

Elliptic solutions of Boussinesq type lattice equations and the elliptic N^{th} root of unity

Frank W Nijhoff, Ying-Ying Sun and Da-Jun Zhang

Frank W Nijhoff, School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

Ying-Ying Sun, Department of Mathematics, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China

Da-Jun Zhang, Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China

Abstract: We establish an infinite family of solutions in terms of elliptic functions of the lattice Boussinesq systems by setting up a direct linearisation scheme, which provides the solution structure for those equations in the elliptic case. The latter, which contains as main structural element a Cauchy kernel on the torus, is obtained from a dimensional reduction of the elliptic direct linearisation scheme of the lattice Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, which requires the introduction of a novel technical concept, namely the “elliptic cube root of unity”. Thus, in order to implement the reduction we define, more generally, the notion of *elliptic N^{th} root of unity*, and discuss some of its properties in connection with a special class of elliptic addition formulae. As a particular concrete application we present the class of elliptic N -soliton solutions of the lattice Boussinesq systems.

1 Introduction

Many integrable equations, be it partial differential or difference equations, or autonomous ordinary differential equations or the difference equations describing integrable dynamical mappings, admit special solutions in terms of elliptic functions (or in terms of the associated quasi-periodic functions). A prototypical example is the Hirota equation

$$A\tau_{n+1,m,l}\tau_{n,m+1,l+1} + B\tau_{n,m+1,l}\tau_{n+1,m,l+1} + C\tau_{n,m,l+1}\tau_{n+1,m+1,l} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

which is an integrable 3-dimensional lattice equation, i.e., a partial difference equation (PΔE), with arbitrary fixed coefficients A, B, C for the function $\tau_{n,m,l}$ of three discrete variables $n, m, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. This equations allows for elliptic (type) solutions in the form

$$\tau_{n,m,l} = \sigma(\xi_0 + n\delta + m\varepsilon + l\nu),$$

provided the coefficients take the form:

$$A = \frac{\sigma(\varepsilon - \nu)}{\sigma(\varepsilon)\sigma(\nu)}, \quad B = \frac{\sigma(\nu - \delta)}{\sigma(\delta)\sigma(\nu)}, \quad C = \frac{\sigma(\delta - \varepsilon)}{\sigma(\delta)\sigma(\varepsilon)},$$

where $\sigma(x)$ is the Weierstrass σ -function, and where δ , ε and ν are fixed parameters, called lattice parameters, while ξ_0 is an initial value. The fact that the Weierstrass σ function solves the Hirota bilinear equation is a direct consequence of the three-term addition formula for the latter function, (cf. eq. (A.5) of Appendix A). Typically, this is not the only elliptic type solution of (1.1), but a special solution from which infinite families of such solutions can be constructed involving determinants with elliptic entries, cf. e.g. [31]. In the continuous case of integrable PDEs, e.g. Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) or Boussinesq (BSQ) type equations, such elliptic solutions exist as well, e.g. the well-known cnoidal wave solution (in terms of the Jacobi cn-function) of the KdV equation, or in the case of ODEs the Lamé-Baker function solution of the Lamé equation.

In recent years the theory of integrable difference equations has grown into a substantive body of new insights, cf. e.g. [8]. In this context, certain classes of integrable partial difference equations (otherwise known as *lattice equations*) have gained prominence, e.g. the class of quadrilateral lattice equations integrable through the so-called multidimensional consistency (MDC) property. The scalar affine-linear family of such equations was classified by Adler, Bobenko and Suris (ABS) in [1] and subsequently their elliptic solutions were established in [3, 21]. Whereas the ABS equations are for single-component functions, elliptic solutions for higher rank equations, such as the lattice equations in the Gel'fand-Dikii hierarchy of [23] remained outstanding. A particular case of such equations is the (rank 3) lattice Boussinesq (BSQ) system, [23], which reads:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{P - Q}{u_{n+1,m+1} - u_{n+2,m}} - \frac{P - Q}{u_{n,m+2} - u_{n+1,m+1}} = \\ & = (u_{n+1,m+2} - u_{n+2,m+1})(u_{n,m+1} - u_{n+2,m+2}) - (u_{n,m+1} - u_{n+1,m})(u_{n,m} - u_{n+2,m+1}), \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

with P and Q being lattice parameters, and which can be visualised as a relation between the values of the solution function on the vertices on a 9-point stencil, or can be reformulated as a 2-component quadrilateral lattice system. The latter type of systems have yet to be classified, and our knowledge about both the structure of the equations (e.g. in terms of the polynomial

structure of the function defining the equation, which is no longer affine-linear, as in the case of [1]) as well as the solution structure is as yet incomplete. In [23], cf. also [18, 19, 29], eq. (1.2) and its companion equations (lattice modified BSQ equation and lattice Schwarzian BSQ equation), and their extensions (in the sense of the unfolding of the dispersion curves, cf. [32]) were constructed, and the integrability aspects (Lax pair, reductions, Poisson structure) were established, on the basis of a framework called *direct linearisation* (DL). The latter framework has the advantage that it treats all these equations simultaneously within one framework based on singular integral equations involving well-chosen Cauchy kernels. Furthermore, although the DL approach is formal, it nonetheless covers large classes of solutions, including solutions that are otherwise obtained through inverse scattering techniques. However, to obtain algebra-geometric solutions the DL has to be extended in a nontrivial way.

In the present paper we perform a first step towards the latter goal, namely to set up the framework for elliptic type solutions of the lattice BSQ systems. This includes both ‘elementary’ elliptic solutions, as well as N -soliton towers of elliptic solutions and in principle also the inverse scattering type solutions based on elliptic asymptotic behaviour. Thus, the paper extends to the higher rank case the results obtained in [21] for the ABS list (excluding the case of the so-called Q4 equation, which was covered in [3], but an analogue of which has not yet been established in the higher-rank case¹). The strategy in the present paper, to set up the DL scheme is to consider realise the structure as a reduction of the one for the lattice Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) system, whose elliptic type solutions were constructed in [31]. However, a technical problem is that that in the rational case, the reduction from KP to BSQ (or to other systems in the Gel’fand-Dikii family) involves cube- or higher roots of unity. In order to perform the reduction from KP to such a system in the elliptic case, it turns out that an elliptic analogue of the roots of unity are needed. To our knowledge such objects were never before considered, and, thus, they had to be newly introduced in the present context, requiring higher-order elliptic identities which are given in Appendix B, cf. also [6]). Once these elliptic roots of unity are defined the reduction from the KP system to the BSQ and the higher-rank systems are obtained straightforwardly, and the solution structure is revealed through the corresponding DL formalism.

¹We note that in [7] a higher rank elliptic system was proposed generalising the 3-leg form of Q4, but it is not clear yet what is the rational form of that system.

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we review the DL scheme for elliptic type solutions of the lattice KP class with the aim to make dimensional reductions to the KdV and BSQ cases. For the sake of the latter reduction we need an elliptic analogue of the cube root of unity. Thus, we introduce in section 3 the notion of elliptic N^{th} root of unity, and examine some of its properties. In section 4 we employ this novel concept to set up the DL system for the elliptic type solutions for the BSQ lattice equations, while in section 5 we derive the actual nonlinear equations in closed form. In section 6 we demonstrate how the DL scheme leads to explicit solutions, namely elliptic seed and elliptic N -soliton solutions for the equations in standard form. Some Conclusions follow in section 7. For completeness we have given the elliptic parametrisation for the Lax pairs in an Appendix, although we don't need them for the purpose of presenting the elliptic solutions in this paper.

Warning: Some standard facts on elliptic functions are introduced in Appendix A and we make heavy use of the various addition formulae throughout the paper, but often in a rather idiosyncratic way. Thus, we find that, as the story unfolds, several layers of ad-hoc notation are needed in order to write the equations and the underpinning relations in the most transparent and convenient way.

2 Infinite Matrix Structure of elliptic solutions of KP lattice equations

In this section we review the DL structure for elliptic type solutions of KP lattice equations. The structure is based on a unifying framework of formal linear integral equations, from which the various lattice KP equations can be derived. The set-up is that we start from a large solution class in terms of which a multitude of functions on the lattice can be defined, each of which solves an equation (or rather a parameter-family of equations) in the KP class. Thus, the DL structure not only provides solutions of the individual KP equations, but also supplies us with the (Miura/Bäcklund) relations between the various equations.

2.1 DL frame work

In an early paper [22] we derived a system of integrable lattice equations (i.e. partial difference equations) associated with the KP equation from a system of linear integral equations. In the present paper we generalise the integral equation to one for a vector-valued function \mathbf{u}_κ , depending on a spectral parameter κ , of the following form

$$\mathbf{u}_\kappa + \rho_\kappa \iint_D d\mu(\ell, \ell') \sigma_{\ell'} \mathbf{u}_\ell \Phi_\xi(\kappa + \ell') = \rho_\kappa \Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{c}_\kappa, \quad (2.1a)$$

and one for its adjoint vector ${}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}$, depending on another spectral variable κ' , of the form

$${}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'} + \sigma_{\kappa'} \iint_D d\mu(\ell, \ell') \rho_\ell {}^t\mathbf{u}_{\ell'} \Phi_\xi(\kappa' + \ell) = \sigma_{\kappa'} {}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'} \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}). \quad (2.1b)$$

In eqs. (2.1) it is not necessary at this point to specify the integrations over a general measure $d\mu(\ell, \ell')$ and hypersurface in the space of both spectral variables ℓ and ℓ' . we merely need some general assumptions (such as uniqueness of the solution). The kernel is given in terms of the Lamé function Φ_ξ defined by

$$\Phi_\xi(x) := \frac{\sigma(x + \xi)}{\sigma(x)\sigma(\xi)}, \quad (2.2)$$

in which $\sigma(x)$ is the Weierstrass sigma-function, [2], (for the pertinent definitions see Appendix A). The choice of the kernel implies that the spectral parameters ℓ, ℓ' live on the torus. This is not unlike the situation in the Landau-Lifschitz equations, where the Riemann-Hilbert problem is defined on the torus and where integral kernels in terms of elliptic functions naturally arise, cf. e.g. [4, 25, 16]. However, in this paper we do not investigate “elliptic integrable equations” but seek elliptic solutions of otherwise non-elliptic equations.

The integral in eq. (2.1) is performed over an arbitrary region in the complex hyperplane \mathbb{C}^2 of ℓ and ℓ' , with integrations over suitable measure $d\mu(\ell, \ell')$. Measure and integration region D must be chosen such that some general conditions (for instance being such that the integral operator defined by (2.1) has trivial kernel) hold, but are otherwise arbitrary. The integral equations will be treated merely as a formal tool, and we will postpone the issue of specifying measure and contour for the time being. Thus, under general conditions, we will derive from (2.1) an interesting algebraic structure in terms of infinite matrices, from which all relevant equations can be derived.

The inhomogeneous term, containing the ‘plane-wave factors’ ρ_κ respectively $\sigma_{\kappa'}$, determines the dependence of the solutions \mathbf{u}_κ and ${}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}$ on additional variables such as lattice parameters and the discrete dynamical variables (measure and contour are taken to be independent on those variables). Thus, in the discrete case, we will impose on the plane-wave factors the following translation properties under shifts along the lattice:

$$\rho_\kappa \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}_\kappa = T_\delta \rho_\kappa = \Phi_\delta(\kappa) \rho_\kappa, \quad \sigma_{\kappa'} \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}_{\kappa'} = T_\delta \sigma_{\kappa'} = (\Phi_\delta(-\kappa'))^{-1} \sigma_{\kappa'}, \quad (2.3a)$$

associated with the lattice parameter δ , and similarly for the other lattice directions, namely

$$\rho_\kappa \rightarrow \hat{\rho}_\kappa = T_\varepsilon \rho_\kappa = \Phi_\varepsilon(\kappa) \rho_\kappa, \quad \sigma_{\kappa'} \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{\kappa'} = T_\varepsilon \sigma_{\kappa'} = (\Phi_\varepsilon(-\kappa'))^{-1} \sigma_{\kappa'}, \quad (2.3b)$$

$$\rho_\kappa \rightarrow \bar{\rho}_\kappa = T_\nu \rho_\kappa = \Phi_\nu(\kappa) \rho_\kappa, \quad \sigma_{\kappa'} \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}_{\kappa'} = T_\nu \sigma_{\kappa'} = (\Phi_\nu(-\kappa'))^{-1} \sigma_{\kappa'}, \quad (2.3c)$$

with lattice parameters ε and ν respectively. Here the T_δ , T_ε and T_ν denote the lattice shift operators, i.e. ρ_κ and $\sigma_{\kappa'}$ should be considered as functions of associated lattice variables $n, m, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, associated with the three lattice parameters respectively, namely

$$\rho_\kappa = (\Phi_\delta(\kappa))^n (\Phi_\varepsilon(\kappa))^m (\Phi_\nu(\kappa))^l \rho_\kappa^0, \quad \sigma_{\kappa'} = (\Phi_\delta(-\kappa'))^{-n} (\Phi_\varepsilon(-\kappa'))^{-m} (\Phi_\nu(-\kappa'))^{-l} \sigma_{\kappa'}^0,$$

with $\rho_\kappa^0, \sigma_{\kappa'}^0$ independent of these variables, and where the operators T_δ, T_ε and T_ν act by shifting these discrete variables by one unit respectively. By definition these operators commute when acting on proper functions of n, m and l and distribute over products of functions.

The algebraic structure that (2.1) gives rise to appears as a consequence of the object \mathbf{c}_κ on the right-hand side in (2.1), which is an infinite-component vector whose components are just powers of κ , i.e. $\mathbf{c}_\kappa = (c_\kappa^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where $c_\kappa^{(i)} = \kappa^i$. The solutions of (2.1) are necessarily also infinite-component vectors $\mathbf{u}_\kappa = (u_\kappa^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where each $u_\kappa^{(i)}$ is supposed to be solved from (2.1) with the corresponding factor κ^i in the inhomogeneous term. This gradation by powers of the spectral parameter now gives rise to an infinite matrix structure in terms of these infinite-component vectors in terms of which the basic equations become very transparent.

Therefore, we will introduce index-raising operators $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ and ${}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}$ acting from the left and right on the power vectors as follows:

$$(\mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{c}_\kappa)_i = (\mathbf{c}_\kappa)_{i+1} = \kappa^{i+1}, \quad ({}^t \mathbf{c}_{\kappa'} {}^t \mathbf{\Lambda})_{i'} = ({}^t \mathbf{c}_{\kappa'})_{i'+1} = (\kappa')^{i'+1}. \quad (2.4)$$

In the present context, however, since we will use these vectors \mathbf{c}_κ and ${}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'}$ merely as a basis in terms of powers to expand the elliptic functions, the structure will give rise to a quasi-grading in terms of *elliptic matrices*, where the gradation is defined by index raising/lowering operators associated with an elliptic curve, cf. [15]. Thus, we will use formal operators, such as

$$\Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}), \quad \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}), \quad \wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}), \quad \wp({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}), \quad \zeta(\mathbf{\Lambda}), \quad \zeta({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}),$$

as the elliptic analogues of raising/lowering operators, and they are defined through the formal power series expansions of the Weierstrass σ , ζ and \wp functions. Consequently, they are assumed to obey the same addition formulae as the usual elliptic functions (see Appendix A), but in terms of arguments containing the operators $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ and ${}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}$. This is justified by thinking of these operators in terms of their symbols, i.e. in terms of their Fourier representation.

In [22], integral equations of the form (2.1) were investigated in the case of the rational limit of the elliptic functions, and it has been shown that its solutions give rise to solutions of the lattice KP equation. In the elliptic case the derivation is somewhat more complicated, and we will analyse the relevant structures that emerge in this paper. For this purpose, let us give a slightly more abstract presentation of the main ingredients needed for the calculations.

We will now set up this formal structure. We need the following three ingredients to formulate the entire scheme:

- An infinite ($\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$) matrix \mathbf{C} which we can take of the form

$$\mathbf{C} = \iint_D d\mu(\ell, \ell') \rho_\ell \sigma_{\ell'} \mathbf{c}_\ell {}^t\mathbf{c}_{\ell'}, \quad (2.5)$$

in which \mathbf{c}_ℓ and ${}^t\mathbf{c}_\ell$ are infinite vectors with components $(\mathbf{c}_\ell)_j = ({}^t\mathbf{c}_\ell)_j = \ell^j$, and ρ_ℓ depends on additional variables that are to be determined later. The integrations over region D and measure $d\mu$ need not be specified at this point but we will loosely assume that they can be chosen such that the objects to be introduced below are well-defined.

- The matrices $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ and ${}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}$ as explained above, that define the operations of index-raising when multiplied at the left respectively the right, acting on \mathbf{c}_κ respectively ${}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'}$ by $\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{c}_\kappa = \kappa\mathbf{c}_\kappa$, resp. ${}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'} {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda} = \kappa' {}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'}$.

- A formal *Cauchy matrix*, i.e., an infinite matrix Ω_ξ obeying the equations:

$$\Omega_\xi \Phi_\gamma(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \Phi_\gamma(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \Omega_{\xi+\gamma} = \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathcal{O} \Phi_{\xi+\gamma}(\mathbf{\Lambda}), \quad (2.6)$$

in which \mathbf{O} is the projection matrix on the central element, i.e. $(\mathbf{O}\mathbf{C}_k)_{i,j} = \delta_{i,0}\mathbf{C}_{0,j}$, etc.

