

THE FEIGIN-ODESSKII WHEEL CONDITIONS AND SHEAVES ON SURFACES

YU ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we start the study of Feigin-Odesskii Wheel conditions from a geometric viewpoint, and generalize it to the K-theoretic Hall algebra of any surface, which is defined in [23] and [7].

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of the K -theoretic Hall algebra of a surface was initiated by Vasserot and Schiffmann [16], who constructed the K -theoretic Hall algebra of the affine plane \mathbb{A}^2 , and studied its action on the K -theory of Hilbert schemes of points of \mathbb{A}^2 . The constructions of K -theoretic Hall algebra were generalized by Minets [9] for Higgs sheaves over a curve, and the author [23], and Kapranov-Vasserot [7] for any projective surface S . Porta and Sala [13] categorized these Hall algebras.

The K -theoretic Hall algebra of a surface is intimately connected with the sheaves on S . We consider the moduli stack Coh_n of length n coherent sheaves on S , represented by a quotient stack:

$$Coh_n = [Quot_n^\circ/GL_n]$$

where $Quot_n^\circ$ is an open subset of the Quot scheme of length n quotients of \mathcal{O}_S^n , as recalled in Definition 3.1. Therefore, $K(Coh_n)$, the Grothendick group of Coh_n is represented by

$$K(Coh_n) = K^{GL_n}(Quot_n^\circ).$$

For any two non-negative integers n, m , let $Corr_{n,m}$ be the moduli stack of short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n+m} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_m \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{E}_n \in Coh_n$, $\mathcal{E}_m \in Coh_m$ and $\mathcal{E}_{n+m} \in Coh_{n+m}$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \{0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n+m} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_m \rightarrow 0\} & \\ & \swarrow \qquad \qquad \searrow & \\ (\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E}_m) & & \mathcal{E}_{n+m} \end{array}$$

induces a morphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Corr_{n,m} & \\ & \swarrow \quad p \quad \searrow q & \\ Coh_n \times Coh_m & & Coh_{n+m}, \end{array}$$

where q is proper. Based on the ideas of perfect obstruction theory, the author [23] and Kapranov-Vasserot [7] constructed the pull back morphism

$$p^! : K(\text{Coh}_n \times \text{Coh}_m) \rightarrow K(\text{Corr}_{n,m}).$$

Together with the push forward morphism $q_* : K(\text{Corr}_{n,m}) \rightarrow K(\text{Coh}_{n+m})$, $q_* \circ p^!$ induces an associative algebra structure on

$$K(\text{Coh}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} K(\text{Coh}_n),$$

which is defined to be the K -theoretic Hall algebra of a surface.

The K -theoretic Hall algebras have shuffle presentations. Shuffle algebras are closely connected with quantum groups [14], but the incarnation we will be interested in is that of Feigin-Odesskii, who defined shuffle algebras with multiplication twisted by an elliptic function in [11], [12] and [5]. In [9], Minets gave a shuffle presentation of the K -theoretical Hall algebra of Higgs sheaves of a curve. The author [23] generalized it and gives a shuffle presentation of K -theoretic Hall algebra of any surface S , which was first suggested by Negut [10].

The main tool of constructing the shuffle presentation is the Thomason localization Theorem. For any non-negative integer n , the maximal torus $T_n \subset GL(n)$, which consists of diagonal matrices, has a natural action on $Quot_n^\circ$ and the T_n fixed locus of $Quot_n^\circ$ is S^n . By the Thomason localization theorem [20], we have the isomorphism:

$$K^{T_n}(S^n)_{loc} \xrightarrow{i_{n*}} K^{T_n}(Quot_n^\circ)_{loc}.$$

Let

$$(1.1) \quad \tau_n = i_{n*}^{-1} \circ l_n : K^{T_n}(Quot_n^\circ) \rightarrow K(S^n)(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

where l_n is the natural morphism from $K^{T_n}(Quot_n^\circ)$ to $K^{T_n}(Quot_n^\circ)_{loc}$. Recalling

$$K(\text{Coh}_n) = K^{GL_n}(Quot_n^\circ) = (K^{T_n}(Quot_n^\circ))^{\sigma_n},$$

where σ_n is the permutation group of order n . Hence τ_n induces a morphism:

$$\tau : K(\text{Coh}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} K(S^n)(z_1, \dots, z_n)^{Sym},$$

which is an homomorphism between the K -theoretic Hall algebra and the shuffle algebra [10], [23].

An important question is to study the image of shuffle presentation τ . From the viewpoint of representation theory, Enriquez [4], analogous with Feigin-Odesskii, proposed two vanishing conditions that describe the image of τ , which are called “pole conditions” and “wheel conditions” by Feigin and Tsybaliuk in [6].

In this paper, we will study “pole conditions” and “wheel conditions” from the viewpoint of algebraic geometry. We will give a geometric interpretation of “pole conditions” and “wheel conditions” based on the shuffle presentation of the K -theoretical Hall algebra, and generalize it to any surface S . To be more precise, we are going to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let S be a smooth projective surface with a very ample bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ s.t. $h^0(\mathcal{O}(1)) = r$, which induces a closed embedding of S into \mathbb{P}^{r-1} . Let \mathcal{R} be the kernel of*

$$(1.2) \quad H^0(S, \mathcal{O}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$$

Let pr_1 and pr_2 be the two different projections from $S \times S$ to S and $\mathcal{W} = pr_1^ \mathcal{R} \otimes pr_2^* \mathcal{O}^{-1}$. Let $\mathcal{W}_{ij} = pr_{ij}^* \mathcal{W}$, where $pr_{ij} : S^n \rightarrow S \times S$ is the projection to i -th and j -th projectors. Let Ω_S and ω_S be the cotangent and canonical bundle over S .*

Then for any element $[\mathcal{F}]$ in the image of τ_n in (1.1), we have

- (1) *(Pole Conditions) $(\prod_{i \neq j} [\wedge^{\bullet \frac{z_i}{z_i}} \mathcal{W}_{ij}]) \otimes [\mathcal{F}] \in K(S^d)[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$*
- (2) *(Wheel Conditions) For any three different numbers $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let*

$$\Delta_{ijk} = \{(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S^n \mid s_i = s_j = s_k\}$$

and $pr : \Delta_{ijk} \rightarrow S$ be the morphism which maps (s_1, \dots, s_n) to s_i . Then $((\prod_{i \neq j} [\wedge^{\bullet \frac{z_i}{z_i}} \mathcal{W}_{ij}]) \otimes [\mathcal{F}])|_{\Delta_{ijk}}$ is in the ideal generated by $(1 - \frac{z_i}{z_k} pr^ \omega_S)$, and $(1 - q_1 \frac{z_j}{z_k})(1 - q_2 \frac{z_j}{z_k})$, where q_1, q_2 are the two Chern roots of $pr^* \Omega_S$.*

Here $[\wedge^{\bullet} E] = \sum_{i=0}^{rank(E)} (-1)^i [\wedge^i E]$ for any locally free sheaf E .

For any quiver Q , the study of the K-theoretic Hall algebra associated to Q and its shuffle presentation was started by Kontsevich and Soibelman [8], and Schiffmann and Vasserot [16],[15],[17],[18]. We are going to systematically study the “wheel conditions” for the cohomology of preprojective stacks in [22].

We will organize the paper in the following way. In Section 2, we recall the background of equivariant K -theory, and prove a version of wheel conditions for the commuting variety $Comm_n$, as the motivation of proving Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we recall some background of Quot schemes and K -theoretic Hall algebra in [23]. In Section 4 and Section 5, we will prove the pole condition and wheel condition respectively.

Convention 1.2. In this paper, we will consider some locally free sheaves and their total spaces at the same time. For the convenience, we will not distinguish locally free sheaves and vector bundles which are their total spaces in this paper.

For any vector bundle M over X , $pr_M : M \rightarrow X$ denotes the projection and $i_M : X \rightarrow M$ denotes the zero section.

Convention 1.3. We work in the category of separated schemes of finite type over an algebraically closed field k , equipped with an action of a reductive group G . All morphisms are equivariant with respect to the action of G .

This paper is dedicated to my advisor, Andrei Negut, for the happy and enjoyable time the author has spent talking math with him and his tremendous guide in my career growth. I would like to thank Svetlana Makarova for explaining the theory of Hochschild homology to me and Francesco Sala for many useful suggestions.

