

On a relation of overconvergence and F -analyticity on p -adic Galois representations of a p -adic field F

Megumi Takata*

September 17, 2019

Abstract

Let p be a prime number. There are properties called “overconvergence” and “ F -analyticity” for p -adic Galois representations of a p -adic field F . By Berger’s work, it is known that F -analyticity is stricter than overconvergence. In this article, we show that, in many cases, an overconvergent Galois representation is F -analytic up to a twist by a character. This result emphasizes the necessity of the theory of (φ, Γ) -modules over the multivariable Robba ring, by which we expect to study all p -adic Galois representations.

1 Introduction

In the p -adic local Langlands program for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, which has finally been established in [Col10] and [CDP14], an important result in the early stage is a theorem due to Cherbonnier and Colmez [CC98]. It says that all of the p -adic Galois representations of a p -adic field are *overconvergent* with respect to the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension. It enables us to study every p -adic Galois representation of a p -adic field in the terms of modules over the univariable Robba ring, which is the ring of (not necessarily bounded) functions on p -adic annuli. One of the benefits appears in Berger’s work [Ber02], which relates the following three notions: 1) (φ, Γ) -modules, 2) p -adic differential equations, and 3) filtered (φ, N) -modules.

Thus, if we want to generalize the p -adic local Langlands program from $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ for an arbitrary p -adic field F , a similar question occurs: is any p -adic Galois representation of F overconvergent if we consider a general Lubin-Tate extension instead of the cyclotomic one? For this, Fourquaux and

*Kyushu Sangyo University, 3-1 Matsukadai 2-chome, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 813-8503 Japan.

E-mail address: m.takata@ip.kyusan-u.ac.jp
 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11S20, 11S31.

Xie [FX13] show a negative answer. That is, contrary to the cyclotomic case, there exist (an infinite number of) p -adic Galois representations which is not overconvergent with respect to a Lubin-Tate extension. Then we face a next question: how strict is overconvergence in a general Lubin-Tate setting? Berger [Ber16] has proved that, an another property called F -analyticity is a sufficient condition for overconvergence. In addition, he has proved the following:

Theorem 1.1 ([Ber13, Cor. 4.3]). *Any absolutely irreducible and overconvergent p -adic Galois representations of F are F -analytic up to twist by a character.*

We remark that Berger and Fourquaux [BF17, Th. 1.3.1, Cor. 1.3.2] describe an arbitrary overconvergent representation by using an F -analytic one and one which factors through Γ , where Γ is the Galois group of the considering Lubin-Tate extension.

The main theorem of this article is a generalizaion of Theorem 1.1. To state it in detail, we need to introduce some notations. We fix an algebraic closure \overline{F} of F and write $\mathcal{G}_F = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. Let $L \subset \overline{F}$ denote a finite extension of F . Let F_{ϖ}^{LT} be the Lubin-Tate extension of F with respect to a fixed uniformizer $\varpi \in F$. We write $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_{\varpi}^{\text{LT}}/F)$. Let q be the order of the residue field of F . Let \mathcal{R} be the Robba ring with coefficients in L . It has an action of Γ and φ_q , where φ_q is a lift of the q -th power map. We have a fully faithful functor $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^\dagger} \mathbf{D}^\dagger$ from the category of L -representations of \mathcal{G}_F to that of the (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R} (for more precise descriptions of \mathcal{R} , the notion of (φ_q, Γ) -modules, and $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^\dagger} \mathbf{D}^\dagger$, see §2).

Theorem 1.2. *Let V be an overconvergent L -representation of \mathcal{G}_F . Suppose that $D = \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^\dagger} \mathbf{D}^\dagger(V)$ has a filtration*

$$0 = D_0 \subset D_1 \subset \cdots \subset D_r = D$$

of (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R} . We put $\Delta_i = D_i/D_{i-1}$. We assume that the following conditions hold.

- (a). *For any $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have $\text{End}_{(\varphi_q, \Gamma)\text{-mod}/\mathcal{R}}(\Delta_i) = L$.*
- (b). *For any $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, we have $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi_q, \Gamma)\text{-mod}/\mathcal{R}}(\Delta_j, \Delta_i) = 0$.*
- (c). *For any $1 < i \leq r$, the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow D_{i-1} \rightarrow D_i \rightarrow \Delta_i \rightarrow 0$ does not split.*

Then there exist a finite extension L' of L and a character $\delta: \mathcal{G}_F^\times \rightarrow (L')^\times$ such that $V \otimes_L L'(\delta)$ is F -analytic.

Remark 1.3. (i). The case $r = 1$ of Theorem 1.2 says that, if an overconvergent L -representation V of \mathcal{G}_F satisfies $\text{End}(V) = L$, then V becomes F -analytic after twisting by a character. It is still a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

- (ii). Off course, there are overconvergent representations which can not be F -analytic after twisting by any character: for example, the direct sum of F -analytic one and not F -analytic one. However, a large part of overconvergent representations seem to satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.2: for example, most of trianguline representations. While, F -analyticity is very strict since, by definition, it demands the Hodge-Tate weights with respect to any $\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \overline{F}) \setminus \{\text{id}_F\}$ to be zero. Hence this result predicts that the size of the class of overconvergent representations is thought to be very small in the whole. Therefore we seem to need the theory of (φ, Γ) -modules over the multivariable Robba ring ([Ber13], [Ber16], [BF17]), by which we expect to study all p -adic Galois representations.

