

Distinction for unipotent p -adic groups

Nadir Matringe

December 26, 2022

Abstract

Let F be a p -adic field and \mathbf{U} be a unipotent group defined over F , and set $U = \mathbf{U}(F)$. Let σ be an involution of \mathbf{U} defined over F . Adapting the arguments of Yves Benoist ([2], [1]) in the real case, we prove the following result: an irreducible representation π of U is U^σ -distinguished if and only if it is σ -self-dual and in this case $\mathrm{Hom}_{U^\sigma}(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ has dimension one. When σ is a Galois involution these results imply a bijective correspondence between the set $\mathrm{Irr}(U^\sigma)$ of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of U^σ and the set $\mathrm{Irr}_{U^\sigma\text{-dist}}(U)$ of isomorphism classes of distinguished irreducible representations of U .

1 Introduction

Let \mathbf{G} be a connected algebraic group defined over a field F , and σ be an F -rational involution of \mathbf{G} . One says that a complex representation π of $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$ is distinguished if $\mathrm{Hom}_{G^\sigma}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$. One is in general interested in computing the dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}_{G^\sigma}(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ when π is irreducible, as well understanding the relation between irreducible distinction and conjugate self-duality.

One extensively studied situation is that of distinction by a Galois involution. Let E/F be a separable extension of quadratic field, take $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Res}_{E/F}(\mathbf{H})$ for \mathbf{H} be a connected algebraic group defined over F . Then σ is taken to be the corresponding Galois involution. A case of interest is that of finite fields, in which case it has been shown in [11, Theorem 2] that an irreducible representation π of G which is stable is distinguished if and only if it is conjugate self-dual: $\pi^\vee \simeq \pi^\sigma$.

The question of the relation between distinction and conjugate self-duality as well as that of the dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{H}}(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ remains interesting for smooth representations when F is p -adic, and it has attracted a lot of attention when \mathbf{G} is reductive. The answer is not known in general, but a conjectural and very precise answer in terms of Langlands parameters is provided by [12]. It in particular roughly says that if π is an irreducible distinguished (by a certain quadratic character) representation of G , then π^\vee and π^σ should be in the same L-packet, and moreover there should be a correspondence between irreducible distinguished representations of G and irreducible representations of $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{op}}(F)$ where the opposition group \mathbf{H}^{op} is a certain reductive group defined over F and isomorphic to \mathbf{H} over E .

Going back to a general involution, still with F a p -adic field, it seems that such questions have not attracted as much attention when \mathbf{G} is unipotent. It turns out that the different answers, provided by this paper, are simple as well as their proofs. In fact they were completely solved when $F = \mathbb{R}$ by Y. Benoist in [2] and [1], where moreover a Plancherel formula for the corresponding symmetric space was established. Our results are the same, and the proofs are

very close though sometimes the arguments have to be different. Let us quickly describe the content of this note.

If $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{U}$ is unipotent, then a smooth irreducible representation of $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}(F)$ is distinguished if and only if it is conjugate self-dual, in which case $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{U}^\sigma}(\pi, \mathbb{C})$ has dimension one (Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.3). Moreover when σ is a Galois involution, there is a bijective correspondence between distinguished irreducible representations of \mathbf{U} and representations of \mathbf{U}^σ (Corollary 5.4). Hence, setting $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{U}$, in a certain sense $\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathbf{U}$ when \mathbf{U} is unipotent.

As in [2] and [1], all proofs are based on the Kirillov construction and parametrization ([9], [14]) of irreducible representations of \mathbf{U} . In fact as the Kirillov construction in the case of smooth irreducible representations of p -adic fields seems not to be fully written in details in the litterature, we do this work in Section 3 for the convenience of the reader. Note that the classification for continuous irreducible unitary representations of \mathbf{U} on Hilbert spaces is available in several papers (see [6] and the references there), so that the Kirillov classification of smooth irreducible unitary representations of \mathbf{U} can certainly be deduced from it by considering the injection of this category into that of irreducible unitary representations on Hilbert spaces by taking smooth vectors (though we could not find a proof of this result in the case at hand), but in any case we give a direct proof here, for which we claim no originality other than that we did not find it written as such in the litterature. We make use of a result of [14], which is very well suited to obtain Kirillov's classification in a quick manner.