As a consequence of the behaviour of the plane-wave factors ρ_k and $\sigma_{\kappa'}$ under translations along the lattice, we have the following linear relations for \mathbf{C}

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \Phi_\delta(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})\mathbf{C} , \quad (2.7a)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{C}}\Phi_\varepsilon(-{}^t\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \Phi_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})\mathbf{C} , \quad (2.7b)$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{C}}\Phi_\nu(-{}^t\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \Phi_\nu(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})\mathbf{C} , \quad (2.7c)$$

for the various lattice directions associated with the lattice parameters $p = \zeta(\delta)$, $q = \zeta(\varepsilon)$, resp. $r = \zeta(\nu)$. In principle, since these relations are linear, we can select an arbitrary set of lattice parameters p_ν , each associated with its own lattice shift, and in view of the linearity of the corresponding equations for \mathbf{C} we can impose all the discrete evolutions for all chosen values p_ν simultaneously.

The true objects of interest, however, obey nonlinear equations, and these objects are the following:

- an infinite matrix

$$\mathbf{U}_\xi := \Phi_\xi(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}_\xi\mathbf{C})^{-1}\Phi_\xi({}^t\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) , \quad (2.8)$$

- the τ -function given by the infinite determinant²

$$\tau_\xi := \det_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}_\xi \cdot \mathbf{C}) . \quad (2.9)$$

- the “wave vectors” \mathbf{u}_κ and ${}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) = \left(\Phi_\xi(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) - \mathbf{U}_\xi\Phi_\xi^{-1}({}^t\boldsymbol{\Lambda})\boldsymbol{\Omega}_\xi \right) \mathbf{c}_\kappa\rho_\kappa , \quad (2.10a)$$

$${}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}(\xi) = \sigma_{\kappa'} {}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'} \left(\Phi_\xi({}^t\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_\xi\Phi_\xi^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda})\mathbf{U}_\xi \right) , \quad (2.10b)$$

² To make sense of the infinite determinant in (2.9) we can use the well-known expansion

$$\det(\mathbf{1} + A) = 1 + \sum_i A_{ii} + \sum_{i < j} \begin{vmatrix} A_{ii} & A_{ij} \\ A_{ji} & A_{jj} \end{vmatrix} + \dots ,$$

which is valid if $A = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_\xi \cdot \mathbf{C}$ is of finite rank, which imposes some conditions on the integrations in (2.5), in view of the fact that all terms in the expansion are of the form

$$\text{tr}_{\mathbb{Z}}((\boldsymbol{\Omega}_\xi \cdot \mathbf{C})^n) .$$

which can be identified with the solutions of the integral equations (2.1a) resp. (2.1b). T in (2.10b) denotes the transpose of matrix \mathbf{U}_ξ .

We note that the infinite-component matrix \mathbf{U}_ξ (2.8), with entries $u_{i,j}(\xi)$, ($i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$), which are the main quantities of interest in what follows, also has the integral representation

$$\mathbf{U}_\xi := \iint_D d\mu(\ell, \ell') \mathbf{u}_\ell(\xi) {}^t \mathbf{c}_{\ell' \sigma \ell'} \Phi_\xi({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) . \quad (2.11)$$

(For notational convenience, here and elsewhere we indicate the dependence on the variable ξ by a suffix, rather than writing it as an argument, e.g. rather than writing $\mathbf{U}(\xi)$.) The entire structure can now be reformulated in the following way. For the purpose of brevity, let us formulate the structure in a rather abstract way.

Let us now give the basic equations resulting from this scheme. From the above ingredients it is elementary to derive the basic relations describing the behaviour of τ_ξ , \mathbf{U}_ξ and \mathbf{u}_κ and ${}^t \mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}$ under lattice translations. Thus, for the τ -function we have (see Appendix B for the derivation):

$$\frac{\tilde{\tau}_\xi}{\tau_{\xi+\delta}} = 1 - \left(\mathbf{U}_{\xi+\delta} [\zeta(\xi + \delta) - \zeta(\delta) + \zeta({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) - \zeta(\xi + {}^t \mathbf{\Lambda})]^{-1} \right)_{0,0} , \quad (2.12)$$

and similarly for the other lattice directions. For \mathbf{U}_ξ we can derive the discrete matrix Riccati type of relations

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi [\zeta(\xi + \delta) - \zeta(\delta) + \zeta({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) - \zeta(\xi + {}^t \mathbf{\Lambda})] &= \\ &= \left[\zeta(\xi) + \zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \zeta(\xi + \delta + \mathbf{\Lambda}) - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi \mathbf{O} \right] \mathbf{U}_{\xi+\delta} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Eq. (2.13) together with its counterparts for the other lattice directions forms the starting point for the construction of a number of integrable three-dimensional lattice equations. By combining the different lattice translations associated with the different lattice parameters δ, ε, ν one can actually derive all relevant discrete equations within the KP family, as we shall show in the next section. Let us finish here by giving the linear equations for \mathbf{u}_κ and ${}^t \mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}$ that form the basis for the derivation of the Lax pairs for the above-mentioned equations derived from (2.13). These relations read

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(\xi) = \left[\zeta(\xi) + \zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \zeta(\xi + \delta + \mathbf{\Lambda}) - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi \mathbf{O} \right] \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi + \delta) , \quad (2.14a)$$

$${}^t \mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}(\xi + \delta) = -{}^t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\kappa'}(\xi) \left[\zeta(\xi + \delta) - \zeta(\delta) + \zeta({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) - \zeta(\xi + {}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) - \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}_{\xi+\delta} \right] . \quad (2.14b)$$

Eqs. (2.12), (2.13) and eqs. (2.14), the derivation of which is given in Appendix B, form the starting point for the subsequent derivation of nonlinear equations in closed form. For this purpose we have to specify special elements (or combinations of elements) of the infinite matrix \mathbf{U}_ξ and to combine the different lattice shifts in such a way that closed-form equations for such these preferred elements are obtained. This we will do in the next subsection.

2.2 Lattice KP systems from elliptic DL

We will now derive closed-form difference equations for special quantities defined in terms of the entries of the matrix \mathbf{U}_ξ . From the relation (2.12) it is suggestive to introduce the quantities

$$v_\alpha(\xi) := 1 - \left([\zeta(\xi) + \zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \zeta(\xi + \alpha + \mathbf{\Lambda})]^{-1} \mathbf{U}_\xi \right)_{0,0}, \quad (2.15a)$$

$$w_\alpha(\xi) := 1 - \left(\mathbf{U}_\xi [\zeta(\xi) + \zeta(\alpha) + \zeta({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \zeta(\xi + \alpha + {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})]^{-1} \right)_{0,0}, \quad (2.15b)$$

for which from (2.13) we immediately have

$$\tilde{v}_\delta(\xi - \delta) w_{-\delta}(\xi) = 1. \quad (2.16)$$

It should be remarked that we can introduce quantities v_α for any value of the parameter α , not necessarily one of the values associated with the lattice parameters δ , ε or ν which represent the lattice shifts. For a generic value, α say, we can now derive the relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\varepsilon) + \zeta(\xi - \delta) - \zeta(\xi - \varepsilon) + \widehat{u}(\xi - \varepsilon) - \widetilde{u}(\xi - \delta) = \\ & = [\zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\xi - \varepsilon) - \zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\xi + \alpha - \delta - \varepsilon)] \frac{\widehat{v}_\alpha(\xi - \varepsilon)}{\widetilde{v}_\alpha(\xi - \delta - \varepsilon)} \\ & - [\zeta(\varepsilon) - \zeta(\xi - \delta) - \zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\xi + \alpha - \delta - \varepsilon)] \frac{\widetilde{v}_\alpha(\xi - \delta)}{\widehat{v}_\alpha(\xi - \delta - \varepsilon)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

in which $u(\xi) := (\mathbf{U}_\xi)_{0,0}$. To derive eq. (2.17) extensive use has been made of a special elliptic relation, namely eq. (A.11) given in appendix A. Eq. (2.17) leads to equations for both $u(\xi)$ and $v_\alpha(\xi)$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} & [\zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\xi - \varepsilon) - \zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\xi + \alpha - \delta - \varepsilon)] \frac{\widehat{v}_\alpha(\xi - \varepsilon)}{\widetilde{v}_\alpha(\xi - \delta - \varepsilon)} \\ & - [\zeta(\varepsilon) - \zeta(\xi - \delta) - \zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\xi + \alpha - \delta - \varepsilon)] \frac{\widetilde{v}_\alpha(\xi - \delta)}{\widehat{v}_\alpha(\xi - \delta - \varepsilon)} + \text{cycl.} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

in which “+ cycl.” means the addition of similar terms obtained by cyclic permutation of the action of the shifts $v \mapsto \tilde{v}$, $v \mapsto \hat{v}$, $v \mapsto \bar{v}$ together with the three parameters δ, ε and ν . A four-term equation is obtained by taking α to be equal to either one of the three parameters δ, ε or ν . Using the identifications

$$\tilde{v}_\delta(\xi - \delta) = \frac{\tau_\xi}{\tilde{\tau}_{\xi-\delta}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad w_{-\delta}(\xi) = \frac{\tilde{\tau}_{\xi-\delta}}{\tau_\xi}, \quad (2.19)$$

cf. (2.12), and similarly for the other lattice directions, we then obtain the Hirota bilinear KP equation in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & [\zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\varepsilon) + \zeta(\xi - \delta) - \zeta(\xi - \varepsilon)] \widehat{\tau}_{\xi-\delta-\varepsilon} \bar{\tau}_{\xi-\nu} \\ & + [\zeta(\varepsilon) - \zeta(\nu) + \zeta(\xi - \varepsilon) - \zeta(\xi - \nu)] \widehat{\tau}_{\xi-\varepsilon-\nu} \tilde{\tau}_{\xi-\delta} \\ & + [\zeta(\nu) - \zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\xi - \nu) - \zeta(\xi - \delta)] \tilde{\tau}_{\xi-\delta-\nu} \widehat{\tau}_{\xi-\varepsilon} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Finally, an equation for $u(\xi)$ is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} & [\zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\varepsilon) + \zeta(\xi - \delta) - \zeta(\xi - \varepsilon)] \widehat{u}(\xi - \delta - \varepsilon) \\ & + [\zeta(\nu) - \zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\xi - \varepsilon - \nu) - \zeta(\xi - \delta - \varepsilon)] \widehat{u}(\xi - \varepsilon) \\ & + \left(\widehat{u}(\xi - \delta - \varepsilon) - \widehat{u}(\xi - \varepsilon - \nu) \right) \widehat{u}(\xi - \varepsilon) + \text{cycl.} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

which we prefer to call the lattice KP equation, cf. [22].

Another object that is of interest within the scheme is the following

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) := & \left([\zeta(\xi) + \zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \zeta(\xi + \alpha + \mathbf{\Lambda})]^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{U}_\xi \right. \\ & \left. \cdot [\zeta(\xi) + \zeta(\beta) + \zeta({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \zeta(\xi + \beta + {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})]^{-1} \right)_{0,0}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

for which we can derive the following important identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{v}_\alpha(\xi) w_\beta(\xi + \delta) = \\ & = 1 - [\zeta(\xi + \delta) + \zeta(\alpha) - \zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\xi + \alpha)] s_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi + \delta) \\ & - [\zeta(\xi) + \zeta(\beta) + \zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\xi + \delta + \beta)] \tilde{s}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

and similar relations for the other lattice shifts $\hat{}$ and $\bar{}$ involving the parameters ε and ν instead of δ . In the derivation of (2.23) use has been made again of the special relation (A.11) of

Appendix A. Eliminating the variables ν_α and w_β by combining three lattice shifts, we arrive at closed-form equation for $s_{\alpha,\beta}$ which reads

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1 - \chi_{\alpha,-\delta}^{(1)}(\xi - \nu) \bar{s}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi - \nu) - \chi_{\beta,\delta}^{(1)}(\xi - \delta - \nu) \widetilde{\bar{s}}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi - \delta - \nu)}{1 - \chi_{\alpha,-\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\xi - \nu) \bar{s}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi - \nu) - \chi_{\beta,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\xi - \varepsilon - \nu) \widehat{\bar{s}}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi - \varepsilon - \nu)} = \\
& = \frac{1 - \chi_{\alpha,-\delta}^{(1)}(\xi - \varepsilon) \widehat{s}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi - \varepsilon) - \chi_{\beta,\delta}^{(1)}(\xi - \delta - \varepsilon) \widetilde{\widehat{s}}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi - \delta - \varepsilon)}{1 - \chi_{\alpha,-\nu}^{(1)}(\xi - \varepsilon) \widehat{s}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi - \varepsilon) - \chi_{\beta,\nu}^{(1)}(\xi - \varepsilon - \nu) \widehat{\bar{s}}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi - \varepsilon - \nu)} \times \\
& \times \frac{1 - \chi_{\alpha,-\nu}^{(1)}(\xi - \delta) \widetilde{s}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi - \delta) - \chi_{\beta,\nu}^{(1)}(\xi - \delta - \nu) \widetilde{\bar{s}}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi - \delta - \nu)}{1 - \chi_{\alpha,-\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\xi - \delta) \widetilde{s}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi - \delta) - \chi_{\beta,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\xi - \delta - \varepsilon) \widetilde{\widehat{s}}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi - \delta - \varepsilon)}. \tag{2.24}
\end{aligned}$$

in which we have used the abbreviation

$$\chi_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}(x) := \zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\beta) + \zeta(x) - \zeta(\alpha + \beta + x) = \frac{\Phi_\alpha(x) \Phi_\beta(x)}{\Phi_{\alpha+\beta}(x)}. \tag{2.25}$$

For arbitrary ξ the equations (2.21), (2.18) and (2.24) form a complicated system of non-autonomous difference equations for effectively a set of four-variable functions, depending on the discrete variables n, m, l and a continuous variable ξ , as partial delay-difference equations. However, if we allow the variable ξ to depend on the discrete variables as follows:

$$\xi = \xi_0 - n\delta - m\varepsilon - l\nu, \tag{2.26}$$

where ξ_0 is fixed, i.e., the ξ becomes dynamical, then those equations are nothing else than the lattice KP, lattice modified KP and lattice Schwarzian KP equations, cf. [22], respectively, and can be transformed into their standard forms by an appropriate gauge transformation, thereby removing the elliptic coefficients. We will not do so here, as they are not the main objects of study in the present paper, but explicit soliton type solutions were given along that line in [31]. We now consider the problem of their dimensional reduction to equations in the GD hierarchy, which in the elliptic case, requires the notion of the elliptic N^{th} root of unity.

3 Elliptic N^{th} root of unity

In the rational case, to perform the dimensional reduction from three-dimensional KP systems to two-dimensional lattice equations of KdV or BSQ type we need to choose integration measures of the integral equations (2.1) where the spectral variable ℓ' is identified with $-\omega\ell$, where ω is a

N^{th} root of unity. However, this prescription no longer works, for $N > 2$ in the elliptic case, and in fact we need to revisit our notion of what is meant by the N^{th} root of unity to get a sensible reduction. The main problem is how the multiplication by roots of unity *within the arguments of the elliptic functions* is working through in the formulae for the reduction.

For $N = 2$, however, the usual square root of unity -1 still can be implemented within the arguments of the elliptic functions without a problem, but we need to reexamine what we mean by this elliptic root. In this context, we redefine it as follows: The elliptic square roots of unity are given by the condition

$$\Phi_{\kappa}(\delta)\Phi_{\kappa}(\omega(\delta)) = \wp(\kappa) - \wp(\delta) , \quad \forall \kappa . \quad (3.1)$$

The condition (3.1) obviously has the simple solution $\omega(\delta) = -\delta$, which coincides with what one has in the usual case of a square root of unity. Note that in this case the elliptic square root $-\delta$ can be “normalised” by dividing by δ , and hence we can extract a notion of square root (namely -1) which is independent of the parameter δ . However, this is no longer the case when $N > 2$, and in those cases the elliptic roots will essentially depend on a parameter.

Thus already for $N = 3$ we see an essential change of this notion, when we define the elliptic cube root of unity as follows:

Definition 3.1: *We call the parameter-dependent quantities $\omega_j(\delta)$ ($j = 0, 1, 2$), where $\omega_0(\delta) = \delta$, the elliptic cube roots of unity, if they obey the following relation*

$$\Phi_{\kappa}(\delta)\Phi_{\kappa}(\omega_1(\delta))\Phi_{\kappa}(\omega_2(\delta)) = -\frac{1}{2}(\wp'(\kappa) + \wp'(\delta)) , \quad \forall \kappa , \quad (3.2)$$

subject to the relation $\delta + \omega_1(\delta) + \omega_2(\delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{period lattice}}$.