In §2, we recall some definitions and theorems on the Lubin-Tate (φ_q, Γ) -modules. In particular, we define overconvergence and F -analyticity here. In §3, after introducing several lemmas, we prove Theorem 3.4. Then we obtain Theorem 1.2 as a corollary.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Kentaro Nakamura for many useful comments, in particular, for suggesting him to generalize the original version of the main theorem.

2 Preliminary on the Lubin-Tate (φ_q, Γ) -modules

In this section, we recall some notion on the Lubin-Tate (φ_q, Γ) -modules.

Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , \mathcal{O}_F the ring of integers of F , and q the order of the residue field of \mathcal{O}_F . We fix an algebraic closure \overline{F} of F . We write $\mathcal{G}_F = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$.

We fix a uniformizer ϖ of F and a power series $f_\varpi(T) \in \mathcal{O}_F[[T]]$ such that $f_\varpi(T) \equiv \varpi T$ modulo degree 2 and $f_\varpi(T) \equiv T^q$ modulo ϖ . Then there exists a unique formal group law $\mathcal{G}_\varpi(X, Y) \in \mathcal{O}_F[[X, Y]]$ such that $f_\varpi \in \text{End}(\mathcal{G}_\varpi)$, which we call *the Lubin-Tate formal group associated to ϖ* . It has a natural formal \mathcal{O}_F -module structure $[\cdot]: \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{G}_\varpi)$ such that, for any $a \in \mathcal{O}_F$, the first term of $[a](T)$ is aT and $[\varpi](T) = f_\varpi(T)$. For any $a \in \mathcal{O}_F$, we put $\mathcal{G}_\varpi[a] = \{\alpha \in \overline{F} \mid [a](\alpha) = 0\}$. We denote by F_ϖ^{LT} the extension of F in \overline{F} obtained by adding all elements of $\mathcal{G}_\varpi[\varpi^n]$ for all integers $n \geq 1$. We call F_ϖ^{LT} *the Lubin-Tate extension of F associated to ϖ* . We define the Tate module $T\mathcal{G}_\varpi$ of \mathcal{G}_ϖ by $T\mathcal{G}_\varpi = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{G}_\varpi[\varpi^n]$. This is a free \mathcal{O}_F -module of rank 1 on which \mathcal{G}_F naturally acts. It induces a character $\chi_{\text{LT}}: \mathcal{G}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times$, which we call *the Lubin-Tate character associated to ϖ* . We write $\mathcal{H}_F = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F_\varpi^{\text{LT}})$, which is the kernel of χ_{LT} . For any integer $n \geq 1$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \text{Gal}(F_\varpi^{\text{LT}}/F) = \mathcal{G}_F/\mathcal{H}_F \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_F^\times \quad \text{and} \\ \Gamma_n &= \text{Gal}(F_\varpi^{\text{LT}}/F(\mathcal{G}_\varpi[\varpi^n])). \end{aligned}$$

Let $L \subset \overline{F}$ be a finite extension of F . We put

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_L} = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n T^n \mid a_n \in \mathcal{O}_L \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}, a_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ (} n \rightarrow -\infty \text{)} \right\},$$

which is a discrete valuation ring such that a uniformizer ϖ_L of L generates the maximal ideal. We put $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_L = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}})$. In this article, we consider *the weak topology*. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define a topology on $\varpi_L^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ with $\{\varpi_L^{i+n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} + T^j \varpi_L^n \mathcal{O}_L[[T]]\}_{i,j}$ as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0. We define a topology on $\mathcal{E} = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varpi_L^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ by the inductive limit topology.

An L -linear (φ_q, Γ) -action on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ or on \mathcal{E} is defined such that, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\varphi_q(T) = f_{\varpi}(T), \quad \gamma.T = [\chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma)](T).$$

A free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -module D_0 of finite rank is called a (φ_q, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ if:

1. Γ acts continuously and semilinearly on D_0 and
2. a φ_q -semilinear map $\Phi: D_0 \rightarrow D_0$ is equipped such that
 - the action of Γ and Φ commute, and
 - the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -linear homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\varphi_q, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} D_0 \rightarrow D_0$ induced by Φ is an isomorphism.

We abuse notation and use the same symbol φ_q to denote Φ . The notion of (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{E} is defined in a similar way as above. We call a (φ_q, Γ) -module D over \mathcal{E} *étale* if there exists a (φ_q, Γ) -module D_0 over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ such that $D \simeq \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} D_0$ as (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{E} .

