

# INCLUSION THEOREMS FOR GRAND LORENTZ SPACES

CIHAN UNAL AND ISMAIL AYDIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider some inclusion theorems for grand Lorentz spaces  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  and  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$  where  $\mu$  is a finite measure on  $(X, \Sigma)$ . Moreover, we consider the problem of the convergence of approximate identities in these spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $(X, \Sigma, \nu)$  be two finite measure spaces. It is known that  $l^p(X) \subseteq l^q(X)$  for  $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ . Subramanian [23] characterized all positive measures  $\mu$  on  $(X, \Sigma)$  for which  $L^p(\mu) \subseteq L^q(\mu)$  whenever  $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ . Also, Romero [21] investigated and developed several results of [23]. Moreover, Miamee [20] obtained the more general result as  $L^p(\mu) \subseteq L^q(\nu)$  with respect to  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . Aydin and Gurkanli [2] proved some inclusion results for which  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mu) \subseteq L^{q(\cdot)}(\nu)$ . Moreover, these results was generalized by Gurkanli [11] and Kulak [16] to the classical and variable exponent Lorentz spaces. It is note that the classical Lorentz spaces are introduced by Lorentz [18] and [19].

In 1992, Iwaniec and Sbordone [14] introduced grand Lebesgue spaces  $L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , on bounded sets  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Also, Greco et al. [13] obtained a generalized version  $L^{p,\theta}(\Omega)$ . Recently, these spaces have intensively studied for various applications including harmonic analysis, interpolation-extrapolation theory, analysis of PDE's etc. For more details, we can refer [8], [9], [10]. Gurkanli [12] studied the inclusion  $L^{p,\theta}(\mu) \subseteq L^{q,\theta}(\nu)$  under some conditions for two different measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $(X, \Sigma)$ , and proved that  $L^{p,\theta}(\mu)$  has no an approximate identities. Jain and Kumari [15] generalized the classical Lorentz spaces to grand ones. They gave some basic properties of the grand Lorentz spaces and investigated the boundedness of maximal operator for these spaces.

In this paper, we consider some properties of grand Lorentz spaces  $L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)$  and  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$ . Also, we give the relationship between these spaces in sense to [15]. Moreover, we investigate the inclusion theorems for  $L^{p,q}(\Omega, \mu)$  and  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$  and obtain more general results than [11]. Finally, we will discuss the approximate identities of  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$  regarding the boundedness of the maximal operator.

## 2. Notations and Preliminaries

The space  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is to be space of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $G$  such that  $f \cdot \chi_K \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for any compact subset  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  where  $\chi_K$  is the characteristic function of  $K$ . A Banach function space (shortly BF-space) on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a Banach space  $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$  of measurable functions which is continuously embedded into  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E30, 43A15, 46E35.

*Key words and phrases.* Grand Lorentz spaces, Inclusion, Approximate identity.

i.e. for any compact subset  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  there exists some constant  $C_K > 0$  such that  $\|f \cdot \chi_K\|_{L^1} \leq C_K \cdot \|f\|_B$  for all  $f \in B$ .

**Definition 1.** (see [3]) Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a finite measure space and  $f$  be a measurable function on  $X$ . The distribution function of  $f$  is defined by

$$\lambda_f(y) = \mu \{x \in X : f(x) > y\}$$

for all  $y > 0$ . Moreover, the rearrangement of  $f$  is defined by

$$f^*(t) = \inf \{y > 0 : \lambda_f(y) \leq t\} = \sup \{y > 0 : \lambda_f(y) > t\}, \quad t > 0$$

where  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ . Also, the average function of  $f$  is defined by

$$f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds, \quad t > 0.$$

It is clear that  $\lambda_f(\cdot)$ ,  $f^*(\cdot)$  and  $f^{**}(\cdot)$  are non-increasing and right continuous on  $(0, \infty)$ . Moreover, it is known that  $f^* \leq f^{**}$ .

**Definition 2.** (see [15]) A measurable and locally integrable function  $\omega : X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is called a weight function. We say that  $\omega_1 \prec \omega_2$  if only if there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\omega_1(t) \leq c\omega_2(t)$  for all  $t \in X$ . Two weight functions are called equivalent and written  $\omega_1 \sim \omega_2$ , if  $\omega_1 \prec \omega_2$  and  $\omega_2 \prec \omega_1$ . The classical Lorentz space  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$  consists of all measurable functions such that

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} = \left( \int_0^\infty (f^*(t))^p \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

where  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega$  is a weight function, see [15].

