

On properties of optimal controls for an inverted spherical pendulum

L. Manita¹ and M. Ronzhina^{2,3}

¹ NRU Higher School of Economics, Moscow State Institute of Electronics and Mathematics, Moscow, Russia
e-mail: lmanita@hse.ru

² Gubkin Russian State University of Oil and Gas (National Research University),
³ NRU Higher School of Economics, Faculty of Computer Science, Moscow, Russia
e-mail: maryaronzhina@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we study an optimal control problem that is affine in two-dimensional bounded control. The problem is related to the stabilization of an inverted spherical pendulum in the vicinity of the upper unstable equilibrium. We find solutions stabilizing the pendulum in a finite time, wherein the corresponding optimal controls perform an infinite number of rotations along the circle S^1 .

1 Introduction

Models of inverted pendulum systems are widely used to study the dynamics of different complex nonlinear objects in robotics, mechanics, aerospace engineering, personal transport systems [1–4]. For pendulums, the upper vertical position is obviously unstable. However, it was proved that it is possible to turn this position into a stable one, for example, if the suspension point of a planar pendulum performs vertical oscillations [5, 6] or moves along a horizontal line (e.g. [7–9]). It turns out that motions of a spherical inverted pendulum can also be stabilized by choosing an appropriate external control, e.g. [10–13]. Many methods to control pendulum systems use an optimal control technique: a stabilizing controller is derived from minimization of

This research was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grant no. 17-01-00805.

Key words: linear-quadratic optimal control problem, hamiltonian system, singular extremal, blow-up singularity, stabilization, inverted spherical pendulum

Mathematics Subject Classification: 34H05, 34H15, 49N10, 49N90

a quadratic cost functional (LQR controllers). But applied to real physical systems, such controllers may produce relatively large deviations of pendulum systems from the upper equilibrium position. To improve this, there are different approaches, i.e., in [14] it was proposed to combine optimal and neural network techniques. In this paper, we consider the stabilization problem of the inverted spherical pendulum in terms of minimizing the mean square deviation of the pendulum from the unstable upright equilibrium point over an infinite time interval. We assume that the spherical inverted pendulum is on a movable base which moves in the horizontal plane under the influence of a planar bounded force. We study the behaviour of solutions for the linearized model. The corresponding optimal control problem is affine in two-dimensional bounded control. We show that optimal solutions of this problem exhibit complicated behaviour. This is due to the fact that the upright equilibrium is a singular mode for the problem under consideration.

Singular modes are characterized by the fact that over some open interval the Hamiltonian reaches a maximum at more than one point, that is, the optimal control is not determined directly from the maximality condition of the Pontryagin maximum principle. Singular solutions appear in many applications: optimal spacecraft flights (intermediate thrust arcs) or problems of spacecraft reorientation [15–20], robotics (controlling manipulators [21, 22], the Dubins car problem [23]), mathematical models in economics [24, 25], biomedical problems [26, 28–30]. For more details on singular solutions see, for example, [22, 31, 32].

Often optimal trajectories consist of nonsingular and singular arcs and the concatenation structures of these arcs can be very irregular, for example, the chattering or the Fuller phenomenon (an infinite number of control discontinuities in a finite time interval) [17, 20, 22, 26, 33, 34], iterated Fuller singularities [35], a chaotic behaviour of bounded pieces of optimal trajectories [36]. Such structure of optimal controls, rather complicated from a mathematical point of view, is very typical for controlling systems that possess singular regimes.

In [37] it was proved that for some initial conditions the optimal control problem for the inverted spherical pendulum is reduced to the problem with scalar bounded control. In this case there exist optimal chattering solutions. In the present paper, for initial positions of the pendulum close to upper equilibrium, we find optimal solutions stabilizing the pendulum in the upper position in a finite time. For this solutions the corresponding optimal controls perform an infinite number of rotations along the circle S^1 . Note that most of the results for problems with singular solutions and bounded multidimensional control were obtained when the control set is a multi-dimensional rectangle or a polyhedron [38, 39]. The structure of optimal solutions for




Figure 1: the inverted spherical pendulum

the control set, which is a convex set but not a polyhedron, was studied, e.g., in [36, 40, 41]. This paper is an extension of the result [22, 40] obtained for homogeneous problem in which the control set is the unit disc to the nonhomogeneous case.

2 Problem Formulation

We consider a mathematical model of the spherical inverted pendulum with a moving support point. The pendulum consists of the point mass B on the end of the rigid massless rod of length l (Fig. 1). The rod is attached by a hinge to the moving support point S . We suppose that there is no friction in the hinge. The support point S can move in the horizontal plane under the action of an external planar control force $u = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The control force is assumed to be bounded: $|u_1^2 + u_2^2| \leq 1$.

Fix some coordinate system $O\xi\eta\zeta$. The position of S is described by $(\xi, \eta, 0)$. The position of the pendulum B is described by (x_1, x_2) : $x_1 = \angle CSB$ is the angle between SB and $O\eta\zeta$, $x_2 = \angle ASB$ is the angle between SB and $O\xi\zeta$. Coordinates (x_1, x_2) , $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq x_{1,2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ are considered locally in the vicinity of the upper equilibrium position. They are related to the

standard spherical coordinates as follows:

$$x_1 = \arcsin(\sin \theta \sin \varphi), \quad x_2 = \arcsin(\sin \theta \cos \varphi)$$

where θ is a zenith angle, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, φ is an azimuth angle, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$.