2 Notations

In this paper F is a p -adic field, i.e. a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , with ring of integers O_F and uniformizer ϖ_F . We consider \mathbf{U} a (necessarily connected) unipotent group defined over F . We denote by \mathbf{U} a connected unipotent group defined over F with Lie algebra \mathcal{N} so that

$$\exp : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$$

is an isomorphism of algebraic F -varieties with reciprocal map \ln ([5, Proposition 4.1]).

We set $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}(F)$ and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(F)$, the map \exp restricts as a homeomorphism from \mathcal{N} to \mathbf{U} . We will say that \mathbf{U}' is an F -subgroup of \mathbf{U} if it is the F -points of a closed algebraic subgroup \mathbf{U}' of \mathbf{U} defined over F . The map \exp induces a bijection between Lie sub-algebras of \mathcal{N} (resp. \mathcal{N}) and the F -subgroups of \mathbf{U} (resp. \mathbf{U}), for which ideals correspond to normal subgroups. Moreover if \mathbf{U}' is an F -subgroup of \mathbf{U} then $\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{U}' \simeq (\mathbf{U}/\mathbf{U}')(F)$ by [13, 14.2.6], and this bijection becomes a group isomorphism if \mathbf{U}' is normal in \mathbf{U} in which case both quotients identify to $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}' = (\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}')(F)$ via \exp .

We denote by \mathcal{Z} the center of \mathcal{N} , and by \mathbf{Z} the center $\exp(\mathcal{Z})$ of \mathbf{U} .

As a convention if \mathbf{U}_i or \mathbf{U}' is an F -subgroup of \mathbf{U} , we will denote by \mathcal{N}_i or \mathcal{N}' its Lie algebra.

A fundamental example of unipotent group is the Heisenberg group

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{H}_3 = \{h(x, y, z) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ & 1 & y \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, x, y, z \in F\}.$$

We will denote by

$$\mathbf{L} = \{h(0, y, z), y, z \in F\}$$

its normal Lagrangian subgroup H_3 .

We denote by $\text{Irr}(U)$ the set of isomorphism classes of (always smooth) irreducible representations of U and by $\text{Irr}_{U^\sigma\text{-dist}}(U)$ the subset of isomorphism classes of distinguished irreducible representations of U . For $\pi \in \text{Irr}(U)$ we will write c_π its central character. We will say that a representation is unitary if it preserves a positive definite hermitian form. We write ind for compact induction and Ind for induction (in our situation normalized induction will coincide with non-normalized induction). We recall that if π' is a smooth representation of a closed subgroup U' of U , then if $\text{ind}_{U'}^U(\pi)$ is admissible we have $\text{ind}_{U'}^U(\pi) = \text{Ind}_{U'}^U(\pi)$.

3 The Kirillov classification

3.1 Definitions

In this section we fix $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_u$ a non trivial character. Take $\phi \in \mathcal{N}^* = \text{Hom}_F(\mathcal{N}, F)$ and let \mathcal{N}' be a Lie sub-algebra of \mathcal{N} , we will say that the pair (ϕ, \mathcal{N}') is *polarized* for \mathcal{N} if \mathcal{N}' is a totally isotropic sub-space of maximal dimension for the F -bilinear form

$$B_\phi : \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow F$$

defined by

$$B_\phi(X, Y) = \phi([X, Y]).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ the set of polarized pairs for \mathcal{N} . The group U acts on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$ by the formula

$$u.(\phi, \mathcal{N}') = (\phi \circ \text{Ad}(u)^{-1}, \text{Ad}(u)(\mathcal{N}')).$$

More generally it acts by the same formula on the set of pairs (ϕ, \mathcal{N}') where ϕ is a linear form on \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' is a Lie sub-algebra (or even a vector subspace) of \mathcal{N} .

Whether (ϕ, \mathcal{N}') is polarized or not, as soon as \mathcal{N}' is totally isotropic for B_ϕ , the linear form ϕ defines a character ψ_ϕ of $U' := \exp(\mathcal{N}')$ given by

$$\psi_\phi(u') = \psi(\phi(\ln(u'))).$$

We set

$$\pi(U', U, \psi_\phi) = \text{ind}_{U'}^U(\psi_\phi).$$

Note that if (ϕ, \mathcal{N}') and (ϕ', \mathcal{N}'') are in the same U -orbit, then the inducing data (ψ_ϕ, U') and $(\psi_{\phi'}, U'')$ are conjugate and

$$\pi(U', U, \psi_\phi) \simeq \pi(U'', U, \psi_{\phi'}).$$

The author of [14] notices in [14, Section 6] that the results of [9] on unitary representations of real unipotent groups apply with the same proofs to unitary representations (acting on Hilbert spaces) of unipotent p -adic groups. They also apply to smooth representations of unipotent p -adic groups with the same proofs. For the sake of completeness we will recall the proofs, using handy results from [14, Proof of Theorem 4].

