

σ -Unbounded Dunford-Pettis operators on Banach lattices

Miao Ouyang, Zili Chen, Jingxi Chen, Zhangjun Wang*

School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, 610000

Abstract An operator T from a Banach lattice E into a Banach lattice F is called unbounded Dunford-Pettis operator whenever $x_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$ implies $Tx_n \xrightarrow{un} 0$. In this paper, we study some properties of the operators and relationships with the other classes of operators.

Key words Banach lattice, Dunford-Pettis operator, unbounded Dunford-Pettis operator, unbounded absolute weak Dunford-Pettis operator.

2010 MR Subject Classification 46A40, 46B42

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Several recent papers investigated unbounded convergence. A net (x_α) in Banach lattices E is *unbounded order (resp. norm, absolute weak) convergent* to $x \in E$ if $(|x_\alpha - x| \wedge u)$ is order (resp. norm, absolute weak) convergent to x for any $u \in E^+$ ($x_\alpha \xrightarrow{uo(\text{resp. } un, uaw)} x$, for short). For further properties about these convergences, see [5-8] for details.

Dunford-Pettis operator has been studied in functional analysis literature. Recently, *uaw-Dunford-Pettis* operators were introduced and investigated in [9, 10]. In this paper, we introduce and study the operator which is called *unbounded Dunford-Pettis operator*, and research the relationships with other operators.

A norm on a Banach lattice E is called *order continuous* if $\|x_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ for $x_\alpha \downarrow 0$. $e \in E_+$ is called an *atom* of the Riesz space E if the principal ideal E_e is one-dimensional. E is called an *atomic Banach lattice* if it is the band generated by its atoms. A Banach lattice E is said to be *AL-space* if $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$ holds for all $x, y \in E_+$. A Banach lattice E is said to be *AM-space* if $\|x + y\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ holds for all $x, y \in E_+$. A vector $e > 0$ in a Riesz space E is said *strong order unit* whenever the ideal generated by e is E .

Recall that an operator T from a Banach space X to a Banach space Y is *Dunford-Pettis* if it maps weakly null sequences of X to norm null sequences of Y and is *weak Dunford-Pettis* if $f_n(T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$ for any w -null sequence (x_n) in X and any w -null sequence (f_n) in Y . An operator T from a Banach lattice E into a Banach space X is said to be an *unbounded absolute weak Dunford-Pettis (uaw-Dunford-Pettis, for short)* if for every norm bounded sequence (x_n) in E , $x_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$ implies $Tx_n \rightarrow 0$ [9, 10].

For undefined terminology, notations and basic theory of Riesz spaces and Banach lattices, we refer to [1-4].

2. General properties

Definition 2.1 An continuous operator T from a Banach lattice E into a Banach lattice F is called (σ) -unbounded Dunford-Pettis operator ((σ) -uDP, for short) whenever $Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{un} 0 (Tx_n \xrightarrow{un} 0)$ in F for any bounded $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{uaw} 0 (x_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0)$ in E .

An continuous operator T from a Banach lattice E into a Banach lattice F is called (σ) -unbounded absolute weak continuous operator ((σ) -uaw, for short) whenever $Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{uaw} 0 (Tx_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0)$ in F for any bounded $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{uaw} 0 (x_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0)$ in E .

The collection of all unbounded Dunford-Pettis operators of $L(E, F)$ will be denoted by $L_{uDP}(E, F)$. That is,

$$L_{uDP}(E, F) = \{T \in L(E, F) : T \text{ is uDP operator} \}$$

respectively,

$$L_{\sigma uDP}(E, F) = \{T \in L(E, F) : T \text{ is } \sigma\text{-uDP operator} \}$$

$$L_{uaw}(E, F) = \{T \in L(E, F) : T \text{ is } uaw\text{-continuous operator} \}$$

$$L_{\sigma uaw}(E, F) = \{T \in L(E, F) : T \text{ is } \sigma\text{-}uaw\text{-continuous operator} \}$$

$$L_{uDP}(E, F) \subset L_{\sigma uDP}(E, F), L_{uaw}(E, F) \subset L_{\sigma uaw}(E, F),$$

$$L_{uDP}(E, F) \subset L_{uaw}(E, F), L_{\sigma uDP}(E, F) \subset L_{\sigma uaw}(E, F).$$