We define the generalized coordinates and generalized forces:

$$q = (\xi, \eta, x_1, x_2), \quad Q = (u_1, u_2, 0, 0)$$

Using the Euler-Lagrange equations we obtain nonlinear equations of motion of the spherical inverted pendulum with a moving support point [37]

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} (M + m)\ddot{\xi} + m\ddot{x}_1 \cos x_1 - ml\dot{x}_1^2 \sin x_1 &= u_1 \\ (M + m)\ddot{\eta} + m\ddot{x}_2 \cos x_2 - ml\dot{x}_2^2 \sin x_2 &= u_2 \\ \ddot{\xi}b_{11} + \ddot{x}_1b_{13} + \ddot{x}_2b_{14} + \dot{x}_1^2a_{11} + \dot{x}_2^2a_{12} + \dot{x}_1\dot{x}_2a_{13} + c_1 &= 0 \\ \ddot{\eta}b_{22} + \ddot{x}_1b_{23} + \ddot{x}_2b_{24} + \dot{x}_1^2a_{21} + \dot{x}_2^2a_{22} + \dot{x}_1\dot{x}_2a_{23} + c_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where g is the acceleration of gravity, m is the mass of B , M is the mass of S , b_{ij} , a_{ij} , c_i are some functions of $\cos x_k$ and $\sin x_k$.

We assume that the initial state of the system is in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the upper unstable equilibrium position

$$(2) \quad x_1 = \dot{x}_1 = x_2 = \dot{x}_2 \equiv 0$$

We study the minimization problem of the mean square deviation of the pendulum from (2) over an infinite time interval:

$$\int_0^{\infty} (x_1^2(t) + x_2^2(t)) dt \rightarrow \min$$

By linearizing (1) about (2) and eliminating the variables ξ and η we get

$$(3) \quad \ddot{x}_1 = \frac{M + m}{Ml}gx_1 - \frac{1}{Ml}u_1, \quad \ddot{x}_2 = \frac{M + m}{Ml}gx_2 - \frac{1}{Ml}u_2$$

We rewrite the linearized system (3) in matrix form $\ddot{x} = Kx - (Ml)^{-1}u$, where $K = kE$, $k = g\frac{M+m}{Ml}$ and E is the identity matrix. Without loss of generality we assume that $Ml = 1$. Thus we come to the two-input control-affine problem.

3 Optimal control problem. Main result

Problem 1 (P1).

$$\int_0^{\infty} \langle x(t), x(t) \rangle dt \rightarrow \inf$$

$$\dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = Kx + u, \quad \|u(t)\| \leq 1$$

$$x(0) = x^0, \quad y(0) = y^0.$$

Here $x, y, u \in \mathbb{R}^2$, K is a 2×2 diagonal matrix, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are the scalar product and the standard Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^2 . Throughout the paper we assume that the matrix K is an arbitrary non-degenerate diagonal matrix.

The following theorem is our main result for *P1*.

Theorem 1. *There exist a family of optimal solutions of Problem 1 that are spiral-like*

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(t) &= k_x (T-t)^2 e^{i\alpha \log |T-t|} (1 + g_x(T-t)), \\ y^*(t) &= k_y (T-t) e^{i\alpha \log |T-t|} (1 + g_y(T-t)), \\ u^*(t) &= k_u e^{i\alpha \log |T-t|} (1 + g_u(T-t)), \end{aligned}$$

and all its possible rotations and reflections. They hit the origin in a finite time T (hitting time) making a countable number rotations. Here

$$\alpha = \pm\sqrt{5}, \quad k_x, k_y, k_u \in \mathbb{C}, \quad i^2 = -1,$$

$$g_{x,y,u}(T-t) = o((T-t)^\sigma), \quad \sigma > 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T-0.$$

Hereinafter we use the complex notation for vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$Re^{i\varphi} = (R \cos \varphi, R \sin \varphi)$$

In the next section, we give some definitions and results obtained earlier [22, 37, 42] for *P1* and which we will use to prove the main result.

3.1 Optimal solutions and singular control

It was proved [22] that for any (x^0, y^0) from a small enough neighbourhood of the origin, there exists a unique solution in *P1*. The optimal solutions hit the origin in finite time T which is a continuous function of (x^0, y^0) and satisfies

$$C_1 \max\{\sqrt{|x^0|}, |y^0|\} \leq T(x^0, y^0) \leq C_2 \max\{\sqrt{|x^0|}, |y^0|\}$$

for some positive constant C_1 and C_2 . It turns out that the optimal control $u_{opt}(t)$ has no limit as $t \rightarrow T - 0$. This irregular control behaviour is due to the fact that the origin in this problem is a singular point.

To define a singular mode we apply Pontryagin's maximum principle to $P1$. If $(x(t), y(t), u_{opt}(t))$ is an optimal solution, then there exist continuous \mathbb{R}^2 -valued functions $\phi(t), \psi(t)$ and a nonnegative constant λ_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\phi} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = \lambda_0 x - K\psi, & \dot{\psi} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = -\phi, \\ (4) \quad \dot{x} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi} = y, & \dot{y} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi} = Kx + u_{opt} \end{aligned}$$

Here H is the Hamiltonian

$$H(x, y, \phi, \psi) = -\frac{\lambda_0}{2} \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, \phi \rangle + \langle Kx, \psi \rangle + \langle u, \psi \rangle$$

The optimal control $u_{opt}(t)$ is determined by the maximum condition:

$$\begin{aligned} H(x(t), \phi(t), \psi(t), u_{opt}(t)) &= \max_{\|u(t)\| \leq 1} H(x(t), \phi(t), \psi(t), u) = \\ (5) \quad &= -\frac{\lambda_0}{2} \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, \phi \rangle + \langle Kx, \psi \rangle + \max_{\|u(t)\| \leq 1} \langle u, \psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ for (4)-(5). In what follows we assume $\lambda_0 = 1$. From (5), we obtain $u_{opt}(t) = \psi(t) / \|\psi(t)\|$ if $\psi(t) \neq 0$. If $\psi = 0$, then any admissible control meets (5).

