

ON AN INVERSE ROBIN SPECTRAL PROBLEM

MATTEO SANTACESARIA AND TOSHIAKI YACHIMURA

ABSTRACT. We consider the problem of the recovery of a Robin coefficient on a part $\gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ of the boundary of a bounded domain Ω from the principal eigenvalue and the boundary values of the normal derivative of the principal eigenfunction of the Laplace operator with Dirichlet boundary condition on $\partial\Omega \setminus \gamma$. We prove uniqueness, as well as local Lipschitz stability of the inverse problem. Moreover, we present an iterative reconstruction algorithm with numerical computations in two dimensions showing the accuracy of the method.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be a bounded connected domain with boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2 , and γ, Γ_D be disjoint nonempty closed subsets of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ such that $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_D \cup \gamma$. Let $h \in C^0(\gamma)$ and $h > 0$. In this paper, we consider the following Robin eigenvalue problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ hu + \partial_\nu u = 0 & \text{on } \gamma, \end{cases}$$

where ν is the outward unit normal vector of $\partial\Omega$. In what follows, we only consider the principal eigenvalue and eigenfunction (see [14] for the well-posedness of problem (1.1)). Moreover, we assume that the principal eigenfunction is positive and it is normalized by

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(h)|^2 dx = 1.$$

Our aim of this paper is to study an inverse problem for the Robin eigenvalue problem (1.1). We consider the following inverse problem:

Inverse problem 1. *Recover the unknown Robin coefficient h defined in the inaccessible part γ of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ from the principal eigenvalue $\lambda(h)$ and the Neumann data $\partial_\nu u(h)|_{\Gamma_D}$ on the accessible part Γ_D .*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35R30, 58J50, 65F10, 65N12.

Key words and phrases. Inverse Robin problem, inverse spectral problem, uniqueness, iterative reconstruction, local Lipschitz stability.

M.S. is member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) and he is partially supported by a INdAM – GNAMPA Project 2019. M.S. carried out part of the work at the Machine Learning Genoa (MaLGA) center, Università di Genova (IT). T. Y. was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows No.19J12344.

The inverse problem 1 is closely related to the coating problem and reinforcement problem [7, 16, 17, 26, 6, 29]. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be a bounded domain with smooth and connected boundary Γ . For sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, put

$$\Sigma_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x = \xi + tp(\xi)\nu_\Gamma(\xi) \text{ for } \xi \in \Gamma, 0 < t < \varepsilon\}, \quad D_\varepsilon = D \cup \Sigma_\varepsilon \cup \Gamma,$$

where p is a given positive smooth function on Γ and ν_Γ is the outward unit normal vector to Γ . Let us consider the following two-phase eigenvalue problem on D_ε :

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\sigma_\varepsilon \nabla \Phi) = \Lambda \Phi & \text{in } D_\varepsilon, \\ \Phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial D_\varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma_\varepsilon = \sigma_\varepsilon(x)$ ($x \in D_\varepsilon$) is a piecewise constant function given by

$$\sigma_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in D, \\ \varepsilon, & x \in \overline{\Sigma}_\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Then, Friedman [16] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Friedman [16]). *Let $\Lambda_1(\varepsilon)$ be the principal eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem (1.2). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1(\varepsilon) &= \mu_1 + o(1) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \\ \Phi_\varepsilon &\rightarrow u_1 \text{ weakly in } H^2(D), \end{aligned}$$

where μ_1 is the principal eigenvalue and u_1 is the principal eigenfunction of the following Robin eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \mu u & \text{in } D, \\ u + p \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_\Gamma} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

From the point of view of the thin coating problem, the Robin coefficient h is equal to $1/p$. That is, the Robin coefficient h represents the thickness of the coating. The inverse problem 1 can be interpreted as the question of whether the thickness can be determined when the principal eigenvalue and the Neumann data on the accessible part are given.

We remark that the setting of the inverse problem is also similar to the detection problem of internal corrosion. Let us consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ \partial_\nu u = \phi & \text{on } \Gamma_N, \\ hu + \partial_\nu u = 0 & \text{on } \gamma, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma, \Gamma_D, \Gamma_N$ are disjoint open subsets of $\partial\Omega$ such that $\bar{\gamma} \cup \bar{\Gamma}_D \cup \Gamma_N = \partial\Omega$ and $\phi \not\equiv 0$. The detection problem of internal corrosion is to recover the unknown Robin coefficient h defined in the inaccessible part γ of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ from the Dirichlet data $u(h)|_{\Gamma_N}$ on the accessible part Γ_N . Physically speaking, the Neumann data ϕ is the current flux, the Robin coefficient h is the corrosion and u the electrostatic potential. There are many results for uniqueness, stability, and reconstruction algorithm for this inverse problem. For the details about the inverse problem, see [20, 11, 2, 12, 10, 13, 9, 22, 27, 5, 19, 28] and the references therein.

To our knowledge, there are few results concerning the Robin inverse eigenvalue problem 1. The papers [4, 3] deal with a Robin inverse eigenvalue problem when the support of the Robin coefficient is sufficiently small and gives a non-iterative algorithm of MUSIC (multiple signal classification) types for detecting the Robin coefficient from the measurements of an eigenvalue and a Neumann data of the accessible part of the boundary.