A special case of the space  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$ , denoted by  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  (or shortly  $L^{p,q}$ ),  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ , consists of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $X$  such that

$$\|f\|_{p,q} = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} [f^*(t)]^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & 0 < q < \infty \\ \sup_{0 < t < \infty} \left[ t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) \right], & q = \infty \end{cases}$$

is finite. The Lorentz space  $L^{p,q}$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ . It is known that  $L^p(\mu) = L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  whenever  $p = q$  where  $L^p(\mu)$  is the Lebesgue space. Moreover, the space  $L^{p,q}$  is a subspace of  $L^{p,s}$  for  $q \leq s$ . Let  $0 < q \leq p \leq s \leq \infty$ . Then, we have

$$L^{p,q} \subset L^p(\mu) \subset L^{p,s} \subset L^{p,\infty}$$

,see [3]. Denote

$$\|f\|_{p,q}^* = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty \left[ t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^{**}(t) \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & 0 < q < \infty \\ \sup_{0 < t < \infty} \left[ t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^{**}(t) \right], & q = \infty \end{cases}.$$

Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . Then, we have

$$\|f\|_{p,q} \leq \|f\|_{p,q}^* \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \|f\|_{p,q}$$

for every  $f \in L^{p,q}$ , see [3].

**Definition 3.** (see [14]) The construction of the Lorentz space  $L^{p,q}$  seems to be inspired by the Lebesgue space  $L^p$ , where  $f$  is replaced by its non-increasing re-arrangement. A generalization of Lebesgue space so-called grand Lebesgue space denoted by  $L^{p)}$ , which for  $1 < p < \infty$  consists of all measurable functions  $f$  defined on  $(0, 1)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{p)} = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left( \varepsilon \int_0^1 |f(x)|^{p-\varepsilon} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} < \infty.$$

This norm makes the space  $L^{p)}$  is a BF-space. Moreover, we have  $L^p \subset L^{p)} \subset L^{p-\varepsilon}$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0, p-1)$ , see [14].

Now, we are ready to give the definition of grand Lorentz space  $L^{p,q)}$ .

**Definition 4.** (see, [15]) Assume that  $1 < p, q \leq \infty$  and  $X = (0, 1)$ . The grand Lorentz space  $L^{p,q)}$  ( $X, \mu$ ) (shortly  $L^{p,q)}$  or  $L^{p,q)}$  ( $\mu$ ) is the space of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $X$  such that

$$\|f\|_{p,q)} = \begin{cases} \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < q-1} \left( \frac{q}{p} \varepsilon \int_0^1 t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} [f^*(t)]^{q-\varepsilon} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}, & 1 < q < \infty \\ \sup_{0 < t < 1} \left[ t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) \right], & q = \infty \end{cases}$$

is finite. It is note that these spaces coincide with the grand Lebesgue spaces  $L^{p)}$  whenever  $q = p$ . Also, the space  $L^{p,q)}$  is a BF-space under the condition  $1 < q < p < \infty$ .

Throughout this paper, we will accept that  $(X, \Sigma)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measurable space where  $X = (0, 1)$ . Moreover, we will assume that  $1 < p, q, r, s \leq \infty$ . Also, if two measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are absolutely continuous with respect to each other (denoted by  $\mu \ll \nu$  and  $\nu \ll \mu$ ), then we denote it by the symbol  $\mu \approx \nu$ . We shall throughout denote  $W(t) = \int_0^t \omega(s) ds$  and  $V(t) = \int_0^t \vartheta(s) ds$  for  $t > 0$ .

### 3. INCLUSION THEOREMS OF GRAND LORENTZ SPACES $L^{p,q)}$

In this section, we will discuss and investigate the existence of the inclusion  $L^{p,q)}$  ( $X, \mu$ )  $\subseteq$   $L^{r,s)}$  ( $X, \nu$ ) where  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are different measures.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $1 < q < p$ . If  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  convergences to  $f$  in  $L^{p,q)}$  ( $X, \mu$ ) then  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  convergences to  $f$  in measure.

