

Behavior for large time of an infinite chain of harmonic oscillators with defects

T.V. Dudnikova

Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics of Russian Academy of Sciences

Miusskaya sq. 4, Moscow 125047, Russia

E-mail: tdudnikov@mail.ru

Abstract

An infinite irregular harmonic chain of particles is considered. We assume that some particles (“defects”) in the chain have masses and force constants of interaction different from the masses and the interaction constants of the other particles. We study the Cauchy problem for this model. The main goal is to study the long-time behavior and derive the dispersive bounds for the solutions in the energy weighted norms.

Key words and phases: infinite chain of harmonic oscillators with defects, Cauchy problem, Fourier–Laplace transform, Puiseux expansion, dispersive estimates

AMS Subject Classification 2010: 35L15, 35B40, 35Q70, 70F45

1 Introduction

We consider a Hamiltonian infinite system of particles having harmonic nearest-neighbor interactions with the Hamiltonian functional of a form

$$\mathbf{H}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{|v(n)|^2}{m_n} + \gamma_n |u(n+1) - u(n)|^2 + \mu_n |u(n)|^2 \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where $m_n, \gamma_n > 0$, $\mu_n \geq 0$. Then, the displacement of the n -th particle from its equilibrium position obeys the following equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{u}(n, t) = \frac{\delta \mathbf{H}}{\delta v} = \frac{v(n, t)}{m_n} \\ \dot{v}(n, t) = -\frac{\delta \mathbf{H}}{\delta u} = \gamma_n \nabla_L u(n, t) - \gamma_{n-1} \nabla_L u(n-1, t) - \mu_n u(n, t) \end{array} \right. \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad t > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Here $u(n, t) \in \mathbb{R}$, ∇_L denotes the derivative on $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$,

$$\nabla_L u(n) = u(n+1) - u(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We denote by γ_n the force constant of interaction between the nearest neighbors, by $v(n, t) = m_n \dot{u}(n, t)$ the moment of the n -th particle, by $\dot{u}(n, t)$ its velocity. We fix some $N \geq 0$ and assume that particles located at points $n \geq N+1$ and $n \leq -1$ have the same mass $m_n = m_+ > 0$ and $m_n = m_- > 0$, respectively. Furthermore, particles are affected by the same external harmonic forces with constants $\mu_n = \mu_+ \geq 0$ for $n \geq N+1$ and $\mu_n = \mu_- \geq 0$ for $n \leq -1$. The force constants of interaction are of a form $\gamma_n = \gamma_-$ for $n \leq -1$, $\gamma_n = \gamma_+$ for $n \geq N$. At the same time, the particles (so-called ‘‘defects’’) located at the points $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$ have constants m_n, μ_n, γ_n , generally speaking, different from $m_{\pm}, \mu_{\pm}, \gamma_{\pm}$.

Therefore, the system (1.2) becomes

$$m_- \ddot{u}(n, t) = (\gamma_- \Delta_L - \mu_-) u(n, t), \quad n \leq -1, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$m_n \ddot{u}(n, t) = \gamma_n \nabla_L u(n, t) - \gamma_{n-1} \nabla_L u(n-1, t) - \mu_n u(n, t), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N, \quad (1.4)$$

$$m_+ \ddot{u}(n, t) = (\gamma_+ \Delta_L - \mu_+) u(n, t), \quad n \geq N+1, \quad t > 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Here Δ_L denotes the second derivative on \mathbb{Z} :

$$\Delta_L u(n) = u(n+1) - 2u(n) + u(n-1) = \nabla_L u(n) - \nabla_L u(n-1), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For system (1.2), we study the Cauchy problem with the initial data

$$u(n, 0) = u_0(n), \quad v(n, 0) = m_n \dot{u}(n, 0) = v_0(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.6)$$

Write $Y(t) = (u(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t))$, $Y_0(\cdot) \equiv (Y_0^0(\cdot), Y_0^1(\cdot)) = (u_0(\cdot), v_0(\cdot))$. We assume that the initial data Y_0 belong to the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_α , $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, defined below.

Definition 1.1 $\ell_\alpha^2 \equiv \ell_\alpha^2(\mathbb{Z})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, is the Hilbert space of sequences $u(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with norm $\|u\|_\alpha^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle n \rangle^{2\alpha} |u(n)|^2 < \infty$, $\langle n \rangle := (1 + n^2)^{1/2}$.

$\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \ell_\alpha^2 \otimes \ell_\alpha^2$ is the Hilbert space of pairs $Y = (u, v)$ of sequences equipped with norm $\|Y\|_\alpha^2 = \|u\|_\alpha^2 + \|v\|_\alpha^2 < \infty$.

Our main goal is to find restrictions on the constants m_n, γ_n, μ_n (see conditions **C** and **C**₀ in Sec. 2) under which for any initial data $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ with $\alpha > 3/2$, the solution $Y(t)$ of system (1.3)–(1.6) obeys the following bound

$$\|Y(t)\|_{-\alpha} \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-\beta/2} \|Y_0\|_\alpha, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha > 3/2, \quad (1.7)$$

where $\beta = 1$ if condition **C**₀ holds, and $\beta = 3$ if condition **C** holds. The last bound is useful for applications to scattering problems. In particular, we prove that there exists a bounded ‘wave’ operator $\Omega : \mathcal{H}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-\alpha}$ such that

$$Y(t) = \Omega(\tilde{Y}(t)) + \delta(t), \quad \text{where } \|\delta(t)\|_{-\alpha} \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-3/2} \|Y_0\|_\alpha.$$

Here $\tilde{Y}(t) \equiv 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$ and $\tilde{Y}(t)$ is a solution of Eqn (1.3) for $n \leq -1$ and of Eqn (1.5) for $n \geq N + 1$ with initial data Y_0 , see Theorem 2.11.

Finally, we note that instead of system (1.3)–(1.5), it is possible to consider a more general model with additional friction terms $-\beta_n \dot{u}(n, t)$ in Eqn (1.4):

$$m_n \ddot{u}(n, t) = \gamma_n \nabla_L u(n, t) - \gamma_{n-1} \nabla_L u(n-1, t) - \mu_n u(n, t) - \beta_n \dot{u}(n, t), \quad n = 0, \dots, N,$$

where $\beta_n \geq 0$. If $\beta_n > 0$ for some n , then conditions on the constants could be weakened. This model was studied in [3] in the case when $N = 0$ and $m_\pm = m_n = 1$ for all n . In this paper, for simplicity, we study only the Hamiltonian model (1.3)–(1.5) without friction terms. Furthermore, we consider here the harmonic chain with nearest neighbor interaction. However, the results can be generalized to a more general case of interaction between the particles of the chain.

2 Main Results

2.1 Decomposition of solution

At first, introduce two initial-boundary value problems with zero boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} m_{\pm} \ddot{z}_{\pm}(n, t) = (\gamma_{\pm} \Delta_L - \mu_{\pm}) z_{\pm}(n, t), & \pm n \geq 1, \quad t > 0, \\ z_{\pm}(0, t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ z_{\pm}(n, 0) = u_0(n), \quad m_{\pm} \dot{z}_{\pm}(n, 0) = v_0(n), & \pm n \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \pm} = \ell_{\alpha, \pm}^2 \otimes \ell_{\alpha, \pm}^2$ the Hilbert space of pairs $Y = (u, v)$ of sequences equipped with norm $\|Y\|_{\alpha, \pm}^2 = \|u\|_{\alpha, \pm}^2 + \|v\|_{\alpha, \pm}^2 < \infty$, where $\ell_{\alpha, \pm}^2 \equiv \ell_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\pm})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, is the Hilbert space of sequences $u(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\pm}$, with norm $\|u\|_{\alpha, \pm}^2 = \sum_{\pm n \geq 1} \langle n \rangle^{2\alpha} |u(n)|^2 < \infty$. The results concerning the solutions of problem (2.1) are stated in [3, 4]. In particular, the following result is proved in [3].

Lemma 2.1 *Assume that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then (i) for any initial data $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \pm}$, there exists a unique solution $Z_{\pm}(t) = (z_{\pm}(\cdot, t), m_{\pm} \dot{z}_{\pm}(\cdot, t)) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \pm})$ to problem (2.1); (ii) the operator $W_{\pm}(t) : Y_0 \mapsto Z_{\pm}(t)$ is continuous on $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \pm}$. Furthermore, the following bound holds,*

$$\|W_{\pm}(t)Y_0\|_{\alpha, \pm} \leq C \langle t \rangle^{\sigma} \|Y_0\|_{\alpha, \pm} \quad (2.2)$$

with some constants $C = C(\alpha)$, $\sigma = \sigma(\alpha) < \infty$.

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is based on the following formula for the solutions of problem (2.1):

$$Z_{\pm}^i(n, t) = \sum_{\pm k \geq 1} G_{t, \pm}^{ij}(n, k) Y_0^j(k), \quad \pm n \geq 1, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad (2.3)$$

where $Z_{\pm}^0(n, t) \equiv z_{\pm}(n, t)$, $Z_{\pm}^1(n, t) \equiv m_{\pm} \dot{z}_{\pm}(n, t)$, $Y_0^0(n) \equiv u_0(n)$, $Y_0^1(n) \equiv v_0(n)$, the Green function $G_{t, \pm}(n, k) = (G_{t, \pm}^{ij}(n, k))_{i, j=0}^1$ is a matrix-valued function of a form

$$G_{t, \pm}(n, k) := \mathcal{G}_{t, \pm}(n-k) - \mathcal{G}_{t, \pm}(n+k), \quad \mathcal{G}_{t, \pm}(n) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-in\theta} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{t, \pm}(\theta) d\theta, \quad (2.4)$$

$\mathbb{T} \equiv \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ denotes torus,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{t, \pm}(\theta) &= \left(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{t, \pm}^{ij}(\theta) \right)_{i, j=0, 1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi_{\pm}(\theta)t) & \sin(\phi_{\pm}(\theta)t) / (m_{\pm} \phi_{\pm}(\theta)) \\ -m_{\pm} \phi_{\pm}(\theta) \sin(\phi_{\pm}(\theta)t) & \cos(\phi_{\pm}(\theta)t) \end{pmatrix} \\ \phi_{\pm}(\theta) &= \sqrt{\nu_{\pm}^2 (2 - 2 \cos \theta) + \kappa_{\pm}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where, by definition,

$$\nu_{\pm}^2 = \gamma_{\pm} / m_{\pm} > 0, \quad \kappa_{\pm}^2 = \mu_{\pm} / m_{\pm} \geq 0. \quad (2.6)$$

We see that $z_{\pm}(0, t) \equiv 0$ for any t , since $\mathcal{G}_{t, \pm}^{ij}(-n) = \mathcal{G}_{t, \pm}^{ij}(n)$. For the solutions of problem (2.1), the following bound is true.

Theorem 2.2 *(see [1, Theorem 2.2]) Let $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \pm}$ and $\alpha > 3/2$. Then*

$$\|W_{\pm}(t)Y_0\|_{-\alpha, \pm} \leq C \langle t \rangle^{-3/2} \|Y_0\|_{\alpha, \pm}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.7)$$

Introduce the boundary-initial value problem in $\mathbb{Z}_N := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \geq N + 1\}$:

$$m_+ \ddot{z}_N(n, t) = (\gamma_+ \Delta_L - \mu_+) z_N(n, t), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_N, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.8)$$

$$z_N(N, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$z_N(n, 0) = u_0(n), \quad m_+ \dot{z}_N(n, 0) = v_0(n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_N. \quad (2.10)$$

Denote by $W_N(t)$ the solving operator of this problem,

$$W_N(t) : Y_0 \mapsto Z_N(t) = (z_N(\cdot, t), m_+ \dot{z}_N(\cdot, t)). \quad (2.11)$$

Then, $(W_N(t)Y_0)(n) = (W_+(t)\tilde{Y}_0)(n - N)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, where $\tilde{Y}_0(k) := Y_0(k + N)$ for $k \geq 1$, $W_+(t)$ is introduced in Lemma 2.1. Write $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, N}$ the Hilbert space of pairs $Y_0 = (u_0, v_0)$ with finite norm $\|Y_0\|_{\alpha, N} < \infty$, where $\|Y_0\|_{\alpha, N}^2 = \|u_0\|_{\alpha, N}^2 + \|v_0\|_{\alpha, N}^2$, $\|u\|_{\alpha, N}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \langle n \rangle^{2\alpha} |u(n)|^2$.

Hence, for the solutions $z_N(n, t)$ the following bound holds (cf (2.7))

$$\|W_N(t)Y_0\|_{-\alpha, N} \leq C \langle t \rangle^{-3/2} \|Y_0\|_{\alpha, N}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha > 3/2, \quad (2.12)$$

for any $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, N}$.

The following theorem can be proved in a similar way as [2, Theorem 2.2].

Theorem 2.3 (i) Let $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the Cauchy problem (1.3)–(1.6) has a unique solution $Y(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_\alpha)$.

(ii) The operator $U(t) : Y_0 \rightarrow Y(t)$ is continuous on \mathcal{H}_α . Moreover, there exist constants $C, B < \infty$ such that $\|U(t)Y_0\|_\alpha \leq C e^{B|t|} \|Y_0\|_\alpha$.

(iii) Let $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0$. Then the following identity holds:

$$\mathbf{H}(Y(t)) = \mathbf{H}(Y_0), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\mathbf{H}(Y)$ is the Hamiltonian defined in (1.1).

Below we assume that $\alpha > 3/2$.

We represent the solution of problem (1.3)–(1.6) in the following form

$$u(n, t) = z(n, t) + r(n, t), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.14)$$

where, by definition,

$$z(n, t) = \begin{cases} z_-(n, t) & \text{if } n \leq -1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \dots, N, \\ z_N(n, t) & \text{if } n \geq N + 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

Therefore, $r(n, t)$ is a solution of the following problem

$$m_- \ddot{r}(n, t) = (\gamma_- \Delta_L - \mu_-) r(n, t), \quad n \leq -1, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_n \ddot{r}(n, t) &= \gamma_n \nabla_L r(n, t) - \gamma_{n-1} \nabla_L r(n-1, t) - \mu_n r(n, t) \\ &\quad + \delta_{n0} \gamma_- z_-(-1, t) + \delta_{nN} \gamma_+ z_N(N+1, t), \quad n = 0, \dots, N, \quad t > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

$$m_+ \ddot{r}(n, t) = (\gamma_+ \Delta_L - \mu_+) r(n, t), \quad n \geq N + 1, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.18)$$

$$r(n, 0) = 0, \quad \dot{r}(n, 0) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \leq -1 \text{ and } n \geq N + 1, \quad (2.19)$$

$$r(n, 0) = u_0(n), \quad m_n \dot{r}(n, 0) = v_0(n) \quad \text{for } n = 0, \dots, N. \quad (2.20)$$

Here δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker symbol.

2.2 The problem in the Fourier–Laplace transform

To construct the solutions of problem (2.16)–(2.20) we use the Fourier–Laplace transform.

Definition 2.4 *Let $|r(t)| \leq Ce^{Bt}$. The Fourier–Laplace transform of $r(t)$ is given by the formula*

$$\tilde{r}(\omega) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{i\omega t} r(t) dt, \quad \Im\omega > B.$$

The Gronwall inequality implies standard a priori estimates for the solutions $r(n, t)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, there exist constants $C, B < \infty$ such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (|r(n, t)|^2 + |\dot{r}(n, t)|^2) \leq Ce^{Bt} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

At first, we study the solutions $r(n, t)$ for $n \notin \{0, \dots, N\}$. The Fourier–Laplace transform of $r(n, t)$ with respect to t -variable, $r(n, t) \rightarrow \tilde{r}(n, \omega)$, exists at least for $\Im\omega > B$ and satisfies the following equation

$$(-\nu_{\pm}^2 \Delta_L + \kappa_{\pm}^2 - \omega^2) \tilde{r}(n, \omega) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \leq -1 \text{ and } n \geq N + 1, \quad \Im\omega > B, \quad (2.21)$$

where ν_{\pm}^2 and κ_{\pm}^2 are defined in (2.6). Now we construct the solution of (2.21). Note that the Fourier transform of the lattice operator $-\nu_{\pm}^2 \Delta_L + \kappa_{\pm}^2$ is the operator of multiplication by the function $\phi_{\pm}^2(\theta) = \nu_{\pm}^2(2 - 2\cos\theta) + \kappa_{\pm}^2$. Thus, $-\nu_{\pm}^2 \Delta_L + \kappa_{\pm}^2$ is a self-adjoint operator and its spectrum is absolutely continuous and coincides with the range of $\phi_{\pm}^2(\theta)$, i.e., with the segment $[\kappa_{\pm}^2, a_{\pm}^2]$, $a_{\pm}^2 := \kappa_{\pm}^2 + 4\nu_{\pm}^2$.