The purpose of this paper is twofold. First, we study uniqueness and local stability for the inverse problem 1. Then, we propose a reconstruction algorithm based on a Neumann tracking type functional and show its effectiveness with numerical simulations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the uniqueness of the inverse problem. Also, we give some estimates for the principal eigenfunction and prove the Fréchet differentiability of the eigenfunction with respect to the Robin coefficient. Moreover, we obtain a local Lipschitz stability result by the result of the Fréchet differentiability. In Section 3, we consider a Neumann tracking functional and give a Fréchet derivative of the functional by using the results in Section 2. In Section 4, we introduce our algorithm and show numerical reconstruction examples.

2. UNIQUENESS AND DIFFERENTIABILITY

In this section, we prove uniqueness of the inverse problem and the Fréchet differentiability of the solution $u(h)$ in (1.1) with respect to the Robin coefficient h . Furthermore, we show the local stability of the inverse problem by the Fréchet differentiability assuming $h \in C^1(\gamma)$ (see Section 2.2).

2.1. Uniqueness of the inverse eigenvalue problem.

Theorem 2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) be a bounded domain with C^2 boundary and γ , Γ_D be disjoint nonempty closed subsets of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ such that $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_D \cup \gamma$. Let $(\lambda(h_j), u_j)$ be a solution of the Robin eigenvalue problems (1.1), corresponding to the Robin coefficients h_j , with $h_j \in C^0(\gamma)$ and $h_j > 0$ for $j = 1, 2$.*

If $(\lambda(h_1), \partial_\nu u_1|_{\Gamma_D}) = (\lambda(h_2), \partial_\nu u_2|_{\Gamma_D})$, then we have $h_1 = h_2$.

Proof. From [14] we have that problem (1.1) is well-posed. Put $w := u_1 - u_2$. From the assumption $(\lambda(h_1), \partial_\nu u_1|_{\Gamma_D}) = (\lambda(h_2), \partial_\nu u_2|_{\Gamma_D})$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta w = \lambda w & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ \partial_\nu w = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ h_1 w + \partial_\nu w + (h_1 - h_2)u_2 = 0 & \text{on } \gamma. \end{cases}$$

By using Holmgren's unique continuation theorem (see [21]), we obtain $w \equiv 0$ in Ω . Hence $(h_1 - h_2)u_2 = 0$ on γ . Let us assume that there exists a point $x_0 \in \gamma$ such that $h_1(x_0) \neq h_2(x_0)$. Then by continuity of h_1 and h_2 , there exists an open subset $U \subset \gamma$ such that $h_1 \neq h_2$ in U . Thus we have $u_2 = 0$ on U . Due to the boundary condition for u_2 , we also obtain $\partial_\nu u_2 = 0$ on U . Hence,

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u_2 = \lambda u_2 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_2 = 0 & \text{on } U, \\ \partial_\nu u_2 = 0 & \text{on } U. \end{cases}$$

Applying Holmgren's theorem again, we obtain $u_2 \equiv 0$ in Ω . However, this is in contradiction with $u_2 \not\equiv 0$. Hence we have $h_1 = h_2$. \square

Remark 3. We remark that Theorem 2 holds when the given spectral data is not only the principal eigenvalue and the Neumann data of the principal eigenfunction on Γ_D , but also the k -th ($k \geq 2$) eigenvalue and the Neumann data of the corresponding eigenfunction on Γ_D .

2.2. Differentiability. Next, we consider the Fréchet differentiability of the solution $u(h)$ in (1.1) with respect to the Robin coefficient h . Let \mathcal{A} be the admissible set of Robin coefficients, defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ h \in C^1(\gamma) : h(x) > 0 \right\}.$$

Moreover, let us introduce the following space:

$$V = \{ u \in H^1(\Omega) : u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D \},$$

and its norm

$$(2.5) \quad \|u\|_V^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\gamma} h|u|^2 ds.$$

We remark that the norm (2.5) is equivalent to the usual norm on $H^1(\Omega)$, thanks to Poincaré's inequality with trace [23]. Thus we will use the norm (2.5) in what follows. Then we can obtain the following result of the Fréchet differentiability of the solution $u(h)$ in (1.1):

Theorem 4. *Let Ω, γ, Γ_D be as in Theorem 2. Then the solution $u(h) \in V$ of (1.1), with $h \in \mathcal{A}$, is Fréchet differentiable in the following sense:*

$$\frac{\|u(h + \xi) - u(h) - u'(h)[\xi]\|_V}{\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $u'(h)[\xi] \in V$ is the solution of the following sensitivity problem:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u' - \lambda(h)u' = \lambda' u(h) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u' = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ hu' + \partial_{\nu} u' = -\xi u(h) & \text{on } \gamma, \\ \int_{\Omega} u(h)u' dx = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here, λ' is given by $\lambda' = \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h)^2 ds$.

Before proving Theorem 4, we need to show some estimates. In this section, C will denote a positive constant $C > 0$ depending on u , γ and Ω .

