

SCHUBERT STRUCTURE OPERATORS AND $K_T^*(G/B)$

REBECCA GOLDIN AND ALLEN KNUTSON

In loving memory of our friend Bert Kostant

ABSTRACT. We prove a formula for the structure constants of multiplication of equivariant Schubert classes in both equivariant cohomology and equivariant K-theory of Kac-Moody flag varieties G/B . We introduce new operators whose coefficients compute these (in a manifestly polynomial, but not positive, way), resulting in a formula much like and generalizing the positive Andersen-Jantzen-Soergel/Billey and Graham/Willems formulæ for the restriction of classes to fixed points. Our proof involves Bott-Samelson manifolds, and in particular, the (K-)cohomology basis dual to the (K-)homology basis consisting of classes of sub-Bott-Samelson manifolds.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and the main theorems	1
1.1. The usual operators, following [KoKu86, KoKu90]	2
1.2. The bases of (K-)(co)homology	3
1.3. Multiplying in these bases of (K-)cohomology	3
1.4. Properties of the associated operators	6
Acknowledgments	7
2. Ingredients of the proof	7
3. AJS/Billey operators	12
3.1. The operators	12
3.2. The class of the diagonal	13
4. Schubert structure operators	14
4.1. The cohomology operator L^α	14
4.2. The K-theory operators $\Lambda^\alpha, \Lambda_\circ^\alpha$	16
5. A recursive formula for H_T^* structure constants	19
6. Proof of Theorem 3	21
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN THEOREMS

Fix a complex reductive (or even Kac-Moody) Lie group G and maximal torus $T \leq G$, for example $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and T the diagonal matrices. Fix opposed Borel subgroups B, B_- with intersection T . This choice results in a length function ℓ on $W = N(T)/T$ and a set

Date: September 16, 2021.

$\{\alpha_i\}$ of simple roots. The quotient G/B is the associated **flag variety**¹ and the left T -action on G/B has isolated fixed points $\{wB/B : w \in W\}$, where $W := N(T)/T$ is the **Weyl group**.

We denote by H_T^* the T -equivariant cohomology of a point with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$, and recall that H_T^* is the polynomial ring $\text{Sym}(T^*)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ in the weight lattice $T^* := \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^\times)$. The equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(G/B)$ is a free H_T^* -module with a basis given by Schubert classes (recalled below). Our references for equivariant (co)homology of G/B are [Br00, KoKu86, KuNo98]. Similarly we let K_T^* denote the T -equivariant K -theory of a point, a Laurent polynomial ring of characters of T -reps [KoKu90]. To best compare/distinguish the two, we write a typical element of K_T^* as a finite sum $\sum_{\lambda \in T^*} m_\lambda e^\lambda$.

1.1. The usual operators, following [KoKu86, KoKu90]. Let $\mathbb{Z}[\partial]$ denote the **nil Hecke algebra** with $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis $\{\partial_w : w \in W\}$, whose products are defined by

$$\partial_w \partial_{r_\alpha} := \begin{cases} \partial_{wr_\alpha} & \text{if } \ell(wr_\alpha) = \ell(w) + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise, i.e. if } \ell(wr_\alpha) = \ell(w) - 1 \end{cases}$$

for simple reflections r_α . These $\{\partial_w\}$ act on the polynomial ring H_T^* as follows: for each simple root α with reflection r_α , the **divided difference operator** $\partial_{r_\alpha} := \partial_\alpha$ is defined by

$$\partial_\alpha \cdot f := \frac{f - r_\alpha f}{\alpha}.$$

The nil Hecke algebra acts on the first factor in the tensor product $H_T^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_T^*$, and this action descends to the quotient $H_T^* \otimes_{(H_T^*)^W} H_T^*$. This latter ring has a well-defined map $\lambda \otimes \mu \mapsto \lambda c_1(\mathcal{L}_\mu) \in H_T^*(G/B)$ called the **equivariant Borel presentation** of $H_T^*(G/B)$, which is a rational (and for $G = \text{GL}_n$, an integral) isomorphism. (Here $\mathcal{L}_\mu$ is the Borel-Weil line bundle $G \times^B \mathbb{C}_\mu$, where $\mathbb{C}_\mu$ is the 1-dimensional representation of B , neither of which will we be using again.)

Similarly, we define the **zero Hecke algebra** $\mathbb{Z}[\overset{\circ}{\delta}]$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis $\{\overset{\circ}{\delta}_w : w \in W\}$, whose **Demazure products** are defined by

$$\overset{\circ}{\delta}_w \overset{\circ}{\delta}_{r_\alpha} := \begin{cases} \overset{\circ}{\delta}_{wr_\alpha} & \text{if } \ell(wr_\alpha) = \ell(w) + 1 \\ \overset{\circ}{\delta}_w & \text{otherwise, i.e. if } \ell(wr_\alpha) = \ell(w) - 1 \end{cases}$$

and which has *two* actions on K_T^* , by two flavors of **Demazure operators**

$$\overset{\circ}{\delta}_\alpha \cdot f := \frac{f - r_\alpha \cdot f}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \quad \delta_\alpha \cdot f := \frac{f - e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \cdot f}{1 - e^{-\alpha}}$$

As algebras we have $\mathbb{Z}[\overset{\circ}{\delta}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\delta] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\overset{\circ}{\delta}]$, but occasionally we will use the first two when anticipating their action somewhere.

¹Following [KoKu86], we are using the “thin” flag variety, namely the ind-scheme made as an inductive union of finite-dimensional B -orbit closures.

1.2. The bases of (K-)(co)homology. Define $X^\vee := \overline{BvB}/B \subset G/B$ with equivariant homology class $[X^\vee] \in H_*^T(G/B)$ (our reference for equivariant homology being [Br00]).² As these $\{[X^\vee]\}$ form an H_T^* -basis and G/B enjoys equivariant Poincaré duality, we can define the dual basis $\{S_w \in H_T^*(G/B)\}$ of **Schubert classes** by $\langle S_w, [X^\vee] \rangle = \delta_{wv}$. Here $\langle, \rangle$ denotes the Alexander pairing, of (equivariant) cap-product followed by pushforward to a point. In fact S_w is the Poincaré dual to the (finite-codimensional) subvariety $\overline{B_-wB}/B$. We don't strictly need to decide which of $\{X^\vee\}, \{X_v\}$ need be called Schubert vs. opposite Schubert varieties, but of necessity the finite-dimensional varieties $X^\vee$ define homology classes and the finite-codimensional varieties X_v define cohomology classes.

In K -homology $K_*(G/B)$, unlike $H_*(G/B)$, there are *two*³ natural bases: one is the basis of structure sheaves $\{\mathcal{O}_{X^w}\}$ coming from functions on X^w , the other being the basis of ideal sheaves $\{I_{X^w}\}$ coming from functions on X^w that vanish on its “boundary” $\cup_{w' < w} X^{w'}$. Each basis has an evident equivariant extension to a K_T^* -basis of $K_T^*(G/B)$, and the change-of-basis matrices are well known (and very simple even equivariantly):

$$[\mathcal{O}_{X^w}] = \sum_{w' \leq w} [I_{X^{w'}}], \quad [I_{X^w}] = \sum_{w' \leq w} (-1)^{\ell(w) - \ell(w')} [\mathcal{O}_{X^{w'}}]$$

These two bases of $K_T^*(G/B)$ are indistinguishable at the coarser level of homology, owing to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_{X^w} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^w} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\cup_{w' < w} X^{w'}} \rightarrow 0$ whose cokernel has lower-dimensional support.

To the bases $\{[X^w]\}, \{[\mathcal{O}_{X^w}]\}, \{[I_{X^w}]\}$ in (K) -homology, we respectively associate the dual bases (under the Alexander pairings) $\{S_w\}, \{\xi_w^\circ\}$, and $\{\xi_w\}$ in (K) -cohomology. As in $H_T^*(G/B)$ the classes $\xi_w^\circ, \xi_w \in K_T^*(G/B)$ have geometric interpretations, being the Poincaré dual classes associated to the K_T^* -homology classes $[I_{X^w}], [\mathcal{O}_{X^w}]$ respectively.

The nil Hecke algebra $\mathbb{Z}[\partial]$ acts simply in the basis $\{S_v\}_{v \in W}$: in particular, in the case G finite-dimensional we have $\partial_w \cdot S_{w_0} = S_{ww_0}$ for each $w \in W$ (since we act on the left factor in the Borel presentation), with similar statement $\delta_w \cdot \xi_{w_0} = \xi_{ww_0}$ in K -theory. The geometric meaning of the δ operators is much less clear.

1.3. Multiplying in these bases of (K-)cohomology. The structure constants $c_{uv}^w \in H_T^*$ of multiplication are defined by the relation in $H_T^*(G/B)$

$$(1.1) \quad S_u S_v = \sum_w c_{uv}^w S_w$$

These polynomials c_{uv}^w are known to be positive in the following sense [Gr01]: when written (uniquely) as a sum of monomials in the simple roots $\{\alpha_i\}$, each monomial has a non-negative coefficient.

Similarly, in $K_T^*(G/B)$, the products

$$(1.2) \quad \xi_w \xi_v = \sum_u a_{wv}^u \xi_u \quad \xi_w^\circ \xi_v^\circ = \sum_u \hat{a}_{wv}^u \xi_u^\circ$$

define classes $a_{wv}^u, \hat{a}_{wv}^u \in K_T^*$, with subtler positivity properties proven in [AnGrMi11].⁴

²For the entirety of this paper, we use $\subset$ to denote inclusion, and allow for equality.

³There is a wholly separate issue in the finite-dimensional case that $H_*^T(G/B)$ has two natural bases, $\{[X^w]\}$ and $\{w_0 \circ [X^w]\}$, that coincide once one passes to nonequivariant homology. With that in mind, $K_*^T(G/B)$ has *four* natural bases that nonequivariantly become the two we're discussing here.

⁴In [GrKu08], the authors denote the coefficients $\hat{a}_{wv}^u$ by $p_{w,v}^u$, and the classes ξ_u° by ξ_B^u .

It is a very famous problem to compute these in a manifestly positive way, only solved in special cases such as $u, v \in W^P$ where G/P is a Grassmannian or 2-step flag manifold [KnTa03, Bu02, KnZJ], or when W is a free Coxeter group [BeRi15, Theorem 2.5]. Another solved case is $u = w$, in which case c_{wv}^w is computed positively by the AJS/Billey formula [AJS, Bi99] (recalled below) for the point restrictions $S_w|_v = c_{wv}^v$ of Schubert classes, $\hat{a}_{wv}^w$ similarly in [Gr, Wi06], and a_{wv}^w in [Gr, Theorem 3.12] (see also [LeZa17]). In this paper, we prove formulæ for the $\{c_{uv}^w, a_{uv}^w, \hat{a}_{uv}^w\}$ in terms of certain compositions of operators in the nil/zero Hecke algebras, applied to 1. Along the way, we reprove the AJS/Billey and Graham/Willems formulæ; more specifically, our nonpositive formulæ reduce to those positive formulæ in the special case $u = w$.

Given a word R in G 's simple reflections, let $\prod R$ denote its ordinary product and $\widetilde{\prod} R$ its Demazure product. Given a true or false statement τ , let $[\tau] = 1$ if true, 0 if false.

Theorem 1. *Let Q be a reduced word with product w . Then*

$$c_{uv}^w = \sum_{\substack{R, S \subset Q \text{ reduced} \\ \prod R = u, \prod S = v}} \prod_Q \left(\alpha_q^{[q \in R \cap S]} \partial_q^{[q \notin R \cup S]} r_q \right) \cdot 1 = \sum_{\substack{R, S \subset Q \text{ reduced} \\ \widetilde{\prod} R = u, \widetilde{\prod} S = v}} \prod_Q \left(\alpha_q^{[q \in R \cap S]} r_q (-\partial_q)^{[q \notin R \cup S]} \right) \cdot 1$$

where the exponent $[\sigma]$ is 1 if the statement σ is true, 0 if false. Similarly, for Q any word whose Demazure product $\widetilde{\prod} Q$ is w , the K -theoretic structure constants are computable by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{uv}^w &= (-1)^{\ell(u) + \ell(v) - \ell(w)} \\ &\quad \sum_{\substack{R, S \subset Q \\ \widetilde{\prod} R = u, \widetilde{\prod} S = v}} (-1)^{|Q| - |R| - |S|} \left(\prod_{q \in Q} (e^{+\alpha_q})^{[q \notin R \cup S]} (1 - e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \in R \cap S]} r_q (-\delta_q)^{[q \notin R \cup S]} \right) \cdot 1 \\ \hat{a}_{uv}^w &= \sum_{\substack{R, S \subset Q \\ \widetilde{\prod} R = u, \widetilde{\prod} S = v}} \left(\prod_{q \in Q} (e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \notin R \cap S]} (1 - e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \in R \cap S]} r_q (-\delta_q)^{[q \notin R \cup S]} \right) \cdot 1. \end{aligned}$$

We can recover H_T as the associated graded of K_T^* with respect to the $\langle \{1 - e^\lambda : \lambda \in T^*\} \rangle$ -adic filtration. (In practice this means replacing each $1 - e^{-\beta}$ by β , and any remaining e^β factors by 1, then finally throwing away any lower-degree terms. Geometrically, passage to the associated graded ring corresponds to degenerating $T \cong \text{Spec } K_T^*$ to the normal cone $\mathfrak{t} \cong \text{Spec } H_T$ at the identity element, i.e. the group to its Lie algebra.) This visibly takes $a_{uv}^w, \hat{a}_{uv}^w \mapsto c_{uv}^w$, at least to the second formula for c_{uv}^w , which is why we included that version despite being uglier than the first. The H_T^* commutation relation $\partial_\alpha r_\alpha = -r_\alpha \partial_\alpha$ becomes more complicated in K_T^* -theory, $\delta_\alpha r_\alpha = -e^\alpha r_\alpha \delta_\alpha + (1 + e^\alpha)$, obstructing our discovery of a formula for a_{uv}^w with the r s in the same place as in our first c_{uv}^w formula.