We denote by $\text{Rep}_L(\mathcal{G}_F)$ the category of continuous finite dimensional L -representations of \mathcal{G}_F and by $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma}$ the category of (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{E} . Let $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma, \text{ét}}$ denote the full subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma}$ consisting of étale objects. Fontaine have found an equivalence of categories of $\text{Rep}_L(\mathcal{G}_F)$ and $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma, \text{ét}}$ in the cyclotomic case. In the general Lubin-Tate cases, it is given by Kisin and Ren. To construct it, we use a big field \mathbf{B} , which contains \mathcal{E}_F and has a $(\varphi_q, \mathcal{G}_F)$ -action. Moreover, we have $\mathbf{B}^{\mathcal{H}_F} = \mathcal{E}_F$. For a precise definition of \mathbf{B} , the reader may refer to [KR09] or [FX13, 1B]. Note that, in [KR09] (resp. [FX13]), this is denoted by $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{ur}}$ (resp. \mathbf{B}).

Theorem 2.1 ([Fon91, Th. 3.4.3, Rem. 3.4.4], [KR09, Th. 1.6]). *We have a functor*

$$\mathbf{D}: \text{Rep}_L(\mathcal{G}_F) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma, \text{ét}}: V \mapsto (V \otimes_F \mathbf{B})^{\mathcal{H}_F},$$

which gives an equivalence of categories. Its quasi-inverse functor is given by $D \mapsto (D \otimes_{\mathcal{E}_F} \mathbf{B})^{\varphi_q=1}$.

Now we define a subfield \mathcal{E}^\dagger of \mathcal{E} and a ring \mathcal{R} containing \mathcal{E}^\dagger . Let v_p denote the p -adic additive valuation on \overline{F} normalized as $v_p(p) = 1$. For any formal

series $f(T) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n T^n$ with coefficients in L and any real number $r \geq 0$, we define $v^{\{r\}}(f) = \inf_n v_p(a_n) + nr$, which may be $\pm\infty$. For any $0 \leq s \leq r$, we put $v^{[s,r]}(f) = \inf_{s \leq r' \leq r} v^{\{r'\}}(f)$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{[s,r]} &= \mathcal{E}_L^{[s,r]} = \left\{ f(T) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n T^n \mid \begin{array}{l} a_n \in L \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ v^{[s,r]}(f) \neq -\infty \end{array} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{E}^{]0,r]} &= \mathcal{E}_L^{]0,r]} = \bigcap_{0 < s \leq r} \mathcal{E}^{[s,r]}, \\ \mathcal{R} &= \mathcal{R}_L = \bigcup_{r > 0} \mathcal{E}^{]0,r]}, \\ \mathcal{E}^{(0,r]} &= \mathcal{E}_L^{(0,r]} = \mathcal{E}^{]0,r]} \cap \mathcal{E}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{E}^\dagger &= \mathcal{E}_L^\dagger = \bigcup_{r > 0} \mathcal{E}^{(0,r]}. \end{aligned}$$

They have ring structure and Γ acts on them such that $\gamma.T = [\chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma)](T)$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Moreover, if r is sufficiently small, then we have a ring endomorphism $\varphi_q: \mathcal{E}^{[s,r]} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{[sq,raq]}$ such that $\varphi_q(T) = f_\varpi(T)$. Hence the rings \mathcal{E}^\dagger and \mathcal{R} are endowed with a (φ_q, Γ) -action. We call \mathcal{R} *the Robba ring* and \mathcal{E}^\dagger *the bounded Robba ring*. We have inclusions $\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{E}^\dagger \subset \mathcal{R}$ as rings with a (φ_q, Γ) -action.

The ring $\mathcal{E}^{[s,r]}$ is equipped with the topology defined by the valuation $v^{[s,r]}$. Then $\mathcal{E}^{[s,r]}$ is complete. We endow $\mathcal{E}^{]0,r]}$ with the projective limit topology, and \mathcal{R} with the inductive limit topology. On $\mathcal{E}^{(0,r]}$, we consider the topology defined by the valuation $v^{\{r\}}$. We endow \mathcal{E}^\dagger with the inductive limit topology.

The notion of (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{E}^\dagger or \mathcal{R} is defined in a similar way as those over $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}$ or \mathcal{E} . A (φ_q, Γ) -modules D^\dagger over \mathcal{E}^\dagger is called *étale* if $D^\dagger \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^\dagger} \mathcal{E}$ is étale. A (φ_q, Γ) -modules D over \mathcal{R} is called *étale* or *of slope 0* if there exists an étale (φ_q, Γ) -module D^\dagger over \mathcal{E}^\dagger such that $D \simeq \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^\dagger} D^\dagger$. Let $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{E}^\dagger}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma}$ (resp. $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma}$) denote the category of (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{E}^\dagger (resp. \mathcal{R}). We denote by $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{E}^\dagger}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma, \text{ét}}$ (resp. $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma, \text{ét}}$) the full subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{E}^\dagger}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma}$ (resp. $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma}$) consisting of étale objects.