2. WHEEL CONDITIONS FOR THE COMMUTING VARIETY $Comm_n$

In this section, we first recall some basic facts about equivariant K -theory from [3] and [1], which have been collected in [23], too. As an application, we will prove a wheel condition Theorem 2.9 for the commuting variety.

2.1. Grothendick groups. The Grothendick group of equivariant coherent sheaves $K^G(X)$ is generated by classes $[\mathcal{F}]$ for each G -equivariant coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , subject to the relation $[\mathcal{F}] = [\mathcal{G}] + [\mathcal{H}]$ for any exact sequence of G -equivariant coherent sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0.$$

Example 2.1. Let $K^G(\text{Spec}(k)) = \text{Rep}(G)$, the representation ring of G . For any scheme X , $K^G(X)$ is a module over $\text{Rep}(G)$.

2.2. Refined Gysin Maps.

Definition 2.2. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a locally complete intersection morphism (l.c.i. morphism for short) if f is the composition of a regular embedding and a smooth morphism.

Example 2.3 (Example 2.3 of [23]). Let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a morphism of locally free sheaves over X , then the corresponding morphism between their total space is l.c.i.

Definition 2.4 (Definition 2.4 of [23]). Given a Cartesian diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

where f is a l.c.i. morphism, the **refined Gysin map** $f^! : K^G(Y') \rightarrow K^G(X')$ is defined by

$$f^!([\mathcal{F}]) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i [\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{F})],$$

which is a finite sum since $\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for sufficient large i .

The refined Gysin map has the following properties:

Lemma 2.5 (Lemma 3.1 of [1]). *Consider the following Cartesian diagrams (2.2):*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{h'} & X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y'' & \xrightarrow{h} & Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y, \end{array}$$

where h is proper and f is a l.c.i. morphism. Then

$$f^! h_* = h'_* f^! : K(Y'') \rightarrow K(X').$$

Lemma 2.6 (Lemma 3.2 of [1]). *Consider following Cartesian diagrams (2.3):*

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \longrightarrow & Y'' & \longrightarrow & Z'' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ X' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & Z' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y. & & \end{array}$$

such that h and f are l.c.i. morphisms. Then

$$f^!h^! = h^!f^! : K^G(Y') \rightarrow K^G(X'')$$

Lemma 2.7 (Lemma 2.7 of [23]). *Consider the following Cartesian diagrams (2.4):*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow f'' & & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y'' & \longrightarrow & Y' & \xrightarrow{s} & Y, \end{array}$$

where f and f' are l.c.i. morphisms. If one of f and s is flat,

$$f^! = f'^! : K^G(Y'') \rightarrow K^G(X'')$$

and if f is flat, $f^! = f''^*$.

Lemma 2.8 (Lemma 2.8 of [23]). *Consider the following Cartesian diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Z' & \longrightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

where f, g are l.c.i. morphisms. Then $h^! = f^! \circ g^! : K^G(Z') \rightarrow K^G(X')$.

2.3. Wheel Conditions for the Commuting Variety $Comm_n$. Now we are going to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.9. *For an non-negative integer n , let $Comm_n$, the commuting variety, be the variety of two commuting matrices:*

$$Comm_n = \{(X, Y) \in gl_n \oplus gl_n \mid [X, Y] = 0\},$$

where the maximal torus $T_n \subset GL(n)$, which consists of diagonal matrices, acts on $Comm_n$ by the conjugation

$$g \cdot (X, Y) = (gXg^{-1}, gYg^{-1})$$

and $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ acts on $Comm_n$ by

$$(t_1, t_2)(X, Y) = (t_1X, t_2Y).$$

Let $p : Comm_n \subset gl_n \oplus gl_n$ be the natural inclusion and $r_0 : Spec(k) \subset gl_n \oplus gl_n$ be the inclusion of point $(0, 0)$. Then for any three different numbers $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the image of

$$r_0^* \circ p_* : K^{T_n \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}(Comm_n) \rightarrow K^{T_n \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}(\cdot) = \mathbb{Z}[q_1^{\pm 1}, q_2^{\pm 1}][z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_n^{\pm 1}].$$

is included in the ideal $(z_k - q_1 z_j, z_j - q_2 z_i)$.

Proof. Let E_{ij} be the matrix whose (i, j) -th entry is 1 and other entries are 0. For three different numbers $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we define

$$V_{ijk}^1 = \{(c_1 E_{ij}, 0) \mid c_1 \in k\}, V_{ijk}^2 = \{(0, c_2 E_{jk}) \mid c_2 \in k\}, V_{ijk} = \{(c_1 E_{ij}, c_2 E_{jk}) \mid c_1, c_2 \in k\}.$$

Let

$$i_V : V_{ijk} \rightarrow gl_n \oplus gl_n$$

be the inclusion morphism and

$$v_0 : \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow V_{ijk}$$

be the inclusion of point $(0, 0)$. Then $v_0^* \circ i_V^* = r_0^*$.

With the following Cartesian diagram:

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_{ijk}^1 \cup V_{ijk}^2 & \xrightarrow{p'} & V_{ijk} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i_V \\ \text{Comm}_n & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n, \end{array}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_0^* \circ p_* &= v_0^* \circ i_V^* \circ p_* \\ &= v_0^* \circ p'_* \circ i_V^! \quad \text{by Lemma 2.5.} \end{aligned}$$

So the image of $r_0^* \circ p_*$ is contained in the image of $v_0^* \circ p'_*$. The image of p'_* is the ideal generated by two classes $[\mathcal{O}_{V_{ijk}^1}]$ and $[\mathcal{O}_{V_{ijk}^2}]$, while

$$v_0^*([\mathcal{O}_{V_{ijk}^1}]) = 1 - q_2^{-1} \frac{z_k}{z_j}, \quad v_0^*([\mathcal{O}_{V_{ijk}^2}]) = 1 - q_1^{-1} \frac{z_j}{z_i}.$$

Hence the image of $v_0^* \circ p'_*$ is contained in the ideal $(z_k - q_2 z_j, z_j - q_1 z_i)$. \square

By taking the symmetric part, we have:

Corollary 2.10. *Let I_n be the intersection of all ideals $(z_k - q_2 z_j, z_j - q_1 z_i)$ for different $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then the image of*

$r_0^* \circ p_* : K^{GL_n \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}(\text{Comm}_n) \rightarrow K^{GL_n \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m}(\cdot) = \mathbb{Z}[q_1^{\pm 1}, q_2^{\pm 1}][z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_n^{\pm 1}]^{\text{Sym}}$,
is included in I_n^{Sym} , the symmetric part of I_n .

3. QUOT SCHEMES AND FLAG SCHEMES

In this section, following [23], we recall some facts about Quot schemes and flag schemes.

Definition 3.1. Given d a non-negative integer, Grothendieck's Quot scheme Quot_d is defined to be the moduli scheme of quotients of coherent sheaves

$$\{\phi_d : \mathcal{O}_S^d \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_d\},$$

where \mathcal{E}_d has dimension 0 and $h^0(\mathcal{E}_d) = d$.

There is an open subscheme $\text{Quot}_d^\circ \subset \text{Quot}_d$ which consists of quotients such that $H^0(\phi) : k^d \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}_d)$ is an isomorphism.

Over $\text{Quot}_d^\circ \times S$, there is a universal quotient of coherent sheaves

$$\phi_d : \mathcal{O}_S^d \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_d,$$

and its kernel is denoted by \mathcal{I}_d .

Example 3.2. $\text{Quot}_1^\circ = S$. Let $\Delta : S \rightarrow S \times S$ be the diagonal map, then $\mathcal{E}_1 \in \text{Coh}(\text{Quot}_1^\circ \times S)$ is \mathcal{O}_Δ , and \mathcal{I}_1 is \mathcal{I}_Δ , the ideal sheaf of diagonal.

Example 3.3. Let $S = \mathbb{A}^2$, the affine plane. Then $\text{Quot}_n^\circ = \text{Comm}_n$, the commuting variety in Section 2.

