# An Elementary Proof of the Twin Prime Conjecture

By Berndt Gensel at Spittal an der Drau

Release: March 24, 2020

**Abstract.** It's well known that every prime number  $p \geq 5$  has the form 6k-1 or 6k+1. We'll call k the **generator** of p. Twin primes are distinghuished due to a **common generator** for each pair. Therefore it makes sense to search for the Twin Primes on the level of their generators. This paper present a new approach to prove the **Twin Prime Conjecture** by a sieve method to extract all Twin Primes on the level of the Twin Prime Generators. We define the  $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers x as numbers for which holds that 6x-1 and 6x+1 are coprime to the prime  $p_n$ . By dint of the average distance  $\bar{\delta}(p_n)$  between the  $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers we can prove the **Twin Prime Conjecture** indirectly.

**Keywords.** twin primes, primes, number theory - MSC2010: 11A41

## **Notations**

We'll use the following notations:

 $\mathbb{N}$  the set of the positive integers,  $\mathbb{P}^* \text{ primes} \geq 5,$   $\mathbb{P}_- = \{ p \in \mathbb{P}^* \mid p \equiv -1 \pmod{6} \} \quad \text{and } \mathbb{E}_- = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid 6n-1 \in \mathbb{P}_- \}$   $\mathbb{P}_+ = \{ p \in \mathbb{P}^* \mid p \equiv +1 \pmod{6} \} \quad \text{and } \mathbb{E}_+ = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid 6n+1 \in \mathbb{P}_+ \}$   $\text{and } \mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_- \cap \mathbb{E}_+.$ 

#### 1. Introduction

The question on the infinity of the twin primes keeps busy many mathematicians for a long time. 1919 V. Brun [3] had proved that the series of the inverted twin primes converges while he had tried to prove the Twin Prime Conjecture. Several authors worked on bounds for

the length of prime gaps (see f.i. [4–6]). 2014 Y. Zhang [7] obtained a great attention with his proof that there are infinitely many consecutive primes with a distance of 70,000,000 at most. With the project "PolyMath8" this bound could be lessened down to 246 respectively to 12 assuming the validity of the Elliott–Halberstam Conjecture [8].

We present in this paper another approach as in the most works on this topic. We tranfer the looking for twin primes to the level of their generators because each twin prime has a common generator.

#### 2. Twin Prime Generators

It's well known that every prime number  $p \geq 5$  has the form 6k-1 or 6k+1. We'll call k the **generator** of p. Twin primes are distinghuished due to a common generator for each pair. Therefore it makes sense to search for the twin primes on the level of their generators. Let be

$$\kappa(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p+1}{6} & \text{for } p \in \mathbb{P}_{-} \\ \frac{p-1}{6} & \text{for } p \in \mathbb{P}_{+} \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

an over  $\mathbb{P}^*$  defined function, the **generator** of the pair  $(6\kappa(p) - 1, 6\kappa(p) + 1)$ .

A number x is a member of  $\mathbb{E}$  if 6x-1 as well as 6x+1 are primes. This is true if the following statement holds.

**Theorem 1.** A number x is a member of  $\mathbb{E}$  if and only if there is **no**  $p \in \mathbb{P}^*$  with p < 6x - 1 where one of the following congruences holds:

$$x \equiv -\kappa(p) \pmod{p} \tag{2.2}$$

$$x \equiv +\kappa(p) \pmod{p} \tag{2.3}$$

Proof.