We introduce a *critical set* Λ :

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_+ \cup \Lambda_-, \quad \Lambda_{\pm} := [-a_{\pm}, -\kappa_{\pm}] \cup [\kappa_{\pm}, a_{\pm}], \quad a_{\pm} = \sqrt{\kappa_{\pm}^2 + 4\nu_{\pm}^2}, \quad (2.22)$$

and denote $\Lambda^0 = \Lambda_-^0 \cup \Lambda_+^0$, where $\Lambda_{\pm}^0 := \{-a_{\pm}, -\kappa_{\pm}, \kappa_{\pm}, a_{\pm}\}$.

Lemma 2.5 (see [6, Lemma 2.1]) *For given $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda_{\pm}$, the equation*

$$\nu_{\pm}^2(2 - 2\cos\theta) + \kappa_{\pm}^2 = \omega^2 \quad (2.23)$$

has the unique solution $\theta_{\pm}(\omega)$ in the domain $\{\theta \in \mathbb{C} : \Im\theta > 0, \Re\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]\}$. Moreover, $\theta_+(\omega)$ ($\theta_-(\omega)$) is an analytic function in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda_+$ (in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda_-$, respectively).

Since we seek the solution $r(\cdot, t) \in \ell_{\alpha}^2$ with some α , $\tilde{r}(n, \omega)$ has a form

$$\tilde{r}(n, \omega) = \begin{cases} e^{-i\theta_-(\omega)n} \tilde{r}(0, \omega) & \text{if } n \leq -1, \\ e^{i\theta_+(\omega)(n-N)} \tilde{r}(N, \omega) & \text{if } n \geq N + 1. \end{cases}$$

Write $\tilde{\Gamma}_n^{\pm}(\omega) = e^{\pm i\theta_{\pm}(\omega)n}$ for $\pm n \geq 1$. Applying the inverse Fourier–Laplace transform with respect to ω -variable, we write the solution of (2.16), (2.19) in the form

$$r(n, t) = \int_0^t \Gamma_n^-(t-s) r(0, s) ds \quad \text{for } n \leq -1, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.24)$$

and the solution of (2.18), (2.19) in the form

$$r(n, t) = \int_0^t \Gamma_{n-N}^+(t-s)r(N, s) ds \quad \text{for } n \geq N+1, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$\Gamma_n^\pm(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty+ic}^{+\infty+ic} e^{-i\omega t} \tilde{\Gamma}_n^\pm(\omega) d\omega \quad \text{with some } c > 0, \quad \pm n \geq 1, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.26)$$

Theorem 2.6 (see [2, Theorem 3.3]) *For any $\alpha > 3/2$, the following bounds hold,*

$$\|\Gamma_n^+(t)\|_{-\alpha,+} \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-3/2}, \quad \|\Gamma_n^-(t)\|_{-\alpha,-} \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-3/2}, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.27)$$

In particular,

$$|\Gamma_1^+(t)| \leq C(1+t)^{-3/2}, \quad |\Gamma_{-1}^-(t)| \leq C(1+t)^{-3/2}, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.28)$$

To study the solution $r(n, t)$ of Eqn (2.17) for $n = 0, \dots, N$ we first consider the solutions of the corresponding homogeneous equation

$$m_n \ddot{r}(n, t) = \gamma_n \nabla_L r(n, t) - \gamma_{n-1} \nabla_L r(n-1, t) - \mu_n r(n, t), \quad t > 0, \quad (2.29)$$

where $n = 0, \dots, N$, $\gamma_{-1} \equiv \gamma_-$, $\gamma_N \equiv \gamma_+$, with the initial data (2.20). Applying the Fourier–Laplace transform to the solutions of problem (2.29), (2.20), we obtain

$$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(\omega) = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega) (\mathbf{v}_0 - i\omega \mathbf{u}_1) \quad \text{for } \Im \omega > B, \quad (2.30)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(\omega)$ denotes a column $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(\omega) = (\tilde{r}(0, \omega), \dots, \tilde{r}(N, \omega))^T$ and

$$\mathbf{u}_1 := (m_0 u_0(0), \dots, m_N u_0(N))^T, \quad \mathbf{v}_0 := (v_0(0), \dots, v_0(N))^T, \quad (2.31)$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega) = (\tilde{D}(\omega))^{-1}$. If $N \geq 1$, then $\tilde{D}(\omega) = (\tilde{D}_{kn}(\omega))_{k,n=0}^N$, $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_+$, is a tridiagonal symmetric matrix with entries of a form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_{00}(\omega) &= \mu_0 - m_0 \omega^2 + \gamma_-(1 - e^{i\theta_-(\omega)}) + \gamma_0, \\ \tilde{D}_{nn}(\omega) &= \mu_n - m_n \omega^2 + \gamma_n + \gamma_{n-1}, & n = 1, \dots, N-1, \\ \tilde{D}_{NN}(\omega) &= \mu_N - m_N \omega^2 + \gamma_+(1 - e^{i\theta_+(\omega)}) + \gamma_{N-1} \\ \tilde{D}_{n+1n}(\omega) &= \tilde{D}_{n+1n}(\omega) = -\gamma_n, & n = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ \tilde{D}_{kn}(\omega) &= 0 & \text{for } |k-n| \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

If $N = 0$, then

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \mu_0 - m_0 \omega^2 + \sum_{\pm} \gamma_{\pm} (1 - e^{i\theta_{\pm}(\omega)}), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}_+. \quad (2.33)$$

2.3 Conditions on the constants

The properties of the function $\tilde{D}(\omega)$, $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, play a key role in the proof of the bound (1.7). This function is studied in Appendices A and B. In particular, we check that $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_\pm = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : \pm \Im \omega > 0\}$. Also, we prove that $\det \tilde{D}(\omega \pm i0) \neq 0$ for any $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$, where $\tilde{D}(\omega \pm i0) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \tilde{D}(\omega \pm i\varepsilon)$. However, for some constants $m_\pm, \gamma_\pm, \mu_\pm, m_n, \gamma_n, \mu_n$, $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) = 0$ at some point $\omega \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda) \cup \Lambda^0$. Therefore, to obtain the bound (1.7) we have to find and eliminate such values of the constants. We divide all values of the constants into three groups. The first group (*condition C*) includes all values for which $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. The second group includes values under which $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda^0$, there exists a point $\omega_0 \in \Lambda^0$ such that $\det \tilde{D}(\omega_0) = 0$ and $\det \tilde{D}(0) \neq 0$ if $0 \in \Lambda^0$ (we call these restrictions by *condition C₀*). The remaining values of the constants we call the *resonance cases*. For example, the case of the homogeneous chain without external forces (i.e., when $m_\pm = m_n$, $\mu_\pm = \mu_n = 0$ for $n = 0, \dots, N$ and $\gamma_\pm = \gamma_n$ for $n = 0, \dots, N-1$) is a resonance case, since in this case $0 \in \Lambda^0$ and $\det \tilde{D}(0) = 0$. Thus, we impose the following conditions **C** or **C₀** on the system.

Condition C: $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for $\omega \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda) \cup \Lambda^0$.

Condition C₀: The following three restrictions hold.

- 1) $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda$.
- 2) There exists some $\omega_0 \in \Lambda^0 \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\det \tilde{D}(\omega_0) = 0$.
- 3) If $\mu_- = \mu_+ = 0$, then $\det \tilde{D}(0) \neq 0$.

Our main objective is to derive conditions **C** and **C₀** in the terms of the restrictions on the constants. For example, the third restriction in condition **C₀** is equivalent to the condition that if $\mu_\pm = 0$, then $\mu_n \neq 0$ for some $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$.

To state conditions **C** and **C₀** in the case $N = 0$, we introduce the functions $K_0(\omega)$ and $K_\pm(\omega)$ by the rule

$$K_0(\omega) := \bar{\kappa}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\kappa_+^2 - \omega^2} \sqrt{a_+^2 - \omega^2}, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R} : |\omega| \leq \kappa_+; \quad (2.34)$$

where $\bar{\kappa} := ((\kappa_-^2 + \kappa_+^2)/2)^{1/2}$, $\kappa_\pm = (\mu_\pm/m_\pm)^{1/2}$, $\nu_\pm = (\gamma_\pm/m_\pm)^{1/2}$, $a_\pm = (\kappa_\pm^2 + 4\nu_\pm^2)^{1/2}$,

$$K_\pm(\omega) := \bar{\kappa}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\omega^2 - \kappa_\pm^2} \sqrt{\omega^2 - a_\pm^2}, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R} : |\omega| \geq a_\pm. \quad (2.35)$$

Theorem 2.7 *Let $N = 0$. Then condition **C** is the following restrictions.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_0 \neq 0 \text{ if } \mu_\pm = 0; \\ & \mu_0 < \kappa_-^2 (m_0 - m_+) + 4\nu_-^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2} \right) + m_+ K_+(a_-) \text{ if } a_- \geq a_+; \\ & \mu_0 < \kappa_+^2 (m_0 - m_-) + 4\nu_+^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2} \right) + m_- K_-(a_+) \text{ if } a_+ \geq a_-; \\ & \mu_0 > \kappa_-^2 (m_0 - m_-) + m_+ K_0(\kappa_-) \text{ if } \kappa_- \neq 0; \\ & \mu_0 > \kappa_+^2 (m_0 - m_+) + m_- K_-(\kappa_+) \text{ or} \\ & \mu_0 < \kappa_-^2 (m_0 - m_+) + 4\nu_-^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2} \right) + m_+ K_0(a_-) \text{ if } a_- < \kappa_+. \end{aligned}$$

*Condition **C₀** is the following restrictions: the inequalities (4.3)–(4.6) (see Appendix A) hold and one of the following conditions is fulfilled.*

- (i) $a_- > a_+$, $\mu_0 = \kappa_-^2(m_0 - m_+) + 4\nu_-^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2}\right) + m_+ K_+(a_-)$
- (ii) $a_- < a_+$, $\mu_0 = \kappa_+^2(m_0 - m_-) + 4\nu_+^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2}\right) + m_- K_-(a_+)$
- (iii) $a_+ = a_-$, $(\kappa_-, \kappa_+) \neq (0, 0)$, $\mu_0 = m_0 a_-^2 - 2\gamma_- - 2\gamma_+$
- (iv) $\kappa_- \neq 0$, $\mu_0 = \kappa_-^2(m_0 - m_+) + m_+ K_0(\kappa_-)$.
In particular, if $\kappa_- = \kappa_+ \neq 0$, then $\mu_0 = \kappa_-^2 m_0 = \mu_- m_0 / m_-$
- (v) $a_- \leq \kappa_+$, $\mu_0 = \kappa_+^2(m_0 - m_+) + m_- K_-(\kappa_+)$
- (vi) $a_- < \kappa_+$, $\mu_0 = \kappa_-^2(m_0 - m_+) + 4\nu_-^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2}\right) + m_+ K_0(a_-)$.

Theorem 2.7 is proved in Appendix A. Note that condition **C** excludes the case when $m_{\pm} = m_0$, $\gamma_- = \gamma_+$, $\mu_- = \mu_+$. However, the case when $m_{\pm} = m_0$, $\gamma_- = \gamma_+$, $\mu_- = \mu_+ \neq 0$ is included in condition **C**₀.

Remark 2.8 Let us consider a particular case of the chain, which we call **(P1)** case, when $N = 0$ and the oscillators of the chain are identical, excluding defects, i.e.,

$$m_- = m_+ =: m, \quad \gamma_- = \gamma_+ =: \gamma, \quad \mu_- = \mu_+ =: \mu. \quad (2.36)$$

Set $\nu = \sqrt{\gamma/m}$, $\nu_0 = \sqrt{\gamma/m_0}$, $\kappa = \sqrt{\mu/m}$, $\kappa_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/m_0}$, $a \equiv a_{\pm} = \sqrt{(\mu + 4\gamma)/m}$, $a_0 = \sqrt{(\mu_0 + 4\gamma)/m_0}$. In this case, conditions **C** and **C**₀ are simplified as follows

C $\kappa_0 > \kappa$ and $a_0 < a$.

C₀ One of the following restrictions holds.

- (i) $\kappa_0 = \kappa \neq 0$ and $\nu_0 = \nu$ (and hence, $a = a_0$);
- (ii) $\kappa_0 = \kappa \neq 0$ and $a_0 < a$;
- (iii) $\kappa_0 > \kappa$ and $a_0 = a$.

In particular, it follows from conditions **C** and **C**₀ that $\kappa_0 \neq 0$, $\kappa_0 \geq \kappa$ and $\nu_0 \leq \nu$. In this particular case, condition **C** and **C**₀ can be rewritten in the terms $m_0, m, \gamma, \mu_0, \mu$ as follows

$$\mathbf{C} \quad \frac{\mu_0}{m_0} - \frac{\mu}{m} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mu_0}{m_0} - \frac{\mu}{m} + 4\gamma \left(\frac{1}{m_0} - \frac{1}{m} \right) < 0.$$

C₀ One of the following restrictions hold.

- (i) $\mu_0 = \mu \neq 0$ and $m_0 = m$;
- (ii) $\mu_0 \neq 0$, $\mu \neq 0$, $\frac{\mu_0}{m_0} = \frac{\mu}{m}$ and $m_0 > m$;
- (iii) $\mu_0 \neq 0$, $\frac{\mu_0}{m_0} > \frac{\mu}{m}$ and $\frac{\mu_0}{m_0} - \frac{\mu}{m} + 4\gamma \left(\frac{1}{m_0} - \frac{1}{m} \right) = 0$.

Condition **C** implies that $m_0 > m$ and $\mu_0 > \mu \geq 0$. Condition **C**₀ implies that $m_0 \geq m$ and $\mu_0 \geq \mu$, $\mu_0 > 0$.

We see that conditions \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}_0 are tedious even for $N = 0$. Therefore, in the case $N \geq 1$, we assume, in addition, that the oscillators in the chain are identical except the defects, i.e., (2.36) holds. In this case, we derive conditions \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}_0 as restrictions on the constants $m, \gamma, \mu, m_n, \gamma_n, \mu_n$ in Theorem 2.9.

Write $a = \sqrt{(\mu + 4\gamma)/m}$, $\kappa = \sqrt{\mu/m}$. Let $\tilde{D}(\kappa)$ ($\tilde{D}(a)$) denote the matrix $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ with $\omega = \kappa$ ($\omega = a$, resp.), where in the entries we put $e^{i\theta(\kappa)} := 1$ ($e^{i\theta(a)} := -1$, resp.). Denote by $\alpha_n(\omega)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, the principal (corner) minors of the matrix $\tilde{D}(\omega)$, see formula (5.20) below.

Theorem 2.9 *Let $N \geq 1$ and (2.36) hold. Then conditions \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}_0 are the following restrictions.*

\mathbf{C} 1) If $\mu = 0$, then $\mu_n \neq 0$ for some $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$.

2) The matrix $\tilde{D}(a)$ is negative-definite, $\tilde{D}(a) < 0$.

3) If $\mu \neq 0$, then the matrix $\tilde{D}(\kappa)$ is positive-definite, $\tilde{D}(\kappa) > 0$.

\mathbf{C}_0 If $\mu = 0$, then $\mu_n \neq 0$ for some $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$. Moreover, the principal minors of the matrix $\tilde{D}(a)$ have the following property: $\alpha_n(a)(-1)^n < 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, and $\alpha_N(a) \equiv \det \tilde{D}(a) = 0$.