Now we generalize the Quot scheme to the case of a sequence of non-decreasing integers $d_\bullet = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_l)$, such that $d_0 = 0$ and $d_l = d$. Fix a flag of vector spaces $F = \{k^{d_1} \subset \dots \subset k^{d_l}\}$. Let

$$\text{Quot}_{d_\bullet}^\circ = \prod_{i=1}^k \text{Quot}_{d_i - d_{i-1}}^\circ.$$

Definition 3.4. For any closed point $\{\phi : k^d \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_d\}$ of $\text{Quot}_{d_\bullet}^\circ$, let \mathcal{E}_{d_i} be the image of $\phi_i = \phi|_{k^{d_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S}$. By [9], the subset of $\text{Quot}_{d_\bullet}^\circ$ which consists of quotients of \mathcal{E}_d such that for any i

$$H^0(\phi_i) : k^{d_i} \cong H^0(\mathcal{E}_{d_i})$$

is a closed subscheme and denoted by $\text{Flag}_{d_\bullet}^\circ$. The inclusion map is denoted by i_{d_\bullet} :

$$(3.1) \quad i_{d_\bullet} : \text{Flag}_{d_\bullet}^\circ \rightarrow \text{Quot}_{d_\bullet}^\circ.$$

The morphism

$$\{\phi_{d_i - d_{i-1}} : \mathcal{O}^{d_i - d_{i-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1}}\} \rightarrow \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \phi_{d_i - d_{i-1}} : \mathcal{O}^d \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \mathcal{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1}} \right\}$$

induces a closed embedding from $\text{Quot}_{d_\bullet}^\circ$ to Quot_d° , which actually factors through $\text{Flag}_{d_\bullet}^\circ$ and hence induces a inclusion map

$$j_{d_\bullet}^\circ : \text{Quot}_{d_\bullet}^\circ \rightarrow \text{Flag}_{d_\bullet}^\circ.$$

For each i , there is a universal quotient of coherent sheaves $\phi_i : \mathcal{O}^{d_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{d_i}$ over $\text{Flag}_{d_\bullet} \times S$. Moreover, fixing an isomorphism $k^{d_i - d_{i-1}} = k^{d_i}/k^{d_{i-1}}$, let

$$\mathcal{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1}} = \mathcal{E}_{d_i}/\mathcal{E}_{d_{i-1}}.$$

Then

$$\phi_{d_i - d_{i-1}} : \mathcal{O}^{d_i - d_{i-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{d_i - d_{i-1}}$$

is also surjective. It induces a morphism

$$(3.2) \quad p_{d_\bullet} : \text{Flag}_{d_\bullet}^\circ \rightarrow \text{Quot}_{d_\bullet}^\circ.$$

Convention 3.5. For the convenience, we use the following convention, to denote A_{d_\bullet} by $A_{n,m}$ when $d_\bullet = (0, n, n+m)$ and denote A_{d_\bullet} by $A_{n,m,l}$ when $d_\bullet = (0, n, n+m, n+m+l)$.

For example, when $d_\bullet = (0, n, n+m)$, $\text{Quot}_{d_\bullet}^\circ$ is denoted by $\text{Quot}_{n,m}^\circ$.

Convention 3.6. In our paper, we will consider the situation that there is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a "universal" coherent sheaf $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{Coh}(Y)$ many times. For the convenience, we will abuse the notation, to denote $f^*\mathcal{Y}$ still by \mathcal{Y} , if \mathcal{Y} and f are obvious in the context.

For example, let us consider the following morphism:

$$p_{n,m} \times id : \text{Flag}_{n,m}^\circ \times S \rightarrow \text{Quot}_{n,m}^\circ \times S.$$

Then we will denote $p_{n,m}^* \mathcal{E}_n \in \text{Coh}(\text{Flag}_{n,m}^\circ \times S)$ still by $\mathcal{E}_n \in \text{Coh}(\text{Flag}_{n,m}^\circ \times S)$.

Proposition 3.7 (Lemma 3.4, Proposition 3.7 and 3.9 of [23]). *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_m \xrightarrow{v_m} \mathcal{W}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_m \rightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of coherent sheaves over $\text{Quot}_m^\circ \times S$ such that \mathcal{W}_m is locally free. Then

- (1) \mathcal{V}_m is also locally free.
(2) Let

$$W_{n,m} = \pi_* \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{W}_m, \mathcal{E}_n), \quad V_{n,m} = \pi_* \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{V}_m, \mathcal{E}_n)$$

where $\pi : \text{Quot}_{n,m}^\circ \times S \rightarrow \text{Quot}_{n,m}^\circ$ is the projection map. Then $W_{n,m}$ and $V_{n,m}$ are locally free over $\text{Quot}_{n,m}^\circ$.

- (3) v_m induces a natural morphism: $\psi_{n,m} : W_{n,m} \rightarrow V_{n,m}$, with the following diagram being Cartesian:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Flag}_{n,m}^\circ & \xrightarrow{r_{n,m}} & W_{n,m} \\ \downarrow p_{n,m} & & \downarrow \psi_{n,m} \\ \text{Quot}_{n,m}^\circ & \xrightarrow{i_{V_{n,m}}} & V_{n,m} \end{array}$$

where $i_{V_{n,m}}$ is the inclusion of zero section.

4. GRASSMANIANS, GYSIN PULLBACKS AND POLE CONDITIONS

In this section, we are going to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a refined Gysin map*

$$i_n^! : K^{T_n}(\text{Quot}_n^\circ) \rightarrow K^{T_n}(S^n) = K(S^n)[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$$

such that for any $[\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T_n}(S^n)$

$$i_n^! i_{n*}([\mathcal{F}]) = \prod_{i \neq j} [\wedge^{\bullet} \frac{z_i}{z_j} \mathcal{W}_{ij}] \otimes [\mathcal{F}].$$

The first part of Theorem 1.1 is a corollary of Proposition 4.1. In fact, for any $[\mathcal{F}] = \tau_n[G]$, we have

$$\left(\prod_{i \neq j} [\wedge^{\bullet} \frac{z_i}{z_j} \mathcal{W}_{ij}] \right) \otimes [\mathcal{F}] = i_n^! i_{n*}(\mathcal{F}) = i_n^![G] \in K^{T_n}(S^n) = K(S^n)[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_n^{\pm 1}].$$

Moreover, the wheel condition, i.e. the second part of Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the following proposition, which will be proved in Section 5.

Proposition 4.2. *For any three different numbers $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $\Delta_{ijk} = \{(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S^n \mid s_i = s_j = s_k\}$ and $pr : \Delta_{ijk} \rightarrow S$ defined by*

$$pr(s_1, \dots, s_n) = s_i.$$

Then $(i_n^![\mathcal{F}])|_{\Delta_{ijk}}$ is included in the ideal generated by $1 - \frac{z_i}{z_k} pr^ \omega_S$ and $(1 - \frac{z_j}{z_k} q_1)(1 - \frac{z_j}{z_k} q_2)$, where q_1, q_2 are the two Chern roots of $pr^* \Omega_S$.*

4.1. Resolution of ideal sheaves. The following lemma induces a resolution of ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_Δ .

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\Delta : S \rightarrow S \times S$ the diagonal map, \mathcal{I}_Δ the ideal sheaf of diagonal and \mathcal{O}_Δ the structure sheaf of the diagonal. Let pr_1, pr_2 be two different projections from $S \times S$ to S . Then*

- (1) *The short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S \times S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow 0$$

induces another short exact sequence

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow pr_{1*}(\mathcal{I}_\Delta \otimes pr_2^* \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow pr_{1*} pr_2^* \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow pr_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes pr_2^* \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow 0.$$

(2) Let $\mathcal{W} = pr_1^*pr_{1*}(\mathcal{I}_\Delta \otimes pr_2^*\mathcal{O}(1)) \otimes pr_2^*\mathcal{O}(-1)$, then the natural morphism

$$q_n : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Delta$$

is surjective. Moreover, it induces a resolution of \mathcal{I}_Δ by locally free sheaves:

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Delta \rightarrow 0$$

(3) Let

$$p_n : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1},$$

be the closed embedding. Then

$$p_n^*T\mathbb{P}^{r-1} = pr_{1*}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{O}_\Delta)$$

Proof. (1) By the projection formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} pr_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes pr_2^*\mathcal{O}(1)) &= pr_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes pr_1^*\mathcal{O}(1)) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes pr_{1*}\mathcal{O}_\Delta \\ &= \mathcal{O}(1) \end{aligned}$$

By the base change formula, we have

$$pr_{1*}pr_2^*\mathcal{O}(1) = \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$$

Thus the short exact sequence (4.1) is just as same as (1.2).