A.  $p \in \mathbb{P}_{-}$ , therefore is  $p = 6\kappa(p) - 1$ :

If (2.2) is true then there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$x = -\kappa(p) + n \cdot (6\kappa(p) - 1)$$

$$6x = -6\kappa(p) + 6n \cdot (6\kappa(p) - 1)$$

$$6x + 1 = -6\kappa(p) + 6n \cdot (6\kappa(p) - 1) + 1$$

$$= (6n - 1)(6\kappa(p) - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow 6x + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow x \notin \mathbb{E}$$

For (2.3) the proof will be done with 6x - 1:

$$6x - 1 = 6\kappa(p) + 6n \cdot (6\kappa(p) - 1) - 1$$
$$= (6n + 1)(6\kappa(p) - 1)$$
$$\Rightarrow 6x - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow x \notin \mathbb{E}$$

B.  $p \in \mathbb{P}_+$ , therefore is  $p = 6\kappa(p) + 1$ : We go the same way with (2.2) and 6x - 1 as well as (2.3) and 6x + 1:

$$6x - 1 = (6n - 1)(6\kappa(p) + 1) \Rightarrow 6x - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
  
$$6x + 1 = (6n + 1)(6\kappa(p) + 1) \Rightarrow 6x + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

With these it's shown that  $x \notin \mathbb{E}$  if the congruences (2.2) or (2.3) are valid. They cannot be true both because they exclude each other.

If on the other hand  $x \notin \mathbb{E}$ , then is 6x - 1 or 6x + 1 no prime. Let be  $6x - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{P}_-$ . Then we have

$$6x - 1 \equiv p(\operatorname{mod} p)$$

$$\equiv (6\kappa(p) - 1)(\operatorname{mod} p)$$

$$6x \equiv 6\kappa(p)(\operatorname{mod} p)$$

$$x \equiv \kappa(p)(\operatorname{mod} p).$$

For  $p \in \mathbb{P}_+$  we have

$$6x - 1 \equiv -p(\operatorname{mod} p)$$

$$\equiv -(6\kappa(p) + 1)(\operatorname{mod} p)$$

$$6x \equiv -6\kappa(p)(\operatorname{mod} p)$$

$$x \equiv -\kappa(p)(\operatorname{mod} p).$$

The other both cases we can handle in the same way. Therefore either (2.2) or (2.3) is valid if  $x \notin \mathbb{E}$ .

If we consider that the least proper divisor of a number 6x - 1 or 6x + 1 is less or equal to  $\sqrt{6x + 1}$  than p in the congruences (2.2) and (2.3) can be further limited by

$$\hat{p}(x) = \max(p \in \mathbb{P}^* \mid p \le \sqrt{6x + 1}).$$

Henceforth we will use the letter p for a general prime number and  $p_n$  if we describe an element of a sequence of primes. With  $p_n$  as the n-the prime number  $^{(1)}$  and  $\pi(z)$  as the number of primes  $\leq z$  we have with

$$x \equiv -\kappa(p_n) (\operatorname{mod} p_n)$$
or
$$x \equiv +\kappa(p_n) (\operatorname{mod} p_n)$$
(2.4)

for  $3 \le n \le \pi\left(\hat{p}(x)\right)$  a proofable system of criteria to exclude a number  $x \ge 4$  as not being a member of  $\mathbb{E}$ .

<sup>1)</sup> It is  $p_1 = 2$ 

# 3. The Twin Sieve

The congruences in (2.4) can be combined in the following way:

$$x^{2} \equiv \kappa(p_{n})^{2} \pmod{p_{n}} \text{ for } 3 \le n \le \pi\left(\hat{p}(x)\right) \tag{3.1}$$

because if  $x \equiv \pm \kappa(p_n) \pmod{p_n}$  then there is a number t with  $x = \pm \kappa(p_n) + tp_n$ . Squared this produces  $x^2 = \kappa(p_n)^2 + p_n(t^2p_n \pm 2t\kappa(p_n))$  and we get  $x^2 \equiv \kappa(p_n)^2 \pmod{p_n}$ . This results in a system of sieves with sieve functions  $\psi(x, p_n)$  for which hold for  $3 \le n \le \pi(\hat{p}(x))$ 

$$x^{2} - \kappa(p_{n})^{2} \equiv \psi(x, p_{n}) \pmod{p_{n}} \text{ respectively}$$
  
$$\psi(x, p_{n}) = (x^{2} - \kappa(p_{n})^{2}) \operatorname{Mod} p_{n}. \tag{3.2}$$