Theorem 2.2 ([FX13, Prop. 1.6]). *The functor $D^\dagger \mapsto \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^\dagger} D^\dagger$ gives an equivalence of categories of $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{E}^\dagger}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma, \text{ét}}$ and $\text{Mod}_{/\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma, \text{ét}}$.*

We have a subfield \mathbf{B}^\dagger of \mathbf{B} as in [FX13, 1B], which contains \mathcal{E}_F^\dagger and has a $(\varphi_q, \mathcal{G}_F)$ -action. Moreover, we have $(\mathbf{B}^\dagger)^{\mathcal{H}_F} = \mathcal{E}_F^\dagger$. For any object V of $\text{Rep}_L(\mathcal{G}_F)$, we put $\mathbf{D}^\dagger(V) = (V \otimes_F \mathbf{B}^\dagger)^{\mathcal{H}_F}$. We have a natural inclusion $\mathbf{D}^\dagger(V) \subset \mathbf{D}(V)$.

Definition 2.3. An object V of $\text{Rep}_L(\mathcal{G}_F)$ is *overconvergent* if $\mathbf{D}^\dagger(V)$ generates $\mathbf{D}(V)$ as an \mathcal{E} -vector space. We denote by $\text{Rep}_L^{\text{oc}}(\mathcal{G}_F)$ the subcategory of $\text{Rep}_L(\mathcal{G}_F)$ consisting of overconvergent objects.

Theorem 2.4 ([FX13, Prop. 1.5]). *The operation \mathbf{D}^\dagger gives an equivalence of categories of $\mathrm{Rep}_L^{\mathrm{oc}}(\mathcal{G}_F)$ and $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{E}^\dagger}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$. Moreover, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Rep}_L^{\mathrm{oc}}(\mathcal{G}_F) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}^\dagger} & \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{E}^\dagger}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^\dagger} (\cdot) \\ \mathrm{Rep}_L(\mathcal{G}_F) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}} & \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \end{array}$$

commutes up to canonical isomorphisms.

Remark 2.5. Cherbonnier and Colmez [CC98] have shown that, in the cyclotomic case, we have $\mathrm{Rep}_L^{\mathrm{oc}}(\mathcal{G}_F) = \mathrm{Rep}_L(\mathcal{G}_F)$, i.e. all of the L -representations of \mathcal{G}_F are overconvergent with respect to the cyclotomic extension. Even in the general Lubin-Tate case, all of the 1-dimensional L -representations of \mathcal{G}_F are overconvergent [FX13, Remark 1.8]. However, there exist L -representations of \mathcal{G}_F which are not overconvergent, as shown by Fourquaux and Xie [FX13, Theroem 0.6].

Now, let us recall another property of L -representations of \mathcal{G}_F so-called F -analyticity. We write \mathbb{C}_p for the p -adic completion of \overline{F} .

Definition 2.6. An object V of $\mathrm{Rep}_L(\mathcal{G}_F)$ is called F -analytic if, for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-alg}}(F, \overline{F}) \setminus \{\mathrm{id}_F\}$, the \mathbb{C}_p -representation $\mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\tau, F} V$ is trivial.

For F -analytic representations, Berger shows the following:

Theorem 2.7 ([Ber16, Thm. C]). *Any F -analytic L -representation of \mathcal{G}_F is overconvergent.*

There is also a notion of F -analyticity for (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R} . To define it, we introduce an action of a Lie algebra. Let $\mathrm{Lie} \Gamma$ denote the Lie algebra associated to the p -adic Lie group Γ . Note that there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{Lie} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_F$ induced by χ_{LT} . Let D be any object in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma}$. We will define an action of $\mathrm{Lie} \Gamma$ on D . Take any $x \in D$. If $\beta \in \mathrm{Lie} \Gamma$ is sufficiently close to 0, then we can define $\gamma = \exp \beta \in \Gamma$. Now we recall the following:

Lemma 2.8 ([FX13, Lemma 1.7]). *For any $r > 0$ and $0 < s \leq r$, there exists $n = n(s, r)$ such that, for any $\gamma' \in \Gamma_n$ and $f \in \mathcal{E}^{[s, r]}$, we have $v^{[s, r]}((\gamma' - 1)f) \geq v^{[s, r]}(f) + 2$.*

Note that, in the original version, the right hand side is $v^{[s, r]}(f) + 1$. However, by the proof, we can replace 1 with any other positive real number, for example 2.

We fix a basis e_1, \dots, e_d of D . For any $r > 0$, we put $D^{[0, r]} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{E}^{[0, r]} e_i$. It depends on the choice of e_1, \dots, e_d . However, for another choice e'_1, \dots, e'_d , there exists $0 < r' < r$ such that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{E}^{[0, r']} e_i = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{E}^{[0, r']} e'_i$. We put $D^{[s, r]} = \mathcal{E}^{[s, r]} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^{[0, r]}} D^{[0, r]}$.