Denote $z_1 = \psi, z_2 = -\phi, z_3 = -x, z_4 = -y$. In the coordinates $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in \mathbb{R}^8$ the system (4)-(5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad \dot{z}_1 &= z_2, & \dot{z}_2 &= z_3 + Kz_1, \\ \dot{z}_3 &= z_4, & \dot{z}_4 &= -u + Kz_3, & u &= z_1 / \|z_1\| \end{aligned}$$

A solution $z(t)$ of (6) is called a *singular* one on an interval (t_1, t_2) , if $z_1(t) = 0$ for all $t \in (t_1, t_2)$. For (6) $z(t) = 0$ is the unique singular solution [42].

If x^0 and y^0 lie in one eigenspace of the matrix K , then $P1$ is reduced to the perturbed Fuller problem [37] with a scalar control. In this case the optimal trajectory attains the origin in a finite time, the corresponding optimal control does not have a limit when time tends to the moment of junction of

the nonsingular arc with the singular one. And the optimal control has an infinite number of switchings in a finite time interval (*chattering* control) [37].

In present paper we prove the existence of optimal spiral-similar solutions of $P1$ that attain the singular point $z = 0$ in a finite time making a countable number of rotations. We give the main ideas of the proof of this result. We consider a model problem for which optimal logarithmic spirals were found [22,40]. We prove that in the neighbourhood of the origin the behaviour of optimal solutions of $P1$ is determined by optimal solutions of the *model problem*. For this we apply Pontryagin's maximum principle to Problem 1 and to the model problem and obtain two Hamiltonian systems. Then we use the blow-up method in the origin. Analyzing the dynamics of both blown-up Hamiltonian systems, we get that they coincide on the image of the origin. Moreover we show that there exist periodic trajectories which are solutions of both systems and we prove that the periodic orbits are hyperbolic and their stable manifolds are woven in logarithmic spirals. Finally we perform the blow-down and get the main results for our problem.

3.2 Model problem

Let $K = 0$. Then *Problem 1* takes the form

Problem 2 (P2).

$$\int_0^{\infty} \langle x(t), x(t) \rangle dt \rightarrow \inf$$

$$\dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = u, \quad \|u(t)\| \leq 1$$

$$x(0) = x^0, \quad y(0) = y^0.$$

The Hamiltonian system for $P2$ is as follows

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{z}_1 &= z_2, & \dot{z}_2 &= z_3 \\ \dot{z}_3 &= z_4, & \dot{z}_4 &= -u, & u &= z_1 / \|z_1\| \end{aligned}$$

For *Problem 2*, all the results formulated in the previous section hold. And besides it was found [22,40] that the system (7) has solutions in the form of logarithmic spirals

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} z_{m\zeta}^*(t) &= -\zeta A_{m-1} (T^* - t)^{5-m} e^{i\alpha \log |T^* - t|}, & m &= \overline{1, 4}, \\ u_{\zeta}^*(t) &= -\zeta e^{i\alpha \log |T^* - t|}, & 0 &\leq t < T^* \end{aligned}$$

Here $\zeta \in \mathcal{SO}(2)$, $i^2 = -1$, $\alpha = \pm\sqrt{5}$, $A_0 = -\frac{1}{126}$, $A_{m+1} = -A_m(4 - m + i\alpha)$, $m = 0, 1, 2$. The trajectories (8) hit the origin in a finite time T^* , and the

optimal control $u^*(t)$ performs an infinite number of rotations along the circle S^1 . We will show that there are similar optimal logarithmic spirals when $K \neq 0$.

Theorem 2. *In a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the origin there exist the following solutions of (6):*

$$\begin{aligned} z_m(t) &= k_m (T-t)^{5-m} e^{i\alpha \log |T-t|} (1 + g_m(T-t)), \quad m = \overline{1, 4}, \\ u(t) &= k_0 e^{i\alpha \log |T-t|} (1 + g_0(T-t)), \end{aligned}$$

where $k_m \in \mathbb{C}$, $g_m(T-t) = o(T-t)^\sigma$, $\sigma > 0$, as $t \rightarrow T-0$.

Theorem 1 is then an obvious corollary of Theorem 2.

