

# Angular measures and Birkhoff orthogonality in Minkowski planes\*

Márton Naszódi<sup>†</sup>    Vilmos Prokaj<sup>‡</sup>    Konrad Swanepoel<sup>§</sup>

## Abstract

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two unit vectors in a normed plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We say that  $x$  is *Birkhoff orthogonal* to  $y$  if the line through  $x$  in the direction  $y$  supports the unit disc. A *B-measure* (Fankhänel 2011) is an angular measure  $\mu$  on the unit circle for which  $\mu(C) = \pi/2$  whenever  $C$  is a shorter arc of the unit circle connecting two Birkhoff orthogonal points. We present a characterization of the normed planes that admit a B-measure.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $K$  be an  $o$ -symmetric convex body on the plane, and consider the normed plane  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_K)$ , where  $\|x\|_K = \min \{\lambda > 0 : x \in \lambda K\}$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two non-zero vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We say that  $x$  is *Birkhoff orthogonal* to  $y$ , and denote it by  $x \dashv y$ , if  $\|x\|_K \leq \|x + ty\|_K$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Geometrically, it means that the line through  $x$  in the direction  $y$  supports  $\|x\|_K K$ . In general, Birkhoff orthogonality is not a symmetric relation. Normed planes where Birkhoff orthogonality is symmetric are called *Radon planes* and the boundaries of their unit balls *Radon curves* (see the survey [MS06]).

A Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\text{bd } K$  is called an *angular measure*, if  $\mu(\text{bd } K) = 2\pi$ ,  $\mu(X) = \mu(-X)$  for every Borel subset  $X$  of  $\text{bd } K$ , and  $\mu$  is *continuous*, that is,  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$  for every  $x \in \text{bd } K$ . Clearly, for any  $K$ , there is an angular measure, as the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure on  $\text{bd } K$  normalized to  $2\pi$  is one, but has no relation to the geometry of  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_K)$ . A natural

---

\*Part of the research was carried out while MN was a member of János Pach's chair of DCG at EPFL, Lausanne, which was supported by Swiss National Science Foundation Grants 200020-162884 and 200021-175977, and while MN and KS visited the Mathematical Research Institute Oberwolfach in their Research in Pairs programme. MN also acknowledges the support of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund grant K119670.

<sup>†</sup>Alfréd Rényi Inst. of Math. and Dept. of Geometry, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Probability Theory and Statistics, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest

<sup>§</sup>Department of Mathematics, London School of Economics

problem then is to find angular measures with interesting geometric properties. For instance, Brass [Bra96] showed that whenever the unit ball is not a parallelogram, there is an angular measure in which the angles of any equilateral triangle are equal. This type of angular measure is very useful in studying packings of unit balls [Bra96, Swa18]. Angular measure with other properties have been proposed; see the survey [BHMT17, Section 4] for an overview. An angular measure  $\mu$  is called a *B-measure* [Fan11] if  $\mu(C) = \pi/2$  for every closed arc  $C$  of  $\text{bd } K$  that contains no opposite points of  $\text{bd } K$ , and whose end points  $x$  and  $y$  satisfy  $x \dashv y$ .

The main result, Theorem 1, of this note is a characterization of the normed planes  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_K)$  which admit a B-measure.

We call a point  $x$  in  $\text{bd } K$  an *Auerbach point*, if there is a  $y \in \text{bd } K$  such that  $x \dashv y$  and  $y \dashv x$ . It is well known that Auerbach points exist for any norm [Tho96, Section 3.2]. We denote the set of Auerbach points of  $K$  by  $A(K)$ . Note that  $A(K)$  is a closed subset of  $\text{bd } K$ . We denote the union of open non-degenerate line segments contained in  $\text{bd } K$  by  $E(K)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $K$  be an origin-symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then there is a B-measure on  $\text{bd } K$  if, and only if, the set  $A(K) \setminus E(K)$  is uncountable.*