Lemma 5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4, the following estimate holds:*

$$\|u(h + \xi) - u(h)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. By the min-max principle (see [24, §2] and [8] for instance), we obtain

$$\lambda(h + \xi) = \inf_{\Phi \in V, \Phi \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi|^2 dx + \int_{\gamma} (h + \xi)\Phi^2 ds}{\int_{\Omega} \Phi^2 dx}.$$

Let us take $\Phi = u(h)$, then, by using the following identity

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(h)|^2 dx + \int_{\gamma} hu(h)^2 ds = \lambda(h),$$

we have

(2.7)

$$\lambda(h + \xi) \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(h)|^2 dx + \int_{\gamma} hu(h)^2 ds + \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h)^2 ds \leq \lambda(h) + C\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}.$$

Let us consider the limit of $u(h + \xi)$ for $\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \rightarrow 0$. Taking $\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}$ sufficiently small, and using the upper bound (2.7) and the identity

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(h + \xi)|^2 dx + \int_{\gamma} (h + \xi)u(h + \xi)^2 ds = \lambda(h + \xi),$$

we can get the uniform boundedness of $u(h + \xi)$ in V (and so in $H^1(\Omega)$). Applying Rellich's Theorem, taking subsequences, there exist $\hat{\lambda}$ and $\hat{u} \in V$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda(h + \xi) \rightarrow \hat{\lambda}, \\ u(h + \xi) \rightarrow \hat{u} \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega), \\ u(h + \xi) \rightharpoonup \hat{u} \text{ weakly in } V. \end{cases}$$

Then from the weak form of $u(h + \xi)$, taking the limits, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \hat{u} \cdot \nabla \phi dx + \int_{\gamma} h\hat{u}\phi ds = \hat{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} \hat{u}\phi dx$$

for any $\phi \in V$. If we take $\phi = \hat{u}$ and use min-max principle, we get $\hat{\lambda} \leq \lambda(h)$. Moreover, we consider min-max principle for the principal eigenvalue $\lambda(h)$

$$\lambda(h) = \inf_{\Phi \in V, \Phi \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi|^2 dx + \int_{\gamma} h\Phi^2 ds}{\int_{\Omega} \Phi^2 dx}$$

and take $\Phi = \hat{u}$, by (2.8), we obtain $\hat{\lambda} = \lambda(h)$. Since $\lambda(h)$ is the principal eigenvalue, we have $\hat{u} = u(h)$. Therefore,

$$\|u(h + \xi) - u(h)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \rightarrow 0$. □

Lemma 5 gives us the following estimates:

Proposition 6. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4, we have the following eigenvalue estimate:*

$$(2.9) \quad \lambda(h + \xi) = \lambda(h) + \frac{\int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi)u(h) ds}{\int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi)u(h) dx}.$$

Moreover, the following estimate holds:

$$(2.10) \quad \|u(h + \xi) - u(h)\|_V = o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^{1/2}) \text{ as } \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let us put $v = u(h + \xi) - u(h)$. Then v satisfies

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta v - \lambda(h)v = (\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h)) u(h + \xi) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ hv + \partial_\nu v = -\xi u(h + \xi) & \text{on } \gamma. \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the following weak form of v :

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx + \int_{\gamma} hv \phi \, ds - \lambda(h) \int_{\Omega} v \phi \, dx \\ = (\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h)) \int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) \phi \, dx - \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) \phi \, ds \quad \forall \phi \in V, \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda(h)$ is the principal eigenvalue, due to Fredholm alternative for (2.12) (see [25, Theorem 7.44.2, p.648]), we have

$$(2.13) \quad (\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h)) \int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) u(h) \, dx = \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) u(h) \, ds.$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda(h + \xi) = \lambda(h) + \frac{\int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) u(h) \, ds}{\int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) u(h) \, dx}.$$

Note that from Lemma 5 and the normalization of the principal eigenfunction $u(h)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) u(h) \, dx - 1 \right| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) u(h) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} u(h)^2 \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \|u(h + \xi) - u(h)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we have

$$(2.14) \quad \int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) u(h) \, dx = 1 + o(1) \text{ as } \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \rightarrow 0.$$

By using (2.14), we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(h + \xi) &= \lambda(h) + \frac{\int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) u(h) \, ds}{\int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) u(h) \, dx} \\ &= \lambda(h) + \frac{\int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) u(h) \, ds}{1 + o(1)} \\ &= \lambda(h) + O(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}). \end{aligned}$$

Next we will consider the estimate for v . If we take $\phi = v$ as a test function in (2.12), we have

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx + \int_{\gamma} hv^2 \, ds - \lambda(h) \int_{\Omega} v^2 \, dx \\ = (\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h)) \int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) v \, dx - \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) v \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5 and (2.15),

$$(2.17) \quad \|v\|_V^2 \leq \lambda(h)\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \rightarrow 0.$$

In order to get further estimate of v , let us consider the Fourier expansions of v with respect to the mixed eigenvalue problem as follows:

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u_k = \lambda_k u_k & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_k = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ hu_k + \partial_\nu u_k = 0 & \text{on } \gamma, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ are eigenvalues and $\{u_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ are corresponding normalized eigenfunctions. Note that $\lambda_1 = \lambda(h)$ and $u_1 = u(h)$. Then v admits the following Fourier expansions in V (for the proof, see [25, Theorem 7.44.1, p.646] and [15, Section 6.5, Theorem 2] for instance):

$$(2.19) \quad v = \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k u_k, \quad \alpha_k = \int_{\Omega} v u_k dx.$$

By the Fourier expansions (2.19) and the orthogonality of eigenfunctions in (2.18), the left-hand side of (2.16) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \int_{\gamma} h v^2 ds - \lambda(h) \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 1} \alpha_{k_1} \alpha_{k_2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{k_1} \cdot \nabla u_{k_2} dx + \int_{\gamma} h u_{k_1} u_{k_2} ds \right) - \lambda_1 \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k^2 \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k \alpha_k^2 - \lambda_1 \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k^2 \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} (\lambda_k - \lambda_1) \alpha_k^2 = \sum_{k \geq 2} \lambda_k \alpha_k^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by using (2.9), the right-hand side of (2.16) is