If $\mu \neq 0$, then either (i) $\alpha_n(a)(-1)^n < 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, $\alpha_N(a) = 0$, and $\tilde{D}(\kappa) > 0$ or (ii) $\alpha_n(\kappa) > 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, $\alpha_N(\kappa) = 0$, and $\tilde{D}(a) < 0$.

Theorem 2.9 is proved in Appendix B. The proof of this theorem is based on the properties of the tridiagonal matrix $\tilde{D}(\omega)$.

Remark 2.10 *It follows from conditions \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}_0 that $\tilde{D}_{nn}(a) \leq 0$ and $\tilde{D}_{nn}(\kappa) \geq 0$ for all n . In addition, in the case $N \geq 1$, it follows that the masses of the first and last defects must be greater than half the mass of the “non-defective” particles, $m_0 > m/2$ and $m_N > m/2$, and also, $\mu_0 > \mu/2 - \gamma_0$ and $\mu_N > \mu/2 - \gamma_{N-1}$. For details, see Appendix B.*

2.4 Dispersive bounds

Define an operator $\overline{W}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, on the space \mathcal{H}_α by the rule

$$(\overline{W}(t)Y_0)(n) = \begin{cases} (W_-(t)Y_0)(n) & \text{for } n \leq -1, \\ 0 & \text{for } n = 0, \dots, N, \\ (W_N(t)Y_0)(n) & \text{for } n \geq N+1, \end{cases} \quad (2.37)$$

where the operators $W_-(t)$ and $W_N(t)$ are introduced in Lemma 2.1 and in (2.11), respectively. The main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.11 *Let $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha > 3/2$, and conditions \mathbf{C} or \mathbf{C}_0 hold. Then the following assertions are fulfilled.*

(i) *There exists a bounded operator $\Omega : \mathcal{H}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-\alpha}$ such that*

$$U(t)Y_0 = \Omega(\overline{W}(t)Y_0) + \delta(t), \quad \text{where } \|\delta(t)\|_{-\alpha} \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2} \|Y_0\|_\alpha, \quad (2.38)$$

$\beta = 3$ if condition \mathbf{C} holds and $\beta = 1$ if condition \mathbf{C}_0 holds.

(ii) $\|\Omega(\overline{W}(t)Y_0)\|_{-\alpha} \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2}\|Y_0\|_{\alpha}$. Hence,

$$\|Y(t)\|_{-\alpha} \leq C\langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2}\|Y_0\|_{\alpha}, \quad (2.39)$$

where $\beta = 3$ if condition **C** holds and $\beta = 1$ if condition **C**₀ holds.

Theorem 2.11 can be proved using the technique from [3]. We outline the main steps of the proof.

Step (1): We first apply the Fourier–Laplace transform to the solutions of problem (2.29), (2.20) and obtain Eqn (2.30). Then, we study the behavior of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega)$ for different values of $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$: for $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_{\pm}$, $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda$ and for $\omega \in \Lambda^0$, see Appendices A and B. This allows us to prove the bound for the matrix $\mathcal{N}(t) = (\mathcal{N}_{nk}(t))_{n,k=0}^N$, where

$$\mathcal{N}_{nk}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty+ic}^{+\infty+ic} e^{-i\omega t} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{nk}(\omega) d\omega \quad \text{with some } c > 0, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.40)$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{nk}(\omega)$ are entries of the matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega) = (\tilde{D}(\omega))^{-1}$, $n, k = 0, \dots, N$.

Theorem 2.12 *Let condition **C** or **C**₀ hold. Then*

$$|\mathcal{N}_{nk}^{(j)}(t)| \leq C(1+t)^{-\beta/2}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \quad (2.41)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{nk}^{(j)}(t) \equiv \frac{d^j}{dt^j} \mathcal{N}_{nk}(t)$, $\beta = 3$ if condition **C** holds and $\beta = 1$ if condition **C**₀ holds.

We prove this theorem in Appendix A for $N = 0$ and in Appendix B for $N \geq 1$.

Step (2): Applying the inverse Fourier–Laplace transform to Eqn (2.30), we write the solution $\mathbf{r}(t) = (r(0, t), \dots, r(N, t))$ of problem (2.29), (2.20) in a form

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \dot{\mathcal{N}}(t)\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathcal{N}(t)\mathbf{v}_0, \quad t > 0,$$

where \mathbf{u}_1 and \mathbf{v}_0 are defined in (2.31). For $t = 0$ we put $\mathcal{N}_{nk}(0) = 0$ and $\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{nk}(0) = \delta_{nk}/m_k$ for $n, k = 0, \dots, N$. Using the variation constants formula, we obtain the following representation for the solutions $\mathbf{r}(t) = (r(0, t), \dots, r(N, t))$ of Eqs (2.17) with the initial data (2.20):

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \dot{\mathcal{N}}(t)\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathcal{N}(t)\mathbf{v}_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{N}(t-\tau)\mathcal{F}(\tau) d\tau. \quad (2.42)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\tau) = (\mathcal{F}_n(\tau))_{n=0}^N$ is a column with entries $\mathcal{F}_0(\tau) = \gamma_{-z_-}(-1, \tau)$, $\mathcal{F}_n(\tau) = 0$ for $n = 1, \dots, N-1$, $\mathcal{F}_N(\tau) = \gamma_{+z_N}(N+1, \tau)$. Applying the bounds (2.7) and (2.12) to $\mathcal{F}(\tau)$, using (2.42) and the bound (2.41), we obtain the bound for $\mathbf{r}(t)$:

$$\sup_{n=0,1,\dots,N} (|r(n, t)| + |\dot{r}(n, t)|) \leq C(1+t)^{-\beta/2}\|Y_0\|_{\alpha}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \alpha > 3/2, \quad (2.43)$$

where β is introduced in Theorem 2.12.

Step (3): Using formulas (2.24), (2.25), (2.27) and (2.43), we obtain the following estimate

$$\|(r(\cdot, t), \dot{r}(\cdot, t))\|_{-\alpha} \leq C(1+t)^{-\beta/2}\|Y_0\|_{\alpha}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.44)$$

Finally, the bound (2.39) follows from the decomposition (2.14), Theorem 2.2 and the bound (2.44). The construction of the operator Ω and the proof of Theorem 2.11 are given in Sec. 3.

Remark 2.13 *Let conditions \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}_0 be not hold. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{nk}(\omega)$ have either the simple pole at zero or poles at points $\omega = \pm\omega_*$, where a point $\omega_* \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda$ such that $\det \tilde{D}(\omega_*) = 0$. Let the initial data $Y_0 \not\equiv 0$ and $Y_0(n) \equiv 0$ for $n \notin \{0, \dots, N\}$. Therefore, $z(n, t) \equiv 0$ for any n and there exist solutions $\mathbf{r}(t)$ of problem (2.29), (2.20) which don't satisfy the bound (2.43). Hence, there are solutions $\mathbf{r}(t)$ of (2.42) which don't satisfy the bound (2.43). Thus, there exist solutions $u(\cdot, t)$ of problem (1.3)–(1.6) which do not satisfy the bound (2.39). For details, see Sections 4.6 and 5.6 below.*

3 Wave operator

Denote by $W'_-(t)$ the operator adjoint to $W_-(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\langle Y, W'_-(t)\Psi \rangle_- = \langle W_-(t)Y, \Psi \rangle_-, \quad Y \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,-}, \quad \Psi = (\Psi^0, \Psi^1) \in [S(\mathbb{Z}_-)]^2,$$

and by $W'_N(t)$ the operator adjoint to $W_N(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\langle Y, W'_N(t)\Psi \rangle_N = \langle W_N(t)Y, \Psi \rangle_N, \quad Y \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,N}, \quad \Psi = (\Psi^0, \Psi^1) \in [S(\mathbb{Z}_N)]^2,$$

Here $S(\mathbb{Z}_-)$ denotes the class of rapidly decreasing sequences in \mathbb{Z}_- , $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_-$ stands for the inner product in $\mathcal{H}_{0,-}$ or for its different extensions. Similarly, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_N$ stands for the inner product in $\mathcal{H}_{0,N}$. Below we also use the notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the inner product in \mathcal{H}_0 or for its different extensions. Write

$$\mathbf{g}_-(n, t) = (W'_-(t)Y_0)(n) \quad \text{with} \quad Y_0(n) = (\delta_{(-1)n}, 0), \quad n \leq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathbf{g}_N(n, t) = (W'_N(t)Y_0)(n) \quad \text{with} \quad Y_0(n) = (\delta_{(N+1)n}, 0), \quad n \geq N. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $\mathbf{G}_{-,n}^j(k)$, $\mathbf{G}_{N,n}^j(k)$, $j = 0, 1$, denote the vector valued functions

$$\mathbf{G}_{-,n}^j(k) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{N}_{n0}^{(j)}(s) \mathbf{g}_-(k, -s) ds, \quad k \leq 0, \quad n = 0, \dots, N, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{N,n}^j(k) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{N}_{nN}^{(j)}(s) \mathbf{g}_N(k, -s) ds, \quad k \geq N, \quad n = 0, \dots, N, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{nk}(s)$ are defined in (2.40). Note that $\mathbf{g}_-(0, t) = \mathbf{g}_N(N, t) = 0$ and $\mathbf{G}_{-,n}^j(0) = \mathbf{G}_{N,n}^j(N) = 0$ for any $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$. Introduce

$$\overline{\mathbf{G}}_n^j(k) = \begin{cases} \gamma_- \mathbf{G}_{-,n}^j(k) & \text{for } k \leq -1, \\ 0 & \text{for } k = 0, \dots, N \\ \gamma_+ \mathbf{G}_{N,n}^j(k) & \text{for } k \geq N+1, \end{cases} \quad n = 0, \dots, N, \quad j = 0, 1. \quad (3.5)$$

By (2.37) and (3.5), we have

$$\langle \overline{W}(t)Y_0(\cdot), \overline{\mathbf{G}}_n^j(\cdot) \rangle = \gamma_- \langle W_-(t)Y_0, \mathbf{G}_{-,n}^j \rangle_- + \gamma_+ \langle W_N(t)Y_0, \mathbf{G}_{N,n}^j \rangle_N, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Set $r^{(0)}(n, t) = r(n, t)$, $r^{(1)}(n, t) = \dot{r}(n, t)$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha > 3/2$, condition **C** or **C**₀ hold, and $r(n, t)$ be a solution of problem (2.42). Then for $t > 0$, $j = 0, 1$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$,*

$$r^{(j)}(n, t) = \langle \overline{W}(t)Y_0(\cdot), \overline{\mathbf{G}}_n^j(\cdot) \rangle + \delta_n^j(t), \quad \sup_{n=0,1,\dots,N} |\delta_n^j(t)| \leq C \langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2} \|Y_0\|_\alpha, \quad (3.7)$$

where the operator $\overline{W}(t)$ is defined in (2.37), β is introduced in Theorem 2.12.

Proof Using (2.42) and the bound (2.41), we obtain for $t > 0$

$$r^{(j)}(n, t) = \int_0^t \left(\mathcal{N}_{n0}^{(j)}(\tau) \gamma_- z_-(-1, t - \tau) + \mathcal{N}_{nN}^{(j)}(\tau) \gamma_+ z_N(N + 1, t - \tau) \right) d\tau + O(\langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2}). \quad (3.8)$$

We estimate the first term in the r.h.s. of (3.8). The second term is estimated by a similar way. The bounds (2.7) and (2.41) give

$$\left| \int_t^{+\infty} \mathcal{N}_{n0}^{(j)}(\tau) z_-(-1, t - \tau) d\tau \right| \leq C \int_t^{+\infty} \langle \tau \rangle^{-\beta/2} \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-3/2} \|Y_0\|_\alpha d\tau \leq C \langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2} \|Y_0\|_\alpha.$$

Using (3.1) and the equality $W_-(t - \tau) = W_-(t)W_-(-\tau)$, we have

$$z_-(-1, t - \tau) = \left\langle (W_-(t - \tau)Y_0)(k), \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{-1k} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_- = \langle W_-(t)Y_0(\cdot), \mathbf{g}_-(\cdot, -\tau) \rangle_-.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} r^{(j)}(n, t) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\mathcal{N}_{n0}^{(j)}(\tau) \gamma_- z_-(-1, t - \tau) + \mathcal{N}_{nN}^{(j)}(\tau) \gamma_+ z_N(N + 1, t - \tau) \right) d\tau + O(\langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2}) \\ &= \gamma_- \langle (W_-(t)Y_0)(\cdot), \mathbf{G}_{-,n}^j(\cdot) \rangle_- + \gamma_+ \langle (W_N(t)Y_0)(\cdot), \mathbf{G}_{N,n}^j(\cdot) \rangle_N + O(\langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (3.6), this implies the representation (3.7). ■

Now we estimate the first term in the r.h.s. of (3.7).

Lemma 3.2 *Let $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha > 3/2$, condition **C** or **C**₀ hold. Then for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$,*

$$|\langle \overline{W}(t)Y_0(\cdot), \overline{\mathbf{G}}_n^j(\cdot) \rangle| \leq C \langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2} \|Y_0\|_\alpha, \quad t > 0, \quad j = 0, 1. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof At first, we prove the following estimate

$$\| (W'_-(t)\mathbf{G}_{-,n}^j)(\cdot) \|_{-\alpha,-} + \| (W'_N(t)\mathbf{G}_{N,n}^j)(\cdot) \|_{-\alpha,N} \leq C \langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2} \quad (3.10)$$

for any $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Indeed, applying (3.1), we have $W'_-(t)\mathbf{g}_-(k, -s) = \mathbf{g}_-(k, t - s)$. Hence, by (3.3), (2.7) and (2.41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| (W'_-(t)\mathbf{G}_{-,n}^j)(\cdot) \|_{-\alpha,-} &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} |\mathcal{N}_{n0}^{(j)}(s)| \| \mathbf{g}_-(\cdot, t - s) \|_{-\alpha,-} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^{+\infty} \langle s \rangle^{-\beta/2} \langle t - s \rangle^{-3/2} ds \leq C_1 \langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The similar estimate is true for $\| (W'_N(t)\mathbf{G}_{N,n}^j(\cdot)) \|_{-\alpha, N}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle W_-(t)Y_0, \gamma_- \mathbf{G}_{-,n}^j \rangle_-| &\leq \gamma_- \|Y_0\|_{\alpha, -} \|W'_-(t)\mathbf{G}_{-,n}^j\|_{-\alpha, -}, \\ |\langle W_N(t)Y_0, \gamma_+ \mathbf{G}_{N,n}^j \rangle_N| &\leq \gamma_+ \|Y_0\|_{\alpha, N} \|W'_N(t)\mathbf{G}_{N,n}^j\|_{-\alpha, N}, \end{aligned}$$

the bound (3.9) follows from (3.6) and (3.10). ■

Introduce a vector-valued function $\bar{\mathbf{\Gamma}}^j(n, k)$, $j = 0, 1$, by the rule

$$\bar{\mathbf{\Gamma}}^j(n, k) = \begin{cases} \gamma_- \int_0^{+\infty} \Gamma_n^-(s) \left(W'_-(-s) \mathbf{G}_{-,0}^j \right) (k) ds, & \text{if } n \leq -1, \quad k \leq -1, \\ \gamma_+ \int_0^{+\infty} \Gamma_n^-(s) \left(W'_N(-s) \mathbf{G}_{N,0}^j \right) (k) ds, & \text{if } n \leq -1, \quad k \geq N+1, \\ \gamma_- \int_0^{+\infty} \Gamma_{n-N}^+(s) \left(W'_-(-s) \mathbf{G}_{-,N}^j \right) (k) ds, & \text{if } n \geq N+1, \quad k \leq -1, \\ \gamma_+ \int_0^{+\infty} \Gamma_{n-N}^+(s) \left(W'_N(-s) \mathbf{G}_{N,N}^j \right) (k) ds, & \text{if } n \geq N+1, \quad k \geq N+1, \\ \bar{\mathbf{G}}_n^j(k), & \text{if } n = 0, \dots, N, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

Now we study the large time behavior of $r(n, t)$ for $n \neq 0, 1, \dots, N$.