This result strengthens Fankhänel's [Fan11, Theorem 1], where the existence of a B-measure is shown under the condition that  $A(K) \setminus E(K)$  contains an arc. (Fankhänel does not explicitly exclude line segments, but it is clear that they have to be excluded, as line segments in  $A(K)$  necessarily have measure 0 for any B-measure; see Lemma 3.) We prove Theorem 1 in Section 2, where we also present a smooth, strictly convex, centrally symmetric planar body  $K$  such that  $A(K)$  is the union of two disjoint copies of the Cantor set and a countable set of isolated points (Example 4). Thus,  $A(K)$  is of Lebesgue measure zero and yet, by Theorem 1, there is a B-measure on  $\text{bd } K$ .

We recall that a subset of a topological space is called *perfect*, if it is closed and has no isolated point. Recall that the *support*  $\text{supp}(\mu)$  of a Borel measure  $\mu$  on a topological space  $X$  is the set of all  $x \in X$  such that all open sets containing  $x$  have positive  $\mu$ -measure. In the proof of Theorem 1, we rely on the following result.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $H \subset [0, 1]$  be a non-empty, closed, perfect set. Then there is a continuous probability measure on  $[0, 1]$  whose support is  $H$ .*

This is a well-known result holding more generally for any separable complete metric space [Par05, Chapter II, Theorem 8.1], but for the convenience of the reader we present an explicit construction in Section 3. It is easy to see that the converse of Proposition 2 holds, namely that the support of any continuous measure on  $[0, 1]$  is a perfect set. It is well known that every non-empty perfect set is uncountable [Rud76, Theorem 2.43] and every uncountable closed set contains a perfect set [Kec95, Section 6B]. (More gen-

erally, all Borel sets and analytic sets have this property [Kec95], but we will only need it for  $F_\sigma$  sets).

## 2 The Auerbach set and B-measure

Given two non-opposite points  $a, b \in \text{bd } K$ , we denote by  $\triangleleft(a, b)$  the closed arc from  $a$  to  $b$  that does not contain any opposite pairs of points. We denote the closed line segment with endpoints  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $[a, b]$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $K$  be an origin-symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mu$  be a B-measure on  $\text{bd } K$ . Then  $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq A(K) \setminus E(K)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a non-degenerate line segment  $[x^-, x^+] \subset \text{bd } K$ . Let  $y \in \text{bd } K$  parallel to  $[x^-, x^+]$ . Since  $x^-, x^+ \dashv y$ , we have  $\mu([x^+, y]) = \mu([x^-, y]) = \pi/2$ . Thus,  $\mu([x^-, x^+]) = 0$ , and hence, no  $x$  strictly between  $x^-$  and  $x^+$  is in  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ .

Next, let  $x$  be a point in  $\text{bd } K \setminus A(K)$ . Let  $y_1, y_2 \in \text{bd } K$  such that  $x \dashv y_1$  and  $y_2 \dashv x$ . Then  $y_1 \neq y_2$ . By possibly replacing  $y_2$  by  $-y_2$ , we assume without loss of generality that  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are in the same open half plane bounded by the line  $ox$ . By possibly replacing  $x$  by  $-x$ , we may also assume without loss that  $y_2$  and  $x$  are in the same open half plane bounded by  $oy_1$ . Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be points on the same side of  $oy_1$  as  $x$  such that  $y_1 \dashv x_1$  and  $x_2 \dashv y_2$ . Then  $x_1, x_2 \neq x$ . Because  $y_2$  is between  $x$  and  $y_1$ , we have that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are in opposite open half planes bounded by  $ox$ . Since  $\mu$  is a B-measure,  $\mu(\triangleleft(x, x_1)) = \mu(\triangleleft(x, x_2)) = 0$ . Thus,  $\mu(\triangleleft(x_1, x_2)) = 0$ , hence  $x \notin \text{supp}(\mu)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $\mu$  be a B-measure. Since  $\text{supp}(\mu)$  is a perfect set, hence uncountable, Lemma 3 gives that  $A(K) \setminus E(K)$  is uncountable.