3.2 Preparation

In this paragraph we suppose that \mathcal{Z} is of dimension 1. By Kirillov's lemma ([9, Lemma 4.1]) there is a "canonical" decomposition

$$\mathcal{N} = F.X \oplus F.Y \oplus F.Z \oplus W$$

which means that the vectors X, Y, Z and the F -vector space W have the following properties:

- i. $Z = F.Z$.
- ii. $[X, Y] = Z$.
- iii. $[Y, W] = \{0\}$.

The Lie sub-algebra

$$\mathcal{N}_0 := F.Y \oplus F.Z \oplus W$$

is automatically a codimension 1 ideal of \mathcal{N} and we set

$$U_0 = \exp(\mathcal{N}_0).$$

Note that $\text{Vect}_F(X, Y, Z)$ is a Lie algebra isomorphic to that of H_3 , hence $\exp(\text{Vect}_F(X, Y, Z))$ is a closed subgroup of U isomorphic to H_3 . We set

$$h(x, y, z) = \exp(y.Y) \exp(x.X) \exp(z.Z)$$

and use h to consider H_3 as a subgroup of U which satisfies

$$H_3 \cap U_0 = L.$$

We note that Y and Z are central in \mathcal{N}_0 hence they belong to \mathcal{N}' whenever $(\phi, \mathcal{N}') \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_0)$.

By [14, Proof of Theorem 4] we have:

Proposition 3.1. Let π be an irreducible representation of U with non trivial central character c_π , then there is $\pi_0 \in \text{Irr}(U_0)$ such that

$$\pi = \text{ind}_{U_0}^U(\pi_0).$$

In fact one can choose π_0 such that if we identify the space of π with $C_c^\infty(F, V_{\pi_0})$ via the map $f \mapsto [x \mapsto f(h(x, 0, 0))]$, setting $\chi(z) = c_\pi(h(0, 0, z))$, we have

$$(\pi(u_0)f)(0) = \pi_0(u_0)f(0) \tag{1}$$

for any $u_0 \in U_0$ and

$$(\pi(h(x, y, z))f)(x') = \chi(z + x'y)f(x' + x). \tag{2}$$

Note that Equation (1) is automatically satisfied when $\pi = \text{ind}_{U_0}^U(\pi_0)$. On the other hand Equation (2) is not. One can in fact characterize the representations π_0 of U_0 in the above proposition:

Lemma 3.2. The irreducible representation π_0 is such that Equation (2) is satisfied if and only if c_{π_0} is trivial on $h(0, F, 0)$.

Proof. Suppose that Equation (2) is satisfied. Then by Equation (1) and Equation (2) evaluated at $x' = x = z = 0$, we see that the group $h(0, E, 0)$ acts trivially on V_{π_0} . Conversely, suppose that $h(0, E, 0)$ acts trivially on V_{π_0} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi(h(x, y, z))f)(x') &= \pi(h(x', 0, 0)h(x, y, z))f(0) = \pi(h(x + x', y, z + x'y))f(0) \\ &= \pi(h(0, y, z + yx')h(x + x', 0, 0))f(0) = \pi_0(h(0, y, z + x'y))(\pi(h(x + x', 0, 0))f)(0) \\ &= \chi(z + x'y)(\pi(h(x + x', 0, 0))f)(0) = \chi(z + x'y)f(x + x'). \end{aligned}$$

□

We will say that $\pi_0 \in \text{Irr}(U_0)$ as in Lemma 3.2 is *good*.

3.3 Classification

An immediate corollary of Proposition 3.1 proved in [14] is:

Corollary 3.3. Any $\pi \in \text{Irr}(U)$ is admissible and unitary.