Example. Let $Q = 121$ so $w = r_1 r_2 r_1$, $u = r_1$, $v = r_1 r_2$ all in S_3 the Weyl group of GL_3 . Then $R \in \{1 - -, - - 1\}$, $S = 12 -$ as subwords of 121 , in our sum

$$c_{r_1, r_1 r_2}^{r_1 r_2 r_1} = (\alpha_1 r_1 r_2 \partial_1 r_1) \cdot 1 + (r_1 r_2 r_1) \cdot 1 = 0 + 1$$

whereas if we change v to $r_2 r_1$ so $S = -21$, then

$$c_{r_1, r_2 r_1}^{r_1 r_2 r_1} = (r_1 r_2 r_1) \cdot 1 + (\partial_1 r_1 r_2 \alpha_1 r_1) \cdot 1 = 1 + \partial_1 \cdot \alpha_2 = 0.$$

Example. Let $Q = 12312$, so $w = r_1 r_2 r_3 r_1 r_2 = [3421]$ in one-line notation, and take $u = r_2 r_3 r_2 = [1432]$, $v = r_1 r_2 r_1 = [3214]$. Then $R = -23 - 2$ and $S \in \{12 - 1 -, -2 - 12\}$ so

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{uv}^w &= (r_1 \alpha_2 r_2 r_3 r_1 r_2 + \partial_1 r_1 \alpha_2 r_2 r_3 r_1 \alpha_2 r_2) \cdot 1 \\
&= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \cdot 1 + \partial_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) \cdot 1 \\
&= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \partial_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\alpha_2 \cdot 1 + \partial_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\alpha_3 \cdot 1 \\
&= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 0 + \alpha_3.
\end{aligned}$$

For the K-theory formulæ we have three possible subwords $S \in \{12-1-, -2-12, 12-12\}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{uv}^w &= \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & (1-e^{-\alpha_2})r_2 & r_3 & r_1 & r_2 \\ + & e^{\alpha_1}r_1(-\delta_1) & (1-e^{-\alpha_2})r_2 & r_3 & r_1 & (1-e^{-\alpha_2})r_2 \\ - & r_1 & (1-e^{-\alpha_2})r_2 & r_3 & r_1 & (1-e^{-\alpha_2})r_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot 1 \\
&= (1-e^{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}) + (e^{-\alpha_2}(1-e^{-\alpha_3})) - (1-e^{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2})(1-e^{-\alpha_2-\alpha_3}) \\
&= e^{-\alpha_2}(1-e^{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-\alpha_3})
\end{aligned}$$

which $\langle \{1-e^\lambda : \lambda \in T^*\} \rangle$ -adically associated-grades, as it must, to the c_{uv}^w computed above.

We now recall the AJS/Billey formula. The T-invariant inclusion i of T-fixed points into G/B results in a map in equivariant cohomology:

$$(1.3) \quad i^* : H_T^*(G/B) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in W} H_T^*(wB/B) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W} H_T^*$$

and i^* is well known to be an *injection*. The inclusion $i_w : wB/B \hookrightarrow G/B$ induces the projection to the w -term in this sum, so we may write $i^* = \bigoplus_{w \in W} i_w^*$.

For any $v, w \in W$, the **point restriction** $S_v|_w \in H_T^*$ is defined by $i_w^*(S_v)$, i.e. the image of S_v under the map i^* in (1.3), projected to the w summand. Since (1.3) is an inclusion, each Schubert class S_v is described fully by the list $\{i_w^*(S_v) : w \in W\}$ of these restrictions. Note that $S_v|_u \neq 0$ implies $uB/B \in \overline{B_-wB}/B$, i.e. $u \geq w$ in **Bruhat order**, and in fact the converse is also true. This “upper triangularity of the support” will be useful just below.

In the case $u = w$, the relation (1.1) and this upper triangularity imply that $c_{uv}^w = S_v|_w$. After choosing Q a reduced word for w , the only choice of reduced word R for u is Q itself. The formula in Theorem 1 for a_{wv}^w thus simplifies to

$$S_v|_w = \sum_{\substack{R \subset Q \text{ reduced} \\ \prod R = v}} \prod_Q (\alpha_q^{[q \in R]} r_q) \cdot 1,$$

which, as we explain at the beginning of §3, is just a restatement of the AJS/Billey formula [AJS, Bi99].

The corresponding K-theoretic special cases are

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{wv}^w &= \xi_v|_w = \sum_{\substack{R \subset Q \\ \prod R = v}} (-1)^{|R|-\ell(v)} \left(\prod_{q \in Q} (1-e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \in R]} r_q \right) \cdot 1 \\
\mathring{a}_{wv}^w &= \xi_v^\circ|_w = \sum_{\substack{R \subset Q \\ \prod R = v}} \prod_{q \in Q} ((e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \notin R]} (1-e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \in R]} r_q) \cdot 1
\end{aligned}$$

where the first matches [Gr, Theorem 3.12] and the second matches [Gr, Theorem 3.7], [Wi04, Théorème 4.7].

As an application of Theorem 1, we derive in §5 a recursive formula for cohomological structure constants.

1.4. Properties of the associated operators. After describing in §2 our geometric proof of Theorem 1, we give an algebraic interpretation of our c_{uv}^w formula as a coefficient of the product of certain “Schubert structure operators”. Let $H_T^*[\partial]$ denote the smash product of H_T^* with $\mathbb{Z}[\partial]$, the algebra consisting of the free H_T^* -module $H_T^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\partial]$ with product given by, for $p, q \in H_T^*$,

$$(p \otimes \partial_v) \cdot (q \otimes \partial_w) = p(\partial_v q) \otimes \partial_v \partial_w$$

and extended additively. This smash product was used by Kostant and Kumar in [KoKu86]. Since r_α acts on $H_T^*(G/B)$ equivalently to $1 - \alpha \partial_\alpha$, we will abuse notation and denote by $r_\alpha \in H_T^*[\partial]$ the operator $1 - \alpha \partial_\alpha$. The two actions from §1.1 of δ on K_T^* lead to two different smash algebras $K_T^*[\delta]$ and $K_T^*[\overset{\circ}{\delta}]$, within which we model r_α by $e^\alpha(1 - (1 - e^{-\alpha})\delta_\alpha)$ or by $1 - (1 - e^{-\alpha})\overset{\circ}{\delta}_\alpha$, respectively.

Theorem 2. Define operators $L^\alpha \in H_T^*[\partial] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\partial] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\partial]$, $\Lambda^\alpha \in K_T^*[\delta] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\delta] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\delta]$, and $\Lambda_\circ^\alpha \in K_T^*[\delta] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\delta] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\delta]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} L^\alpha &:= \partial_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \partial_\alpha + \alpha r_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha \\ \Lambda^\alpha &:= (-e^\alpha) r_\alpha (-\overset{\circ}{\delta}_\alpha) \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes \overset{\circ}{\delta}_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \overset{\circ}{\delta}_\alpha - (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \overset{\circ}{\delta}_\alpha \otimes \overset{\circ}{\delta}_\alpha \\ \Lambda_\circ^\alpha &:= e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha (-\delta_\alpha) \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1 + e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha + (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

These **Schubert structure operators** $L^\alpha, \Lambda^\alpha, \Lambda_\circ^\alpha$ square to 0, $\Lambda^\alpha, \Lambda_\circ^\alpha$ respectively, and obviously commute for orthogonal roots. We prove in §4 that L^α satisfy the braid relation in the simply laced case. By computer we checked that Λ^w and $\Lambda_\circ^w$ satisfy the appropriate simply-laced braid relation (and also checked the doubly-laced relation for L^α).

We may therefore define

$$L^w := \prod_{q \in Q} L^{\alpha_q} \quad \Lambda^w := \prod_{q \in Q} \Lambda^{\alpha_q} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_\circ^w := \prod_{q \in Q} \Lambda_\circ^{\alpha_q}$$

for any reduced word Q for w (for W simply laced, or even doubly laced in the L^w case). In the $\Lambda^w, \Lambda_\circ^w$ cases, it suffices that Q have Demazure product w .

We conjecture that all appropriate braid relations hold. These operators act on $H_T^*(G/B)^{\otimes 3}$, $K_T^*(G/B)^{\otimes 3}$, $K_T^*(G/B)^{\otimes 3}$ respectively, resulting in another formulation (in §5) of Theorem 1; as explained there our formulæ in Theorem 1 were what motivated us to define the operators of Theorem 2. (Abstractly, the $\Lambda^\alpha, \Lambda_\circ^\alpha$ operators could be written in terms of the δ s, but to indicate the desired actions we used the $\delta, \overset{\circ}{\delta}$ notations.) It seems likely that further analysis of these operators would give a purely algebraic proof of Theorem 1.

There has of course been much previous work on computing these structure constants for general G , even non-positively. In particular [Wi06] makes use of Bott-Samelson manifolds (as do we, in §2) to compute point restrictions in both equivariant cohomology and equivariant K-theory, and [Wi06b, Théorème 7.9] then uses that formula to compute structure constants in equivariant K-theory using the *inverse* of the matrix $\{\xi_w|_v\}_{w,v}$ (much

as Billey did in cohomology in [Bi99, §5-6]) – in particular, this approach incurs large denominators which cancel, unlike our manifestly⁵ polynomial approach. We also point out [Du05, §3] (again derived using Bott-Samelson manifolds), whose formula in (ordinary, non-equivariant) cohomology is quite analogous to the formula $c_{uv}^w = \partial_w(S_u S_v)$, $\ell(w) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$. Another formula, based like ours on the multiplication in equivariant cohomology of the Bott-Samelson manifold, appears in [BeRi15]; unlike our single-product formula in Theorem 3 (cohomology case) for the structure constants of Bott-Samelson calculus, their formula [BeRi15, Theorem 2.10] requires a sum.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Both authors were privileged to hang out with Bert Kostant at MIT in the mid-'90s, drinking up an inexhaustible supply of Lie group nectar as well as some history of the academy. This paper is of course highly inspired by his papers [KoKu86, KoKu90] with Shrawan Kumar. We thank Balázs Elek for navigating us to the isotropy weights on Bott-Samelson manifolds, Bill Graham for sending us his preprint [Gr] on the point restrictions $(\xi_w|_v)$, $(\xi_w^\circ|_v)$, and Darij Grinberg for suggesting an alternate proof of Theorem 2.

2. INGREDIENTS OF THE PROOF

Recall that the **Bott-Samelson manifold** associated to a word $Q = r_{\alpha_{i_1}} r_{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdots r_{\alpha_{i_\ell}}$ in simple reflections is given by

$$BS^Q := P_{\alpha_{i_1}} \times^B P_{\alpha_{i_2}} \times^B \cdots \times^B P_{\alpha_{i_\ell}} / B$$

where $P_{\alpha_{i_j}}$ is the minimal parabolic associated to the simple reflection r_{i_j} and the quotient is by the equivalence relation given by $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_\ell) \sim (g_1 b_1, b_1^{-1} g_2 b_2, \dots, b_{\ell-1}^{-1} g_\ell b_\ell)$. The resulting equivalence classes are denoted $[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_\ell] \in BS^Q$.

There is an action by T on the left of BS^Q with $2^{\#Q}$ fixed points; more specifically the set of sequences $\{(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_\ell) \in P_{\alpha_{i_1}} \times P_{\alpha_{i_2}} \times \cdots \times P_{\alpha_{i_\ell}} : g_j \in \{1, s_j\} \forall j\}$ maps bijectively to the fixed point set $(BS^Q)^T$. In this way we index the fixed points by subsets $L \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, but instead of writing “ L is the $\{1, 2\}$ subword of (r_2, r_3, r_2) ” we will write “ L is the subword $r_2 r_3$ — of (r_2, r_3, r_2) ”, allowing distinction between e.g. the r_2 — and — r_2 subwords. The inclusion of the fixed points induces maps in equivariant cohomology and in equivariant K -theory each of which are known to be injective:

$$(2.1) \quad H_T^*(BS^Q) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{L \subset Q} H_T^* \quad K_T^*(BS^Q) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{L \subset Q} K_T^*.$$

For any subword $L = s_{t_1} \cdots s_{t_k}$ of Q , there is a corresponding copy of BS^L obtained as a submanifold of BS^Q by

$$BS^L = \{[g_1, \dots, g_\ell] \in BS^Q \mid g_j \in B \text{ if } j \notin L\}.$$

The submanifolds BS^L are T -invariant, and each $BS_\circ^L := BS^L \setminus \bigcup_{M \subsetneq L} BS^M$ contains a unique T -fixed point $[g_1, \dots, g_\ell] \in BS^L$, the one we also corresponded to L .

⁵Whether it is “manifest” to you likely depends on whether you *really* believe ∂_α of a polynomial $p \in H_T^*$ is again a polynomial. The twisted Leibniz rule $\partial_\alpha(pq) = (\partial_\alpha p)q + (r_\alpha p)\partial_\alpha q$ lets one reduce to the case $p = \beta$ a root, at which point $\partial_\alpha \beta = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$.