Conversely, assume that  $A(K) \setminus E(K)$  is uncountable. Define a map  $\phi : A(K) \setminus E(K) \rightarrow \text{bd } K$  by setting  $\phi(x)$  to be the first  $y \in A(K)$  in the positive direction along  $\text{bd } K$  from  $x$  such that  $x \dashv y$  and  $y \dashv x$ . Then  $\phi$  is monotone, but not necessarily injective. However, if  $\phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2)$ , then  $x_1 \dashv y$  and  $x_2 \dashv y$ , hence  $[x_1, x_2]$  is a line segment on  $\text{bd } K$ . It follows that for any given  $y \in \text{bd } K$ , there are at most two values of  $x \in A(K) \setminus E(K)$  such that  $\phi(x) = y$ , and there are at most countably many  $y \in \text{bd } K$  for which there is more than one  $x \in A(K) \setminus E(K)$  such that  $\phi(x) = y$ . Thus,  $\phi$  is a Borel measurable map.

For any  $x \in \text{bd } K$ , let  $x^+$  denote the first element of  $A(K) \setminus E(K)$  in the positive direction from  $x$ , and similarly, let  $x^-$  be the first element of  $A(K) \setminus E(K)$  in the negative direction from  $x$ .

Fix  $a \in A(K) \setminus E(K)$ . Let  $b = \phi(a)$  and  $a' = \phi(b^+)$ . If  $b^+ \neq b$  then  $a'$  is not strictly between  $-a$  and  $b$ . If  $b^+ = b$  and  $a'$  is strictly between  $-a$  and  $b$ , then  $[-a, a']$  is a line segment on  $\text{bd } K$ . In either case, we obtain that  $\triangleleft(a, b) \cap A(K) \setminus E(K)$  or  $\triangleleft(b^+, a) \cap A(K) \setminus E(K)$  is uncountable. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that  $\triangleleft(a, b) \cap A(K) \setminus E(K)$  is

uncountable. It then contains a perfect set  $H$  and there is a continuous probability measure  $\nu$  on the Borel sets of  $\text{bd } K$  with support  $H$ , of which the existence is guaranteed by Proposition 2. We use  $\nu$  to define the B-measure as follows. For any Borel set  $A \subset \text{bd } K$ , let

$$\mu(A) = \frac{\pi}{2} [\nu(A) + \nu(-A) + \nu(\phi^{-1}(A)) + \nu(\phi^{-1}(-A))]. \quad (1)$$

Then  $\mu$  is clearly an angular measure. To see that  $\mu$  is a B-measure, let  $x, y \in \text{bd } K$  with  $x \dashv y$ . By possibly replacing  $x$  by  $-x$  and  $y$  by  $-y$ , we may assume that  $x \in \triangleleft(a, b)$  or  $x \in \triangleleft(b, -a)$ , and that  $y \in \triangleleft(a, b) \cup \triangleleft(b, -a)$ .

**Case 1:**  $x \in \triangleleft(a, b)$ . Then either  $y \in \triangleleft(a, b)$  or  $y \in \triangleleft(b, -a) \setminus \{b\}$ .

**Case 1.1:**  $y \in \triangleleft(a, b)$ . Then there are two cases depending on the relative position of  $x$  and  $y$ .

**Case 1.1.1:**  $x \in \triangleleft(a, y)$ . In this case necessarily  $y = b$  or  $x = a$ . If  $y = b$  then  $[a, x]$  is a segment on  $\text{bd } K$ , hence  $\mu(\triangleleft(a, x)) = 0$  and  $\mu(\triangleleft(x, y)) = \pi/2$ . If  $x = a$  and  $y \neq b$ , then we show that  $\triangleleft(y, b) \cap A(K)$  is finite. Suppose there exist  $y' \in \triangleleft(y, b) \cap A(K) \setminus \{b, y\}$ . Then there exist  $a' \in \triangleleft(a, -b) \setminus \{a\}$  such that  $a' \dashv y'$ ,  $y' \dashv a'$ . However, since  $a \dashv y$  and  $a \dashv b$ , we obtain  $a \dashv y'$ , hence  $[a', a]$  is a line segment on  $\text{bd } K$  and  $y' = y$ . Therefore,  $\mu(\triangleleft(y, b)) = 0$ .