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h)) \int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) v dx - \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) v ds \\ &= \frac{\int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) u(h) ds}{\int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) u(h) dx} \left(1 - \int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) u(h) dx \right) \\ & \quad - \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) (u(h + \xi) - u(h)) ds \\ &= \frac{\int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) u(h) ds}{\int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) u(h) dx} - \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi)^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.14), (2.17), and the trace theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) u(h) ds}{\int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) u(h) dx} - \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi)^2 ds \\
&= \frac{\int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) u(h) ds}{1 + o(1)} - \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi)^2 ds \\
&= \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) u(h) ds - \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi)^2 ds + o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}) \\
&= \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h + \xi) (u(h) - u(h + \xi)) ds + o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}) = o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates, we have

$$(2.20) \quad \sum_{k \geq 2} \lambda_k \alpha_k^2 = o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}).$$

Let us put $\delta = \sum_{k \geq 2} \alpha_k^2$. Then it can be estimated easily as

$$\lambda_2 \delta \leq \sum_{k \geq 2} \lambda_k \alpha_k^2 = o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}).$$

Thus we obtain $\delta = o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)})$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k^2 &= \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} (u(h + \xi) - u(h))^2 dx \\
&= 2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) u(h) dx \\
&= 2 \int_{\Omega} u(h)^2 dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi) u(h) dx \\
&= -2 \int_{\Omega} v u(h) dx = -2\alpha_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\alpha_1^2 + 2\alpha_1 + \delta = 0$. Note that α_1 is small by Lemma 5. Since δ is sufficiently small, we have

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= -1 + \sqrt{1 - \delta} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\delta + o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, by (2.20),

$$\|v\|_V^2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k \alpha_k^2 = \lambda_1 \alpha_1^2 + \sum_{k \geq 2} \lambda_k \alpha_k^2 = o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}).$$

Therefore we obtain $\|v\|_V = o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^{1/2})$, which finishes the proof. \square

Next, let us show the smallness of u' .

Proposition 7. *Let $u' \in V$ be the solution of (2.6). Then the following estimate holds:*

$$(2.22) \quad \|u'\|_V = O(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}) \text{ as } \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Existence and uniqueness for (2.6) follows from [14]. Consider the weak form of the sensitivity equation (2.6)

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u' \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx + \int_{\gamma} h u' \phi \, ds = \lambda(h) \int_{\Omega} u' \phi \, dx + \lambda' \int_{\Omega} u(h) \phi \, dx - \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h) \phi \, ds$$

for all $\phi \in V$, where $\lambda' = \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h)^2 \, ds$. Taking $\phi = u'$ and using the assumption

$\int_{\Omega} u(h) u' \, dx = 0$, we have

$$(2.23) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u'|^2 \, dx + \int_{\gamma} h (u')^2 \, ds = \lambda(h) \int_{\Omega} (u')^2 \, dx - \int_{\gamma} \xi u u' \, ds.$$

Let us consider the following Fourier expansions for u' :

$$(2.24) \quad u' = \sum_{k \geq 1} \beta_k u_k, \quad \beta_k = \int_{\Omega} u' u_k \, dx,$$

where the functions u_k were defined in (2.18). By the assumption $\int_{\Omega} u(h) u' \, dx = 0$, we obtain $\beta_1(\xi) \equiv 0$. By substituting (2.24) into (2.23), then in the same manner of the estimate for v , we obtain

$$\sum_{k \geq 2} \lambda_k(h) \beta_k^2 = \lambda_1(h) \sum_{k \geq 2} \beta_k^2 - \int_{\gamma} \xi u u' \, ds.$$

Note that by using the trace theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h) u' \, ds \right| &\leq \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \|u(h)\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \|u'\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \\ &\leq C \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \|u'\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \\ &\leq C \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \|u'\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$(\lambda_2(h) - \lambda_1(h)) \sum_{k \geq 2} \beta_k^2 \leq \sum_{k \geq 2} (\lambda_k(h) - \lambda_1(h)) \beta_k^2 \leq C \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \|u'\|_V.$$

It follows that

$$(2.25) \quad \|u'\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \|u'\|_V.$$

Furthermore, by (2.23) we have

$$(2.26) \quad \|u'\|_V^2 \leq \lambda_1(h) \|u'\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \|u'\|_V.$$

Combining (2.25) and (2.26), we can show that $\|u'\|_V = O(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)})$. \square

Now we can prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let us put $w = u(h + \xi) - u(h) - u'(h)[\xi]$. Then w satisfies

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta w - \lambda(h)w = (\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h))u(h + \xi) - \lambda' u(h) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ hw + \partial_\nu w = -\xi(u(h + \xi) - u(h)) & \text{on } \gamma. \end{cases}$$

Consider the weak form of (2.27)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx + \int_{\gamma} hw\phi \, ds - \lambda(h) \int_{\Omega} w\phi \, dx \\ &= (\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h)) \int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi)\phi \, dx - \lambda' \int_{\Omega} u(h)\phi \, dx - \int_{\gamma} \xi(u(h + \xi) - u(h))\phi \, ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi \in V$. Since $\lambda(h)$ is the principal eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem (1.1), by using Fredholm alternative in the same manner of (2.13), we have

$$(\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h)) \int_{\Omega} u(h + \xi)u(h) \, dx - \lambda' - \int_{\gamma} \xi(u(h + \xi) - u(h))u(h) \, ds = 0.$$