Lemma 3.3 *Assume that $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha > 3/2$, and condition **C** or **C**₀ hold. Then the solution $r(n, t)$ with $n \notin \{0, \dots, N\}$ of problem (2.16), (2.18), (2.19) admits the following representation*

$$r^{(j)}(n, t) = \langle (\bar{W}(t)Y_0)(\cdot), \bar{\mathbf{\Gamma}}^j(n, \cdot) \rangle + \delta_j(n, t), \quad j = 0, 1, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\|\delta_j(\cdot, t)\|_{-\alpha} \leq C \langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2} \|Y_0\|_\alpha$.

Proof We consider the case $n \leq -1$ only. For $n \geq N+1$, the proof is similar. By (2.24) and (3.7),

$$r^{(j)}(n, t) = \int_0^t \Gamma_n^-(t-s) \langle \bar{W}(s)Y_0, \bar{\mathbf{G}}_0^j \rangle ds + \delta'_j(n, t) \quad \text{for } n \leq -1, \quad (3.13)$$

where $\|\delta'_j(\cdot, t)\|_{-\alpha, -} \leq C \langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2} \|Y_0\|_\alpha$. Indeed, by (3.7) and (2.27),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta'_j(\cdot, t)\|_{-\alpha, -} &= \left\| \int_0^t \Gamma_n^-(t-s) \delta_0^j(s) ds \right\|_{-\alpha, -} \leq \int_0^t \|\Gamma_n^-(t-s)\|_{-\alpha, -} |\delta_0^j(s)| ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-3/2} (1+s)^{-\beta/2} ds \|Y_0\|_\alpha \leq C_1 \langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2} \|Y_0\|_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the r.h.s. of (3.13) has a form

$$\int_0^t \Gamma_n^-(s) \langle \bar{W}(t-s)Y_0, \bar{\mathbf{G}}_0^j \rangle ds = \int_0^{+\infty} \Gamma_n^-(s) \langle \bar{W}(t-s)Y_0, \bar{\mathbf{G}}_0^j \rangle ds + \delta''_j(n, t), \quad n \leq -1, \quad (3.14)$$

where, by definition, $\delta''_j(n, t) = - \int_t^{+\infty} \Gamma_n^-(s) \langle \bar{W}(t-s)Y_0, \bar{\mathbf{G}}_0^j \rangle ds$. The bounds (2.27) and (3.9) yield

$$\|\delta''_j(\cdot, t)\|_{-\alpha, -} \leq \int_t^{+\infty} \|\Gamma_n^-(s)\|_{-\alpha, -} \left| \langle \bar{W}(t-s)Y_0, \bar{\mathbf{G}}_0^j \rangle \right| ds \leq C \langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2} \|Y_0\|_\alpha. \quad (3.15)$$

Finally, applying (3.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{+\infty} \Gamma_n^-(s) \langle \overline{W}(t-s)Y_0, \overline{\mathbf{G}}_0^j \rangle ds &= \int_0^{+\infty} \Gamma_n^-(s) \langle W_-(t-s)Y_0, \gamma_- \mathbf{G}_{-,0}^j \rangle_- ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^{+\infty} \Gamma_n^-(s) \langle W_N(t-s)Y_0, \gamma_+ \mathbf{G}_{N,0}^j \rangle_N ds \\
&= \int_0^{+\infty} \Gamma_n^-(s) \langle W_-(t)Y_0, \gamma_- W'_-(-s) \mathbf{G}_{-,0}^j \rangle_- ds + \int_0^{+\infty} \Gamma_n^-(s) \langle W_N(t)Y_0, \gamma_+ W'_N(-s) \mathbf{G}_{N,0}^j \rangle_N ds \\
&= \langle W_-(t)Y_0, \overline{\mathbf{F}}^j(n, \cdot) \rangle_- + \langle W_N(t)Y_0, \overline{\mathbf{F}}^j(n, \cdot) \rangle_N = \langle \overline{W}(t)Y_0, \overline{\mathbf{F}}^j(n, \cdot) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the bounds (3.13)–(3.15) imply (3.12) with $\delta_j(n, t) = \delta'_j(n, t) + \delta''_j(n, t)$ for $n \leq -1$. ■

Remark 3.4 We put $\overline{\mathbf{F}}^j(n, k) = \overline{\mathbf{G}}_n^j(k)$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Hence, the representation (3.7) is a particular case of (3.12).

Now we estimate the first term in the r.h.s. of (3.12).

Lemma 3.5 Assume that $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha > 3/2$, and condition **C** or **C**₀ hold. Then

$$\| \langle (\overline{W}(t)Y_0)(k), \overline{\mathbf{F}}^j(\cdot, k) \rangle \|_{-\alpha} \leq C \langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2} \|Y_0\|_\alpha, \quad t \geq 0, \quad j = 0, 1. \quad (3.16)$$

Proof For any n , we have

$$\left| \langle (\overline{W}(t)Y_0)(\cdot), \overline{\mathbf{F}}^j(n, \cdot) \rangle \right| \leq \|Y_0\|_\alpha \left(\|W'_-(t) \overline{\mathbf{F}}^j(n, \cdot)\|_{-\alpha, -} + \|W'_N(t) \overline{\mathbf{F}}^j(n, \cdot)\|_{-\alpha, N} \right). \quad (3.17)$$

Using (3.11), we estimate the first term in the r.h.s. of (3.17):

$$\|W'_-(t) \overline{\mathbf{F}}^j(n, \cdot)\|_{-\alpha, -} \leq \begin{cases} \gamma_- \int_0^{+\infty} |\Gamma_n^-(s)| \|W'_-(t-s) \mathbf{G}_{-,0}^j\|_{-\alpha, -} ds & \text{for } n \leq -1, \\ \gamma_- \int_0^{+\infty} |\Gamma_{n-N}^+(s)| \|W'_-(t-s) \mathbf{G}_{-,N}^j\|_{-\alpha, -} ds & \text{for } n \geq N+1, \\ \gamma_- \|W'_-(t) \mathbf{G}_{-,n}^j\|_{-\alpha, -} & \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

Hence, applying (2.27) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\sqrt{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle n \rangle^{-2\alpha} \|W'_-(t) \overline{\mathbf{F}}^j(n, \cdot)\|_{-\alpha, -}^2} \leq C_N \gamma_- \langle t \rangle^{-\beta/2}. \quad (3.18)$$

The similar bound is valid for $\| \|W'_N(t) \overline{\mathbf{F}}^j(n, \cdot)\|_{-\alpha, N} \|_{-\alpha}$. The bound (3.18) implies (3.16). ■

Introduce an operator $\Omega : \mathcal{H}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-\alpha}$, $\alpha > 3/2$, by the rule

$$\Omega : Y \rightarrow Y(n) + \left(\langle Y(\cdot), \overline{\mathbf{F}}^0(n, \cdot) \rangle, m_n \langle Y(\cdot), \overline{\mathbf{F}}^1(n, \cdot) \rangle \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.19)$$

It follows from (3.16) that the operator Ω is bounded, $\|\Omega Y\|_{-\alpha} \leq C \|Y\|_\alpha$ for any $\alpha > 3/2$.

Proof of Theorem 2.11 Theorem 2.2 and Lemmas 3.1–3.5 imply assertions of Theorem 2.11. Indeed, the representation (2.38) follows from (2.14), (2.37), (3.7) and (3.12). The bounds (2.7), (2.12), (3.9) and (3.16) imply the bound (2.39). ■

4 Appendix A: Case $N = 0$

If $N = 0$, then there is the unique “defect” in the chain, which is the particle located at origin with mass m_0 different, generally speaking, from masses of the other particles and with the constant of the external force μ_0 unequal to μ_{\pm} , in general.

4.1 Conditions \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}_0 in the particular cases

Now we simplify conditions \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}_0 for $N = 0$ and for some particular cases of the chain. In Remark 2.8, we consider a particular case (**P1**). Now we study another two cases.

Particular case (P2): Assume that the oscillators in the chain have identical masses equal to unity, i.e., $m_{\pm} = m_0 = 1$, and let, for simplicity, $\kappa_- \leq \kappa_+$. This case was considered in [3]. In this case, conditions \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}_0 are of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C} \quad & \mu_0 < K_+(a_-) \text{ if } a_- \geq a_+; \mu_0 < K_-(a_+) \text{ if } a_+ \geq a_-; \\ & \mu_0 > K_0(\kappa_-) \text{ if } \kappa_- \neq 0; \\ & \mu_0 > K_-(\kappa_+) \text{ or } \mu_0 < K_0(a_-) \text{ if } a_- \leq \kappa_+; \\ & \mu_0 \neq 0 \text{ if } \mu_- = \mu_+ = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For example, $\mu_0 \in \left(0, 2 \max(\nu_-, \nu_+) \sqrt{|\nu_-^2 - \nu_+^2|}\right)$ if $\kappa_{\pm} = 0$ and $\nu_- \neq \nu_+$. Note that first two restrictions of condition \mathbf{C} exclude the case when $m_- = m_+$, $\gamma_- = \gamma_+$ and $\mu_- = \mu_+$, since in this case $K_+(a_-) = K_-(a_+) = \kappa_-^2$ and $K_0(\kappa_-) = \kappa_-^2$ if $\kappa_- \neq 0$. However, the case $\kappa_- = \kappa_+ \neq 0$ and $\nu_- = \nu_+$ is included in condition \mathbf{C}_0 .

\mathbf{C}_0 One of the following restrictions is fulfilled.

- (i) $a_- > a_+$, $\mu_0 = K_+(a_-)$.
- (ii) $a_- < a_+$, $\mu_0 = K_-(a_+)$.
- (iii) $a_+ = a_-$, $(\kappa_-, \kappa_+) \neq (0, 0)$, $\mu_0 = \bar{\kappa}^2$.
- (iv) $\kappa_- \neq 0$, $\mu_0 = K_0(\kappa_-)$ (if $K_0(\kappa_-) \geq 0$).
- (v) $a_- \leq \kappa_+$, $\mu_0 = K_-(\kappa_+)$.
- (vi) $a_- < \kappa_+$, $\mu_0 = K_0(a_-)$ (if $K_0(a_-) \geq 0$).

Remark 4.1 Condition \mathbf{C}_0 (i)–(iv) includes the following particular cases:

- $\kappa_- = \kappa_+$, $\nu_- \neq \nu_+$, $\mu_0 = \kappa_-^2 + 2 \max(\nu_-, \nu_+) \sqrt{|\nu_-^2 - \nu_+^2|}$ (see cases (i) and (ii));
- $\kappa_- = \kappa_+ \neq 0$, $\mu_0 = K_0(\kappa_-) = \bar{\kappa}^2$ (see case (iv));
- $\kappa_- = \kappa_+ \neq 0$, $\nu_- = \nu_+$, $\mu_0 = \mu_+$ (see cases (iii) and (iv)).

Particular case (P3): Assume that external forces don't act on the oscillators in the chain except the defect, i.e., $\mu_{\pm} = 0$, $\mu_0 > 0$. In this case, $\kappa_{\pm} = 0$, $a_{\pm} = 2\nu_{\pm}$ and conditions \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}_0 are of a form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C} \quad & \mu_0 > 0; \\ & \mu_0 < 4\nu_-^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2}\right) + 2m_+\nu_- \sqrt{\nu_-^2 - \nu_+^2} \text{ if } \nu_- \geq \nu_+; \\ & \mu_0 < 4\nu_+^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2}\right) + 2m_-\nu_+ \sqrt{\nu_+^2 - \nu_-^2} \text{ if } \nu_+ \geq \nu_-. \end{aligned}$$

C₀ $\mu_0 > 0$ and one of the following restrictions is fulfilled.

(i) $\mu_0 = 4\nu_-^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2}\right) + 2m_+\nu_- \sqrt{\nu_-^2 - \nu_+^2}$ if $\nu_- \geq \nu_+$.

(ii) $\mu_0 = 4\nu_+^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2}\right) + 2m_-\nu_+ \sqrt{\nu_+^2 - \nu_-^2}$ if $\nu_- \leq \nu_+$.

Note that if $\nu_- = \nu_+$, then conditions **C** and **C₀** imply that the mass of the defect satisfies the following restriction $m_0 > (m_- + m_+)/2$.

4.2 $\tilde{D}(\omega \pm i0)$ for $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$

If $N = 0$, then $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ is of the form (2.33). We have $\tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_\pm$ by Lemma 5.1 from Appendix B. Now we study $\tilde{D}(\omega \pm i0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \tilde{D}(\omega \pm i\varepsilon)$ for $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$.

Lemma 4.2 $\tilde{D}(\omega \pm i0) \neq 0$ for $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$.

Proof Let $\omega \in \Lambda_- \setminus \Lambda_-^0$ and $\omega \notin \Lambda_+$. Then $\Re\theta_-(\omega + i0) \in (-\pi, 0) \cup (0, \pi)$ and $\Im\theta_-(\omega + i0) = 0$. Moreover, $\text{sign}(\sin \theta_-(\omega + i0)) = \text{sign} \omega$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Im \tilde{D}(\omega + i0) &= -\gamma_- \sin \theta_-(\omega + i0) \\ &= -\frac{m_-}{2} \begin{cases} \text{sign}(\omega) \sqrt{\omega^2 - \kappa_-^2} \sqrt{a_-^2 - \omega^2} \neq 0, & \kappa_- \neq 0, \\ \omega \sqrt{a_-^2 - \omega^2} \neq 0, & \kappa_- = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\tilde{D}(\omega + i0) \neq 0$ for such values of ω . Similarly, we can check that for $\omega \in \Lambda_+ \setminus \Lambda_+^0$ and $\omega \notin \Lambda_-$, $\Im \tilde{D}(\omega + i0) \neq 0$. For $\omega \in (\Lambda_- \cap \Lambda_+) \setminus \cup_{\pm} \Lambda_{\pm}^0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Im \tilde{D}(\omega + i0) &= -\gamma_- \sin \theta_-(\omega + i0) - \gamma_+ \sin \theta_+(\omega + i0) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} \text{sign}(\omega) \sum_{\pm} m_{\pm} \sqrt{\omega^2 - \kappa_{\pm}^2} \sqrt{a_{\pm}^2 - \omega^2}, & \text{if } \kappa_{\pm} \neq 0 \\ \text{sign}(\omega) \left(m_- |\omega| \sqrt{4\nu_-^2 - \omega^2} + m_+ \sqrt{\omega^2 - \kappa_+^2} \sqrt{a_+^2 - \omega^2} \right), & \text{if } \kappa_- = 0, \kappa_+ \neq 0 \\ \omega \sum_{\pm} m_{\pm} \sqrt{4\nu_{\pm}^2 - \omega^2}, & \text{if } \kappa_- = \kappa_+ = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\Im \tilde{D}(\omega + i0) \neq 0$ for such values of ω . Since $\tilde{D}(\omega - i0) = \overline{\tilde{D}(\omega + i0)}$ for $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$, $\tilde{D}(\omega - i0) \neq 0$ for $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$. \blacksquare

4.3 $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda$

To prove Theorem 2.7, we first prove the following lemma, using the technique of [3].

Lemma 4.3 $\tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda$ iff the following conditions hold.

(i) $\tilde{D}(\max(a_-, a_+)) \leq 0$.

(ii) If $\min(\kappa_-, \kappa_+) > 0$, then $\tilde{D}(\min(\kappa_-, \kappa_+)) \geq 0$.

(iii) If $a_{\mp} < \kappa_{\pm}$, then either $\tilde{D}(\kappa_{\pm}) \geq 0$ or $\tilde{D}(a_{\mp}) \leq 0$.

Proof To prove this lemma we apply the following formulas from [3].

Let $\kappa_+ \neq 0$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\omega| < \kappa_+$. Then, $\Re\theta_+(\omega) = 0$ and $e^{i\theta_+(\omega)} = e^{-\Im\theta_+(\omega)} < 1$. Moreover, for $\omega \in \mathbb{R} : |\omega| < \kappa_+$,

$$\nu_+^2(1 - e^{i\theta_+(\omega)}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega^2 - \kappa_+^2 + \sqrt{\kappa_+^2 - \omega^2} \sqrt{a_+^2 - \omega^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}(\omega^2 + \kappa_-^2) - K_0(\omega), \quad (4.1)$$

where $K_0(\omega)$ is defined in (2.34).