**Case 1.1.2:**  $x \in \triangleleft(y, b)$ . In this case necessarily  $x = b$  or  $y = a$ . This case is finished in a similar way as Case 1.1.1.

**Case 1.2:**  $y \in \triangleleft(b, -a) \setminus \{b\}$ . We have to show that  $\phi^{-1}(\triangleleft(b, y)) = \triangleleft(a, x) \cap A(K) \setminus E(K)$  up to measure 0.

**Case 1.2.1:**  $x \notin A(K) \setminus E(K)$ . Then  $\phi(x^-) \in \triangleleft(b, y)$  and  $\phi(x^+) \in \triangleleft(y, -a)$ . Note that  $\phi^{-1}(\triangleleft(b, \phi(x^-))) = \triangleleft(a, x) \cap A(K) \setminus E(K) \cup \phi^{-1}(\{\phi(x^-), b\})$ , where  $\phi^{-1}(\{\phi(x^-), b\})$  contains at most 4 points. Similarly,  $\phi^{-1}(\triangleleft(b, \phi(x^+))) = \triangleleft(a, x) \cap A(K) \setminus E(K) \cup \phi^{-1}(\{\phi(x^+), b\})$ , and it follows that  $\phi^{-1}(\triangleleft(b, y)) = \triangleleft(a, x) \cap A(K) \setminus E(K)$  up to 2 points.

**Case 1.2.2:**  $x \in A(K) \setminus E(K)$ . We show that  $\triangleleft(\phi(x), y) \cap A(K)$  is finite, from which follows that  $\phi^{-1}(\triangleleft(b, y))$  equals  $\triangleleft(a, x)$  up to finitely many points.

Suppose that there exists  $y' \in \triangleleft(\phi(x), y) \cap A(K) \setminus \{\phi(x), y\}$ .

**Case 1.2.2.1:**  $y \in \triangleleft(b, \phi(x))$ . Then there exists  $x' \in \triangleleft(a, x)$  such that  $x' \dashv y'$ ,  $y' \dashv x'$ . Since  $x \dashv \phi(x)$  and  $x \dashv y$ , we have  $x \dashv y'$ . It follows that  $[x, x']$  is a segment on  $\text{bd } K$  parallel to  $y'$ , but then  $y = y'$  unless  $x = x'$ , but then  $\phi(x) = y'$ .

**Case 1.2.2.2:**  $\phi(x) \in \triangleleft(b, y)$ . Similar to Case 1.2.2.1 we obtain that  $x = x'$ , and then  $\phi(x) \dashv x$  and  $y' \dashv x$ , hence  $[\phi(x), y']$  is a segment on  $\text{bd } K$  parallel to  $x$ . This holds for all  $y' \in A(K) \cap \triangleleft(\phi(x), y) \setminus \{\phi(x), y\}$ . It follows that  $\phi^{-1}(\triangleleft(\phi(x), y)) \subseteq \phi^{-1}(\{\phi(x), y\})$ , which consists of at most 4 points.

