

APPLICATIONS IN RANDOM MATRIX THEORY OF A PIII' τ -FUNCTION SEQUENCE FROM OKAMOTO'S HAMILTONIAN FORMULATION

DAN DAI, PETER J. FORRESTER, AND SHUAI-XIA XU

ABSTRACT. We consider the singular linear statistic of the Laguerre unitary ensemble consisting of the sum of the reciprocal of the eigenvalues. It is observed that the exponential generating function for this statistic can be written as a Toeplitz determinant with entries given in terms of particular K Bessel functions. Earlier studies have identified the same determinant, but with the K Bessel functions replaced by I Bessel functions, as relating to the hard edge scaling limit of a generalized gap probability for the Laguerre unitary ensemble, in the case of non-negative integer Laguerre parameter. We show that the Toeplitz determinant formed from an arbitrary linear combination of these two Bessel functions occurs as a τ -function sequence in Okamoto's Hamiltonian formulation of Painlevé III', and consequently the logarithmic derivative of both Toeplitz determinants satisfies the same σ -form Painlevé III' differential equation, giving an explanation of a fact which can be observed from earlier results. In addition, some insights into the relationship between this characterization of the generating function, and its characterization in the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit, both with the Laguerre parameter α fixed, and with $\alpha = n$ (this latter circumstance being relevant to an application to the distribution of the Wigner time delay statistic), are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. σ -form of PIII' and an LUE matrix integral. In random matrix theory, the Laguerre unitary ensemble (LUE) is a probability distribution on the space of $n \times n$ positive definite matrices, specified by the joint eigenvalue probability density function

$$(1.1) \quad p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \frac{1}{C_n} \prod_{l=1}^n \lambda_l^\alpha e^{-\lambda_l} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2, \quad \alpha > -1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in [0, \infty),$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad C_n = \prod_{j=1}^n j! \Gamma(j + \alpha)$$

is the normalisation; see e.g. the texts Mehta [24, Eq. (17.6.5)] or Forrester [14, Eq. (3.16)]. This probability distribution is realized by the eigenvalues of matrices of the form $M = X^*X$, where X is an $m \times n$ ($m \geq n$) rectangular matrix of i.i.d. entries with standard complex Gaussian distribution $\mathbf{N}[0, 1] + i\mathbf{N}[0, 1]$. In this setting, the parameter α in (1.1) equals $m - n$, and is thus a non-negative integer. The terminology ‘‘Laguerre’’ relates to the appearance of the Laguerre weight function $\lambda^\alpha e^{-\lambda}$, $\lambda > 0$, from orthogonal polynomial theory, while ‘‘unitary’’ refers to the fact that matrices $\{M\}$ as defined above have distribution unchanged by the unitary conjugation M maps to U^*MU .

One of the many applications of the Laguerre unitary ensemble is in the theory of quantum scattering; see e.g. the review [4]. There, a basic setting is the coupled lead-cavity system,

and a class of observables are the proper delay times $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^n$, defined as the eigenvalues of the Wigner–Smith matrix

$$(1.3) \quad Q := -i\hbar S^{-1} \frac{\partial S}{\partial E}.$$

In (1.3), S is the scattering matrix and E is the energy of the waves as they enter the cavity. The proper delay times are used to compute the Wigner delay time $\tau_W := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_j$. It gives a measure of the average time a wave packet spends scattering in the cavity; see [32]. For a particular random matrix model of the lead-cavity system, and assuming the presence of a time reversal symmetry breaking magnetic field, it was shown by Brouwer et al. [6] that the reciprocal of the proper delay times multiplied by n , i.e. $\{n/\tau_j\}_{j=1}^n$, have the joint distribution of (1.1) with $\alpha = n$.

As made explicitly by Mezzadri and Simm [26], the problem of quantifying the statistics of the proper delay times is therefore a particular case of the problem of quantifying the linear statistic

$$(1.4) \quad L = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_k}$$

in the Laguerre unitary ensemble. For this purpose, it is convenient to introduce the moment generating function for L , defined by

$$(1.5) \quad M_n(t) = \frac{1}{C_n} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 \prod_{l=1}^n \lambda_l^\alpha e^{-\lambda_l - \frac{t}{\lambda_l}} d\lambda_l,$$

which is also the Laplace transform of the probability density of L . Equivalently, as an average over the space of positive definite matrices belonging to the LUE, we have

$$(1.6) \quad M_n(t) = \left\langle e^{-t \text{Tr } M^{-1}} \right\rangle_{\text{LUE}}.$$

Mezzadri and Simm [26] sought a characterization of (1.5) suited to the computation of the large n form of the scaled cumulants corresponding to (1.4).

There is an alternative formulation of (1.5). Motivated by the weighting of each Lebesgue measure $d\lambda_l$ therein, define

$$(1.7) \quad w(x; t) = x^\alpha e^{-x - \frac{t}{x}}, \quad x > 0, \quad t > 0,$$

which is the classical Laguerre weight deformed by a simple pole at the origin in the exponent. Note that since $t > 0$, the factor $e^{-\frac{t}{x}}$ is exponentially small when $x \rightarrow 0^+$. As a consequence, all the moments

$$(1.8) \quad \mu_j(t) := \int_0^\infty x^j w(x; t) dx$$

exist for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The Hankel determinant associated with (1.7) is

$$(1.9) \quad D_n(t) = \det \left[\mu_{j+k}(t) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1}.$$

It is well-known that, for a general weight $w(x; t)$, the Hankel determinant admits the multiple integral representation (see e.g. [14, Eq. (5.75)])

$$(1.10) \quad D_n(t) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_I \cdots \int_I \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 \prod_{l=1}^n w(\lambda_l; t) d\lambda_l,$$

where I denotes the support of the weight. Consequently the moment generating function (1.5) can be expressed in terms of the Hankel determinant

$$(1.11) \quad M_n(t) = \frac{D_n(t)}{D_n(0)}.$$

Moreover, recalling that the explicit form of the normalization constant C_n for the Laguerre unitary ensemble from (1.2), we have

$$(1.12) \quad D_n(0) = \frac{C_n}{n!} = \frac{G(n+1)G(n+\alpha+1)}{G(\alpha+1)},$$

where $G(z)$ is the Barnes' G -function satisfying the functional relation

$$(1.13) \quad G(z+1) = \Gamma(z)G(z), \quad \text{with } G(1) = 1;$$

see [29, Eq.(5.17.1)].