It is clear that a (σ) -unbounded Dunford-Pettis operator form Banach lattice E into Banach lattice F maps norm bounded relatively (sequentially) uaw -compact subset of E to relatively (sequentially) un -compact subset of F and a (σ) -unbounded absolute weak continuous operator form Banach lattice E into Banach lattice F maps norm bounded relatively (sequentially) uaw -compact subset of E to relatively (sequentially) uaw -compact subset of F .

According to $|P_B x_\alpha - P_B x| \wedge u \leq |x_\alpha - x| \wedge u$, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.2 Let B be a projection band and P the corresponding band projection, then P is uaw -continuous.

In general, uDP operator does not necessarily exist. For example, $L_p[0, 1]$ does not have uaw -continuous functional. Next, we show that there exists uDP operator on atomic Banach lattices.

Recall that if a is an atom, then f_a stands for the corresponding *coordinate functional*.

Proposition 2.3 Let E be atomic Banach lattice, F be Banach lattice, if $L(E, F) \neq \{0\}$, then $L_{uDP}(E, F) \neq \{0\}$, moreover $L_{uaw}(E, F) \neq \{0\}$.

Proof For any atom a of E , let $P_a = f_a(x_\alpha)a$ is a band projection and P_a is uaw -continuous, so it is uaw -norm-continuous. Considering $T \circ P_e : E \rightarrow F$, it is clear that is uDP operator, moreover it is uaw -continuous.

Every unbounded absolute weak Dunford-Pettis operator is σ -uDP. If the E' has order continuous norm, by [9, proposition 1], Dunford-Pettis operator is uaw -DP, moreover it is σ -uDP. But the converse is not true in general. Considering the identity operators $I : l_2 \rightarrow l_2$, since it is order continuous Banach lattices, by [8, Theorem 4], it is uDP operator, but it is not Dunford-Pettis and uaw -Dunford-Pettis.

Dunford-Pettis operator is not necessarily uDP operator. Let $T : l_1 \rightarrow R$ be defined by $T((x_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ for every $(x_n) \in l_1$. It is clear that T is compact, hence it is Dunford-Pettis. It follows by considering the standard basis of l_1 that T can not be a unbounded Dunford-Pettis operator.

It's not hard to see that the equivalent characterization of order continuous.

Corollary 2.4 Let E be Banach lattice, then E is order continuous iff $L_{uDP}(E) = L_{\sigma uDP}(E) = L(E)$.

Proposition 2.5 Let E, F be Banach lattices, $T : E \rightarrow F$ is an onto lattice homomorphism, if T is Dunford-Pettis operator then T is σ -uDP operator.

Proof Assume $x_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$. Since T is onto homomorphism, then for each $u \in E_+$, we have $v \in F_+$ such that $Tu = v$. Thus,

$$T(|x_n| \wedge u) = T|x_n| \wedge v = |Tx_n| \wedge v \rightarrow 0$$

for all $u \in E_+$. Hence, T is unbounded Dunford-Pettis.

Corollary 2.6 Let E, F be Banach lattices, $T : E \rightarrow F$ is an onto lattice homomorphism, then T is uaw -continuous operator, moreover T is σ - uaw -continuous operator.

3. Ordering structure properties

Then, we turn to study the space of uDP operators and uaw -continuous operators, let's focus on following questions first.

- (1) Whether the uDP operators and uaw -continuous operators have dominated property?
- (2) When the uDP operators (resp. uaw -continuous operators) has modulus and the modulus is uDP (resp. uaw -continuous)?
- (3) In which condition, the space of uDP operators (resp. uaw -continuous operators) is order closed?

Proposition 3.1 For two Banach lattice E and F and two positive operators T and S satisfying $0 \leq S \leq T : E \rightarrow F$, if T is uDP, then S is uDP.