Corollary 2.9. *For any $r > 0$ and $0 < s \leq r$, there exists $n = n(s, t)$ such that, for any $\gamma' \in \Gamma_n$ and $x \in D^{[s, r]}$, we have $v^{[s, r]}((\gamma' - 1)x) \geq v^{[s, r]}(x) + 2$.*

Proof. Since we can prove it in the same way as [Ber02, Lem. 5.2], we omit it. \square

We choose an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $\gamma^{p^m} \in \Gamma_{n(s, t)}$. By Corollary 2.9, the series

$$(\log \gamma)_{[s, r]}(x) = \frac{1}{p^m} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{(\gamma^{p^m} - 1)^i}{i} x$$

converges in $D^{[s, r]}$, and it is independent of the choice of m . We can show that, if $s' < s$, then the image of $(\log \gamma)_{[s', r]}(x)$ via the natural map $D^{[s', r]} \rightarrow D^{[s, r]}$ coincides with $(\log \gamma)_{[s, r]}(x)$. Thus we obtain a projective system $((\log \gamma)_{[s, r]})_{0 < s \leq r}$, which gives an element $(\log \gamma)x \in D^{[0, r]}$. We put $d\Gamma_{\beta}x = (\log \gamma)x$. For a general $\beta \in \text{Lie } \Gamma$, we choose a sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and put $d\Gamma_{\beta}x = p^{-n}d\Gamma_{p^n\beta}x$, which is independent of the choice of n . As a result, we have an action $d\Gamma_{\bullet} : \text{Lie } \Gamma \rightarrow \text{End}_L(D)$.

For an element $\beta \in \text{Lie } \Gamma$ sufficiently close to 1, $\nabla_{\beta}|_D$ denotes the operator $(\log \chi_{\text{LT}}(\exp \beta))^{-1}d\Gamma_{\beta}$ on D .

Definition 2.10. An object D of $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\varphi_q, \Gamma}$ is called *F-analytic* if the operator $\nabla_{\beta}|_D$ is independent of the choice of $\beta \in \text{Lie } \Gamma$. If so, we often denote $\nabla_{\beta}|_D$ by $\nabla|_D$, or ∇ if no confusion occurs.

We have a formula to calculate $\log \gamma$ as follows:

Lemma 2.11. *For any $x \in D$ and an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ sufficiently close to 1, we have*

$$(\log \gamma)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma^{p^n}x - x}{p^n}.$$

Proof. It suffices to show the formula for $D^{[s, r]}$. We fix a basis e_1, \dots, e_d of D . For any $y = \sum_{i=1}^d y_i e_i \in D^{[s, r]} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{E}^{[s, t]} e_i$, we define $v^{[s, r]}(y) = \inf_{1 \leq i \leq d} v^{[s, r]}(y_i)$. Let \mathcal{L} be an L -linear operator on $D^{[s, r]}$. We define a valuation of \mathcal{L} by

$$v^{[s, r]}(\mathcal{L}) = \inf_{y \in D^{[s, r]}} (v^{[s, r]}(\mathcal{L}y) - v^{[s, r]}(y)).$$

If $v^{[s, r]}(\mathcal{L}) > (p - 1)^{-1}$, then the operator

$$\exp \mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{L}^i}{i!}$$

on $D^{[s, r]}$ is well-defined.

By corollary 2.9, if an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is sufficiently close to 1, then the operator $\log \gamma$ on $D^{[s, r]}$ is well-defined and $v^{[s, r]}(\log \gamma) \geq 2$. Thus we can define $\exp(\log \gamma)$. Moreover, we have

$$\gamma x = [\exp(\log \gamma)](x).$$

Hence, for any integer $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{p^n} x &= [\exp(p^n \log \gamma)](x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p^n \log \gamma)^i}{i!} x, \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{\gamma^{p^n} x - x}{p^n} &= (\log \gamma)(x) + p^n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{ni} (\log \gamma)^{i+2}}{(i+2)!} x. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Note that the second term of the right-hand side of (1) is well-defined since $v^{[s,r]} (p^{ni} (\log \gamma)^{i+2} x / (i+2)!)$ tends to 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$. As n goes to ∞ in (1), we have the required equality. \square

Berger shows that F -analyticity of an L -representation of \mathcal{G}_F is equivalent to that of the corresponding (φ_q, Γ) -module.

Theorem 2.12 ([Ber16, Thm. D]). *Let V be any object of $\text{Rep}_L^{\text{oc}}(\mathcal{G}_F)$. Then V is F -analytic if and only if $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^\dagger} \mathbf{D}^\dagger(V)$ is F -analytic.*

3 Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we introduce several lemmas first. Next, we prove Theorem 3.4, which is a (φ_q, Γ) -module version of the main theorem. Then we obtain Theorem 1.2 as a corollary.

Let D_1 and D_2 be (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R} . We denote by $\text{Ext}(D_1, D_2)$ the set of isomorphism classes of extensions of D_1 by D_2 in the category of (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R} , which has a natural L -vector space structure.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Δ and D be (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R} . Suppose that D is F -analytic and*

$$\text{End}_{(\varphi_q, \Gamma)\text{-mod}/\mathcal{R}}(\Delta) = L.$$

If $\text{Ext}(\Delta, D) \neq 0$, then Δ is F -analytic.