A viewpoint in integrable systems theory shows that it is advantageous to extend the multiple integral (1.10) for general weights $w(\lambda; t)$, depending on at least one parameter t and vanishing at the endpoints of I , to the family of integrals

$$(1.14) \quad Z_n[w](\{c_j\}) := \int_I \cdots \int_I \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 \prod_{l=1}^n w(\lambda_l; t) e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \lambda_l^j} d\lambda_l.$$

It is a fundamental property, explained in e.g. the review [33] or the text [14, §5.7], that this family of integrals, as a function of $\{c_j\}$ satisfy the KP hierarchy of equations, with the simplest nontrivial example being

$$(1.15) \quad \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial c_1} \right)^4 + 3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial c_2} \right)^2 - 4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial c_1 \partial c_2} \right) \log Z_n + 6 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial c_1^2} \log Z_n \right)^2 = 0.$$

It is furthermore the case that Z_n satisfies additional differential equations — referred to as Virasoro constraints — which in contrast to the KP hierarchy of equations depend on the explicit form of $w(\lambda; t)$; see again [33] or [14, §9.10]. It has been known since the work of Adler and van Moerbeke [2] that by combining (1.15) and the Virasoro constraints, for some special weights $w(\lambda; t)$ it is possible to determine a differential equation for $Z_n[w](\{c_j = 0\})$ as a function of the parameter t .

By following this strategy, Mezzadri and Simm [26] deduced a characterization of (1.5) as the solution of a particular second order nonlinear equation. Earlier, for the same multiple integral (1.5), but with a different interpretation relating to bosonic replica field theories, Osipov and Kanzieper [30] had applied precisely this strategy and written down the differential equation but without giving the details. They remarked that the obtained equation relates to Painlevé III. In the time between the two works [30] and [26], Chen and Its [11] studied (1.5), motivated from its interpretation in random matrix theory as the generating function of the linear statistic (1.4), and also by it providing an opportunity for expounding on the ladder operator method from the theory of orthogonal polynomials [10], as well as the use of a Riemann-Hilbert analysis for the same purpose [23]. Moreover, they identified the nonlinear equation as a particular example of the Jimbo-Miwa-Okamoto σ -form of Painlevé III' (strictly speaking the latter requires some interpretation as it is the isomonodromy problem of Painlevé III itself, not its variant Painlevé III' for which the relationship is established).

Theorem 1. (Osipov and Kanzieper [30], Chen and Its [11], Mezzadri and Simm [26].) Specify $M_n(t)$ as the multiple integral (1.5). We have that the quantity

$$y_n(t) = t \frac{d}{dt} \log M_n(t)$$

satisfies the second order nonlinear differential equation

$$(1.16) \quad (ty_n'')^2 = (n - (2n + \alpha)y_n')^2 - 4(n(n + \alpha) + ty_n' - y_n)y_n'(y_n' - 1).$$

Remark 2. We know from [28] (see subsection 2.2 below for a brief summary) that for the Hamiltonian formulation of Painlevé III' — which depends on parameters v_1, v_2 — the modified Hamiltonian

$$(1.17) \quad h(t) = tH(t) + \frac{1}{4}v_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}t$$

satisfies the nonlinear differential equation

$$(1.18) \quad (th'')^2 + (4(h')^2 - 1)(th' - h) + v_1v_2h' - \frac{1}{4}(v_1^2 + v_2^2) = 0.$$

Comparing with (1.16) we see that the latter corresponds to setting

$$(1.19) \quad (v_1, v_2) = (2n + \alpha, -\alpha)$$

and $y_n(t) = h(t) + \frac{t}{2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{4}$.

In (1.18), setting

$$(1.20) \quad h\left(\frac{t}{4}\right) = -\sigma_{III'}(t) + \frac{t}{8} + \frac{v_1v_2}{4}$$

shows that $\sigma_{III'}$ satisfies

$$(1.21) \quad (t\sigma'')^2 - v_1v_2(\sigma')^2 + \sigma'(4\sigma' - 1)(\sigma - t\sigma') - \frac{(v_1 - v_2)^2}{4^3} = 0,$$

which following [28] we take as the standard form of the σ -Painlevé III' equation.

Remark 3. Integrable systems theory is known to be related to the characterisation of other singular statistics in random matrix theory. The case of the weight

$$(1.22) \quad w(x; z, s) = \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2x^2} + \frac{s}{x} - \frac{x^2}{2}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, 0 \leq s < \infty, x \in \mathbb{R}$$

was considered by Mezzadri and Mo [25], Brightmore et al. [5] and (in the case $s = 0$) by Min et al. [27]. Chen and Dai [9], and later Chen et al. [7] studied the Hankel determinant (1.9) for weights

$$(1.23) \quad w(x; t, \alpha, \beta) = x^\alpha(1 - x)^\beta e^{-t/x}, \quad x \in [0, 1], \alpha, \beta > 0, t \geq 0.$$

The underlying random matrix ensembles are the Gaussian unitary ensemble for (1.22) and the Jacobi unitary ensemble for (1.23). The weight

$$w(x; \{t_k\}_{k=1}^{2m}) = \exp\left(-n\left(2x^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \frac{t_k}{(x - \lambda)^k}\right)\right), \quad t_{2m} > 0,$$

corresponding to the generating function for a family of singular linear statistics in the Gaussian unitary ensemble is considered in the recent work by Dai et al. [13], while a study of (1.9) in the case of the weights

$$w_k(x; t) = x^\alpha e^{-x - (\frac{t}{x})^k}, \quad x > 0, \quad t > 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

generalising (1.7) is given in a work of Atkin et al. [3].

The works [25], [5], [7], [13], [3] cited above are actually concerned with an integrable systems characterisation of the Hankel determinant in the (scaled) large n limit. For such asymptotic studies of (1.9) with the weight (1.7), see the papers by Mezzadri and Simm [26], Xu et al. [35, 34], and also Section 3 below.

The analogue of Theorem 1 in relation to the weight (1.23) is required for our subsequent working.

Theorem 4. (Chen and Dai [9].) *Let $D_n(t)$ be given by (1.10) with weight (1.23), and set $H_n(t) = t \frac{d}{dt} \log D_n(t)$. This latter quantity satisfies the second order nonlinear differential equation*

$$(1.24) \quad (tH_n'')^2 = \left(n(n + \alpha + \beta) - H_n + (\alpha + t)H_n' \right)^2 + 4H_n'(tH_n' - H_n)(\beta - H_n').$$

Remark 5. According to the Hamiltonian formulation of the Painlevé V equation due to Okamoto [28] (see [14, §8.2] for a text book treatment), what now is referred to as the Jimbo-Miwa-Okamoto σ -form of Painlevé V is the differential equation

$$(1.25) \quad (t\sigma'')^2 - \left(\sigma - t\sigma' + 2(\sigma')^2 + (\nu_0 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3)\sigma' \right)^2 + 4 \prod_{l=0}^3 (\nu_l + \sigma') = 0,$$

where $\{\nu_j\}_{j=0}^3$ are parameters. As noted in [9], after replacing H_n in Theorem 4 by $\tilde{H}_n := H_n - n(n + \alpha + \beta)$ we see by comparing (1.24) and (1.25) that \tilde{H}_n satisfies the Jimbo-Miwa-Okamoto σ -form of PV with parameters

$$(1.26) \quad \nu_0 = 0, \quad \nu_1 = -(n + \alpha + \beta), \quad \nu_2 = n, \quad \nu_3 = -\beta.$$

1.2. σ -form of PIII' and PV and gap probabilities. Theorems 1 and 4 restate known characterisations of the Hankel determinant (1.9), considered for interpretation within random matrix theory in its equivalent multiple integral form (1.10), for the weights (1.7) and (1.23) as solutions of particular σ -form Painlevé III' and Painlevé V equation. Moreover, it has been commented that these Hankel determinants have an interpretation as the (exponential) moment generating function for the linear statistic (1.4) in the Laguerre and Jacobi unitary ensembles, respectively. One of the main points of the present paper is to identify inter-relations between these moment generating functions and other probabilistic quantities in random matrix theory, and to detail some consequences.