(2) By the projection pr_1 , we regard $S \times S$ as a family of surfaces over S . Thus to prove q_n to be surjective, we only need to prove it is surjective for every fiber of the form $s \times S$ where $s \in S$ is a closed point, i.e. $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_s$ is global generated for any closed point $s \in S$, which is equivalent to the very ampleness of $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

(3) Recalling that over \mathbb{P}^{r-1} there is a universal short exact sequence of coherent sheaves:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

and $T\mathbb{P}^{r-1} = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{U}_r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(1))$. By (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_n^*(\mathcal{U}_r) &= (pr_{1*}(\mathcal{I}_\Delta \otimes pr_2^*\mathcal{O}(1))), \\ p_n^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(1)) &= pr_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes pr_2^*\mathcal{O}(1)). \end{aligned}$$

By the adjoint property of pr_{1*} and pr_1^* , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_n^*T\mathbb{P}^{r-1} &= \mathcal{H}om(pr_{1*}(\mathcal{I}_\Delta \otimes pr_2^*\mathcal{O}(1)), pr_{1*}(\mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes pr_2^*\mathcal{O}(1))) \\ &= pr_{1*}\mathcal{H}om(pr_1^*pr_{1*}(\mathcal{I}_\Delta \otimes pr_2^*\mathcal{O}(1)), \mathcal{O}_\Delta \otimes pr_2^*\mathcal{O}(1)) \\ &= pr_{1*}\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{O}_\Delta). \end{aligned}$$

□

Notice that $S = \mathit{Quot}_1^\circ$, the above lemma can be generalized to $\mathit{Quot}_n^\circ \times S$:

Lemma 4.4. *For any n , we recall the sequence of coherent sheaves over $\mathit{Quot}_n^\circ \times S$:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \rightarrow 0.$$

We abuse the notation and denote $pr_S^\mathcal{O}(1)$ still by $\mathcal{O}(1)$, where pr_S is the projection map to S . Let $\mathcal{W}_n = \pi^*\pi_*(\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_n) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)$, where π is just the projection to Quot_n° . Then*

(1) We have the following short exact sequence of locally free sheaves over $Quot_n^\circ$:

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{I}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}(1)^n \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{E}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces a morphism

$$gr_n : Quot_n^\circ \rightarrow Gr(n(r-1), nr).$$

(2) the natural morphism

$$\phi_n : \mathcal{W}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n$$

is surjective. Moreover, there is a short exact sequence of coherent sheaves over $Quot_n^\circ \times S$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_n \rightarrow 0.$$

(3) Let Gr_n^r denote the Grassmanian $Gr((n-1)r, nr)$ and $T_{Gr_n^r}$ the tangent bundle of Gr_n^r . Then

$$gr_n^*(T_{Gr_n^r}) = \pi_*\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{W}_n, \mathcal{E}_n)$$

Proof. (2) and (3) follows from the proof of Lemma 4.3. For proving (1), it suffices to prove that the short exact sequence is exact when restricted to any fiber of the form $x \times S$, where $x \in Quot_n^\circ$ is a closed point. Thus it suffices to show that the natural morphism

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}(1)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{E}_n) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$$

is surjective for any dim 0, length n coherent sheaf \mathcal{E}_n . We prove it by induction. The $n = 1$ case is already proven in Lemma 4.3. If $n > 1$ there always exist a subsheaf $\mathcal{E}_k \subset \mathcal{E}_n$ of length $0 < k < n$ and a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n-k} \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces the following short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}(1)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{E}_k) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}(1)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{E}_n) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}(1)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{E}_{n-k}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{E}_k \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{E}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{E}_{n-k} \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since the left and right morphisms of the above short exact sequences are surjective by the induction, so is the middle morphism. \square

4.2. The Proof of Proposition 4.1. There is a natural morphism

$$i'_n : (\mathbb{P}^{r-1})^n \rightarrow Gr_n^r$$

induced by the direct sum of sub-vector spaces, with the following Cartesian diagram:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^n & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^{r-1})^n \\ \downarrow i_n & & \downarrow i'_n \\ Quot_n^\circ & \longrightarrow & Gr_n^r. \end{array}$$

To compute

$$i_n^! \circ i_{n*} : K^{T_n}(S^n) \rightarrow K^{T_n}(Gr_n^r),$$

we first recall the general theory:

Lemma 4.5. *Given the following diagram being Cartesian*

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow i' \\ Y & \longrightarrow & Y', \end{array}$$

where i' is l.c.i. Then for any class $[F] \in K^G(X)$,

$$i'^! i_* [\mathcal{F}] = [\wedge^\bullet N_{X', Y'}^\vee] |_X \otimes [\mathcal{F}]$$

where $N_{X', Y'}$ is the normal bundle of X' over Y'

Proof. It is a natural generalization of Proposition 5.4.10 of [3]. \square

Now compute the normal bundle $N_{Gr_n^r}(\mathbb{P}^{r-1})^n$. By Lemma 4.4,

$$T_{Gr_n^r} |_{Quot_n} = \pi_* \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{W}_n, \mathcal{E}_n).$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $pr_{i, n+1} = pr_i \times id : S^n \times S \rightarrow S \times S$, where pr_i is the projection to the i -th factor. Then

$$\mathcal{W}_n |_{S^n \times S} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n pr_{i, n+1}^* z_i \mathcal{W}, \quad \mathcal{E}_n |_{S^n \times S} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n pr_{j, n+1}^* z_j \mathcal{O}_\Delta,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{Gr_n^r} |_{S^n} &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \frac{z_j}{z_i} \pi_* \mathcal{H}om(pr_{i, n+1}^* \mathcal{W}, pr_{j, n+1}^* \mathcal{O}_\Delta) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \frac{z_j}{z_i} \pi_* \mathcal{H}om(pr_{i, n+1}^* \mathcal{W}, pr_{j, n+1}^* \mathcal{O}_\Delta) \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi_* \mathcal{H}om(pr_{i, n+1}^* \mathcal{W}, pr_{i, n+1}^* \mathcal{O}_\Delta) \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$T_{(\mathbb{P}^{r-1})^n} |_{S^n} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi_* \mathcal{H}om(pr_{i, n+1}^* \mathcal{W}, pr_{i, n+1}^* \mathcal{O}_\Delta).$$

Thus

$$N_{Gr_n^r}(\mathbb{P}^{r-1})^n |_{S^n} = \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \frac{z_j}{z_i} \pi_* \mathcal{H}om(pr_{i, n+1}^* \mathcal{W}, pr_{j, n+1}^* \mathcal{O}_\Delta).$$

By Lemma 4.5, to prove Proposition 4.1, we only need to prove

$$\pi_* \mathcal{H}om(pr_{i, n+1}^* \mathcal{W}, pr_{j, n+1}^* \mathcal{O}_\Delta) = pr_{ij}^* \mathcal{W}^\vee,$$

which is a direct corollary of the following lemma:

Lemma 4.6 (Lemma 3.15 of [23]). *Let $pr_{ij} : S^3 \rightarrow S \times S$ be the projection to the i -th and j -th factor. Then*

$$pr_{12*} \mathcal{H}om(pr_{13}^* \mathcal{W}, pr_{23}^* \mathcal{O}_\Delta) = \mathcal{W}^\vee, \quad pr_{12*} \mathcal{H}om(pr_{13}^* \mathcal{V}, pr_{23}^* \mathcal{O}_\Delta) = \mathcal{V}^\vee.$$

5. WHEEL CONDITIONS FOR SURFACES

In this section, we are going to Proposition 4.2, i.e the second part of Theorem 1.1. In order to prove it, we need to give a detailed description of the refined pullback map $i_n^!$. We will introduce the concept "filtered flag varieties" in Section 5.1 and reduce Proposition 4.2 to Lemma 5.7. Finally, we prove Lemma 5.7 in Section 5.6.

5.1. Filtered Flag Varieties and Flag Schemes. In this subsection, we generalize the definition of flag varieties to filtered flag varieties.

Definition 5.1. Let $V_\bullet = \{V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_n\}$ be a sequence of vector spaces, and (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n) be an non-decreasing sequence of positive integers such that $d_i \leq \dim(V_i)$. We define the filtered flag variety

$$Fl(d_\bullet, V_\bullet)$$

to be the moduli spaces of flags of vector spaces

$$W_\bullet = (W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_n)$$

such that $\dim(W_i) = d_i$ and $W_i \subset V_i$.