Proof. By induction on $\dim(U)$. If $\dim(U) = 1$ it is clear. If not, if either $\dim(Z) \geq 2$ or if c_π is trivial, then setting $K = \text{Ker}(c_\pi)$, the group $\bar{U} = U/\text{Ker}(c_\pi)$ has dimension smaller than that of U and we conclude by induction because π is a representation of \bar{U} . If $\dim(Z) = 1$ and c_π is nontrivial we can write $\pi = \text{ind}_{U_0}^U(\pi_0)$ with π_0 good, thanks to Proposition 3.1. In this case π_0 must be irreducible so by induction it is unitary and admissible, from which we already conclude that π is unitary. Moreover take a function $f \in \text{ind}_{U_0}^U(\pi_0) \simeq \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F, V_{\pi_0})$ which is fixed by a compact open subgroup L of U . Then by Equation (2) there is $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ depending on L only such that f is an $\varpi_F^k O_F$ -invariant function on F , and by Equation (2) it must vanish outside the orthogonal of $\varpi_F^k O_F$ with respect to χ . Hence f is determined by its values on a finite set A depending on L but not on $f \in \pi^L$, and moreover its image is a subset of the finite dimensional space $V_{\pi_0}^{L'}$ where $L' = \bigcap_{a \in A} a^{-1}La$. This means that $\text{ind}_{U_0}^U(\pi_0)^L$ has finite dimension so that π is admissible. \square

Because irreducible representations are unitary the following can be proved.

Corollary 3.4. Suppose that Z has dimension 1, and let $\pi_0 \in \text{Irr}(U_0)$ be a good representation, then $\pi = \text{ind}_{U_0}^U(\pi_0)$ is irreducible. Moreover if $\pi'_0 \in \text{Irr}(U_0)$ is another good representation such that $\pi = \text{ind}_{U_0}^U(\pi'_0)$, then $\pi'_0 \simeq \pi_0$.

Proof. Because π_0 is unitary so is π , hence π is semi-simple, and it is thus sufficient to prove that $\text{Hom}_U(\pi, \pi)$ is one dimensional. Now Equation (2) is satisfied for π_0 and π thanks to our hypothesis, and the proof of Corollary 3.3 shows that π is in fact admissible, so

$$\pi = \text{Ind}_{U_0}^U(\pi_0) \simeq \text{Ind}_{U_0}^U(\pi'_0).$$

Hence one has

$$\text{Hom}_U(\pi, \pi) \simeq \text{Hom}_{U_0}(\pi, \pi'_0)$$

and it remains to show that this latter space is one dimensional when $\pi'_0 \simeq \pi_0$ and $\{0\}$ otherwise. Take $L \in \text{Hom}_{U_0}(\pi, \pi'_0)$. We identify π with $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F, V_{\pi_0})$. For $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(F)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F, V_{\pi_0})$ we set

$$\pi(\phi)f = \int_F \phi(y)\pi(0, y, 0)fdy.$$

Note that

$$(\pi(\phi)f)(x) = \widehat{\phi}(x)f(x)$$

where the Fourier transform is taken with respect to ψ and the fixed Haar measure on F . On the other hand because $c_{\pi_0}(h(0, F, 0)) = \{1\}$ there is $c > 0$ such that

$$L(\pi(\phi)f) = \pi'_0(\phi)L(f) = c\widehat{\phi}(0)L(f)$$

giving the equality

$$L(\widehat{\phi}f) = c\widehat{\phi}(0)L(f)$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F, V_{\pi_0})$. In particular if $f(0) = 0$, taking $\widehat{\phi}$ the characteristic function of a small enough compact open subgroup of F , we see that $L(f) = 0$. This implies that there exists $L_0 \in \text{Hom}_{U_0}(V_{\pi_0}, V_{\pi'_0})$ such that

$$L = [\phi \mapsto \phi(0)] \otimes L_0.$$

We thus just exhibited a linear injection $L \mapsto L_0$ of $\text{Hom}_{U_0}(\pi, \pi_0)$ into $\text{Hom}_{U_0}(V_{\pi_0}, V'_{\pi_0})$ which is zero if $\pi'_0 \neq \pi_0$ and one-dimensional by Schur's lemma otherwise. This concludes the proof. \square

Before we state Kirillov's classification let's state another lemma.

Lemma 3.5. Let (ϕ, \mathcal{N}') be a pair where ϕ is a linear form on \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' is a sub-algebra of \mathcal{N} , such that B_ϕ is isotropic on \mathcal{N}' , but which is not polarized, then $\pi(U', U, \psi_\phi)$ is reducible.