Proof Since $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$ iff $|x_\alpha| \xrightarrow{un} 0$ and T is s -continuous operator, so $T|x_\alpha| \rightarrow 0$. Using $|Sx_\alpha| \leq S|x_\alpha| \leq T|x_\alpha|$, hence $Sx_\alpha \rightarrow 0$, therefore S is s -continuous operator. Similarly,

we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2 For two Banach lattice E and F and two positive operators T and S satisfying $0 \leq S \leq T : E \rightarrow F$, if T is uaw -continuous, then S is uaw -continuous.

Theorem 3.3 Let E and F be Dedekind complete Banach lattices, for order bounded operator $T : E \rightarrow F$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) T is uDP operator.
- (2) T^+ and T^- are uDP operator.
- (3) $|T|$ is uDP operators.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Considering $C_T = \{x \in E : |T|(|x|) = 0\}^d$, C_T contains no a disjoint sequence. In fact, for a disjoint sequence $(x_n) \subset C_T$, the $|T|(|x_n|) = \sup T[-|x_n|, |x_n|]$ exists because of T is order bounded and F has order continuous norm. We can find that a disjoint sequence (y_n) satisfying $y_n \in [-|x_n|, |x_n|]$. Since y_n is disjoint, by [8, Lemma 2], $\frac{y_n}{\|Ty_n\|} \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$, so $T(\frac{y_n}{\|Ty_n\|}) \rightarrow 0$, it is contradiction, so C_T contains finite disjoint atoms at most which denoted by $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$, moreover $C_T = span\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$, the $N_T = \{x \in E : |T|(|x|) = 0\}$ also is a band, those are projection band because of E is Dedekind complete.

For any bounded $0 \leq x_\alpha \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$, $Tx_\alpha \xrightarrow{un} 0$, $T^+x_\alpha = \sup\{Ty : 0 \leq y \leq x_\alpha, y \in C_T\} \leq l \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} f_{a_i}(x_\alpha) \bigvee^m |Ta_i|$, since coordinate functional is uaw -continuous, so $T^+x_\alpha \xrightarrow{un} 0$, hence T^+ is uDP, respectively, T^- also is.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Using $T = T^+ - T^-$, since $0 \leq T^+ \leq |T|$ and $0 \leq T^- \leq |T|$, so T^+ and T^- are uDP by Proposition xxx, hence T is uDP.

Corollary 3.4 Let E be Dedekind complete Banach lattice, F be order continuous Banach lattice, for order bounded operator $T : E \rightarrow F$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) T is uaw -continuous operator.
- (2) T^+ and T^- are uaw -continuous operators.
- (3) $|T|$ is uaw -continuous operator.

Let $L_{uDP}^b(E, F)$ denotes the collection of order bounded strong continuous operators between two Banach lattices, $L_{uaw}^b(E, F)$ respectively.

Corollary 3.5 Let E and F be Dedekind complete Banach lattices, then $L_{uDP}^b(E, F)$ is a ideal of $L^b(E, F)$.

Corollary 3.6 Let E be Dedekind complete Banach lattice, F be order continuous Banach lattice, then $L_{uaw}^b(E, F)$ is a ideal of $L^b(E, F)$.

According to the above conclusion, the set of all order bounded uaw -continuous functionals in E' is an ideal, but it is not a band in general. Considering uaw -continuous functional sequence $f_n : l_1 \rightarrow R$, that is, $f_n(x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ for every $(x_n) \in E$, and $f(x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$, it is clear that $f_n \uparrow f$ and f is not uaw -continuous.

Question 3.7 In which condition, $L_{uDP}^b(E, F)$ and $L_{uaw}^b(E, F)$ are bands in $L^b(E, F)$?

4. Properties on spaces

Theorem 4.1 Let E and F be Banach lattices. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) Each positive Dunford-Pettis operator $T : E \rightarrow F$ is unbounded Dunford-Pettis.
- (2) Each positive compact operator $T : E \rightarrow F$ is unbounded Dunford-Pettis.
- (3) One of the following conditions is valid:
 - (i) E' is order continuous.
 - (ii) $F = \{0\}$.