The equivariant homology classes $\{[BS^L] : L \subset Q\}$ form a basis of $H_*^T(BS^Q)$ as a (free) module over H_T^* . There exists a dual basis $\{T_J\}_{J \subset Q}$ of $H_T^*(BS^Q)$, again defined by the H_T^* -valued Alexander pairing $\langle, \rangle$. The classes $\{[\mathcal{O}_{BS^L}] : L \subset Q\}$, $\{[I_{BS^L}] : L \subset Q\}$ also form bases for $K_*^T(BS^Q)$ as a module over K_T^* , again with the simple change-of-basis matrices

$$[\mathcal{O}_{BS^R}] = \sum_{S \subset R} [I_{BS^S}], \quad [I_{BS^R}] = \sum_{S \subset R} (-1)^{|R \setminus S|} [\mathcal{O}_{BS^S}].$$

We denote the dual bases under the Alexander pairing by $\{\tau_J^\circ\}_{J \subset Q}, \{\tau_J\}_{J \subset Q}$ respectively, with the result that

$$(2.2) \quad \tau_R^\circ = \sum_{P \supset R} (-1)^{|P \setminus R|} \tau_P, \quad \tau_R = \sum_{P \supset R} \tau_P^\circ$$

We compute the point restrictions of $T_J, \tau_J^\circ, \tau_J$ in Lemma 3.

Consider the natural map $\pi_R : BS^R \rightarrow G/B$ that multiplies the terms, $[g_1, \dots, g_\ell] \mapsto (\prod_i g_i)B/B$. The image is B -invariant, irreducible, and closed, so is necessarily some X^w (indeed, w is the Demazure product $\widetilde{\prod} R$). However $\dim BS^R = \dim X^w$ if and only if R is a reduced word, in which case the top homology class of BS^R pushes forward to that of X^w . The pushforward sends the homology class of BS^R to that of X^w in G/B whenever R is a reduced word for w , and otherwise sends it to 0. These statements are true both for singular homology and also, since the varieties involved are T -invariant, for equivariant homology [KuNo98, Br00]. For the corresponding statement in equivariant K -theory, note that the pushforward at the level of *sheaves* is $\pi_* (\mathcal{O}_{BS^R}) = \mathcal{O}_{X^w}$ ($w = \widetilde{\prod} R$) with no higher derived pushforwards [BrKu05, Theorem 3.4.3], so of course it's also true at the level of K -theory classes. For lack of a reference, we compute $\pi_* [I_{BS^R}]$:

Lemma 1. $\pi_* [I_{BS^R}] = (-1)^{|R| - \ell(w)} [I_{X^w}]$, where $w = \widetilde{\prod} R$.

Proof. We write $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ for $\mathcal{O}_Y$ in this proof, for readability.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi_*[I_{BS^R}] \\
&= \pi_* \sum_{S \subset R} (-1)^{|R \setminus S|} [\mathcal{O}(BS^S)] = \sum_{S \subset R} (-1)^{|R \setminus S|} \pi_*[\mathcal{O}(BS^S)] = \sum_{S \subset R} (-1)^{|R \setminus S|} [\mathcal{O}(X^{\widetilde{\Pi}^S})] \\
&= \sum_{u \leq \widetilde{\Pi}^R} [\mathcal{O}(X^u)] \sum_{S \subset R, \widetilde{\Pi}^S = u} (-1)^{|S \setminus R|} = \sum_{u \leq \widetilde{\Pi}^R} [\mathcal{O}(X^u)] (-1)^{|R|} \sum_{S \subset R, \widetilde{\Pi}^S = u} (-1)^{|S|} \\
&= \sum_{u \leq \widetilde{\Pi}^R} [\mathcal{O}(X^u)] (-1)^{|R|} \sum \{(-1)^{|S|} : R \setminus S \text{ an interior face of } \Delta(R, u)\} \\
&= \sum_{u \leq \widetilde{\Pi}^R} [\mathcal{O}(X^u)] \sum \{(-1)^{|F|} : F \text{ an interior face of } \Delta(R, u)\} \\
&= \sum_{u \leq \widetilde{\Pi}^R} [\mathcal{O}(X^u)] \left(\left[u = \widetilde{\Pi}^R \right] + \sum \{(-1)^{|F|} : F \neq \emptyset, F \text{ an interior face of } \Delta(R, u)\} \right) \\
&= \sum_{u \leq \widetilde{\Pi}^R} [\mathcal{O}(X^u)] \left(\left[u = \widetilde{\Pi}^R \right] + \sum \{-\chi_c(\text{open } (|F| - 1)\text{-simplex}) : F \neq \emptyset, F \text{ face of } \Delta(R, u)^\circ\} \right) \\
&= \sum_{u \leq \widetilde{\Pi}^R} [\mathcal{O}(X^u)] \left(\left[u = \widetilde{\Pi}^R \right] - \chi_c(\Delta(R, u)^\circ) \right) \quad \text{by additivity of } \chi_c
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(R, u)$ is the subword complex of the pair (R, u) introduced in [KnMi04], proven to be a $(|R| - \ell(u) - 1)$ -ball for $u < \widetilde{\Pi}^R$, and a $(|R| - \ell(u) - 1)$ -sphere for $u = \widetilde{\Pi}^R$. The signed sum is computing the negative of the Euler characteristic of the *interior* of this ball/sphere (because of the $\widetilde{\Pi}^S = u$ not just $\geq u$ condition), plus 1 in the sphere case: the topology never sees the empty face, which is okay exactly in the ball case (since then and only then, the empty face doesn't lie in the interior).

Consequently, the parenthetical term is $(-1)^{|R| - \ell(u)}$, for different reasons in the ball, even-dim sphere, and odd-dim sphere cases, and so we continue

$$= \sum_{u \leq \widetilde{\Pi}^R} [\mathcal{O}(X^u)] (-1)^{|R| - \ell(u)} = (-1)^{|R| - \ell(w)} \sum_{u \leq w} [\mathcal{O}(X^u)] (-1)^{\ell(w) - \ell(u)} = (-1)^{|R| - \ell(w)} [I_{X^w}]$$

where $w = \widetilde{\Pi}^R$. □

We are interested in the resulting transpose maps in equivariant cohomology and equivariant K-theory. We keep track of the various dual bases here:

(K-)homology basis dual to (K-)cohomology basis with structure constants

	G/B	BS^Q
H_*	$[X^w]$	$[BS^J]$
K_*	$[\mathcal{O}_{X^w}]$	$[\mathcal{O}_{BS^J}]$
K_*	$[I_{X^w}]$	$[I_{BS^J}]$

	G/B	BS^Q
H^*	S_w	T_J
K^*	ξ_w°	τ_J°
K^*	ξ_w	τ_J

	G/B	BS^Q
H^*	c_{uv}^w	b_{uv}^w
K^*	$\hat{a}_{uv}^w$	$\hat{d}_{uv}^w$
K^*	a_{uv}^w	d_{uv}^w

Transposing the statements $(\pi_Q)_*([BS^R]) = [X^w][R \text{ reduced}]$, $(\pi_Q)_*([O_{BS^R}]) = [O_{X^w}]$, $(\pi_Q)_*([I_{BS^R}]) = (-1)^{|R|-\ell(w)}[I_{X^w}]$ (for $w = \prod R$) from (K-)homology, we obtain the following:

Lemma 2. *Let $\pi_Q : BS^Q \rightarrow G/B$ be the product map.*

$$\pi_Q^*(S_w) = \sum_{\substack{R \subset Q \text{ reduced} \\ \prod R = w}} T_R, \quad \pi_Q^*(\xi_w^\circ) = \sum_{\substack{R \subset Q \\ \prod R = w}} \tau_R^\circ, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_Q^*(\xi_w) = \sum_{\substack{R \subset Q \\ \prod R = w}} (-1)^{|R|-\ell(w)} \tau_R$$

in equivariant cohomology and equivariant K-theory.

Proof. The result $\pi_Q^*(S_w)$ is [Wi06, Proposition 3.26]). Let $[BS^R]$, $[X^w]$ denote the equivariant homology classes, and $\langle, \rangle_M$ denote the perfect H_T^* -valued pairing between $H_T^*(M)$ and $H_T^*(M)$ for M a smooth compact oriented T-manifold. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_Q^*(S_w), [BS^R] \rangle_{BS^Q} &= \langle S_w, (\pi_Q)_*([BS^R]) \rangle_{G/B} = \begin{cases} \langle S_w, [X^v] \rangle_{G/B} & \text{if } R \text{ reduced, with } \prod R = v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } R \text{ reduced, with } \prod R = w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The other two statements are similarly tautological. $\square$

We pull back the equation $S_u S_v = \sum_{x \in W} c_{uv}^x S_x$ along $\pi_Q : BS^Q \rightarrow G/B$ and simplify the right-hand side of the equation:

$$(2.3) \quad \pi_Q^*(S_u) \pi_Q^*(S_v) = \sum_{x \in W} c_{uv}^x \pi_Q^*(S_x) = \sum_{x \in W} c_{uv}^x \sum_{\substack{R \subset Q \text{ reduced} \\ \prod R = x}} T_R = \sum_{R \subset Q \text{ reduced}} c_{uv}^{\prod R} T_R.$$

By expanding the left hand side in a similar fashion, we obtain

$$\pi_Q^*(S_u) \pi_Q^*(S_v) = \sum_{\substack{R \subset Q \text{ reduced} \\ \prod R = u}} T_R \sum_{\substack{S \subset Q \text{ reduced} \\ \prod S = v}} T_S = \sum_{\substack{R, S \subset Q \text{ reduced} \\ \prod R = u, \prod S = v}} T_R T_S.$$

Define b_{RS}^J to be the structure constants for the multiplication in $H_T^*(BS^Q)$ in the basis $\{T_J\}$, defined by the relationship

$$T_R T_S = \sum_{J \subset Q} b_{RS}^J T_J.$$

Thus we have shown

$$(2.4) \quad \pi_Q^*(S_u) \pi_Q^*(S_v) = \sum_{\substack{R, S \subset Q \text{ reduced} \\ \prod R = u, \prod S = v}} \sum_{J \subset Q} b_{RS}^J T_J.$$

Now take Q to be reduced with $\prod Q = w$ and match the T_Q coefficients in (2.3) and (2.4):

$$(2.5) \quad c_{uv}^w = \sum_{\substack{R, S \subset Q \text{ reduced} \\ \prod R = u, \prod S = v}} b_{RS}^Q.$$

The K-theoretic results are similar. Let Q be any expression whose Demazure product is w , e.g. a reduced word. We obtain by the same derivation

$$(2.6) \quad a_{uv}^w = \sum_{\substack{R, S \subset Q \\ \prod R = u, \prod S = v}} (-1)^{|R|+|S|-\ell(u)-\ell(v)} d_{RS}^Q, \quad \mathring{a}_{uv}^w = \sum_{\substack{R, S \subset Q \\ \prod R = u, \prod S = v}} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q$$

where the sum is over all subwords whose Demazure products are u and v , respectively.

Theorem 3. *Let the equivariant intersection numbers b_{RS}^Q be defined as in (2.5). For $R, S \subset Q$,*

$$b_{RS}^Q = \prod_{q \in Q} (\alpha_q^{[q \in R \cap S]} \partial_q^{[q \notin R \cup S]} r_q) \cdot 1 = \prod_{q \in Q} (\alpha_q^{[q \in R \cap S]} r_q (-\partial_q)^{[q \notin R \cup S]}) \cdot 1,$$

where the exponent $[q \in R \cap S] \in \{0, 1\}$ (resp. $[q \notin R \cup S]$) indicates inclusion of the factor only when $q \in R \cap S$ (resp. $q \notin R \cup S$). Similarly, for d_{RS}^Q and $\mathring{d}_{RS}^Q$ defined in (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} d_{RS}^Q &= \left(\prod_{q \in Q} (e^{\alpha_q})^{[q \notin R \cup S]} (1 - e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \in R \cap S]} r_q (-\mathring{\delta}_q)^{[q \notin R \cup S]} \right) \cdot 1 \quad \text{where } \mathring{\delta}_q f := \frac{f - r_\alpha f}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \\ \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q &= \left(\prod_{q \in Q} (e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \notin R \cap S]} (1 - e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \in R \cap S]} r_q (-\delta_q)^{[q \notin R \cup S]} \right) \cdot 1 \quad \text{where } \delta_q f := \frac{f - e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha f}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 then follows directly from Theorem 3 and (2.5),(2.6). The proof of Theorem 3 is an inductive argument based on Lemma 3 below.

As with Schubert classes, we define the point restriction $T_R|_S$ to be the pullback of $T_R \in H_T^*(BS^Q)$ along the inclusion of the fixed point $S \subset Q$. These restrictions can be computed explicitly. In the following Lemma, the results for $T_R|_S$ and $\tau_R^\circ|_S$ (first equality) are found in [Wi06, Théorème 3.11]) and [Wi06b, Théorème 6.2], respectively.

Lemma 3. *The classes $T_R \in H_T^*(BS^Q)$, $\tau_R \in K_T^*(BS^Q)$ have the following restrictions to a T -fixed point S :*

$$T_R|_S = \begin{cases} \left(\prod_{m \in S} \alpha_m^{[m \in R]} r_m \right) \cdot 1 & \text{if } R \subset S \\ 0 & \text{if } R \not\subset S \end{cases} \quad \tau_R|_S = \begin{cases} \left(\prod_{m \in S} (1 - e^{-\alpha_m})^{[m \in R]} r_m \right) \cdot 1 & \text{if } R \subset S \\ 0 & \text{if } R \not\subset S. \end{cases}$$

where the exponent $[m \in R]$ indicates inclusion of the factor only when $m \in R$. The classes $\tau_R^\circ \in K_T^*(BS^Q)$ have the following restrictions to fixed points:

$$\tau_R^\circ|_S = \begin{cases} \left(\prod_{m \in S} (e^{-\alpha_m})^{[m \notin R]} (1 - e^{-\alpha_m})^{[m \in R]} r_m \right) \cdot 1 & \text{if } R \subset S \\ 0 & \text{if } R \not\subset S \end{cases} = \sum_{J \subset S} (-1)^{|J \setminus R|} \tau_J|_S$$

where we note that the last sum is 0 if R is not contained in S . In particular none of $T_R|_R$, $\tau_R|_R$, and $\tau_R^\circ|_R$ vanish.