The main thing to assert about this definition is that the quantities $\omega_i(\delta)$, albeit they depend on δ , are independent of κ . This is confirmed by the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1: *The condition (3.2) is equivalent to the following set of relations:*

$$\zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\omega_1(\delta)) + \zeta(\omega_2(\delta)) = 0 , \quad (3.3a)$$

$$\wp'(\delta) = \wp'(\omega_1(\delta)) = \wp'(\omega_2(\delta)) . \quad (3.3b)$$

Furthermore, we also have

$$\wp(\delta) + \wp(\omega_1(\delta)) + \wp(\omega_2(\delta)) = 0 . \quad (3.3c)$$

Proof: Using (A.5) of Appendix A, and the relation $\omega_1(\delta) + \omega_2(\delta) \equiv -\delta \pmod{\text{period lattice}}$, and assuming (3.3a) to hold, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\kappa(\delta)\Phi_\kappa(\omega_1(\delta))\Phi_\kappa(\omega_2(\delta)) &= \Phi_\kappa(\delta)\Phi_\kappa(\omega_1(\delta) + \omega_2(\delta)) [\zeta(\kappa) + \zeta(\omega_1(\delta)) + \zeta(\omega_2(\delta)) - \zeta(\kappa - \delta)] \\ &= \Phi_\kappa(\delta)\Phi_\kappa(-\delta) [\zeta(\kappa) - \zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\kappa - \delta)] \\ &= -(\wp(\kappa) - \wp(\delta)) \frac{\frac{1}{2}\wp'(\kappa) + \wp'(\delta)}{\wp(\kappa) - \wp(\delta)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\wp'(\kappa) + \wp'(\delta)) , \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.2) for all values of κ . Conversely, if we assume (3.2) to hold, with $\delta + \omega_1(\delta) + \omega_2(\delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{period lattice}}$, then using the same identities we have

$$0 = \Phi_\kappa(\delta)\Phi_\kappa(\omega_1(\delta))\Phi_\kappa(\omega_2(\delta)) + \frac{1}{2}(\wp'(\kappa) + \wp'(\delta)) = -(\wp(\kappa) - \wp(\delta)) [\zeta(\omega_1(\delta)) + \zeta(\omega_2(\delta)) + \zeta(\delta)] ,$$

and since this holds for all κ we must have that the square bracket on the right hand side must vanish, which leads to (3.3a). Furthermore, (3.3b) follows either from (A.8) inserting the δ , $\omega_1(\delta)$ and/or $\omega_2(\delta)$ in the arguments, or from setting $\kappa = -\omega_i(\delta)$, ($i = 1, 2$) in (3.2). \square

Remark: The rational analogue of the relation (3.2) is the requirement that the third order polynomial of an indeterminate k

$$(k - p_1)(k - p_2)(k - p_3) = k^3 - p^3 ,$$

is a pure cubic in k , which leads to the solution that the roots p_1, p_2, p_3 are related through cube roots of unity: $p_i = \omega^i p$ with $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/3)$ (up to permutations of the roots). Thus, the solutions of (3.2) as the elliptic analogue of a pure cubic and hence we can think of $\omega_1(\delta)$ and $\omega_2(\delta)$ as generalisations of $e^{2\pi i/3}\delta$ and $e^{4\pi i/3}\delta$, but here they are defined by the implicit equation (3.2). Note also that when ω_1 and ω_2 correspond to the half roots of the root lattice, i.e. when $\omega_1(\delta) = \omega$ and $\omega_2(\delta) = \omega'$, then the above relations are all automatically satisfied by means of elliptic identities, in the special case that $\wp'(\omega) = \wp'(\omega') = 0$.

In the case of $N = 4$ the elliptic quartic root of unity can be defined using the identity (C.1) of Appendix C, noting that here we need higher-order elliptic identities.

Definition 3.2: We call the parameter-dependent quantities $\omega_j(\delta)$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, 3$), where $\omega_0(\delta) = \delta$, the elliptic quartic roots of unity, if they obey the following relation

$$\Phi_\kappa(\delta)\Phi_\kappa(\omega_1(\delta))\Phi_\kappa(\omega_2(\delta))\Phi_\kappa(\omega_3(\delta)) = \frac{1}{6}(\wp''(\kappa) - \wp''(\delta)) \quad , \quad \forall \kappa \quad , \quad (3.4)$$

subject to the relation $\delta + \omega_1(\delta) + \omega_2(\delta) + \omega_3(\delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{period lattice}}$.

Once again the independence of the quantities $\omega_i(\delta)$ of κ is asserted by the following Lemma:

Lemma 3.2: The condition (3.4) is equivalent to the following set of relations:

$$\zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\omega_1(\delta)) + \zeta(\omega_2(\delta)) + \zeta(\omega_3(\delta)) = 0 \quad , \quad (3.5a)$$

$$\wp(\delta) + \wp(\omega_1(\delta)) + \wp(\omega_2(\delta)) + \wp(\omega_3(\delta)) = 0 \quad , \quad (3.5b)$$

Furthermore, we also have

$$\wp''(\delta) = \wp''(\omega_1(\delta)) = \wp''(\omega_2(\delta)) = \wp''(\omega_3(\delta)) \quad . \quad (3.6)$$

Proof: We have from (C.1) using $\delta + \omega_1(\delta) + \omega_2(\delta) + \omega_3(\delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{period lattice}}$ and assuming (3.5) to hold the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\kappa(\delta)\Phi_\kappa(\omega_1(\delta))\Phi_\kappa(\omega_2(\delta))\Phi_\kappa(\omega_3(\delta)) &= \Phi_\kappa(\delta)\frac{1}{2}\Phi_\kappa(-\delta)\left((\zeta(\kappa) - \zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\kappa - \delta))^2\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \wp(\kappa) + \wp(\delta) - \wp(\kappa - \delta)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\wp(\kappa) - \wp(\delta))[2\wp(\kappa) + 2\wp(\delta)] \\ &= (\wp^2(\kappa) - \wp^2(\delta)) = \frac{1}{6}(\wp''(\kappa) - \wp''(\delta)) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

identifying $\wp'' = 6\wp^2 - g_2/2$. Thus, (3.5) lead to (3.4). Conversely by back-engineering the above argument one can show that (3.5) follow from (3.4). Furthermore, setting $\kappa = -\omega_i(\delta)$, ($i = 1, 2, 3$) in (3.4) we find (3.6). \square

Similarly, proceeding along the same line, we can, from the higher order identity (C.5) of Appendix C, derive the equation for the elliptic quintic root of unity. Thus, setting

$$\zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\omega_1(\delta)) + \zeta(\omega_2(\delta)) + \zeta(\omega_3(\delta)) + \zeta(\omega_4(\delta)) = 0 \quad , \quad (3.7a)$$

$$\wp(\delta) + \wp(\omega_1(\delta)) + \wp(\omega_2(\delta)) + \wp(\omega_3(\delta)) + \wp(\omega_4(\delta)) = 0 \quad , \quad (3.7b)$$

$$\wp'(\delta) + \wp'(\omega_1(\delta)) + \wp'(\omega_2(\delta)) + \wp'(\omega_3(\delta)) + \wp'(\omega_4(\delta)) = 0 \quad , \quad (3.7c)$$

together with $\delta + \omega_1(\delta) + \omega_2(\delta) + \omega_3(\delta) + \omega_4(\delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{period lattice}}$, we derive from (C.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_\kappa(\delta)\Phi_\kappa(\omega_1(\delta))\Phi_\kappa(\omega_2(\delta))\Phi_\kappa(\omega_3(\delta))\Phi_\kappa(\omega_4(\delta)) = \\
& = \Phi_\kappa(\delta)\frac{1}{6}\Phi_\kappa(-\delta)\left[\left(\zeta(\kappa) - \zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\kappa - \delta)\right)^3 + \wp'(\kappa - \delta) - \wp'(\kappa) + \wp'(\delta) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 3\left(\zeta(\kappa) - \zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\kappa - \delta)\right)\left(\wp(\kappa) + \wp(\delta) - \wp(\kappa - \delta)\right)\right] \\
& = \frac{1}{6}\left(\wp(\kappa) - \wp(\delta)\right)\left[\left(\zeta(\kappa) - \zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\kappa - \delta)\right)\left(4\wp(\kappa) + 4\wp(\delta) - 2\wp(\kappa - \delta)\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \wp'(\kappa - \delta) - \wp'(\kappa) + \wp'(\delta)\right] \\
& = \frac{1}{6}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\wp'(\kappa) + \wp'(\delta)\right)\left(4\wp(\kappa) + 4\wp(\delta) - 2\wp(\kappa - \delta)\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(\wp(\kappa) - \wp(\delta)\right)\left(\wp'(\kappa - \delta) - \wp'(\kappa) + \wp'(\delta)\right)\right] \\
& = -\frac{1}{12}\left(6\wp(\kappa)\wp'(\kappa) + 6\wp(\delta)\wp'(\delta)\right) = -\frac{1}{24}\left(\wp'''(\kappa) + \wp'''(\delta)\right) ,
\end{aligned}$$

where use has been made of the addition formula (A.9), the relation (A.8), which implies also

$$\left(\wp'(\kappa) + \wp'(\delta)\right)\left(\wp(\kappa - \delta) - \wp(\delta)\right) = -\left(\wp(\kappa) - \wp(\delta)\right)\left(\wp'(\kappa - \delta) - \wp'(\delta)\right) ,$$

and the well-known relation $\wp''' = 12\wp\wp'$.

Extrapolating from the latter cases of $N = 4$ and $N = 5$ cases, the general relation for the elliptic N^{th} root of unity can be conjectured, but the proof requires increasingly complicated elliptic identities along the lines given in Appendix C. Thus, we can define:

Definition 2.3: *The elliptic N^{th} root of unity are, up to the periodicity of the period lattice, defined as the roots of the following equation*

$$\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \Phi_\kappa(\omega_j(\delta)) = \frac{1}{(N-1)!} \left(\wp^{(N-2)}(-\kappa) - \wp^{(N-2)}(\delta) \right) , \quad (3.8)$$

with $\omega_0(\delta) := \delta$, and subject to the relation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \omega_j(\delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{period lattice}} . \quad (3.9)$$

It remains to be proven at this stage that these elliptic roots $\omega_i(\delta)$, ($i = 0, \dots, N - 1$) are in general independent of κ , and that the relation (3.8) is equivalent to the set of relations:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \zeta^{(l)}(\omega_j(\delta)) = 0 , \quad l = 0, \dots, N - 3 , \quad (3.10)$$

where $\zeta^{(l)}(x) = d^l \zeta(x) / dx^l$.

We don't present a formal proof of the above assertions at this stage, but merely indicate how this can be proven. In fact, the general form of identities of the type (C.1) and (C.5) which we need for the N^{th} root of unity can be described as follows:

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \Phi_{\kappa_j}(x) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \mathcal{F}(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n; x) ,$$

where the function \mathcal{F} takes exactly the form of the expansion of the $(N-1)^{\text{th}}$ derivative of the Weierstrass σ -function:

$$\frac{\sigma'(x)}{\sigma(x)} = \zeta(x) , \quad \frac{\sigma''(x)}{\sigma(x)} = \zeta^2(x) - \wp(x) , \quad \frac{\sigma'''(x)}{\sigma(x)} = \zeta^3(x) - 3\zeta(x)\wp(x) - \wp'(x) , \dots$$

when expanded in ζ , \wp and \wp' . The expression \mathcal{F} corresponds to this expansion, where whenever we have an odd function in this expansion (like ζ , \wp' , etc.) we substitute the combination

$$\zeta(x) + \sum_{j=1}^N \zeta(\kappa_j) - \zeta\left(\sum_{j=1}^N \kappa_j + x\right) ,$$

(and similar for \wp'), and whenever we encounter \wp (we have to replace everywhere the higher derivatives of \wp by their expressions in terms of \wp and \wp' exclusively) we substitute the combination:

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \wp(\kappa_j) + \wp\left(\sum_{j=1}^N \kappa_j + x\right) - \wp(x) .$$

Subsequently, by substituting $\kappa_j = \omega_j(\delta)$ and $x = \kappa$ we obtain the identity (3.8) subject to (3.9). Conversely, we can revert the argument as in the above specific cases, and show that in return this is a sufficient condition for the set of relations (3.10) to hold.

4 Reductions from the KP system to the KdV and BSQ systems

The fundamental KP relations can be summarized as follows. As a consequence of (2.3) the plane-wave factors $\rho_\kappa(n, m, l)$ and $\sigma_{\kappa'}(n, m, l)$ are of the form

$$\rho_\kappa = \Phi_\delta(\kappa)^n \Phi_\varepsilon(\kappa)^m \Phi_\nu(\kappa)^l \rho_0 , \tag{4.1a}$$

$$\sigma_{\kappa'} = \Phi_\delta(-\kappa')^{-n} \Phi_\varepsilon(-\kappa')^{-m} \Phi_\nu(-\kappa')^{-l} \sigma_0 , \tag{4.1b}$$

and we set as in (2.26) the quantity $\xi = \xi(n, m, l)$ in the non-autonomous form $\xi = \xi_0 - n\delta - m\varepsilon - l\nu$, then the fundamental KP system from the relations in subsection 2.1 takes the form:

$$-\widetilde{U}_\xi \chi_{-\delta, \xi}^{(1)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \chi_{\delta, \widetilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) U_\xi - \widetilde{U}_\xi \mathbf{O} U_\xi, \quad (4.2a)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(\xi) = \left(\chi_{\delta, \widetilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \widetilde{U}_\xi \mathbf{O} \right) \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi), \quad (4.2b)$$

$${}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}(\xi) = -{}^t\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\kappa'}(\xi) \left(\chi_{-\delta, \xi}^{(1)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \mathbf{O} U_\xi \right), \quad (4.2c)$$

with (2.25). (Note that here the shift on the object \widetilde{U}_ξ also implies a shift the variable ξ , i.e., $\widetilde{U}_\xi := \widetilde{U}_{\widetilde{\xi}}$, and $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(\xi) = \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(\widetilde{\xi})$, and similarly for the other quantities depending on ξ , and for the other lattice shifts.) Similar relations to (4.2) hold for the other shifts on the multidimensional lattice, replacing \sim by $\widehat{}$ or $\overline{}$ and δ by ε or ν respectively.

Applying multiple shifts we get higher order relations, e.g. the second order relation

$$\widehat{\widetilde{U}}_\xi \chi_{-\delta, -\varepsilon, \xi}^{(2)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \chi_{\delta, \varepsilon, \widehat{\xi}}^{(2)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \cdot U_\xi - \chi_{\delta, \varepsilon}^{(1)}(\widehat{\xi}) \widehat{\widetilde{U}}_\xi \mathbf{O} U_\xi + \widehat{\widetilde{U}}_\xi \left(\mathbf{O} \eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \eta_{\widehat{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \right) U_\xi, \quad (4.3a)$$

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}}_\kappa(\xi) = \left[\chi_{\delta, \varepsilon, \widehat{\xi}}^{(2)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \chi_{\delta, \varepsilon}^{(1)}(\widehat{\xi}) \widehat{\widetilde{U}}_\xi \cdot \mathbf{O} + \widehat{\widetilde{U}}_\xi \left(\mathbf{O} \eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \eta_{\widehat{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \right) \right] \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi), \quad (4.3b)$$

$${}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}(\xi) = \widehat{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}}_{\kappa'}(\xi) \cdot \left[\chi_{-\delta, -\varepsilon, \xi}^{(2)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \chi_{-\delta, -\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\xi) \mathbf{O} \cdot U_\xi - \left(\mathbf{O} \eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \eta_{\widehat{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \right) \cdot U_\xi \right], \quad (4.3c)$$

where, according to (C.1), we have the coefficients

$$\chi_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{(2)}(x) := \frac{1}{2} \left[(\zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\beta) + \zeta(\gamma) + \zeta(x) - \zeta(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + x))^2 + \wp(x) - (\wp(\alpha) + \wp(\beta) + \wp(\gamma) + \wp(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + x)) \right],$$

and recalling the quantity (A.8)

$$\eta_\alpha(x) := \zeta(\alpha + x) - \zeta(\alpha) - \zeta(x).$$

Note that the relations (4.3) do not depend on the intermediary steps (i.e., on single-shifted objects).

Remark: In general the following functions appear in the coefficients of the fundamental relations:

$$\chi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}^{(n)}(x) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \Phi_{\alpha_j}(x)}{\Phi_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}(x)},$$

and they can be expressed in terms of ζ -functions using the relations of Appendix C.