Long before the results of Theorems 1 and 4, the σ -forms of the Painlevé equations were shown to characterise particular gap probabilities, i.e. the probability that a certain interval is free of eigenvalues, in a variety of random matrix ensembles and their scaling limits. The pioneering work along these lines is that of Jimbo et al. [21], who expressed the probability of there being no eigenvalues in an interval of size s in the bulk scaled circular unitary ensemble, or equivalently bulk scaled Gaussian unitary ensemble — see e.g. [14, Ch. 7] for a precise meaning — in terms of a particular σ -form Painlevé V transcendent. Among many subsequent approaches to problems of this type, Forrester and Witte [17], [18], [20] proceeded by making direct use of Okamoto's Hamiltonian formulation of the Painlevé equations PII–PVI. Of particular interest is the characterization obtained for the generalized gap probability

$$(1.27) \quad E_n(s; \alpha, \mu) = \frac{1}{C_n} \int_s^\infty \cdots \int_s^\infty \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 \prod_{l=1}^n \lambda_l^\alpha e^{-\lambda_l} (\lambda_l - s)^\mu d\lambda_l,$$

where the normalisation C_n is as in (1.2). When $\mu = 0$, the above quantity is a particular gap probability for the Laguerre unitary ensemble — explicitly it is the probability that there are no eigenvalues in the interval $(0, s)$ — while for other values of μ it is a generalisation of this quantity. Note that in the case $\mu = 2$, and with n replaced by $n - 1$, (1.27) is proportional to the derivative of the probability that there are no eigenvalues in the interval $(0, s)$, and thus directly gives the probability density function for the smallest eigenvalue.

Theorem 6. (Forrester and Witte [18].) Define $U_n(t; \alpha, \mu) := t \frac{d}{dt} \log E_n(t; \alpha, \mu) - \mu n$. We have that $U_n(t; \alpha, \mu)$ satisfies the σ -Painlevé V differential equation (1.25) with parameters

$$(1.28) \quad \nu_0 = 0, \quad \nu_1 = -\mu, \quad \nu_2 = n + \alpha, \quad \nu_3 = n.$$

Suppose we change variables $\lambda_l \mapsto \lambda_l s$ in (1.27), and then $\lambda_l \mapsto 1/\lambda_l$. This shows

$$E_n(s; \alpha, \mu) = \frac{1}{C_n} s^{(\alpha+\mu+n)n} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 \prod_{l=1}^n \lambda_l^{-(\alpha+\mu+2n)} e^{-s/\lambda_l} (1 - \lambda_l)^\mu d\lambda_l.$$

Hence, up to the normalisation C_n , we can identify $s^{-n(\alpha+\mu+n)} E_n(s; \alpha, \mu)$ in the case that $\mu = \beta$, $\alpha \mapsto -\alpha - \mu - 2n$, with the multiple integral (1.10) in the case of the weight (1.23). Indeed we see that Theorems 4 and 6 map to each other with this identification of the functions of t and parameters (with regard to the latter, note that (1.25) is symmetric in $\{\nu_j\}$ so the labelling does not matter).

There is also a very simple relationship between the multiple integrals (1.10) with the weights (1.7) and (1.23). In the latter, we change variables $\lambda_l \mapsto \lambda_l/\beta$, $l = 1, \dots, n$, make the replacement $t \mapsto t/\beta$, and take $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. Up to a scaling, the deformed Jacobi weight (1.23) then limits to the deformed Laguerre weight (1.7), and the same holds true for the corresponding multiple integrals. In keeping with this, one sees that upon making the change of variables $t \mapsto t/\beta$ in (1.24), then taking the limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, the differential equation reduces to (1.16), which therefore is a particular degeneration of PV to PIII'.

Random matrix theory suggests another degeneration, starting now with (1.27). Thus it is well known that the eigenvalues of the Laguerre unitary ensemble in the neighbourhood of the origin form a well defined large n limiting state upon the so-called hard edge scaling $\lambda \mapsto \frac{\lambda}{4n}$ (the factor of 4 in the denominator is just for convenience); see e.g. [14, §7.2.1]. This suggests that (1.27), with an appropriate modification of the normalisation, admits a well defined limit upon setting $s = \frac{t}{4n}$ and taking $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed one sees that the σ -Painlevé V differential equation (1.25) with parameters (1.28) reduces to the σ -Painlevé III' differential equation (1.21) with parameters

$$(1.29) \quad (v_1, v_2) = (\alpha + \mu, \alpha - \mu).$$

On the other hand, we know from Remark 2 that up to a change of scale and linear shift the σ -Painlevé III' equation is equivalent to (1.18), which for the parameters (1.19) has a solution in terms of the generating function $M_n(t)$ (1.5). To match parameters requires that we set

$$(1.30) \quad \alpha = n, \quad \mu = n + \alpha$$

in (1.29); here the variables on the right hand side are to be considered distinct from the use of n and α in (1.27).

How then is the hard edge limit of (1.27) related to $M_n(t)$? Insight into this question can be obtained by noting from (1.30) that the case of interest in (1.27) involves $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Proceeding

independent of the Painlevé theory, Forrester and Hughes [15] showed that in this circumstance, up to the precise detail of the choice of normalisation in (1.27),

$$(1.31) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n \left(\frac{t}{4n}; \alpha, \mu \right) = e^{-t/4} t^{-\mu\alpha/2} \det \left[I_{j-k+\mu}(\sqrt{t}) \right]_{j,k=0}^{\alpha-1}.$$

Note that since the entries in the determinant depend of the difference $j - k$, this is a particular Toeplitz determinant, whereas according to (1.11) $M_n(t)$ is a Hankel determinant. Nonetheless, both are structured determinants, and moreover it is a general fact (see e.g. [14, Eq. (5.76)]) that with $c_j := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ij\theta} W(e^{i\theta}) d\theta$

$$(1.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \det[c_{j-k}]_{j,k=0}^{n-1} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n n!} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} |e^{i\theta_j} - e^{i\theta_k}|^2 \prod_{l=1}^n W(e^{i\theta_l}) d\theta_l \\ &= \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^n W(e^{i\theta_j}) \right\rangle_{\text{CUE}}, \end{aligned}$$