Proof. This lemma is a generalization of [FX13, Theorem 5.20]. Suppose that Δ is not F -analytic. Then there exist $\beta, \beta' \in \text{Lie } \Gamma$ such that $\nabla_\beta|_\Delta \neq \nabla_{\beta'}|_\Delta$. We put $\nabla'|_\Delta = \nabla_\beta|_\Delta - \nabla_{\beta'}|_\Delta$. Since $\nabla_\beta|_\Delta$ and $\nabla_{\beta'}|_\Delta$ are both \mathcal{R} -derivations and the trivial (φ_q, Γ) -module \mathcal{R} is F -analytic, the operator $\nabla'|_\Delta$ is \mathcal{R} -linear. Moreover, it is stable under (φ_q, Γ) -action. Hence we have $\nabla'|_\Delta \in \text{End}_{(\varphi_q, \Gamma)\text{-mod}/\mathcal{R}}(\Delta)$, and by assumption, there exists $c \in L^\times$ such that $\nabla'|_\Delta = c \cdot \text{id}_\Delta$. Now we choose any extension $0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow \tilde{D} \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow 0$, and consider the operator $\nabla'|_{\tilde{D}} = \nabla_\beta|_{\tilde{D}} - \nabla_{\beta'}|_{\tilde{D}}$, which is also \mathcal{R} -linear and (φ_q, Γ) -stable. Since D is F -analytic, we have $D \subset \text{Ker}(\nabla'|_{\tilde{D}})$ and $\nabla'|_{\tilde{D}}$ induces an \mathcal{R} -linear and (φ_q, Γ) -stable homomorphism $\Delta \rightarrow \tilde{D}$, which is a section of $\tilde{D} \rightarrow \Delta$. Therefore we have $\text{End}(\Delta, D) = 0$ as conclusion. \square

Let Δ and D be (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R} . Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D)$ has a canonical (φ_q, Γ) -module structure, and we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\mathcal{R}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}(\Delta, D). \quad (2)$$

Here, we describe the isomorphism (2) explicitly. Take any extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0$$

and choose a lift $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ of $1 \in \mathcal{R}$. Then both $(\varphi_q - 1)\tilde{h}$ and $(\gamma - 1)\tilde{h}$ are in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D)$. We put $\tilde{D} = D \oplus \Delta$ as an \mathcal{R} -module, and define a (φ_q, Γ) -action on \tilde{D} as follows: on $D \subset \tilde{D}$, we use the original (φ_q, Γ) -action. For any $x \in \Delta$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_q|_{\tilde{D}}(x) &= \varphi_q|_{\Delta}(x) + [(\varphi_q - 1)\tilde{h}](x), \\ \gamma|_{\tilde{D}}(x) &= \gamma|_{\Delta}(x) + [(\gamma - 1)\tilde{h}](x). \end{aligned}$$

Here, for two \mathcal{R} -modules $V_1 \subset V_2$, an element $x \in V_1$ and an operator T which acts on both V_1 and V_2 , the notation $T|_{V_i}(x)$ means the image of x by T regarding x as an element in V_i . Since the isomorphism class $[\tilde{D}]$ of the (φ_q, Γ) -module \tilde{D} is independent of the choice of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and \tilde{h} , we have a map

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\mathcal{R}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}(\Delta, D): [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}] \mapsto [\tilde{D}],$$

which is the isomorphism (2).

Now we present the inverse map of (2). Take any extension $0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow \tilde{D} \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow 0$ and choose a section $s: \Delta \rightarrow \tilde{D}$ as an \mathcal{R} -module. Note that s is an element of the (φ_q, Γ) -module $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, \tilde{D})$. Then we have

$$(\varphi_q - 1)s, (\gamma - 1)s \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D)$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We put $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D) \oplus \mathcal{R}\tilde{h}$ as an \mathcal{R} -module, where $\mathcal{R}\tilde{h}$ is a free \mathcal{R} -module of rank 1 of which \tilde{h} gives a basis. We define a (φ_q, Γ) -action on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ as follows: on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, we use the original (φ_q, Γ) -action. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_q\tilde{h} &= \tilde{h} + (\varphi_q - 1)s, \\ \gamma\tilde{h} &= \tilde{h} + (\gamma - 1)s \quad \text{for any } \gamma \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Since the isomorphism class $[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}]$ is independent of the choice of \tilde{D} and s , we obtain a map

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\Delta, D) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}(\mathcal{R}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D)): [\tilde{D}] \mapsto [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}],$$

which gives the inverse of (2).