3.3 Blowing up the singularity

To prove Theorem 2 we use the procedure of resolution of singularity for the Hamiltonian system (6) [22, 36]. We use the same scheme as in [36] and the similar change of coordinates. Consider the blowing up the singularity at the origin by the map $B : z \mapsto (\mu, \tilde{z})$:

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{z}_4 &= \frac{z_4}{\mu}, \quad \tilde{z}_3 = \frac{z_3}{\mu^2}, \quad \tilde{z}_2 = \frac{z_2}{\mu^3}, \quad \tilde{z}_1 = \frac{z_1}{\mu^4}, \\ \mu &= \left(\left| \frac{z_4}{A_3} \right|^{24} + \left| \frac{z_3}{A_2} \right|^{12} + \left| \frac{z_2}{A_1} \right|^8 + \left| \frac{z_1}{A_0} \right|^6 \right)^{\frac{1}{24}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $A_0 = -1/126$, $A_{j+1} = -A_j(4-j+i\alpha)$, $\alpha = \sqrt{5}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and $\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{R}^8$ lies on the manifold

$$\Pi = \left\{ \left| \frac{\tilde{z}_4}{A_3} \right|^{24} + \left| \frac{\tilde{z}_3}{A_2} \right|^{12} + \left| \frac{\tilde{z}_2}{A_1} \right|^8 + \left| \frac{\tilde{z}_1}{A_0} \right|^6 = 1 \right\}.$$

Let Q denote the cylinder $\Pi \times \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}\}$, and $Q_0 = Q \cap \{\mu = 0\}$. It was proved [36] that B is a diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^8 \setminus \{0\}$ onto $Q \cap \{\mu > 0\}$. In the coordinates (μ, \tilde{z}) the system (6) has the form:

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\mu} &= \mathcal{M}(\mu, \tilde{z}) & u &= \tilde{z}_1 / |\tilde{z}_1| \\ \dot{\tilde{z}}_1 &= \frac{1}{\mu} (\tilde{z}_2 - 4\tilde{z}_1 \mathcal{M}) & \dot{\tilde{z}}_3 &= \frac{1}{\mu} (\tilde{z}_4 - 2\tilde{z}_2 \mathcal{M}) \\ \dot{\tilde{z}}_2 &= \frac{1}{\mu} (\tilde{z}_3 + \mu^2 \tilde{z}_1 - 3\tilde{z}_2 \mathcal{M}) & \dot{\tilde{z}}_4 &= \frac{1}{\mu} (u + \mu^2 K \tilde{z}_3 - \tilde{z}_4 \mathcal{M}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(11) \quad \mathcal{M}(\mu, \tilde{z}) = & \left(\frac{1}{|A_3|^{24}} |\tilde{z}_4|^{22} \langle \tilde{z}_4, \frac{\tilde{z}_1}{|\tilde{z}_1|} \rangle + \frac{1}{2|A_2|^{12}} |\tilde{z}_3|^{10} \langle \tilde{z}_3, \tilde{z}_2 \rangle + \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{3|A_1|^8} |\tilde{z}_2|^6 \langle \tilde{z}_2, \tilde{z}_3 \rangle + \frac{1}{4|A_0|^6} |\tilde{z}_1|^4 \langle \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2 \rangle + \\
& \left. + \mu^2 \frac{1}{|A_3|^{24}} |\tilde{z}_4|^{22} \langle \tilde{z}_4, K \tilde{z}_3 \rangle + \mu^2 \frac{1}{3|A_1|^8} |\tilde{z}_2|^6 \langle \tilde{z}_2, K \tilde{z}_1 \rangle \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_0(\tilde{z}) = & \left(\frac{1}{|A_3|^{24}} |\tilde{z}_4|^{22} \langle \tilde{z}_4, \frac{\tilde{z}_1}{|\tilde{z}_1|} \rangle + \frac{1}{2|A_2|^{12}} |\tilde{z}_3|^{10} \langle \tilde{z}_3, \tilde{z}_2 \rangle + \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{8}{|A_1|^8} |\tilde{z}_2|^6 \langle \tilde{z}_2, \tilde{z}_3 \rangle + \frac{6}{|A_0|^6} |\tilde{z}_1|^4 \langle \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2 \rangle \right) \\
\mathcal{M}_1(\tilde{z}) = & \left(\frac{1}{|A_3|^{24}} |\tilde{z}_4|^{22} \langle \tilde{z}_4, K \tilde{z}_3 \rangle + \frac{1}{3|A_1|^8} |\tilde{z}_2|^6 \langle \tilde{z}_2, K \tilde{z}_1 \rangle \right)
\end{aligned}$$

then $\mathcal{M}(\mu, \tilde{z}) = \mathcal{M}_0(\tilde{z}) + \mu^2 \mathcal{M}_1(\tilde{z})$. For $P2$ ($K = 0$) we have $\mathcal{M}_1(\tilde{z}) = 0$.

We define a new time parametrization by

$$(12) \quad ds = \frac{1}{\mu} dt$$

After this reparametrization system (10) becomes smooth

$$\begin{aligned}
(13) \quad \mu' = & \mu \mathcal{M}, & u = & \tilde{z}_1 / |\tilde{z}_1| \\
\tilde{z}'_1 = & \tilde{z}_2 - 4\tilde{z}_1 \mathcal{M}, & \tilde{z}'_3 = & \tilde{z}_4 - 2\tilde{z}_3 \mathcal{M}, \\
\tilde{z}'_2 = & \tilde{z}_3 + \mu^2 \tilde{z}_1 - 3\tilde{z}_2 \mathcal{M}, & \tilde{z}'_4 = & u + \mu^2 K \tilde{z}_3 - \tilde{z}_4 \mathcal{M},
\end{aligned}$$

When $K = 0$, one has $\mathcal{M}_1(\tilde{z}) = 0$ and (13) turns into

$$\begin{aligned}
(14) \quad \mu' = & \mu \mathcal{M}_0(\tilde{z}), & u = & \tilde{z}_1 / |\tilde{z}_1| \\
\tilde{z}'_1 = & \tilde{z}_2 - 4\tilde{z}_1 \mathcal{M}_0(\tilde{z}), & \tilde{z}'_3 = & \tilde{z}_4 - 2\tilde{z}_3 \mathcal{M}_0(\tilde{z}), \\
\tilde{z}'_2 = & \tilde{z}_3 - 3\tilde{z}_2 \mathcal{M}_0(\tilde{z}), & \tilde{z}'_4 = & u - \tilde{z}_4 \mathcal{M}_0(\tilde{z}),
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the system (13) is a small perturbation of (14).