Similarly the third-order shift relations read:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{U}}}_\xi \chi_{-\delta, -\varepsilon, -\nu, \xi}^{(3)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) &= \chi_{\delta, \varepsilon, \nu, \widehat{\xi}}^{(3)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U}_\xi - \chi_{\delta, \varepsilon, \nu, \widehat{\xi}}^{[2]}(\widehat{\xi}) \widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{U}}}_\xi \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}_\xi \\
&+ \chi_{\delta, \varepsilon, \nu, \widehat{\xi}}^{[1]}(\widehat{\xi}) \widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{U}}}_\xi \left(\mathbf{O} \eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \eta_{\widehat{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \right) \mathbf{U}_\xi - \widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{U}}}_\xi \left(\mathbf{O} \wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \wp({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} - \eta_{\widehat{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right) \mathbf{U}_\xi,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{u}}}_\kappa(\xi) &= \chi_{\delta, \varepsilon, \nu, \widehat{\xi}}^{(3)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) - \chi_{\delta, \varepsilon, \nu, \widehat{\xi}}^{[2]}(\widehat{\xi}) \widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{U}}}_\xi \mathbf{O} \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) \\
&+ \chi_{\delta, \varepsilon, \nu, \widehat{\xi}}^{[1]}(\widehat{\xi}) \widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{U}}}_\xi \left(\mathbf{O} \eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \eta_{\widehat{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \right) \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) - \widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{U}}}_\xi \left(\mathbf{O} \wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \wp({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} - \eta_{\widehat{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right) \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'} &= -\widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{u}}}_{\kappa'} \left[\chi_{-\delta, -\varepsilon, -\nu, \xi}^{(3)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \chi_{-\delta, -\varepsilon, -\nu, \xi}^{[2]}(\xi) \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}_\xi \right. \\
&\left. - \chi_{-\delta, -\varepsilon, -\nu, \xi}^{[1]}(\xi) \left(\mathbf{O} \eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \eta_{\widehat{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \right) \mathbf{U}_\xi - \left(\mathbf{O} \wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \wp({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} - \eta_{\widehat{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right) \mathbf{U}_\xi \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4c}$$

where

$$\chi_{\delta, \varepsilon, \nu, \widehat{\xi}}^{[1]}(\widehat{\xi}) := \zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\varepsilon) + \zeta(\nu) + \zeta(\widehat{\xi}) - \zeta(\xi),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\delta, \varepsilon, \nu, \widehat{\xi}}^{[2]}(\widehat{\xi}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\varepsilon) + \zeta(\widehat{\xi}) - \zeta(\bar{\xi}) \right)^2 - \wp(\delta) - \wp(\varepsilon) - \wp(\bar{\xi}) \right. \\
&+ \left(\zeta(\varepsilon) + \zeta(\nu) + \zeta(\widehat{\xi}) - \zeta(\xi) \right)^2 - \wp(\varepsilon) - \wp(\nu) - \wp(\widehat{\xi}) \\
&\left. - 2 \left(\zeta(\bar{\xi}) - \zeta(\widehat{\xi}) - \zeta(\delta) \right) \left(\zeta(\nu) + \zeta(\bar{\xi}) - \zeta(\xi) \right) + \wp(\bar{\xi}) + \wp(\xi) \right] \\
&= \left(\zeta(\widehat{\xi}) - \zeta(\xi) + \zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\varepsilon + \nu) \right) \left(\zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\varepsilon) + \zeta(\nu) - \zeta(\delta + \varepsilon + \nu) \right) \\
&+ \wp(\widehat{\xi}) + \wp(\xi) - \wp(\delta) = \chi_{-\delta, -\varepsilon, -\nu, \xi}^{[2]}(\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

(which remarkably does not depend on the intermediate values $\widehat{\xi}$ or $\bar{\xi}$). Note that the latter expression does not seem manifestly symmetric w.r.t. permutation of δ, ε, ν , but nevertheless it is, as can be shown using (A.7) from the Appendix C. Furthermore, we recall

$$\chi_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}^{(3)}(x) := \frac{\Phi_\alpha(x) \Phi_\beta(x) \Phi_\gamma(x) \Phi_\delta(x)}{\Phi_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta}(x)},$$

which can be expressed in terms of ζ functions using the identities in Appendix C.

Finally, the relevant basic relations for the τ -function read:

$$\frac{\tilde{\tau}_\xi}{\tau_\xi} = 1 - \left(U_\xi \frac{1}{\chi_{-\delta, \xi}^{(1)}(t\mathbf{\Lambda})} \right)_{0,0} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\tau_\xi}{\tilde{\tau}_\xi} = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{\chi_{\delta, \tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda})} \tilde{U}_\xi \right)_{0,0}, \quad (4.5)$$

as derived in Appendix B.

We can now use these higher order shift relations to obtain the higher order dimensional reductions of the lattice KP system, by imposing periodicity w.r.t. the combined shifts where the shift parameters are related through the elliptic roots of unity. In this way we get for $N = 2$ (square root of unity) the reduction to the lattice KdV system, while for $N = 3$ (elliptic cube root of unity) we attain the reduction to the lattice BSQ system, and hence to the elliptic solutions of the latter.

4.1 Reduction to the lattice KdV

The reduction from KP to KdV type systems is imposed by requiring that the following condition holds on the plane-wave factors:

$$T_{-\delta} \circ T_\delta(\rho_\kappa \sigma_{\kappa'}) = \rho_\kappa \sigma_{\kappa'} . \quad (4.6)$$

Here effectively we have employed the elliptic square root of unity $\omega(\delta) = -\delta$ as explained in section 3, and (4.6) amounts to the assertion that the reverse operation to any shift in the multidimensional lattice generated by shifts T_δ (for all δ) corresponding to a parameter δ acts in the same way on the dependent variables as the shift corresponding to the parameter $-\delta$. In fact, the above condition then leads via (2.3a) to the identification $\kappa' = \omega(\kappa)$, and hence in the KP integral equations (2.1) we need to restrict ourselves to integration over measures and contours where this identification is implemented. Thus, the integral equation for the KdV class, reads:

$$\mathbf{u}_\kappa + \rho_\kappa \sum_{j=0}^1 \int_{\Gamma_j} d\mu_j(\ell) \sigma_{\omega_j(\ell)} \mathbf{u}_\ell \Phi_\xi(\kappa + \omega_j(\ell)) = \rho_\kappa \Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{c}_\kappa, \quad (4.7)$$

with the corresponding expression for the matrix U_ξ :

$$U_\xi = \sum_{j=0}^1 \int_{\Gamma_j} d\mu_j(\ell) \sigma_{\omega_j(\ell)} \mathbf{u}_\ell {}^t \mathbf{c}_{\omega_j(\ell)} \Phi_\xi(t\mathbf{\Lambda}). \quad (4.8)$$

Thus, the reduction to the lattice KdV system is obtained by implementing the relations

$$T_\delta \circ T_{-\delta} \mathbf{U}_\xi = \mathbf{U}_\xi, \quad T_\delta \circ T_{-\delta} \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) = (\wp(\kappa) - \wp(\delta)) \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi), \quad (4.9)$$

which follow as additional conditions on the fundamental system of KP equations given in section

2. The relations for the KdV class can be obtained in this way, and comprise:

$$-\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi \chi_{-\delta, \xi}^{(1)}({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) = \chi_{\delta, \widetilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U}_\xi - \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}_\xi, \quad (4.10a)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(\xi) = \left(\chi_{\delta, \widetilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi \mathbf{O} \right) \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi), \quad (4.10b)$$

$$(\wp(\kappa) - \wp(\delta)) \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) = \left(\chi_{-\delta, \xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \mathbf{U}_\xi \mathbf{O} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(\xi), \quad (4.10c)$$

where the first two relations are exactly the same as in the KP case, but where the last additional relation is obtained from the condition (4.9). We note that the reduction to the KdV case can also be interpreted as the condition that the infinite matrix \mathbf{U}_ξ is symmetric under transposition, i.e. ${}^t \mathbf{U}_\xi = \mathbf{U}_\xi$. Furthermore, in this reduction we have purely algebraic relations (i.e., without involving any lattice shifts), which are obtained from the double-shift relations (4.3) by taking $\varepsilon = \omega(\delta)$ and taking into account the condition (4.9). Thus, we get

$$\mathbf{U}_\xi \cdot \wp({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) = \wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \cdot \mathbf{U}_\xi + \mathbf{U}_\xi [\mathbf{O} \eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \eta_\xi({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O}] \mathbf{U}_\xi, \quad (4.11a)$$

$$\wp(\kappa) \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) = \wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) + \mathbf{U}_\xi [\mathbf{O} \eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \eta_\xi({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O}] \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi). \quad (4.11b)$$

The resulting equations in this case are the equations in the KdV class of lattice equations, namely two-dimensional lattice equations involving only two lattice directions. Thus, as an example, we mention here only the lattice (potential) KdV equation, cf. [24], in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & [\zeta(\alpha) - \zeta(\beta) + \zeta(\xi - \alpha) - \zeta(\xi - \beta) + \widehat{u}(\xi - \beta) - \widetilde{u}(\xi - \alpha)] \times \\ & \times \left[\zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\beta) - \zeta(\xi) + \zeta(\xi - \alpha - \beta) + u(\xi) - \widehat{u}(\xi - \alpha - \beta) \right] = \wp(\alpha) - \wp(\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

In [21] it was shown how to obtain, from an elliptic Cauchy matrix scheme, elliptic N -soliton solutions for all ABS equations [1], apart from Q4 (solitons of the latter were obtained by a different approach in [3]). In principle the DL scheme covers an even wider class of solutions. Since in this paper we concentrate on the higher-rank elliptic reductions, we will refrain here from deriving all the lattice equations from the above scheme and refer to [21] for details.

4.2 Reduction to the lattice BSQ system

The dimensional reduction to the BSQ case (i.e., the case $N = 3$) from the lattice KP is obtained by imposing the condition

$$T_\delta \circ T_{\omega_1(\delta)} \circ T_{\omega_2(\delta)} (\rho_\kappa \sigma_{\kappa'}) = \rho_\kappa \sigma_{\kappa'} , \quad (4.13)$$

which leads to the possible identifications $\kappa' = -\omega_j(\kappa)$ ($j = 0, 1, 2$), where we have set for convenience $\omega_0(\kappa) := \kappa$. In fact, computing

$$\begin{aligned} T_\delta \circ T_{\omega_1(\delta)} \circ T_{\omega_2(\delta)} \rho_\kappa &= \Phi_\delta(\kappa) \Phi_{\omega_1(\delta)}(\kappa) \Phi_{\omega_2(\delta)}(\kappa) \rho_\kappa \\ &= \Phi_\delta(\kappa) \Phi_{\omega_1(\delta) + \omega_2(\delta)}(\kappa) \left[\zeta(\omega_1(\delta)) + \zeta(\omega_2(\delta)) + \zeta(\kappa) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \zeta(\omega_1(\delta) + \omega_2(\delta) + \kappa) \right] \rho_\kappa \\ &= \Phi_\delta(\kappa) \Phi_{-\delta}(\kappa) [\zeta(\kappa) - \zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\kappa - \delta)] \rho_\kappa \\ &= -(\wp(\kappa) - \wp(\delta)) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\kappa) + \wp'(\delta)}{\wp(\kappa) - \wp(\delta)} \rho_\kappa = -\frac{1}{2} (\wp'(\kappa) + \wp'(\delta)) \rho_\kappa , \end{aligned}$$

where in the second step we have used $\delta + \omega_1(\delta) + \omega_2(\delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{period lattice}}$ and $\zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\omega_1(\delta)) + \zeta(\omega_2(\delta)) = 0$, which is the first of (3.3), while the second formula follows from the fact that the above computation can be done in the same way (with the same result) permuting the roots $\delta, \omega_1(\delta), \omega_2(\delta)$. Similarly, we have that

$$T_\delta \circ T_{\omega_1(\delta)} \circ T_{\omega_2(\delta)} \sigma_{\kappa'} = \left(\frac{1}{2} (\wp'(\kappa') - \wp'(\delta)) \right)^{-1} \sigma_{\kappa'} ,$$

and, hence, we get the condition (4.13) to hold provided that $\kappa' = -\omega_j(\kappa)$ ($j = 0, 1, 2$). The latter is the condition on the spectral variable which reduces the integral equations (2.1) to the ones for the BSQ case.

Thus, eq. (4.13) when implemented on the KP integral equations (2.1), e.g. by restricting the measure $d\mu(\ell, \ell')$ to contain δ -functions of the form $\delta(\ell' + \omega_j(\ell))$, leads to the following integral equation for the BSQ elliptic solutions:

$$\mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) + \rho_\kappa \sum_{j=0}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} d\mu_j(\ell) \sigma_{-\omega_j(\ell)} \Phi_\xi(\kappa - \omega_j(\ell)) \mathbf{u}_\ell(\xi) = \rho_\kappa \Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{c}_\kappa , \quad (4.14)$$

where ξ is given as in (2.26) (which guarantees that $T_\delta \circ T_{\omega_1(\delta)} \circ T_{\omega_2(\delta)} \xi \equiv \xi \pmod{\text{period lattice}}$), and where Γ_j and $d\mu_j(\ell)$ are contours and measures that need to be suitably chosen. Further-

more, as a consequence of the reduction the eigenvector \mathbf{u}_κ obeys

$$T_\delta \circ T_{\omega_1(\delta)} \circ T_{\omega_2(\delta)} \mathbf{u}_\kappa = -\frac{1}{2} (\wp'(\delta) + \wp'(\kappa)) \mathbf{u}_\kappa , \quad (4.15)$$

implementing the above mentioned identifications $\kappa' = -\omega_j(\kappa)$ ($j = 0, 1, 2$). Together with the formal integral equation (4.14) we have in this reduced case the following formula for the infinite matrix \mathbf{U}_ξ :

$$\mathbf{U}_\xi = \sum_{j=0}^2 \int_{\Gamma_j} d\mu_j(\ell) \sigma_{-\omega_j(\ell)} \mathbf{u}_\ell(\xi) {}^t \mathbf{c}_{-\omega_j(\ell)} \Phi_\xi({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) . \quad (4.16)$$

As a consequence of the analysis of the KP system, which fully goes through modulo the reduction, we can now readily inherit all the fundamental relations for the infinite-matrix scheme for the BSQ system by implementing the constraint (4.15) on the KP system (4.2) in the multi-dimensional space of lattice variables. By assuming that this multidimensional grid includes the lattice directions associated with the parameters $\omega_1(\delta)$ and $\omega_2(\delta)$, subject to the condition (3.2), we get the following fundamental infinite matrix system for the elliptic BSQ solution. First, the discrete dynamics for any direction indicated by the parameter δ , is given by the relations:

$$-\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi \chi_{-\delta, \xi}^{(1)}({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) = \chi_{\delta, \xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U}_\xi - \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}_\xi , \quad (4.17a)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(\xi) = \left(\chi_{\delta, \xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi \mathbf{O} \right) \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) , \quad (4.17b)$$

for the forward shift (which is the same relation as for the KP system), while for the reverse shift we have additional relations:

$$\mathbf{U}_\xi \left[\wp(\xi) + \wp({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) + \wp(\delta) - \eta_{-\delta}(\xi) \eta_\xi({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) \right] \quad (4.17c)$$

$$= \left[\wp(\tilde{\xi}) + \wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \wp(\delta) - \eta_\delta(\tilde{\xi}) \eta_{\tilde{\xi}}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right] \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi + \mathbf{U}_\xi \left[\eta_{-\delta}(\xi) \mathbf{O} + \mathbf{O} \eta_{\tilde{\xi}}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \eta_\xi({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \right] \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} (\wp'(\delta) + \wp'(\kappa)) \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) &= \left[\wp(\tilde{\xi}) + \wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \wp(\delta) - \eta_\delta(\tilde{\xi}) \eta_{\tilde{\xi}}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right] \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(\xi) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{U}_\xi \left[\eta_{-\delta}(\xi) \mathbf{O} + \mathbf{O} \eta_{\tilde{\xi}}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \eta_\xi({}^t \mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \right] \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(\xi) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.17d)$$

and similar relations for the other lattice directions. These follow directly from the double-shift KP relations (4.3) implementing the triple shift conditions on the reduction (4.15). Second, the conditions (4.15) give rise to the following algebraic constraints (which involve no lattice shifts):

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{U}_\xi \wp'({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}\wp'(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{U}_\xi + \mathbf{U}_\xi [\mathbf{O}\wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \wp({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{O} - \eta_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{O}\eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda})] \mathbf{U}_\xi + \wp(\xi)\mathbf{U}_\xi\mathbf{O}\mathbf{U}_\xi , \quad (4.18a)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\wp'(\kappa)\mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\wp'(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) + \mathbf{U}_\xi [\mathbf{O}\wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \wp({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{O} - \eta_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{O}\eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda})] \mathbf{u}_\kappa + \wp(\xi)\mathbf{U}_\xi\mathbf{O}\mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) , \quad (4.18b)$$

which follow from (4.4) implementing the condition (4.15), and noting that $\chi_{\delta,\omega_1(\delta),\omega_2(\delta)}^{[2]}(\xi) = \wp(\xi)$.

The system of equations (4.17) in all relevant lattice direction form the fundamental system from which the BSQ lattice system, including the corresponding Lax pairs, can be derived, thus implying that the quantities we will extract from the infinite matrix \mathbf{U}_ξ of (4.16) form the elliptic class of solutions of those lattice systems.

The next step, in order to derive from the set of relations (4.17) closed-form equations in terms of single elements of the matrix \mathbf{U}_ξ or combinations thereof, is to identify the relevant entries and to specify the relations involving those entries. Then closed-form equations are obtained by combining those relations for two different lattice shifts associated with two different lattice directions. For the sake of transparency of the structure, we will introduce yet another set of notations, which will make the relations as close as possible to the ones in the rational case. Thus, we introduce the notation:

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi := \eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) , \quad {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi := \eta_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) ,$$

i.e. ξ -dependent raising operators acting from the left and right, and

$$p_\xi := \eta_{-\delta}(\xi) = -\eta_\delta(\tilde{\xi}) , \quad q_\xi := \eta_{-\varepsilon}(\xi) = -\eta_\varepsilon(\hat{\xi}) ,$$

ξ -dependent lattice ‘parameters’ (replacing in a sense the lattice parameters p and q of [23]). In terms of these the fundamental relations (4.17) can be rewritten as

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi(p_\xi + {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\tilde{\xi}}) = (p_\xi - \mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi)\mathbf{U}_\xi - \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi\mathbf{O}\mathbf{U}_\xi , \quad (4.19a)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(\xi) = [p_\xi - \mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi - \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi\mathbf{O}] \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) , \quad (4.19b)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{U}_\xi \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})}{p_\xi + {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\tilde{\xi}}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) + \wp'(\mathbf{\Lambda})}{p_\xi - \mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi - \mathbf{U}_\xi (p_\xi\mathbf{O} + \mathbf{O}\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\tilde{\xi}} - {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi\mathbf{O}) \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi , \quad (4.19c)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\wp'(\delta) + \wp'(\kappa))\mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) = \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) + \wp'(\mathbf{\Lambda})}{p_\xi - \mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi} - \mathbf{U}_\xi (p_\xi\mathbf{O} + \mathbf{O}\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\tilde{\xi}} - {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi\mathbf{O}) \right] \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(\xi) \quad (4.19d)$$

(and a similar set of relations with $p_\xi \rightarrow q_\xi$ and $\sim \rightarrow \hat{\sim}$), with

$$\chi_{-\delta,\xi}^{(1)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) = -(p_\xi + {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\tilde{\xi}}) , \quad \chi_{\delta,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) = p_\xi - \mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi ,$$

and similarly for the other lattice directions. Some useful properties of these quantities are given by

$$\chi_{\delta,\tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \chi_{-\delta,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) = -(p_\xi - \mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi)(p_\xi + \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\tilde{\xi}}) = \wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \wp(\delta) ,$$

and similarly for the quantities q_ξ with $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\tilde{\xi}}$ replaced by $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\tilde{\xi}}$ and δ by ε .