*Proof.* Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  convergences to  $f$  in  $L^{p,q)}$  ( $X, \mu$ ). Then, for  $\varepsilon \in (0, q-1)$  we have

$$(3.1) \quad \left( \frac{q}{p} \varepsilon \int_0^1 t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} ((f_n - f)^*(t))^{q-\varepsilon} d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \leq \|f_n - f\|_{p,q),\mu} \longrightarrow 0$$

as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ . Since the space  $L^{p,q)}$  ( $X, \mu$ ) is a BF-space, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \int_X (f_n(x) - f(x)) dx \leq C_X \|f_n - f\|_{p,q),\mu}.$$

By (3.1) and (3.2), we get that  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  convergences to  $f$  in  $L^1(X)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that  $1 < p, r < \infty$  and  $1 < q, s \leq \infty$ . Then the space  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  is a subspace of  $L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$  in sense of equivalence classes if and only if  $\mu \approx \nu$  and the space  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  is a subspace of  $L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$  in sense of individual functions.*

*Proof.* The sufficient condition of the theorem is clear. Now, we assume that  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu) \subseteq L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$  holds in the sense of equivalence classes. Let  $f \in L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  be any individual function. This implies  $f \in L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  in the sense of equivalent classes thus  $f \in L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$  in the sense of equivalent classes by the assumption. Hence we have  $f \in L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$  in the sense of individual functions. This shows that

$$L^{p,q}(X, \mu) \subseteq L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$$

in sense of individual functions. Now, let us take any  $A \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(A) = 0$ . If  $\chi_A$  is the characteristic function of  $A$  then  $\chi_A = 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere. Also, the rearrangement of  $\chi_A$  is

$$\chi_A^*(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < t < \mu(A) \\ 0, & t \geq \mu(A) \end{cases}.$$

Since  $\mu(A) \leq 1$  and the function  $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}$  is increasing in  $\varepsilon \in (0, q-1)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_A\|_{p,q} &= \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < q-1} \left( \frac{q}{p} \varepsilon \int_0^{\mu(A)} t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} [\chi_A^*(t)]^{q-\varepsilon} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \\ &= \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < q-1} \left( \frac{q}{p} \varepsilon \int_0^{\mu(A)} t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} [\chi_A^*(t)]^{q-\varepsilon} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \\ (3.3) \quad &= \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < q-1} \left( \varepsilon (\mu(A))^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} = (q-1) (\mu(A))^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, assume that  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $q = \infty$ . This yields

$$(3.4) \quad \|\chi_A\|_{p,\infty,\mu} = \sup_{0 < t < 1} \left[ t^{\frac{1}{p}} \chi_A^*(t) \right] = \mu(A) = 0.$$

By (3.3) and (3.4), we have  $\chi_A \in L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 < q \leq \infty$ . Hence, the characteristic function of  $A$  is in the equivalent classes of  $0 \in L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$ . Since the equivalence classes of  $0$  is also an element of  $L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$ , then  $\chi_A$  is in the equivalent classes of  $0 \in L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$  with respect to  $\nu$ . This follows that  $\nu(A) = 0$ . Hence, we obtain that  $\nu \ll \mu$ . One can prove that  $\mu \ll \nu$  with the similar method. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *The space  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  is a subspace of  $L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$  in sense of equivalence classes if and only if  $\mu \approx \nu$  and there is a  $C > 0$  such that*

$$(3.5) \quad \|f\|_{r,s,\nu} \leq C \|f\|_{p,q,\mu}$$

for every  $f \in L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu) \subseteq L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$  in sense of equivalence classes. Moreover, we define the sum norm on  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$

$$\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{p,q,\mu} + \|\cdot\|_{r,s,\nu}.$$

The space  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  is a Banach space in sense to  $\|\cdot\|$ . To prove this, we assume that  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$ . Then for all  $\beta > 0$  there exists  $N(\beta) > 0$  whenever  $n, m > N(\beta)$  such that

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_{p,q,\mu} = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < q-1} \left( \frac{q}{p} \varepsilon \int_0^1 t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} [(f_n - f_m)^*(t)]^{q-\varepsilon} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} < \beta$$

and

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_{r,s,\nu} = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < s-1} \left( \frac{s}{r} \varepsilon \int_0^1 t^{\frac{s}{r}-1} [(f_n - f_m)^*(t)]^{s-\varepsilon} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{s-\varepsilon}} < \beta.$$