3.4 Periodic solution

Consider the particular solution (8) for $\zeta = E$, where E is the identity 2×2 -matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
(15) \quad z_m^*(t) & := z_{mE}^*(t) = -A_{m-1} (T^* - t)^{5-m} e^{i\alpha \log |T^* - t|}, \quad m = \overline{1, 4}, \\
u^*(t) & := u_E^*(t) = -e^{i\alpha \log |T^* - t|},
\end{aligned}$$

Rewrite (15) in coordinates (μ, \tilde{z})

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu^*(t) &= T^* - t, & \mathcal{M}_0 &= -1, & \tilde{u}^*(t) &= -e^{i\alpha \log |T^* - t|}, \\ \tilde{z}_m^*(t) &= -A_{m-1} e^{i\alpha \log |T^* - t|}, & m &= \overline{1, 4}, \end{aligned}$$

Passing in (16) to the parameter s , we get a solution of (14)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(s) &= T^* e^{-s}, & \tilde{u}^*(s) &= -e^{-i\alpha s}, \\ \tilde{z}_m^*(s) &= -A_{m-1} e^{-i\alpha s}, & m &= \overline{1, 4}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $\xi(s) = (\mu(s), \tilde{z}(s)) \in \mathbb{R}^9$. Consider $\xi^0(s) = (0, \tilde{z}^*(s))$. Since for $\mu = 0$ the systems (14) and (13) coincide, $\xi^0(s)$ is a periodic solution (a cycle) for both systems (13) and (14). We will study the behaviour of solutions of (13) in the neighbourhood of $\xi^0(s)$. We will show that $\xi^0(s)$ is a hyperbolic cycle.

Lemma 1. *The periodic solution $\xi^0(s)$ has exactly one characteristic exponent with negative real part, seven characteristic exponents with positive real part, and exactly one characteristic exponent equals zero.*

Proof. To prove this, we linearize (13) and (14) around $\xi^0(s)$. Since the right sides of (13) and (14) differ by $\bar{o}(\mu)$ we get the same equations of variation

$$(17) \quad h' = F_\xi(\xi^0(s)) h$$

where F denotes the right side of (14) and F_ξ is the Jacobian matrix of F . Here we will not write $F_\xi(\xi^0(s))$ explicitly, since it is too cumbersome. Since F does not explicitly depend on s , and $\xi^0(s)$ is a periodic trajectory, then $F_\xi(\xi^0(s))$ a continuous periodic matrix. By Floquet theory there exists [43] a periodic invertible state-space transformation $\hat{h} = P(s) h$ (which is called the Lyapunov transformation) such that in the new coordinates the system (17) has the form $\hat{h}' = J\hat{h}$, where J is a constant matrix. Moreover, the eigenvalues of the matrix J will be characteristic exponents of the cycle $\xi^0(s)$. It is difficult in general to find $P(s)$ explicitly, however one can guess the matrix P in our case:

$$P_{9 \times 9} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & P_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_j = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = \overline{1, 4}$$

Here 0 are zero matrices of corresponding sizes.

A straightforward computation yields the matrix J to be

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 24 & -4\sqrt{5} & \frac{316}{63} & \frac{100\sqrt{5}}{63} & -\frac{67}{63} & -\frac{73\sqrt{5}}{63} & -\frac{53}{63} & \frac{47\sqrt{5}}{63} \\ 0 & \sqrt{5} & 4 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 60 & -9\sqrt{5} & \frac{442}{21} & \frac{79\sqrt{5}}{21} & -\frac{46}{21} & -\frac{73\sqrt{5}}{21} & -\frac{53}{21} & \frac{47\sqrt{5}}{21} \\ 0 & 15\sqrt{5} & -\frac{45}{4} & \frac{463\sqrt{5}}{84} & \frac{188}{21} & -\frac{67\sqrt{5}}{84} & -\frac{281}{84} & -\frac{53\sqrt{5}}{84} & \frac{235}{84} \\ 0 & -70 & \frac{21\sqrt{5}}{2} & -\frac{379}{18} & -\frac{50\sqrt{5}}{9} & \frac{103}{18} & \frac{55\sqrt{5}}{18} & \frac{71}{18} & -\frac{47\sqrt{5}}{18} \\ 0 & -70\sqrt{5} & \frac{105}{2} & -\frac{379\sqrt{5}}{18} & -\frac{250}{9} & \frac{85\sqrt{5}}{18} & \frac{401}{18} & \frac{53\sqrt{5}}{18} & -\frac{217}{18} \\ 0 & -105 & \frac{63\sqrt{5}}{4} & -\frac{379}{12} & -\frac{25\sqrt{5}}{3} & \frac{67}{12} & \frac{73\sqrt{5}}{12} & \frac{65}{12} & -\frac{59\sqrt{5}}{12} \\ 0 & 105\sqrt{5} & \frac{189}{4} & \frac{379\sqrt{5}}{12} & \frac{125}{3} & -\frac{67\sqrt{5}}{12} & -\frac{365}{12} & -\frac{41\sqrt{5}}{12} & \frac{247}{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial of J is