In proofs we will make use of the plainly equivalent formula

$$\tau_R^\circ|_S = \sum_{J: R \subset J \subset S} (-1)^{|J \setminus R|} \prod_{t \in J} \left(1 - e^{(\prod_{m \in S, m \leq t} r_m) \alpha_t} \right)$$

The proof of this Lemma 3 and Theorem 3 are left to §6.

3. AJS/BILLEY OPERATORS

In the next two sections we interpret the AJS/Billey formula, and Theorem 1, in terms of certain operators; our results are that these operators satisfy the various (nil-)Coxeter relations. We hope someday to run the arguments backward and use the relations to give an algebraic proof of Theorem 1.

We recall the usual statement [AJS, Bi99]. Let Q be a word in W 's generators, with product w (asked in [Bi99] to be reduced, though this is not necessary and is not demanded in [AJS, Appendix D]). Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\#Q}$ be the corresponding sequence of simple roots. Define an associated sequence of roots

$$\beta_i := r_{\alpha_1} r_{\alpha_2} \cdots r_{\alpha_{i-1}} \cdot \alpha_i$$

which, if Q happens to be reduced, are positive roots. Then their formula states

$$S_v|_w = \sum \left\{ \prod_R \beta_r : R \subset Q, R \text{ is a reduced word for } v \right\}$$

As a first step in reformulating this operator-theoretically, we rewrite it as

$$S_v|_w = \sum_R \left(\prod_Q \alpha_q^{[q \in R]} r_q \right) \cdot 1 \quad \text{again summing over reduced words } R \text{ for } v$$

To actually evaluate such a formula involves dragging all the reflection operators r to the right of all the multiplication operators α , thereby turning each α into the corresponding β from the associated sequence. There those reflections multiply back up to w , which *without* the action $\cdot 1$ gives an operator equation we record for use below:

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_R \prod_Q \alpha_q^{[q \in R]} r_q = S_v|_w w \quad \text{again summing over reduced words } R \text{ for } v$$

3.1. The operators. Let $H_T^*[W]$ be the smash product of H_T^* and the group algebra of W , i.e. the free H_T^* -module with basis W and multiplication $wp := (w \cdot p)w$. For each $w \in W$, we introduce an **AJS/Billey operator**

$$(3.2) \quad J_w := \sum_v (S_v|_w) w \otimes \partial_v \in \begin{array}{ccc} H_T^*[W] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\partial] & \hookrightarrow & H_T^*[\partial] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\partial] \\ r_\alpha \otimes p & \mapsto & (1 - \alpha \partial_\alpha) \otimes p \end{array}$$

so in particular

$$J_\alpha := J_{r_\alpha} = (r_\alpha \otimes 1) + (\alpha r_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha).$$

Note that these operators are homogeneous of degree 0, where the degrees of $\alpha, r_\alpha, \partial_\alpha$ are $+1, 0, -1$ respectively.

Theorem 4. *If Q is a word for w , then $J_w = \prod_Q J_q$. Hence $J_\alpha^2 = 1 \otimes 1$, and $J_w J_v = J_{wv} \forall w, v$.*

Proof. Let Q be a word for w . Then since $S_v|_{r_\alpha}$ is 0 unless $v = 1$ or $v = r_\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_Q J_q &= \prod_Q \sum_v (S_v|_{r_q}) r_q \otimes \partial_v = \prod_Q ((r_q \otimes 1) + (\alpha_q r_q \otimes \partial_q)) \\ &= \sum_{R \subset Q} \left(\prod_Q \alpha_q^{[q \in R]} r_q \right) \otimes \prod_R \partial_r = \sum_v \sum_{\substack{R \subset Q \text{ reduced} \\ \prod R = v}} \left(\prod_Q \alpha_q^{[q \in R]} r_q \right) \otimes \partial_v \end{aligned}$$

as $\prod_R \partial_r = 0$ unless R is reduced. Using equation (3.1), this becomes $\sum_v (S_v|_w w) \otimes \partial_v$ or J_w .

Then $J_\alpha^2 = J_e = 1 \otimes 1$ (which one can check directly), and the last claim follows by concatenating words for w, v . $\square$

The corresponding definitions and results in K -theory are very similar:

$$\Xi_w := \sum_v (-1)^{\ell(v)} (\xi_v|_w) w \otimes \overset{\circ}{\delta}_v, \quad \Xi_w^\circ := \sum_v (\xi_v^\circ|_w) w \otimes \delta_v$$

(the latter operators are same, up to $\delta_\alpha \leftrightarrow r_\alpha \delta_\alpha r_\alpha$ in the second tensor slot, the operators $L(w)$ from [Gr, Proposition 3.6]) so in particular

$$\Xi_\alpha := \Xi_{r_\alpha} = (r_\alpha \otimes 1) - ((1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \overset{\circ}{\delta}_\alpha) \quad \Xi_\alpha^\circ := \Xi_{r_\alpha}^\circ = (e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \otimes 1) + ((1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha)$$

and when Q is a reduced word for w , the Graham/Willems formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_Q \Xi_q &= \prod_Q \left((r_q \otimes 1) - ((1 - e^{-\alpha_q}) r_q \otimes \overset{\circ}{\delta}_q) \right) = \sum_{R \subset Q} \left(\prod_Q (-1)^{[q \in R]} (1 - e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \in R]} r_q \right) \otimes \prod_R \overset{\circ}{\delta}_r \\ &= \sum_v \sum_{\substack{R \subset Q \\ \prod R = v}} \left(\prod_Q (-1)^{[q \in R]} (1 - e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \in R]} r_q \right) \otimes \overset{\circ}{\delta}_v = \sum_v (-1)^{\ell(v)} (\xi_v|_w) w \otimes \overset{\circ}{\delta}_v = \Xi_w \end{aligned}$$

with much the same derivation for $\prod_Q \Xi_q^\circ = \Xi_w^\circ$, which we omit. For variety we check $(\Xi_q^\circ)^2 = 1 \otimes 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Xi_q^\circ)^2 &= ((e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \otimes 1) + ((1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha))^2 \\ &= ((e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \otimes 1) + ((1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha)) ((e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \otimes 1) + ((1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha)) \\ &= (e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \otimes 1)(e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \otimes 1) + ((1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha)(e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \otimes 1) \\ &\quad + (e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \otimes 1)((1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) + ((1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha)((1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &= (1 \otimes 1) + (e^\alpha - 1) \otimes \delta_\alpha + (e^{-\alpha} - 1) \otimes \delta_\alpha + (2 - e^{-\alpha} - e^\alpha) \otimes \delta_\alpha = 1 \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

Again, we omit the very similar proof of $\Xi_q^2 = 1 \otimes 1$.

We mention here that all our formulæ concerning $\{\xi_v\}$, especially the ones for $\{a_{uv}^w\}$ and for $\{\Xi_w\}$, suggest that the proper basis to consider is not $\{\xi_v\}$ but $\{(-1)^{\ell(v)} \xi_v\}$. We forebore doing so for historical reasons. One intriguing aspect of this alternate basis is that its non-equivariant structure constants are all nonnegative, instead of (as Brion proved [Br02], extending [Bu02]) alternating in sign.

3.2. The class of the diagonal. Let $(G/B)_\Delta$ denote the diagonal copy of G/B in $(G/B)^2$, which is invariant under the diagonal T -action on $(G/B)^2$. The corresponding Poincaré dual class $\Delta_{12} \in H_T^*((G/B)^2)$ of this submanifold (assuming G finite-dimensional) can be described explicitly in terms of the Poincaré duals $S^v \in H_T^*(G/B)$ to the X^v . Under the isomorphism

$$H_T^*((G/B)^2) \cong H_T^*(G/B) \otimes_{H_T^*} H_T^*(G/B)$$

we have from e.g. [Br05, §3] the factorization of the diagonal

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta_{12} = \sum_v S_v \otimes S^v = \sum_v S_v \otimes (\partial_v \cdot S^1)$$

Consider its restriction along $i_w \times \text{Id} : \{wB/B\} \times G/B \rightarrow (G/B)^2$:

$$(i_w \times \text{Id})^*(\Delta_{12}) = \sum_v S_v|_w \otimes \partial_v \cdot S^1 = J_w \cdot (1 \otimes S^1).$$

While we won't directly use this suggestive calculation of the $S_v|_w$, it will inform a similar operator-theoretic calculation of the c_{uv}^w in the next section. Towards that end we rephrase the equation above using the equivariant Euler class $e(TG/B)$ of the tangent bundle:

$$(3.4) \quad (e(TG/B) \otimes 1) \Delta_{12} = \sum_{w \in W} (i_w \times \text{Id})_* (J_w \cdot (1 \otimes S^1))$$

We sought K-theory versions of this, based on

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta_{12}^K = \sum_v \xi_v \otimes \xi_v^\circ = \sum_v \xi_v \otimes (\delta_v^\circ \cdot \xi_v^1) = \sum_v \xi_v^\circ \otimes \xi_v = \sum_v \xi_v^\circ \otimes (\delta_v \cdot \xi^1),$$

but didn't find them.

4. SCHUBERT STRUCTURE OPERATORS

4.1. The cohomology operator L^α . Analogous to $J_\alpha \in H_T^*[W] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\partial]$, we introduce homogeneous degree -1 elements L^α of $H_T^*[\partial] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\partial] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\partial]$

$$L^\alpha := (\partial_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1) + (r_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha \otimes 1) + (r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \partial_\alpha) + (\alpha r_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha)$$

where $r_\alpha = 1 - \alpha \partial_\alpha \in H_T^*[\partial]$. Their motivation is the following:

Proposition 1. *Let Q be a reduced word for w , and assume Theorem 1. Also assume G is finite-dimensional, so we can define $S^u := w_0 \cdot S_{w_0 u}$. Then*

$$(4.1) \quad \left(\prod_{q \in Q} L^{\alpha_q} \right) \cdot (S^1 \otimes S^1 \otimes S^1) = \sum_{u,v} c_{uv}^w \otimes S^u \otimes S^v$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{q \in Q} L^{\alpha_q} &= \sum_{R, S \subset Q} \prod_Q (\alpha_q^{[q \in R, S]} \partial_q^{[q \notin R, S]} r_q) \otimes \prod_{r \in R} \partial_r \otimes \prod_{s \in S} \partial_s \\ &= \sum_{u,v} \left(\sum_{\substack{R, S \subset Q \text{ reduced} \\ \prod R = u, \prod S = v}} \prod_Q (\alpha_q^{[q \in R, S]} \partial_q^{[q \notin R, S]} r_q) \right) \otimes \partial_u \otimes \partial_v \end{aligned}$$

The operators in the first tensor slot are the ones appearing in Theorem 1. □

Since the right side of (4.1) doesn't depend on the choice of reduced word Q , this suggests that the operator $\prod_{q \in Q} L^{\alpha_q}$ itself might already be independent of Q . We now verify this (partly by computer⁶, and only in the simply- and doubly-laced cases).

Proposition 2. *Rewrite L^α as $D_\alpha \otimes 1 + J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha$, where*

$$D_\alpha := -\partial_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha \quad J_\alpha := r_\alpha \otimes 1 + \alpha r_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha$$

⁶The Macaulay 2 code is available from the second author.

recalling the latter from §3.1. Then the map $r_\alpha \mapsto J_\alpha$, $\partial_\alpha \mapsto -D_\alpha$, $\alpha \mapsto \alpha \otimes 1$ defines a homomorphism of the nil Hecke algebra to $H_1^*[\partial] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\partial]$. Under the natural identification of $H_1^*[\partial] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\partial]$ with $H_1^*[\partial] \otimes_{H_1^*} H_1^*[\partial]$, this defines a coproduct on $H_1^*[\partial]$.⁷

Proof. We need to check that the nil Hecke relations

$$r_\alpha^2 = 1 \quad \alpha \partial_\alpha = 1 - r_\alpha \quad r_\alpha(\beta p) = (\beta - \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \alpha) r_\alpha p,$$

and the commutation and braid relations, hold for $J_\alpha, D_\alpha, \alpha \otimes 1, \beta \otimes 1$. The squaring relation was in Theorem 4 and the second is very simple. The commutation and braid relations for $\{J_\alpha, J_\beta\}$ also follow from Theorem 4. Once we check the third relation,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\alpha(\beta \otimes 1)(p_1 \otimes p_2) &= (r_\alpha \otimes 1 + \alpha r_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha)(\beta p_1 \otimes p_2) \\ &= (r_\alpha \beta p_1 \otimes p_2) + (\alpha r_\alpha \beta p_1 \otimes \partial_\alpha p_2) \\ &= ((\beta - \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \alpha) r_\alpha p_1 \otimes p_2) + (\alpha (\beta - \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \alpha) r_\alpha p_1 \otimes \partial_\alpha p_2) \\ &= ((\beta - \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \alpha) \otimes 1)(r_\alpha \otimes 1 + \alpha r_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha)(p_1 \otimes p_2) \\ &= ((\beta - \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \alpha) \otimes 1) J_\alpha(p_1 \otimes p_2) \end{aligned}$$

the commutation and braid relations for the D_α follow from their implicit definition by $(\alpha \otimes 1) D_\alpha = J_\alpha - 1$. This coproduct turns out to be the same as in [BeRi15, §2]. $\square$

Lemma 4. $(L^\alpha)^2 = 0$.

This is why in Theorem 2 we need Q to be a reduced word.

