}_n$  be a initial sequence of  $\mathbf{s}_M$ . Then, for any integer  $1 \leq k \leq q^2 - 1$ , we have*

$$N^{(k)}(\mathbf{s}_n) \geq \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-1} \rfloor (q^2 - 1) - 1}{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-1} \rfloor + kq(q-1)}, \quad (2)$$

and

$$L^{(k)}(\mathbf{s}_n) \geq \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-1} \rfloor (q^2 - 1) - (q^2 - q - 1)k - 1}{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-1} \rfloor + k}, \quad (3)$$

for the  $k$ -th order nonlinear complexity.

In [3], Geil, Özbudak and Ruano constructed an analogous sequence to the one in the work of Niederreiter and Xing from the Hermitian function field  $\mathcal{H}$ . With this new sequence, they improved the lower bounds in (2) and (3). Their improvements are based on the existence of a function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  with poles exactly in two rational places, and pole divisor of degree  $2(q-1)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [3, Theorems 1 and 2] *Let  $\mathbf{s}_n$  be a initial sequence of  $\mathbf{s}_M$ . Then, for any integer  $1 \leq k \leq q^2 - 1$ , we have*

$$N^{(k)}(\mathbf{s}_n) \geq \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-1} \rfloor (q^2 - 1) - (q - 1)}{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-1} \rfloor + 2k(q - 1)}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$L^{(k)}(\mathbf{s}_n) \geq \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-1} \rfloor (q^2 - 1) - (k + 1)(q - 1)}{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-1} \rfloor + k(q - 1)}, \quad (5)$$

for the  $k$ -th order nonlinear complexity.

## 2.2 The maximal function field: $y^q + y = x^{q^r+1}$

Consider  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  the function field over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$  of the curve defined by the affine equation

$$y^q + y = x^{q^r+1},$$

where  $r \geq 1$  an odd integer. This function field  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  has genus  $g = q^r(q-1)/2$ , and were first studied by Stichtenoth in [13], and also by Garcia in [2, Example 1].

For  $r = 1$ , the function field  $\mathcal{F}_{q,1}$  is the Hermitian function field. So, in a way,  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  can be seen as a generalization of the Hermitian function field.

For each  $x = a$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$ , the equation  $y^q + y = a^{q^r+1}$  has  $q$  distinct roots  $y$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$ . And this determine  $q^{2r+1}$  rational places on  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$ , denoted by  $P_{ab}$  where  $b^q + b = a^{q^r+1}$ ,  $a, b$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$ . In addition to these places, there is a single place at infinity,  $P_\infty$ , the common pole of  $x$  and  $y$ . So,  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  has  $q^{2r+1} + 1$  rational places and it is called a *maximal function field* over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$  since its number of rational places equals the Hasse-Weil upper bound, namely equals  $q^{2r} + 1 + 2gq^r$ .

Given a function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$ , we denote by  $(f)$  and  $(f)_\infty$  the divisor and pole divisor of  $f$ , respectively.

Fix  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}^*$  and let  $P_\infty, Q_2 = P_{ab_1}, Q_3 = P_{ab_2}, \dots, Q_{q+1} = P_{ab_q}$  be  $q+1$  pairwise distinct rational places in  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$ , where  $b_\ell^q + b_\ell = a, b_\ell \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$  for each  $\ell = 1, \dots, q$ . Given an  $m$ -tuple  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$  in  $\mathbb{N}^m$ , and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the  $m$ -tuple

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{s},i} := (s_1(q^r + 1) - iq, s_2(q^r + 1) + i, \dots, s_m(q^r + 1) + i).$$

By [1, Theorem 3.4], for  $2 \leq m \leq q+1$ , the minimal generating set  $\Gamma_m$  of the Weierstrass semigroup  $H(P_\infty, Q_2, \dots, Q_m)$ , is given by

$$\Gamma_m = \left\{ \gamma_{\mathbf{s},i}; \sum_{j=1}^m s_j = q+1-m, 0 < iq < s_1(q^r + 1), s_j \geq 0 \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, for each  $\gamma_{\mathbf{s},i} \in \Gamma_m$  there exists a rational function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  such that

$$(f)_\infty = (s_1(q^r + 1) - iq)P_\infty + (s_2(q^r + 1) + i)Q_2 + \dots + (s_m(q^r + 1) + i)Q_m. \quad (7)$$