$$(\lambda + 1)\lambda(\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 5)(\lambda - 93) ((\lambda - 5)^2\lambda^2 + 36(\lambda - 5)\lambda + 630) = 0$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= -1, & \lambda_2 &= 0, & \lambda_3 &= 4, & \lambda_4 &= 5, & \lambda_5 &= 93 \\ \lambda_{6,7} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(5 + \sqrt{47 \pm 12\sqrt{34}i} \right) \approx 4.65903 \pm 4.05111i \\ \lambda_{8,9} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(5 - \sqrt{47 \pm 12\sqrt{34}i} \right) \approx 0.340974 \pm 4.05111i \end{aligned}$$

are the eigenvalues of J . □

Therefore $\xi^0(s)$ is not orbitally stable, and there are the contraction in the μ -direction and the expansion in the other directions [43]. Applying the invariant manifolds theorem [44] for $\xi^0(s)$ we obtain that there exist solutions of both (14) and (13) satisfying

$$(18) \quad \|\xi(s + s_0) - \xi^0(s)\| e^{cs} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty$$

for some s_0 and $c > 0$. (18) can be written as follows

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mu(s + s_0)| e^{cs} &\rightarrow 0 \\ |\tilde{z}_m(s + s_0) - \tilde{z}_m^*(s)| e^{cs} &\rightarrow 0, \quad m = \overline{1, 4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we construct the two-dimensional stable manifolds of $\xi^0(s)$ for (14) and (13) (Fig. 2). In the next section we prove that these stable manifolds are woven in logarithmic spirals.




Figure 2: solutions of the blown-up Hamiltonian system that lie on Q and tend to ξ^0

3.5 Proof the main result

Let $\xi(s) = (\mu(s), \tilde{z}(s))$ be a solution of (13) that satisfies (18). Denote by T the time to reach the origin along $\xi(s)$.

Lemma 2. $\mu(s) = \kappa e^{-s} (1 + \bar{o}(e^{-c_\mu s}))$, $s \rightarrow +\infty$, where κ, c_μ are some positive constants.

Proof. Let $\xi^*(s) = (\mu^*(s), \tilde{z}^*(s))$ and $\xi(0) = \xi^*(0)$. Rewrite the first equations of systems (13) and (14)

$$\begin{cases} (\mu(s))^{-1} \frac{d}{ds} \mu(s) = \mathcal{M}(\mu(s), \tilde{z}(s)) \\ (\mu^*(s))^{-1} \frac{d}{ds} \mu^*(s) = \mathcal{M}_0(\mu^*(s), \tilde{z}^*(s)) \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}_0(\mu^*(s), \tilde{z}^*(s)) = -1$. Consider the difference between the above equations

$$(\mu(s))^{-1} \frac{d}{ds} \mu(s) - (\mu^*(s))^{-1} \frac{d}{ds} \mu^*(s) = \mathcal{M}(\mu(s), \tilde{z}(s)) - \mathcal{M}_0(\mu^*(s), \tilde{z}^*(s))$$

Hence

$$(20) \quad \frac{d}{ds} \log \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu^*(s)} \right) = \mathcal{M}(\mu(s), \tilde{z}(s)) - \mathcal{M}_0(\mu^*(s), \tilde{z}^*(s))$$

By (11) and (19) we have for some $c_\mu > 0$

$$(21) \quad |\mathcal{M}(\mu(s), \tilde{z}(s)) - \mathcal{M}_0(\mu^*(s), \tilde{z}^*(s))| e^{c_\mu s} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty$$

or

$$\mathcal{M}(\mu(s), \tilde{z}(s)) = \mathcal{M}_0(\mu^*(s), \tilde{z}^*(s)) + \bar{o}(e^{-c_\mu s}) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty$$

(21) implies

$$q_* = \int_0^\infty (\mathcal{M}(\mu(v), \tilde{z}(v)) - \mathcal{M}_0(\mu^*(v), \tilde{z}^*(v))) dv < \infty$$

Thence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s (\mathcal{M}(\mu(v), \tilde{z}(v)) - \mathcal{M}_0(\mu^*(v), \tilde{z}^*(v))) dv &= \\ &= q_* - \int_s^\infty (\mathcal{M}(\mu(v), \tilde{z}(v)) - \mathcal{M}_0(\mu^*(v), \tilde{z}^*(v))) dv = \\ &= q_* - \int_s^\infty \bar{o}(e^{-c_\mu v}) dv = q_* + \bar{o}(e^{-c_\mu s}) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (20) and taking into account $\log\left(\frac{\mu(0)}{\mu^*(0)}\right) = 0$ we obtain

$$(22) \quad \log\left(\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu^*(s)}\right) = q_* + \bar{o}(e^{-c_\mu s}), \quad s \rightarrow \infty$$

By exponentiating (22), we go to

$$\frac{\mu(s)}{\mu^*(s)} = e^{q_*} (1 + \bar{o}(e^{-c_\mu s})), \quad s \rightarrow \infty$$

Since $\mu^*(s) = T^* e^{-s}$ we get

$$\mu(s) = \kappa e^{-s} (1 + \bar{o}(e^{-c_\mu s})), \quad s \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $\kappa = T^* e^{q_*}$. □

Using the definition (12) of $s(t)$ and Lemma 2 we get

$$T - t = \int_{s(t)}^\infty \mu(s) ds = \kappa e^{-s(t)} (1 + \bar{o}(e^{-c_\mu s(t)})), \quad t \rightarrow T - 0$$