By the normalization of $u(h)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h) - \lambda' \\ &= (\lambda(h) - \lambda(h + \xi)) \int_{\Omega} (u(h + \xi) - u(h))u(h) \, dx + \int_{\gamma} \xi(u(h + \xi) - u(h))u(h) \, ds \\ &= (\lambda(h) - \lambda(h + \xi)) \int_{\Omega} vu(h) \, dx + \int_{\gamma} \xi vu(h) \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Proposition 6 (in particular (2.15)) and the trace theorem we obtain

$$(2.28) \quad \begin{aligned} |\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h) - \lambda'| &\leq C\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \times o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^{1/2}) + C\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \times o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^{1/2}) \\ &= o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^{3/2}). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way of the proof of Proposition 6 and Proposition 7, we take $\phi = w$ and consider the Fourier expansions as follows:

$$(2.29) \quad w = \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k u_k, \quad c_k = \int_{\Omega} w u_k \, dx.$$

Then, in the same manner of the estimates for v and u' , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 2} \lambda_k(h) c_k^2 &= (\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h) - \lambda') \int_{\Omega} u(h)v \, dx + (\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h)) \int_{\Omega} v^2 \, dx \\ &\quad - (\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h)) \int_{\Omega} v u' \, dx - \int_{\gamma} \xi v^2 \, ds + \int_{\gamma} \xi v u' \, ds \\ &\leq o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^{3/2}) \times o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^{1/2}) + O(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}) \times o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}) \\ &\quad + O(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}) \times o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^{1/2}) \times O(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}) \\ &\quad + O(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}) \times o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}) \\ &\quad + O(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}) \times o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^{1/2}) \times O(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}) \\ &= o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by (2.21),

$$c_1 = \int_{\Omega} wu(h) dx = \int_{\Omega} vu(h) dx = \alpha_1 = o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k c_k^2 = \lambda_1(h) c_1^2 + \sum_{k \geq 2} \lambda_k(h) c_k^2 = o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^2).$$

It follows that $\|w\|_V = o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)})$, which is the desired conclusion. \square

Remark 8. We remark that Theorem 4 also holds when $\|\xi\|_{C^0(\gamma)}$ is replaced by $\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}$. We need the assumption that h (also ξ) is of class $C^1(\gamma)$ in order to prove Corollary 9.

By Theorem 4 and the elliptic regularity theory for mixed boundary problem (see [25, Theorem 7.36.6, p.621]), we can prove the Fréchet differentiability for $u(h)$ in H^2 .

Corollary 9. *The solution $u(h) \in V$ of (1.1), with $h \in \mathcal{A}$, is Fréchet differentiable in the following sense:*

$$\frac{\|u(h + \xi) - u(h) - u'(h)[\xi]\|_{H^2(\Omega)}}{\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $g \in H^{1/2}(\gamma)$. Then by the standard elliptic regularity theory, there exists a unique solution $w \in H^2(\Omega)$ of the mixed boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ hw + \partial_\nu w = g & \text{on } \gamma \end{cases}$$

with H^2 -estimate

$$(2.30) \quad \|w\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\gamma)} \right),$$

where the constant C does not depend on f and g . In the estimate (2.30), if we take $f = \lambda(h)w + (\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda(h))u(h + \xi) - \lambda'(h)u(h)$ and $g = -\xi(u(h + \xi) - u(h))$, then by the estimates (2.10), (2.28), and Theorem 4, we can obtain $\|w\|_{H^2(\Omega)} = o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)})$. \square

2.3. Local Lipschitz stability. From the results of this section, it is now possible to derive a local stability (or local injectivity) result.

Theorem 10. *Let $h, \xi \in \mathcal{A}$. Then*

$$(2.31) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\|\partial_\nu u(h + \varepsilon\xi) - \partial_\nu u(h)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}}{|\varepsilon|} + \frac{|\lambda(h + \varepsilon\xi) - \lambda(h)|}{|\varepsilon|} \right) > 0.$$

Proof. For $|\varepsilon|$ sufficiently small, $h + \varepsilon\xi \in \mathcal{A}$. Then we can apply the results of the previous section, in particular Corollary 9 and (2.28), which show that condition (2.31) is equivalent to

$$(2.32) \quad \|\partial_\nu u'(h)[\xi]\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} + |\lambda'(h)[\xi]| > 0.$$

Assume by contradiction that $\|\partial_\nu u'(h)[\xi]\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} + |\lambda'(h)[\xi]| = 0$, so $\partial_\nu u'(h)[\xi] = 0$ on Γ_D and $\lambda'(h)[\xi] = 0$. By (2.6) we have that $u' = u'(h)[\xi]$ solves

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u' - \lambda(h)u' = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu u' = u' = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ \int_\Omega u(h)u' = 0, \end{cases}$$

thus by Holmgren's theorem $u'(h)[\xi] \equiv 0$ in Ω . Again thanks to (2.6) we find that

$$0 = hu' + \partial_\nu u' = -\xi u \quad \text{on } \gamma.$$

From the continuity of ξ and the fact that $\xi \not\equiv 0$ on γ there is an open subset V of γ where $u = 0$. Now the Robin boundary condition for u yields $\partial_\nu u = 0$ on V and by Holmgren's theorem again we find that $u \equiv 0$ in Ω , which is impossible since the principal eigenfunction is not identically zero. \square

This result does not yield a Lipschitz stability estimate immediately. For that we would need to impose further assumptions, for instance that h belongs to a finite-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{A} . Moreover, we also need to show that the forward map $h \mapsto (\lambda(h), \partial_\nu u|_{\Gamma_D})$ is C^1 , which follows from the min-max principle for the eigenvalue and the well-posedness of the mixed boundary value problem for the eigenfunction.