Proof

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Assume that E' is not order continuous and $F \neq \{0\}$. We show that there exists a compact operator which is not unbounded Dunford-Pettis.

Since E is not order continuous, by Theorem 2.4.14 and Proposition 2.3.11 of [4], l_1 is a closed sublattice of E and there exists a positive projection $P : E \rightarrow l_1$. On the other

hand, since $F = 0$, there exists a vector $0 < y \in F_+$. Define the operator $S : l_1 \rightarrow F$ as follows:

$$S(\lambda_n) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \right) y$$

for each $(\lambda_n) \in l_1$. Obviously, the operator S is well defined. Let

$$T = S \circ P : E \rightarrow l_1 \rightarrow F$$

then T is a compact operator since S is a finite rank operator (rank is 1). But T is not an unbounded Dunford-Pettis operator. Let (e_n) be the canonical basis of l_1 . Obviously, $e_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$ and $T(e_n) = y \xrightarrow{un} y$. Hence, T is not a unbounded Dunford-Pettis operator.

(3)(i) \Rightarrow (1) Follows from [9, Proposition 1].

(3)(ii) \Rightarrow (1) Obvious.

Proposition 4.2 Let E be AM -space or atomic order continuous Banach lattice, F be a Banach lattice with strong order unit, if $T : E \rightarrow F$ is σ -uDP operator then T is Dunford-Pettis.

Proof For $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$, since E is AM -space or atomic order continuous Banach lattice, by [3, Theorem 4.31] and [4, Proposition 2.5.23], the lattice operations are weakly sequentially continuous, hence $x_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$ and $Tx_n \xrightarrow{un} 0$. Since F has strong order unit, according to [7, Theorem 2.3], $Tx_n \rightarrow 0$.

Corollary 4.3 Let E be an AM -space. Then every operator T from E into arbitrary Banach lattice is σ -uDP if and only if $Tx_n \xrightarrow{un} 0$ for $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$.

Proof \Rightarrow For any w -null sequence (x_n) , since E is AM -space, then the lattice operations in E is weakly continuous, so $x_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$, and hence $Tx_n \xrightarrow{un} 0$.

\Leftarrow For any bounded uaw -null sequence (x_n) , since E' is AL -space which is order continuous, so $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ by [8, Theorem 7], so $Tx_n \xrightarrow{un} 0$, hence T is σ -uDP.

A continuous operator $T : E \rightarrow F$ between two Banach lattices is said σ -unbounded norm continuous (σ -un-continuous, for short), whenever $x_n \xrightarrow{un} 0$ implies $Tx_n \xrightarrow{un} 0$ [11].

Theorem 4.4 Let E be atomic Banach lattice with order continuous norm, F be Banach lattice with strong order unit and $T : E \rightarrow F$ be σ -un-continuous operator. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) E is reflexive;

(2) T is Dunford-Pettis operator if and only if T is unbounded Dunford-Pettis operator.

Proof It is clear that F is lattice isomorphic to $C(K)$ for compact Hausdorff space K .

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Since E is reflexive, it is clear that E and E' are KB -space. If T is Dunford-Pettis, let $x_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$, by [8, Theorem 7], $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $Tx_n \rightarrow 0$, moreover $Tx_n \xrightarrow{un} 0$. If T is unbounded Dunford-Pettis, let $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$, by [4, Proposition 2.5.23], $x_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$ and $Tx_n \xrightarrow{un} 0$, since F has strong order unit, hence $Tx_n \rightarrow 0$, therefore T is Dunford-Pettis.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that E is not reflexive, then E contains no lattice copies of c_0 or l_1 by [3, Theorem 4.71]. Suppose that E contains a sublattice isomorphic to l_1 , let $T : l_1 \rightarrow R$ be defined by $T((x_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ for every $(x_n) \in l_1$. T is σ -*un*-continuous and let (e_n) be standard basis of l_1 , we have $e_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$ and $Te_n = 1$, hence T is not unbounded Dunford-Pettis, however T is Dunford-Pettis operator. Assume that E contains a sublattice isomorphic to c_0 and there exists a lattice complemented isomorphism $S : c_0 \rightarrow E$ because of E is order continuous. For $x_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$ in c_0 , since c_0 is order continuous, then $x_n \xrightarrow{un} 0$ by [8, Theorem 4], moreover $Sx_n \xrightarrow{un} 0$, and since T is σ -*un*-continuous, hence $TSx_n \xrightarrow{un} 0$, so $Tx_n \rightarrow 0$ by assumption of F has strong order unit. If T is Dunford-Pettis operators, then $T \circ S$ also is Dunford-Pettis operator, since c_0 and $C(K)$ are AM -space, so it is contradiction, therefore T is not Dunford-Pettis operator.