(cf. (1.6)) where the average is over the eigenvalues of a random matrix from the circular unitary ensemble (or equivalently a random matrix chosen from the set of unitary matrices $U(n)$ with Haar measure; see e.g. [14, §2.2.]). As observed in [18, Eq. (4.32)], applying (1.32) to (1.31) tells us that up to normalisation, and assuming $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$(1.33) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n \left(\frac{t}{4n}; \alpha, \mu \right) = e^{-t/4} t^{-\mu\alpha/2} \left\langle e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{t}\text{Tr}(U+\bar{U})} (\det U)^{-\mu} \right\rangle_{U \in \text{CUE}}.$$

In [18], a direct derivation of the fact that the RHS of (1.31) relates to a so-called τ -function in Okamoto's theory of the Hamiltonian theory of PIII' was given; for the latter see the brief summary in subsection 2.2 below. The main objective of the present study is to show how this working naturally implies a second τ -function sequence (the sequence is labelled by n), corresponding to the same parameters, which can be identified with $M_n(t)$. The required working is carried out in Section 2 below.

1.3. Asymptotics of $M_n(t)$. From both a random matrix theory viewpoint, and for application to the statistics of the Wigner delay time, it is of interest to quantify the large n form of $M_n(t)$. In the Laguerre unitary ensemble with the scaling of the eigenvalues

$$(1.34) \quad \lambda \mapsto n\lambda$$

(this has the effect of the leading order density now being on a finite interval), and for a smooth linear statistic, the mean is of order n , the variance is of order unity, and the cumulant of order k ($k > 2$) decays like n^{2-k} . This can be seen from a loop equation analysis; see e.g. [16]. The fact that the higher order cumulants tend to zero implies that in this setting the limiting distribution is a Gaussian. We know from [12, §2.1] that without scaling of the eigenvalues $\langle L \rangle = \frac{n}{\alpha}$. In fact for the singular linear statistic L , without scaling all cumulants of $\frac{1}{n}L$ are also of order unity. Their precise form will be discussed in Section 3, and related to asymptotic results contained in [13]. The situation is different in the case $\alpha = n$, as is relevant to the Wigner time delay problem: the cumulants then exhibit the behaviour typical of a smooth linear statistic [26]. We will use Theorem 1 to compute their leading large n form, reclaiming results from [26].

2. HANKEL DETERMINANTS OF BESSEL FUNCTIONS AS A τ -FUNCTION SEQUENCE IN
OKAMOTO'S THEORY OF PIII'

2.1. The Hankel determinant. Our first aim is to place the Hankel determinant corresponding to $M_n(t)$ in the context of Okamoto's Hamiltonian formulation of PIII'. Fundamental for this purpose is the observation that like in (1.31), the entries are in fact particular Bessel functions, although now of the second kind.

Lemma 1. *With the Hankel determinant defined in (1.9), we have*

$$(2.1) \quad D_n(t) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} 2^n t^{\frac{n(n+\alpha)}{2}} \det \left[K_{j-k+n+\alpha}(2\sqrt{t}) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1},$$

where K_ν is the modified Bessel function of the second kind.

Proof. Upon recalling the integral representation of the modified Bessel function of the second kind

$$(2.2) \quad K_\nu(z) = K_{-\nu}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z}{2} \right)^{\nu} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x - \frac{z^2}{4x}} \frac{dx}{x^{\nu+1}}, \quad |\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{4};$$

see e.g. [1, 29], it follows that the moments (1.8) can be expressed as

$$\mu_j(t) = 2 t^{\frac{j+\alpha+1}{2}} K_{j+1+\alpha}(2\sqrt{t}).$$

Substituting in the Hankel determinant and extracting factors gives

$$D_n(t) = 2^n t^{\frac{n(n+\alpha)}{2}} \det \left[K_{j+k+1+\alpha}(2\sqrt{t}) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1}.$$

The form (2.1) follows by arranging the columns in reverse i.e., $(1, 2, \dots, n) \mapsto (n, n-1, \dots, 1)$. \square

As will be detailed below, the significance of this form rests with knowledge of the fact that $K_\nu(2\sqrt{t})$ satisfies a second order linear differential equation, which determines a seed solution to a sequence of τ -functions for Painlevé III' in Okamoto's theory.

2.2. The Painlevé III' Hamiltonian and τ -function. In Okamoto's Hamiltonian formulation of the Painlevé III' equation [28], the Hamiltonian is

$$(2.3) \quad tH = p^2 q^2 - (q^2 + v_1 q - t)p + \frac{v_1 + v_2}{2} q;$$

see also [29, (32.6.24)]. Thus, eliminating p from the Hamilton equations

$$(2.4) \quad q' = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \quad p' = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q},$$

gives the Painlevé III' equation with parameters $(-4v_2, 4(v_1 + 1), 4, -4)$,

$$(2.5) \quad q'' = \frac{1}{q} q'^2 - \frac{1}{t} q' + \frac{q^2}{t^2} (q - v_2) - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{v_1 + 1}{t};$$

see [29, (32.6.27)].

As already remarked, it can be deduced that the modified Hamiltonian (1.17) satisfies the nonlinear equation (1.18), and that the rescaling and linear shift of the modified Hamiltonian (1.20) satisfies the σ -form of the Painlevé III' equation (1.21).

It is fundamental that the Hamiltonians associated with the Painlevé equations satisfy Bäcklund transformations. For the Painlevé III' Hamiltonian (2.3), as observed by Okamoto, the Bäcklund

transformations can be constructed in terms of elementary operators s_0 , s_1 , and s_2 . These operators have action on the parameters v_1 and v_2 , and the functions p and q , as given in the following table.

	v_1	v_2	p	q	t
s_0	$-1 - v_2$	$-1 - v_1$	$\frac{q}{t} \left[q(p-1) - \frac{1}{2}(v_1 - v_2) \right] + 1$	$-\frac{t}{q}$	t
s_1	v_2	v_1	p	$q + \frac{v_2 - v_1}{2(p-1)}$	t
s_2	v_1	$-v_2$	$1 - p$	$-q$	$-t$

TABLE 1. Bäcklund transformations relevant to the PIII' Hamiltonian (2.3); cf. [18, Table 4.1].

Following Okamoto [28], introduce the operator

$$(2.6) \quad T_1 = s_0 s_2 s_1 s_2.$$

Then we have the induced Bäcklund transformations

$$(2.7) \quad T_1(H) = H|_{(v_1, v_2) \rightarrow T_1(v_1, v_2)} \quad \text{with} \quad T_1(v_1, v_2) = (v_1 + 1, v_2 + 1).$$

as can be checked from (2.3) and Table 1.