Proof. By transitivity of induction and because reducible representations induce to reducible ones, it is enough to show this when (ϕ, \mathcal{N}) is polarized. In this case ψ_ϕ defines a character of the whole group U . Suppose that $\text{ind}_{U'}^U(\psi_\phi)$ was irreducible, in particular we would have $\text{ind}_{U'}^U(\psi_\phi) = \text{Ind}_{U'}^U(\psi_\phi)$ by admissibility of irreducible representations. But then

$$\text{Hom}_U(\psi_\phi, \text{Ind}_{U'}^U(\psi_\phi)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{U'}(\psi_\phi, \psi_\phi) \neq 0$$

which is absurd as it would imply that $\text{Ind}_{U'}^U(\psi_\phi)$ is a character, which it is not by assumption. \square

We can now obtain Kirillov's classification.

Theorem 3.6. 1) Let (\mathcal{N}', ϕ) be a pair consisting of a subalgebra of \mathcal{N} and a linear form ϕ on \mathcal{N} such that \mathcal{N}' is isotropic for B_ϕ . The representation $\pi(U', U, \psi_\phi)$ is irreducible if and only if (ϕ, \mathcal{N}') is polarized.

2) Any irreducible representation of U is of the form $\pi(U', U, \psi_\phi)$ with (ϕ, \mathcal{N}') polarized.

3) Two irreducible representations $\pi(U', U, \psi_\phi)$ and $\pi(U'', U, \psi_{\phi'})$ are isomorphic if and only if ϕ and ϕ' are in the same U -orbit.

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 3.5, the first point will be proved if we show that $\pi(U', U, \psi_\phi)$ is irreducible when (ϕ, \mathcal{N}') is polarized. We do an induction on $\dim(U)$. If it is 1 there is nothing to prove. If not we take $\pi = \pi(U', U, \psi_\phi)$ with $(\phi, \mathcal{N}') \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$. If $\dim(U) > 1$ and c_π is trivial or if $\dim(Z) > 1$, take $H \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\phi(H) = 1$, then π is in fact a representation of $U/\exp(F.H)$, and $(\mathcal{N}'/F.H, \bar{\phi}) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}/F.H)$, so we conclude by induction. If $\dim(Z) = 1$ and c_π is non trivial, then according to [9, Lemma 5.1] we can suppose that \mathcal{N}' is a subalgebra of \mathcal{N}_0 and that $\phi(Y) = 0$. Then the pair $(\phi|_{\mathcal{N}_0}, \mathcal{N}')$ is polarized and by induction the representation $\pi_0 = \pi(U', U_0, \psi_{\phi|_{\mathcal{N}_0}})$ is irreducible; it is moreover good because $\phi(Y) = 0$. But then $\pi(U', U, \psi_\phi) = \text{ind}_{U_0}^U(\pi_0)$ is irreducible thanks to Corollary 3.4.

For point 2) we do again an induction on $\dim(U)$, the one dimension case being obvious. Then if c_π is trivial or if $\dim(Z) > 1$ we conclude by induction. If not $\pi = \text{ind}_{U_0}^U(\pi_0)$ with π_0 good. By induction $\pi_0 = \text{ind}_{U_0'}^{U_0}(\psi_{\phi_0})$ for $(\phi_0, \mathcal{N}') \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_0)$. Then extend ϕ_0 to a linear form ϕ on $\mathcal{N} = F.X \oplus \mathcal{N}_0$, we claim that the pair (ϕ, \mathcal{N}') remains polarized. Indeed if it was not then one would have $\phi([X', \mathcal{N}']) = 0$ for $X' \notin \mathcal{N}_0$. Writing $X' = aX + N_0$ with $N_0 \in \mathcal{N}_0$, then in particular one would have $\phi([aX + N_0, Y]) = 0$, but $[aX + N_0, Y] = aZ + 0 = aZ$ so this would mean that $\phi(Z) = 0$ i.e. that c_π is trivial, which it is not.