Obviously  $\psi(x,p)$  is a periodical function in x with a period length of p. We'll call the sieve represented by  $\psi(x,p_n)$  as  $\mathbf{S}_n$ . For the system of the sieves  $\mathbf{S}_3 \times \ldots \times \mathbf{S}_n$  we'll build the aggregate sieve functions

$$\Psi(x, p_n) = \prod_{i=3}^{n} \frac{\psi(x, p_i)}{p_i}$$
and
$$\hat{\Psi}(x) = \Psi(x, \hat{p}(x)).$$
(3.3)

Because the value set of  $\psi(x,p)$  consists of positive integers between 0 and p-1,  $\Psi(x,p)$  and  $\hat{\Psi}(x)$  have rational values between 0 and < 1.

A number x will be "sieved" by  $S_n$  if and only if  $\psi(x, p_n) = 0$ . With (3.3) in this case also is  $\Psi(x, p_n) = 0$ . In contrast to the sieve of ERATOSTHENES in our sieve the exclusion of a number x will be not controlled by  $x \operatorname{Mod} p = 0$ , but by  $(x^2 - \kappa(p)^2) \operatorname{Mod} p = 0$ .

Let be

$$O_n = \min(x \in \mathbb{N} \mid \hat{p}(x) = p_n). \tag{3.4}$$

For  $x \geq O_n$  "works" the sieve  $S_n$ , i.e.  $O_n$  is the **origin** of the sieve  $S_n$ . Every sieve has up from  $O_n$  in every  $\psi$ -period just  $p_n - 2$  positions with  $\psi(x, p_n) \neq 0$  and two positions with  $\psi(x, p_n) = 0$ , once if (2.2) and on the other hand if (2.3) is valid. We speak about a- and b-bars of the sieve  $S_n$ . From (2.2) and (2.3) it is easy to see that the distance between an a- and a b-bar is  $2\kappa(p_n)$ .

It is  $p_n \le \hat{p}(x) \le \sqrt{6x+1}$  and therefore  $p_n^2 \le 6x+1$ . Then

$$O_n = \frac{p_n^2 - 1}{6} \tag{3.5}$$

is the least number which meets this relation. It is easy to prove that for every prime p holds that  $p^2 - 1$  is an integer divisible by 6.

**Theorem 2.** Every sieve  $S_n$  with  $n \ge 3$  starts at its origin  $O_n$  with a sieve bar and we have  $\psi(O_n, p_n) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We substitute  $p_n$  by  $6\kappa(p_n) \pm 1$ . With this and (3.5) holds

$$O_n = \frac{(6\kappa(p_n) \pm 1)^2 - 1}{6}$$

$$= \frac{6\kappa(p_n) (6\kappa(p_n) \pm 2)}{6}$$

$$= \kappa(p_n) (6\kappa(p_n) \pm 1) \pm \kappa(p_n)$$

$$= \kappa(p_n) \cdot p_n \pm \kappa(p_n)$$

$$\equiv \pm \kappa(p_n) (\text{mod } p_n) \to \psi(O_n, p_n) = 0.$$

We see that  $S_n$  starts for  $p_n \in \mathbb{P}_-$  with an a-bar (2.2) and in the other case with a b-bar(2.3).

For every  $x \geq O_n$  the local position in the sieve  $S_n$  relative to the phase start 2 can be determined by the position function  $\tau(x, p_n)$ :

$$x + \kappa(p_n) \equiv \tau(x, p_n) \pmod{p_n}$$
 respectively  
 $\tau(x, p_n) = (x + \kappa(p_n)) \operatorname{Mod} p_n.$  (3.6)

Between the sieve function  $\psi(x,p)$  and the position function  $\tau(x,p)$  there is the following relationship:

$$\psi(x,p) = \tau(x,p) \cdot (x - \kappa(p)) \operatorname{Mod} p$$
  
=  $\tau(x,p) \cdot (\tau(x,p) - 2\kappa(p)) \operatorname{Mod} p.$  (3.7)

Obviously is  $\psi(x,p)=0$  if and only if  $\tau(x,p)=0$  (a-bar) or  $\tau(x,p)=2\kappa(p)$  (b-bar).