Note that, by using similar arguments as in [1], it would be possible to obtain a local Lipschitz stability (and also local uniqueness) when only a discretization of the Neumann data on the boundary is available (under the assumptions that h belong to a known finite-dimensional subspace). We also expect that a global logarithmic stability estimate holds, as in the problem of the determination of corrosion [2, 10].

3. NEUMANN TRACKING TYPE FUNCTIONAL AND ITS PROPERTIES

In this section, let us introduce a Neumann tracking type functional in order to turn the inverse problem into an optimization problem.

We consider the following least-squares functional \mathcal{F} over the admissible set \mathcal{A} defined by:

$$(3.33) \quad \mathcal{F}(h) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u(h) - g)^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} |\lambda(h) - \lambda|^2,$$

where (λ, g) are the given spectral data. Also let us consider a Tikhonov regularization functional \mathcal{F}_{reg} for the functional \mathcal{F} defined by

$$(3.34) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\text{reg}}(h) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u(h) - g)^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} |\lambda(h) - \lambda|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \int_\gamma h^2 ds,$$

where $\eta > 0$ is a regularization parameter. The choice of the L^2 regularization has been motivated by the numerical results and the quick algorithmic implementation. We leave the analysis and simulation of more advanced regularizers to future work.

By Theorem 2, we can easily show that the functional (3.33) has a unique minimizer in \mathcal{A} which is the solution of the inverse problem.

Proposition 11. *There exists a unique function $h \in \mathcal{A}$ of the functional (3.33) such that*

$$0 = \mathcal{F}(h) \leq \mathcal{F}(\psi) \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Moreover h is the solution of the inverse problem.

Proof. Let h be the solution of the inverse problem. Then we obtain $\lambda(h) = \lambda$ and $\partial_\nu u|_{\Gamma_D} = g$. Thus h is a minimum for \mathcal{F} with $\mathcal{F}(h) = 0$. On the other hand, we assume that \tilde{h} is another minimum for \mathcal{F} . Then $\lambda(\tilde{h}) = \lambda$ and $\partial_\nu u|_{\Gamma_D}(\tilde{h}) = g$. Thus by Theorem 2 we obtain $h = \tilde{h}$. \square

In order to solve the minimization problem for \mathcal{F} by using gradient methods, we compute the Fréchet derivative of the functional \mathcal{F} with respect to h . It can be easily derived by Corollary 9.

Theorem 12. *The Fréchet derivative of the functional \mathcal{F} at the point $h \in \mathcal{A}$ in the direction ξ is*

$$(3.35) \quad \mathcal{F}'(h)[\xi] = \int_\gamma \left\{ u(h)\varphi + (\lambda(h) - \lambda)u(h)^2 \right\} \xi \, ds,$$

where φ is the solution of the following problem:

$$(3.36) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda(h)\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = \partial_\nu u(h) - g & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ h\varphi + \partial_\nu\varphi = 0 & \text{on } \gamma, \\ \int_\Omega u(h)\varphi \, dx = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 13. Note that (3.36) is equivalent to the following problem:

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta - \lambda(h))\varphi' = F & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi' = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ h\varphi' + \partial_\nu\varphi' = 0 & \text{on } \gamma, \\ \int_\Omega u(h)\varphi' \, dx = 0, \end{cases}$$

for a suitable $F \in L^2(\Omega)$. Now, since $u(h)$ is the unique positive normalized eigenfunction of (1.1), the only solution of (3.37) for $F \equiv 0$ is $\varphi' \equiv 0$. Then, by Fredholm alternative, for any $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ the problem admits a unique solution φ' , and so the same holds for (3.36).

Proof. By Corollary 9 and the eigenvalue estimate (2.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}(h + \xi) - \mathcal{F}(h) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_\nu u(h + \xi) - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\lambda(h + \xi) - \lambda|^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_\nu u(h) - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\lambda(h) - \lambda|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_\nu u(h) + \partial_\nu u'(h)[\xi] + o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}) - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left| \lambda(h) + \lambda' + o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}^{3/2}) - \lambda \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_\nu u(h) - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\lambda(h) - \lambda|^2 \\
&= \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u(h) - g) \partial_\nu u'(h)[\xi] \, ds + \lambda'(\lambda(h) - \lambda) + o(\|\xi\|_{C^1(\gamma)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us focus on the first term. By the Green's second identity we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{\Omega} ((-\Delta\varphi - \lambda(h)\varphi)u' - (-\Delta u' - \lambda(h)u' - \lambda'u(h))\varphi) \, dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} (\varphi\Delta u' - u'\Delta\varphi) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By the divergence theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{\Gamma_D} \varphi \partial_\nu u' \, ds + \int_{\gamma} (\varphi \partial_\nu u' - u' \partial_\nu \varphi) \, ds \\
&= \int_{\Gamma_D} \varphi \partial_\nu u' \, ds + \int_{\gamma} (\varphi(-hu' - \xi u(h)) + u'h\varphi) \, ds \\
&= \int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u(h) - g) \partial_\nu u' \, ds - \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h) \varphi \, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma_D} (\partial_\nu u(h) - g) \partial_\nu u' \, ds = \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h) \varphi \, ds.$$