5 Elliptic solutions of lattice BSQ systems

In this section we derive some concrete closed-form lattice equations within the BSQ class from the fundamental relations in terms of the infinite matrix \mathbf{U}_ξ presented in subsection 4.2. The corresponding Lax pairs for those equations will be constructed in Appendix D.

5.1 Derivation of the regular lattice BSQ equation

We proceed by constructing a system of closed-form equations for specific elements of the matrix \mathbf{U}_ξ by combining the relations from the system (4.17) for two different lattice shifts with parameters δ and ε . Setting by definition:

$$u_{0,0} := u_{0,0}(\xi) = (\mathbf{U}_\xi)_{0,0} , \quad u_{1,0} := u_{1,0}(\xi) = (\mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi \mathbf{U}_\xi)_{0,0} , \quad (5.1a)$$

$$u_{0,1} := u_{0,1}(\xi) = (\mathbf{U}_\xi {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi)_{0,0} , \quad u_{1,1} := u_{1,1}(\xi) = (\mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi \mathbf{U}_\xi {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi)_{0,0} , \quad (5.1b)$$

$$u_{2,0} := u_{2,0}(\xi) = (\wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U}_\xi)_{0,0} , \quad u_{0,2} := u_{0,2}(\xi) = (\mathbf{U}_\xi \wp({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}))_{0,0} , \quad (5.1c)$$

we can derive various relations from the system (4.19) by taking the $(\)_{0,0}$ element, either directly or after multiplication by factors of the form $p_\xi + {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\tilde{\xi}}$ (from the right) or $p_\xi - \mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi$ (from the left). Thus, from (4.19a) and (4.17c) as well as (4.19c) we obtain the following set of relations

(suppressing the arguments ξ of the functions):

$$p_\xi \widetilde{u}_{0,0} + \widetilde{u}_{0,1} = p_\xi u_{0,0} - u_{1,0} - \widetilde{u}_{0,0} u_{0,0} , \quad (5.2a)$$

$$p_\xi^2 \widetilde{u}_{0,0} + p_\xi (\widetilde{u}_{1,0} + \widetilde{u}_{0,1}) + \widetilde{u}_{1,1} = \wp(\delta) u_{0,0} - u_{2,0} - (p_\xi \widetilde{u}_{0,0} + \widetilde{u}_{1,0}) u_{0,0} , \quad (5.2b)$$

$$\wp(\delta) \widetilde{u}_{0,0} - \widetilde{u}_{0,2} = p_\xi^2 u_{0,0} - p_\xi (u_{0,1} + u_{1,0}) + u_{1,1} - \widetilde{u}_{0,0} (p_\xi u_{0,0} - u_{0,1}) , \quad (5.2c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\wp(\delta) + \wp(\xi)) u_{0,0} + u_{0,2} - p_\xi u_{0,1} \\ &= (\wp(\delta) + \wp(\xi)) \widetilde{u}_{0,0} + \widetilde{u}_{2,0} + (p_\xi + u_{0,0}) \widetilde{u}_{1,0} + (p_\xi u_{0,0} - u_{0,1}) \widetilde{u}_{0,0} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.2d)$$

Similarly from their counterparts for the other shift, i.e. replacing the shift $\widetilde{}$ by the shift $\widehat{}$ and p_ξ by q_ξ , we obtain:

$$q_\xi \widehat{u}_{0,0} + \widehat{u}_{0,1} = q_\xi u_{0,0} - u_{1,0} - \widehat{u}_{0,0} u_{0,0} , \quad (5.3a)$$

$$q_\xi^2 \widehat{u}_{0,0} + q_\xi (\widehat{u}_{1,0} + \widehat{u}_{0,1}) + \widehat{u}_{1,1} = \wp(\varepsilon) u_{0,0} - u_{2,0} - (q_\xi \widehat{u}_{0,0} + \widehat{u}_{1,0}) u_{0,0} , \quad (5.3b)$$

$$\wp(\varepsilon) \widehat{u}_{0,0} - \widehat{u}_{0,2} = q_\xi^2 u_{0,0} - q_\xi (u_{0,1} + u_{1,0}) + u_{1,1} - \widehat{u}_{0,0} (q_\xi u_{0,0} - u_{0,1}) , \quad (5.3c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\wp(\varepsilon) + \wp(\xi)) u_{0,0} + u_{0,2} - q_\xi u_{0,1} \\ &= (\wp(\varepsilon) + \wp(\xi)) \widehat{u}_{0,0} + \widehat{u}_{2,0} + (q_\xi + u_{0,0}) \widehat{u}_{1,0} + (q_\xi u_{0,0} - u_{0,1}) \widehat{u}_{0,0} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.3d)$$

There exist several consistencies among these relations. For instance, subtracting (5.3b) from (5.2b) and using (5.2c) and (5.3c) to eliminate $u_{1,1}$ we find a triviality provided the following relation

$$p_{\widetilde{\xi}} + q_\xi = q_{\widetilde{\xi}} + p_\xi , \quad (5.4a)$$

which follows from the definition of these quantities, is being used. Another relation that is frequently needed in these computations is

$$p_{\widetilde{\xi}} q_\xi + \wp(\widehat{\xi}) = q_{\widetilde{\xi}} p_\xi + \wp(\widetilde{\xi}) , \quad (5.4b)$$

which is a consequence of

$$\wp(\delta) + \wp(\varepsilon) + \wp(\widetilde{\xi}) + p_\xi q_{\widetilde{\xi}} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)}{p_\xi - q_\xi} . \quad (5.4c)$$

which in turn follows from the general relation (A.12) of Appendix A.

Combining the above relations in a nontrivial way, while eliminating $u_{0,2}$ and $u_{2,0}$ and $u_{(1,1)}$, is obtained by subtracting (5.3c) from (5.2c) and using the $\widetilde{}$ -shift of (5.3d) and the $\widehat{}$ -shift of

(5.2d), which leads to the followin relation:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)}{p_\xi - q_\xi + \widehat{u_{0,0}} - \widetilde{u_{0,0}}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)}{p_\xi - q_\xi} + \widehat{u_{1,0}} + u_{0,1} + u_{0,0} \widehat{u_{0,0}} + (p_{\widehat{\xi}} + q_\xi)(\widehat{u_{0,0}} - u_{0,0}), \quad (5.5)$$

which together with (5.2a) and (5.3a) form a coupled 3-component system for the functions $u_{0,0}, u_{1,0}$ and $u_{0,1}$ which is equivalent to the lattice BSQ system. In fact, by eliminate the quantities $u_{1,0}$ and $u_{0,1}$ in this three-component lattice system, by shifting (5.5) in both lattice directions, we obtain the 9-point equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)}{p_{\widehat{\xi}} - q_{\widehat{\xi}} + \widehat{\widehat{u_{0,0}}} - \widetilde{\widetilde{u_{0,0}}}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)}{p_{\widetilde{\xi}} - q_{\widetilde{\xi}} + \widetilde{\widetilde{u_{0,0}}} - \widehat{\widehat{u_{0,0}}}} = \\ & = \left(p_{\widehat{\xi}} - q_{\widehat{\xi}} + \widehat{\widehat{u_{0,0}}} - \widetilde{\widetilde{u_{0,0}}} \right) \left(p_{\widetilde{\xi}} + p_{\widehat{\xi}} + q_{\widehat{\xi}} + \widehat{u_{0,0}} - \widetilde{\widetilde{u_{0,0}}} \right) \\ & \quad - \left(p_\xi - q_\xi + \widehat{u_{0,0}} - \widetilde{u_{0,0}} \right) \left(p_{\widetilde{\xi}} + p_{\widehat{\xi}} + q_\xi + u_{0,0} - \widetilde{\widetilde{u_{0,0}}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

In section 7, we will relate (5.6) to the 'normalised' form of the lattice BSQ equation (1.2) and show that the DL scheme leads to explicit solutions, namely of elliptic seed and soliton type.

5.2 Parameter-dependent quantities and the lattice modified BSQ equation

In order to obtain closed-form equations from the shift relations (4.17a) and (4.17c), we introduce the following objects:

$$s_\alpha(\xi) = \eta_\alpha(\xi) - \left(\left(\chi_{\alpha,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{U}_\xi \eta_\xi(t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right)_{0,0}, \quad (5.7a)$$

$$t_\beta(\xi) = \eta_\beta(\xi) - \left(\eta_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U}_\xi \left(\chi_{\beta,\xi}^{(1)}(t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right)^{-1} \right)_{0,0}, \quad (5.7b)$$

$$r_\alpha(\xi) = \wp(\alpha) - \left(\left(\chi_{\alpha,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{U}_\xi \wp(t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right)_{0,0}, \quad (5.7c)$$

$$z_\beta(\xi) = \wp(\beta) - \left(\wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U}_\xi \left(\chi_{\beta,\xi}^{(1)}(t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right)^{-1} \right)_{0,0}, \quad (5.7d)$$

and recall $v_\alpha(\xi), w_\beta(\xi), s_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi)$ defined in subsection 2.2 :

$$v_\alpha(\xi) = 1 - \left(\left(\chi_{\alpha,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{U}_\xi \right)_{0,0}, \quad (5.7e)$$

$$w_\beta(\xi) = 1 - \left(\mathbf{U}_\xi \left(\chi_{\beta,\xi}^{(1)}(t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right)^{-1} \right)_{0,0}, \quad (5.7f)$$

$$s_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) = \left(\left(\chi_{\alpha,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{U}_\xi \left(\chi_{\beta,\xi}^{(1)}(t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \right)^{-1} \right)_{0,0}. \quad (5.7g)$$

Several identities are needed to derive the relevant single-shift relations for these quantities, namely

$$\frac{\chi_{\delta, \tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda})}{\chi_{\alpha, \tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda})} = 1 - \frac{\eta_{\delta}(\tilde{\xi}) - \eta_{\alpha}(\tilde{\xi})}{\chi_{\alpha, \xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda})}, \quad \frac{\chi_{-\delta, \xi}^{(1)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})}{\chi_{\beta, \xi}^{(1)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})} = 1 - \frac{\eta_{-\delta}(\xi) - \eta_{\beta}(\xi)}{\chi_{\beta, \tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})}, \quad (5.8)$$

which follow from (A.11), as well as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\wp(\tilde{\xi}) + \wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \wp(\delta) - \eta_{\delta}(\tilde{\xi})\eta_{\tilde{\xi}}(\mathbf{\Lambda})}{\chi_{\alpha, \xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda})} = \\ & = \zeta(\alpha + \xi) - \zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\tilde{\xi}) - \zeta(\alpha + \mathbf{\Lambda}) + \zeta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \eta_{\delta}(\tilde{\xi}) \frac{\eta_{-\delta}(\xi) - \eta_{\alpha}(\xi)}{\chi_{\alpha, \tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda})}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\wp(\xi) + \wp({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \wp(\delta) - \eta_{-\delta}(\xi)\eta_{\xi}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})}{\chi_{\beta, \tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})} = \\ & = \zeta(\beta + \tilde{\xi}) + \zeta(\delta) - \zeta(\xi) - \zeta(\beta + {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \zeta({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \eta_{-\delta}(\xi) \frac{\eta_{\delta}(\tilde{\xi}) - \eta_{\beta}(\tilde{\xi})}{\chi_{\beta, \xi}^{(1)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9b)$$

which follow from (A.9) and (A.7) in combination with (5.8). Using (5.8) by multiplying (4.17a) by factors $\left(\chi_{\alpha, \tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda})\right)^{-1}$ from the left and by $\left(\chi_{\beta, \xi}^{(1)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\right)^{-1}$ from the right and taking the $(\)_{0,0}$ element, and using the relations (5.9) by multiplying (4.17c) by factors $\left(\chi_{\alpha, \xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda})\right)^{-1}$ from the left and by $\left(\chi_{\beta, \tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\right)^{-1}$ from the right and taking the $(\)_{0,0}$ element, we obtain the following relations relating the 2-parameter quantity $s_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi)$ to the other quantities defined above:

$$1 + (p_{\xi} + a_{\tilde{\xi}})s_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi) - (p_{\xi} - b_{\xi})\widetilde{s_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi)} = \widetilde{v_{\alpha}(\xi)}w_{\beta}(\xi), \quad (5.10a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\xi} - a_{\xi} + b_{\tilde{\xi}} + B_{-\delta}(\xi)s_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi) - A_{\delta}(\tilde{\xi})\widetilde{s_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi)} = \\ = p_{\xi}v_{\alpha}(\xi)\widetilde{w_{\beta}(\xi)} + v_{\alpha}(\xi)\widetilde{t_{\beta}(\xi)} - s_{\alpha}(\xi)\widetilde{w_{\beta}(\xi)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10b)$$

respectively, in which, for convenience, we have introduced the notation:

$$a_{\xi} := \eta_{\alpha}(\xi), \quad b_{\xi} := \eta_{\beta}(\xi), \quad (5.11a)$$

$$A_{\nu}(\xi) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\nu) - \wp'(\alpha)}{\eta_{\nu}(\xi) - \eta_{\alpha}(\xi)}, \quad B_{\nu}(\xi) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\nu) - \wp'(\beta)}{\eta_{\nu}(\xi) - \eta_{\beta}(\xi)}. \quad (5.11b)$$

The latter quantities appear in (5.10b) in the following form:

$$A_{\delta}(\tilde{\xi}) = p_{\xi}(p_{\xi} - a_{\xi}) - \wp(\tilde{\xi}) + \wp(\alpha) = \wp(\alpha) + \wp(\xi) + \wp(\delta) - \eta_{-\delta}(\xi)\eta_{\alpha}(\xi) \quad (5.12a)$$

$$B_{-\delta}(\xi) = p_{\xi}(p_{\xi} + b_{\tilde{\xi}}) - \wp(\xi) + \wp(\beta) = \wp(\beta) + \wp(\tilde{\xi}) + \wp(\delta) - \eta_{\delta}(\tilde{\xi})\eta_{\beta}(\tilde{\xi}) \quad (5.12b)$$

(noting the curious interchange of the roles of ξ and $\tilde{\xi}$ when δ changes into $-\delta$). Note that we also have the relations:

$$A_\delta(\tilde{\xi}) = p_{-\alpha}(p_\xi - a_\xi) , \quad B_{-\delta}(\xi) = p_\beta(p_\xi + b_{\tilde{\xi}}) . \quad (5.13)$$

For the single-parameter quantities $s_\alpha, t_\beta, r_\alpha, z_\beta$ we can derive a system of shift relations in a similar way, using single-parameter multiplying factors from the left or the right before taking the $(\)_{0,0}$ elements. Thus, from (4.17a) multiplying from the left by $(\chi_{\alpha,\tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}$ or by $(\chi_{\beta,\xi}^{(1)}(t\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}$ from the right and projecting on the $(\)_{0,0}$ element, we obtain:

$$\widetilde{s_\alpha(\xi)} = -(p_\xi + u_{0,0})\widetilde{v_\alpha(\xi)} + (p_\xi + a_{\tilde{\xi}})v_\alpha(\xi) , \quad (5.14a)$$

$$t_\beta(\xi) = (p_\xi - \widetilde{u_{0,0}})w_\beta(\xi) - (p_\xi - b_{\tilde{\xi}})\widetilde{w_\beta(\xi)} . \quad (5.14b)$$

Furthermore, by multiplying (4.17a) from the left by $(\chi_{\alpha,\tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}$ and from the right by $\chi_{\delta,\tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(t\mathbf{\Lambda})$ and taking the $(\)_{0,0}$ element, respectively by multiplying from the right by $(\chi_{\beta,\xi}^{(1)}(t\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}$ and from the left by $\chi_{-\delta,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda})$ and projecting, we obtain

$$\widetilde{r_\alpha(\xi)} = (\wp(\delta) + p_\xi u_{0,0} - u_{0,1})\widetilde{v_\alpha(\xi)} - (p_\xi + a_{\tilde{\xi}})(p_\xi v_\alpha(\xi) - s_\alpha(\xi)) , \quad (5.15a)$$

$$z_\beta(\xi) = (\wp(\delta) - p_\xi \widetilde{u_{0,0}} - \widetilde{u_{1,0}})w_\beta(\xi) - (p_\xi - b_{\tilde{\xi}})(p_\xi \widetilde{w_\beta(\xi)} + t_\beta(\xi)) . \quad (5.15b)$$

Finally, from (4.17c) by multiplying from the left by $(\chi_{\alpha,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}$, or from the right by $(\chi_{\beta,\tilde{\xi}}^{(1)}(t\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}$ and taking the $(\)_{0,0}$ elements, while using the relations (5.9a) and (5.9b) respectively, we obtain:

$$r_\alpha(\xi) = A_\delta(\tilde{\xi})\widetilde{v_\alpha(\xi)} + (p_\xi - \widetilde{u_{0,0}})s_\alpha(\xi) - (\wp(\xi) + \wp(\delta) - p_\xi \widetilde{u_{0,0}} - \widetilde{u_{1,0}})v_\alpha(\xi) , \quad (5.16a)$$

$$\widetilde{z_\beta(\xi)} = B_{-\delta}(\xi)w_\beta(\xi) - (p_\xi + u_{0,0})\widetilde{t_\beta(\xi)} - (\wp(\tilde{\xi}) + \wp(\delta) + p_\xi u_{0,0} - u_{0,1})\widetilde{w_\beta(\xi)} . \quad (5.16b)$$

Henceforth we will, for brevity, suppress the arguments ξ in these parameter functions, and simply write v_α for $v_\alpha(\xi)$, etc., where it is understood that shifts $\widetilde{v_\alpha} = \widetilde{v_\alpha(\xi)}$ act on the function as well as its argument, unless explicitly stated.