**Case 2:**  $x \in \triangleleft(b, -a) \setminus \{b, -a\}$ . If  $y \in \triangleleft(b, -a)$ , then as in Case 1.1,  $y = b$  or  $y = -a$ . But then, by possibly replacing  $y$  with  $-y$ , we can assume that  $y \in \triangleleft(a, b)$ . Thus without loss of generality,  $y \in \triangleleft(a, b)$ . Then  $\phi(y^+) \in \triangleleft(x, -a)$  and  $\phi(y^-) \in \triangleleft(b, x)$ . Then  $\phi^{-1}(\triangleleft(b, \phi(y)))$ , which equals  $\triangleleft(a, y^-) \cap A(K) \setminus$

$E(K)$  up to 2 points, is contained in  $\phi^{-1}\triangleleft(b, x)$ , which is in turn contained in  $\phi^{-1}(\triangleleft(b, \phi(y^+)))$ , which equals  $\triangleleft(a, y^+) \cap A(K) \setminus E(K)$  up to 2 points. It follows that  $\nu(\phi^{-1}(\triangleleft(b, x))) = \nu(\triangleleft(a, y))$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Example 4.** We present a smooth, strictly convex, centrally symmetric planar body  $K$  such that  $A(K)$  is the union of two disjoint copies of the Cantor set and a countable set of isolated points.

First, let  $K_0$  be the Euclidean unit disk centered at the origin, and let  $C$  denote the shorter arc connecting the two points whose angles with the positive  $x$  axis are  $-\pi/4$  and  $\pi/4$ . Let  $C_0$  denote the Cantor set in  $C$ . Now,  $C_0$  can be written as

$$C_0 = C \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n,$$

where the  $I_n$  are disjoint open arcs in  $C$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we construct a smooth and strictly convex curve  $C_n$  connecting the two endpoints of  $I_n$  with the following properties.

1.  $C_n$  has the same tangents at the endpoints as  $K_0$ ;
2.  $C_n$  is contained in  $\text{conv } I_n$ ;
3. for any point  $x$  of  $C_n$  which is neither an end point, nor the midpoint of  $C_n$ , the tangent of  $C_n$  at  $x$  is not orthogonal to  $x$ .

Let

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1-x^2}\right) & \text{for } x \in (-1, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

denote a *bump function*. It is well known that  $\Psi$  is non-negative, smooth, its support is  $[-1, 1]$ , and the only points in its support where the derivative is zero are  $-1, 1$  and  $1/2$ .

Let  $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1$  denote the angles for which the two end points of  $I_n$  have polar coordinates  $(\alpha_0, 1)$  and  $(\alpha_1, 1)$ . Let  $C_n$  be the curve whose polar coordinates are given for each  $\varphi \in [\alpha_0, \alpha_1]$  by

$$\left( \varphi, 1 - \varepsilon \Psi \left( \frac{2}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0} \left[ \varphi - \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{2} \right] \right) \right),$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small.

Clearly,  $C_n$  is a smooth curve, and if  $\varepsilon$  is small, then it is also strictly convex. Moreover,  $C_n$  has the first property, as  $\Psi'(-1) = \Psi'(1) = 0$ . If  $\varepsilon$  is small, then  $C_n$  has the second property as well. Finally, to verify the third property, observe that the tangent of  $C_n$  is orthogonal to  $x$  at a point  $x$  of  $C_n$  if and only if, the derivative of

$$1 - \varepsilon \Psi \left( \frac{2}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0} \left[ \varphi - \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{2} \right] \right)$$

as a function of  $\varphi$  is zero at the polar angle  $\varphi$  of  $x$ . However, that is only the case at the two end points and at the mid point of  $C_n$ .

Consider the following closed curve.

$$L = (C_0 \cup -C_0) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (C_n \cup -C_n).$$

Clearly,  $L$  is the boundary of a smooth, strictly convex, centrally symmetric planar body, call it  $K$ . By construction, for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , only the mid-point of the relative interior of the arc  $C_n$  is an Auerbach point. The same holds for  $-C_n$ . On the other hand, all points of  $C_0 \cup -C_0$  are Auerbach points. Thus,  $K$  is as promised.

### 3 Proof of Proposition 2

We may assume that  $0, 1 \in H$ . Enumerate the components of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus H$  as  $I_0, I_1, \dots$ , where  $I_0 = (-\infty, 0)$  and  $I_1 = (1, \infty)$ . We will assign recursively a real number  $a_n$  to each open interval  $I_n$ . Let  $a_0 = 0$  and  $a_1 = 1$ .