Therefore, since $\lambda' = \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h)^2 \, ds$, we have that the Fréchet derivative \mathcal{F}' of the functional \mathcal{F} is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}'(h)[\xi] &= \int_{\gamma} \xi u(h) \varphi \, ds + \lambda'(\lambda(h) - \lambda) \\
&= \int_{\gamma} \left\{ u(h)\varphi + (\lambda(h) - \lambda)u(h)^2 \right\} \xi \, ds. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

4. RECONSTRUCTION ALGORITHM AND NUMERICAL TESTS

We use a gradient descent type algorithm to solve the minimization problem for the functional \mathcal{F} . Let tol be a fixed tolerance level and $\tau_k > 0$ the step sizes at each iteration k , that can be fixed or obtained by line search. In all numerical experiments below, we keep τ_k fixed.

Algorithm 1 Reconstruction algorithm.

Inputs: spectral data (λ, g) and initial guess h_0 . Set $k = 0$ and iterate:

- 1: Compute the principal eigenfunction u_k and eigenvalue λ_k with Robin coefficient h_k , by solving Problem (1.1).
- 2: Compute the solution φ_k of the problem (3.36).
- 3: Compute the descent direction δ_k with the formula

$$(4.38) \quad \delta_k = - \left(u_k \varphi_k + (\lambda_k - \lambda) u_k^2 \right).$$

- 4: Define $h_{k+1} = h_k + \tau_k \delta_k$.
- 5: If $\|\delta_k\|_{C^1(\gamma)} > tol$, set $k = k + 1$ and repeat.

This will be used in the next numerical simulations, to show the effectiveness of the proposed reconstruction scheme. In what follows, we consider the annular region $\Omega = B(0, 2) \setminus \overline{B(0, 1)}$, with $\gamma = \partial B(0, 1)$ and $\Gamma_D = \partial B(0, 2)$. For each test, we create a mesh to generate the spectral data and a different one for the reconstruction.

The spectral data are obtained solving problem (1.1) with the target Robin coefficient by the Shift-invert method. We also add a uniform noise to the measurements. Given the noiseless boundary Neumann data $g = \partial_\nu u$ of the principal eigenfunction and its eigenvalue λ , the noisy data \tilde{g} and $\tilde{\lambda}$ are obtained by adding to g and λ a uniform noise in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(x) &= g(x) + \varepsilon(x) \|g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}, \quad x \in \gamma, \\ \tilde{\lambda} &= \lambda(1 + \varepsilon_\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon(x)$ is a uniform random real in $(-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$, and $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_\lambda > 0$ are chosen according to the noise level. The relative noise on the Neumann data is measured as:

$$\frac{\|\tilde{g} - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}}{\|g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}},$$

while the noise on the principal eigenvalue is simply ε_λ . We impose $\varepsilon_\lambda = \|\tilde{g} - g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)} / \|g\|_{L^2(\Gamma_D)}$ so that the two noise levels are comparable.

In case of noisy data, we use a regularized version of the reconstruction algorithm, based on the minimization of the Tikhonov regularized functional \mathcal{F}_{reg} (3.34). In this case, the descent direction in algorithm 1 is computed with the following formula:

$$(4.39) \quad \delta_k = - \left(u_k \varphi_k + (\lambda_k - \lambda) u_k^2 + \eta h_k \right),$$

where $\eta > 0$ is the regularization parameter, which is chosen experimentally.

All the computations are done using FreeFem++ [18].

In Figure 1 we consider the reconstruction of the Robin coefficient

$$h(x, y) = 1 + \frac{xy}{2} - \frac{x^2y}{5}$$

for $(x, y) \in \gamma = \partial B(0, 1)$, the interior part of the boundary of the annular region Ω .

The initial guess is $h \equiv 1$ on γ . We present reconstruction from noiseless data (top left), and noisy data: 0.5 % (top right), 1% (bottom left) and 2% (bottom




FIGURE 1. Reconstruction of a Robin coefficient h from spectral data for $\theta \in \gamma = \partial B(0,1)$. The blue line represents the target coefficient. The initial guess is $h \equiv 1$ in all cases. Top left: reconstruction from noiseless data. Top right: reconstruction from 0.5% noisy data. Bottom left: reconstruction from 1% noisy data. Bottom right: reconstruction from 2% noisy data.

right). The relative L^2 errors in the reconstructions are respectively 10^{-3} , $3.7 \cdot 10^{-2}$, $5.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$, $8.7 \cdot 10^{-2}$.

We can see that the algorithm performs well in case of no noise or low noise, while the quality of the reconstruction starts to deteriorate already for noise levels

of 2%. This is completely coherent with the ill-posedness of the inverse problem. Though we have provided no theoretical evidence, it is reasonable to expect that the problem is severely ill-posed, since the similar inverse problem of the recovery of a Robin coefficient from a single boundary measurement is exponentially unstable [27]. In order to mitigate the instability, a different regularizer might be used, depending on a priori knowledge about h .

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented uniqueness, local stability, and numerical reconstruction for the inverse problem of the recovery of a Robin coefficient from the Neumann data of the principal eigenfunction of the Laplacian with mixed boundary value problem together with the principal eigenvalue. To our knowledge, it is the first time that this problem has been considered, thought it has clear connections with coating and reinforcement problems.