# 4. The Permeability of the Sieves $S_3 \times \ldots \times S_n$

For every x in the interval

$$A_n := [O_n, O_{n+1} - 1] \tag{4.1}$$

 $\hat{p}(x)$  persists constant on the value  $p_n$ . The length of this interval <sup>3)</sup> will be denoted as  $d_n$ . It is depending on the distance between successive primes. Since they can only be even, we have with a = 2, 4, 6, ...

$$d_{n} = \frac{(p_{n} + a)^{2} - 1}{6} - \frac{p_{n}^{2} - 1}{6}$$

$$= \frac{2ap_{n} + a^{2}}{6}$$

$$= \frac{a}{3}(p_{n} + \frac{a}{2})$$

$$\geq \frac{2}{3}(p_{n} + 1).$$
(4.2)

For  $p_n \in \mathbb{P}_-$  the phase start is  $O_n$  and else it is  $O_n - 2\kappa(p_n)$ .

Really is  $\mathcal{A}_n := [O_n, O_{n+1} - 1] \cap \mathbb{N}$ . Henceforth all intervals will be defined as sections of the number line.

On the other hand it results because of  $p_{n+1} < 2p_n$  (see [2], p. 188)

$$d_{n} = \frac{p_{n+1}^{2} - 1}{6} - \frac{p_{n}^{2} - 1}{6}$$

$$= \frac{p_{n+1}^{2} - p_{n}^{2}}{6}$$

$$= \frac{(p_{n+1} + p_{n})(p_{n+1} - p_{n})}{6}$$

$$< \frac{3p_{n} \cdot p_{n}}{6} = \frac{p_{n}^{2}}{2}.$$
(4.3)

The congruences from (3.6)

$$x + \kappa(p_i) \equiv \tau(x, p_i) \pmod{p_i}, \quad 3 \le i \le n \tag{4.4}$$

meet the requirements of the Chinese Remainder Theorem (see [1], p. 89). Therefore it is modulo  $5 \cdot 7 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n$  uniquely resolvable. With

$$p_n \sharp_5 := \prod_{i=3}^n p_i = 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n \tag{4.5}$$

it's  $\pmod{p_n\sharp_5}^{4}$  uniquely resolvable. Therefore the sieves  $S_3 \times \ldots \times S_n$  have the period length  $p_n \sharp_5$  and for the aggregate sieve function holds:

$$\Psi(x + a \cdot p_n \sharp_5, p_n) = \Psi(x, p_n) \mid a \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Definition 4.1.** A positive integer x will be called an " $\omega_p$ -number" if both 6x-1 and 6x + 1 are coprime <sup>5)</sup> to p. In this case is  $\Psi(x, p) > 0$ .

Let be

$$\mathcal{P}_n := [O_n, O_n + p_n \sharp_5 - 1]$$

the interval of the period of the sieves  $S_3 \times \ldots \times S_n$ . We'll denote it henceforth as **period section**. Evidently is  $A_n \subset P_n$  for all  $n \geq 3$ .

The values of the function  $\tau(x,p)$  are the numbers  $0,1,\ldots,p-1$ . Two of them result in the excluding of x and p-2 don't. Therefore by working of the sieves  $S_3 \times \ldots \times S_n$  we have

$$\varphi(p_n) = \prod_{i=3}^n (p_i - 2) \tag{4.6}$$

 $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . If a lot of them are in  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , they are members of  $\mathbb{E}$  because the sieves  $S_3 \times \ldots \times S_n$  here are working only. The relation between (4.6) and the period length of (4.4) results in

$$\eta(p_n) = \frac{\varphi(p_n)}{p_n \sharp_5} = \prod_{i=3}^n \frac{p_i - 2}{p_i},\tag{4.7}$$