If $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\omega| > a_\pm$, then $e^{i\theta_\pm(\omega)} = -e^{-\Im\theta_\pm(\omega)}$ and

$$\nu_\pm^2(1 - e^{i\theta_\pm(\omega)}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega^2 - \kappa_\pm^2 - \sqrt{\omega^2 - \kappa_\pm^2} \sqrt{\omega^2 - a_\pm^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}(\omega^2 + \kappa_\mp^2) - K_\pm(\omega), \quad (4.2)$$

where $K_\pm(\omega)$ is defined in (2.35). Using formulas (4.1) and (4.2), we rewrite conditions (i)–(iii) from Lemma 4.3.

Condition (i). Let $\max(a_-, a_+) = a_-$. By (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}(a_-) &= \mu_0 - m_0 a_-^2 + \gamma_-(1 - e^{i\theta_-(a_-)}) + \gamma_+(1 - e^{i\theta_+(a_-)}) \\ &= \mu_0 - m_0 a_-^2 + 2\gamma_- + m_+ \left(\frac{1}{2}(a_-^2 + \kappa_-^2) - K_+(a_-) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Re\tilde{D}(\omega_1) < \Re\tilde{D}(\omega_2)$ for $|\omega_1| > |\omega_2| \geq \max(a_-, a_+)$, and $\Re\tilde{D}(\omega) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for $|\omega| > a_-$ iff $\tilde{D}(a_-) \leq 0$. In turn, $\tilde{D}(a_-) \leq 0$ if and only if

$$\mu_0 \leq \kappa_-^2(m_0 - m_+) + 4\nu_-^2(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2}) + m_+ K_+(a_-), \quad \text{where } a_- \geq a_+. \quad (4.3)$$

Similarly, if $\max(a_-, a_+) = a_+$, then $\tilde{D}(a_+) \leq 0$ iff

$$\mu_0 \leq \kappa_+^2(m_0 - m_-) + 4\nu_+^2(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2}) + m_- K_-(a_+), \quad \text{where } a_+ \geq a_-. \quad (4.4)$$

If $a_- = a_+$, then (4.3) and (4.4) become

$$\mu_0 \leq m_0(\mu_- + 4\gamma_-)/m_- + 2\gamma_- + 2\gamma_+.$$

Condition (ii). Let $\min(\kappa_-, \kappa_+) = \kappa_-$. By (4.1), we have

$$\tilde{D}(\kappa_-) = \mu_0 - m_0 \kappa_-^2 + \gamma_+(1 - e^{i\theta_+(\kappa_-)}) = \mu_0 - m_0 \kappa_-^2 + m_+(\kappa_-^2 - K_0(\kappa_-)).$$

Note that $\Re\tilde{D}(\omega_1) > \Re\tilde{D}(\omega_2)$ for $|\omega_1| < |\omega_2| < \min(\kappa_-, \kappa_+)$, and $\Re\tilde{D}(0) > 0$. Then, $\tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for $|\omega| < \kappa_-$ iff $\tilde{D}(\kappa_-) \geq 0$. In turn, $\tilde{D}(\kappa_-) \geq 0$ iff

$$\mu_0 \geq \kappa_-^2(m_0 - m_+) + m_+ K_0(\kappa_-), \quad \text{where } \kappa_- \neq 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Condition (iii). Let $a_- < \kappa_+$. Note that $\Re\tilde{D}(\omega_1) < \Re\tilde{D}(\omega_2)$ for $|\omega_1| > |\omega_2|$. Therefore, $\tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for $a_- < |\omega| < \kappa_+$ iff either $\tilde{D}(\kappa_+) \geq 0$ or $\tilde{D}(a_-) \leq 0$. then $\tilde{D}(\kappa_+) \geq 0$ or $\tilde{D}(a_-) \leq 0$. Using (4.2), we have

$$\tilde{D}(\kappa_+) = \mu_0 - m_0 \kappa_+^2 + \gamma_-(1 - e^{i\theta_-(\kappa_+)}) = \mu_0 - m_0 \kappa_+^2 + m_-(\kappa_+^2 - K_-(\kappa_+)).$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{D}(\kappa_+) \geq 0 \iff \mu_0 \geq \kappa_+^2(m_0 - m_-) + m_-K_-(\kappa_+), \quad \text{where } a_- < \kappa_+. \quad (4.6)$$

Secondly, using (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}(a_-) &= \mu_0 - m_0a_-^2 + 2\gamma_- + \gamma_+(1 - e^{i\theta_+(a_-)}) \\ &= \mu_0 - m_0(\kappa_-^2 + 4\nu_-^2) + 2m_-\nu_-^2 + m_+(\kappa_-^2 + 2\nu_-^2 - K_0(a_-)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{D}(a_-) \leq 0 \iff \mu_0 \leq \kappa_-^2(m_0 - m_+) + 4\nu_-^2\left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2}\right) + m_+K_0(a_-). \blacksquare \quad (4.7)$$

Remark 4.4 *The formulas (4.3)–(4.7) are the part of the restrictions on the constants in conditions \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}_0 . If restrictions (4.3)–(4.7) are not fulfilled, then there is a point $\omega_* \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\Lambda \cup 0\}$ such that $\tilde{D}(\omega_*) = 0$. Furthermore, $\tilde{D}'(\omega_*) \neq 0$, since*

$$\tilde{D}'(\omega_*) = -2|\omega_*| \left(m_0 + \sum_{\pm} \gamma_{\pm} e^{-\Im\theta_{\pm}(\omega_*)} |\kappa_{\pm}^2 - \omega_*^2|^{-1/2} |a_{\pm}^2 - \omega_*^2|^{-1/2} \right).$$

Hence, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega)$ has simple poles at points $\omega = \pm\omega_*$. Then, the bound (2.41) does not hold.

4.4 Asymptotics of $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ near points in Λ^0

Now we study the asymptotic behavior of $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ near singular points in Λ^0 using the following formulas

$$e^{i\theta_{\pm}(\omega)} = 1 + \frac{i}{\nu_{\pm}} \sqrt{\omega^2 - \kappa_{\pm}^2} - \frac{1}{2\nu_{\pm}^2} (\omega^2 - \kappa_{\pm}^2) + \dots, \quad \omega \rightarrow \kappa_{\pm}, \quad (4.8)$$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_+$, $\Im(\sqrt{\omega^2 - \kappa_{\pm}^2}) > 0$. Here $\text{sign}(\Re\sqrt{\omega^2 - \kappa_{\pm}^2}) = \text{sign}(\Re\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_+$. This choice of the branch of the complex root $\sqrt{\omega^2 - \kappa_{\pm}^2}$ follows from the condition $\Im\theta_{\pm}(\omega) > 0$. Similarly,

$$e^{i\theta_{\pm}(\omega)} = -1 + \frac{i}{\nu_{\pm}} \sqrt{a_{\pm}^2 - \omega^2} + \frac{1}{2\nu_{\pm}^2} (a_{\pm}^2 - \omega^2) - \frac{i}{8\nu_{\pm}^3} (a_{\pm}^2 - \omega^2)^{3/2} + \dots \quad (4.9)$$

for $\omega \rightarrow a_{\pm}$, $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Here the branch of the complex root $\sqrt{a_{\pm}^2 - \omega^2}$ is chosen so that $\text{sign}(\Re\sqrt{a_{\pm}^2 - \omega^2}) = \text{sign}(\Re\omega)$, by the condition $\Im\theta_{\pm}(\omega) > 0$. If $\kappa_{\pm} = 0$, then

$$e^{i\theta_{\pm}(\omega)} = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{i\omega}{\nu_{\pm}} - \frac{\omega^2}{2\nu_{\pm}^2} - \frac{i\omega^3}{8\nu_{\pm}^3} + \dots & \text{for } \omega \rightarrow 0 \\ -1 + i\sqrt{4\nu_{\pm}^2 - \omega^2}/\nu_{\pm} + \dots & \text{for } \omega \rightarrow 2\nu_{\pm} \end{cases} \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}_+. \quad (4.10)$$

Lemma 4.5 *Let $\omega_0 \in \Lambda^0$ and $\omega_0 \neq 0$. If condition \mathbf{C} holds, then*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega) = C_1 + iC_2(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)^{1/2} + \dots \quad \text{as } \omega \rightarrow \pm\omega_0, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}_{\pm}, \quad (4.11)$$

with some $C_1, C_2 \neq 0$. If condition \mathbf{C}_0 holds, then either (4.11) is true or

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega) = iC_1(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)^{-1/2} + C_2 + \dots \quad \text{as } \omega \rightarrow \pm\omega_0, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}_{\pm}, \quad (4.12)$$

with some $C_1 \neq 0$.

Let $\omega_0 = 0 \in \Lambda^0$ and conditions **C** or **C**₀ hold. Then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega) = C_1 + iC_2\omega + \dots \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow 0, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}_\pm, \quad (4.13)$$

with some $C_1, C_2 \neq 0$.

Proof (1) Let $\omega_0 = \pm\kappa_-$. We consider the following cases: **(1.1)** $\kappa_- = \kappa_+ = 0$; **(1.2)** $\kappa_- = \kappa_+ \neq 0$; **(1.3)** $\kappa_- = 0, \kappa_+ > 0$; **(1.4)** $0 < \kappa_- < \kappa_+$.

(1.1) If $\kappa_- = \kappa_+ = 0$, then we apply the representation (4.10) to $e^{i\theta_\pm(\omega)}$ and obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \mu_0 - i\omega(\sqrt{m_- \gamma_-} + \sqrt{m_+ \gamma_+}) + \dots \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, $\tilde{D}(0) = \mu_0 \neq 0$ by condition **C** or **C**₀. Hence, (4.13) is true with $C_1 = \mu_0^{-1}$. Note that if $\mu_\pm = \mu_0 = 0$ (this case is excluded by conditions **C** and **C**₀), then $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega)$ has a simple pole at zero,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega) = \frac{i}{\omega(\sqrt{m_- \gamma_-} + \sqrt{m_+ \gamma_+})} + \frac{m_- + m_+ - 2m_0}{2(\sqrt{m_- \gamma_-} + \sqrt{m_+ \gamma_+})^2} + \dots, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.14)$$

(1.2) If $\kappa_- = \kappa_+ \neq 0$, then we apply the representation (4.8) to $e^{i\theta_\pm(\omega)}$ and obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \tilde{D}(\pm\kappa_-) - i(\sqrt{m_- \gamma_-} + \sqrt{m_+ \gamma_+})(\omega^2 - \kappa_-^2)^{1/2} + \dots \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow \pm\kappa_-, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

where $\tilde{D}(\pm\kappa_-) = \mu_0 - m_0\kappa_-^2 \neq 0$ iff $\mu_0 \neq m_0\kappa_-^2$ (or $\frac{\mu_0}{m_0} \neq \frac{\mu_-}{m_-}$). Hence, if condition **C** holds, then (4.11) is true with $\omega_0 = \pm\kappa_-$, $C_1 = (\tilde{D}(\pm\kappa_-))^{-1}$. If condition **C**₀ **(iv)** holds, then (4.12) is true.

(1.3) If $\kappa_- = 0$ and $\kappa_+ > 0$, then the function $e^{i\theta_+(\omega)}$ is an analytic function in a small neighborhood of origin. Applying the representation (4.10) to $e^{i\theta_-(\omega)}$, we obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \tilde{D}(0) + c_1\omega + c_2\omega^2 + \dots, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0,$$

with $\tilde{D}(0) = \mu_0 + \gamma_+ \left(1 - e^{-\Im\theta_+(0)}\right)$ and some constants c_1, c_2 . $\tilde{D}(0) > 0$, since

$$e^{-\Im\theta_+(0)} = 4 \left(\frac{\kappa_+}{\nu_+} + \sqrt{4 + \frac{\kappa_+^2}{\nu_+^2}} \right)^{-2} < 1 \quad \text{if } \kappa_+ > 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Hence, (4.13) holds with $C_1 = (\tilde{D}(0))^{-1} > 0$.

(1.4) If $0 < \kappa_- < \kappa_+$, then $e^{i\theta_+(\omega)}$ is an analytic function in a small neighborhood of the points $\omega = \pm\kappa_-$. Applying the representation (4.8) to $e^{i\theta_-(\omega)}$, we obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \tilde{D}(\pm\kappa_-) - i\sqrt{m_- \gamma_-}(\omega^2 - \kappa_-^2)^{1/2} + O(|\omega \mp \kappa_-|) \quad \text{as } \omega \rightarrow \pm\kappa_-,$$

$\omega \in \mathbb{C}_+$, and (4.1) gives

$$\tilde{D}(\pm\kappa_-) = \mu_0 - m_0\kappa_-^2 + m_+(\kappa_-^2 - K_0(\kappa_-)) = \mu_0 - \kappa_-^2(m_0 - m_+) - m_+K_0(\kappa_-).$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{D}(\pm\kappa_-) \neq 0 \iff \mu_0 \neq \kappa_-^2(m_0 - m_+) + m_+K_0(\kappa_-).$$

Therefore, if condition **C** holds, then (4.11) is true with $\omega_0 = \pm\kappa_-$. If condition **C**₀ **(iv)** holds, then (4.12) is true.

(2) Let $\omega_0 = \pm\kappa_+$. There are five cases: **(2.1)** $\kappa_+ > a_-$; **(2.2)** $\kappa_+ = a_-$; **(2.3)** $\kappa_- < \kappa_+ < a_-$; **(2.4)** $\kappa_- = \kappa_+ = 0$ (see case **(1.1)**); **(2.5)** $\kappa_- = \kappa_+ \neq 0$ (see case **(1.2)**).

(2.1) Let $\kappa_+ > a_-$. Then the function $e^{i\theta_-(\omega)} = -e^{-\Im\theta_-(\omega)}$ is analytic in a small neighborhood of the points $\omega_0 = \pm\kappa_+$. Applying the representation (4.8) to $e^{i\theta_+(\omega)}$, we obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \tilde{D}(\pm\kappa_+) - i\sqrt{m_+\gamma_+}(\omega^2 - \kappa_+^2)^{1/2} + O(|\omega \mp \kappa_+|) \quad \text{as } \omega \rightarrow \pm\kappa_+, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Using (4.2), we obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\pm\kappa_+) = \mu_0 - m_0\kappa_+^2 + \gamma_-(1 + e^{-\Im\theta_-(\kappa_+)}) = \mu_0 - \kappa_+^2(m_0 - m_-) - m_-K_-(\kappa_+).$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{D}(\pm\kappa_+) \neq 0 \iff \mu_0 \neq \kappa_+^2(m_0 - m_-) + m_-K_-(\kappa_+).$$

Therefore, condition **C** implies (4.11) with $\omega_0 = \pm\kappa_+$, $C_1 = (\tilde{D}(\pm\kappa_+))^{-1}$. If condition **C**₀ **(v)** holds, then (4.12) is true.

(2.2) If $\kappa_+ = a_-$, then we apply (4.8) and (4.9) to $e^{i\theta_+(\omega)}$ and $e^{i\theta_-(\omega)}$, respectively, and obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \tilde{D}(\pm\kappa_+) - (\kappa_+^2 - \omega^2)^{1/2}(\sqrt{m_+\gamma_+} + i\sqrt{m_-\gamma_-}) + O(|\omega \mp \kappa_+|), \quad \omega \rightarrow \pm\kappa_+,$$

$\omega \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Here $\tilde{D}(\pm\kappa_+) = \mu_0 - m_0\kappa_+^2 + 2\gamma_-$. Therefore, condition **C** implies (4.11) with $\omega_0 = \pm\kappa_+$. If condition **C**₀ **(v)** holds, then (4.12) is true.