Moreover, let d_\bullet^1, d_\bullet^2 be two sequences such that for all i we have $d_i^1 \leq d_i^2$. Fix a flag

$$k^{d_1^2} \subset \dots \subset k^{d_n^2}$$

and we denote by V_\bullet the flag such that $V_{d_k} = k^{d_k^2}$. Then we define

$$Fl_{d_\bullet^1, d_\bullet^2} = Fl(d_\bullet^1, V_\bullet)$$

and the filtered Grassmannian

$$Gr_{d_\bullet^1, d_\bullet^2} = \prod_{i=1}^n Gr(d_i^1 - d_{i-1}^1, d_i^2 - d_{i-1}^2).$$

Remark 5.2. The generalized flag variety $Fl(d_\bullet, V)$ is a special case of filtered flag variety, where $d_\bullet^1 = d_\bullet$ and d_\bullet^2 is a constant number.

Definition 5.3. For a given non-negative integer r , let $rd_\bullet = (rd_0, \dots, rd_n)$. We define

$$Fl_{d_\bullet}^r = Fl_{(r-1)d_\bullet, rd_\bullet}, \quad Gr_{d_\bullet}^r = Gr_{(r-1)d_\bullet, rd_\bullet}.$$

Lemma 5.4. Let $\pi : Flag_{d_\bullet}^\circ \times S \rightarrow Flag_{d_\bullet}^\circ$ be the projection map. We have the following short exact sequences of locally free sheaves over $Flag_{d_\bullet}^\circ \times S$:

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{L}_{d_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}(1)^{d_i} \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{E}_{d_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces a natural morphism

$$gr_{d_\bullet} : Flag_{d_\bullet}^\circ \rightarrow Fl_{d_\bullet}^r.$$

Proof. It follows from the proof of Lemma 4.4. □

The trivial extension induce a natural regular embedding

$$j'_{d_\bullet} : Gr_{d_\bullet}^r \rightarrow Fl_{d_\bullet}^r.$$

The morphism sending W_\bullet to $(W_1/W_0, \dots, W_n/W_{n-1})$ induces a l.c.i. morphism

$$p'_{d_\bullet} : Fl_{d_\bullet}^r \rightarrow Gr_{d_\bullet}^r.$$

such that $pr'_{d_\bullet} \circ j'_{d_\bullet} = id$. The morphism sending W_\bullet to W_n induces a l.c.i morphism

$$i_{d_\bullet} : Fl_{d_\bullet}^r \rightarrow Gr_d^r$$

where $Gr_d^r = Gr((r-1)d, rd)$.

We have the following Cartesian diagram

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Quot}_{d_\bullet}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\prod_{i=1}^n gr^{d_i}} & Gr_{d_\bullet}^r \\ \downarrow j_{d_\bullet} & & \downarrow j'_{d_\bullet} \\ \text{Flag}_{d_\bullet}^\circ & \xrightarrow{gr^{d_\bullet}} & Fl_{d_\bullet}^r \\ \downarrow i_{d_\bullet} & & \downarrow i'_{d_\bullet} \\ \text{Quot}_d^\circ & \xrightarrow{gr^d} & Gr_d^r \end{array}$$

In fact, $i_n^! = i_{d_\bullet}^!$, where $d_\bullet = (0, 1, \dots, n)$. And we have the following Cartesian diagram:

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^n & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^{r-1})^n \\ \downarrow i_3 \times i_{n-3} & & \downarrow i'_3 \times i'_{n-3} \\ \text{Quot}_{3,n-3}^\circ & \xrightarrow{gr_3 \times gr_{n-3}} & Gr_{3,n-3}^r \\ \downarrow j_{3,n-3} & & \downarrow j'_{3,n-3} \\ \text{Flag}_{3,n-3}^\circ & \xrightarrow{gr_{3,n-3}} & Fl_{3,n-3}^r \\ \downarrow i_{3,n-3} & & \downarrow i'_{3,n-3} \\ \text{Quot}_n^\circ & \xrightarrow{gr_n} & Gr((r-1)n, rn) \end{array}$$

So by Lemma 2.8 we have

$$(5.3) \quad i_n^! = (i'_3 \times i'_{n-3})^! \circ j_{3,n-3}^! \circ i_{3,n-3}^! : K^{T_n}(\text{Quot}_n^\circ) \rightarrow K^{T_n}(S^n),$$

and for any $[\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T_n}(\text{Quot}_n^\circ)$

$$i_n^!(\mathcal{F}) = (i'_3 \otimes id)[\mathcal{F}'],$$

where $[\mathcal{F}'] = (id \times i'_{n-3})^! \circ j_{3,n-3}^! \circ i_{3,n-3}^! [\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T_n}(\text{Quot}_3^\circ \times S^{n-3})$. Hence to prove Proposition 4.2 we only need to prove the case that $n = 3$ of the proposition. Moreover, let $d_\bullet = (0, 1, 2, 3)$ in (5.1), we have

$$(5.4) \quad i_3^! = j_{1,1,1}^! \circ i_{1,1,1}^! : K^{T_3}(\text{Quot}_3^\circ) \rightarrow K^{T_3}(S^3)$$

by Lemma 2.8. So in order to prove Proposition 4.2, we only need to prove:

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\Delta_3 : S \rightarrow S \times S \times S$ be the small diagonal map. For any $\mathcal{F} \in K^{T_3}(\text{Flag}_{1,1,1}^\circ)$, we have*

$$\Delta_3^* \circ j_{1,1,1}^! [\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T_3}(S^3)$$

is in the ideal generated by $(1 - \frac{z_1}{z_3} \omega_S)$ and $(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_3} q_1)(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_3} q_2)$, where q_1, q_2 are two Chern roots of Ω_S .

The following Cartesian diagram:

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^3 & \xrightarrow{(gr_1)^3} & (\mathbb{P}^{r-1})^3 \\ \downarrow id \times j_{1,1} & & \downarrow id \times j'_{1,1} \\ S \times Flag_{1,1}^\circ & \xrightarrow{gr_1 \times gr_{1,1}} & \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \times Gr_{1,1}^r \\ \downarrow j_{1,2} & & \downarrow j'_{1,2} \\ Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ & \xrightarrow{gr_{1,1,1}} & Gr_{1,1,1}^r \end{array}$$

induces the following equation by Lemma 2.8

$$(5.6) \quad j'_{1,1,1} = (id \times j'_{1,1})^! \circ j'_{1,2} : K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ) \rightarrow K^{T_3}(S^3).$$

5.2. Restrictions to the Big Diagonal.

Definition 5.6. We define $Flag_{1,1}^\diamond$ to make the following diagram be Cartesian:

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Flag_{1,1}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & Flag_{1,1}^\circ & \longrightarrow & W_{1,1} \\ \downarrow p_{1,1} & & \downarrow p_{1,1} & & \downarrow \\ S & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & S^2 & \longrightarrow & V_{1,1} \end{array}$$

and $Flag_{1,1,1}^\diamond$ by the following Cartesian diagram:

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Flag_{1,1,1}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ \\ \downarrow p_{1,1,1} & & \downarrow p_{1,1,1} \\ S & \xrightarrow{\Delta_3} & S^3, \end{array}$$

where $\Delta_3 : S \rightarrow S \times S \times S$ is the small diagonal morphism.