Hence

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{-s(t)} &= \kappa^{-1} (T - t) (1 + \bar{o}(e^{-c_\mu s(t)}))^{-1} = \\ &= \kappa^{-1} (T - t) (1 + \bar{o}(e^{-c_\mu s(t)})), \quad t \rightarrow T - 0 \end{aligned}$$

Denote $g_1(t) = \kappa^{-1} (1 + \bar{o}(e^{-c_\mu s(t)}))$. In view of (23) it is seen that

$$(24) \quad g_1(t) = \kappa^{-1} (1 + \bar{o}((T-t)^{c_\mu})) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T-0$$

Using (23)–(24) and taking into account $a^\omega = e^{\omega \log a}$ ($a > 0$, $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$), we obtain

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{-i\alpha s(t)} &= e^{i\alpha \log((T-t)g_1(t))} = \\ &= e^{i\alpha \log(T-t)} e^{i\alpha \log g_1(t)} = \\ &= e^{i\alpha \log(T-t)} e^{-i\alpha \log \kappa} (1 + \bar{o}((T-t)^{c_\mu})), \quad t \rightarrow T-0 \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\xi(s) = (\mu(s), \tilde{z}(s))$ satisfying (19). Put

$$\tilde{z}_m(s) = \Phi_m(s) e^{i\theta_m(s)}, \quad m = 1, \dots, 4.$$

Lemma 2 and (19) imply that in the neighbourhood of $\xi^0(s)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_m(s) &= |A_{m-1}| (1 + \bar{o}(e^{-cs})), \\ \theta_m(s) &= \pi - \alpha s + \beta + \bar{o}(e^{-cs}), \quad s \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = \alpha s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, s_0 is the same as in (19), A_{m-1} ($m = 1, \dots, 4$) are defined in (8). Hence

$$(26) \quad \tilde{z}_m(s) = -B_{m-1} e^{-i\alpha s} (1 + \bar{o}(e^{-cs})), \quad s \rightarrow \infty$$

where $B_{m-1} = A_{m-1} e^{i\alpha s_0} \in \mathbb{C}$, $m = 1, \dots, 4$.

Let $s(t)$ be determined by (12). Setting $s = s(t)$ in (26) and taking into account (23)–(25) we obtain

$$(27) \quad \tilde{z}_m(t) = D_{m-1} e^{i\alpha \log(T-t)} (1 + \bar{o}((T-t)^b)),$$

as $t \rightarrow T-0$. Here $b > 0$, $D_{m-1} = -B_{m-1} e^{-i\alpha \log \kappa} \in \mathbb{C}$, $m = 1, \dots, 4$. Using (9) and (27) we get

$$z_m(t) = D_{m-1} (T-t)^{m-1} e^{i\alpha \log(T-t)} (1 + \bar{o}((T-t)^\sigma)), \quad t \rightarrow T-0$$

This proves Theorem 2, and therefore Theorem 1.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof. M.I. Zelikin and Prof. L.V. Lokut-sievskiy for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] N.D. Anh, H. Matsuhisa, L.D. Viet, M. Yasuda, Vibration control of an inverted pendulum type structure by passive mass spring-pendulum dynamic vibration absorber, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, **307** (1), 187-201 (2007)
- [2] R.-E. Precup, S. Preitl, J. Fodor, I.-B. Ursache, P. Clep, S. Kilyeni, Experimental validation of Iterative Feedback Tuning solutions for inverted pendulum crane mode control, *Proc. of Conference on Human System Interactions*, 536-541 (2008).
- [3] F. Nicolosi, P. Vecchia and D. Ciliberti, An investigation on vertical tailplane contribution to aircraft sideforce, *Aerospace Science and Technology* **28** , 401–416 (2013)
- [4] A. Elhasairi, A. Pechev, Humanoid robot balance control using the spherical inverted pendulum mode, *Front.Robotics and AI*, **2** (21), doi: 10.3389/frobt.2015.00021 (2015)
- [5] P. L. Kapitza, Dynamic stability of the pendulum with vibrating suspension point, *Sov. Phys. JETP*, **21**, 588 - 597 (1951) (in Russian)
- [6] V. I. Arnold, *Ordinary Differential Equations* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006).
- [7] Yu.G.Martynenko, A.M.Formal'skii, Cotrolled pendulum on a movable base, *Mechanics of Solids*, **43** (5), 687-697 (2008)
- [8] A. M. Formal'skii, On the stabilization of an inverted pendulum with a fixed or moving suspension point, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, **406** (2), 175–179 (2006)
- [9] L.A. Manita, M.I. Ronzhina, Optimal synthesis in the control problem of an n -link inverted pendulum with a moving base, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **221** (1), 137–153 (2017)
- [10] L. Consolini, M. Tosques, On the exact tracking of the spherical inverted pendulum via an homotopy method, *Systems and Control Letters*, **58**(1), 1–6, (2009)
- [11] G. Liu, D. Nešić, I. Mareels, Nonlocal stabilization of a spherical inverted pendulum, *International Journal of Control*, **81** (7), 1035-1053 (2008)