Generally a τ -function in a Hamiltonian theory of a Painlevé system has the defining property that its logarithmic derivative with respect to t equals $H(t)$. By iterating the transformation T_1 , a sequence of τ -functions for the Painlevé III' can be defined according to

$$(2.8) \quad H[n] = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \tau[n] \quad \text{with} \quad H[n] = T_1^n H = H|_{(v_1, v_2) \rightarrow (v_1+n, v_2+n)}.$$

As shown by Okamoto [28], this sequence has the significant property of satisfying the Toda lattice equation

$$(2.9) \quad \delta^2 \ln \bar{\tau}[n] = \frac{\bar{\tau}[n-1] \bar{\tau}[n+1]}{\bar{\tau}^2[n]}, \quad \delta := t \frac{d}{dt},$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad \bar{\tau}[n](t) = t^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \tau[n] \left(\frac{t}{4} \right).$$

Thus knowledge of $\tau[0]$ and $\tau[1]$ determines the full sequence recursively. Furthermore, if $\tau[0] = 1$, it is shown in [28] that the full sequence has the double Wronskian Hankel determinant form

$$(2.11) \quad \bar{\tau}[n](t) = \det \left[\delta^{j+k} \bar{\tau}[1](t) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1}.$$

2.3. Reduction of $\{\bar{\tau}[n](t)\}$ to a Toeplitz determinant of modified Bessel functions.

A further key fact is that for a choice of parameters permitting $\tau[0] = 1$, the next member in the sequence is given in terms of modified Bessel functions.

Proposition 1. (Okamoto [28].) *The special choice of parameters $v_1 = -v_2$ in (2.3) is consistent with setting $\bar{\tau}[0] = 1$. For this choice of parameters, the first member $\bar{\tau}[1]$ in the τ -function sequence as specified by (2.8) is given by*

$$(2.12) \quad t^{\frac{v-1}{2}} \bar{\tau}[1](t) = \mathcal{L}_v(\sqrt{t}) \quad \text{with } v := v_1.$$

Here $\mathcal{L}_v(t)$ is an arbitrary linear combination of the modified Bessel functions

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{L}_v(t) = aI_v(t) + be^{v\pi i} K_v(t),$$

where a and b are constants independent of v . Moreover, the subsequent members $\bar{\tau}[n]$ are expressed in terms of the double Wronskian Hankel determinant

$$(2.14) \quad t^{\frac{n(v-1)}{2}} \bar{\tau}[n](t) = \det \left[\delta^{j+k} \mathcal{L}_v(\sqrt{t}) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1}, \quad \delta = t \frac{d}{dt}.$$

Proof. With $v_1 = -v_2$ as the $n = 0$ case, we see from (2.3) and the associated Hamilton equations that we are free to take $H = p = 0$. Recalling (2.8) we see that it is indeed valid to choose $\tau[0] = 1$.

It has been shown in [28] (see also [14, §8.2.4]) that the corresponding first member of the modified τ -function sequence (2.10), upon multiplication by $t^{-1/2}$, is determined as a solution of the second-order linear differential equation

$$tu'' + (v+1)u' - \frac{1}{4}u = 0.$$

The two linearly independent solutions of this equation are the modified Bessel functions given in (2.13), thus implying (2.12).

We can check that if $\{\bar{\tau}[n]\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ is a solution of the Toda lattice equation (2.9) with $\bar{\tau}[0] = 1$, then $\{t^{n\kappa} \bar{\tau}[n]\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ is also a solution for any κ , obtained by replacing $\bar{\tau}[1]$ by $t^\kappa \bar{\tau}[1]$ in (2.14). Making use of this result with $\kappa = (v-1)/2$ gives (2.14). \square

As found earlier [18] in the case of (2.13) with $b = 0$, using recursive properties of the Bessel functions one may simplify the determinant in (2.14) such that it is independent of the operator δ . In fact the double Wronskian Hankel form then reduces to a Toeplitz form.

Proposition 2. *We have*

$$(2.15) \quad \det \left[\delta^{j+k} \mathcal{L}_v(\sqrt{t}) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1} = \left(\frac{t}{4} \right)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \det \left[\mathcal{L}_{j-k+v}(\sqrt{t}) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1}.$$

Proof. The working of [18, Prop. 4.4] is to be followed. This is possible because $I_v(t)$ and $e^{v\pi i} K_v(t)$ satisfy the same recurrence relations. Indeed, we have

$$\mathcal{L}'_v(t) = \mathcal{L}_{v+1}(t) + \frac{v}{t} \mathcal{L}_v(t), \quad \mathcal{L}'_v(t) = \mathcal{L}_{v-1}(t) - \frac{v}{t} \mathcal{L}_v(t)$$

independent of the constants a and b in (2.13); see [29, Eq.(10.29.2)]. The working of [18, Prop. 4.4] only requires the validity of these recurrences to deduce (2.15) from (2.14). \square

Corollary 1. *The function*

$$(2.16) \quad \hat{\sigma}_n(t; v) = -t \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left(e^{-t/4} t^{\frac{v^2}{2}} \det \left[\mathcal{L}_{j-k+v}(\sqrt{t}) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1} \right)$$

satisfies the σ -form of the Painlevé III' equation (1.21) with parameters

$$(2.17) \quad (v_1, v_2) = (v+n, -v+n).$$

Proof. Substituting (2.14) and (2.15) into (2.16), and use of the definitions (1.17), (1.20), (2.8) and (2.10) shows

$$(2.18) \quad \hat{\sigma}_n(t) = -\frac{t}{4}H\left(\frac{t}{4}\right) + \frac{t}{4} - \frac{v(n+v)}{2} = -\sigma\left(\frac{t}{4}\right) + \frac{t}{8} + \frac{n^2 - v^2}{4}.$$

The stated result now follows from the theory noted below (1.17). \square

By an appropriate choice of v , and with $a = 0$ in (2.13) the determinant in (2.16) can be identified with the Hankel determinant $D_n(t)$ as given in (2.1).

Theorem 7. *Let the Hankel determinant $D_n(t)$ be defined in (1.9). We have*

$$(2.19) \quad t \frac{d}{dt} \ln D_n(t) = -\hat{\sigma}_n(4t; n + \alpha) + t - \frac{(n + \alpha)\alpha}{2},$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_n(t; v)$ satisfies the σ -form of the Painlevé III' as specified in Corollary 1, and furthermore exhibits the asymptotic behavior

$$(2.20) \quad \hat{\sigma}_n(t; v) = \frac{t}{4} + \frac{n}{2}\sqrt{t} + \left(\frac{n^2}{4} - \frac{v^2}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right), \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Choose $v = n + \alpha$ in (2.16). Then we have

$$(2.21) \quad \hat{\sigma}_n(t; n + \alpha) = -t \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left(e^{-t/4} t^{\frac{(n+\alpha)^2}{2}} \det(\mathcal{L}_{j-k+n+\alpha}(\sqrt{t}))_{j,k=0}^{n-1} \right).$$

Now in (2.13) set $a = 0$. Simple manipulation of the determinant shows

$$\det(\mathcal{L}_{j-k+n+\alpha}(2\sqrt{t}))_{j,k=0}^{n-1} = b^n e^{(n+\alpha)n\pi i} \det(K_{j-k+n+\alpha}(2\sqrt{t}))_{j,k=0}^{n-1}.$$

Using the above two formulas, the right hand side of (2.19) can be simplified to read

$$t \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left(t^{\frac{n(n+\alpha)}{2}} \det \left[K_{j-k+n+\alpha}(2\sqrt{t}) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1} \right).$$

Substituting (2.1) in the left hand side of (2.19), and simplifying by noting the factors independent of t do not contribute to the logarithmic derivative, precisely the same expression results.