Obviously the relations (5.14)-(5.16) have their counterpart for the other lattice direction, replacing $\tilde{\ } by $\widehat{\ }$ and δ by ε . By combining relations of this type for the two lattice directions, and thereby eliminating some of the parameter quantities, various significant additional relations of$

Miura type can be derived. Here the relations (5.2a) and (5.3a) are needed as well to eliminate the quantities $u_{0,0}$, $u_{1,0}$ and $u_{0,1}$ when necessary. For instance, from (5.16) by eliminating the quantities r_α and z_β and using (5.2a) and (5.3a) we find the 2-shift relations

$$A_\delta(\tilde{\xi}) \frac{\widetilde{v_\alpha}}{v_\alpha} - A_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi}) \frac{\widehat{v_\alpha}}{v_\alpha} = (p_\xi - q_\xi + \widehat{u_{0,0}} - \widetilde{u_{0,0}}) \left(p_{\widehat{\xi}} + q_\xi - \frac{s_\alpha}{v_\alpha} - \widehat{u_{0,0}} \right), \quad (5.17a)$$

$$B_{-\delta}(\widehat{\xi}) \frac{\widehat{w_\beta}}{w_\beta} - B_{-\varepsilon}(\tilde{\xi}) \frac{\widetilde{w_\beta}}{w_\beta} = (p_\xi - q_\xi + \widehat{u_{0,0}} - \widetilde{u_{0,0}}) \left(p_{\widehat{\xi}} + q_\xi + u_{0,0} + \frac{\widehat{t_\beta}}{w_\beta} \right), \quad (5.17b)$$

where we have also used (5.4a) and the relation

$$(p_\xi - q_\xi)(p_{\widehat{\xi}} + q_\xi) = \wp(\delta) - \wp(\varepsilon), \quad (5.18)$$

which follows from the definitions of these quantities and the relation (A.7). At the same time, from (5.14) and its counterparts with shifts in the other lattice direction, we can derive the relations:

$$p_\xi - q_\xi + \widehat{u_{0,0}} - \widetilde{u_{0,0}} = (p_{\widehat{\xi}} + a_{\widehat{\xi}}) \frac{\widehat{v_\alpha}}{v_\alpha} - (q_{\widehat{\xi}} + a_{\widehat{\xi}}) \frac{\widetilde{v_\alpha}}{v_\alpha} \quad (5.19a)$$

$$= (p_\xi - b_\xi) \frac{\widehat{w_\beta}}{w_\beta} - (q_\xi - b_\xi) \frac{\widetilde{w_\beta}}{w_\beta}, \quad (5.19b)$$

as well as the relations

$$p_\xi - q_\xi - \frac{\widehat{s_\alpha}}{v_\alpha} + \frac{\widetilde{s_\alpha}}{v_\alpha} = (p_\xi + a_{\widehat{\xi}}) \frac{v_\alpha}{v_\alpha} - (q_\xi + a_{\widehat{\xi}}) \frac{v_\alpha}{v_\alpha} \quad (5.20a)$$

$$p_{\widehat{\xi}} - q_{\widehat{\xi}} - \frac{\widehat{t_\beta}}{w_\beta} + \frac{\widetilde{t_\beta}}{w_\beta} = (p_{\widehat{\xi}} - b_{\widehat{\xi}}) \frac{\widehat{w_\beta}}{w_\beta} - (q_{\widehat{\xi}} - b_{\widehat{\xi}}) \frac{\widetilde{w_\beta}}{w_\beta}, \quad (5.20b)$$

We note at this points that we have now already two closed systems of equations, namely one for the quantities $u_{0,0}$, v_α and s_α given by (5.17a), and the two relations given by (5.14a) and its counterpart in the other lattice shift direction, and the other for $u_{0,0}$, w_β and t_β (5.17b), and the two relations given by (5.14b) with its counterpart in the other lattice direction. However, one can also derive at this juncture a closed-form 9-point equation for v_α , or alternatively for w_β , alone. In fact, by solving s_α/v_α from (5.17a), and inserting the result into (5.14a), we get

$$p_\xi + p_{\widehat{\xi}} + q_{\widehat{\xi}} + u_{0,0} - \widehat{u_{0,0}} - \frac{\widehat{v_\alpha}}{v_\alpha} \frac{A_\delta(\tilde{\xi}) \widetilde{v_\alpha} - A_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi}) \widehat{v_\alpha}}{(p_{\widehat{\xi}} + a_{\widehat{\xi}}) \widehat{v_\alpha} - (q_{\widehat{\xi}} + a_{\widehat{\xi}}) \widetilde{v_\alpha}} = (p_\xi + a_{\widehat{\xi}}) \frac{v_\alpha}{v_\alpha},$$

and by subtracting a second copy of this relation with δ and ε interchanged (interchanging also p_ξ and q_ξ and the \sim - and \widehat lattice shifts) we can use (5.19a) to eliminate all the quantities $u_{0,0}$. The result is a 9-point equation for v_α , namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-A_\delta(\widehat{\xi})\widehat{v_\alpha(\xi)} + A_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi})\widehat{v_\alpha(\xi)}}{(q_{\widehat{\xi}} + a_{\widehat{\xi}})\widehat{v_\alpha(\xi)} - (p_{\widehat{\xi}} + a_{\widehat{\xi}})\widehat{v_\alpha(\xi)}} \frac{\widehat{v_\alpha(\xi)}}{\widehat{v_\alpha(\xi)}} - \frac{-A_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\xi})\widetilde{v_\alpha(\xi)} + A_\delta(\widetilde{\xi})\widetilde{v_\alpha(\xi)}}{(p_{\widetilde{\xi}} + a_{\widetilde{\xi}})\widetilde{v_\alpha(\xi)} - (q_{\widetilde{\xi}} + a_{\widetilde{\xi}})\widetilde{v_\alpha(\xi)}} \frac{\widetilde{v_\alpha(\xi)}}{\widetilde{v_\alpha(\xi)}} = \\ & = \left(p_\xi + a_{\widehat{\xi}} \right) \frac{v_\alpha(\xi)}{v_\alpha(\xi)} - \left(q_\xi + a_{\widehat{\xi}} \right) \frac{v_\alpha(\xi)}{v_\alpha(\xi)} - \left(p_{\widetilde{\xi}} + a_{\widetilde{\xi}} \right) \frac{v_\alpha(\xi)}{v_\alpha(\xi)} + \left(q_{\widetilde{\xi}} + a_{\widetilde{\xi}} \right) \frac{v_\alpha(\xi)}{v_\alpha(\xi)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

which can be brought into the form of the lattice modified BSQ equation of [23].

Similarly, solving $\widehat{t_\beta/w_\beta}$ from (5.17b) and inserting this into (5.14b) we get

$$\frac{w_\beta}{\widehat{w_\beta}} \frac{B_{-\delta}(\widehat{\xi})\widehat{w_\beta} - B_{-\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\xi})\widetilde{w_\beta}}{(p_\xi - b_\xi)\widehat{w_\beta} - (q_\xi - b_\xi)\widetilde{w_\beta}} + (p_{\widehat{\xi}} - b_{\widehat{\xi}}) \frac{\widehat{w_\beta}}{\widehat{w_\beta}} = q_\xi + p_{\widehat{\xi}} + p_{\widetilde{\xi}} + u_{0,0} - \widehat{u_{0,0}},$$

and subtracting the shifted versions of this relation in both lattice directions, we can eliminate the quantities $u_{0,0}$ by using (5.19b) to get a closed-form 9-point relation for w_β . The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\widehat{w_\beta}}{\widehat{w_\beta}} \frac{B_{-\delta}(\widehat{\xi})\widehat{w_\beta} - B_{-\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\xi})\widetilde{w_\beta}}{(p_{\widehat{\xi}} - b_{\widehat{\xi}})\widehat{w_\beta} - (q_{\widehat{\xi}} - b_{\widehat{\xi}})\widetilde{w_\beta}} + (p_{\widehat{\xi}} - b_{\widehat{\xi}}) \frac{\widehat{w_\beta}}{\widehat{w_\beta}} - \frac{\widetilde{w_\beta}}{\widetilde{w_\beta}} \frac{B_{-\delta}(\widehat{\xi})\widehat{w_\beta} - B_{-\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\xi})\widetilde{w_\beta}}{(p_{\widetilde{\xi}} - b_{\widetilde{\xi}})\widetilde{w_\beta} - (q_{\widetilde{\xi}} - b_{\widetilde{\xi}})\widehat{w_\beta}} - (p_{\widetilde{\xi}} - b_{\widetilde{\xi}}) \frac{\widetilde{w_\beta}}{\widetilde{w_\beta}} \\ & = (p_\xi - b_\xi) \frac{\widehat{w_\beta}}{w_\beta} - (q_\xi - b_\xi) \frac{\widetilde{w_\beta}}{w_\beta} - (p_{\widehat{\xi}} - b_{\widehat{\xi}}) \frac{\widehat{w_\beta}}{\widehat{w_\beta}} + (q_{\widetilde{\xi}} - b_{\widetilde{\xi}}) \frac{\widetilde{w_\beta}}{\widetilde{w_\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

In section 7 we shall bring this equation in the standard form of lattice modified BSQ and present some explicit elliptic type solutions.

5.3 Trilinear equation of the τ -function for the lattice BSQ system

The τ -function associated with the lattice BSQ system is exactly the same as given for the lattice KP system, introduced in subsection 2, namely given by (2.9), but of course taking into account the BSQ reduction discussed in subsection 4.2. The principal relations this τ -function obeys were derived in Appendix B, and are given by the shift relations

$$\frac{\widetilde{\tau_\xi}}{\tau_\xi} = v_\delta(\xi), \quad \frac{\widehat{\tau_\xi}}{\tau_\xi} = w_{-\delta}(\xi), \quad \frac{\tau_\xi}{\tau_\xi} = v_\varepsilon(\xi), \quad \frac{\widehat{\tau_\xi}}{\tau_\xi} = w_{-\varepsilon}(\xi), \quad (5.23)$$

where the overtilde $\widetilde{\tau}_\xi$ and the undertilde $\underline{\tau}_\xi$ denotes the forward and backward shift T_δ and T_δ^{-1} respectively, implemented also on the argument ξ , and similarly for the overhat $\widehat{\tau}_\xi$ and underhat $\underline{\tau}_\xi$, associated with the forward and backward shifts T_ε and T_ε^{-1} respectively, acting also on the argument ξ . As a consequence of (5.23), together with the relations

$$1 - \chi_{\alpha, -\delta}^{(1)}(\xi) s_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi) - \chi_{\beta, \delta}^{(1)}(\widetilde{\xi}) \widetilde{s_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi)} = \widetilde{v_\alpha(\xi)} w_\beta(\xi) , \quad (5.24a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta(\xi + \alpha) - \zeta(\alpha) - \zeta(\delta) + \zeta(\beta) - \zeta(\widetilde{\xi} + \beta) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\beta) + \wp'(\delta)}{\eta_\beta(\xi) - \eta_{-\delta}(\xi)} s_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\alpha)}{\eta_\alpha(\widetilde{\xi}) - \eta_\delta(\widetilde{\xi})} \widetilde{s_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi)} \\ &= s_\alpha(\xi) \widetilde{w_\beta(\xi)} - v_\alpha(\xi) \widetilde{t_\beta(\xi)} + \eta_\delta(\widetilde{\xi}) v_\alpha(\xi) \widetilde{w_\beta(\xi)} , \end{aligned} \quad (5.24b)$$

(which are equivalent to (5.10)), setting $\alpha = \delta, \beta = -\varepsilon$, we also have

$$1 - \chi_{\delta, -\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\xi) s_{\delta, -\varepsilon}(\xi) = \frac{\widehat{\tau}_\xi}{\tau_\xi} . \quad (5.25)$$

Furthermore, from (5.19b), setting $\beta = -\delta$, together with (5.23), we get

$$p_\xi - q_\xi + \widetilde{u_{0,0}} - \widetilde{u_{0,0}} = (p_\xi - q_\xi) \frac{\tau_\xi \widehat{\tau}_\xi}{\widehat{\tau}_\xi \tau_\xi} \quad (5.26)$$

Furthermore, from (5.14a) setting $\alpha = \delta$, which implies $a_{\widetilde{\xi}} = -p_\xi$, we see that

$$\frac{\widetilde{s}_\delta}{\widetilde{v}_\delta} = -(p_\xi + u_{0,0}) ,$$

which, inserted into (5.17a), with $\alpha = \delta$, implying $A_\delta(\widetilde{\xi}) = P_\delta(\xi)$, $A_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi}) = P_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi})$, gives us:

$$(p_\xi - q_\xi) \left(p_{\widetilde{\xi}} + q_\xi + p_{\underline{\xi}} + u_{0,0} - \widetilde{u_{0,0}} \right) \frac{\widehat{\tau}_\xi}{\widehat{\tau}_\xi \tau_\xi} = P_\delta(\xi) \frac{\tau_\xi}{\tau_\xi \underline{\tau}_\xi} - P_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi}) \frac{\widehat{\tau}_\xi}{\widehat{\tau}_\xi \tau_\xi} ,$$

where now the coefficients $A_\delta(\widetilde{\xi})$ and $A_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi})$ when $\alpha = \delta$ are given by

$$P_\delta(\xi) := A_\delta(\widetilde{\xi}) \Big|_{\alpha=\delta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp''(\delta)}{\wp(\delta) - \wp(\xi)} , \quad P_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi}) := A_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi}) \Big|_{\alpha=\delta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\varepsilon) - \wp'(\delta)}{\eta_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi}) - \eta_\delta(\widehat{\xi})} .$$

Adding to the latter relation the one in which the $\widetilde{\cdot}$ - and $\widehat{\cdot}$ shifts and the δ - and ε parameters are interchanged, thereby introducing the coefficients

$$Q_\varepsilon(\xi) := A_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\xi}) \Big|_{\alpha=\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp''(\varepsilon)}{\wp(\varepsilon) - \wp(\xi)} , \quad Q_\delta(\widehat{\xi}) := A_\delta(\widehat{\xi}) \Big|_{\alpha=\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)}{\eta_\delta(\widehat{\xi}) - \eta_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi})} .$$

and eliminating the $u_{0,0}$ using the relation (5.26), we arrive at a *trilinear* equation for the τ -function, namely

$$(p_\xi - q_\xi)(p_{\underline{\xi}} - q_{\underline{\xi}}) \tau_\xi \tau_\xi \widehat{\tau}_\xi = P_\delta(\xi) \tau_\xi \tau_\xi \widehat{\tau}_\xi + Q_\varepsilon(\xi) \tau_\xi \tau_\xi \widetilde{\tau}_\xi - Q_\delta(\widehat{\xi}) \tau_\xi \widetilde{\tau}_\xi \widehat{\tau}_\xi - P_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi}) \tau_\xi \widehat{\tau}_\xi \widetilde{\tau}_\xi . \quad (5.27)$$

A similar trilinear equation like (5.27) was found in [32] for the extended lattice BSQ system in the case of the rational parametrisation of the equation.

5.4 2-parameter variables and generalised lattice Schwarzian BSQ equation

Now we will focus on the relations (5.10) for the quantity $s_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi)$ and derive a closed-form equation for that quantity.