<sup>4)</sup> It is  $p_n\sharp_5 = \frac{p_n\sharp}{6}$ , with the primorial  $p_n\sharp$ .
5) Then is  $\gcd(36x^2 - 1, p\sharp_5) = 1$ .

as a measure of the mean "permeability" of working of the sieves  $S_3 \times ... \times S_n$  or as the density of the  $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . Obviously  $\eta(p)$  is a strong monotonously decreasing function. Its inversion

$$\bar{\delta}(p) = \frac{1}{\eta(p)} \tag{4.8}$$

discribes the average distance between the  $\omega_p$ -numbers in their period section.

**Theorem 3.** The density of the  $\omega_p$ -numbers in their period section is lower bounded by

$$\eta(p) > \frac{3}{p} \quad \text{for } p \in \mathbb{P}^*.$$

*Proof.* Let be  $\mathbb{Q}_p = \{q \in \mathbb{P}^* \mid q \leq p\}$  and  $\mathbb{U}_p = \{u \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \mid 5 \leq u \leq p\}$ . Because all primes >2 are odd numbers it holds  $\mathbb{Q}_p\subset\mathbb{U}_p$  for  $p>7^{6}$ . All factors of  $\eta(p)$  are less than 1. It results

$$\eta(p) > \prod_{u \in \mathbb{U}_p}^p \frac{u-2}{u} = \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{5}{7} \cdot \frac{7}{9} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{p-4}{p-2} \cdot \frac{p-2}{p} = \frac{3}{p}.$$

By inversion of this relationship, we obtain for the average distance

$$\bar{\delta}(p) < \frac{p}{3}.\tag{4.9}$$

Under consideration of (4.2) we obtain furthermore <sup>7)</sup>

$$2\bar{\delta}(p_n) < \frac{2p_n}{3} < \frac{2}{3}(p_n + 1) \le d_n \to \bar{\delta}(p_n) < \frac{d_n}{2}.$$
 (4.10)

This means that the avarage distance between  $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers remains ever less than the half of the length of  $A_n$ , the interval where  $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers are twin prime generators.

# 5. The Sieve Process on Average

The intervals  $A_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$  defined by (4.1) cover the positive integers  $\geq 4$  gapless and densely. It is

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3\} \cup \bigcup_{n=3}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n \text{ and } \bigcap_{n=3}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n = \emptyset.$$

They are the beginnings of the period sections  $\mathcal{P}_n$  of the  $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers. Hereafter let's say **A-sections** to the intervals  $A_n$ . Every  $\omega_{p_n}$ -number which lies in an A-section is a twin prime generator (see above). In contrast to the A-sections the period sections  $\mathcal{P}_n$  overlap each other very densely. So the period section  $\mathcal{P}_9$  reachs over 1739 A-sections up to the beginning of the period section  $\mathcal{P}_{1748}$  and the next  $\mathcal{P}_{10}$  over 7863 A-sections up to the beginning of  $\mathcal{P}_{7873}$ .

For  $p \le 7$  is  $\mathbb{Q}_p = \mathbb{U}_p$ .

We can even prove that  $\bar{\delta}(p)^2 < p$  and  $\bar{\delta}(p_n)^2 < d_n$  for  $p_n > 200(n > 45)$ .

**Theorem 4.** Each origin  $O_n$  cannot be located at the beginning  $O_m + a \cdot p_m \sharp_5 \mid a \in \mathbb{N}$  of any period of the sieves  $S_3 \times \ldots \times S_m$  for m < n. Therefore it holds for m < n

$$O_n \not\equiv O_m \pmod{p_m \sharp_5}$$
.

Proof. The equation

$$\frac{p_m^2 - 1}{6} + a \cdot p_m \sharp_5 = \frac{p_n^2 - 1}{6}$$
 and thus  $p_m^2 + a \cdot p_m \sharp = p_n^2$ 

is for no primes  $p_m < p_n$  solvable, because of  $gcd(p_m, p_n) = 1$ .