By Equation (6), the degree of the pole divisor of  $f$  is

$$\deg((f)_\infty) = \sum_{j=1}^m s_j(q^r + 1) - i(q - m + 1) = (q - m + 1)(q^r + 1 - i).$$

Since  $\Gamma_m$  is the minimal generating set of  $H(P_\infty, Q_2, \dots, Q_m)$ , it does not exist a rational function  $g \in \mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  with  $(g)_\infty = a_1P_\infty + a_2Q_2 + \dots + a_mQ_m$  and  $\deg((g)_\infty) < q^r - q^{r-1} + 1$ . So, we can conclude that the smallest positive value for  $\deg((f)_\infty)$  is  $q^r - q^{r-1} + 1$ , for  $m = q$  and  $i = q^{r-1}$ . We recall the improvements obtained in [3], of the results in [10], were based on the existence of a function in  $\mathcal{F}_{q,1}$  with pole divisor in two places, and of small degree equal to  $2(q-1)$ .

By the definition of the set  $\Gamma_q$ , it exists a rational function  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  with pole divisor

$$(\varphi)_\infty = P_\infty + q^{r-1}Q_2 + \dots + q^{r-1}Q_q. \quad (8)$$

This function  $\varphi$  will play a fundamental role on the construction of the nonlinear sequence in the next section.

In [6], the authors proved that the automorphism group of  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  has a subgroup of order  $q^{2r+1}(q^r + 1)(q - 1)$ , consisting of all automorphism  $\sigma$  defined by means of

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : x &\mapsto \epsilon x + \xi, \\ y &\mapsto \epsilon^{q^r+1}y + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^i (\epsilon \xi^{q^r} x)^{q^{r-i-1}} + \beta, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

with  $\xi, \beta, \epsilon$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$  satisfying  $\beta^q + \beta = \xi^{q^r+1}$ , and  $\epsilon^{(q^r+1)(q-1)} = 1$ .

In the following, we collect some properties on automorphisms of function fields, that can be found in [12, Section 8.2] and will be useful for the results in the next section.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $P$  be a rational place on  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$ , and  $v_P$  be the discrete valuation at  $P$ . For any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_{q,r}/\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}})$ , and  $f$  a function on the function field  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$ , we have*

- i)  $\sigma(P)$  is also a rational place,*
- ii)  $v_{\sigma(P)}(\sigma(f)) = v_P(f)$ , and*
- iii)  $\sigma(f)(\sigma(P)) = f(P)$  if  $v_P(f) \geq 0$ .*

### 3 Sequences with high nonlinear complexity from $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  and  $P_\infty, Q_2 = P_{ab_1}, Q_3 = P_{ab_2}, \dots, Q_q = P_{ab_{q-1}}$ , with  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}^*$  and  $b_i^q + b_i = a^{q^r+1}$ , be as in Section 2.2. Consider the automorphism  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}_{q,r})$  given by

$$\sigma(x) = \epsilon x, \quad \sigma(y) = \epsilon^{q^r+1} y, \quad (10)$$

where  $\epsilon$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}^*$  is an element of order  $(q^r + 1)(q - 1)$ . Then  $\sigma$  has order  $(q^r + 1)(q - 1)$ , and fixes the places  $P_\infty$  and  $P_{00}$ . The action of  $\sigma$  on the other  $q^{2r+1} - 1$  rational places of  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  provides a partition in  $q(q^{r-1} + \dots + q + 1) + 1$  orbits, where  $q(q^{r-1} + \dots + q + 1)$  of them have length  $(q^r + 1)(q - 1)$ , and one has length  $q - 1$ .

To simplify the notation we let  $\mu := (q^r + 1)(q - 1)$  and  $\nu := q(q^{r-1} + \dots + q + 1)$ . So, under the action of  $\sigma$  on  $Q_2, \dots, Q_q$  we obtain  $q - 1$  orbits, each containing exactly  $\mu$  distinct rational places of  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$ . Take  $Q_{q+1}, \dots, Q_{\nu+1}$  other rational places on  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  such that, under the action of  $\sigma$ , we get  $\nu - (q - 1)$  distinct orbits of length  $\mu$  pairwise distinct. Then we have the following  $\nu$  distinct orbits