First, we want to rewrite the relations in a form that is more suitable for a comparison with the standard ABS class of quad-lattice equations, cf. [1]. To achieve this we introduce the quantities ³

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) = s_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) - \left(\chi_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}(\xi)\right)^{-1}. \quad (5.28)$$

The corresponding shift relations following from (5.10), or equivalently (5.10b), read

$$(p_\xi + a_{\tilde{\xi}})S_{\alpha,\beta} - (p_\xi - b_\xi)\widetilde{S}_{\alpha,\beta} = \widetilde{v}_\alpha w_\beta, \quad (5.29a)$$

$$B_{-\delta}(\xi)S_{\alpha,\beta} - A_\delta(\tilde{\xi})\widetilde{S}_{\alpha,\beta} = p_\xi v_\alpha \widetilde{w}_\beta + v_\alpha \tilde{t}_\beta - s_\alpha \widetilde{w}_\beta, \quad (5.29b)$$

(we omit the arguments ξ in the main functions, while keeping them in the coefficients, where it is understood that all the shifts acting on those functions also act on that argument ξ of the functions), and similarly the corresponding counterparts in the other lattice direction, namely

$$(q_\xi + a_{\widehat{\xi}})S_{\alpha,\beta} - (q_\xi - b_\xi)\widehat{S}_{\alpha,\beta} = \widehat{v}_\alpha w_\beta, \quad (5.30a)$$

$$B_{-\varepsilon}(\xi)S_{\alpha,\beta} - A_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi})\widehat{S}_{\alpha,\beta} = q_\xi v_\alpha \widehat{w}_\beta + v_\alpha \widehat{t}_\beta - s_\alpha \widehat{w}_\beta, \quad (5.30b)$$

Next, taking the $\widehat{\cdot}$ shift of (5.29b) and using (5.17b) and the $\{\widehat{\cdot}, \varepsilon\}$ version of (5.14a) to replace $\widehat{t}_\beta(\xi)$ and $\widehat{s}_\alpha(\xi)$, respectively, and using (5.19b) to replace $p - q + \widehat{u}_{0,0} - \widetilde{u}_{0,0}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (q_\xi + a_{\widehat{\xi}})v_\alpha \widehat{w}_\beta &= A_\delta(\tilde{\xi})\widetilde{S}_{\alpha,\beta} - B_{-\delta}(\tilde{\xi})\widetilde{S}_{\alpha,\beta} \\ &\quad + \widehat{v}_\alpha w_\beta \frac{B_{-\delta}(\tilde{\xi})\widetilde{w}_\beta - B_{-\varepsilon}(\tilde{\xi})\widetilde{w}_\beta}{(p_\xi - b_\xi)\widetilde{w}_\beta - (q_\xi - b_\xi)\widetilde{w}_\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

³From (5.25) we see that the quantity $S_{\alpha,\beta}$ could readily expressed in the τ -function if we allow there to lattice shifts associated with the parameters α and β in lieu of δ and ε . This would require, by MDC, that we have to extend the plane-wave factors ρ_κ and $\sigma_{\kappa'}$ in the DL scheme to contain factors with α and β as lattice parameters, and hence directions in the lattice with discrete variables associated with these additional lattice directions.

Multiplying numerator and denominator in the fraction on the right-hand side by \widehat{v}_α we can use (5.30a) to express the entire right-hand side in terms of $S_{\alpha,\beta}$, which leads to

$$v_\alpha \widehat{w}_\beta = \frac{\mathcal{Q}(S_{\alpha,\beta}, \widetilde{S}_{\alpha,\beta}, \widehat{S}_{\alpha,\beta}, \widetilde{\widehat{S}}_{\alpha,\beta})}{(p_\xi - b_\xi)(q_{\widetilde{\xi}} + a_{\widetilde{\xi}})\widetilde{S}_{\alpha,\beta} - (q_\xi - b_\xi)(p_{\widetilde{\xi}} + a_{\widetilde{\xi}})\widehat{S}_{\alpha,\beta}} \quad (5.32)$$

where in the denominator some of the terms have disappeared by virtue of the relation

$$(p_\xi - b_\xi)(q_{\widetilde{\xi}} - b_{\widetilde{\xi}}) - (q_\xi - b_\xi)(p_{\widetilde{\xi}} - b_{\widetilde{\xi}}) = \chi_{\beta,\delta}^{(1)}(\widetilde{\xi})\chi_{\beta,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\widetilde{\xi}) - \chi_{\beta,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\widehat{\xi})\chi_{\beta,\delta}^{(1)}(\widehat{\xi}) = 0 ,$$

and where the quad function in the numerator is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(S_{\alpha,\beta}, \widetilde{S}_{\alpha,\beta}, \widehat{S}_{\alpha,\beta}, \widetilde{\widehat{S}}_{\alpha,\beta}) = & p_\beta(p_{\widetilde{\xi}} + a_{\widetilde{\xi}})(p_{\widehat{\xi}} + b_{\widehat{\xi}}) \left(S_{\alpha,\beta}\widehat{S}_{\alpha,\beta} + \gamma\widetilde{S}_{\alpha,\beta}\widetilde{\widehat{S}}_{\alpha,\beta} \right) \\ & - q_\beta(q_{\widetilde{\xi}} + a_{\widetilde{\xi}})(q_{\widehat{\xi}} + b_{\widehat{\xi}}) \left(S_{\alpha,\beta}\widetilde{S}_{\alpha,\beta} + \gamma'\widehat{S}_{\alpha,\beta}\widetilde{\widehat{S}}_{\alpha,\beta} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)) \left(S_{\alpha,\beta}\widetilde{\widehat{S}}_{\alpha,\beta} + \gamma''\widetilde{S}_{\alpha,\beta}\widehat{S}_{\alpha,\beta} \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (5.33a)$$

where we have used (5.13) and where the coefficients γ , γ' and γ'' are given by

$$\gamma = \frac{p_{-\alpha}}{p_\beta} \frac{(p_\xi - a_\xi)(p_\xi - b_\xi)}{(p_{\widetilde{\xi}} + a_{\widetilde{\xi}})(p_{\widehat{\xi}} + b_{\widehat{\xi}})} , \quad (5.33b)$$

$$\gamma' = \frac{q_{-\alpha}}{q_\beta} \frac{(q_\xi - a_\xi)(q_\xi - b_\xi)}{(q_{\widetilde{\xi}} + a_{\widetilde{\xi}})(q_{\widehat{\xi}} + b_{\widehat{\xi}})} , \quad (5.33c)$$

$$\gamma'' = \frac{\wp'(\beta) + \wp'(\delta)}{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)} \frac{(p_\xi - a_\xi)(p_\xi - b_\xi)}{(p_{\widetilde{\xi}} - a_{\widetilde{\xi}})(p_{\widehat{\xi}} - b_{\widehat{\xi}})} - \frac{\wp'(\beta) + \wp'(\varepsilon)}{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)} \frac{(q_\xi - a_\xi)(q_\xi - b_\xi)}{(q_{\widetilde{\xi}} - a_{\widetilde{\xi}})(q_{\widehat{\xi}} - b_{\widehat{\xi}})} , \quad (5.33d)$$

where we have used the identities⁴

$$\frac{p_{\widetilde{\xi}} - a_{\widetilde{\xi}}}{p_\xi - a_\xi} = \frac{q_{\widetilde{\xi}} - a_{\widetilde{\xi}}}{q_\xi - a_\xi} = \frac{p_\xi + a_{\widetilde{\xi}}}{p_{\widetilde{\xi}} + a_{\widetilde{\xi}}} = \frac{q_\xi + a_{\widetilde{\xi}}}{q_{\widetilde{\xi}} + a_{\widetilde{\xi}}} , \quad (5.34a)$$

⁴These identities are also consequences of the chain of relations

$$\frac{\chi_{\alpha,-\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\widetilde{\xi})}{\chi_{\alpha,-\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\xi)} = \frac{\chi_{\alpha,\delta}^{(1)}(\widetilde{\xi})}{\chi_{\alpha,\delta}^{(1)}(\xi)} = \frac{\chi_{\alpha,-\delta}^{(1)}(\widehat{\xi})}{\chi_{\alpha,-\delta}^{(1)}(\xi)} = \frac{\chi_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\widehat{\xi})}{\chi_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{(1)}(\xi)} ,$$

which in turn follow from the expressions for the $\chi^{(1)}$ quantities in terms of the quantities Φ as in (2.25), and using the identifications

$$\chi_{\delta,\alpha}^{(1)}(\widetilde{\xi}) = p_\xi - a_\xi , \quad \chi_{-\delta,\alpha}^{(1)}(\xi) = -(p_\xi + a_{\widetilde{\xi}}) , \quad \chi_{\delta,\beta}^{(1)}(\widehat{\xi}) = p_\xi - b_\xi , \quad \chi_{-\delta,\beta}^{(1)}(\xi) = -(p_\xi + b_{\widetilde{\xi}}) ,$$

and similarly in the other direction

$$\chi_{\varepsilon,\alpha}^{(1)}(\widehat{\xi}) = q_\xi - a_\xi , \quad \chi_{-\varepsilon,\alpha}^{(1)}(\xi) = -(q_\xi + a_{\widehat{\xi}}) , \quad \chi_{\varepsilon,\beta}^{(1)}(\widehat{\xi}) = q_\xi - b_\xi , \quad \chi_{-\varepsilon,\beta}^{(1)}(\xi) = -(q_\xi + b_{\widehat{\xi}}) .$$

(and similarly with a 's replaced by b 's). The latter are a consequence of the relations

$$(p_\xi - a_\xi)(p_\xi + a_{\tilde{\xi}}) = \wp(\delta) - \wp(\alpha) , \quad (q_\xi - b_\xi)(q_\xi + b_{\tilde{\xi}}) = \wp(\varepsilon) - \wp(\beta) , \quad (5.34b)$$

and similar relations with a and b and δ and ε interchanged.

We can bring the quadrilateral \mathcal{Q} into a more standard form by performing a further (gauge) transformation, namely

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) = \phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) , \quad (5.35a)$$

where the function $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi)$ solves the compatible system of ordinary first order difference equations

$$\widetilde{\phi_{\alpha,\beta}}(\xi) = \left(\frac{p_\beta}{p_{-\alpha}} \frac{(p_\xi + a_{\tilde{\xi}})(p_\xi + b_{\tilde{\xi}})}{(p_\xi - a_\xi)(p_\xi - b_\xi)} \right)^{1/2} \phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) , \quad \widehat{\phi_{\alpha,\beta}}(\xi) = \left(\frac{q_\beta}{q_{-\alpha}} \frac{(q_\xi + a_{\tilde{\xi}})(q_\xi + b_{\tilde{\xi}})}{(q_\xi - a_\xi)(q_\xi - b_\xi)} \right)^{1/2} \phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) . \quad (5.35b)$$

Implementing the change of variables (5.35) the relation (5.32) changes into

$$2 \frac{(p_\xi + a_{\tilde{\xi}})(q_{\tilde{\xi}} + a_{\tilde{\xi}})}{P_\alpha^- Q_\alpha^- \widetilde{\phi_{\alpha,\beta}}(\xi)} v_\alpha \widehat{w}_\beta = \frac{\bar{Q}(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}, \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta}, \widetilde{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}}_{\alpha,\beta})}{Q_\alpha^- P_\beta^+ \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta} - P_\alpha^- Q_\beta^+ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta}} \quad (5.36a)$$

where now

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q}(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}, \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta}, \widetilde{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}}_{\alpha,\beta}) &= P_\alpha^- P_\beta^+ \left(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta} + \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta} \widetilde{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}}_{\alpha,\beta} \right) \\ &\quad - Q_\alpha^- Q_\beta^+ \left(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta} \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta} + \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta} \right) \\ &\quad + (\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)) \left(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta} \widetilde{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}}_{\alpha,\beta} + \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta} \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (5.36b)$$

where

$$(P_\alpha^\pm)^2 = \wp'(\delta) \pm \wp'(\alpha) , \quad (P_\beta^\pm)^2 = \wp'(\delta) \pm \wp'(\beta) , \quad (Q_\alpha^\pm)^2 = \wp'(\varepsilon) \pm \wp'(\alpha) , \quad (Q_\beta^\pm)^2 = \wp'(\varepsilon) \pm \wp'(\beta) . \quad (5.37)$$

Furthermore, rewriting (5.29a) in terms of $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}$ we have

$$P_\alpha^- \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta} - P_\beta^+ \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{P_\alpha^-}{(p_\xi + a_{\tilde{\xi}}) \phi_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi)} \widetilde{v}_\alpha w_\beta . \quad (5.38)$$

Eq. (5.36), together with (5.38) and its counterpart in the other direction (with δ replaced by ε and \sim replaced by $\widehat{\sim}$) forms a closed quadrilateral system for the the three variables $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}$, v_α and

w_β , which is the most general form of the lattice BSQ system. However, by using the identity

$$\frac{\widehat{\widehat{(v_\alpha w_\beta)}}}{\widetilde{\widetilde{(v_\alpha w_\beta)}}} = \frac{\widetilde{\widetilde{\widehat{\widehat{(v_\alpha w_\beta)}}}}}{\widehat{\widehat{\widetilde{\widetilde{(v_\alpha w_\beta)}}}}} \frac{\widehat{v_\alpha w_\beta}}{\widetilde{\widetilde{v_\alpha w_\beta}}},$$

and inserting the expressions for the quantities in brackets in terms of $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}$ one can write down a closed form 9-point equation in terms of $\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}$ alone, see [32]. In the elliptic case that equation takes exactly the same form, except for the parametrisation of the lattice parameters involved, namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\bar{Q}(\widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}}, \widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}})}{\bar{Q}(\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}}, \widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}}, \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}}, \widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}})} = \\ & = \frac{\left(Q_\alpha^- \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta} - Q_\beta^+ \widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}}\right) \left(Q_\alpha^- P_\beta^+ \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}} - P_\alpha^- Q_\beta^+ \widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}}\right) \left(P_\alpha^- \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}} - P_\beta^+ \widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}}\right)}{\left(P_\alpha^- \mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta} - P_\beta^+ \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}}\right) \left(Q_\alpha^- P_\beta^+ \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}} - P_\alpha^- Q_\beta^+ \widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}}\right) \left(Q_\alpha^- \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}} - Q_\beta^+ \widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{u}_{\alpha,\beta}}}\right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

The latter equation, which can be thought of as a generalisation of the lattice Schwarzian Boussinesq equation, [18], contains both the 'regular' lattice BSQ equation, (1.2) as well as the lattice modified BSQ equation as special coalescence limits on the parameters α and β . Thus, the 9-point is the most general lattice BSQ system known so far.

6 Explicit examples of elliptic lattice BSQ solutions

Here we present the explicit seed solutions for the lattice BSQ systems in standard form, and show how DL approach reduces to the Cauchy-matrix scheme for elliptic N -soliton solutions.

6.1 Seed and elliptic one-soliton solution of the lattice BSQ system

Consider the following transformation

$$u_{0,0} = x_0 - u, \quad (6.1a)$$

$$u_{1,0} = y_0 - v - x_0 u_{0,0}, \quad (6.1b)$$

$$u_{0,1} = z_0 - w - x_0 u_{0,0}, \quad (6.1c)$$

with

$$x_0 = \zeta(\xi) + n\zeta(\delta) + m\zeta(\varepsilon) - \zeta(\xi_0) , \quad (6.2a)$$

$$y_0 = \frac{1}{2}x_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}\wp(\xi) + \frac{1}{2}(n\wp(\delta) + m\wp(\varepsilon) + \wp(\xi_0)) , \quad (6.2b)$$

$$z_0 = \frac{1}{2}x_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}\wp(\xi) - \frac{1}{2}(n\wp(\delta) + m\wp(\varepsilon) + \wp(\xi_0)) , \quad (6.2c)$$

and $u = u_{n,m}$, $v = v_{n,m}$, $w = w_{n,m}$. Imposing this transformation on (5.2a), (5.3a) and (5.5), rewritten as

$$p_\xi \widehat{u}_{0,0} + \widehat{u}_{0,1} = p_\xi u_{0,0} - u_{1,0} - \widehat{u}_{0,0} u_{0,0} , \quad (6.3a)$$

$$q_\xi \widehat{u}_{0,0} + \widehat{u}_{0,1} = q_\xi u_{0,0} - u_{1,0} - \widehat{u}_{0,0} u_{0,0} , \quad (6.3b)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)}{p_\xi - q_\xi + \widehat{u}_{0,0} - \widehat{u}_{0,0}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)}{p_\xi - q_\xi} + \widehat{u}_{1,0} + u_{0,1} + u_{0,0} \widehat{u}_{0,0} + (p_\xi + q_\xi)(\widehat{u}_{0,0} - u_{0,0}) , \quad (6.3c)$$

we obtain the following system which is called (B-2) system in Ref. [9]

$$\widetilde{w} - u\widetilde{u} + v = 0 , \quad (6.4a)$$

$$\widehat{w} - u\widehat{u} + v = 0 , \quad (6.4b)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)}{\widehat{u} - \widetilde{u}} = w - u\widehat{u} + \widehat{v} . \quad (6.4c)$$

By eliminating v and w in the above system, we also get the lattice BSQ equation (1.2) with $P - Q = \frac{1}{2}(\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon))$. It is noted that (1.2) can be transformed into (5.6) by the transformation (6.1a) and (6.2a). Moreover, from the seed solution of the lattice system (6.4), we can construct the elliptic one-soliton solution through the Bäcklund transformations, written as (see [26])

$$u_{n,m}^{1SS} = x_0 + \frac{\eta_\xi(\kappa)\Phi_\xi(\kappa) + \eta_\xi(\omega_1(\kappa))\Phi_\xi(\omega_1(\kappa))\rho_1 + \eta_\xi(\omega_2(\kappa))\Phi_\xi(\omega_2(\kappa))\rho_2}{\Phi_\xi(\kappa) + \Phi_\xi(\omega_1(\kappa))\rho_1 + \Phi_\xi(\omega_2(\kappa))\rho_2} , \quad (6.5a)$$

$$v_{n,m}^{1SS} = y_0 + x_0 \frac{\eta_\xi(\kappa)\Phi_\xi(\kappa) + \eta_\xi(\omega_1(\kappa))\Phi_\xi(\omega_1(\kappa))\rho_1 + \eta_\xi(\omega_2(\kappa))\Phi_\xi(\omega_2(\kappa))\rho_2}{\Phi_\xi(\kappa) + \Phi_\xi(\omega_1(\kappa))\rho_1 + \Phi_\xi(\omega_2(\kappa))\rho_2} + \frac{(\wp(\kappa) + \wp(\xi))\Phi_\xi(\kappa) + (\wp(\omega_1(\kappa)) + \wp(\xi))\Phi_\xi(\omega_1(\kappa))\rho_1 + (\wp(\omega_2(\kappa)) + \wp(\xi))\Phi_\xi(\omega_2(\kappa))\rho_2}{\Phi_\xi(\kappa) + \Phi_\xi(\omega_1(\kappa))\rho_1 + \Phi_\xi(\omega_2(\kappa))\rho_2} , \quad (6.5b)$$

$$w_{n,m}^{1SS} = z_0 + x_0 \frac{\eta_\xi(\kappa)\Phi_\xi(\kappa) + \eta_\xi(\omega_1(\kappa))\Phi_\xi(\omega_1(\kappa))\rho_1 + \eta_\xi(\omega_2(\kappa))\Phi_\xi(\omega_2(\kappa))\rho_2}{\Phi_\xi(\kappa) + \Phi_\xi(\omega_1(\kappa))\rho_1 + \Phi_\xi(\omega_2(\kappa))\rho_2} . \quad (6.5c)$$

Here

$$\kappa + \omega_1(\kappa) + \omega_2(\kappa) \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{period lattice}} , \quad (6.6)$$

and ρ_i ($i = 1, 2$) are the plain wave factors

$$\rho_i = \rho_i(n, m; \kappa) = \left(\frac{\Phi_\delta(-\omega_i(\kappa))}{\Phi_\delta(-\kappa)} \right)^n \left(\frac{\Phi_\varepsilon(-\omega_i(\kappa))}{\Phi_\varepsilon(-\kappa)} \right)^m \cdot \frac{\rho_i^0}{\rho_0^0}, \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad (6.7)$$

where κ is the soliton parameter.