To obtain the asymptotic behavior, we use the integral representation for the Hankel determinant

$$D_n(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{n(n+\alpha)}{2}}}{n!} \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 \prod_{l=1}^n \lambda_l^\alpha e^{-\sqrt{t}(\lambda_l + \frac{1}{\lambda_l})} d\lambda_l.$$

Note that the function $h(\lambda) = \lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}$, $\lambda > 0$ in the exponent has a single minimum at $\lambda = 1$ with $h(1) = 2$, $h'(1) = 0$ and $h''(1) = 2$. Hence, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the major contribution to the asymptotics of the integral arises from the neighbourhood of $\lambda_k = 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus we Taylor expand each $h(\lambda_k)$ in the exponent to second order and so replace each by $2 + (\lambda_k - 1)^2$. Now changing variables by setting $x_k = t^{\frac{1}{4}}(\lambda_k - 1)$ gives the asymptotics as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$D_n(t) = ce^{-2n\sqrt{t}t^{\frac{n(n+\alpha)}{2}} - \frac{n^2}{4}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right),$$

for some constant c . Substituting this into (2.19) gives (2.20). \square

Corollary 2. *Set*

$$(2.22) \quad y_n(t) = t \frac{d}{dt} \ln D_n(t) = -\hat{\sigma}_n(4t) + t - \frac{(n + \alpha)\alpha}{2}.$$

We have that $y_n(t)$ satisfies the differential equation (1.16).

Proof. We know from Theorem 7 the particular differential equation satisfied by $\hat{\sigma}_n(t)$. Making the scaling $t \mapsto 4t$ and the linear shift as required by the final expression in (2.22) gives (1.16). \square

Since from (1.11), $M_n(t)$ is proportional to $D_n(t)$, we can substitute $M_n(t)$ for $D_n(t)$ in Corollary 2. Doing this we reclaim Theorem 1, now derived entirely within the setting of the Okamoto τ -function theory for Painlevé III'.

2.4. Relationship to a discrete Painlevé equation. Discrete Painlevé equations are a class of nonlinear difference equations associated with translations on crystallographic lattices [22]. Bäcklund transformations have interpretation as such translations, and indeed it is well known that τ -function sequences constructed in this way satisfy discrete Painlevé type recurrences. In particular, from [19] we know that the τ -function sequence corresponding to the I -Bessel determinant in (1.31) satisfies recurrences giving rise to the alternate discrete Painlevé II equation [31]. In fact the working leading to this result proceeds entirely from the algebraic aspects of the Okamoto τ -function theory for Painlevé III', and so holds equally well for I replaced by the general linear combination (2.13).

The specific τ -function sequence to be considered is

$$(2.23) \quad \hat{\tau}[n](t) = \det \left[\mathcal{L}_{j-k+v}(\sqrt{t}) \right]_{j,k=0}^{n-1},$$

where $\mathcal{L}_v(t)$ is a linear combination of the modified Bessel functions given in (2.13). We know that $\{\bar{\tau}[n]\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ is a τ -function sequence for PIII' with t replaced by $t/4$ and parameters (2.17) in the Hamiltonian (2.3), i.e. taking the logarithmic derivative of $\bar{\tau}[n]$ gives H as specified. Noting (2.14), Proposition 2 and (2.10), we see that $t \frac{d}{dt} \log \hat{\tau}[n](t)$ is equal to $t \frac{d}{dt} \log \bar{\tau}[n](t)$ plus a constant, and hence $\{\hat{\tau}[n]\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ is also a τ -function sequence for this same H , but now shifted by a constant on the RHS of (2.3). Specializing to $a = 1$, $b = 0$ in (2.13), the Bäcklund transformations for this sequence were shown in [19] to imply a coupled recurrence scheme determining each $\hat{\tau}[n]$. As already commented, the working makes use only of algebraic properties of the transformations, and so applies equally to the case of the general linear combination in (2.13); the only change required to the result of [19] is to adjust the initial conditions.

Theorem 8. (Forrester and Witte [19, Prop. 2].) *Specify $\hat{\tau}[n]$ as in (2.23). Let p_n, q_n denote the p, q variables in the Hamiltonian (2.3) with parameters (2.17). The sequences $\{\hat{\tau}[n]\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$, $\{p_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$, $\{q_n\}_{n=0,1,\dots}$ satisfy the coupled recurrences*

$$(2.24) \quad \frac{\hat{\tau}[n+1]\hat{\tau}[n-1]}{(\hat{\tau}[n])^2} \Big|_{t \rightarrow 4t} = p_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

$$(2.25) \quad p_{n+1} = \frac{q_n^2}{t}(p_n - 1) - \frac{vq_n}{t} + 1 \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots)$$

$$(2.26) \quad q_{n+1} = -\frac{t}{q_n} + \frac{(1+n)t}{q_n(q_n(p_n - 1) - v) + t} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots)$$

subject to the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= 0, & q_0 &= t \frac{d}{dt} \log t^{-v/2} \mathcal{L}_v(2\sqrt{t}), \\ \hat{\tau}[0] &= 1, & \hat{\tau}[1]|_{t \rightarrow 4t} &= \mathcal{L}_v(2\sqrt{t}). \end{aligned}$$

It is noted in [18, Proof of Prop. 4.6] that combining the recurrences for $\{p_n\}$, $\{q_n\}$ gives

$$(2.27) \quad q_{n+1} + \frac{t}{q_n} = \frac{1+n}{p_{n+1}}.$$

It is further noted that in addition to the forward equations relating p_{n+1} and q_{n+1} to p_n, q_n , there are also backward equations, relating p_{n-1} and q_{n-1} to p_n, q_n . For q_{n-1} , this reads

$$q_{n-1} = \frac{t}{\frac{n}{p_n} - q_n}.$$

Using the above two formulas to eliminate p_{n+1} and p_n in (2.25) then gives [18, Prop. 4.6]

$$(2.28) \quad \frac{1+n}{q_n q_{n+1} + t} + \frac{n}{q_n q_{n-1} + t} = \frac{1}{q_n} - \frac{q_n}{t} + \frac{n-v}{t}, \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

It is this nonlinear difference equation which is of the type referred to as the alternate discrete Painlevé II equation [31].