This yields that  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is also a Cauchy sequence in  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  and  $L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$ , and  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to functions  $f \in L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  and  $g \in L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$ , respectively. By (4.1), we have

$$(3.6) \quad L^{p,q}(X, \mu) \hookrightarrow L^{p,q-\varepsilon}(X, \mu)$$

for  $\varepsilon \in (0, q-1)$  and

$$(3.7) \quad L^{r,s}(X, \nu) \hookrightarrow L^{r,s-\varepsilon}(X, \nu)$$

for  $\varepsilon \in (0, s-1)$ . If we use (3.6), then we obtain that there is a subsequence  $(f_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $f_{n_i} \rightarrow f$  ( $\mu$ -almost everywhere). Moreover, it is easy to prove that  $(f_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $g$  in  $L^{q(\cdot),\theta}(\nu)$  and  $f_{n_i} \rightarrow g$  ( $\nu$ -almost everywhere) due to (3.7). Hence, one can find a subsequence  $(f_{n_{i_k}})$  of  $(f_{n_i})$  such that  $f_{n_{i_k}} \rightarrow g$  ( $\nu$ -almost everywhere). If we consider the space  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  is a subspace of  $L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$  in the sense of equivalence classes, then we have  $\mu \approx \nu$  by Theorem 2. This follows that

$$|f(x) - g(x)| \leq |f(x) - f_{n_{i_k}}(x)| + |f_{n_{i_k}}(x) - g(x)|,$$

that we have  $f = g$  ( $\mu$ -almost everywhere). Since  $\mu \approx \nu$ , we obtain  $f = g$  ( $\nu$ -almost everywhere), and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Now, we define the unit function  $I$  from  $(L^{p,q}(X, \mu), \|\cdot\|)$  into  $(L^{p,q}(X, \mu), \|\cdot\|_{p,q,\mu})$ . Since

$$\|I(f)\|_{p,q,\mu} = \|f\|_{p,q,\mu} \leq \|f\|,$$

then  $I$  is continuous. If we consider the Banach's theorem, then  $I$  is a homeomorphism, see [5]. This yields the norms  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q,\mu}$  are equivalent. Thus there exists a  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|f\| \leq C \|f\|_{p,q,\mu}$$

for all  $f \in L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$ . Finally, we have

$$\|f\|_{r,s,\nu} \leq \|f\| \leq C \|f\|_{p,q,\mu}.$$

This completes the necessity part of the proof. Now, we suppose that  $\mu \approx \nu$  and the inequality (3.5) holds for  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$ . Then, we have  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu) \subseteq L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$  in the sense of individual functions. By Theorem 2, the space  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  is a subspace of  $L^{r,s}(X, \nu)$  in the sense of equivalence classes. If  $1 < p, r < \infty$  and  $q = s = \infty$ , the proof follows from

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty,\mu} = \sup_{0 < t < 1} \left[ t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) \right] \leq \sup_{0 < t < 1} \left[ t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^{**}(t) \right] = \|f\|_{p,\infty,\mu}^* \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \|f\|_{p,\infty,\mu}.$$

□

**Theorem 4.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *The inclusion  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu) \subseteq L^{p,q}(X, \nu)$  holds.*
- (ii)  *$\mu \approx \nu$  and there is a  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\nu(A) \leq C\mu(A)$$

*for all  $A \in \Sigma$ .*

- (iii) *We have  $L^1(\mu) \subseteq L^1(\nu)$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii): By Theorem 3, we have  $\mu \approx \nu$  and there is a  $C > 0$  such that

$$(3.8) \quad \|f\|_{p,q,\nu} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{p,q,\mu}$$

for all  $f \in L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$ . In particular, if we consider the function as  $\chi_A$  in (3.3) and (3.8), then we have

$$(q-1)(\nu(A))^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_1 (q-1)(\mu(A))^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

This implies

$$\nu(A) \leq C\mu(A)$$

where  $C = C_1^p > 0$ .

- (ii)  $\implies$  (iii): *This proof is an immediately result of [20, Proposition 1].*

- (iii)  $\implies$  (i): By the assumption, there exists a  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$(3.9) \quad \|h\|_{1,\nu} \leq C_1 \|h\|_{1,\mu}$$

for all  $h \in L^1(\mu)$ . Let  $f \in L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  be given. Therefore, we obtain

$$\left( \frac{q}{p} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} (f^*(t))^{q-\varepsilon} d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \leq \|f\|_{p,q,\mu} < \infty$$

for all  $0 < \varepsilon < q-1$ . This yields  $\chi_{(0,1)} t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} (f^*(t))^{q-\varepsilon} \in L^1(\mu)$  for all  $0 < \varepsilon < q-1$ .