With the above definitions, we can extend diagram (5.5) to the following Cartesian diagram:

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S & \xrightarrow{\Delta_3} & S^3 & \xrightarrow{(gr_1)^3} & (\mathbb{P}^{r-1})^3 \\ \downarrow j''_{1,1} & & \downarrow id \times j_{1,1} & & \downarrow id \times j'_{1,1} \\ Flag_{1,1}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & S \times Flag_{1,1}^\circ & \xrightarrow{gr_1 \times gr_{1,1}} & \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \times Gr_{1,1}^r \\ \downarrow j''_{1,2} & & \downarrow j_{1,2} & & \downarrow j'_{1,2} \\ Flag_{1,1,1}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ & \xrightarrow{gr_{1,1,1}} & Gr_{1,1,1}^r \\ \downarrow p_{1,1,1} & & \downarrow & & \\ S & \xrightarrow{\Delta_3} & S^3 & & \end{array}$$

and thus by Lemma 2.6 we have

$$(5.10) \quad \Delta_3^* \circ (id \times j'_{1,1})^! \circ j'_{1,2} = j''_{1,1} \circ j''_{1,2} \circ \Delta_3^! : K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ) \rightarrow K^{T_3}(S),$$

where

$$j''_1 = (id \times j'_{1,1})^! : K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1}^\diamond) \rightarrow K^{T_3}(S), \quad j''_{1,2} = j'_{1,2} : K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ) \rightarrow K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1}^\diamond).$$

By Lemma 2.6, for any $[\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ)$,

$$\Delta_3^* \circ j'_{1,1,1}[\mathcal{F}] = j''_{1,1} \circ j'_{1,2}[\mathcal{F}'],$$

where $[\mathcal{F}'] = \Delta_3^![\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ)$. Hence in order to prove Lemma 5.5, we only need to prove:

Lemma 5.7. *For any $[\mathcal{F}'] \in K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ)$, we have*

$$j''_{1,1} \circ j'_{1,2}[\mathcal{F}'] \in K^{T_3}(S^3)$$

is in the ideal generated by $(1 - \frac{z_1}{z_3}\omega_S)$ and $(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_3}q_1)(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_3}q_2)$.

5.3. Representing $j''_{n,m}$ by push forward maps. Consider vector spaces $V_1 \subset W_1$ and $V_2 \subset W_2$. Let $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$, with the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W_1 \xrightarrow{i_1} W \xrightarrow{pr_2} W_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

where i_1, i_2 are the natural injections and pr_1, pr_2 are the natural projections. There is a 1-1 correspondence between the homomorphism

$$\phi : V_2 \rightarrow W_1/V_1$$

and $V \subset W$ with the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow V_1 \xrightarrow{i_1} V \xrightarrow{pr_2} V_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces the following lemma:

Lemma 5.8. *Given two non-negative integers n, m , we have two short exact sequences of universal locally free sheaves*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow S_{(n-1)r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{nr} \rightarrow Q_n \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow S_{(m-1)r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{mr} \rightarrow Q_m \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

over $Gr_{m,n}^r$, where $S_{(n-1)r}$ and $S_{(m-1)r}$ are tautological bundles over $Gr_{m,n}^r$. Let

$$\mathfrak{W}_{n,m} = \mathcal{H}om(S_{(m-1)r}, Q_n)$$

which is a locally free sheaf over $Gr_{n,m}^r$. Then

$$Fl_{n,m}^r = \mathfrak{W}_{n,m}$$

as vector bundles over $Gr_{n,m}^r$ and

$$p'_{n,m} = pr_{\mathfrak{W}_{n,m}} : Fl_{n,m}^r \rightarrow Gr_{n,m}^r$$

is the natural projection of $\mathfrak{W}_{n,m}$. Moreover, by Lemma 5.4

$$\begin{aligned} (gr_n \times gr_m)^* \mathfrak{W}_{n,m} &= \mathcal{H}om(\pi_*(\mathcal{I}_m \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)), \pi_*(\mathcal{E}_n)) \\ &= \pi_* \mathcal{H}om(\pi^* \pi_*(\mathcal{I}_m \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)), \mathcal{E}_n) \\ &= W_{n,m}, \end{aligned}$$

where π is the projection from $Quot_{n,m}^\circ \times S$ to $Quot_{n,m}^\circ$.

Lemma 5.8 induces the following Cartesian diagram

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W_{n,m} & \longrightarrow & Fl_{n,m}^r \\ \downarrow pr_{W_{n,m}} & & \downarrow p'_{n,m} \\ Quot_{n,m}^\circ & \xrightarrow{gr_n \times gr_m} & Gr_{n,m}^r \end{array}$$

and induces the following formula:

Lemma 5.9.

$$j_{n,m}' = i_{W_{n,m}}^* r_{n,m_*} : K^{T_{n+m}}(Flag_{n,m}^\circ) \rightarrow K^{T_{n+m}}(Quot_{n,m}^\circ),$$

where $i_{W_{n,m}}$ is the zero section map from $Quot_{n,m}^\circ$ to $W_{n,m}$ and $r_{n,m}$ is defined in (3.3).

Now let

$$r_{1,2}'' : Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ \rightarrow Flag_{1,1}^\circ \times_{Flag_{1,1}^\circ} W_{1,2}$$

$$r_{1,1}'' : Flag_{1,1}^\circ \rightarrow S \times_{S \times S} W_{1,1}$$

be the closed embedding map induced by $r_{1,2}$ and $r_{1,1}$. By Lemma 5.9 we have

$$(5.12) \quad j_{1,2}''' = i_{W_{1,2}}^* \circ r_{1,2_*}'' : K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ) \rightarrow K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1}^\circ)$$

$$(5.13) \quad i_{1,1}''' = i_{W_{1,1}}^* \circ r_{1,1_*}'' : K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1}^\circ) \rightarrow K^{T_3}(S)$$

5.4. **$Flag_{1,1}^\circ = T_S$** Recalling (4.2), we have the resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{v} \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S \times S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow 0,$$

By Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg theorem (see [2],[19] and [21]), we have

$$L\Delta^* R\Delta_*(\mathcal{O}_S) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \wedge^i \Omega_S[i] \in D^b(Coh(S)),$$

which induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_S \rightarrow \mathcal{V}|_\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{W}|_\Delta \rightarrow \Omega_S \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4.6, $W_{1,1} = \mathcal{W}^\vee$ and $V_{1,1} = \mathcal{V}^\vee$ which induces the exact sequence

$$(5.14) \quad 0 \rightarrow T_S \xrightarrow{\tau} W_{1,1}|_\Delta \rightarrow V_{1,1}|_\Delta \rightarrow \omega_S^{-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

By the Cartesian diagram (3.3), we have

Lemma 5.10.

$$Flag_{1,1}^\circ = T_S.$$

Proof. Trivial from (5.14). □

Let G be the cokernel of the morphism $\tau : T_S \rightarrow W_{1,1}|_\Delta$, which is locally free over S . Recalling (5.9), T_3 acts on $Flag_{1,1}^\circ$ by $(z_1, z_2, z_3)(x) = \frac{z_3}{z_2}x$, and $W_{1,1}$ has weight $\frac{z_3}{z_2}$. Thus by Lemma 4.5, for any $[\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1}^\circ)$,

$$(5.15) \quad j_{1,1}'''[\mathcal{F}] = i_{W_{1,1}}^* \circ \tau_*[\mathcal{F}] = i_{T_S}^* \circ \tau^* \circ \tau_* = [\wedge^{\bullet} \frac{z_2}{z_3} G^\vee] i_{T_S}^* : K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1}^\circ) \rightarrow K^{T_3}(S)$$

5.5. **An analogous Cartesian diagram of (2.5).** We define $Corr_{n,m,l}^\circ$ by the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Corr_{n,m,l}^\circ & \longrightarrow & Flag_{n,m}^\circ \times Quot_l^\circ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{n,m} \\ Quot_n^\circ \times Flag_{m,l}^\circ & \xrightarrow{p_{m,l}} & Quot_{n,m,l}^\circ \end{array}$$

Lemma 5.11 (Proposition 3.11 of [23]). *We have the following exact sequences of locally free sheaves over $Flag_{n,m}^\circ \times Quot_l^\circ$:*

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & W_{n,l} & \longrightarrow & W_{n,l+m} & \xrightarrow{f_{m,l}} & W_{n,m} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_{n,m+l} & & \downarrow \psi_{m,l} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V_{n,l} & \longrightarrow & V_{n,l+m} & \longrightarrow & V_{n,m} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Moreover, $Flag_{n,m,l}^\circ$ is the pre-image of the zero section of $\psi_{n+m,l}$ and $Corr_{n,m,l}^\circ$ is the pre-image of the zero section of $\psi_{m,l}$.