Many questions are left open for future research, in particular extensions to less regular Robin coefficients and less regular domains. A thorough numerical study of the problem, namely regarding different regularization penalties or different reconstruction algorithms, is also left for future work.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. ALBERTI AND M. SANTACESARIA, *Infinite-dimensional inverse problems with finite measurements*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.10028, (2019).
- [2] G. ALESSANDRINI, L. D. PIERO, AND L. RONDI, *Stable determination of corrosion by a single electrostatic boundary measurement*, *Inverse problems*, 19 (2003), pp. 973–984.
- [3] H. AMMARI, H. KANG, AND E. KIM, *Detection of internal corrosion*, *ESAIM: Proc.*, 26 (2009), pp. 207–216.
- [4] H. AMMARI, H. KANG, E. KIM, H. LEE, AND K. LOUATI, *Vibration analysis for detecting internal corrosion*, *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 122 (2009), pp. 85–104.
- [5] H. AMMARI, H. KANG, E. KIM, K. LOUATI, AND M. VOGELIUS, *A MUSIC-type algorithm for detecting internal corrosion from electrostatic boundary measurements*, *Numerische Mathematik*, 108 (2008), pp. 501–528.
- [6] B. ASLANYÜREK, H. HADDAR, AND H. ŞAHINTÜRK, *Generalized impedance boundary conditions for thin dielectric coatings with variable thickness*, *Wave Motion*, 48 (2011), pp. 681–700.
- [7] H. BREZIS, L. CAFFARELLI, AND A. FRIEDMAN, *Reinforcement problems for elliptic equations and variational inequalities*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 123 (1980), pp. 219–246.
- [8] D. BUCUR AND A. GIACOMINI, *Minimization of the k -th eigenvalue of the robin-laplacian*, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 277 (2019), pp. 643 – 687.
- [9] S. CHAABANE, C. ELHECHMI, AND M. JAOUA, *A stable recovery method for the Robin inverse problem*, *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 66 (2004), pp. 367 – 383. *Inverse Obstacle Problems*.
- [10] S. CHAABANE, I. FELLAH, M. JAOUA, AND J. LEBLOND, *Logarithmic stability estimates for a Robin coefficient in two-dimensional Laplace inverse problems*, *Inverse Problems*, 20 (2003), pp. 47–59.
- [11] S. CHAABANE AND M. JAOUA, *Identification of Robin coefficients by the means of boundary measurements*, *Inverse Problems*, 15 (1999), pp. 1425–1438.
- [12] S. CHAABANE, M. JAOUA, AND J. LEBLOND, *Parameter identification for Laplace equation and approximation in Hardy classes*, *Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems*, 11 (2003).
- [13] M. CHOULLI, *An inverse problem in corrosion detection: Stability estimates*, *Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems*, 12 (2004), pp. 349–367.
- [14] D. DANERS, *Robin boundary value problems on arbitrary domains*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 352 (2000), pp. 4207–4236.
- [15] L. C. EVANS, *Partial Differential Equations: Second Edition*, American Mathematical Society, 2010.

- [16] A. FRIEDMAN, *Reinforcement of the principal eigenvalue of an elliptic operator*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 73 (1980), pp. 1–17.
- [17] G. BUTTAZZO AND R.V.KOHN, *Reinforcement by a thin layer with oscillating thickness*, Applied Mathematics and Optimization, 16 (1987), pp. 247–261.
- [18] F. HECHT, *New development in FreeFem++*, Journal of numerical mathematics, 20 (2012), pp. 251–266.
- [19] G. HU AND M. YAMAMOTO, *Hölder stability estimate of Robin coefficient in corrosion detection with a single boundary measurement*, Inverse Problems, 31 (2015), p. 115009.
- [20] G. INGLESE, *An inverse problem in corrosion detection*, Inverse Problems, 13 (1997), pp. 977–994.
- [21] V. ISAKOV, *Inverse problems for partial differential equations. 3rd edition.*, vol. 127, Cham: Springer, 3rd edition ed., 2017.
- [22] B. JIN, *Conjugate gradient method for the Robin inverse problem associated with the Laplace equation*, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 71 (2007), pp. 433–453.
- [23] N. KUZNETSOV AND A. NAZAROV, *Sharp constants in the Poincaré, Steklov and related inequalities (a survey)*, Mathematika, 61 (2015), pp. 328–344.
- [24] V. LOTOREICHIK AND J. ROHLER, *Eigenvalue inequalities for the laplacian with mixed boundary conditions*, Journal of Differential Equations, 263 (2017), pp. 491 – 508.
- [25] D. MEDKOVÁ, *The Laplace Equation*, Springer, 2018.
- [26] S. ROSENCRANS AND X. WANG, *Suppression of the Dirichlet eigenvalues of a coated body*, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 66 (2006), pp. 1895–1916.
- [27] E. SINCICH, *Lipschitz stability for the inverse robin problem*, Inverse Problems, 23 (2007), p. 1311.
- [28] Y. XU AND J. ZOU, *Analysis of an adaptive finite element method for recovering the Robin coefficient*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 53 (2015), pp. 622–644.
- [29] T. YACHIMURA, *Asymptotic behavior for the principal eigenvalue of a reinforcement problem*, Applicable Analysis, 98 (2019), pp. 1946–1958.

MALGA CENTER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GENOA, VIA DODECANESO 35, 16146 GENOVA, ITALY.

E-mail address: `matteo.santacesaria@unige.it`

RESEARCH CENTER FOR PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCES, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI 980-8579, JAPAN.

E-mail address: `yachimura@ims.is.tohoku.ac.jp`