(2.3) If $\kappa_+ \in (\kappa_-, a_-)$, then we apply (4.8) to $e^{i\theta_+(\omega)}$ and obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \tilde{D}(\kappa_+ \pm i0) - i\sqrt{m_+\gamma_+}(\omega^2 - \kappa_+^2)^{1/2} + O(|\omega - \kappa_+|), \quad \omega \rightarrow \kappa_+ \pm i0,$$

where $\tilde{D}(\kappa_+ \pm i0) = \mu_0 - m_0\kappa_+^2 + \gamma_-(1 - e^{\pm i\theta_-(\kappa_+ + i0)})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Im\tilde{D}(\kappa_+ \pm i0) &= \mp\gamma_- \sin\theta_-(\kappa_+ + i0) \\ &= \mp\frac{m_-}{2} \begin{cases} \sqrt{\kappa_+^2 - \kappa_-^2}\sqrt{a_-^2 - \kappa_+^2}, & \text{if } \kappa_- > 0 \\ \kappa_+\sqrt{a_-^2 - \kappa_+^2}, & \text{if } \kappa_- = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\Im\tilde{D}(\kappa_+ \pm i0) \neq 0$, and (4.11) follows.

(3) Let $\omega_0 = \pm a_-$. There are the following cases: **(3.1)** $a_- > a_+$; **(3.2)** $a_- = a_+$; **(3.3)** $a_- \in (\kappa_+, a_+)$; **(3.4)** $a_- = \kappa_+$ (see the case **(2.2)**); **(3.5)** $a_- < \kappa_+$.

(3.1) If $a_- > a_+$, then the function $e^{i\theta_+(\omega)} = -e^{-\Im\theta_+(\omega)}$ is analytic in a small neighborhood of the points $\omega_0 = \pm a_-$. We apply (4.9) to $e^{i\theta_-(\omega)}$ and obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \tilde{D}(\pm a_-) - i\sqrt{m_-\gamma_-}(a_-^2 - \omega^2)^{1/2} + O(|\omega \mp a_-|), \quad \omega \rightarrow \pm a_-, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

where (see (4.2))

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}(a_-) &= \mu_0 - m_0a_-^2 + 2\gamma_- + \gamma_+(1 - e^{i\theta_+(a_-)}) \\ &= \mu_0 - m_0(\kappa_-^2 + 4\nu_-^2) + 2m_-\nu_-^2 + m_+(\kappa_-^2 + 2\nu_-^2 - K_+(a_-)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{D}(\pm a_-) \neq 0 \iff \mu_0 \neq \kappa_-^2(m_0 - m_+) + 4\nu_-^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2} \right) + m_+ K_+(a_-).$$

If condition **C** holds, then (4.11) is true with $\omega_0 = \pm a_-$, $C_1 = (\tilde{D}(\pm a_-))^{-1}$. If condition **C**₀ **(i)** holds, then (4.12) is true.

(3.2) In the case when $a_- = a_+$, we apply (4.9) to $e^{i\theta_{\pm}(\omega)}$ and obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \tilde{D}(\pm a_-) - i(\sqrt{m_- \gamma_-} + \sqrt{m_+ \gamma_+})(a_-^2 - \omega^2)^{1/2} + O(|\omega \mp a_-|), \quad \omega \rightarrow \pm a_-,$$

where $\tilde{D}(\pm a_-) = \mu_0 - m_0 a_-^2 + 2\gamma_- + 2\gamma_+$. Hence,

$$\tilde{D}(\pm a_-) \neq 0 \iff \mu_0 \neq m_0 a_-^2 - 2\gamma_- - 2\gamma_+.$$

Condition **C** implies (4.11) with $\omega_0 = \pm a_-$. If condition **C**₀ **(iii)** holds, then (4.12) is true with $\omega_0 = \pm a_-$.

(3.3) If $a_- \in (\kappa_+, a_+)$, then we apply (4.9) to $e^{i\theta_-(\omega)}$ and obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \tilde{D}(a_- \pm i0) - i\sqrt{m_- \gamma_-}(a_-^2 - \omega^2)^{1/2} + O(|\omega - a_-|), \quad \omega \rightarrow a_- \pm i0,$$

where $\tilde{D}(a_- \pm i0) = \mu_0 - m_0 a_-^2 + 2\gamma_- + \gamma_+ (1 - e^{\pm i\theta_+(a_- + i0)})$ with $\Im\theta_+(a_- + i0) = 0$. $\Im\tilde{D}(a_- \pm i0) \neq 0$, since

$$\Im\tilde{D}(a_- \pm i0) = \mp \frac{m_+}{2} \begin{cases} \sqrt{a_-^2 - \kappa_+^2} \sqrt{a_+^2 - a_-^2}, & \text{if } \kappa_+ > 0, \\ 2a_- \sqrt{\nu_+^2 - \nu_-^2}, & \text{if } \kappa_+ = \kappa_- = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $\tilde{D}(a_- + i0) \neq 0$ and (4.11) follows. Similarly, for $\omega \rightarrow -a_- \pm i0$.

(3.5) If $a_- < \kappa_+$, then $e^{i\theta_+(\omega)}$ is an analytic function in a small neighborhood of the points $\omega_0 = \pm a_-$. Applying the representation (4.9) to $e^{i\theta_-(\omega)}$, we obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \tilde{D}(\pm a_-) - i\sqrt{m_- \gamma_-}(a_-^2 - \omega^2)^{1/2} + O(|\omega \mp a_-|), \quad \omega \rightarrow \pm a_-, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$

where (see (4.1))

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}(a_-) &= \mu_0 - m_0 a_-^2 + 2\gamma_- + \gamma_+(1 - e^{i\theta_+(a_-)}) \\ &= \mu_0 - \kappa_-^2(m_0 - m_+) - 4\nu_-^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2} \right) - m_+ K_0(a_-). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{D}(\pm a_-) \neq 0 \iff \mu_0 \neq \kappa_-^2(m_0 - m_+) + 4\nu_-^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2} \right) + m_+ K_0(a_-).$$

Condition **C** implies (4.11) with $\omega_0 = \pm a_-$. If condition **C**₀ **(vi)** holds, then (4.12) is true with $\omega_0 = \pm a_-$.

(4) Let $\omega_0 = \pm a_+$. There are the following cases: **(4.1)** $a_+ < a_-$; **(4.2)** $a_+ = a_-$ (see case **(3.2)**); **(4.3)** $a_+ > a_-$.

(4.1) If $a_+ < a_-$, then $a_+ \in \Lambda_- \setminus \Lambda_-^0$. Similarly to case (3.3), we obtain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \tilde{D}(a_+ \pm i0) - i\sqrt{m_- \gamma_-}(a_+^2 - \omega^2)^{1/2} + O(|\omega - a_+|), \quad \omega \rightarrow a_+ \pm i0.$$

$\tilde{D}(a_+ \pm i0) = \mu_0 - m_0 a_+^2 + 2\gamma_+ + \gamma_- (1 - e^{\pm i\theta_-(a_+ + i0)})$ with $\Im\theta_-(a_+ + i0) = 0$. Since

$$\Im\tilde{D}(a_+ \pm i0) = \mp \frac{m_-}{2} \begin{cases} \sqrt{a_+^2 - \kappa_-^2} \sqrt{a_-^2 - a_+^2}, & \text{if } \kappa_- > 0 \\ 2a_+ \sqrt{\nu_-^2 - \nu_+^2}, & \text{if } \kappa_- = 0, \end{cases}$$

$\Im\tilde{D}(a_+ \pm i0) \neq 0$. Hence, $\tilde{D}(a_+ + i0) \neq 0$ and (4.11) follows. Similarly, for $\omega \rightarrow -a_+ \pm i0$.

(4.3) If $a_+ > a_-$, then $e^{i\theta_-(\omega)}$ is an analytic function in a small neighborhood of the points $\omega_0 = \pm a_+$. Using (4.9), we have

$$\tilde{D}(\omega) = \tilde{D}(\pm a_+) - i\sqrt{m_- \gamma_-}(a_+^2 - \omega^2)^{1/2} + O(|\omega \mp a_+|), \quad \omega \rightarrow \pm a_+.$$

where by (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}(a_+) &= \mu_0 - m_0 a_+^2 + 2\gamma_+ + \gamma_- (1 + e^{-\Im\theta_-(a_+)}) \\ &= \mu_0 - \kappa_+^2 (m_0 - m_-) - 4\nu_+^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2} \right) - m_- K_-(a_+). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{D}(\pm a_+) \neq 0 \iff \mu_0 \neq \kappa_+^2 (m_0 - m_-) + 4\nu_+^2 \left(m_0 - \frac{m_- + m_+}{2} \right) + m_- K_-(a_+).$$

Condition **C** implies (4.11) with $\omega_0 = \pm a_+$. If condition **C**₀ (ii) holds, then (4.12) is true with $\omega_0 = \pm a_+$. Lemma 4.5 is proved. \blacksquare

4.5 Proof of Theorem 2.12

By Lemma 5.1, we can vary the contour of integration in (2.40) as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}(t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\omega|=R} e^{-i\omega t} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega) d\omega, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.16)$$

where the number R is chosen enough large, $R > \max\{a_-, a_+\}$. By Lemmas 5.1 and 4.2, we rewrite $\mathcal{N}(t)$ in the form

$$\mathcal{N}(t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} e^{-i\omega t} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega) d\omega, \quad t > 0,$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta)$, the contour Λ_ε surrounds the segments of Λ and belongs to ε -neighborhood of Λ (the contour Λ_ε is oriented anticlockwise). Passing to a limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Lambda} e^{-i\omega t} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega + i0) - \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega - i0) \right) d\omega \\ &= \sum_{\pm} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Lambda} e^{-i\omega t} P_j^{\pm}(\omega) d\omega + o(t^{-K}), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{with any } K > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $P_j^\pm(\omega) := \zeta_j^\pm(\omega)(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega + i0) - \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega - i0))$, $\zeta_j^\pm(\omega)$ are smooth functions such that $\sum_{\pm, j} \zeta_j^\pm(\omega) = 1$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $\text{supp } \zeta_1^\pm \subset \mathcal{O}(\pm\kappa_\pm)$, $\text{supp } \zeta_2^\pm \subset \mathcal{O}(\pm a_\pm)$ ($\mathcal{O}(b)$ denotes a neighborhood of the point $\omega = b$). In the case $\kappa_\pm = 0$, instead of ζ_1^\pm (P_1^\pm) we introduce the function ζ_1 (respectively, P_1) with $\text{supp } \zeta_1 \subset \mathcal{O}(0)$. Then Lemma 4.5 implies the bound (2.41) with $k = 0$. Here we use the following estimate

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} (a^2 - \omega^2)^{j/2} d\omega \right| \leq C(1+t)^{-1-j/2} \quad \text{при } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $j = \pm 1$ ($j = -1$, if condition \mathbf{C}_0 holds, $j = 1$, if condition \mathbf{C} holds), $\zeta(\omega)$ is a smooth function, and $\zeta(\omega) = 1$ for $|\omega - a| \leq \delta$ with some $\delta > 0$. The bound (2.41) with $k = 1, 2$ can be proved by a similar way. \blacksquare

4.6 Resonance cases: $N = 0$

The cases of the constants when conditions \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}_0 are not fulfilled we call by *resonance cases*. In these cases, $\mathcal{N}(t) = C_1 + C_2 \sin(at + b) + O(t^{-1/2})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ with some constants C_1, C_2, a, b . In the particular case **(P2)**, the resonance cases were studied in [3]. Let us consider the particular case **(P1)**. Then, the resonance cases are the following three cases:

- case (R1): $\kappa_0 = \kappa = 0$;
- case (R2): $(\kappa_0, \kappa) \neq (0, 0)$ and $a_0 > a$;
- case (R3): $\kappa_0 < \kappa$.

Resonance cases can be rewritten in the terms of restrictions on $m_0, m, \gamma, \mu_0, \mu$ as follows

- $\mu_0 = \mu = 0$
- $(\mu_0, \mu) \neq (0, 0)$ and $m_0 < m$
- $\mu_0 < \mu$

We construct the solutions $u(\cdot, t)$ which do not satisfy the bound (1.7) and (2.39). In the case (R1), $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega)$ has a simple pole at zero,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega) = \frac{i}{2\omega\sqrt{\gamma m}} + \frac{m - m_0}{4\gamma m} + \dots \quad \text{as } \omega \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{N}(t) = (2\sqrt{\gamma m})^{-1} + O(t^{-1/2})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that $Y_0(n) \equiv 0$ for $n \neq 0$. Then, $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ for any α and $z(\cdot, t) \equiv 0$. Using (2.14) and (2.42), we obtain

$$u(0, t) = r(0, t) = \frac{v_0(0)}{2\sqrt{\gamma m}} + O(t^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, if $v_0(0) \neq 0$, then the constructed solution $u(n, t)$ does not satisfy the bound (2.39).

In the cases (R2) and (R3), there exists a point $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega)$ has simple poles at the points $\omega = \pm\omega_0$ with $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda$. Hence, $\mathcal{N}(t) = C \sin(\omega_0 t) + O(t^{-3/2})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Note that $\Im\theta(\omega_0) > 0$, $\Re\theta(\omega_0) = \pm\pi$. Therefore, the function of the form

$$u(n, t) = e^{i\theta(\omega_0)|n|} \sin(\omega_0 t), \quad t \geq 0$$

is a solution of the system. However, this solution does not satisfy the bound (2.39).

5 Appendix B: Case $N \geq 1$

5.1 $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_\pm$

We prove the following lemma for any $N \geq 0$ without the additional condition (2.36).

Lemma 5.1 (i) $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega)$ is meromorphic-valued matrix for $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda$.

(ii) $|\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega)| = O(|\omega|^{-2})$ as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$.

(iii) $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_\pm$.

Proof The first assertion of the lemma follows from the formula (2.32) and the analyticity of $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda$. By (2.23) and the condition $\Im\theta_\pm(\omega) > 0$, we have

$$|e^{i\theta_\pm(\omega)}| \leq C|\omega|^{-2} \quad \text{as } |\omega| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.1)$$

Then the second assertion follows from (2.32) and (5.1). The third assertion follows from the energy bound (2.13). Indeed, let us assume that $\det \tilde{D}(\omega_0) = 0$ for some $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Then, there exists a nonzero vector $\xi = (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_N)$ such that

$$\tilde{D}(\omega_0)\xi = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Introduce a function $u_*(n, t)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \geq 0$, as

$$u_*(n, t) = \begin{cases} e^{-i\omega_0 t} e^{-i\theta_-(\omega_0)n} \xi_0, & n \leq 0, \\ e^{-i\omega_0 t} \xi_1, & n = 1, \\ \dots & \dots \\ e^{-i\omega_0 t} \xi_{N-1}, & n = N-1, \\ e^{-i\omega_0 t} e^{i\theta_+(\omega_0)(n-N)} \xi_N, & n \geq N. \end{cases}$$

Using (2.23) and (5.2), it is easy to check that $Y_*(t) = (u_*(\cdot, t), v_*(\cdot, t))$, where $v_*(n, t) = m_n \dot{u}_*(n, t)$, is a solution of the problem (1.2) with the initial data

$$Y_*(n) = \begin{cases} e^{-i\theta_-(\omega_0)n} \xi_0(1, -im_-\omega_0), & n \leq 0, \\ \xi_1(1, -im_0\omega_0), & n = 1, \\ \dots & \dots \\ \xi_{N-1}(1, -im_N\omega_0), & n = N-1, \\ e^{i\theta_+(\omega_0)(n-N)} \xi_N(1, -im_+\omega_0), & n \geq N. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the Hamiltonian (see (1.1)) is

$$\mathbf{H}(Y_*(t)) = e^{2t \Im\omega_0} \mathbf{H}(Y_*(\cdot)) \quad \text{for any } t > 0, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{H}(Y_*) > 0.$$

Since $\Im\omega_0 > 0$ and $Y_* \in \mathcal{H}_0$, this exponential growth contradicts the energy estimate (2.13). Hence, $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Since $\overline{\theta_\pm(\omega)} = -\theta_\pm(\bar{\omega})$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda$, then $\overline{\tilde{D}(\omega)} = \tilde{D}(\bar{\omega})$. Therefore, $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{C}_-$. \blacksquare

5.2 $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$

For simplicity of the further calculations, we impose condition (2.36). Then, the system (1.3)–(1.5) is of a form

$$\begin{cases} m\ddot{u}(n, t) = (\gamma\Delta_L - \mu)u(n, t) & \text{for } n \leq -1, \quad n \geq N+1, \\ m_n\ddot{u}(n, t) = \gamma_n\nabla_L u(n, t) - \gamma_{n-1}\nabla_L u(n-1, t) - \mu_n u(n, t) & \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots, N, \end{cases}$$

with $\gamma_N = \gamma_{-1} \equiv \gamma$. Set $\nu := \nu_{\pm}$, $\kappa := \kappa_{\pm}$, $a := a_{\pm}$, where $\nu^2 = \gamma/m$, $\kappa^2 = \mu/m$, $a^2 = (\mu + 4\gamma)/m$, $\theta(\omega) := \theta_{\pm}(\omega)$, $\Lambda = [-a, -\kappa] \cup [\kappa, a]$, $\Lambda^0 = \{\pm\kappa, \pm a\}$.