- [12] O. Gutierrez F., C. Ibanez, H. Sossa A., Stabilization of the inverted spherical pendulum via Lyapunov approach, *Asian Journal of Control*, **11** (6), 587- 594 (2009)
- [13] L. Postelnik, L. Guangyu, K. Stol, A. Swain, Approximate output regulation for a spherical inverted pendulum, *Proc. American Control Conference*, 539–544 (2011)
- [14] J. Nelson, L.G. Kraft, Real-time control of an inverted pendulum system using complementary neural network and optimal techniques, *Proc. American Control Conference*, 2553-2554 (1994)
- [15] C. Park, Necessary conditions for the optimality of singular arcs of spacecraft trajectories subject to multiple gravitational bodies, *Advances in Space Research* **51**, 2125–2135 (2013)
- [16] J. Zhu, E.Trélat, and M.Cerf, Minimum Time Control of the Rocket Attitude Reorientation Associated with Orbit Dynamics, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **54**(1), 391–422 (2016)
- [17] J.Zhu, E.Trélat, M.Cerf. Planar tilting maneuver of a spacecraft: Singular arcs in the minimum time problem and chattering, *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems - B*, **21** (4), 1347-1388 (2016)
- [18] H.Seywald and R. Kumar, Singular Control in Minimum Time Spacecraft Reorientation, *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, **16** (4), 686-697 (1993)
- [19] B. S. Goh. Optimal singular rocket and aircraft trajectories, *Control and Decision Conference*, CCDC 2008. Chinese , 1531–1536 (2008)
- [20] H.M. Robbins, Optimality of intermediate-thrust arcs of rockets trajectories, *AIAA J.*, **3** (6), 1094-1098 (1965)
- [21] L.A. Manita, Optimal operating modes with chattering switching in manipulator control problems, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, **64**(1), 17-24 (2000)
- [22] M. Zelikin, V. Borisov, *Theory of chattering control with applications to astronautics, robotics, economics and engineering* (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994).
- [23] A. A. Agrachev, Yu. L. Sachkov, *Control Theory from the Geometric Viewpoint*, ser. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **87** (Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 2004)

- [24] M. I. Zelikin and V. F. Borisov, Singular Optimal Regimes in Problems of Mathematical Economics, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **130** (1), 4409-4570 (2005)
- [25] Yegorov, I., Bratus, A., and Todorov, Y. Synthesis of optimal control in a mathematical model of economic growth under R&D investments. *Appl. Math. Sci.* 2015; 9 (91): 4523–4564
- [26] U. Ledzewicz, H. Schättler, Singular controls and chattering arcs in optimal control problems arising in biomedicine, *Control and Cybernetics*, **38**, 1501–1523 (2009)
- [27] U. Ledzewicz, H. Schättler, On optimal singular controls for a general SIR-model with vaccination and treatment, *Discrete and continuous dynamical systems*, **2**, 981-990 (2011)
- [28] U. Ledzewicz, H. Schättler, Multi-input optimal control problems for combined tumor anti-angiogenic and radiotherapy treatments, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **153** (1), 195-224 (2012)
- [29] U. Ledzewicz, H. Schättler, A. Friedman, E. Kashdan, *Mathematical methods and models in Biomedicine* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2012)
- [30] I.Yegorov, F.Mairet, J.-L.Gouzé ,Optimal feedback strategies for bacterial growth with degradation, recycling and effect of temperature, *Optimal Control Applications and Methods*, **39**(11), 1084–1109 (2018)
- [31] B.Bonnard, M.Chyba, *Singular Trajectories and their Role in Control Theory* (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2003)
- [32] I. Kupka, The ubiquity of Fuller’s phenomenon, *Nonlinear controllability and optimal control*, **133**, 313–350 (1990)
- [33] M. Zelikin, L. Manita, Optimal control for a Timoshenko beam, *Comptes Rendus Mecanique*, **334**, 292-297 (2006)
- [34] H. Shen, P.Tsiotras, Time-Optimal Control of Axi-Symmetric Rigid Spacecraft Using Two Controls, *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, **22**(5), 682–694 (1999)
- [35] F. Boarotto, M. Sigalotti, Time-optimal trajectories of generic control-affine systems have at worst iterated Fuller singularities, *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire*, **36**(2), 327-346 (2019)

- [36] M. I. Zelikin, L. V. Lokutsievskii, R. Hildebrand, Typicality of chaotic fractal behavior of integral vortices in hamiltonian systems with discontinuous right hand side, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **221**(1), 1-136 (2017)
- [37] L. Manita, M. Ronzhina, Optimal control of a spherical inverted pendulum *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics* **38**(5), 954–957 (2017)
- [38] Chyba, M., Leonard, N. E., Sontag, E. D., Singular Trajectories in Multi-Input Time-Optimal Problems: Application to Controlled Mechanical Systems, *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, **9**(1), 103–129 (2003).
- [39] H. Schattler, U. Ledzewicz, *Geometric optimal control*, ser. Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics, **38** (Springer, New York, 2012)
- [40] S.V.Chukanov, A.A.Milyutin, Qualitative study of singularities for extremals of quadratic optimal control problem, *Russian J. of Math.Physics*, **2** (1), 31-48 (1994)
- [41] L.V. Lokutsievskiy, V.A. Myrikova, Optimal Synthesis in a Model Problem with Two-Dimensional Control Lying in an Arbitrary Convex Set, *Math Notes*, **105** (1-2), 36-55 (2019)
- [42] M. I. Zelikin, One-parameter families of solutions to a class of PDE optimal control problems, *Contemporary Mathematics*, **209**, 339-349 (1997)
- [43] M. Farkas, *Periodic motions* (New York: Springer-Verlag, 1994).
- [44] Ph. Hartman, *Ordinary differential equations* (J. Wiley & Sons, New York, London, Sydney, 1964)