Vice versa holds that every period section  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  starts always inside of the previous period section  $\mathcal{P}_n$  nearby to its origin because (see (4.3) also)

$$O_{n+1} = O_n + d_n$$
 and 
$$d_n < \frac{p_n^2}{2} \ll \frac{p_n \sharp_5}{2}.$$

Let be

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \mathcal{P}_n^k &:= & [O_n + k \cdot p_n \sharp_5, O_n + (k+1) \cdot p_n \sharp_5 - 1] \text{ and } \\ \mathcal{A}_n^+ &:= & [O_n + p_{n+1} \sharp_5, O_{n+1} - 1 + p_{n+1} \sharp_5] \,. \end{array}$$

With these we can show the recursive structure of the period sections

$$\mathcal{P}_{n+1} = \mathcal{P}_n \setminus \mathcal{A}_n \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{p_{n+1}-1} \mathcal{P}_n^k\right) \cup \mathcal{A}_n^+. \tag{5.1}$$

We can clearly see that the period section  $\mathcal{P}_n$  overlaps  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  up to the end of  $\mathcal{P}_n \setminus \mathcal{A}_n$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  has much space for A–sections  $\mathcal{A}_t$  with  $t \geq n+1$ .

The one consequence of this dense overlapping of the period sections is that a plurality of the  $\omega_{p_m}$ -gaps from the period section  $\mathcal{P}_m$  persist constant as also  $\omega_{p_n}$ -gaps for n>m but in a shifted position relative to their origin  $O_n$  (see Theorem 4 and (5.1)). On the other hand this dense overlapping guarantees that extreme anomalies of the distribution of the  $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers cannot occur.

For the quantity of the  $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is corresponding with (4.6)

$$\varphi(p_n) = \varphi(p_{n-1}) \cdot (p_n - 2).$$

In  $\mathcal{P}_n$  the  $\varphi(p_n)$   $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers are spread  $^{8)}$  over  $p_n\sharp_5$  positions. According to (5.1) we have  $p_{n+1}\cdot \varphi(p_n)$   $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers in  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ . In comparison between them and the  $\omega_{p_{n+1}}$ -numbers resulting from the working of the sieve  $S_{n+1}$  we see

$$p_{n+1} \cdot \varphi(p_n) - \varphi(p_{n+1}) = p_{n+1} \cdot \varphi(p_n) - (p_{n+1} - 2) \cdot \varphi(p_n) = 2\varphi(p_n). \tag{5.2}$$

It is easy to prove that the  $\omega_p$ -numbers in their period section are symmetrically distributed around  $\frac{p\sharp_5}{2}$  and  $p\sharp_5$ . Nevertheless the distribution is non-uniform.

We loose by the working of  $S_{n+1}$  in the period section  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  just  $2\varphi(p_n)$  potential generators of twin primes. In other words, the sieve  $S_{n+1}$  has  $2\varphi(p_n)$  "beating bars" in  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ . At these positions x holds

$$\Psi(x, p_n) > 0 \text{ and } \psi(x, p_{n+1}) = 0.$$
 (5.3)

Only the **beating bars** let grow the gaps by exclusion of the  $\omega_{p_n}$ -number between **two**  $\omega_{p_n}$ -gaps to **one**  $\omega_{p_{n+1}}$ -gap. By the working of the sieve  $S_{n+1}$  we obtain the following sieve balance "on average":