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_2, \sigma(Q_2), \dots, \sigma^{\mu-1}(Q_2), \\ & \vdots \\ & Q_q, \sigma(Q_q), \dots, \sigma^{\mu-1}(Q_q) \\ & Q_{q+1}, \sigma(Q_{q+1}), \dots, \sigma^{\mu-1}(Q_{q+1}), \\ & \vdots \\ & Q_{\nu+1}, \sigma(Q_{\nu+1}), \dots, \sigma^{\mu-1}(Q_{\nu+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varphi$  be the rational function given in Equation (8). Since  $\varphi$  has poles only in  $P_\infty, Q_2, \dots, Q_q$ , the sequence

$$s_{(i-1)(\mu-1)+j} := \varphi(\sigma^j(Q_{i+1})), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \nu \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \mu - 1, \quad (11)$$

is well defined. This sequence is denoted by  $\mathbf{s}_M := (s_1, \dots, s_M)$ , and has length  $M = \nu(\mu - 1) = q(q^{r-1} + \dots + q + 1)(q^{r+1} - q^r + q - 2)$ . Note that the sequence  $\mathbf{s}_M$  is not identically zero since  $\varphi$  has at most  $q^r - q^{r-1} + 1$  zeros. Explicitly, the sequence  $\mathbf{s}_M$  is

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \varphi(\sigma(Q_2)), & s_2 &= \varphi(\sigma^2(Q_2)), & \dots & s_{\mu-1} &= \varphi(\sigma^{\mu-1}(Q_2)) \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ s_{(q-2)(\mu-1)+1} &= \varphi(\sigma(Q_q)), & s_{(q-2)(\mu-1)+2} &= \varphi(\sigma^2(Q_q)), & \dots & s_{(q-1)(\mu-1)} &= \varphi(\sigma^{\mu-1}(Q_q)) \\ s_{(q-1)(\mu-1)+1} &= \varphi(\sigma(Q_{q+1})), & s_{(q-1)(\mu-1)+2} &= \varphi(\sigma^2(Q_{q+1})), & \dots & s_{q(\mu-1)} &= \varphi(\sigma^{\mu-1}(Q_{q+1})) \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ s_{(\nu-1)(\mu-1)+1} &= \varphi(\sigma(Q_{\nu+1})), & s_{(\nu-1)(\mu-1)+2} &= \varphi(\sigma^2(Q_{\nu+1})), & \dots & s_{\nu(\mu-1)} &= \varphi(\sigma^{\mu-1}(Q_{\nu+1})). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Under notation and assumptions as above, let  $1 \leq n \leq M = \nu(\mu - 1)$  be an integer and consider the initial sequence  $\mathbf{s}_n = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  of the sequence  $\mathbf{s}_M$  as above. For any integer  $1 \leq k \leq \mu - 1$ , we have*

$$N^{(k)}(\mathbf{s}_n) \geq \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^{r+1}-q^r+q-2} \rfloor (q^{r+1} - q^r + q - 2) - q^{r-1}(q - 1)}{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^{r+1}-q^r+q-2} \rfloor + k(q^r - q^{r-1} + 1)}, \quad (12)$$

for the  $k$ -th order nonlinear complexity.

*Proof.* If  $n < \mu - 1 = q^{r+1} - q^r + q - 2$ , then the lower bound is trivial. Hence we assume that  $n \geq \mu - 1$  without loss of generality. Suppose that there exist a polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ , where  $1 \leq m \leq \mu - 2$ , of degree at most  $k$  in each variable such that

$$s_{m+\lambda} = f(s_\lambda, s_{\lambda+1}, \dots, s_{\lambda+m-1}) \text{ for } 1 \leq \lambda \leq n - m, \quad (13)$$

and  $n \geq m + 1$ . By Lemma 2.2, it is enough to prove the result for  $n = \delta(\mu - 1)$ , with  $1 \leq \delta \leq \nu$ . For  $t = 1, \dots, \mu - 1 - m$  and  $i = 1, \dots, \delta$  we have

$$s_{(i-1)(\mu-1)+m+t} = f(s_{(i-1)(\mu-1)+t}, s_{(i-1)(\mu-1)+t+1}, \dots, s_{(i-1)(\mu-1)+t+m-1}),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\varphi(\sigma^{m+t}(Q_{i+1})) = f(\varphi(\sigma^t(Q_{i+1})), \dots, \varphi(\sigma^{t+m-1}(Q_{i+1}))). \quad (14)$$