Lemma 5.2 *det $\tilde{D}(\omega \pm i0) \neq 0$ for $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$.*

Proof For $N = 0$, we prove this result in Appendix A. Now we assume that $N \geq 1$. For $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$, $\Re\theta(\omega + i0) \in (-\pi, 0) \cup (0, \pi)$ and $\Im\theta(\omega + i0) = 0$. Moreover, $\text{sign}(\sin\theta(\omega + i0)) = \text{sign}\omega$. Write

$$y := \Im\tilde{D}_{00}(\omega + i0) = \Im\tilde{D}_{NN}(\omega + i0) = -\gamma \sin\theta(\omega + i0) \neq 0;$$

$$r_0 := \Re\tilde{D}_{00}(\omega + i0), \quad r_N := \Re\tilde{D}_{NN}(\omega + i0),$$

$$d_n \equiv d_n(\omega) := \tilde{D}_{nn}(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Assume the contrary, that $\det \tilde{D}(\omega + i0) = 0$. Then, by (5.19),

$$\det \tilde{D}(\omega + i0) = \alpha_N(\omega + i0) = (r_N + iy)\alpha_{N-1} - \gamma_{N-1}^2 \alpha_{N-2},$$

where $\alpha_{-2} = 0$, $\alpha_{-1} = 1$ and $\alpha_n \equiv \alpha_n(\omega + i0)$ for $n = 0, \dots, N$, $\alpha_n(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ are defined in (5.20). Hence,

$$\begin{cases} r_N \Re\alpha_{N-1} - y \Im\alpha_{N-1} - \gamma_{N-1}^2 \Re\alpha_{N-2} = 0 \\ y \Re\alpha_{N-1} + r_N \Im\alpha_{N-1} - \gamma_{N-1}^2 \Im\alpha_{N-2} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

At first, we prove that equalities in (5.3) is impossible in the case $N = 1$. Indeed, in this case, $\alpha_{N-1} \equiv \alpha_0 = r_0 + iy$, $\alpha_{N-2} \equiv \alpha_{-1} = 1$, and the system (5.3) becomes

$$r_0 r_1 - y^2 - \gamma_0^2 = 0 \quad (5.4)$$

$$y r_0 + y r_1 = 0 \quad (5.5)$$

By (5.5), $r_0 = -r_1$, since $y \neq 0$. Then, using (5.4), we have $-r_0^2 - y^2 - \gamma_0^2 = 0$ what is impossible. Therefore, $\det \tilde{D}(\omega + i0) \neq 0$ for any $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$ in the case $N = 1$.

Now we prove that equalities in (5.3) is impossible if $N \geq 2$. For $N \geq 2$, introduce the following determinants $\Delta_k^j \equiv \Delta_k^j(\omega)$ by the rule

$$\Delta_k^j(\omega) = \det \begin{pmatrix} d_j & -\gamma_j & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\gamma_j & d_{j+1} & -\gamma_{j+1} & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma_{j+1} & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & d_{k-1} & -\gamma_{k-1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -\gamma_{k-1} & d_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{array}{l} j \leq k \\ k = 1, \dots, N-1 \\ N \geq 2. \end{array} \quad (5.6)$$

The matrices in (5.6) are real-valued symmetric and tridiagonal (i.e., normal Jakobi matrices). Moreover, for fixed j , the minors $\{\tilde{\alpha}_p \equiv \Delta_k^j|_{k=j+p}, p = 0, 1, \dots\}$ satisfy the recurrence relation (cf (5.19))

$$\tilde{\alpha}_p = d_{j+p} \tilde{\alpha}_{p-1} - \gamma_{j+p-1}^2 \tilde{\alpha}_{p-2}, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (5.7)$$

with the initial conditions $\tilde{\alpha}_{-2} = 0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_{-1} = 1$. In turn, for fixed k , the minors $\{\tilde{\beta}_p \equiv \Delta_k^j|_{j=p}, p = k, k-1, \dots\}$ satisfy the three-term recurrence (cf (5.22))

$$\tilde{\beta}_p = d_p \tilde{\beta}_{p+1} - \gamma_p^2 \tilde{\beta}_{p+2}, \quad p = k, k-1, \dots, \quad (5.8)$$

with the initial conditions $\tilde{\beta}_{k+1} = 1$, $\tilde{\beta}_{k+2} = 0$. We return to the proof of Lemma 5.2 in the case $N \geq 2$. Applying notation (5.6), we have $\alpha_1 = (r_0 + iy)d_1 - \gamma_0^2$, $\alpha_k = (r_0 + iy)\Delta_k^1 - \gamma_0^2 \Delta_k^2$, $k = 2, \dots, N-1$, $N \geq 2$. Since $y \neq 0$ and $\Delta_k^j \in \mathbb{R}$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, the system (5.3) becomes

$$r_N \Re \alpha_{N-1} - y^2 \Delta_{N-1}^1 - \gamma_{N-1}^2 \Re \alpha_{N-2} = 0 \quad (5.9)$$

$$\Re \alpha_{N-1} + r_N \Delta_{N-1}^1 - \gamma_{N-1}^2 \Delta_{N-2}^1 = 0 \quad (5.10)$$

where $\Re \alpha_k = r_0 \Delta_k^1 - \gamma_0^2 \Delta_k^2$, $\Delta_0^1 \equiv 1$ and $\Delta_0^2 \equiv 0$.

Assume that $\Delta_{N-1}^1 = 0$. Then, $\Delta_{N-1}^2 \cdot \Delta_{N-2}^1 > 0$. This inequality follows from two facts for determinants Δ_k^j : (1) if $\Delta_{N-1}^1 = 0$, then $\Delta_{N-1}^2 \cdot \Delta_{N-2}^1 \neq 0$ by the properties of the minors of Jakobi matrices or by the relations (5.7) and (5.8); (2) nonzero major minors of same order (as Δ_{N-1}^2 and Δ_{N-2}^1) have the same sign (see, e.g., [5, p.31, p.83 in Russian edition]). However, this inequality contradicts to (5.10), because by (5.10) and $\Delta_{N-1}^1 = 0$, one obtains $-\gamma_0^2 \Delta_{N-1}^2 - \gamma_{N-1}^2 \Delta_{N-2}^1 = 0$ and then, $\text{sign} \Delta_{N-1}^2 = -\text{sign} \Delta_{N-2}^1$.

If $\Delta_{N-1}^1 \neq 0$, then we express r_N from Eqn (5.10) and substitute in (5.9). For $N = 2$, if $\Delta_{N-1}^1 \equiv \Delta_1^1 = d_1 \neq 0$, then

$$r_2 = \frac{\gamma_1^2 \Delta_0^1 - \Re \alpha_1}{\Delta_1^1} = \frac{\gamma_1^2 - (x_0 d_1 - \gamma_0^2)}{d_1}$$

and Eqn (5.10) becomes

$$\frac{-(x_0 d_1 - \gamma_0^2)^2 - y^2 d_1^2 - \gamma_0^2 \gamma_1^2}{d_1} = 0,$$

what is impossible since $\gamma_0 \gamma_1 \neq 0$. For $N \geq 3$, we use the following equality

$$\Delta_{N-1}^2 \cdot \Delta_{N-2}^1 - \Delta_{N-2}^2 \cdot \Delta_{N-1}^1 = \gamma_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_{N-2}^2, \quad \text{where } N \geq 3, \Delta_1^2 \equiv 1,$$

which can be proved by induction. Therefore, Eqn (5.9) writes

$$\frac{-(\Re \alpha_{N-1})^2 - y^2 (\Delta_{N-1}^1)^2 - \gamma_0^2 \gamma_1^2 \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_{N-1}^2}{\Delta_{N-1}^1} = 0$$

what is impossible. Thus, $\det \tilde{D}(\omega + i0) \neq 0$ for any $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$. Since $\tilde{D}(\omega - i0) = \overline{\tilde{D}(\omega + i0)}$ for $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$, $\det \tilde{D}(\omega - i0) \neq 0$ for $\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda^0$. \blacksquare

5.3 $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda$

For $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda$, the matrix $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ is symmetric and real-valued. As before, we write $d_n(\omega) = \tilde{D}_{nn}(\omega)$. We consider separately two cases of values of ω : $|\omega| > a$ and $|\omega| < \kappa$ (if $\kappa \neq 0$). For $|\omega| > a$, the following result holds.

Lemma 5.3 *Write $\mathbb{R}_a := \{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : |\omega| > a\}$. The following assertions are equivalent.*

(A1) $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$.

(A2) $(-1)^N \det \tilde{D}(\omega) < 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$.

(A3) For every $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, $\alpha_n(\omega)(-1)^n < 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$, i.e., the symmetric matrix $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ is negative definite for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$.

(A4) For every $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, $\beta_n(\omega)(-1)^{N+n} < 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$.

(A5) For every $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, $c_n(\omega) < 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$.

(A6) $\alpha_n(a)(-1)^n < 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, and $\alpha_N(a)(-1)^N \leq 0$.

(A7) $c_n(a) < 0$ for $n = 1, \dots, N-1$, and $c_N(a) \leq 0$.

Proof For $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$, $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ is a real-valued symmetric (Jakobi) matrix with diagonal terms of a form

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(\omega) &= \mu_0 - m_0\omega^2 + \gamma(1 + e^{-\Im\theta(\omega)}) + \gamma_0, \\ d_n(\omega) &= \mu_n - m_n\omega^2 + \gamma_n + \gamma_{n-1}, \quad n = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ d_N(\omega) &= \mu_N - m_N\omega^2 + \gamma(1 + e^{-\Im\theta(\omega)}) + \gamma_{N-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$,

$$d_n(\omega_1) < d_n(\omega_2) \text{ for } |\omega_1| > |\omega_2| \text{ and } d_n(\omega) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow \pm\infty. \quad (5.11)$$

It follows from (5.11) and (5.25) that all $c_n(\omega)$ are even, strictly decrease for $\omega > a$ and $c_n(\omega) \rightarrow -\infty$ for $\omega \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Furthermore, (5.11) (or (5.24)) implies that

$$(-1)^N \det \tilde{D}(\omega) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow \pm\infty. \quad (5.12)$$

Hence, assertion (A1) is equivalent to (A2). Furthermore, (A7) \implies (A5). By formula (5.24), (A5) implies (A2). Evidently, (A3) \implies (A2). Assertions (A3), (A4) and (A5) are equivalent by Remark 5.7, and (A6) \iff (A7). Therefore, (A6) \implies (A2).

It remains to prove that (A2) implies (A3) and (A6). Assume, for simplicity, that $N = 1$. Let assertion (A2) hold. Then, $\alpha_0(a) \equiv d_0(a) \leq 0$. Indeed, if $d_0(a) > 0$, then, by (5.11), there is a point $\omega_1 > a$ such that $d_0(\omega_1) = 0$. Hence, $\det \tilde{D}(\omega_1) = -\gamma_0^2 < 0$. This contradicts to (A2). Similarly, we can check that (A2) implies that $d_1(a) \leq 0$. Hence, $d_0(\omega) < 0$ and $d_1(\omega) < 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$, by (5.11), and (A3) holds. Moreover, $\alpha'_1(\omega) \equiv (\det \tilde{D}(\omega))' > 0$ for $\omega > a$. Therefore, $\alpha_1(a) \geq 0$. If $\alpha_0(a) = 0$, then $\alpha_1(a) = -\gamma_0^2 < 0$ what is impossible. Hence, $\alpha_0(a) > 0$ and (A6) is true. In the case $N \geq 2$, the proof of implications (A2) \implies (A3) and (A2) \implies (A6) is similar and is based on two facts:

$$1) \quad \alpha_n(\omega)(-1)^n \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad (5.13)$$

and 2) if for some point ω_0 , $\alpha_n(\omega_0) = 0$, then $\alpha_{n-1}(\omega_0)\alpha_{n+1}(\omega_0) < 0$ by virtue of (5.19).

Now we prove these implications for $N = 2, 3$. Assume that $\alpha_0(a) \equiv d_0(a) > 0$. It follows from (5.11) that there exists a point $\omega_1 > a$ such that $\alpha_0(\omega_1) = 0$ and

$$\alpha_0(\omega) < 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega > \omega_1. \quad (5.14)$$

Then, $\alpha_1(\omega_1) = -\gamma_0^2 < 0$. Therefore, by (5.13), there is a point $\omega_2 > \omega_1$ such that

$$\alpha_1(\omega_2) = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

Hence,

$$\alpha_2(\omega_2) = -\gamma_1^2 \alpha_0(\omega_2) > 0, \quad (5.16)$$

by (5.14). If $N = 2$, then (5.16) contradicts (A2). Hence, $\alpha_0(a) \leq 0$ and

$$\alpha_0(\omega) < 0 \quad \text{for any } |\omega| > a, \quad (5.17)$$

by (5.11). Moreover, from reasonings above we see that $\alpha_1(\omega) > 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$ and (A3) is true. If $\alpha_0(a) = 0$, then $\alpha_1(a) = -\gamma_0^2 < 0$ and there is a point $\omega_1 > a$ such that $\alpha_1(\omega_1) = 0$ that is impossible by (A3). Hence, $\alpha_0(a) < 0$. Also, $\alpha_1(a) \neq 0$, since if $\alpha_1(a) = 0$, then $\alpha_2(a) = -\alpha_0^2 \alpha_0(a) > 0$, what contradicts (A3). Therefore, (A6) is true. If $N = 3$, then by (5.13) and (5.16), there is a point $\omega_3 > \omega_2$ such that $\alpha_2(\omega_3) = 0$. Hence, $\alpha_1(\omega_3)\alpha_3(\omega_3) < 0$ by (5.19). We can choose a point ω_2 in (5.15) such that $\alpha_1(\omega) > 0$ for any $\omega > \omega_2$. Hence, $\alpha_3(\omega_3) < 0$. But this inequality contradicts (A2) with $N = 3$. Hence, (5.17) is valid. Moreover, using reasonings above we obtain that $\alpha_1(\omega) < 0$ and $\alpha_2(\omega) > 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$ and (A3) is true.