Proof. Rewrite L^α as $D_\alpha \otimes 1 + J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha$, where $D_\alpha = -\partial_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha$ and J_α was defined in §3.1 to be $r_\alpha \otimes 1 + \alpha r_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha$. Now use the abstract nil Hecke relations

$$\partial_\alpha^2 = 0 \quad r_\alpha \partial_\alpha + \partial_\alpha r_\alpha = 0$$

and Proposition 2 to compute

$$\begin{aligned} (L^\alpha)^2 &= (D_\alpha \otimes 1 + J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha)^2 = D_\alpha^2 \otimes 1 + (D_\alpha J_\alpha + J_\alpha D_\alpha) \otimes \partial_\alpha + J_\alpha^2 \otimes (\partial_\alpha)^2 \\ &= 0 \otimes 1 + 0 \otimes \partial_\alpha + 1 \otimes 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 2, for L^α . The commutation relations (that $[L^\alpha, L^\beta] = 0$ for $\alpha \perp \beta$) are obvious. For the A_2 braiding, we compute $L^\alpha L^\beta L^\alpha$ for the simple roots in SL_3 .

⁷This coproduct is not coassociative in the usual sense, owing to the fact that the base ring H_1^* is not central.

As in the proof of Lemma 4, let $L^\alpha = D_\alpha \otimes 1 + J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha$, $L^\beta = D_\beta \otimes 1 + J_\beta \otimes \partial_\beta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
L^\alpha L^\beta L^\alpha &= (D_\alpha \otimes 1 + J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha)(D_\beta \otimes 1 + J_\beta \otimes \partial_\beta)(D_\alpha \otimes 1 + J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha) \\
&= (D_\alpha \otimes 1)(D_\beta \otimes 1)(D_\alpha \otimes 1) + (D_\alpha \otimes 1)(D_\beta \otimes 1)(J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha) + (D_\alpha \otimes 1)(J_\beta \otimes \partial_\beta)(D_\alpha \otimes 1) \\
&\quad + (D_\alpha \otimes 1)(J_\beta \otimes \partial_\beta)(J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha) + (J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha)(D_\beta \otimes 1)(D_\alpha \otimes 1) + (J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha)(D_\beta \otimes 1)(J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha) \\
&\quad + (J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha)(J_\beta \otimes \partial_\beta)(D_\alpha \otimes 1) + (J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha)(J_\beta \otimes \partial_\beta)(J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha) \\
&= (D_\alpha D_\beta D_\alpha \otimes 1) + (D_\alpha D_\beta J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha) + (D_\alpha J_\beta D_\alpha \otimes \partial_\beta) \\
&\quad + (D_\alpha J_\beta J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha) + (J_\alpha D_\beta D_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha) + (J_\alpha D_\beta J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha^2) \\
&\quad + (J_\alpha J_\beta D_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta) + (J_\alpha J_\beta J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha) \\
&= D_\alpha D_\beta D_\alpha \otimes 1 + (D_\alpha D_\beta J_\alpha + J_\alpha D_\beta D_\alpha) \otimes \partial_\alpha + D_\alpha J_\beta D_\alpha \otimes \partial_\beta \\
&\quad + D_\alpha J_\beta J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha + J_\alpha J_\beta D_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta + J_\alpha J_\beta J_\alpha \otimes \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

We want this to match $L^\beta L^\alpha L^\beta$, whose corresponding expansion looks the same, requiring we check the equations

$$D_\alpha D_\beta D_\alpha = D_\beta D_\alpha D_\beta \quad D_\alpha D_\beta J_\alpha + J_\alpha D_\beta D_\alpha = D_\beta J_\alpha D_\beta \quad D_\alpha J_\beta J_\alpha = J_\beta J_\alpha D_\beta \quad J_\alpha J_\beta J_\alpha = J_\beta J_\alpha J_\beta$$

whose analogues in the nil Hecke algebra are straightforward to check; then we apply Proposition 2.

The corresponding B_2 calculation we left to a computer. $\square$

We are confident that the L^α satisfy the G_2 braid relation as well, but have not done the computation (having run out of memory).

Let $\Delta_{12} \in H_T^*((G/B)^3)$ denote the Poincaré dual of the partial diagonal $\{(F_1, F_2, F_3) \in (G/B)^3 : F_1 = F_2\}$, and Δ_{13} denote that of $\{(F_1, F_2, F_3) \in (G/B)^3 : F_1 = F_3\}$ likewise. Then $\Delta_{123} := \Delta_{12} \cap \Delta_{13}$ is the class of the full diagonal. By two applications of Equation (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{123} &= \Delta_{12} \cap \Delta_{23} = \left(\sum_u (S_u \otimes S^u \otimes 1) \right) \left(\sum_v (S_v \otimes 1 \otimes S^v) \right) = \sum_{u,v} S_u S_v \otimes S^u \otimes S^v \\
&= \sum_{u,v} \left(\sum_w c_{uv}^w S_w \right) \otimes S^u \otimes S^v = \sum_w (S_w \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \sum_{u,v} (c_{uv}^w \otimes S^u \otimes S^v)
\end{aligned}$$

Combined with (4.1), we get

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta_{123} = \sum_w (S_w \otimes 1 \otimes 1) L^w(S^1 \otimes S^1 \otimes S^1),$$

a distinct echo of Equations (3.3) and (3.4).

Question. What is a closed form for $L^w := \prod_{q \in Q} L^{\alpha_q}$, analogous to that of J^w in (3.2)?

4.2. The K-theory operators Λ^α , Λ_α^α . The analogue of Proposition 1 for the K-theoretic structure constants $\hat{a}_{uv}^w$ requires the operators

$$\Lambda_\alpha^\alpha := e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha(-\delta_\alpha) \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1 + e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha + (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha$$

read off our $\{\hat{a}_{uv}^w\}$ formula in Theorem 1, through considering the cases q in neither of P, R , in just one, or in both.

To recover analogously the a_{uv}^w as coefficients of an operator product, we need to subsume the P, R-dependent signs into the same four cases.

$$a_{uv}^w = (-1)^{\ell(u)+\ell(v)-\ell(w)} \sum_{\substack{P, R \subseteq Q \\ \prod P=u, \prod R=v}} \prod_{q \in Q} \left((-1)^{[q \in P \cap R]} (-1)^{[q \notin P \cup R]} (e^{\alpha_q})^{[q \notin P \cup R]} (1 - e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \in P \cap R]} r_q(-\delta_q)^{[q \notin P \cup R]} \right) \cdot 1$$

Extracting those cases, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^\alpha &:= e^\alpha r_\alpha \delta_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha - (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \\ &= -\delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha + (e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

We didn't find a zero-Hecke version of Proposition 2 with which to study $\Lambda^\alpha, \Lambda_\circ^\alpha$.

Proof of Theorem 2, for $\Lambda^\alpha, \Lambda_\circ^\alpha$. We check the two K-analogues of Lemma 4:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda^\alpha)^2 &= +(\delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(\delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1) - (\delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1) \\ &\quad - (\delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha) - (\delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1)((e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &\quad - (r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1)(\delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1) + (r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1)(r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1) \\ &\quad + (r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1)(r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha) + (r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1)((e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &\quad - (r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha)(\delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1) + (r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha)(r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1) \\ &\quad + (r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha)(r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha) + (r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha)((e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &\quad - ((e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha)(\delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1) + ((e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha)(r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1) \\ &\quad + ((e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha)(r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha) + ((e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha)((e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &= +(\delta_\alpha r_\alpha \delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1) - (\delta_\alpha r_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1) - (\delta_\alpha r_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha) - (\delta_\alpha r_\alpha (e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &\quad - (r_\alpha \delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1) + (r_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1) + (r_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) + (r_\alpha (e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &\quad - (r_\alpha \delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha) + (r_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) + (r_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha) + (r_\alpha (e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &\quad - ((e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \delta_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) + ((e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &\quad + ((e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) + ((e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha (e^{-\alpha} - 1) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

which becomes, using $r_\alpha \delta_\alpha r_\alpha = -e^{-\alpha} \delta_\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} &= +(-\delta_\alpha e^{-\alpha} \delta_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1) - (\delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1) - (\delta_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha) - (\delta_\alpha (e^\alpha - 1) \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &\quad + (e^{-\alpha} \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) + ((e^\alpha - 1) \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &\quad + (e^{-\alpha} \delta_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha) + (1 \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) + (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha) + ((e^\alpha - 1) \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &\quad + ((e^{-\alpha} - 1) e^{-\alpha} \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) + ((e^{-\alpha} - 1) \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \\ &\quad + ((e^{-\alpha} - 1) \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) + ((e^{-\alpha} - 1) (e^\alpha - 1) \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Using $r_\alpha \delta_\alpha e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \delta_\alpha = -r_\alpha \delta_\alpha$, $-e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \delta_\alpha (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha + e^{-\alpha} - (e^\alpha - 1) \delta_\alpha + e^\alpha = (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha$, and $-e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \delta_\alpha e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha - \delta_\alpha + 1 = r_\alpha$ we reduce the above to

$$= -e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \delta_\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + r_\alpha \otimes (\delta_\alpha \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha) + (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha = \Lambda_\circ^\alpha.$$

In particular, the associated graded of this equation w.r.t. the $\langle \{1 - e^\lambda : \lambda \in T^*\} \rangle$ -adic filtration gives another proof of Lemma 4.

These equations $(\Lambda^\alpha)^2 = \Lambda^\alpha$, $(\Lambda_\circ^\alpha)^2 = \Lambda_\circ^\alpha$ are what imply the last sentence of Theorem 2, allowing for Q having the correct Demazure product instead of necessarily being reduced.

We checked the simply-laced braid relations for $\Lambda^\alpha, \Lambda_\circ^\alpha$ (each involving $>5,000$ terms) by computer, using the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\alpha e^\alpha &= e^{-\alpha} \delta_\alpha + e^\alpha + 1 & \delta_\alpha e^\beta &= e^\alpha e^\beta \delta_\alpha - e^\alpha e^\beta & \text{likewise with} \\ \delta_\alpha e^{-\alpha} &= e^\alpha \delta_\alpha - e^\alpha - 1 & \delta_\alpha e^{-\beta} &= e^{-\alpha} e^{-\beta} \delta_\alpha + e^{-\beta} & \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta \text{ and/or } \delta_\alpha \leftrightarrow \delta_\alpha^\circ \end{aligned}$$

and we likewise conjecture that the doubly- and triply-laced braid relations also hold. $\square$

It seems plausible that $\Lambda^w, \Lambda_\circ^w$ are adjoint in some sense (much like $\delta_w^\circ, w_0 \delta_w w_0$ can be seen to be adjoint), allowing one to prove $(\Lambda_\circ^\alpha)^2 = \Lambda_\circ^\alpha$ from $(\Lambda^\alpha)^2 = \Lambda^\alpha$.

By two applications of Equation (3.5) version I, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{123}^K &= \Delta_{12}^K \cap \Delta_{23}^K = \left(\sum_u (\xi_u^\circ \otimes \xi^u \otimes 1) \right) \left(\sum_v (\xi_v^\circ \otimes 1 \otimes \xi^v) \right) = \sum_{u,v} \xi_u^\circ \xi_v^\circ \otimes \xi^u \otimes \xi^v \\ &= \sum_{u,v} \left(\sum_w \mathring{a}_{uv}^w \xi_w^\circ \right) \otimes \xi^u \otimes \xi^v = \sum_w (\xi_w^\circ \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \sum_{u,v} (\mathring{a}_{uv}^w \otimes \xi^u \otimes \xi^v) \\ &= \sum_w (\xi_w^\circ \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \Lambda_\circ^w(\xi_1 \otimes \xi^1 \otimes \xi^1) \end{aligned}$$

It is curious that in this K-theoretic analogue of Equation (4.2) the input to the $\Lambda_\circ^w$ has no ideal sheaf classes.

5. A RECURSIVE FORMULA FOR H_1^* STRUCTURE CONSTANTS

Corollary 1. Fix a reflection r_α , and let $\bar{s}$ denote $r_\alpha s$ for $s \in W$. If $\bar{w} < w$, then

$$c_{uv}^w = (\partial_\alpha r_\alpha) \cdot c_{uv}^{\bar{w}} + [\bar{u} < u] c_{\bar{u},v}^{\bar{w}} + [\bar{v} < v] c_{u,\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}} + [\bar{u} < u][\bar{v} < v] \alpha c_{\bar{u},\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}}$$

where $[\bar{s} < s]$ indicates 1 if $\bar{s} < s$, and 0 otherwise (i.e. $\bar{s} > s$).

We thank a referee for noting that a similar statement up to left-right multiplication is found on [KoKu86, page 219], and a K-theoretic version on [KoKu90, page 565].

Proof. For ease of notation, we use

$$\hat{c}_{uv}^w := \sum_{R,S \subset Q} \prod_{q \in Q} \alpha_q^{[q \in R \cap S]} \partial_q^{[q \notin R \cup S]} r_q,$$

where the sum is over reduced words R, S such that $\prod R = u$, $\prod S = v$. Note that $c_{uv}^w = \hat{c}_{uv}^w \cdot 1$ and $L^w = \sum_{s,t} \hat{c}_{s,t}^w w \otimes \partial^s \otimes \partial^t$. Suppose $w = r_\alpha r_{\alpha_1} \cdots r_{\alpha_k}$ is a reduced word for w .