Let E be Banach space, F a Banach lattice, and we say that $T : E \rightarrow F$ is *sequentially un-compact* if TB_E is relatively sequentially un-compact [7].

Proposition 4.5 Let E, F, G be Banach lattices, $T : F \rightarrow G$ be σ -uDP operator and $S : E \rightarrow F$ be sequentially *un-compact* operator, then TS is sequentially *un-compact* operator from E into G .

Proof Suppose (x_n) is a bounded sequence in E . There is a subsequence (x_{n_k}) such that (Sx_{n_k}) is *un*-convergent, so (Sx_{n_k}) is *uaw*-convergent. Since T is unbounded Dunford-Pettis, hence (TSx_{n_k}) is *un*-convergent, as desired.

An operator $T : E \rightarrow F$ is M -weakly compact if for every norm bounded disjoint sequence (x_n) has $Tx_n \rightarrow 0$. An operator $T : E \rightarrow F$ is L -weakly compact if every disjoint sequence (y_n) in the solid hull of $T(B_E)$ is norm-null [3].

Proposition 4.6 Let E, F be Banach lattices and F has strong order unit, if $T : E \rightarrow F$ is σ -uDP operator, then T is M -weakly compact.

Proof Every bounded disjoint sequence is *uaw*-null in E , and since F has strong order unit, hence it is M -weakly compact. [7, Theorem 2.3].

Corollary 4.7 Let E, F be Banach lattices and E has order continuous norm, if $T : E \rightarrow F$ is positive M -weakly compact, then T is σ -uDP operator. It is similar to [9, Theorem 4], we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 4.8 Let E, F be Banach lattices and F has order continuous norm, then every L -weakly compact *uaw*-continuous operator from E into F is σ -uDP.

Recall that an operator $T : E \rightarrow F$ from a Banach lattice to a Banach space is *o*-weakly compact if it carries order intervals to weakly relatively compact sets.

Corollary 4.9 Every order bounded uDP operator $T : E \rightarrow F$ between two Banach lattices is *o*-weakly compact.

Proof Every order bounded disjoint sequence (x_n) is *uaw*-null, and since norm convergent and *un*-convergent are agree for order bounded sequence, we have the conclusion by [4, Theorem 3.4.4].

Corollary 4.10 The square of a order bounded uDP operator carries order intervals into relatively sequentially *un*-compact sets.

Theorem 4.11 Suppose E is a Banach lattice with strong order unit and T is a positive uDP operator on it. If positive operator S dominated by T^2 , then S^2 is compact.

Proof By Proposition 2.8 and Corollary 2.11, T is *o*-weakly compact and M -weakly compact. According to Corollary 2.12, T^2 maps order intervals into relatively sequentially *un*-compact sets. By [7, Theorem 2.3] and [3, Exercise 5.3.13], we have the conclusion.

By [9, Proposition 8], we have the following result.

Proposition 4.12 Let $T : E \rightarrow F$ be a positive unbounded Dunford-Pettis operator between Banach lattices with F Dedekind complete. Then the Kantorovich-like extension $S : E \rightarrow F$ defined via

$$S(y) = \sup \left\{ T(y \wedge y_n) : (y_n) \subseteq E_+, y_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0 \right\}$$

for $y \in E_+$ is again unbounded Dunford-Pettis.