Point 3) is proved by induction on $\dim(U)$ as well, and we only focus on the case $\dim(Z) = 1$ and $c_\pi \neq 1$. By [9, Lemma 5.1] we can suppose that both \mathcal{N}'' and \mathcal{N}' are sub-algebras of \mathcal{N}_0 and that $\phi(Y) = \phi'(Y) = 0$. In particular $\pi_0 = \pi(U', U_0, \psi_{\phi|_{\mathcal{N}_0}})$ and $\pi'_0 = \pi(U'', U_0, \psi_{\phi'|_{\mathcal{N}_0}})$ are both good, and both induce to π so they are isomorphic by Corollary 3.4. By induction this means that $\phi|_{\mathcal{N}_0}$ and $\phi'|_{\mathcal{N}_0}$ are U_0 -conjugate. Then it is explained just before [9, Lemma 5.2] at the end of the proof of [9, Theorem 5.2] that this implies that ϕ and ϕ' are indeed U -conjugate. \square

Notation 3.7. By the third point of Theorem 3.6, the isomorphism class of the representation $\pi(U', U, \phi)$ only depends on ϕ , we set

$$\pi(\psi_\phi) := \pi(U', U, \psi_\phi).$$

4 Unipotent symmetric spaces

We recall that the map $x \mapsto x^2$ is bijective from U to itself. We set $U^{\sigma,-}$ for the closed subset of U given by the equation $\sigma(u) = u^{-1}$. We have a polar decomposition on U .

Lemma 4.1. The multiplication map $m : U^\sigma \times U^{\sigma,-} \rightarrow U$ given by $m(u^+, u^-) = u^+u^-$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof. This is just [2, Proposition 2.1, 3)], the proof of which is valid in our setting. \square

We will use the following fixed point result in replacement of that used in [2, Proof of Lemma 4.3.1]. It could be used in *ibid.* as well.

Lemma 4.2. Let X be the F -points of an F -algebraic variety on which U acts in an F -rational manner, and σ be an F -rational involution of X (i.e. we have two involutions on different sets which we denote by the same letter) such that $\sigma(u.x) = \sigma(u).\sigma(x)$ for all $u \in U$ and $x \in X$. Then a U -orbit in X is σ -stable if and only if it contains a fixed point of σ .

Proof. Take $O = U.x$ a U -orbit in X . If it contains a σ -fixed point y , then $y = u.x$ and $\sigma(x) = \sigma(u).y = \sigma(u)u^{-1}.x$ so O is σ -stable. Conversely suppose that $\sigma(O) = O$. We denote by K the stabilizer of x (it is an F -subgroup of U). If $K = U$ there is nothing to prove. If not because U is unipotent there is a sequence $K < V \triangleleft U$ with V a normal F -subgroup of U such that U/V is commutative of dimension 1 (this property can be proved by induction on $(\dim(U), \dim(U) - \dim(K))$ with lexicographic ordering). Now $\sigma(x) = u.x$ for $u \in U$ by assumption. This implies that $\sigma(u)u$ belongs to K hence to V , so $\overline{\sigma(u)} = \overline{u}^{-1} \in U/V$, i.e.

$$\overline{u^+} \overline{u^-}^{-1} = \overline{u^-}^{-1} \overline{u^+}^{-1} = \overline{u^+}^{-1} \overline{u^-}^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \overline{u^+}^2 = \overline{1}$$

in U/V , which implies that $\overline{u^+} = \overline{1} \in U/V$, so that $u^+ \in V$. However because u^+ is fixed by σ , it implies that

$$u^+ \in V \cap \sigma(V).$$

Note that because V is normal in U so is $\sigma(V)$ hence

$$V \cap \sigma(V) \triangleleft U.$$

We set

$$u_1 = (u^-)^{1/2}$$

so that

$$\sigma(u_1) = u_1^{-1}$$

(because this relation is true when squared) and

$$v = u_1^{-1} u u_1^{-1} = u_1^{-1} u^+ u_1,$$

hence

$$v \in V \cap \sigma(V).$$

So setting

$$y = u_1.x$$

this implies that

$$\sigma(y) = \sigma(u_1).\sigma(x) = u_1^{-1}u.x = v.y$$

Hence $\sigma(y)$ and y are in the same $V \cap \sigma(V)$ -orbit O' inside O . Now because $V \cap \sigma(V)$ is σ -stable and has dimension smaller than that of U , we conclude by induction that σ fixes a point of O' , hence a point of O . \square

We make σ act on \mathcal{N}^* by the formula

$$\sigma(\phi) = -\phi^\sigma.$$

Then a very special case of Lemma 4.2 is:

Lemma 4.3. Take $\phi \in \mathcal{N}^*$, then $\sigma(\phi)$ and ϕ are in the same U -orbit if and only if there is a σ -fixed linear form in the U -orbit of ϕ , i.e. a linear form which vanishes on \mathcal{N}^σ .

Finally we have:

Lemma 4.4. Let ϕ and ϕ' be two σ -fixed U -conjugate linear forms on \mathcal{N} , then they are U^σ -conjugate.