3. LARGE n ASYMPTOTICS OF $M_n(t)$

We know from (1.5) and (1.6) that $M_n(t)$, specified as a ratio of Hankel determinants in (1.11), has the interpretation as the exponential moment generating function for the linear statistic (1.4). Specifically, denoting the moments by $\{m_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ we have

$$M_n(t) = 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p t^p}{p!} m_p.$$

From a statistical viewpoint, the corresponding cumulants $\{\kappa_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ can often be of more direct relevance. In general, while $\kappa_1 = m_1$, one has $\kappa_2 = m_2 - m_1^2$ which is the variance, $\kappa_3 = m_3 - 3m_2 m_1 + 2m_1^3$ which together with the variance is used to define the skewness γ according to $\gamma = \kappa_3 / \kappa_2^{3/2}$ etc. For a Gaussian distribution, $\kappa_p = 0$ for $p > 2$. The exponential generating function of the cumulants is obtained from $M_n(t)$ according to

$$(3.1) \quad \log M_n(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p t^p}{p!} \kappa_p.$$

Note that it is $\log M_n(t)$ which is directly characterized in Theorem 1. We can readily compute

$$(3.2) \quad \kappa_1 = \frac{n}{\alpha}, \quad \kappa_2 = \frac{n^2 + n\alpha}{\alpha^2(\alpha^2 - 1)},$$

by seeking a power series solution of the differential equation in Theorem 1 about the origin. Note that the first of these requires $\alpha > 0$ to be positive and thus well defined, while the second requires $\alpha > 1$. This is in keeping with the power term in the weight (1.7) being x^α , while the singularity at the origin induced by forming the k -th moment of (1.4) is to leading order proportional to x^{-k} ; for the product to be integrable requires $\alpha > k - 1$. Our interest in this section is in the scaled large n form of $\{\kappa_p\}$, both for fixed α , and the choice $\alpha = n$ as is relevant to the Wigner time delay problem.

For fixed α , as is consistent with (3.2), κ_p/n^p , $p = 1, 2, \dots$ tends to a well defined limit. This can be read off from an earlier asymptotic result for $M_n(t)$ of Xu et al. [34, Th. 1], giving the large n form uniformly valid for any $0 < t \leq d$ with d fixed, which we state with t replaced by t/n and the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ taken.

Theorem 9. (Xu et al. [34].) *Define $r(s)$ as the solution analytic at the origin of the third order nonlinear equation*

$$(3.3) \quad 2s^2 r' r''' - s^2 (r'')^2 + 2sr' r'' - 4s(r')^3 + \left(2r - \frac{1}{4}\right)(r')^2 + 1 = 0,$$

subject to the initial condition $r(0) = \frac{1}{8}(1 - 4\alpha^2)$. One has

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) = \exp \int_0^t \frac{1 - 4\alpha^2 - 8r(2\xi)}{16\xi} d\xi.$$

A characterization of this limit can also be obtained directly from Theorem 1. First note that according to (3.1), the quantity $y_n(t)$ in Theorem 1 has the power series expansion

$$(3.5) \quad y_n(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p t^p}{(p-1)!} \kappa_p.$$

We know from [34] that for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $y_n(t/n)$ tends to a well defined limit, $Y(t)$ say, analytic about the origin. From the viewpoint of the consistency of this power series with (3.5), we must have that $Y(t)$ satisfies the differential equation which results from (1.16) upon the change of variable $t \mapsto t/n$, and then equating terms of leading order in n (these occur at order n^2). This equation reads

$$(3.6) \quad (tY'')^2 = 1 + \alpha^2(Y')^2 + 2\alpha Y' - 4(tY' - Y)(Y')^2,$$

which can be checked to admit a unique power series solution, and so as an alternative characterization to (3.4) we have

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) = \exp \int_0^t \frac{Y(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi.$$

In fact it is already known from Xu et al. [35, Eq. (1.30)] and [34, Eq. (2.12)] that the function $r(s)$ as defined in Theorem 9 also satisfies

$$(3.8) \quad s^2(r'')^2 - 2s(r')^3 + \frac{8r-1}{4}(r')^2 + 2\alpha r' - 1 = 0.$$

Replacing s by $2s$, then substituting $r(2s) = -2Y(s) + (1 - 4\alpha^2)/8$, reduces (3.8) to (3.6).

In addition to the characterisation of the exponential generating function for the singular statistic (1.4), in the case of the Laguerre unitary ensemble, through the σ -Painlevé III' equation (1.16), we have also revised in Theorem 4 an analogous characterisation of the exponential generating function for (1.4), now in the Jacobi unitary ensemble. The Jacobi weight has the same power singularity x^α for $x \rightarrow 0^+$ as the Laguerre weight. Expecting the linear statistic (1.4) to probe this hard edge region, we would therefore anticipate that in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, upon appropriate scaling, the quantity $D_n(t)$ in Theorem 4 tends to the right hand side of (3.7). Indeed scaling t in (1.24) by $t \mapsto t/n^2$ we reclaim (3.6). Such a limiting relation has also recently been identified by Chen et al. [8, Thm. 7.].

We now turn our attention to the case $\alpha = n$. By an analysis of the large n form of the recurrence satisfied by $\{\kappa_p\}$ as implied by the nonlinear equation (1.16), Mezzadri and Simm

[26] established that $\{n^{2p-2}\kappa_p|_{\alpha=n}\}$ has a well defined limit, and moreover gave a specification in terms of a generating function.

Theorem 10. (Mezzadri and Simm [26].) Specify $F(t)$ as the power series solution of the first order nonlinear equation

$$(3.9) \quad 2F(t) + F'(t) - 4tF'(t) - 6t(F'(t))^2 + 4F(t)F'(t) = 1.$$

One has

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2p-2} \kappa_p \Big|_{\alpha=n} \frac{t^p}{(p-1)!} = F(t).$$