Then $L^w = L^\alpha L^{\bar{w}}$, where $\bar{w} = r_\alpha w$. In particular

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u,v} c_{uv}^w \otimes S^u \otimes S^v &= L^w(S_1 \otimes S^1 \otimes S^1) = \left(L^\alpha \sum_{s,t} \hat{c}_{st}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_s \otimes \partial_t \right) (S_1 \otimes S^1 \otimes S^1) \\ &= \sum_{s,t} (\partial_\alpha r_\alpha \hat{c}_{st}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_s \otimes \partial_t + r_\alpha \hat{c}_{st}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_\alpha \partial_s \otimes \partial_t + r_\alpha \hat{c}_{st}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_s \otimes \partial_\alpha \partial_t \\ &\quad + \alpha r_\alpha \hat{c}_{st}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_\alpha \partial_s \otimes \partial_\alpha \partial_t) (S_1 \otimes S^1 \otimes S^1) \end{aligned}$$

The term $\hat{c}_{uv}^w \otimes S^u \otimes S^v$ on the left is obtained as the image of $S_1 \otimes S^1 \otimes S^1$ under those tensors with terms $\partial_u \otimes \partial_v$ in the second and third positions. Note that $\partial_\alpha \partial_s = \partial_{s'}$ exactly when $r_\alpha s = s'$ and $\ell(s') = \ell(s) + 1$. If $r_\alpha s = s'$ but $\ell(s') \neq \ell(s) + 1$, then $\partial_\alpha \partial_s = 0$. Let $\bar{v} = r_\alpha v$ and $\bar{u} = r_\alpha u$. By matching the terms,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{uv}^w \otimes S^u \otimes S^v &= (\partial_\alpha r_\alpha \hat{c}_{uv}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_u \otimes \partial_v + r_\alpha \hat{c}_{\bar{u},v}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_\alpha \partial_{\bar{u}} \otimes \partial_v + r_\alpha \hat{c}_{u,\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_u \otimes \partial_\alpha \partial_{\bar{v}} \\ &\quad + \alpha \partial_\alpha \hat{c}_{\bar{u},\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_\alpha \partial_{\bar{u}} \otimes \partial_\alpha \partial_{\bar{v}}) (S_1 \otimes S^1 \otimes S^1) \\ &= (\partial_\alpha r_\alpha \hat{c}_{uv}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_u \otimes \partial_v + [\bar{u} < u] r_\alpha \hat{c}_{\bar{u},v}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_u \otimes \partial_v + [\bar{v} < v] r_\alpha \hat{c}_{u,\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_u \otimes \partial_v \\ &\quad + [\bar{u} < u][\bar{v} < v] \alpha r_\alpha \hat{c}_{\bar{u},\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w} \otimes \partial_u \otimes \partial_v) (S_1 \otimes S^1 \otimes S^1). \end{aligned}$$

We evaluate the expression on the right and isolate the first tensor to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_{uv}^w &= (\partial_\alpha r_\alpha \hat{c}_{uv}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w}) \cdot 1 + [\bar{u} < u] (r_\alpha \hat{c}_{\bar{u},v}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w}) \cdot 1 + [\bar{v} < v] (r_\alpha \hat{c}_{u,\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w}) \cdot 1 + [\bar{u} < u][\bar{v} < v] (\alpha r_\alpha \hat{c}_{\bar{u},\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}} \bar{w}) \cdot 1 \\ &= (\partial_\alpha r_\alpha \hat{c}_{uv}^{\bar{w}}) \cdot 1 + [\bar{u} < u] c_{\bar{u},v}^{\bar{w}} + [\bar{v} < v] c_{u,\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}} + [\bar{u} < u][\bar{v} < v] \alpha c_{\bar{u},\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Fundamentally, this recurrence derives from the left action of $N(T)$ on G/B , which normalizes but does not commute with the T -action; for this reason one finds operators applied to the c coefficients, in the first term. In [Kn03, theorem 2] the second author gave a similar recurrence, but based on the T -equivariant *right* action of W , which thereby only involves scaling but not operating on the c coefficients.

We finish with an example illustrating the use of the recursive formula.

Example 1. We compute $c_{u,v}^w$ in the S_3 case, with $u = [312]$, $v = [132]$ and $w = w_0 = [321]$ in 1-line notation. First we use $\bar{w} = r_1 w$. Then $\bar{u} = r_1 u \not\leq u$ and $\bar{v} = r_1 v \not\leq v$. The three latter terms in the sum of the first recursion relationship drop out and we obtain

$$c_{[312],[132]}^{[321]} = c_{uv}^w = \partial_1 r_1 \cdot c_{uv}^{\bar{w}} = \partial_1 r_1 \cdot c_{[312],[132]}^{[312]}$$

We set about to compute $c_{uv}^{\bar{w}}$. Note that $r_2 r_1$ is a reduced word for $\bar{w}$. There is only one subword for u , mainly $r_2 r_1$, and one subword for v , mainly r_2 . Therefore $c_{uv}^{\bar{w}} = \alpha_2 r_2 r_1 \cdot 1$ and we obtain

$$c_{uv}^w = \partial_1 r_1 \alpha_2 r_2 r_1 \cdot 1 = \partial_1 (r_1(\alpha_2)) = \partial_1(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = 1.$$

As a check on this result, we consider the recursion with r_2 instead of r_1 , so $\bar{w} = r_2 w = [231]$. Then $\bar{u} = r_2 u = [213] < u$ and $\bar{v} = r_2 v = 1 \leq v$. In principle all four terms are nonzero:

$$c_{uv}^w = \partial_2 r_2 \cdot c_{uv}^{\bar{w}} + c_{\bar{u},v}^{\bar{w}} + c_{u,\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}} + \alpha c_{\bar{u},\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}}.$$

However $u \not\leq \bar{w}$, so the first and third terms $c_{uv}^{\bar{w}}$ and $c_{u,\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}}$ vanish. The last term $c_{\bar{u},\bar{v}}^{\bar{w}} = c_{[213],1}^{[231]} = 0$ because $S_{[213]} S_1 = S_{[213]}$. Thus $c_{uv}^w = c_{\bar{u},v}^{\bar{w}} = c_{[213],[132]}^{[231]}$ is the only remaining

nonzero term. This smaller structure constant is easily seen to be 1, for instance by another application of same inductive formula with $r_1[231] = [132] < [231]$. Note that $r_1[132] \not< [132]$ which forces two terms in the recursive sum to be 0. We obtain

$$c_{[213],[132]}^{[231]} = \partial_1 r_1 \cdot c_{[213],[132]}^{[132]} + c_{1,[132]}^{[132]} = 0 + 1$$

where the last two equalities follow from $[213] \not< [132]$ and $S_1 S_{[132]} = S_{[132]}$.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Here we prove the restrictions formulæ from Lemma 3 and make the inductive argument for Theorem 3. The proof of Lemma 3 relies on the perfect pairing $\langle, \rangle$ between $K_T^*(BS^Q)$ and $K_*^T(BS^Q)$, and its nondegenerate extension to the K-theory of the fixed point set $(BS^Q)^T$ over $\text{frac}(K_T^*)$, whose formulas are stated in Proposition 3, below. To prove Lemma 3 we introduce classes on $K_T^*((BS^Q)^T)$ with the desired restrictions, and use the nondegeneracy of $\langle, \rangle$ to show that they must in fact be the localizations of the classes τ_p° . The other statements of Lemma 3 follow immediately. The inductive argument for Theorem 3 requires some basic vanishing properties of the structure constants on BS^Q , established in Lemma 5. Finally, we carry out the inductive proof with an exhaustive case check. We give the proofs only in K-theory, as the cohomology version follows by taking associated graded w.r.t. the $\langle\{1 - e^\lambda : \lambda \in T^*\}\rangle$ -adic filtration.

Proposition 3. *Let $\gamma \in K_T^*(BS^Q)$, and $R \subset Q$. Then the perfect K_T^* -valued pairing $\langle, \rangle$ between $K_T^*(BS^Q)$ and $K_*^T(BS^Q)$ can be computed at $[\mathcal{O}_{BS^R}], [I_{BS^R}] \in K_*^T(BS^Q)$ as*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma, [\mathcal{O}_{BS^R}] \rangle &= \sum_{J \subset R} \frac{\gamma|_J}{\prod_{i \in R} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} \\ \langle \gamma, [I_{BS^R}] \rangle &= \sum_{J \subset R} \frac{\gamma|_J}{\prod_{i \in R} (e^{-(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})^{[i \notin J]} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} \end{aligned}$$

We can extend the pairing to a nondegenerate $\text{frac}(K_T^)$ -valued pairing of $K_T^*((BS^Q)^T)$ and $K_*^T(BS^Q)$, still called $\langle, \rangle$.*

Proof. Using the push-pull formula applied to the inclusion $BS^R \hookrightarrow BS^Q$, we can compute the first pairing by applying Atiyah-Bott's Woods Hole formula for K_T^* -integration to the submanifold BS^R . That in turn requires determining the weights in the tangent space at J of BS^R , computed in [ElLu19, Equation (23)].

For the second formula, we use $[I_{BS^R}] = \sum_{S \subset R} (-1)^{|R \setminus S|} [\mathcal{O}_{BS^S}]$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \gamma, [I_{BS^R}] \rangle &= \sum_{S \subset R} (-1)^{|R \setminus S|} \langle \gamma, [\mathcal{O}_{BS^S}] \rangle = \sum_{S \subset R} (-1)^{|R \setminus S|} \sum_{J \subset S} \frac{\gamma|_J}{\prod_{i \in S} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} \\
&= \sum_{J \subset R} \gamma|_J \sum_{S \subset R, S \supseteq J} (-1)^{|R \setminus S|} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \in S} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} \\
&= \sum_{J \subset R} \gamma|_J \sum_{S \subset R, S \supseteq J} (-1)^{|R \setminus S|} \frac{\prod_{i \in R \setminus S} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})}{\prod_{i \in R} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} \quad \text{let } S^c = R \setminus S \\
&= \sum_{J \subset R} \frac{\gamma|_J}{\prod_{i \in R} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} \sum_{S^c \subset R \setminus J} \prod_{i \in S^c} (e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i} - 1) \\
&= \sum_{J \subset R} \frac{\gamma|_J}{\prod_{i \in R} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} \prod_{i \in R \setminus J} (1 + (e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i} - 1)) \\
&= \sum_{J \subset R} \frac{\gamma|_J \prod_{i \in R \setminus J} e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i}}{\prod_{i \in R} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} = \sum_{J \subset R} \frac{\gamma|_J}{\prod_{i \in R} (e^{-(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i} [i \notin J] (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})}
\end{aligned}$$

The Woods Hole formula $\sum_{J \subset R} \left(\gamma|_J / \prod_{i \in R} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in J, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i}) \right)$ is visibly $\text{frac}(K_T^*)$ -valued, for any system $(\gamma|_J) \in K_T^*((BS^Q)^T)$ of point restrictions. Since both $K_T^*(BS^Q)$ and $K_T^T(BS^Q)$ are free K_T^* -modules, the nondegenerate pairing extends to their rationalizations (extension of scalars using $\text{frac}(K_T^*) \otimes_{K_T^*}$), and restricts to nondegenerate pairings between any full rank K_T^* -sublattice of $\text{frac}(K_T^*) \otimes_{K_T^*} K_T^T(BS^Q)$ against any full rank K_T^* -sublattice of $\text{frac}(K_T^*) \otimes_{K_T^*} K_T^*(BS^Q)$. We apply this to the sublattices $K_T^T(BS^Q)$ and $K_T^*((BS^Q)^T)$, respectively, seeing the latter as a submodule of the vector space $\text{frac}(K_T^*) \otimes_{K_T^*} K_T^*(BS^Q)$ since the map $K_T^*(BS^Q) \hookrightarrow K_T^*((BS^Q)^T)$ becomes an isomorphism upon rationalization. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 3. Define γ_R° not in $K_T^*(BS^Q)$, but only as an element of K_T^* of the fixed point set $(BS^Q)^T \cong \{S : S \subset Q\}$:

$$\gamma_R^\circ|_S := \sum_{J: R \subset J \subset S} (-1)^{|J \setminus R|} \prod_{t \in J} \left(1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S, j \leq t} r_j) \alpha_t} \right) \in K_T^*(S)$$

We argue that γ_R° has the same point restrictions as the desired dual basis element τ_R° , by showing that $\gamma_R^\circ, \tau_R^\circ$ have the same pairings with $\{[\mathcal{O}_{BS^S}]\}$, and then invoking nondegeneracy of the pairing.

By definition of dual basis, $\langle \tau_R^\circ, [\mathcal{O}_{BS^P}] \rangle = [R = P]$. On the other hand, if we pair γ_R° against $[\mathcal{O}_{BS^P}]$ using $\langle, \rangle$, the expression simplifies:

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_S \frac{\gamma_R^\circ|_S}{\prod_{i \in P} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} = \sum_{S: S \subset P} \frac{\sum_{J: R \subset J \subset S} (-1)^{|J \setminus R|} \prod_{t \in J} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S, j \leq t} r_j) \alpha_t})}{\prod_{i \in P} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})}$$

$$= \sum_{J: R \subset J \subset P} (-1)^{|J \setminus R|} \sum_{S: J \subset S \subset P} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \in P \setminus J} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})}.$$

We claim that, for each J , the sum $\sum_{S: J \subset S \subset P} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \in P \setminus J} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} = 1$. When $|P| = 0$, the sum is over the single choice $J = S = P = \emptyset$ of the empty product, returning 1. Suppose P is nonempty and that a is the first letter of P .

If $a \in J$ (as its first term), then all S in the summing set contain a as well. Denote $P_0 = P \setminus \{a\}$ and similarly for J_0 . Since $a \notin P \setminus J$, the sum simplifies to

$$\sum_{S: J_0 \subset S \setminus \{a\} \subset P_0} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \in P \setminus J} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S \setminus \{a\}, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} = \sum_{S: J_0 \subset S \subset P_0} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \in P_0 \setminus J_0} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} = 1,$$

where the last equality follows by induction.