For F -analytic (φ_q, Γ) -modules D_1 and D_2 over \mathcal{R} , $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{an}}(D_1, D_2)$ denotes the L -subspace of $\mathrm{Ext}(D_1, D_2)$ consisting of F -analytic extensions.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Δ and D be F -analytic (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R} . Then the image of $\text{Ext}_{\text{an}}(\mathcal{R}, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D))$ by the isomorphism (2) is $\text{Ext}_{\text{an}}(\Delta, D)$.*

Proof. Take any extension $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0$, and suppose that, by the isomorphism (2), the class $[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}]$ maps to $[\tilde{D}] \in \text{Ext}(\Delta, D)$. First, we assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is F -analytic. Then we must show that Δ as a Γ -submodule of \tilde{D} is F -analytic. We choose a lift \tilde{h} of $1 \in \mathcal{R}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ as above. Take any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ sufficiently close to 1. By Lemma 2.11, the element $(\log \gamma)\tilde{h}$ is indeed in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D)$. By using Lemma 2.11 again, for any $x \in \Delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\log \gamma)|_{\tilde{D}}(x) &= (\log \gamma)|_{\Delta}(x) + [(\log \gamma)(\tilde{h})](x) \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{\log \gamma}{\log \chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma)} \Big|_{\tilde{D}}(x) &= \nabla|_{\Delta}(x) + [\nabla \tilde{h}](x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the operator $\log \gamma / (\log \chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma))$ on \tilde{D} is independent of the choice of γ and \tilde{D} is F -analytic.

Conversely, we will show that the F -analyticity of \tilde{D} yields that of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Take any section $s: \Delta \rightarrow \tilde{D}$ of the projection $\tilde{D} \rightarrow \Delta$ as an \mathcal{R} -module. Since both Δ and D are F -analytic, the (φ_q, Γ) -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D)$ is F -analytic. Thus ∇ on $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}\text{-mod}}(\Delta, D)$ is well-defined. By Lemma 2.11, we have

$$(\log \gamma)\tilde{h} = (\log \gamma)s \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\log \gamma}{\log \chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma)}\tilde{h} = \nabla s.$$

Therefore $[\log \gamma / (\log \chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma))]\tilde{h}$ is independent of the choice of γ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is F -analytic. \square

By Lemma 3.2 and [FX13, Cor. 4.4], we have the following:

Corollary 3.3. *Let Δ and D be F -analytic (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R} . Then $\text{Ext}_{\text{an}}(\Delta, D)$ is of codimension*

$$[F : \mathbb{Q}_p] \dim_L \text{Hom}_{(\varphi_q, \Gamma)\text{-mod}/\mathcal{R}}(\Delta, D)$$

in $\text{Ext}(\Delta, D)$. In particular, if $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi_q, \Gamma)\text{-mod}/\mathcal{R}}(\Delta, D) = 0$, then we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{an}}(\Delta, D) = \text{Ext}(\Delta, D).$$

Now we state and prove the main theorem. For a (φ_q, Γ) -module D over \mathcal{R} , a finite extension $L' \subset \overline{F}$ of L and a character $\delta: F^\times \rightarrow (L')^\times$, we denote by $D(\delta)$ the (φ_q, Γ) -module over $\mathcal{R}_{L'}$ whose underlying $\mathcal{R}_{L'}$ -module is $L' \otimes_L D$ and whose (φ_q, Γ) -action is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_q|_{D(\delta)}(x) &= \delta(\varpi)(\text{id}_{L'} \otimes \varphi_q|_D)(x) \quad \text{and} \\ \gamma|_{D(\delta)}(x) &= \delta(\chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma))(\text{id}_{L'} \otimes \gamma_q|_D)(x) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in D(\delta)$ and any $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let D be a (φ_q, Γ) -module over \mathcal{R} . Suppose that D has a filtration*

$$0 = D_0 \subset D_1 \subset \cdots \subset D_r = D$$

of (φ_q, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R} . We put $\Delta_i = D_i/D_{i-1}$. We assume that the following conditions hold.

- (a). *For any $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have $\text{End}_{(\varphi_q, \Gamma)\text{-mod}/\mathcal{R}}(\Delta_i) = L$.*
- (b). *For any $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, we have $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi_q, \Gamma)\text{-mod}/\mathcal{R}}(\Delta_j, \Delta_i) = 0$.*
- (c). *For any $1 < i \leq r$, the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow D_{i-1} \rightarrow D_i \rightarrow \Delta_i \rightarrow 0$ does not split.*

Then there exist a finite extension L' of L and a character $\delta: F^\times \rightarrow (L')^\times$ such that, for any $0 \leq i \leq r$, the (φ_q, Γ) -module $D_i(\delta)$ is F -analytic.