Recall that an operator $T : E \rightarrow F$ between two Banach space is *weak Dunford-Pettis* if $f_n(Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$ for any $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in E and any $f_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in F' . A Banach space X is said to have the *Dunford-Pettis property* whenever $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in X and $x'_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in X' imply $x'_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 4.13 Let E be a Dedekind σ -complete Banach lattice, we have the following conclusions:

(1) If E is reflexive, then each weak Dunford-Pettis operator from E into E is unbounded Dunford-Pettis.

(2) If each positive weak Dunford-Pettis operator from E into E is σ -uDP operator, then E is order continuous.

Proof (1) For any weak Dunford-Pettis operator T and identity operator I , since E is reflexive, so I is weakly compact operator, by [3, Theorem 5.99], we have $I \circ T$ is Dunford-Pettis operator.

(2) Assume that E is not order continuous. By [4, Corollary 2.4.3] and the proof of [12, Theorem 1], E contains a closed sublattice isomorphic to l_∞ and there is a positive projection $P_1 : E \rightarrow l_\infty$, let $S : l_\infty \rightarrow E$ be the canonical injection and $T = S \circ P_1 : E \rightarrow l_\infty \rightarrow E$. Since l_∞ has the Dunford-Pettis property, so T is weak Dunford-Pettis operator. Hence, T is σ -uDP operator, but considering (e_n) , which is the unit vectors of l_∞ , it is a contradiction.

Theorem 4.14 Let E and F be Banach lattices. If each weak Dunford-Pettis operator is σ -uDP operator, then one of the following assertion is valid:

- (1) E' is order continuous.
- (2) F is order continuous.

Proof We show that if E' is not order continuous, then F is order continuous. Suppose that E' is not order continuous, by Proposition 2.3.11 and Theorem 2.4.14 of [4], l_1 is a closed sublattice of E and there exists a positive projection $P : E \rightarrow l_1$. Let (y_n) be order bounded disjoint sequence in F , define the operator $l_1 \rightarrow F$ as: $S(\lambda_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n$ for each (λ_n) of l_1 , it is well defined, and let $T : S \circ P : E \rightarrow l_1 \rightarrow F$. Since l_1 has the Dunford-Pettis property, so T is weak Dunford-Pettis operator, hence T is σ -uDP operator. Considering the standard basis (e_n) of l_1 , $e_n \xrightarrow{uaw} 0$ in l_1 , so $Te_n = y_n \rightarrow 0$ because of (y_n) is order bounded, hence F is order continuous by [3, Theorem 4.14].

REFERENCES

- [1] Luxemburg,W.A.J. and Zaanen,A.C., *Riesz Spaces. I*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.
- [2] Luxemburg,W.A.J. and Zaanen,A.C., *Riesz Spaces. II*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [3] Aliprantis,C.D. and Burkinshaw,O., *Positive Operators*, Springer, 2006.
- [4] Meyer-Nieberg,P., *Banach lattices*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [5] Gao,N. and Xanthos,F., Unbounded order convergence and application to martingales without probability, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 415(2), 2014, 931-947.
- [6] Gao,N., Unbounded order convergence in dual spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 419(1), 2014, 931-947.
- [7] Kandić,M., Marabeh,M.A.A. and Troitsky,V.G., Unbounded norm topology in Banach lattices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 451(1), 2017, 259-279.
- [8] Zabeti,O., Unbounded absolute weak convergence in Banach lattices, *Positivity* 22.2 (2018): 501-505.
- [9] Ozcan,N.E, Gezer,N.A., Zabeti,O., Dunford-Pettis and compact operators based on unbounded absolute weak convergence, arXiv:1708.03970v7.
- [10] Li,H. and Chen,Z., Some results on unbounded absolute weak Dunford-Pettis operators, *Positivity*(2019): 1-10.
- [11] Wang,Z., Chen,Z. and Chen,J., Unbounded norm continuous operators and strong continuous operators on Banach lattices,arXiv:1903.04854
- [12] A.W.Wickstead, Converses for the Dodds-Fremlin and Kalton-Saab theorems, *Math.Proc. Cambridge Philos. Sco.* 120(1996), 175-179.