By (3.9), we get  $\chi_{(0,1)} t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} (f^*(t))^{q-\varepsilon} \in L^1(\nu)$  and

$$\int_0^1 t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} (f^*(t))^{q-\varepsilon} d\nu(t) \leq C_1 \int_0^1 t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} [f^*(t)]^{q-\varepsilon} d\mu(t).$$

This implies

$$(3.10) \quad \left( \frac{q}{p} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} (f^*(t))^{q-\varepsilon} d\nu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \leq C \left( \frac{q}{p} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{q}{p}-1} (f^*(t))^{q-\varepsilon} d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}$$

where  $C = C_1^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}$ . If we take the supremum over  $0 < \varepsilon < q-1$  in (3.10), then we have

$$\|f\|_{p,q,\nu} \leq C \|f\|_{p,q,\mu} < \infty$$

for every  $f \in L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$ . □

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $1 < q \leq p < r \leq s$ . If the inclusion  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu) \subseteq L^{r,s}(X, \mu)$  holds, then there is a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $\mu(A) \geq M$  for every  $\mu$ -non-null set  $A \in \Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu) \subseteq L^{r,s}(X, \mu)$ . By Theorem 3, there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad \|f\|_{r,s,\mu} \leq C \|f\|_{p,q,\mu}$$

for all  $f \in L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$ . Now, let  $A \in \Sigma$  be a  $\mu$ -non-null set. Since  $p < r$ , we have  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} > 0$ . In particular, if we consider the function as  $\chi_A$  in (3.3) and (3.11), then we obtain

$$(s-1)\mu(A)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C(q-1)\mu(A)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{s-1}{C(q-1)} \leq \mu(A)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}}.$$

If we set  $M = \left(\frac{s-1}{C(q-1)}\right)^{\frac{rp}{r-p}}$ , we get  $\mu(A) \geq M$ .  $\square$

#### 4. INCLUSION THEOREMS OF $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$

In [15], the authors unified the concepts of the classical Lorentz space and the grand Lebesgue space that would result in a new space  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$  denoted as follows.

**Definition 5.** Assume that  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega$  is a weight function. The grand Lorentz space  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$  is to be space of all measurable functions  $f$  defined on  $(0, 1)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left( \varepsilon \int_0^1 (f^*(t))^{p-\varepsilon} \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} < \infty.$$

The space  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$  is a BF-space when  $\omega$  is decreasing integrable weight. Moreover, the following inclusion holds for all  $0 < \varepsilon < p-1$ :

$$(4.1) \quad \Lambda_{p,\omega} \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{p,\omega} \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{p-\varepsilon,\omega}.$$

Also, if we consider  $\omega(t) = \frac{q}{p} t^{\frac{q}{p}-1}$ , then we can say that the space  $L^{p,q}(X, \mu)$  is a special case of  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$ , see [15].

It is easy to see that  $\Lambda_{p,\vartheta} \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{p,\omega}$  if  $\omega \prec \vartheta$ . Moreover, as a direct result of the definition of  $\omega \sim \vartheta$ , we obtain  $\Lambda_{p,\vartheta} = \Lambda_{p,\omega}$ . Now, we will present several advanced inclusion theorems for grand Lorentz spaces.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ . Then we have  $\Lambda_{p,\omega} \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{q,\omega}$  if and only if

$$(4.2) \quad W(1)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} < \infty$$

holds for  $0 < \varepsilon < p-1$ .