Now we check (A6). Since $c_n(\omega) < 0$ for any n and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$, then $(-1)^n \alpha_n(\omega) < 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_a$ by virtue to Remark 5.7 (2). Hence,

$$(-1)^n \alpha_n(a) \leq 0 \quad \text{for any } n. \quad (5.18)$$

It remains to prove that $\alpha_n(a) \neq 0$ for $n \neq N$. We check this fact by induction. Indeed, if $\alpha_0(a) = 0$, then $\alpha_1(a) < 0$, what is impossible by (5.18). Hence, $\alpha_0(a) < 0$. Assume that $(-1)^k \alpha_k(a) < 0$ for $k \leq n-1$. If $\alpha_n(a) = 0$, then $(-1)^{n-1} \alpha_{n-1}(a)(-1)^{n+1} \alpha_{n+1}(a) < 0$. Hence, $(-1)^{n+1} \alpha_{n+1}(a) > 0$ what contradicts (5.18). ■

Let $\kappa \neq 0$. At first, we prove the following auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 5.4 *If $\kappa \neq 0$, then $c_n(0) > 0$ and $\alpha_n(0) > 0$ for any $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$.*

Proof At first note that

$$K := 1 - e^{-i\theta(0)} = 4 \left(\frac{\kappa}{\nu} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\kappa^2}{\nu^2}} \right)^{-2} < 1.$$

Then, if $N = 0$, then by (2.33), we have

$$\tilde{D}(0) \equiv c_0(0) \equiv \alpha_0(0) = \mu_0 + 2\gamma K > 0.$$

If $N \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{cases} d_0(0) &= \mu_0 + \gamma K + \gamma_0 &> \gamma_0, \\ d_n(0) &= \mu_n + \gamma_n + \gamma_{n-1} &\geq \gamma_n + \gamma_{n-1} \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots, N-1, \\ d_N(0) &= \mu_N + \gamma K + \gamma_{N-1} &\geq \gamma K + \gamma_{N-1}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, using (5.25), we have $c_n(0) > \gamma_n$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, and $c_N(0) > \gamma K > 0$. Since $\alpha_0(0) = c_0(0)$ and $\alpha_n(0) = \alpha_{n-1}(0)c_n(0)$, then $\alpha_n(0) > \gamma_0 \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_n$ for $n = 0, \dots, N-1$ and $\alpha_N(0) > \gamma_0 \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_{N-1} \gamma K > 0$. By Sylvester's criterion, the symmetric matrix $\tilde{D}(0)$ is positive definite. \blacksquare

Lemma 5.5 Write $\mathbb{R}_\kappa := \{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : |\omega| < \kappa\}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.

(A1) $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_\kappa$.

(A2) $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) > 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_\kappa$.

(A3) For every $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, $\alpha_n(\omega) > 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_\kappa$, i.e., the matrix $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ is positive definite for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_\kappa$.

(A4) For every $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, $\beta_n(\omega) > 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_\kappa$.

(A5) For every $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, $c_n(\omega) > 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_\kappa$.

(A6) $\alpha_n(\kappa) > 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, and $\alpha_N(\kappa) \geq 0$.

(A7) $c_n(\kappa) > 0$ for $n = 1, \dots, N-1$, and $c_N(\kappa) \geq 0$.

Proof For $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_\kappa$, all functions $d_n(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d_n(\omega_1) < d_n(\omega_2)$ for $|\omega_2| < |\omega_1| < \kappa$. Hence, all $c_n(\omega)$ are even, strictly decrease for $\omega \in [0, \kappa)$. Furthermore, $\tilde{D}(0)$ is positive definite by Lemma 5.4. Hence, (A1) \iff (A2); (A3) \implies (A2); (A3) \iff (A4) \iff (A5); (A7) \implies (A5); (A6) \implies (A2); (A6) \iff (A7). The proof of implications (A2) \implies (A3) and (A2) \implies (A6) can be proved by a similar way as Lemma 5.3. \blacksquare

Remark 5.6 (i) If $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R} : |\omega| > a$, then $d_n(\omega) < 0$ for $|\omega| \geq a$. Furthermore, $(-1)^n (\alpha_n(\omega))' < 0$ for $\omega > a$.

(ii) If $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R} : |\omega| < \kappa$, then $d_n(\omega) > 0$ for $|\omega| \leq \kappa$.

(iii) If assertions (A2) of Lemmas 5.3 and 5.5 are not fulfilled, then there exists a point $\omega_* \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\Lambda \cup 0\}$ such that $\det \tilde{D}(\omega_*) = 0$ and the entries of the inverse matrix $\tilde{N}(\omega)$ have poles at points $\omega = \pm \omega_*$. Then, bound (2.41) does not hold.

(iv) By Lemmas 5.3 and 5.5, $\alpha_0(a) < 0$ and $\alpha_0(\kappa) > 0$. Then, $m_0 > m/2$. Similarly, since $\beta_N(a) < 0$ and $\beta_N(\kappa) > 0$, then $m_N > m/2$.

5.4 Properties of $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ and $\tilde{N}(\omega)$

Let $N \geq 1$. If $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda$, then $\tilde{D}(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ is a symmetric tridiagonal matrix with non-diagonal entries $\tilde{D}_{ii+1}(\omega) = -\gamma_i^2 \neq 0$. If $\tilde{D}(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\tilde{D}(\omega)$ is a well-known normal Jacobi matrix. Tridiagonal matrices are widely studied in the literature, see e.g., [5, 7]. We mark some interesting facts on the matrix $\tilde{D}(\omega)$. Write $d_i \equiv d_i(\omega) = \tilde{D}_{ii}(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$. To find the determinant of $\tilde{D}(\omega)$, we can use the three-term recurrence relation

$$\alpha_i = d_i \alpha_{i-1} - \gamma_{i-1}^2 \alpha_{i-2}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N, \quad (5.19)$$

with initial conditions $\alpha_{-2} = 0$ and $\alpha_{-1} = 1$. Here α_i , $i = 0, \dots, N$, is the i -th leading (principal corner) minor of the matrix $\tilde{D}(\omega)$, i.e.,

$$\alpha_i = \det \begin{pmatrix} d_0 & -\gamma_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\gamma_0 & d_1 & -\gamma_1 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma_1 & d_2 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -\gamma_{i-1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -\gamma_{i-1} & d_i \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.20)$$

Similarly, introduce the sequence $\{\beta_i\}_{i=0}^N$ of the determinants by the rule

$$\beta_i = \det \begin{pmatrix} d_i & -\gamma_i & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\gamma_i & d_{i+1} & -\gamma_{i+1} & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma_{i+1} & d_{i+2} & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -\gamma_{N-1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -\gamma_{N-1} & d_N \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.21)$$

Then β_i satisfies the three-term recurrence

$$\begin{cases} \beta_i = d_i \beta_{i+1} - \gamma_i^2 \beta_{i+2}, & i = 0, \dots, N, \\ \beta_{N+1} = 1, & \beta_{N+2} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.22)$$

In particular, $\det \tilde{D}(\omega) = \alpha_N(\omega) = \beta_0(\omega)$. The entries of the symmetric matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega) = (\tilde{D}(\omega))^{-1}$ are of a form (see [7, Theorem 1])

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{ij}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \gamma_j \gamma_{j+1} \dots \gamma_{i-1} \frac{\alpha_{j-1}(\omega) \beta_{i+1}(\omega)}{\alpha_N(\omega)}, & j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, i-1, \\ \frac{\alpha_{i-1}(\omega) \beta_{i+1}(\omega)}{\alpha_N(\omega)} & j = i \end{cases} \quad (5.23)$$

$i = N, N-1, \dots, 0$.

Remark 5.7 (1) Note that if $\alpha_n = 0$ for some $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_{n+1} < 0$. The last inequality can be proved using induction, recurrence (5.19) and the fact that all $\gamma_n > 0$.

(2) The following relation holds, $\alpha_k(\omega) = c_0(\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot c_k(\omega)$. In particular,

$$\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \equiv \alpha_N(\omega) = c_0(\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot c_N(\omega), \quad (5.24)$$

where

$$c_n \equiv c_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} d_0(\omega), & n = 0, \\ d_n(\omega) - \frac{\gamma_{n-1}^2}{c_{n-1}}, & n \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.25)$$

(3) $c_n = \alpha_n / \alpha_{n-1}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

(4) The following relation holds, $\beta_k(\omega) = e_k(\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot e_N(\omega)$. In particular,

$$\det \tilde{D}(\omega) \equiv \beta_0(\omega) = e_0(\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot e_N(\omega),$$

where

$$e_n \equiv e_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} d_N(\omega), & n = N, \\ d_n(\omega) - \frac{\gamma_n^2}{e_{n+1}}, & n \neq N, \end{cases}$$

and $e_n = \beta_n / \beta_{n+1}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

5.5 Asymptotics of $\mathcal{N}(t)$ for large times

To prove Theorem 2.12, it remains to study the behavior of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\omega)$ near singular points in Λ^0 .

Let $\mu = 0$. Then $\kappa = 0$ and $0 \in \Lambda^0$. We apply the representation (4.10) to $e^{i\theta(\omega)}$ and obtain that

$$\tilde{D}_{nn}(\omega) \equiv d_n(\omega) = d_n(0) - i\omega\sqrt{m\gamma} - \omega^2(m_n - m/2) + \dots \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow 0, \text{ for } n = 0, n = N,$$

where $d_0(0) = \mu_0 + \gamma_0$, $d_N(0) = \mu_N + \gamma_{N-1}$. Using (5.19) and (5.22), we find the asymptotics of determinants $\alpha_k(\omega)$ and $\beta_k(\omega)$ as

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_k(\omega) = \alpha_k(0) - iA_k(0)\sqrt{m\gamma}\omega + \dots, & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ \beta_k(\omega) = \beta_k(0) - iB_k(0)\sqrt{m\gamma}\omega + \dots, & k = 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \quad \omega \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.26)$$

where $\alpha_k(0) > 0$ for $k = 0, \dots, N-1$, $\beta_k(0) > 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, N$, $A_0 \equiv 1$, $B_N \equiv 1$, $A_k(\omega) = \Delta_k^1$, $B_k(\omega) = \Delta_{N-1}^k$, $k = 1, \dots, N-1$, where Δ_k^j are defined in (5.6).

If $\mu_0 = \dots = \mu_N = 0$, then $\det \tilde{D}(0) = 0$ and

$$\alpha_N(\omega) \equiv \det \tilde{D}(\omega) = -iC_0\sqrt{m\gamma}\omega + \dots \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow 0,$$

with

$$C_0 = \beta_1(0) + \alpha_{N-1}(0) = 2\beta_1(0) = 2\gamma_0 \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_{N-1}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_k(0) = \gamma_0 \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_k, & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ \beta_k(0) = \gamma_{k-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_{N-1}, & k = 1, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by (5.23) and (5.26), the entries $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{nk}(\omega)$ have a simple pole at zero,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{nk}(\omega) = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{\gamma m} \omega} + C_{nk} + \dots \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow 0, \quad n, k = 0, \dots, N, \quad (5.27)$$

where C_{nk} are some constants. If there is nonzero μ_n for some $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, then

$$\det \tilde{D}(0) \geq \mu_n \gamma_0 \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_{N-1} > 0.$$

Therefore, (4.13) follows by conditions **C** and **C**₀.

Let $\kappa \neq 0$. Then we apply the representation (4.8) to $e^{i\theta(\omega)}$ and obtain

$$d_n(\omega) = d_n(\kappa) - i\sqrt{m\gamma}(\omega^2 - \kappa^2)^{1/2} + \dots \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow \kappa, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad n = 0; N,$$

where $d_0(\kappa) = \mu_0 - m_0\kappa^2 + \gamma_0$, $d_N(\kappa) = \mu_N - m_N\kappa^2 + \gamma_{N-1}$. Therefore, the asymptotics of determinants $\alpha_k(\omega)$ and $\beta_k(\omega)$ is

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_k(\omega) = \alpha_k(\kappa) - iA_k(\kappa)\sqrt{m\gamma}(\omega^2 - \kappa^2)^{1/2} + \dots, & k = 0, \dots, N-1, \\ \beta_k(\omega) = \beta_k(\kappa) - iB_k(\kappa)\sqrt{m\gamma}(\omega^2 - \kappa^2)^{1/2} + \dots, & k = 1, \dots, N. \end{cases} \quad \omega \rightarrow \kappa.$$

If $\det \tilde{D}(\kappa) \neq 0$, then condition **C** holds and we obtain the representation (4.11). If $\det \tilde{D}(\kappa) = 0$, then condition **C**₀ holds and we obtain the representation (4.12).

For $\omega \rightarrow a$, we apply the representation (4.9) to $e^{i\theta(\omega)}$ and obtain

$$d_n(\omega) = d_n(a) - i\sqrt{m\gamma}(a^2 - \omega^2)^{1/2} + \dots \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow a, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad n = 0; N,$$

where $d_0(a) = \mu_0 - m_0a^2 + 2\gamma + \gamma_0$, $d_N(a) = \mu_N - m_Na^2 + 2\gamma + \gamma_{N-1}$. If $\det \tilde{D}(a) \neq 0$, then condition **C** holds and we obtain the representation (4.11), if $\det \tilde{D}(a) = 0$, then condition **C**₀ holds and we obtain the representation (4.12). Therefore, Theorem 2.12 in the case $N \geq 1$ can be proved by a similar way as in the case $N = 0$, see Appendix A.

5.6 Resonance cases: $N \geq 1$

In the case of $N = 0$, the resonance cases are considered in Section 4.6. Now we consider the case $N \geq 1$ and construct the solutions $u(n, t)$ which do not satisfy the bound (2.39). If conditions **C** and **C**₀ are not satisfied, then there are two possible cases:

- (1) $\mu = \mu_0 = \dots = \mu_N = 0$;
- (2) There is a point $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda$ such that $\det \tilde{D}(\omega_0) = 0$.

In the case (1), $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{nk}(\omega)$ have a simple pole at zero and, by (5.27),

$$\mathcal{N}_{nk}(t) = (2\sqrt{\gamma m})^{-1} + O(t^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad n, k = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Suppose that the initial data $Y_0(n) \equiv 0$ for $n \neq \{0, \dots, N\}$. Then, $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ for any α and $z(n, t) \equiv 0$ for any n , where $z(n, t)$ is defined in (2.15). Using (2.14) and (2.42), we obtain

$$u(0, t) = r(0, t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\gamma m}} \sum_{k=0}^N v_0(k) + O(t^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, if $v_0(0) + \dots + v_0(N) \neq 0$, then the solution $u(\cdot, t)$ does not satisfy the bound (2.39).

In the case (2), there is a nonzero vector $\xi = (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ such that $\det \tilde{D}(\omega_0)\xi = 0$. Note that for $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda$, $\Im\theta(\omega_0) > 0$, $\Re\theta(\omega_0) = \pm\pi$. Therefore, the function of the form $u(n, t) = v(n) \sin(\omega_0 t)$, where

$$v(n) = \begin{cases} e^{-i\theta(\omega_0)n} \xi_0 & \text{if } n \leq 0 \\ \xi_n & \text{if } n = 1, \dots, N-1 \\ e^{i\theta(\omega_0)(n-N)} \xi_N & \text{if } n \geq N, \end{cases}$$

is a solution of the system with the initial data $Y_0 = (0, v_0)$, where $v_0(n) = \omega_0 m_n v(n)$ with $m_n = m$ for $n \leq -1$ and $n \geq N+1$. Note that $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ for any α . However, $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\alpha = \|v\|_\alpha |\sin(\omega_0 t)| \geq C |\sin(\omega_0 t)|$ with some constant $C > 0$. Therefore, the bounds (1.7) and (2.39) are not fulfilled for this solution.

References

- [1] T.V. Dudnikova, Long-time asymptotics of solutions to a Hamiltonian system on a lattice, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **219**, 69–85 (2016).
- [2] T.V. Dudnikova, On convergence to equilibrium for one-dimensional chain of harmonic oscillators on the half-line, *J. Math. Phys.* **58** (4), 043301 (2017).
- [3] T.V. Dudnikova, Behavior for large time of a two-component chain of harmonic oscillators, *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **25** (4), 470–491 (2018).
- [4] T.V. Dudnikova, Infinite non homogeneous chain of harmonic oscillators: Stabilization of statistical solutions, *Keldysh Institute Preprints*, No. 254 (2018), 24 pp.
- [5] F.R. Gantmacher, M.G. Krein, *Oscillation Matrices and Kernels and Small Vibrations of Mechanical Systems*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Vol.345 (2002). [English translation from the Russian-language book of F.R. Gantmacher, M.G. Krein, 2nd ed., Moscow: Gostekhizdat, 1950]

- [6] A.I. Komech, E.A. Kopylova and M. Kunze, Dispersive estimates for 1D discrete Schrodinger and Klein–Gordon equations, *Applicable Anal.* **85** (12), 1487–1508 (2006).
- [7] R.A. Usmani, Inversion of Jakobi’s tridiagonal matrix, *Computers Math. Applic.* **27** (8), 59–66 (1994).