If  $a_k$  is defined for  $k < n$ , then let

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2} \left( \max_{\ell < n, I_\ell < I_n} a_\ell + \min_{\ell < n, I_\ell > I_n} a_\ell \right),$$

that is, we consider the two intervals with indices less than  $n$  just below and just above  $I_n$ , and  $a_n$  is the average of the two values assigned to these two intervals.

We define a function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  as follows. First, on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus H$ , let  $f|_{I_n} = a_n$ . To extend  $f$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we set

$$a(x) = \sup(-\infty, x) \setminus H, \text{ and } b(x) = \inf(x, \infty) \setminus H. \quad (2)$$

If  $x \in H$  and  $a(x) = b(x)$ , then clearly, the left limit,  $f(a(x)-)$ , of  $f$  at  $a(x)$  is equal to the right limit  $f(b(x)+)$ . Thus, the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a_n, & \text{if } x \in I_n; \\ f(a(x)-) = f(b(x)+), & \text{if } x \in H \text{ and } x = a(x) = b(x); \\ f(a(x)-) \frac{b(x)-x}{b(x)-a(x)} + f(b(x)+) \frac{x-a(x)}{b(x)-a(x)}, & \text{if } x \in H \text{ and } a(x) < b(x) \end{cases}$$

is continuous, strictly increasing, and is locally constant on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus H$ .

Finally, let  $\mu_0$  denote the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure corresponding to  $f$ , and  $\mu_1$  the measure  $\mu_1(A) = \lambda(A \cap H)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure. Clearly,  $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_1$  is a continuous measure, and  $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq H$ .

To show the reverse containment, let  $I$  be an open interval and assume that  $I \cap H \neq \emptyset$ . If  $I \cap H$  is of positive Lebesgue measure, then  $\mu(I) > \mu_1(I) > 0$ . Otherwise,  $I$  is intersected by at least two  $I_k$ . Indeed, if only one  $I_k$  would intersect  $I$ , then  $I \cap H$  would be the union of at most two intervals contradicting that  $H$  is perfect and of Lebesgue measure zero.

Since the values of  $f$  on distinct intervals  $I_k$  are distinct,  $f$  is not constant on  $I$ , and hence,  $\mu(I) > \mu_0(I) > 0$ , completing the proof of Proposition 2.

## Acknowledgement

KS thanks Adam Ostaszewski for enlightening conversations and for drawing his attention to [Par05].

## References

- [BHMT17] Vitor Balestro, Ákos G. Horváth, Horst Martini, and Ralph Teixeira, *Angles in normed spaces*, Aequationes Math. **91** (2017), no. 2, 201–236. MR 3627380
- [Bra96] Peter Brass, *Erdős distance problems in normed spaces*, Comput. Geom. **6** (1996), no. 4, 195–214.
- [Fan11] Andreas Fankhänel, *On angular measures in Minkowski planes*, Beitr. Algebra Geom. **52** (2011), no. 2, 335–342. MR 2842633
- [Kec95] Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. MR 1321597
- [MS06] Horst Martini and Konrad J. Swanepoel, *Antinorms and Radon curves*, Aequationes Math. **72** (2006), no. 1-2, 110–138. MR 2258811
- [Par05] K. R. Parthasarathy, *Probability measures on metric spaces*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2005, Reprint of the 1967 original. MR 2169627
- [Rud76] Walter Rudin, *Principles of mathematical analysis*, third ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Auckland-Düsseldorf, 1976, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. MR 0385023
- [Swa18] Konrad J. Swanepoel, *Combinatorial distance geometry in normed spaces*, New trends in intuitive geometry, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., vol. 27, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2018, pp. 407–458. MR 3889270
- [Tho96] A. C. Thompson, *Minkowski geometry*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 63, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. MR 1406315