*Proof.* The necessary part is trivial by substituting in the inequality  $f = \chi_{[0,1]}$  where  $\chi_A(x) = 1$  for  $x \in A$  and  $\chi_A(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin A$ . Indeed., since the functions  $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}$  and  $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}}$  are increasing for  $0 < \varepsilon < p-1 < q-1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (q-1) \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < q-1} \left( \int_0^1 \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} &\leq C(p-1) \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left( \int_0^1 \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq C(p-1) \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < q-1} \left( \int_0^1 \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < q-1} \left( \int_0^1 \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \leq C < \infty.$$

Now, let  $f \in \Lambda_{p,\omega}$  be given. By (4.1), we get  $f \in \Lambda_{p-\varepsilon,\omega}$ . Since (4.2) holds, we have  $\Lambda_{p-\varepsilon,\omega} \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{q-\varepsilon,\omega}$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < p-1$ , see [4, Theorem 3.1]. This yields that there exists  $C(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$(4.3) \quad \|f\|_{\Lambda_{q-\varepsilon,\omega}} \leq C(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{\Lambda_{p-\varepsilon,\omega}}$$

for  $f \in \Lambda_{p-\varepsilon,\omega}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, p-1)$ . It is note that identity operator does not exceed  $\mu(X) + 1$ , see [17]. By (4.3), we have

$$\left( \int_0^1 (f^*(t))^{q-\varepsilon} \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{q-\varepsilon}} \leq 2^{p-\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{q-\varepsilon}} \int_0^1 (f^*(t))^{p-\varepsilon} \omega(t) dt.$$

This obtain

$$\left( \int_0^1 (f^*(t))^{q-\varepsilon} \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \left( \int_0^1 (f^*(t))^{p-\varepsilon} \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}$$

or equivalently

$$(4.4) \quad \varepsilon^{\frac{q-p}{(p-\varepsilon)(q-\varepsilon)}} \left( \int_0^1 (f^*(t))^{q-\varepsilon} \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \leq 2 \left( \int_0^1 (f^*(t))^{p-\varepsilon} \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}.$$

If we take the supremum over  $0 < \varepsilon < p-1 < q-1$  in both sides of (4.4), then we get

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_q,\omega} \leq C \|f\|_{\Lambda_p,\omega}$$

where  $\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < q-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{q-p}{(p-\varepsilon)(q-\varepsilon)}} < \infty$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

By the similar method in Theorem 6, we have

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ . Then we have  $\Lambda_{p,\vartheta} \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{q,\omega}$  if and only if*

$$W(1)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} V(1)^{-\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} < \infty$$

*holds for  $0 < \varepsilon < p-1$ .*

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $1 < q < p < \infty$  and let  $r$  be given by  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$ . Then we have  $\Lambda_{p,\vartheta} \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{q,\omega}$  if*

$$(4.5) \quad \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{W(t)}{V(t)} \right)^{\frac{r-\varepsilon}{p-\varepsilon}} \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r-\varepsilon}} = \left( \frac{q-\varepsilon}{r-\varepsilon} \frac{W^{\frac{r-\varepsilon}{q-\varepsilon}}(\infty)}{V^{\frac{r-\varepsilon}{p-\varepsilon}}(\infty)} + \frac{q-\varepsilon}{p-\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{W(t)}{V(t)} \right)^{\frac{r-\varepsilon}{q-\varepsilon}} \vartheta(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r-\varepsilon}} < \infty$$

*holds for  $0 < \varepsilon < q-1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \Lambda_{p,\vartheta}$  be given. By (4.1), we get  $f \in \Lambda_{p-\varepsilon,\vartheta}$ . Moreover, we assume that (4.5) holds. Thus, we have  $\Lambda_{p-\varepsilon,\vartheta} \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{q-\varepsilon,\omega}$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < q-1$ , see [4, Theorem 3.1]. This yields that there exists  $C(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$(4.6) \quad \|f\|_{\Lambda_{q-\varepsilon,\omega}} \leq C(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{\Lambda_{p-\varepsilon,\vartheta}}$$



for  $f \in \Lambda_{p-\varepsilon, \vartheta}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, p-1)$ . It is note that identity operator does not exceed  $\mu(X) + 1$ , see [17]. By (4.6), we have

$$\left( \int_0^1 (f^*(t))^{q-\varepsilon} \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{q-\varepsilon}} \leq 2^{p-\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{q-\varepsilon}} \int_0^1 (f^*(t))^{p-\varepsilon} \vartheta(t) dt.$$

This obtain

$$(4.7) \quad \left( \int_0^1 (f^*(t))^{q-\varepsilon} \omega(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} \leq 2 \varepsilon^{\frac{p-q}{(p-\varepsilon)(q-\varepsilon)}} \left( \int_0^1 (f^*(t))^{p-\varepsilon} \vartheta(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}.$$

If we take the supremum over  $0 < \varepsilon < q-1 < p-1$  in both sides of (4.7), then we get