On the other hand, if $a \notin J$, the sum

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\substack{S: a \in S \\ J \subset S \subset P}} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \in P \setminus J} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} + \sum_{\substack{S: J \subset S \subset P \\ a \notin S}} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \in P \setminus J} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{S: a \in S \\ J \subset S \subset P}} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-\alpha_a}) \prod_{i \in P_0 \setminus J} (1 - e^{r_a (\prod_{j \in S \setminus \{a\}, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} + \sum_{\substack{S: a \notin S \\ J \subset S \subset P}} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{\alpha_a}) \prod_{i \in P_0 \setminus J} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-\alpha_a})} r_a \sum_{\substack{S: a \in S \\ J \subset S \setminus \{a\} \subset P_0}} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \in P_0 \setminus J} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S \setminus \{a\}, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} + \frac{1}{(1 - e^{\alpha_a})} \sum_{S: a \notin S} \frac{1}{\prod_{i \in P_0 \setminus J} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in S, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-\alpha_a})} r_a (1) + \frac{1}{(1 - e^{\alpha_a})} (1) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

where the last two equalities follow from induction and a simple calculation. By using this in (6.1) we obtain

$$\langle \gamma_R^\circ, [\mathcal{O}_{BS^P}] \rangle = \sum_{J: R \subset J \subset P} (-1)^{|J \setminus R|} = (1 - 1)^{|P \setminus R|} = [R = P].$$

Finally, we use Proposition 3's nondegeneracy of the pairing to infer $\tau_R^\circ = \gamma_R^\circ$.

The $\tau_R|_S$ result is then derived using $\tau_R = \sum_{P:P \supset R} \tau_P^\circ$ (Equation (2.2)):

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{m \in S} (1 - e^{-\alpha_m})^{[m \in R]} r_m \right) \cdot 1 &= \left(\prod_{m \in S} (1 - e^{-\alpha_m} + e^{-\alpha_m})^{[m \notin R]} (1 - e^{-\alpha_m})^{[m \in R]} r_m \right) \cdot 1 \\ &= \sum_{P: R \subset P \subset S} \left(\prod_{m \in S} (e^{-\alpha_m})^{[m \notin P]} (1 - e^{-\alpha_m})^{[m \in P]} r_m \right) \cdot 1 \\ &= \sum_{P: P \supset R} \tau_P^\circ|_S = \tau_R|_S, \end{aligned}$$

as stated in Lemma 3. The $T_R|_S$ result is obtained by limiting from K_T^* to H_T^* as usual. $\square$

TABLE 1. Some relations between point restrictions in $K_T^*(BS^Q)$, easily proven from Lemma 3.

	U begins with r_α $U = r_\alpha U_0$	U doesn't begin with r_α
$J = r_\alpha J_0$	$\tau_U^\circ _J = (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha (\tau_{U_0}^\circ _{J_0})$	$\tau_U^\circ _J = e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha (\tau_{U_0}^\circ _{J_0})$
$J = r_\alpha J_0$	$\tau_U _J = (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha (\tau_{U_0} _{J_0})$	$\tau_U _J = r_\alpha (\tau_{U_0} _{J_0})$

In order to prove the main theorem, we need a basic restriction property:

Lemma 5. *The structure constants b_{RS}^J , d_{RS}^J , $\mathring{d}_{RS}^J$ vanish unless $J \supseteq R, S$.*

Proof.

$$d_{RS}^J = \langle \tau_R \tau_S, [BS^J] \rangle = \sum_{L \subset J} \frac{(\tau_R)|_L (\tau_S)|_L}{\prod_{i \in J} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in L, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})} = \sum_{L \subset J, L \supseteq R, S} \frac{(\tau_R)|_L (\tau_S)|_L}{\prod_{i \in J} (1 - e^{(\prod_{j \in L, j \leq i} r_j) \alpha_i})}$$

where the last equality follows from vanishing properties of τ_R, τ_S . This sum is empty unless $J \supseteq R, S$. The proofs for the other two families of structure constants are exactly the same. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. We prove the theorem for $\{d_{RS}^J\}$ and $\{\mathring{d}_{RS}^J\}$ inductively, where formulas for $\{d_{RS}^Q\}$ and $\{\mathring{d}_{RS}^Q\}$ for $Q \subsetneq J$ form the inductive assumption. The result for the H_T -coefficients $\{b_{RS}^Q\}$ follows by taking the associated graded to get the statement in cohomology. There are four cases indicated below in bold before their proofs. The first case will serve as the base for the induction and the others as the inductive step.

Case 1: $J = \emptyset$.

As $J = \emptyset$, $R, S \subset J$ implies $R, S = \emptyset$. An easy calculation from the restriction lemma shows that $\tau_{\emptyset|J} = \tau_{\emptyset|J}^\circ = 1$. Thus

$$\tau_{R|J}\tau_{S|J} = 1 = d_{RS}^J \tau_{J|J} = d_{RS}^J \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{R|J}^\circ \tau_{S|J}^\circ = 1 = \mathring{d}_{RS}^J \tau_{J|J}^\circ = \mathring{d}_{RS}^J.$$

Meanwhile, the right hand sides of the equations in Theorem 3 are empty products, verifying the theorem in this case.

Having dealt with the case $J = \emptyset$, we assume henceforth that J has at least one element. By way of induction assume that Theorem 3 holds for all $Q \subsetneq J$. Using the vanishing properties (Lemma 5) we obtain

$$\tau_{S|J}\tau_{R|J} = d_{RS}^J \tau_{J|J} + \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} d_{RS}^Q \tau_{Q|J} \quad \tau_{S|J}^\circ \tau_{R|J}^\circ = \mathring{d}_{RS}^J \tau_{J|J}^\circ + \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_{Q|J}^\circ$$

since $J \subset Q$, implies either $Q = J$ or $\tau_{Q|J} = \tau_{Q|J}^\circ = 0$. Solving for d_{RS}^J and $\mathring{d}_{RS}^J$ (with a division justified by the last statement in Lemma 3)

$$(6.2) \quad d_{RS}^J = \frac{\tau_{S|J}\tau_{R|J} - \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} d_{RS}^Q \tau_{Q|J}}{\tau_{J|J}}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(6.3) \quad \mathring{d}_{RS}^J = \frac{\tau_{S|J}^\circ \tau_{R|J}^\circ - \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_{Q|J}^\circ}{\tau_{J|J}^\circ}.$$

Case 2: Neither R nor S contains the first letter of J .

Lemma 6. Let J_0 be defined by $J = r_\alpha J_0$, where r_α is the simple reflection in the first position of J . Suppose also that neither R nor S contains that first letter of J . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} d_{RS}^Q \tau_{Q|J} &= \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J_0} r_\alpha(d_{RS}^Q \tau_{Q|J_0}) + d_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0|J_0}) \\ \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_{Q|J}^\circ &= e^{-\alpha} \left(\sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J_0} e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha(\mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_{Q|J_0}^\circ) + \mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0|J_0}^\circ) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over those Q that contain both R and S , since otherwise $d_{RS}^Q = \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 6. If Q begins with r_α , the inductive assumptions from Theorem 3 stated with Q_0 defined by $Q = r_\alpha Q_0$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{RS}^Q &= e^\alpha r_\alpha(-\mathring{\delta}_\alpha) \prod_{q \in Q_0} (e^{\alpha_q})^{[q \notin R \cup S]} (1 - e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \in R \cap S]} r_q(-\mathring{\delta}_\alpha)^{[q \notin R \cup S]} \cdot 1 \\ &= e^\alpha r_\alpha(-\mathring{\delta}_\alpha) \left(d_{RS}^{Q_0} \right) \cdot 1 = \mathring{\delta}_\alpha r_\alpha \left(d_{RS}^{Q_0} \right) \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q &= e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha(-\delta_\alpha) \prod_{q \in Q_0} (e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \notin R \cap S]} (1 - e^{-\alpha_q})^{[q \in R \cap S]} r_q(-\delta_\alpha)^{[q \notin R \cup S]} \cdot 1 \\ &= e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha(-\delta_\alpha) \left(\mathring{d}_{RS}^{Q_0} \right) \cdot 1 = -e^{-\alpha} \delta_\alpha \left(\mathring{d}_{RS}^{Q_0} \right) \cdot 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $Q \subset J$ does not begin with r_α , then $\tau_Q|_J \neq 0$ (or $\tau_Q^\circ|_J \neq 0$) implies $Q \subset J_0$ where J_0 is defined by $J = r_\alpha J_0$. Thus we break the sum into those Q containing the first letter r_α and those not.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{Q: Q \subset J} d_{RS}^Q \tau_Q|_J &= \sum_{Q \subset J, r_\alpha \in Q} d_{RS}^Q \tau_Q|_J + \sum_{Q \subset J, r_\alpha \notin Q} d_{RS}^Q \tau_Q|_J \\
&= \sum_{Q \subset J, r_\alpha \in Q} d_{RS}^Q \tau_Q|_J + \sum_{Q \subset J_0} d_{RS}^Q r_\alpha (\tau_Q|_{J_0}) \quad \text{using Table 1} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{Q \subset J, Q = r_\alpha Q_0, \\ \text{for some } Q_0}} d_{RS}^Q \tau_Q|_J + \sum_{Q \subset J_0} d_{RS}^Q r_\alpha (\tau_Q|_{J_0}) + d_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha (\tau_{J_0}|_{J_0}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{Q \subset J, Q = r_\alpha Q_0, \\ \text{for some } Q_0}} \left[\delta_\alpha r_\alpha \left(d_{RS}^{Q_0} \right) \cdot 1 \right] [\tau_Q|_J] + \sum_{Q \subset J_0} d_{RS}^Q r_\alpha (\tau_Q|_{J_0}) + d_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha (\tau_{J_0}|_{J_0}),
\end{aligned}$$

by the inductive hypothesis, as neither R nor S begins with r_α . We expand the operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{Q \subset J, Q = r_\alpha Q_0, \\ \text{for some } Q_0}} \left[\frac{r_\alpha \left(d_{RS}^{Q_0} \right) \cdot 1 - d_{RS}^{Q_0} \cdot 1}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \right] [(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha (\tau_{Q_0}|_{J_0})] + \sum_{Q \subset J_0} d_{RS}^Q r_\alpha (\tau_Q|_{J_0}) + d_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha (\tau_{J_0}|_{J_0}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{Q \subset J, \\ Q = r_\alpha Q_0}} \left[r_\alpha \left(d_{RS}^{Q_0} \right) \cdot 1 \right] [r_\alpha (\tau_{Q_0}|_{J_0})] - \sum_{\substack{Q \subset J, \\ Q = r_\alpha Q_0}} d_{RS}^{Q_0} r_\alpha (\tau_{Q_0}|_{J_0}) + \sum_{Q \subset J_0} d_{RS}^Q r_\alpha (\tau_Q|_{J_0}) + d_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha (\tau_{J_0}|_{J_0}) \\
&= \sum_{R \subset J_0} \left[r_\alpha \left(d_{RS}^R \right) \cdot 1 \right] [r_\alpha (\tau_R|_{J_0})] - \sum_{R \subset J_0} d_{RS}^R r_\alpha (\tau_R|_{J_0}) + \sum_{Q \subset J_0} d_{RS}^Q r_\alpha (\tau_Q|_{J_0}) + d_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha (\tau_{J_0}|_{J_0}) \\
&= \sum_{R \subset J_0} r_\alpha \left(d_{RS}^R \tau_R|_{J_0} \right) + d_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha (\tau_{J_0}|_{J_0}),
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is similar in the $\{\tau_Q^\circ\}$ basis. Using restrictions in Table 1 and vanishing properties,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{Q: Q \subset J \\ R, S \subset Q}} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_Q^\circ|_J &= \sum_{Q \subset J, r_\alpha \in Q} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_Q^\circ|_J + \sum_{Q \subset J, r_\alpha \notin Q} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_Q^\circ|_J \\
&= \sum_{\substack{Q \subset J, Q = r_\alpha Q_0, \\ \text{for some } Q_0}} \mathring{d}_{RS}^{r_\alpha Q_0} (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha (\tau_{Q_0}^\circ|_{J_0}) + \sum_{Q \subset J_0} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha (\tau_Q^\circ|_{J_0}) + \mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha (\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0})
\end{aligned}$$

where the equality on the second line follows from the restriction properties, as well as that r_α is the first letter of Q , if Q contains it. We realign the index sets, noting that the index set $\{Q : Q \subset J, Q = r_\alpha Q_0\}$ of the first sum equals $\{r_\alpha Q_0 : Q_0 \subset J_0\}$ which is in 1-1 correspondence with $\{Q_0 : Q_0 \subset J_0\}$, which in turn is the same as the index set for the second sum. The latter set can be reindexed as $\{R : R \subset J_0\}$. We thus obtain

$$\sum_{Q: Q \subset J} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_Q^\circ|_J = \sum_{R \subset J_0} \left(\mathring{d}_{RS}^{r_\alpha R} (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha (\tau_R^\circ|_{J_0}) + \mathring{d}_{RS}^R e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha (\tau_R^\circ|_{J_0}) \right) + \mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha \tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0}$$

By the inductive hypothesis that $\mathring{d}_{RS}^{r_\alpha R} = -e^{-\alpha} \delta_\alpha \left(\mathring{d}_{RS}^R \right) \cdot 1$ for $R \subsetneq J_0$, this sum