For  $\varphi$  as in Equation (8), define the function  $w$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{q,r}$  as

$$w := -\sigma^{-m}(\varphi) + f(\varphi, \sigma^{-1}(\varphi), \dots, \sigma^{-(m-1)}(\varphi)).$$

From Equation (8) we have  $\deg(\varphi)_\infty = q^r - q^{r-1} + 1$ ,  $v_{P_\infty}(\varphi) = -1$ , and  $v_{Q_k}(\varphi) = -q^{r-1}$ , for all  $k = 2, \dots, q$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.6 (ii), it follows that  $v_{\sigma^{-m}(Q_2)}(\sigma^{-m}(\varphi)) = v_{Q_2}(\varphi) = -q^{r-1}$ , and so the place  $\sigma^{-m}(Q_2)$  is a pole of  $\sigma^{-m}(\varphi)$ . On the other hand, for each  $b = 0, \dots, m-1$  we have  $v_{\sigma^{-m}(Q_2)}(\sigma^{-b}(\varphi)) = v_{Q_2}(\sigma^{m-b}(\varphi))$ , and the divisor

$$(\sigma^{m-b}(\varphi))_\infty = P_\infty + q^{r-1}\sigma^{m-b}(Q_2) + \dots + q^{r-1}\sigma^{m-b}(Q_q).$$

Then we conclude that  $\sigma^{-m}(Q_2)$  is not a pole of  $\sigma^{-b}(\varphi)$ . This ensures the function  $w \neq 0$ .

Now we are going to determine bounds on the number of poles and zeros of the function  $w$ . The poles of  $\varphi$  are exactly  $P_\infty, Q_2, \dots, Q_q$  and, by definition of  $\sigma$ , it follows that  $v_{\sigma^k(Q_{i+1})}(\varphi) \geq 0$ , for all  $1 \leq k \leq \mu - 1$  and  $1 \leq i \leq \delta$ . So, by Lemma 2.6 (iii), it follows that for any integer  $j$

$$\varphi(\sigma^{j+k}(Q_{i+1})) = \varphi(\sigma^j(\sigma^k(Q_{i+1}))) = \sigma^{-j}(\varphi)(\sigma^k(Q_{i+1})).$$

Thus, for  $1 \leq t \leq \mu - 1 - m$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(\sigma^t(Q_{i+1})) &= -\sigma^{-m}(\varphi)(\sigma^t(Q_{i+1})) \\ &\quad + f(\varphi(\sigma^t(Q_{i+1})), \sigma^{-1}(\varphi)(\sigma^t(Q_{i+1})), \dots, \sigma^{-(m-1)}(\varphi)(\sigma^t(Q_{i+1}))) \\ &= -\varphi(\sigma^{m+t}(Q_{i+1})) + f(\varphi(\sigma^t(Q_{i+1})), \varphi(\sigma^{t+1}(Q_{i+1})), \dots, \varphi(\sigma^{t+m-1}(Q_{i+1}))) \\ &= 0 \text{ (by (14)).} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can conclude that

$$w(\sigma(Q_{i+1})) = \dots = w(\sigma^{\mu-1-m}(Q_{i+1})) = 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, \delta.$$

So, the zero divisor of the function  $w$  has degree at least

$$\deg(w)_0 \geq \delta(\mu - 1 - m). \tag{15}$$

The pole divisor of  $\varphi$  is  $(\varphi)_\infty = P_\infty + q^{r-1}Q_2 + \dots + q^{r-1}Q_q$  and, by Lemma 2.6 (ii)

$$v_{\sigma^{-j}(P_\infty)}(\sigma^{-j}(\varphi)) = v_{P_\infty}(\varphi) = -1 \text{ and } v_{\sigma^{-j}(Q_k)}(\sigma^{-j}(\varphi)) = v_{Q_k}(\varphi) = -q^{r-1},$$

for each  $k = 2, \dots, q$  and  $j = 0, \dots, m$ . This yields

$$(\sigma^{-j}(\varphi))_\infty = P_\infty + q^{r-1}\sigma^{-j}(Q_2) + \dots + q^{r-1}\sigma^{-j}(Q_q), \quad j = 0, \dots, m.$$