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_{q, \omega}} \leq C \|f\|_{\Lambda_{p, \vartheta}}$$

where  $\sup_{0 < \varepsilon < q-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{p-q}{(p-\varepsilon)(q-\varepsilon)}} < \infty$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Since the space  $L^{p, q}(X, \mu)$  is a special case of  $\Lambda_{p, \omega}$ , the following inclusion follows (4.2) that*

$$L^{p, q_1} \subset L^p(\mu) \subset L^{p, s_1}$$

for  $q_1 \leq p \leq s_1$ . In similar way, we have

$$L^{p, q_2} \subset L^p(\mu) \subset L^{p, s_2}$$

under the condition (4.5) for  $s_2 \leq p \leq q_2$ .

## 5. APPROXIMATE IDENTITIES IN $\Lambda_{p, \omega}$

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be bounded and open set. It is well known that the classical Lebesgue space  $L^p(\Omega)$  has a bounded approximate identity in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Gurkanli considered  $L^{p, \theta}(\Omega)$  does not admit a bounded approximate identity in  $L^1(\Omega)$  in [12, Theorem 4], and also  $[L^p(\Omega)]_{p, \theta}$ , the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $L^{p, \theta}(\Omega)$ , admits a bounded approximate identity in  $L^1(\Omega)$  in [12, Theorem 6]. It is known that when  $\theta = 1$  the space  $L^{p, 1}(\Omega)$  reduces to the grand Lebesgue space  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

For  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$ , we denote an open ball with center  $x$  and radius  $r$  by  $B(x, r)$ . For  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ , we denote the (centered) Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator  $Mf$  of  $f$  by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls  $B(x, r)$ . In [15], the authors proved that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded in  $\Lambda_{p, \vartheta}$ .

**Definition 6.** *Assume that  $\varphi$  is an integrable function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx = 1$ . For each  $t > 0$ , define the function  $\varphi_t(x) = t^{-d} \varphi(\frac{x}{t})$ . The sequence  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t>0}$  is referred to as an approximate identity. It is known that for  $1 < p < \infty$ , the sequence  $\{\varphi_t * f\}$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , i.e.*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_t * f - f\|_{p, \Omega} = 0$$

, see [22]. If we impose additional conditions on  $\varphi$ , then the entire sequence converges almost everywhere to  $f$ . Define the radial majorant of  $\varphi$  to be the function

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \sup_{|y| \geq |x|} |\varphi(y)|.$$

If the function  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is integrable, then  $\{\varphi_t\}$  is called a potential-type approximate identity, see [6].

**Theorem 9.** (see [7]) Let  $f \in L^1_{loc}(X)$  be given. Then we have

$$\sup_{t > 0} |\varphi_t * f(x)| \leq Mf(x).$$

**Theorem 10.** If  $f \in \Lambda_{p,\omega}$ , then we have  $\varphi_t * f \rightarrow f$  in  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$  as  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \Lambda_{p,\omega}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By the Theorem 9 and the boundedness of maximal function in  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$ , we have

$$\|\varphi_t * f\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} \leq C^* \|Mf\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} \leq C \|f\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} < \infty$$

and then  $\varphi_t * f \in \Lambda_{p,\omega}$  for all  $t > 0$ . If we use the similar method in [24], then it is easy to see that the space  $C_c(X)$  is dense in  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$ . Therefore, there exists a function  $g \in C_c(X)$  such that

$$(5.1) \quad \|f - g\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} < \varepsilon$$

as  $t > 0$ . Moreover, for all  $t > 0$ , we have  $\varphi_t * g \in C^\infty_0(X)$ , see [1, Theorem 2.29]. It is easily seen that  $\varphi_t * g \rightarrow g$  uniformly on compact sets as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . Hence we have

$$(5.2) \quad \|\varphi_t * g - g\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} < \varepsilon.$$

Finally by using (5.1) and (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \varphi_t * f\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} &\leq \|f - g\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} + \|g - \varphi_t * g\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} + \|\varphi_t * g - \varphi_t * f\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we are ready to present the main theorem of this section for the space  $\Lambda_{p,\omega}$ .