Let $Corr_{1,1,1}^\diamond$ be defined by the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Corr_{1,1,1}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & Corr_{1,1,1}^\circ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \xrightarrow{\Delta_3} & S^3 \end{array}$$

By Lemma 5.10, we have $Corr_{1,1,1}^\diamond = T_S \times_S T_S$, where the maximal torus T_3 acts on $Corr_{1,1,1}^\diamond$ by

$$(5.17) \quad (z_1, z_2, z_3)(x, y) = \left(\frac{z_2}{z_1} x, \frac{z_3}{z_2} y \right).$$

We define $p'_{1,2}$ and $p'_{2,1}$ by the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Flag_{1,1,1}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ & & Flag_{1,1,1}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & Flag_{1,1,1}^\circ \\ \downarrow p'_{2,1} & & \downarrow p_{2,1} & & \downarrow p'_{1,2} & & \downarrow p_{1,2} \\ Flag_{1,1}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & Flag_{1,1}^\circ \times S & & Flag_{1,1}^\diamond & \longrightarrow & S \times Flag_{1,1}^\circ \end{array}$$

Let

$$p = p'_{2,1} \times p'_{1,2} : Flag_{1,1,1}^\diamond \rightarrow Corr_{1,1,1}^\diamond.$$

By Lemma 5.11, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Flag_{1,1,1}^\diamond & \xrightarrow{p} & T_S \times Flag_{1,1}^\diamond \\ \downarrow r''_{1,2} & & \downarrow \\ W_{1,2} & \xrightarrow{f_{1,1}} & W_{1,1} \times_S Flag_{1,1}^\diamond \end{array}$$

where $f_{1,1}$ is surjective. We define Z_1 by the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Flag}_{1,1,1}^\diamond & \xrightarrow{\quad z_{1,2} \quad} & Z_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad \beta \quad} & T_S \times_S \text{Flag}_{1,1}^\diamond \\ & & \downarrow w_{1,2} & & \downarrow \\ & & W_{1,2} & \xrightarrow{\quad f_{1,1} \quad} & W_{1,1} \times_S \text{Flag}_{1,1}^\diamond \end{array}$$

with the dotted closed embedding

$$z_{1,2} : \text{Flag}_{1,1,1}^\diamond \rightarrow Z_1$$

such that the above diagram commutes. Moreover, we have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow Z_1 \xrightarrow{w_{1,2}} W_{1,2} \rightarrow G \times_S \text{Flag}_{1,1}^\diamond \rightarrow 0,$$

where G is the cokernel of the morphism $\tau : T_S \rightarrow W_{1,1}|_\Delta$ and has weight $\frac{z_2}{z_1}$. So Z_1 is a vector bundle over $\text{Flag}_{1,1}^\diamond$ and for any $[\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T^3}(\text{Flag}_{1,1,1}^\diamond)$, by Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} (5.18) \quad i_{W_{1,2}}^* \circ r_{1,2*}''[\mathcal{F}] &= i_{W_{1,2}}^* \circ w_{1,2*} \circ z_{1,2*}[\mathcal{F}] \\ &= i_{Z_1}^* \circ w_{1,2}^* \circ w_{1,2*} \circ z_{1,2*}[\mathcal{F}] \\ &= [\wedge^{\frac{z_2}{z_1}} pr_{T_S}^* G^\vee] i_{Z_1}^* \circ z_{1,2*}[\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T^3}(\text{Flag}_{1,1}^\diamond), \end{aligned}$$

where pr_{T_S} is the projection from T_S to S .

Now we study the image of $p : \text{Flag}_{1,1,1}^\diamond \rightarrow \text{Corr}_{1,1,1}^\diamond = T_S \times_S T_S$.

Proposition 5.12. *Let*

$$p = p'_{2,1} \times p'_{1,2} : \text{Flag}_{1,1,1}^\diamond \rightarrow T_S \times_S T_S$$

and

$$W^\diamond = \{(x, y) \in T_S \times_S T_S \mid x \wedge y = 0\}.$$

then $W^\diamond \supset \text{Im}(p)$.

Proof. We only need to prove it for fibers of every point $s \in S$. Given a closed point $s \in S$, let Flag_s^\diamond be the fiber of $\text{Flag}_{1,1,1}^\diamond$ over s and $W_s^\diamond = \{(x, y) \in T_s S \oplus T_s S \mid x \wedge y = 0\}$ be the fiber of W^\diamond over s . Let A_m be the local ring over x and m the corresponding maximal ideal. Then a closed point of Flag_s^\diamond corresponds to a commutative diagram:

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I_1 & \longrightarrow & I_2 & \longrightarrow & I_3 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_m & \longrightarrow & A_m^2 & \longrightarrow & A_m^3 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_1 & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & E_3 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

where E_i is a length i , dimension 0 module over A_m . For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3$, we define $I_{ij} = I_j/I_i$ and $E_{ij} = E_j/E_i$. Then

$$T_S \times_S T_S|_s = \text{Hom}(I_{21}, E_1) \oplus \text{Hom}(I_{32}, E_{21}) = \text{Ext}^1(E_{21}, E_1) \oplus \text{Ext}^1(E_{32}, E_{21}).$$

Moreover, $x \wedge y = 0$ is equivalent to the condition that either one of E_{31} or E_2 is a direct sum of length 1 module or $E_{31} \cong E_2$. So we only need to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 5.13. *Let $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset E_3$ be dim 0 and length 1,2,3 modules over a 2 dimensional k -algebra regular local A_m . If neither one of E_2 and E_{31} is a direct sum of length 1 modules over A_m , then $E_2 \cong E_{31}$.*

First, E_i are in fact A/m^3 modules, and $A/m^3 = k[x, y]/(x^3, x^2y, xy^2, y^3)$.

Let $b_1 \in E_1$, $b_2 \in E_2$ and $b_3 \in E_3$ such that b_1 forms a basis of E_1 as a k -vector space, b_1, b_2 forms a basis of E_2 as a k -vector space and b_1, b_2, b_3 forms a basis of E_3 as k -vector space. Multiplication by x and y induces two elements of $\text{End}_k(E_3)$, which is denoted by X and Y . Since X and Y preserves E_1, E_2, E_3 and $X^3 = Y^3 = 0$, X and Y can be represented by two commuting nilpotent upper triangular matrices, which are still denoted by X and Y . Moreover, denoting the (i, j) -the entry of X and Y by X_{ij} and Y_{ij} , then the following conditions $E_2 \cong E_{31}$ or E_2 being a direct sum of length 1 coherent sheaves or E_{31} being a direct sum of length 1 coherent sheaves is equivalent to $X_{12}Y_{23} - X_{23}Y_{12} = 0$, which is induced by $[X, Y] = 0$. \square

We define

$$\alpha : \text{Flag}_{1,1}^\diamond \times_S TS \rightarrow \text{Flag}_{1,1}^\diamond \times_S \omega_S^{-1}$$

by

$$\alpha(x, y) = (x, x \wedge y)$$

and define the scheme Z_2 by the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_2 & \xrightarrow{pr_{Z_2}} & \text{Flag}_{1,1}^\diamond \\ \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \\ Z_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha \circ \beta} & \text{Flag}_{1,1}^\diamond \times_S \omega_S^{-1}. \end{array}$$

As a corollary of Proposition 5.12, we have

Lemma 5.14. *$\text{Flag}_{1,1,1}^\diamond \subset Z_2$, i.e. there exists a closed embedding $\xi : \text{Flag}_{1,1,1}^\diamond \rightarrow Z_2$, such that $\theta \circ \xi = z_{1,2}$. Moreover,*

$$(5.20) \quad z_{1,2*} = \theta_* \circ \xi_* : K^{T_3}(\text{Flag}_{1,1,1}^\diamond) \rightarrow K^{T_3}(Z_1).$$

Let $Flag_{1,1}^\square = TS - S \subset TS = Flag_{1,1}^\diamond$. Then $\alpha \circ \beta|_{Flag_{1,1}^\square}$ is surjective and thus $Z_2|_{Flag_{1,1}^\square}$ is a vector bundle. Moreover, we have the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_2|_{Flag_{1,1}^\square} & \xrightarrow{pr_{Z_2}} & Flag_{1,1}^\square \\ \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \\ Z_1|_{Flag_{1,1}^\square} & \xrightarrow{\alpha \circ \beta} & Flag_{1,1}^\square \times_S \omega_S^{-1}. \end{array}$$

which is an analogy of (2.5) and induces the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow Z_2|_{Flag_{1,1}^\square} \xrightarrow{\theta} Z_1|_{Flag_{1,1}^\square} \rightarrow Flag_{1,1}^\square \times_S \omega_S^{-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

where ω_S^{-1} has weight $\frac{z_3}{z_1}$. Hence for any $[\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T_3}(Z_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i_{Z_1}^* \circ \theta_*[\mathcal{F}]|_{Flag_{1,1}^\square} &= i_{Z_1}^* \circ \theta_*([\mathcal{F}]|_{Flag_{1,1}^\square}) \quad \text{by Lemma 2.5} \\ (5.21) \quad &= i_{Z_2}^* \circ \theta^* \circ \theta_*([\mathcal{F}]|_{Flag_{1,1}^\square}) \\ &= (1 - \frac{z_1}{z_3} pr^* \omega_S) i_{Z_2}^* (\mathcal{F}|_{Flag_{1,1}^\square}) \in K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1}^\square) \end{aligned}$$

where pr is the projection map from $Flag_{1,1}^\square$ to S and the last step is induced by Lemma 4.5.