In keeping with our discussion below Theorem 9, it should be possible to identify $F(t)$ as being related to a limiting solution of (1.16). To be consistent with the established fact that $n^{2p-2}\kappa_p|_{\alpha=n}$ as a well defined large n limit, and recalling too the relation (3.5) between $y_n(t)$ and $\{\kappa_p\}$, we see that after setting $\alpha = n$ we should change variables $t \mapsto -n^2t$, and furthermore replace $y_n(-n^2t)$ by $n^2F(t)$. Doing this, and equating the leading order term shows that in addition to (3.9), F satisfies

$$(3.11) \quad (1 - F')^2 - 4F'(F' + 1)(tF' - F) = 0.$$

The compatibility of (3.11) and (3.9) can be checked directly. We begin by considering F to be specified by (3.9). Then we can check by using this to substitute for $t(F')^2$ in the factor $-4t(F')^3$ that (3.11) is valid if and only if

$$(3.12) \quad (4 - 8F)F' - (F')^2 - 4F(F')^2 + 4t(F')^2 = 3.$$

In particular, the validity or otherwise of (3.12) is unchanged by adding it to (3.11). This leaves the equation obtained by multiplying $\frac{2}{3}F'$ times (3.9), and is thus valid by our assumption that (3.9) is valid.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of DD was supported by grants from the City University of Hong Kong (Project No. 7005252), and grants from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (Project No. CityU 11303016, CityU 11300520). The work of PJF was supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) through the ARC Centre of Excellence for Mathematical and Statistical frontiers (ACEMS) and the ARC grants DP170102028 and DP210102887, and further acknowledges the support of DD in hosting a visit to City University during September 2019 when this work was completed. The work of SXX was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant numbers 11971492, 11571376 and 11201493.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover, New York, 1972.
- [2] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke, Matrix integrals, Toda symmetries, Virasora constraints and orthogonal polynomials, *Duke Math. Journal*, **80** (1995), 863–911.
- [3] M.R. Atkin, T. Claeys and F. Mezzadri, Random matrix ensembles with singularities and a hierarchy of Painlevé III equations, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, **2016** (2016), 2320–2375.
- [4] C.W.J. Beenakker, Random-matrix theory of quantum transport, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **69** (1997), 731–808.
- [5] L. Brightmore, F. Mezzadri and M.Y. Mo, A matrix model with a singular weight and Painlevé III, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **333** (2015), 1317–1364.

- [6] P.W. Brouwer, K.M. Frahm and C.W.J. Beenakker, Quantum mechanical time-delay matrix in chaotic scattering, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **78** (1997), 4737–4740.
- [7] M. Chen, Y. Chen and E. Fan, Perturbed Hankel determinant, correlation functions and Painlevé equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, **57** (2016), 023501.
- [8] M. Chen, Y. Chen and E. Fan, The Riemann-Hilbert analysis to the Pollaczek-Jacobi type orthogonal polynomials, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **143** (2019), 42–80.
- [9] Y. Chen and D. Dai, Painlevé V and a Pollaczek-Jacobi type orthogonal polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory*, **162** (2010), 2149–2167.
- [10] Y. Chen and M.E.H. Ismail, Ladder operators and differential equations for orthogonal polynomials, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **30** (1997), 7817–7829.
- [11] Y. Chen and A. Its, Painlevé III and a singular linear statistics in Hermitian random matrix ensembles, I, *J. Approx. Theory*, **162** (2010), 270–297.
- [12] F.D. Cunden, F. Mezzadri, N. O’Connell and N. Simm, Moments of random matrices and hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **369** (2019) 1091–1145.
- [13] D. Dai, S.-X. Xu and L. Zhang, *Gaussian unitary ensembles with pole singularities near the soft edge and a system of coupled Painlevé XXXIV equations*, *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, **20** (2019), no. 10, 3313–3364.
- [14] P.J. Forrester, *Log-gases and Random Matrices*, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, 34., Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2010.
- [15] P.J. Forrester and T.D. Hughes, Complex Wishart matrices and conductance in mesoscopic systems: exact results, *J. Math. Phys.*, **35** (1994), no. 12, 6736–6747.
- [16] P.J. Forrester, A.A. Rahman and N.S. Witte, Large N expansions for the Laguerre and Jacobi β -ensembles from the loop equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, **58** (2017), 113303.
- [17] P.J. Forrester and N.S. Witte, Application of the τ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: PIV, PII and the GUE, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **219** (2001), 357–398.
- [18] P.J. Forrester and N.S. Witte, Application of the τ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: PV, PIII, the LUE, JUE and CUE, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **55** (2002), 679–727.
- [19] P.J. Forrester and N.S. Witte, Discrete Painlevé equations and random matrix averages, *Nonlinearity*, **16** (2003), 1919–1944.
- [20] P.J. Forrester and N.S. Witte, Application of the τ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: PVI, the JUE, CyUE, cJUE and scaled limits, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **174** (2004), 29–114.
- [21] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Mori and M. Sato, Density matrix of an impenetrable Bose gas and the fifth Painlevé transcendent, *Physica D*, **1** (1980), 80–158.
- [22] N. Joshi, *Discrete Painlevé equations*, CBMS **131**, AMS, 2019.
- [23] A. Kapaev and E. Hubert, A note on the Lax pairs for Painlevé equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, **32** (1999), 8145–8156.
- [24] M.L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, third ed., Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2004.
- [25] F. Mezzadri and M.Y. Mo, On an average over the Gaussian unitary ensemble, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **2009** (2009), 3486–3515.
- [26] F. Mezzadri and N.J. Simm, Tau-function theory of chaotic quantum transport with $\beta = 1, 2, 4$, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **324** (2013), 465–513.
- [27] C. Min, S. Lyu and Y. Chen, Painlevé III’ and the Hankel determinant generated by a singularly perturbed Gaussian weight, *Nucl. Phys. B*, **936** (2018), 169–188.
- [28] K. Okamoto, Studies on the Painlevé equations. IV. Third Painlevé equation P_{III} , *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **30** (1987), no. 2-3, 305–332.
- [29] F.W.J. Olver, A.B. Olde Daalhuis, D.W. Lozier, B.I. Schneider, R.F. Boisvert, C.W. Clark, B.R. Miller and B.V. Saunders, eds, *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*, <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.0.23 of 2019-06-15.
- [30] V.A. Osipov and E. Kanzieper, Are bosonic replicas faulty?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **99** (2007), 050602.
- [31] A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos, T. Tamizhmani, and K.M. Tamizhmani, On a transcendental equation related to Painlevé III, and its discrete forms, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **33** (2000), 579–590.
- [32] C. Texier, Wigner time delay and related concepts – Application to transport in coherent conductors, *Physica E*, **82** (2016), 16–33.
- [33] P. van Moerbeke, Integrable lattices: random matrices and random permutations, in *Random matrix models and their applications* (P.M. Bleher and A.R. Its, eds.), Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, vol. **40**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001, pp. 321–406.

- [34] S.-X. Xu, D. Dai and Y.-Q. Zhao, Critical edge behavior and the Bessel to Airy transition in the singularly perturbed Laguerre unitary ensemble, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **332** (2014), 1257–1296.
- [35] S.-X. Xu, D. Dai and Y.-Q. Zhao, Painlevé III asymptotics of Hankel determinants for a singularly perturbed Laguerre weight, *J. Approx. Theory*, **192** (2015), 1–18.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CITY UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, TAT CHEE AVENUE, KOWLOON, HONG KONG

Email address: `dandai@cityu.edu.hk`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, ARC CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE FOR MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL FRONTIERS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, VICTORIA 3010, AUSTRALIA

Email address: `pjforr@unimelb.edu.au`

INSTITUT FRANCO-CHINOIS DE L'ENERGIE NUCLÉAIRE, SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510275, CHINA

Email address: `xushx3@mail.sysu.edu.cn`