The distances between the  $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers persist unchanged at  $\bar{\delta}(p_n)$  on average except of those  $2\varphi(p_n)$   $\omega_{p_n}$ -numbers which are met by the beating bars of the sieve  $S_{n+1}$ . Thereby a distance D occurs between the adjacent  $\omega_{p_{n+1}}$ -numbers on average:

$$\begin{array}{lll} p_{n+1}\sharp_5 &=& \mathrm{changed} + \mathrm{unchanged} \\ &=& 2\varphi(p_n)\cdot D + (\varphi(p_{n+1}) - 2\varphi(p_n))\cdot \bar{\delta}(p_n) \\ &=& 2\varphi(p_n)\cdot D + (\varphi(p_n)(p_{n+1}-2) - 2\varphi(p_n))\cdot \frac{p_n\sharp_5}{\varphi(p_n)} \\ &=& 2\varphi(p_n)\cdot D + p_{n+1}\sharp_5 - 4p_n\sharp_5 \\ &=& \mathrm{and\ therefore} \\ 0 &=& 2\varphi(p_n)\cdot D - 4p_n\sharp_5 \ \mathrm{and\ hence} \\ D &=& \frac{2p_n\sharp_5}{\varphi(p_n)} = 2\bar{\delta}(p_n). \end{array}$$

Therefore even the gaps between the  $\omega_{p_{n+1}}$ -numbers ( $\omega_{p_{n+1}}$ -gaps) which result from the beating bars persist less than  $d_{n+1}$  on average because

$$D = 2\bar{\delta}(p_n) < \frac{2p_n}{3} < \frac{2p_{n+1}}{3} < \frac{2}{3}(p_{n+1} + 1) \le d_{n+1}. \tag{5.4}$$

### 6. Proof of the Twin Prime Conjecture

*Proof.* The proof will be done indirectly. We assume that there is only a finite number of twin primes and therefore there is only a finite number of twin prime generators. Let be  $y_o$  the greatest one. It lies in the A-section  $\mathcal{A}_{n_o}$  with  $n_o=\pi\left(\hat{p}(y_o)\right)$ , the beginning of the period section  $\mathcal{P}_{n_o}$ . In the subsequent A-sections  $\mathcal{A}_t$  with  $t>n_o$  consequently there cannot be any twin prime generators and therefore no  $\omega_{p_t}$ -numbers. But then we have  $\omega_{p_t}$ -gaps with lengths  $>d_t$  in **all** (infinitely many) period sections  $\mathcal{P}_t$  for  $t>n_o$ .

### Because

- all period sections  $\mathcal{P}_t$  are very densely overlapped and therefore extreme anomalies of the distribution of the  $\omega_{p_t}$ -numbers cannot occur,
- the average distances between the  $\omega_{p_t}$ -numbers are less than  $\frac{d_t}{2}$ ,
- and even the  $\omega_{p_t}$ -gaps which are generated by beating bars of the sieves  $S_t$  are less than  $d_t$  on average,

therefore it is not possible to have for all  $t > n_o$  only period sections  $\mathcal{P}_t$  with  $\omega_{p_t}$ -gaps at their beginnings which are all **greater** than  $d_t$ .

Therefore the proof assumtion cannot be valid and thus the Twin Prime Conjecture must be true.

References

- [1] Bundschuh, P.: Einführung in die Zahlentheorie, Springer, 2008.
- [2] Ribenboim, P.: Die Welt der Primzahlen, Springer, 2011.
- [3] Brun, V.: La série  $\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{13} + \dots$  où les dénominateurs sont "nombres premiers jumeaux" est convergente où finie. Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 43 (1919), 100-104 and 124-128.
- [4] Bombieri, E., Davenport, H.: Small differences between prime numbers. Proc. Roy. Soc. (A) 293 (1966), 1-18
- [5] Goldston, D.A., Motohashi, Y., Pintz, J., Yıldırım, C.Y.: Small gaps between primes exist. In: Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series A 82, 2006, 61-65
- [6] Green, B., Tao, T.: The primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. Annals of Math. (2) 167 (2008), 481-547
- [7] Zhang, Y.: Bounded gaps between primes. Annals of Math. 179 (2014), 1121-1174
- [8] Maynard, J.: Small gaps between primes. Annals of Math., Second Series, 181 (1), 383-413 (2015)

Berndt Gensel, Carinthia University of Applied Sciences Villacher Str. 1, A-9800, Spittal an der Drau, Austria e-mail: b.gensel@fh-kaernten.at