Proof. It follows from the polar decomposition as in [2, Lemma 4.3.1, b)]. Note that in the proof of ibid. it is enough to argue that if u^2 is in the stabilizer of ϕ , then clearly u is because the stabilizer in question is unipotent as well (so that $u \mapsto u^2$ is a bijection of it). \square

5 Distinction, conjugate self-duality and multiplicity one

We now recover the results we are interested in from [2] and [1], with the same proofs. Multiplicity one and conjugate self-duality for distinguished representations of U follow from the Gelfand-Kazhdan argument, or more precisely its simplification by Bernstein-Zelevinsky ([4]). We indeed notice that the space of double cosets

$$U^\sigma \backslash U / U^\sigma$$

is fixed by the anti-involution

$$\theta(g) \rightarrow \sigma(g)^{-1}$$

thanks to Lemma 4.1. In particular any bi- U^σ -invariant distribution on U is fixed by θ thanks to [4, Theorems 6.13 and 6.15]. This implies as in [7], or more precisely as in [10, Lemma 4.2], that for any irreducible representation $\pi \in \text{Irr}(U)$ one has

$$\dim(\text{Hom}_{U^\sigma}(\pi, \mathbb{C})) \dim(\text{Hom}_{U^\sigma}(\pi^\vee, \mathbb{C})) \leq 1.$$

Proposition 5.1. For $\pi \in \text{Irr}_{U^\sigma}(U)$ one has $\dim(\text{Hom}_{U^\sigma}(\pi, \mathbb{C})) \leq 1$ and $\pi^\vee \simeq \pi^\sigma$.

Proof. Suppose that π is distinguished and take $L \in \text{Hom}_{U^\sigma}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) - \{0\}$. Because π is unitary its contragredient π^\vee it is isomorphic to $\bar{\pi}$ where $\bar{\pi} = c \circ \pi \circ c^{-1}$ with c the complex conjugation

on the space of π obtained by the choice of a basis of this space. In particular $\bar{L} = L \circ c^{-1} \in \text{Hom}_{U^\sigma}(\bar{\pi}, \mathbb{C})$. Then the map

$$T_{L, \bar{L}} : f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U) \mapsto \bar{L}((\pi(f)L))$$

is a bi- U^σ -invariant hence fixed by θ . We conclude by applying [8, Lemma 3] (where we take $H_1 = H_2 = U^\sigma$ and $\chi_2(zu^+) = \chi_1(zu^+) = c_\pi(z)$ for $u^+ \in U^\sigma$ and $z \in Z$, remembering that c_π is necessarily trivial on Z^σ). \square

Note that $(\mathcal{N}^*)^\sigma$ and $(\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}^\sigma})^*$ are canonically isomorphic, and we identify them. It is a space acted upon by U^σ . Before stating the main theorem, we recall [1, Lemma 2.2.1], the proof of which is valid over F (as it relies on [3, Proposition 1.1.2] which has no assumption on the field).

Lemma 5.2. Take $\phi \in (\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}^\sigma})^*$, then there is a σ -stable Lie sub-algebra \mathcal{N}' of \mathcal{N} such that (ϕ, \mathcal{N}') is polarized.

We can now prove the following result.

Theorem 5.3. A representation $\pi \in \text{Irr}_{U^\sigma}(U)$ is distinguished if and only $\pi^\vee = \pi^\sigma$. Moreover the map $U^\sigma \cdot \phi \mapsto \pi(\psi_\phi)$ is a bijection from $U^\sigma \backslash (\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}^\sigma})^*$ to $\text{Irr}_{U^\sigma\text{-dist}}(U)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\pi = \pi(\psi_\phi) \in \text{Irr}(U)$ is conjugate self-dual, then $\sigma(\phi)$ and ϕ' are in the same U -orbit, which must contain a σ -fixed linear form thanks to Lemma 4.3. So we can in fact suppose that $\phi \in (\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}^\sigma})^*$. In particular by Lemma 5.2 we can write $\pi(\psi_\phi) = \pi(U', U, \psi_\phi)$ for $U' = \exp(\mathcal{N}')$ which is σ -stable. The quotient $U^\sigma \backslash U$ identifies with a closed subset of $U' \backslash U$ and the condition $\phi \in (\frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}^\sigma})^*$ implies that ψ_ϕ is trivial on U'^σ . Then π is distinguished, with explicit linear nonzero U^σ -invariant linear form given on π by

$$\lambda : f \mapsto \int_{U'^\sigma \backslash U^\sigma} f(u) du.$$

To finish the proof it remains to prove the injectivity of the map $U^\sigma \cdot \phi \mapsto \pi(\psi_\phi)$, which is Lemma 4.4. \square