Thus

$$(f(\varphi, \sigma^{-1}(\varphi), \dots, \sigma^{-(m-1)}(\varphi)))_\infty \leq$$

$$kmP_\infty + k \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} q^{r-1}Q_{i+1} + k \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} q^{r-1}\sigma^{-1}(Q_{i+1}) + \dots + k \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} q^{r-1}\sigma^{-(m-1)}(Q_{i+1}).$$

Therefore,

$$\deg(w)_\infty \leq km + kmq^{r-1}(q-1) + q^{r-1}(q-1) = km(q^r + 1 - q^{r-1}) + q^{r-1}(q-1).$$

Since  $\deg(w)_0 = \deg(w)_\infty$ , we can conclude that

$$m \geq \frac{\delta(\mu - 1) - q^{r-1}(q - 1)}{k(q^r - q^{r-1} + 1) + \delta}.$$

□

We observe that the longer the sequence  $\mathbf{s}_n$ , the greater the lower bound on  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{s}_n)$ . For sequences over the same finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}$ , with  $r$  an odd integer, in Theorem 3.1 we can have higher values for the length of a sequence  $\mathbf{s}_n$  compared to the ones given in Theorem 2.5.

**Remark 3.2.** For  $r = 1$ , we have a nonlinear sequence over the Hermitian function field, and if  $q \geq 3$ , the lower bound given in Theorem 3.1 is an improved lower bound on  $N^{(k)}(\mathbf{s}_n)$ , compared to the lower bound (4) in Theorem 2.5. That is,

$$\frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-2} \rfloor (q^2 - 2) - (q - 1)}{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-2} \rfloor + kq} > \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-1} \rfloor (q^2 - 1) - (q - 1)}{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-1} \rfloor + 2k(q - 1)}, \quad \text{for } q \geq 3.$$

In fact, let  $\eta_1 := \lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-1} \rfloor$  and  $\eta_2 := \lfloor \frac{n}{q^2-2} \rfloor$ . So  $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \eta_1 + 1$ , that is,  $\eta_2 = \eta_1 + \eta$ , where  $\eta$  is equal to 0 or 1. We recall that  $1 \leq \eta_1, \eta_2 \leq q$ . Thus, we have that

$$\frac{(\eta_1 + \eta)(q^2 - 2) - (q - 1)}{(\eta_1 + \eta) + kq} > \frac{\eta_1(q^2 - 1) - (q - 1)}{\eta_1 + 2k(q - 1)}$$

if and only if

$$k \cdot [q^2[(q-2)(\eta_1 + \eta) + q\eta - 1] - q[3\eta_1 + 4\eta - 3] + 2[2(\eta_1 + \eta) - 1]] + \eta_1(\eta_1 + \eta) + \eta(q-1) > 0.$$

The last equality is true for  $q \geq 3$ .

For the order nonlinear complexity  $L^{(k)}(\mathbf{s}_n)$ , given in Definition 2.3, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Under notation and assumptions as above, let  $1 \leq n \leq M = \nu(\mu - 1)$  be an integer and consider the initial sequence  $\mathbf{s}_n = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  of the sequence  $\mathbf{s}_M$  as above. For any integer  $1 \leq k \leq \mu - 1$ , we have*

$$L^{(k)}(\mathbf{s}_n) \geq \frac{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^{r+1}-q^r+q-2} \rfloor (q^{r+1} - q^r + q - 2) - q^{r-1}(q - 1) - k}{\lfloor \frac{n}{q^{r+1}-q^r+q-2} \rfloor + kq^{r-1}(q - 1)} \quad (16)$$

for the  $k$ -th order nonlinear complexity.

*Proof.* We follow the same approach as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, but here we assume that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  of total degree at most  $k$ . The proof is the same until Equation (15), and the proof thereafter changes as follows. Let  $g(x_1, \dots, x_m) = cx_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2r}}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  be any monomial having a nonzero coefficient in  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ . We have  $i_1 + \dots + i_m \leq k$ , and the pole divisor of the function  $g(\varphi, \sigma^{-1}(\varphi), \dots, \sigma^{-(m-1)}(\varphi))$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (g(\varphi, \sigma^{-1}(\varphi), \dots, \sigma^{-(m-1)}(\varphi)))_\infty &\leq kP_\infty + i_1 \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} q^{r-1} Q_{i+1} \\ &\quad + i_2 \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} q^{r-1} \sigma^{-1}(Q_{i+1}) + \dots + i_m \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} q^{r-1} \sigma^{-(m-1)}(Q_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