5.6. The Proof of Lemma 5.7. Recalling (5.12), (5.15), (5.18) and (5.20), we have for any $[\mathcal{L}] \in K^{T_3}(Flag_{1,1,1}^\diamond)$,

$$\begin{aligned} j_{1,1}'' \circ j_{1,2}''[\mathcal{L}] &= j_{1,1}''(i_{W_{1,2}}^* \circ r_{1,2*}'(\mathcal{L})) \quad \text{by (5.12)} \\ &= j_{1,1}''([\wedge^{\bullet} \frac{z_2}{z_1} pr_{T_S}^* G^\vee] i_{Z_1}^* \circ z_{1,2*}'[\mathcal{L}]) \quad \text{by (5.18)} \\ &= j_{1,1}''([\wedge^{\bullet} \frac{z_2}{z_1} pr_{T_S}^* G^\vee] i_{Z_1}^* \circ \theta_*(\xi_*[\mathcal{L}])) \quad \text{by (5.20)} \\ &= [\wedge^{\bullet} \frac{z_3}{z_2} G^\vee] [\wedge^{\bullet} \frac{z_2}{z_1} G^\vee] i_{T_S}^* \circ i_{Z_1}^* \circ \theta_*(\xi_*[\mathcal{L}]) \in K^{T_3}(S) \quad \text{by (5.15),} \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_*[\mathcal{L}] \in K^{T_3}(Z_2)$. Hence in order to prove Lemma 5.7, it suffices to prove that for any $[\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T_3}(Z_2)$,

$$i_{T_S}^* \circ i_{Z_1}^* \circ \theta_*[\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T_3}(S)$$

is in the ideal generated by $(1 - \frac{z_1}{z_3} \omega_S)$ and $(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_3} q_1)(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_3} q_2)$.

On the other hand, recalling (5.21), for any $[\mathcal{F}] \in K^{T_3}(Z_2)$, we have

$$i_{Z_1}^* \circ \theta_*[\mathcal{F}]|_{T_S - S} = (1 - \frac{z_1}{z_3} pr^* \omega_S) i_{Z_2}^* (\mathcal{F}|_{T_S - S}) \in K(T_S - S),$$

where pr is the projection from $T_S - S$ to S . Recalling the right exact sequence:

$$K^{T_3}(S) \xrightarrow{i_{T_S}} K^{T_3}(T_S) \rightarrow K^{T_3}(T_S - S) \rightarrow 0,$$

there exists $[\mathcal{G}] \in K^{T_3}(T_S)$, s.t.

$$\mathcal{G}|_{T_S - S} = i_{Z_2}^* (\mathcal{F}|_{T_S - S}) \in K^{T_3}(T_S - S).$$

Thus

$$(i_{Z_1}^* \circ \theta_*[\mathcal{F}] - (1 - \frac{z_1}{z_3} pr_{T_S}^* \omega_S)[\mathcal{G}])|_{T_S - S} = 0,$$

where pr_{T_S} is the projection from T_S to S . Hence there exists $[\mathcal{H}] \in K^{T_3}(S)$, s.t.

$$i_{Z_1}^* \circ \theta_*[\mathcal{F}] - \left(1 - \frac{z_1}{z_3} pr_{T_S}^* \omega_S\right)[\mathcal{G}] = i_{T_S^*}[\mathcal{H}].$$

Recalling (5.9), $Flag_{1,1}^\diamond = T_S$ has weight $\frac{z_3}{z_2}$ over S . Hence by Lemma 4.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_{T_S}^* i_{Z_1}^* \circ \theta_*[\mathcal{F}] &= \left(1 - \frac{z_1}{z_3} \omega_S\right)(i_{T_S}^*[\mathcal{G}]) + i_{T_S}^* i_{T_S^*}[\mathcal{H}] \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{z_1}{z_3} \omega_S\right)(i_{T_S}^*[\mathcal{G}]) + \left(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_3} q_1\right)\left(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_3} q_2\right)[\mathcal{H}]. \end{aligned}$$

is included in the ideal generated by $\left(1 - \frac{z_1}{z_3} \omega_S\right)$ and $\left(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_3} q_1\right)\left(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_3} q_2\right)$. Thus we prove Lemma 5.7 and hence Proposition 4.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dave Anderson and Sam Payne. Operational k-theory. *Doc. Math.*, 20(357-399):13, 2015.
- [2] Dima Arinkin and Andrei Căldăraru. When is the self-intersection of a subvariety a fibration? *Advances in Mathematics*, 231(2):815–842, 2012.
- [3] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg. *Representation theory and complex geometry*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [4] B Enriquez. On correlation functions of drinfeld currents and shuffle algebras. *Transformation Groups*, 5(2):111–120, 2000.
- [5] BL Feigin and AV Odesskii. Quantized moduli spaces of the bundles on the elliptic curve and their applications. In *Integrable structures of exactly solvable two-dimensional models of quantum field theory*, pages 123–137. Springer, 2001.
- [6] Boris Feigin and Alexander Tsymbaliuk. Bethe subalgebras of $uq(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ via shuffle algebras. *Selecta Math. (NS)*, 22(2):979–1011, 2016.
- [7] Mikhail Kapranov and Eric Vasserot. The cohomological Hall algebra of a surface and factorization cohomology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.07641*, 2019.
- [8] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman. Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants. *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.*, 5(arXiv:1006.2706):231–352, 2010.
- [9] Alexandre Minets. Cohomological Hall algebras for Higgs torsion sheaves, moduli of triples and sheaves on surfaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.01429*, 2018.
- [10] Andrei Negu. Shuffle algebras associated to surfaces. *Selecta Mathematica*, 25(3):36, 2019.
- [11] Alexander Vladimirovich Odesskii and Boris Lvovich Feigin. Sklyanin elliptic algebras. *Functional analysis and its applications*, 23(3):207–214, 1989.
- [12] Alexander Vladimirovich Odesskii and Boris Lvovich Feigin. Elliptic deformations of current algebras and their representations by difference operators. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 31(3):193–203, 1997.
- [13] Mauro Porta and Francesco Sala. Categorification of two-dimensional cohomological hall algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.07253*, 2019.
- [14] Marc Rosso. Quantum groups and quantum shuffles. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 133(2):399–416, 1998.
- [15] Olivier Schiffmann and Eric Vasserot. Cherednik algebras, W-algebras and the equivariant cohomology of the moduli space of instantons on a 2. *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 118(1):213–342, 2013.
- [16] Olivier Schiffmann and Eric Vasserot. The elliptic Hall algebra and the k -theory of the hilbert scheme of \mathbb{A}^2 . *Duke Math. J.*, 162(2):279–366, 02 2013.
- [17] Olivier Schiffmann and Eric Vasserot. On cohomological hall algebras of quivers: Yangians. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.07491*, 2017.
- [18] Olivier Schiffmann and Eric Vasserot. On cohomological hall algebras of quivers: generators. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2018.
- [19] Richard G Swan. Hochschild cohomology of quasiprojective schemes. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 110(1):57–80, 1996.
- [20] Robert W Thomason et al. Une formule de Lefschetz en k -théorie équivariante algébrique. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 68(3):447–462, 1992.

- [21] Amnon Yekutieli. The continuous Hochschild cochain complex of a scheme. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 54(6):1319–1337, 2002.
- [22] Yu Zhao. The Feigin-Odesskii wheel conditions and the cohomology of preprojective stacks. In preparation.
- [23] Yu Zhao. On the K-theoretic hall algebra of a surface. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.00831*, 2019.

MIT DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
E-mail address: zy199402@mit.edu