In particular in the case of the Galois involution one gets a bijective correspondence between $\text{Irr}(U^\sigma)$ and $\text{Irr}_{U^\sigma\text{-dist}}(U)$. Indeed $U = \text{Res}_{E/F}(U^\sigma)$ for E a quadratic extension of F . Writing δ for an element of $E - F$ with square in F . One can identify the space $(\mathcal{N}^\sigma)^*$ to the space $(\mathcal{N}^*)^\sigma$ by the map

$$C : \phi_\sigma \rightarrow \phi$$

where

$$\phi(N + \delta N') = \phi_\sigma(N').$$

This yields:

Corollary 5.4. When E/F is a Galois involution, the map $\pi(\psi_{\phi_\sigma}) \rightarrow \pi(\psi_\phi)$ is a bijective correspondence from $\text{Irr}(U^\sigma)$ to $\text{Irr}_{U^\sigma\text{-dist}}(U)$

Acknowledgements. We thank Dipendra Prasad for useful comments on a previous version of this note concerned only with Galois involutions, and Abderrazak Bouaziz for bringing the papers [2] and [1] to our attention, which lead to the actual version of this note. We thank Maarten Solleveld for numerous useful comments and for pointing out a mistake in a previous version of Lemma 4.2, and Ahmed Moussaoui for his help in finding a reference. We thank Pierre Torasso for pointing out a persisting mistake in Lemma 4.2. We thank Martin Andler for clarifying a misunderstanding of the author concerning the Kirillov classification.

References

- [1] Yves Benoist. Analyse harmonique sur les espaces symétriques nilpotents. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 59(2):211–253, 1984.
- [2] Yves Benoist. Multiplicité un pour les espaces symétriques exponentiels. Number 15, pages 1–37. 1984. Harmonic analysis on Lie groups and symmetric spaces (Kleebach, 1983).
- [3] P. Bernat, N. Conze, M. Duflo, M. Lévy-Nahas, M. Raïs, P. Renouard, and M. Vergne. *Représentations des groupes de Lie résolubles*. Dunod, Paris, 1972. Monographies de la Société Mathématique de France, No. 4.
- [4] I.N. Bernstein and A.V. Zelevinsky. Representations of the group $GL(n, F)$, where F is a local non-Archimedean field. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 31(3(189)):5–70, 1976.
- [5] Michel Demazure and Pierre Gabriel. *Groupes algébriques. Tome I: Géométrie algébrique, généralités, groupes commutatifs*. Masson & Cie, Éditeur, Paris; North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1970. Avec un appendice it Corps de classes local par Michiel Hazewinkel.
- [6] Siegfried Echterhoff and Helma Klüver. A general Kirillov theory for locally compact nilpotent groups. *J. Lie Theory*, 22(3):601–645, 2012.
- [7] I.M. Gel’fand and D.A. Kajdan. Representations of the group $GL(n, K)$ where K is a local field. In *Lie groups and their representations (Proc. Summer School, Bolyai János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971)*, pages 95–118. Halsted, New York, 1975.
- [8] Jeffrey Hakim. Supercuspidal Gelfand pairs. *J. Number Theory*, 100(2):251–269, 2003.
- [9] A. A. Kirillov. Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 17(4):53–104, 1962.
- [10] Dipendra Prasad. Trilinear forms for representations of $GL(2)$ and local ϵ -factors. *Compositio Math.*, 75(1):1–46, 1990.
- [11] Dipendra Prasad. Distinguished representations for quadratic extensions. *Compositio Math.*, 119(3):335–345, 1999.
- [12] Dipendra Prasad. A ‘relative’ local langlands correspondence. arXiv:1512.043472015, 2015.
- [13] T. A. Springer. *Linear algebraic groups*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2009.
- [14] G. van Dijk. Smooth and admissible representations of p -adic unipotent groups. *Compositio Math.*, 37(1):77–101, 1978.

UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS,
Téléport 2 - BP 30179, Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie,
86962, Futuroscope Chasseneuil Cedex. France.
E-mail address: nadir.matringe@math.univ-poitiers.fr