For the pole divisor of  $w$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (w)_\infty &\leq kP_\infty + k \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} q^{r-1} Q_{i+1} + k \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} q^{r-1} \sigma^{-1}(Q_{i+1}) + \dots + k \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} q^{r-1} \sigma^{-(m-1)}(Q_{i+1}) \\ &\quad + q^{r-1} \sigma^{-m}(Q_2) + \dots + q^{r-1} \sigma^{-m}(Q_q). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\deg(w)_\infty \leq k + kmq^{r-1}(q - 1) + q^{r-1}(q - 1).$$

Since  $\deg(w)_0 \geq \delta(\mu - 1 - m)$  and  $\deg(w)_0 = \deg(w)_\infty$ , we conclude

$$m \geq \frac{\delta(\mu - 1) - q^{r-1}(q - 1) - k}{\delta + kq^{r-1}(q - 1)}.$$

This completes the proof. □

**Remark 3.4.** *The lower bound given in Theorem 3.3 is an improved lower bound on  $L^{(k)}(\mathbf{s}_n)$ , compared to the lower bound (5) in Theorem 2.5 when we look for the sequences in the same finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , if  $q \geq 5$ .*

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referees for the useful comments that have improved the presentation of this work. The first author thanks Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais - FAPEMIG. The second author thanks Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico-CNPq, Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro - FAPERJ, and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior-CAPES for the partial support of this research. The third author thanks CNPq and FAPEMIG.

## References

- [1] A. S. Castellanos and G. Tizziotti, *On Weierstrass semigroup at  $m$  points on curves of the form  $f(y) = g(x)$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 222, 1803–1809 (2018).
- [2] A. Garcia, *On Goppa codes and Artin-Schreier extensions*, Comm. Algebra, 20, 3683–3689 (1992).
- [3] O. Geil, F. Ozbudak and D. Ruano, *Constructing sequences with high nonlinear complexity using the Weierstrass semigroup of a pair of distinct points of a Hermitian curve*. Semigroup Forum, 98, 543–555 (2019).
- [4] C. J. A. Jansen, *Investigations on nonlinear streamcipher systems: Construction and evaluation methods*, Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Elect. Eng., TU Delft, Delft, The Netherlands, 1989.
- [5] C. J. A. Jansen, *The maximum order complexity of sequence ensembles*, in Advances in Cryptology, EUROCRYPT (Lecture Notes in Computer Science), 547, D. W. Davies, Ed. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 153–159 (1991).
- [6] S. Kondo, T. Katagiri and T. Ogihara *Automorphism groups of one-point codes from the curves  $y^q + y = x^{q^r+1}$* , IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 47, 2573–2579 (2001).
- [7] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, (1997).

- [8] Y. Luo, C. Xing and L. You, *Construction of sequences with high nonlinear complexity from function fields*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 63(12), 7646–7650 (2017).
- [9] W. Meidl and A. Winterhof, *Linear complexity of sequences and multisequences*. In: G.L. Mullen, D. Panario (eds.) Handbook of Finite Fields, 324–336. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2013).
- [10] H. Niederreiter and C. Xing, *Sequences with High Nonlinear Complexity*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 60(10), 6696–6701 (2014).
- [11] R.A. Rueppel, *Stream ciphers*, Contemporary Cryptology: The Science of Information Theory Integrity, G.J. Simmons, Ed. Piscataway, NJ, USA: IEEE Press, 65–134 (1992).
- [12] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic function fields and codes*, second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 254. Springer, Berlin, xiv+355 pp. ISBN: 978-3-540-76877-7 (2009).
- [13] H. Stichtenoth, *”Uber die automorphismengruppe eines algebraischen funktionenkörpers von primzahlcharakteristik I, II*. Arch. Math., 24, 524–544 (1973).
- [14] C. Xing, P. Kumar and C. Ding, *Low-correlation, large linear span sequences from function fields*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 49(6), 1439–1446 (2003).
- [15] C. Xing and K.Y. Lam, *Sequences with almost perfect linear complexity profiles and curves over finite fields*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 45(4), 1267–1270 (1999).