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} \left(\left[-e^{-\alpha} \delta_\alpha \left(\mathring{d}_{RS}^R \right) \cdot 1 \right] (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha(\tau_R^\circ|_{J_0}) + \mathring{d}_{RS}^R e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha(\tau_R^\circ|_{J_0}) \right) + \mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0}) \\
&= e^{-\alpha} \left(\sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} \left[-(1 - e^{-\alpha}) \delta_\alpha \left(\mathring{d}_{RS}^R \right) \cdot 1 + \mathring{d}_{RS}^R \right] r_\alpha(\tau_R^\circ|_{J_0}) + \mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0}) \right) \\
&= e^{-\alpha} \left(\sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} \left[-(1 - e^{-\alpha}) \frac{(\mathring{d}_{RS}^R - e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha(\mathring{d}_{RS}^R))}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} + \mathring{d}_{RS}^R \right] r_\alpha(\tau_R^\circ|_{J_0}) + \mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0}) \right) \\
&= e^{-\alpha} \left(\sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} \left[\begin{array}{c} e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha(\mathring{d}_{RS}^R) \end{array} \right] r_\alpha(\tau_R^\circ|_{J_0}) + \mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0}) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

proving the lemma. □

We plug the first sum in Lemma 6 into (6.2), and use the restrictions found in Table 1 and Lemma 6. For the $\{\tau_Q\}$ structure constants,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{RS}^J &= \frac{\tau_S|_J \tau_R|_J - \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} d_{RS}^Q \tau_Q|_J}{\tau_J|_J} \\
&= \frac{r_\alpha(\tau_S|_{J_0} \tau_R|_{J_0}) - r_\alpha \left(\sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} \mathring{d}_{RS}^R \tau_R|_{J_0} \right) - \mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}|_{J_0})}{(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}|_{J_0})} \\
&= \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} r_\alpha \left(\frac{\tau_S|_{J_0} \tau_R|_{J_0} - \sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} \mathring{d}_{RS}^R \tau_R|_{J_0}}{\tau_{J_0}|_{J_0}} \right) - \frac{\mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0}}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \\
&= \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} r_\alpha \left(\mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} \right) - \frac{\mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0}}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \\
&= \mathring{\delta}_\alpha r_\alpha \left(\mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} \right) \quad (\text{noting that } -\mathring{\delta}_\alpha = \mathring{\delta}_\alpha r_\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Similarly for the $\{\tau_Q^\circ\}$ structure constants, we define J_0 such that $J = r_\alpha J_0$ and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathring{d}_{RS}^J &= \frac{\tau_S^\circ|_J \tau_R^\circ|_J - \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_Q^\circ|_J}{\tau_J^\circ|_J} && \text{from (6.3)} \\
&= \frac{e^{-2\alpha} r_\alpha(\tau_S^\circ|_{J_0} \tau_R^\circ|_{J_0}) - e^{-\alpha} \left(\sum_{Q \subsetneq J_0} e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha(\mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_Q^\circ|_{J_0}) + \mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0}) \right)}{(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0})} \\
&= e^{-\alpha} \cdot \frac{e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha(\tau_S^\circ|_{J_0} \tau_R^\circ|_{J_0}) - \sum_{Q \subsetneq J_0} e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha(\mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_Q^\circ|_{J_0}) - \mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0})}{(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0})} \\
&= e^{-\alpha} \cdot \frac{e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \left(\tau_S^\circ|_{J_0} \tau_R^\circ|_{J_0} - \sum_{Q \subsetneq J_0} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_Q^\circ|_{J_0} \right) - \mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0})}{(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0})} \\
&= e^{-\alpha} \cdot \frac{e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha(\mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} \tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0}) - \mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0} r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0})}{(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0})} \\
&= -e^{-\alpha} \delta_\alpha(\mathring{d}_{RS}^{J_0})
\end{aligned}$$

Case 3: R, S, J all begin with the same letter.

On the other hand, if R and S both begin with r_α , let $R = r_\alpha R_0$ and $S = r_\alpha S_0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_Q^\circ|_J &= \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha(\tau_{Q_0}^\circ|_{J_0}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{Q: Q \subsetneq J, \\ Q = r_\alpha Q_0}} \left[(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \left(\mathring{d}_{R_0 S_0}^{Q_0} \right) \right] [(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha(\tau_{Q_0}^\circ|_{J_0})], \text{ by induction} \\
&= (1 - e^{-\alpha})^2 r_\alpha \left(\sum_{\substack{Q: Q = r_\alpha Q_0, \\ Q_0 \subsetneq J_0}} \mathring{d}_{R_0 S_0}^{Q_0} \tau_{Q_0}^\circ|_{J_0} \right) \\
&= (1 - e^{-\alpha})^2 r_\alpha \left(\sum_{R: R \subsetneq J_0} \mathring{d}_{R_0 S_0}^R \tau_R^\circ|_{J_0} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, since r_α is the first letter of both R and S, $r_\alpha \in R \cup S \subset Q$. Thus, for each Q containing R and S, $Q = r_\alpha Q_0$ for some Q_0 . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_Q^\circ|_J &= \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha(\tau_{Q_0}^\circ|_{J_0}) \\
&= \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} \left[(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha(\mathring{d}_{R_0 S_0}^{Q_0}) \right] (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha(\tau_{Q_0}^\circ|_{J_0}), \text{ by induction} \\
&= (1 - e^{-\alpha})^2 r_\alpha \left(\sum_{R: R \subsetneq J_0} \mathring{d}_{R_0 S_0}^R \tau_R^\circ|_{J_0} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We plug these expressions from Lemma 6 into Equations (6.2) and (6.3) when r_α is the first letter of all three words J , U , and R , and use the restrictions in Table 1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_{RS}^J &= \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha})^2 r_\alpha (\tau_{S_0|J_0} \tau_{R_0|J_0}) - (1 - e^{-\alpha})^2 r_\alpha \left(\sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} d_{R_0 S_0}^R \tau_R|_{J_0} \right)}{(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \tau_{J_0|J_0}} \\ &= (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \left(\frac{\tau_{S_0|J_0} \tau_{R_0|J_0} - \left(\sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} d_{R_0 S_0}^R \tau_R|_{J_0} \right)}{\tau_{J_0|J_0}} \right) \\ &= (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \left(d_{R_0 S_0}^{J_0} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows again by the inductive hypothesis. Similarly, using the restrictions table for τ_S° and τ_R° restricted to J ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{d}_{RS}^J &= \frac{((1 - e^{-\alpha})^2 r_\alpha (\tau_{S_0|J_0}^\circ \tau_{R_0|J_0}^\circ) - (1 - e^{-\alpha})^2 r_\alpha \left(\sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} \mathring{d}_{R_0 S_0}^R \tau_R|_{J_0} \right))}{(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha (\tau_{J_0|J_0}^\circ)} \\ &= (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \left(\frac{\tau_{S_0|J_0}^\circ \tau_{R_0|J_0}^\circ - \sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} \mathring{d}_{R_0 S_0}^R \tau_R|_{J_0}}{\tau_{J_0|J_0}^\circ} \right) \\ &= (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \left(\mathring{d}_{R_0 S_0}^{J_0} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Case 4: Exactly one of R or S begin with the same letter as J .

Finally, we consider the case that $R = r_\alpha R_0$ for some R_0 , while S does not begin with r_α (or, symmetrically, if S begins with r_α but R does not). Recall that $\tau_Q|_J \neq 0$ implies $Q \subset J$, so $R \subset Q$ implies $Q = r_\alpha Q_0$ for some Q_0 . Thus by the inductive assumption and Table 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} d_{RS}^Q \tau_Q|_J &= \sum_{\substack{Q: Q \subsetneq J \\ Q = r_\alpha Q_0}} \left[r_\alpha \left(d_{R_0 S}^{Q_0} \right) \right] [(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha (\tau_{Q_0|J_0})], \quad \text{and} \\ \sum_{Q: Q \subsetneq J} \mathring{d}_{RS}^Q \tau_Q|_J &= \sum_{\substack{Q: Q \subsetneq J \\ Q = r_\alpha Q_0}} \left[e^{-\alpha} r_\alpha \left(\mathring{d}_{R_0 S}^{Q_0} \right) \right] [(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha (\tau_{Q_0|J_0}^\circ)] \end{aligned}$$

Using these equalities together with the restrictions in Equations (6.2) and (6.3),

$$\begin{aligned} d_{RS}^J &= \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha (\tau_{S_0|J_0} \tau_{R_0|J_0}) - (1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \left(\sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} d_{R_0 S_0}^R \tau_R|_{J_0} \right)}{(1 - e^{-\alpha}) r_\alpha \tau_{J_0|J_0}} \\ &= r_\alpha \left(\frac{\tau_{S_0|J_0} \tau_{R_0|J_0} - \left(\sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} d_{R_0 S_0}^R \tau_R|_{J_0} \right)}{\tau_{J_0|J_0}} \right) \\ &= r_\alpha \left(d_{R_0 S_0}^{J_0} \right). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathring{d}_{RS}^J &= \frac{e^{-\alpha}(1 - e^{-\alpha})r_\alpha(\tau_{S_0}^\circ|_{J_0}\tau_{R_0}^\circ|_{J_0}) - e^{-\alpha}(1 - e^{-\alpha})r_\alpha\left(\sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} \mathring{d}_{R_0 S_0}^R \tau_R^\circ|_{J_0}\right)}{(1 - e^{-\alpha})r_\alpha(\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0})} \\
&= e^{-\alpha}r_\alpha\left(\frac{\tau_{S_0}^\circ|_{J_0}\tau_{R_0}^\circ|_{J_0} - \left(\sum_{R \subsetneq J_0} \mathring{d}_{R_0 S_0}^R \tau_R^\circ|_{J_0}\right)}{\tau_{J_0}^\circ|_{J_0}}\right) \\
&= e^{-\alpha}r_\alpha\left(\mathring{d}_{R_0 S_0}^{J_0}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof in all cases. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [AJS] H.H. Andersen, J.C. Jantzen, W. Soergel, Representations of quantum groups at a p th root of unity and of semisimple groups in characteristic p : independence of p . *Astérisque*, (220):321, 1994.
- [AnGrMi11] Dave Anderson, Stephen Griffeth, Ezra Miller, Positivity and Kleiman transversality in equivariant K-theory of homogeneous spaces. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 13 (2011), no. 1, 57–84.
- [BeRi15] Arkady Berenstein, Edward Richmond, Littlewood-Richardson coefficients for reflection groups. *Adv. Math.* 284 (2015), 54–111.
- [Bi99] S. Billey, Kostant polynomials and the cohomology ring for G/B . *Duke Math. J.* Volume 96, Number 1 (1999), 205–224.
- [Br00] Michel Brion, Poincaré duality and equivariant (co)homology, *Michigan Math. J.* Volume 48, Issue 1 (2000), 77–92.
- [Br02] M. Brion, Positivity in the Grothendieck group of complex flag varieties, *J. Algebra* 258 (2002), 137–159.
- [Br05] M. Brion, Lectures on the Geometry of Flag Varieties. Topics in cohomological studies of algebraic varieties, 33–85, *Trends Math.*, Birkhauser, Basel, 2005.
- [BrKu05] Michel Brion, Shrawan Kumar, Frobenius splitting methods in geometry and representation theory. *Progress in Mathematics*, 231. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2005.
- [Bu02] Anders Skovsted Buch, A Littlewood-Richardson rule for the K-theory of Grassmannians. *Acta Math.* 189 (2002), no. 1, 37–78.
- [Du05] Haibao Duan, Multiplicative rule of Schubert classes. *Invent. Math.* 159 (2005), no. 2, 407–436.
- [ELu19] Balázs Elek, Jiang-Hua Lu, Bott-Samelson Varieties and Poisson Ore Extensions, *International Mathematics Research Notices*, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnz127> Published: 08 July 2019
- [GoKn19] Rebecca Goldin, Allen Knutson, Schubert structure operators. *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire* 82B (2019) Proceedings of the 31st Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (Ljubljana), Article #90, 12 pp.
- [Gr01] W. Graham, Positivity in equivariant Schubert calculus. *Duke Math. J.* Volume 109, Number 3 (2001), 599–614.
- [Gr] W. Graham, Equivariant K-theory and Schubert varieties, preprint 2002.
- [GrKu08] William Graham, Shrawan Kumar, On positivity in T-equivariant K-theory of flag varieties. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2008, Art. ID rnn 093, 43 pp.
- [KnMi04] Allen Knutson, Ezra Miller, Subword complexes in Coxeter groups. *Adv. Math.* 184 (2004), no. 1, 161–176.
- [KnTa03] Allen Knutson, Terence Tao, Puzzles and (equivariant) cohomology of Grassmannians. *Duke Math. J.* 119 (2003), no. 2, 221–260.
- [Kn03] Allen Knutson, A Schubert calculus recurrence from the noncomplex W -action on G/B , preprint. <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0306304>
- [KnZJ] Allen Knutson, Paul Zinn-Justin, Schubert puzzles and integrability I: invariant trilinear forms. Preprint 2017.
- [KoKu86] Bertram Kostant, Shrawan Kumar, The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody group G . *Adv. in Math.* 62 (1986), no. 3, 187–237.

- [KoKu90] Bertram Kostant, Shrawan Kumar, T-equivariant K-theory of generalized flag varieties. *J. Differential Geom.* 32 (1990), no. 2, 549–603.
- [KuNo98] Shrawan Kumar, Madhav Nori, Positivity of the cup product in cohomology of flag varieties associated to Kac-Moody groups. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 1998, no. 14, 757–763.
- [LeZa17] Cristian Lenart, Kirill Zainoulline, Towards generalized cohomology Schubert calculus via formal root polynomials, *Mathematical Research Letters* Volume 24 (2017) #3, 839–877.
- [Wi04] M. Willems, Cohomologie et K-théorie équivariantes des variétés de Bott-Samelson et des variétés de drapeaux, *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, Volume 132 (2004) no. 4, p. 569–589.
- [Wi06] M. Willems, Cohomologie équivariante des tours de Bott et calcul de Schubert équivariant. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 5 (2006), no. 1, 125–159.
- [Wi06b] M. Willems, K-théorie équivariante des tours de Bott. Application à la structure multiplicative de la K-théorie équivariante des variétés de drapeaux. *Duke Math. J.* 132 (2006), no. 2, 271–309.

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY, FAIRFAX, VA 22030, USA rgoldin@gmu.edu

CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY 14853, USA allenk@math.cornell.edu