Proof. We prove it by induction on r . First, we prove the case $r = 1$. Let D be a (φ_q, Γ) -module over \mathcal{R} such that $\text{End}_{(\varphi_q, \Gamma)\text{-mod}/\mathcal{R}}(D) = L$. We choose a \mathbb{Z}_p -basis β_1, \dots, β_n of $\text{Lie } \Gamma$. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have $\nabla_{\beta_1} - \nabla_{\beta_i} \in \text{End}_{(\varphi_q, \Gamma)\text{-mod}/\mathcal{R}}(D)$. Thus there exist $c_1 = 0, c_2, \dots, c_n \in L$ such that $\nabla_{\beta_1} - \nabla_{\beta_i} = c_i \cdot \text{id}_D$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Now we choose a \mathbb{Z}_p -basis $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ of the free part of Γ such that $\gamma_i^{m_i} = \exp(p^{m_i} \beta_i)$ for some integers $m_i \geq 0$. We fix a finite extension L' of L and a character $\delta: F^\times \rightarrow (L')^\times$. By Lemma 2.11, for any $x \in D(\delta)$, we can compute

$$\nabla_{\beta_i}|_{D(\delta)}(x) = (\text{id}_{L'} \otimes \nabla_{\beta_i}|_D)(x) + \frac{\log \delta(\chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_i))}{\log \chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_i)} x.$$

Thus, if we find a character δ such that the equality

$$c_i + \frac{\log \delta(\chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_1))}{\log \chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_1)} - \frac{\log \delta(\chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_i))}{\log \chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_i)} = 0$$

holds for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $D(\delta)$ is F -analytic. Actually, we can do it: on the torsion part of F^\times and on $\chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_1)$, put $\delta = 1$. On $\delta(\chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_2)), \dots, \delta(\chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_n))$, put

$$\delta(\chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_i^{e_i})) = \exp(c_i \log \chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_i^{e_i})),$$

where e_i is a sufficiently large integer such that the right-hand side is well-defined. Finally, define $\delta(\chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_i))$ as an e_i -th root of $\delta(\chi_{\text{LT}}(\gamma_i^{e_i}))$ (hence we must extend L in general).

Next we suppose that the statement for $r - 1$ holds. By extending scalar and twisting by a character, we may assume that, for any $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$, D_i is F -analytic. Then we must show that D_r is F -analytic. By the assumption (a), (c) and Lemma 3.1, Δ_r is F -analytic. Now we apply the functor $\text{Hom}_{(\varphi_q, \Gamma)\text{-mod}/\mathcal{R}}(\Delta_r, \bullet)$ to the short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \Delta_1 &\rightarrow D_2 \rightarrow \Delta_2 \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow D_2 &\rightarrow D_3 \rightarrow \Delta_2 \rightarrow 0, \\ &\vdots \\ 0 \rightarrow D_{r-1} &\rightarrow D_r \rightarrow \Delta_r \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the assumption (b), we inductively obtain $\mathrm{Hom}_{(\varphi_q, \Gamma)\text{-mod}/\mathcal{R}}(\Delta_r, D_i) = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$. By Corollary 3.3, we have $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{an}}(\Delta_r, D_{r-1}) = \mathrm{Ext}(\Delta_r, D_{r-1})$. Therefore D_r is F -analytic and we have the conclusion. \square

This theorem, together with Theorem 2.12, implies the following:

Corollary 3.5 (Theorem 1.2). *Let V be an overconvergent L -representation of \mathcal{G}_F such that $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}^\dagger} \mathbf{D}^\dagger(V)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.4. Then there exist a finite extension L' of L and a character $\delta: \mathcal{G}_F \rightarrow (L')^\times$ such that $V \otimes_L L'(\delta)$ is F -analytic.*

References

- [Ber02] L. Berger, *Représentations p -adiques et équations différentielles*, Invent. Math. **148** (2002), 219–284.
- [Ber13] ———, *Multivariable Lubin-Tate (φ, Γ) -modules and filtered φ -modules*, Math. Res. Lett. **20** (2013), no. 3, 409–428.
- [Ber16] ———, *Multivariable (φ, Γ) -modules and locally analytic vectors*, Duke Math. J. **165** (2016), no. 18, 3567–3595.
- [BF17] L. Berger and L. Fourquaux, *Iwasawa theory and F -analytic Lubin-Tate (φ, Γ) -modules*, Documenta Math. **22** (2017), 999–1030.
- [CC98] F. Cherbonnier and P. Colmez, *Représentations p -adiques surconvergentes*, Invent. Math. **133** (1998), 581–611.
- [CDP14] P. Colmez, G. Dospinescu, and V. Paškūnas, *The p -adic local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$* , Cambridge J. Math. **2** (2014), no. 1, 1–47.
- [Col10] P. Colmez, *Représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ et (φ, Γ) -modules*, Astérisque **330** (2010), 281–509.
- [Fon91] J. M. Fontaine, *Représentations p -adiques des corps locaux*, The Grothendieck Festschrift Volume II, Prog. Math., vol. 87, Birkhauser, 1991, pp. 249–309.
- [FX13] L. Fourquaux and B. Xie, *Triangulable \mathcal{O}_F -analytic (φ_q, Γ) -modules of rank 2*, Algebra and Number Theory **7** (2013), no. 10, 2545–2592.
- [KR09] M. Kisin and W. Ren, *Galois representations and Lubin-Tate groups*, Documenta Math. **14** (2009), 441–461.