**Theorem 11.** Let  $\{\varphi_t\}$  be a potential-type approximate identity. Then If  $p < \infty$ , then we have  $\|\varphi_t * f - f\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  for  $f \in \Lambda_{p,\omega}$ . Moreover, we get

$$\|\varphi_t * f\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} \leq C(A, p) \|Mf\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} \leq C(A, p) \|f\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \Lambda_{p,\omega}$  be given. By Theorem 10, for every  $\eta > 0$  there exists an  $h > 0$  such that

$$\|\varphi_t * f - f\|_{\Lambda_{p-\varepsilon,\omega}} < \eta$$

for all  $t$  satisfying  $t < h$ . This follows that

$$\|\varphi_t * f - f\|_{\Lambda_{p,\omega}} < \eta \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < q-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{q-\varepsilon}} = (q-1)\eta.$$

That is the desired result.  $\square$

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We express our thanks to Professor Amiran Gogatishvili for kind comments and helpful suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] I. Aydin, A. T. Gurkanli, The inclusion  $L^{p(x)}(\mu) \subseteq L^{q(x)}(\nu)$ . International Journal of Applied Mathematics 22(7) (2009), 1031-1040.
- [3] A. P. Blozinski, Convolution of  $L(p, q)$  functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 32(1) (1972), 237-240.
- [4] M. Carro, L. Pick, J. Soria, V. D. Stepanov, On embeddings between classical Lorentz spaces. Math. Inequal. Appl. 4(3) (2001), 397-428.
- [5] H. Cartan, Differential Calculus. Hermann, Paris-France, 1971.
- [6] D. V. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza, Approximate identities in variable  $L^p$  spaces. Math. Nach. 280 (2007), 256-270 .
- [7] J. Duoandikoetxea, Fourier Analysis vol. 29 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2000.
- [8] A. Fiorenza, G. E. Karadzhov, Grand and small Lebesgue spaces and their analogs. Z. Anal. Anwen. 23(4) (2004), 657-681.
- [9] A. Fiorenza, M. R. Formica, A. Gogatishvili, On grand and small Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces and some applications to PDE's. Differ. Equ. Appl. 10(1) (2018), 21-46.
- [10] A. Fiorenza, M. R. Formica, A. Gogatishvili, T. Kopaliani, J. M. Rakotoson, Characterization of interpolation between grand, small or classical Lebesgue spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 177(B) (2018), 422-453.
- [11] A. T. Gurkanli, On the inclusion of some Lorentz spaces. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 44-2 (2004), 441-450.
- [12] A. T. Gurkanli, Inclusions and the approximate identities of the generalized grand Lebesgue spaces. Turkish J. Math. 42 (2018), 3195-3203 .
- [13] L. Greco, T. Iwaniec, C. Sbordone, Inverting the  $p$ -harmonic operator. Manuscripta Math. 92 (1997), 249-258.
- [14] T. Iwaniec, C. Sbordone, On integrability of the Jacobien under minimal hypotheses. Arch. Rational Mechanics Anal. 119 (1992), 129-143 .
- [15] P. Jain, S. Kumari, On grand Lorentz spaces and maximal operator. Georgian Math. J. 19 (2012), 235-246.
- [16] O. Kulak, The inclusion theorems for variable exponent Lorentz spaces. Turkish J. Math. 40 (2016), 605-619.
- [17] O. Kováčik, J. Rákosník, On spaces  $L^{p(x)}$  and  $W^{k,p(x)}$ . Czechoslovak Math. J. 41(116)(4) (1991), 592-618.
- [18] G. G. Lorentz, Some new functional spaces. Ann. of Math. 51(2) (1950), 37-55.
- [19] G. G. Lorentz, On the theory of spaces  $\Lambda$ . Pacific J. Math. 1 (1951), 411-429.
- [20] A. G. Miamee, The inclusion  $L^p(\mu) \subseteq L^q(\nu)$ . Amer. Math. Monthly 98 (1991), 342-345.
- [21] J. L. Romero, When  $L^p(\mu)$  contained in  $L^q(\mu)$ . Amer. Math. Monthly 90 (1983), 203-206.
- [22] E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1970.
- [23] B. Subramanian, On the inclusion  $L^p(\mu) \subset L^q(\mu)$ . Amer. Math. Monthly 85 (1978), 479-481.
- [24] L. Y. H. Yap, Some remarks on convolution operators and  $L(p, q)$  spaces. Duke Math. J. 36(4) (1969), 647-658.

SINOP UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SINOP, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* cihanunal88@gmail.com

SINOP UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SINOP, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* iaydin@sinop.edu.tr