6.2 Elliptic seed solution for the lattice modified BSQ equation

To derive the standard form of the lattice modified BSQ equation, we consider the following transformation

$$u_{0,0} = -U + x_0, \quad (6.8a)$$

$$v_\alpha(\xi) = \left(\Phi_\alpha(-\delta) \right)^n \left(\Phi_\alpha(-\varepsilon) \right)^m \frac{1}{\Phi_\alpha(\xi)} V, \quad (6.8b)$$

$$s_\alpha(\xi) = \left(\Phi_\alpha(-\delta) \right)^n \left(\Phi_\alpha(-\varepsilon) \right)^m \frac{1}{\Phi_\alpha(\xi)} \left(Y - (x_0 + 2C)V \right), \quad (6.8c)$$

where $U = U_{n,m}$, $V = V_{n,m}$, $Y = Y_{n,m}$, and

$$x_0 = \zeta(\xi) + n\zeta(\delta) + m\zeta(\varepsilon) - \zeta(\xi_0) + nC + mC, \quad (6.9)$$

and C is an arbitrary complex constant. By using this transformation, (5.14a) and its $\{\hat{\cdot}, \varepsilon\}$ counterparts and (5.17a) are turned to the following system corresponding to the (A-2) system in Ref. [9]

$$\tilde{Y} = U\tilde{V} - V, \quad \hat{Y} = U\hat{V} - V, \quad (6.10a)$$

$$Y = V\hat{U} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(P_\alpha^-)^2\tilde{V} - \frac{1}{2}(Q_\alpha^-)^2\hat{V}}{\hat{U} - \tilde{U}}, \quad (6.10b)$$

in which we have used the notation in (5.37). Eliminating U and Y in the above system, we obtain the lattice modified BSQ equation, written as

$$\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}(P_\alpha^-)^2\hat{\tilde{V}} - \frac{1}{2}(Q_\alpha^-)^2\hat{\hat{V}}}{\hat{\tilde{V}} - \hat{\hat{V}}} \right) \frac{\hat{\tilde{V}}}{\hat{\tilde{V}}} - \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}(P_\alpha^-)^2\tilde{\tilde{V}} - \frac{1}{2}(Q_\alpha^-)^2\tilde{\hat{V}}}{\tilde{\tilde{V}} - \tilde{\hat{V}}} \right) \frac{\tilde{\tilde{V}}}{\tilde{\tilde{V}}} = \frac{V}{\tilde{V}} - \frac{V}{\hat{V}} - \frac{\hat{\tilde{V}}}{\tilde{\tilde{V}}} + \frac{\tilde{\hat{V}}}{\hat{\hat{V}}}. \quad (6.11)$$

It is noted that by setting $(U_\xi)_{0,0} = 0$, $V = \left(\Phi_\alpha(-\delta) \right)^{-n} \left(\Phi_\alpha(-\varepsilon) \right)^{-m} \Phi_\alpha(\xi)$ from (6.8b) and (5.7e) provides a seed solution for the lattice BSQ equation.

Alternatively, for the system (5.17b) and its $\{\widehat{\cdot}, \varepsilon\}$ counterparts and (5.14b), we employ the following transformation

$$u_{0,0} = -U + x_0 , \quad (6.12a)$$

$$w_\beta(\xi) = \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_\beta(\delta)}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_\beta(\varepsilon)}\right)^m \frac{1}{\Phi_\beta(\xi)} W , \quad (6.12b)$$

$$t_\beta(\xi) = \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_\beta(\delta)}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{\Phi_\beta(\varepsilon)}\right)^m \frac{1}{\Phi_\beta(\xi)} \left(Z - (x_0 - 2C)V \right) , \quad (6.12c)$$

and obtain

$$Z = \widetilde{U}W - \widetilde{W} , \quad Z = \widehat{U}W - \widehat{W} , , \quad (6.13a)$$

$$\widehat{Z} = U\widehat{W} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(P_\beta^+)^2\widehat{W} - \frac{1}{2}(Q_\beta^+)^2\widetilde{W}}{\widehat{U} - \widetilde{U}} , \quad (6.13b)$$

with $U = U_{n,m}$, $W = W_{n,m}$, $Z = Z_{n,m}$, and constant C , x_0 in (6.12) defined by (6.9). Eliminating U and Z in (6.13) or noticing the reversal symmetry (see [9]) of the system (6.10) we have an alternate form of the lattice modified BSQ equation, written as

$$\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}(P_\beta^+)^2\widehat{W} - \frac{1}{2}(Q_\beta^+)^2\widetilde{W}}{\widehat{W} - \widetilde{W}} \right) \frac{\widehat{W}}{\widehat{\widehat{W}}} - \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}(Q_\beta^+)^2\widetilde{W} - \frac{1}{2}(P_\beta^+)^2\widehat{W}}{\widetilde{W} - \widehat{W}} \right) \frac{\widetilde{W}}{\widetilde{\widetilde{W}}} = \frac{\widetilde{W}}{W} - \frac{\widehat{W}}{W} - \frac{\widehat{\widehat{W}}}{\widehat{\widetilde{W}}} + \frac{\widetilde{\widetilde{W}}}{\widetilde{\widehat{W}}} , \quad (6.14)$$

which is related to the equation (5.22) through the transformation (6.12b).

6.3 Elliptic seed solution for the lattice Schwarzian BSQ equation

Now we want to present the lattice Schwarzian BSQ equation from the three-component lattice system, i.e, (5.29a), (5.30a) and (5.31). Employing the following transformation to this system

$$v_\alpha(\xi) = \left(\Phi_{-\alpha}(\delta)\right)^n \left(\Phi_{-\alpha}(\varepsilon)\right)^m \frac{1}{\Phi_\alpha(\xi)} V , \quad (6.15a)$$

$$w_\alpha(\xi) = \left(\Phi_\beta(\delta)\right)^{-n} \left(\Phi_\beta(\varepsilon)\right)^{-m} \frac{1}{\Phi_\beta(\xi)} W , \quad (6.15b)$$

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) = \left(\frac{\Phi_{-\alpha}(\delta)}{\Phi_\beta(\delta)}\right)^n \left(\frac{\Phi_{-\alpha}(\varepsilon)}{\Phi_\beta(\varepsilon)}\right)^m \frac{1}{\Phi_\alpha(\xi)\Phi_\beta(\xi)} H , \quad (6.15c)$$

where $V = V_{n,m}$, $W = W_{n,m}$, $H = H_{n,m}$, we get the following system corresponding to the (C-3) system in Ref. [9]

$$H - \widetilde{H} = \widetilde{V}W , \quad H - \widehat{H} = \widehat{V}W , \quad (6.16a)$$

$$V\widehat{W} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(Q_\beta^+)^2\widehat{V}\widetilde{W} - \frac{1}{2}(P_\beta^+)^2\widetilde{V}\widehat{W}}{\widehat{W} - \widetilde{W}} W + \frac{1}{2}(\wp'(\alpha) + \wp'(\beta))\widehat{H} , \quad (6.16b)$$

which leads to the lattice Schwarzian BSQ equation, written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(\widehat{H} - \widehat{\widetilde{H}})(\widehat{\widetilde{H}} - \widehat{\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}})(P_\beta^+)^2 - (\widehat{H} - \widehat{\widetilde{H}})(\widehat{\widetilde{H}} - \widehat{\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}})(Q_\beta^+)^2 - (\wp'(\alpha) + \wp'(\beta))\widehat{\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}}(\widehat{\widetilde{H}} - \widehat{\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}})}{(\widetilde{H} - \widetilde{\widetilde{H}})(\widetilde{\widetilde{H}} - \widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}})(P_\beta^+)^2 - (\widetilde{H} - \widetilde{\widetilde{H}})(\widetilde{\widetilde{H}} - \widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}})(Q_\beta^+)^2 - (\wp'(\alpha) + \wp'(\beta))\widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}}(\widetilde{\widetilde{H}} - \widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}})} \\
&= \frac{(H - \widehat{H})(\widehat{\widetilde{H}} - \widehat{\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}})(\widehat{\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}})}{(H - \widetilde{H})(\widetilde{\widetilde{H}} - \widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}})(\widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{H}}})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

From the transformations (6.15c) and (5.28) and the definition of $s_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi)$ given by (5.7g), we know that $H = -\left(\frac{\Phi_\beta(\delta)}{\Phi_{-\alpha}(\delta)}\right)^n \left(\frac{\Phi_\beta(\varepsilon)}{\Phi_{-\alpha}(\varepsilon)}\right)^m \Phi_{\alpha+\beta}(\xi)$ is an elliptic seed solution of the lattice Schwarzian BSQ equation (6.17).

6.4 Cauchy matrix scheme for elliptic N -soliton solutions

We now consider the elliptic N -soliton solutions, starting from choosing a particular measure in (2.1a) written as

$$d\mu(\ell, \ell') = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^2 \sum_{j'=1}^{N_j} \Lambda_{j,j'} \left(\frac{d\ell d\ell'}{(\ell - \kappa_{j,j'}) (\ell' + \omega_j(\kappa_{j,j'}))} \right), \tag{6.18}$$

where $\Lambda_{j,j'}$ are residues of the measures $d\mu_j(\ell)$ in (4.14), and i is the imaginary unit. In this case the linear integral equation (2.1a) is reduced to

$$\mathbf{u}_\kappa + \sum_{j=0}^2 \sum_{j'=1}^{N_j} \Lambda_{j,j'} \rho_\kappa \Phi_\xi(\kappa - \omega_j(\kappa_{j,j'})) \sigma_{-\omega_j(\kappa_{j,j'})} \mathbf{u}_{\kappa_{j,j'}} = \rho_\kappa \Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{c}_\kappa. \tag{6.19}$$

Setting $\kappa = \kappa_{i,i'}$, where $i = 0, 1, 2$, $i' = 1, \dots, N_i$ in eq. (6.19), we then have a linear system for the quantities $u_{\kappa_{i,i'}}$ from the equation (6.19), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbf{u}_{\kappa_{0,1}}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{\kappa_{0,N_0}}, \mathbf{u}_{\kappa_{1,1}}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{\kappa_{1,N_1}}, \mathbf{u}_{\kappa_{2,1}}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{\kappa_{2,N_2}} \right) (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{M}) \\
&= \mathbf{r}^T \text{diag} \left(\mathbf{c}_{\kappa_{0,1}}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{\kappa_{0,N_0}}, \mathbf{c}_{\kappa_{1,1}}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{\kappa_{1,N_1}}, \mathbf{c}_{\kappa_{2,1}}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{\kappa_{2,N_2}} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{r} = & \left(\rho_{\kappa_{0,1}} \Phi_\xi(\kappa_{0,1}), \dots, \rho_{\kappa_{0,N_0}} \Phi_\xi(\kappa_{0,N_0}); \rho_{\kappa_{1,1}} \Phi_\xi(\kappa_{1,1}), \dots, \rho_{\kappa_{1,N_1}} \Phi_\xi(\kappa_{1,N_1}); \right. \\
& \left. \rho_{\kappa_{2,1}} \Phi_\xi(\kappa_{2,1}), \dots, \rho_{\kappa_{2,N_2}} \Phi_\xi(\kappa_{2,N_2}) \right)^T,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

and \mathbf{M} is a 3×3 block-Cauchy matrix with rectangular blocks of size $N_i \times N_j$ ($i, j = 0, 1, 2$) with elements

$$\mathbf{M}_{I,J} = \left(M_{(i,i'),(j,j')} \right)_{i,j=0,1,2; i'=1,\dots,N_i; j'=1,\dots,N_j} := \rho_{\kappa_{i,i'}} \Lambda_{j,j'} \sigma_{-\omega_j(\kappa_{j,j'})} \Phi_{\xi}(\kappa_{i,i'} - \omega_j(\kappa_{j,j'})) . \quad (6.22)$$

We have used the capital compound indices $I = (i, i')$ and $J = (j, j')$ to simplify the notation.

Now we can make the soliton solutions now explicit by assuming that the coefficients $\Lambda_{j,j'}$ are chosen such that the matrix $\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{M}$ is invertible, in which case solutions to (6.19) or (6.20) could be written out explicitly. Making use of (2.11) and (6.18) we can also obtain the explicit expression for \mathbf{U}_{ξ} and make the following identifications for the quantities introduced in (5.7):

$$s_{\alpha}(\xi) = \eta_{\alpha}(\xi) - \mathbf{r}^T \left(\chi_{\alpha,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{K}) \right)^{-1} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{M})^{-1} \eta_{\xi}(\mathbf{L}) \mathbf{s} , \quad (6.23a)$$

$$t_{\beta}(\xi) = \eta_{\beta}(\xi) - \mathbf{r}^T \eta_{\xi}(\mathbf{K}) (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{M})^{-1} \left(\chi_{\beta,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{L}) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{s} , \quad (6.23b)$$

$$r_{\alpha}(\xi) = \wp(\alpha) - \mathbf{r}^T \left(\chi_{\alpha,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{K}) \right)^{-1} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{M})^{-1} \wp(\mathbf{L}) \mathbf{s} , \quad (6.23c)$$

$$z_{\beta}(\xi) = \wp(\beta) - \mathbf{r}^T \wp(\mathbf{K}) (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{M})^{-1} \left(\chi_{\beta,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{L}) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{s} , \quad (6.23d)$$

$$v_{\alpha}(\xi) = 1 - \mathbf{r}^T \left(\chi_{\alpha,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{K}) \right)^{-1} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{M})^{-1} \mathbf{s} , \quad (6.23e)$$

$$w_{\beta}(\xi) = 1 - \mathbf{r}^T (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{M})^{-1} \left(\chi_{\beta,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{L}) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{s} , \quad (6.23f)$$

$$s_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi) = \mathbf{r}^T \left(\chi_{\alpha,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{K}) \right)^{-1} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{M})^{-1} \left(\chi_{\beta,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{L}) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{s} , \quad (6.23g)$$

in which \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{L} are the block diagonal matrices:

$$\mathbf{K} = \text{diag}(\kappa_{1,1}, \dots, \kappa_{1,N_1}; \kappa_{2,1}, \dots, \kappa_{2,N_2}; \kappa_{3,1}, \dots, \kappa_{3,N_3}) , \quad (6.24a)$$

$$\mathbf{L} = \text{diag}(-\omega_1(\kappa_{1,1}), \dots, -\omega_1(\kappa_{1,N_1}); -\omega_2(\kappa_{2,1}), \dots, -\omega_2(\kappa_{2,N_2}); -\omega_3(\kappa_{3,1}), \dots, -\omega_3(\kappa_{3,N_3})) , \quad (6.24b)$$

and \mathbf{s} is a column vector

$$\mathbf{s} = \left(\sigma_{-\omega_1(\kappa_{1,1})}, \dots, \sigma_{-\omega_1(\kappa_{1,N_1})}; \sigma_{-\omega_2(\kappa_{2,1})}, \dots, \sigma_{-\omega_2(\kappa_{2,N_2})}; \sigma_{-\omega_3(\kappa_{3,1})}, \dots, \sigma_{-\omega_3(\kappa_{3,N_3})} \right)^T . \quad (6.25)$$

Note that in eqs. (6.23) the matrices $\wp(\mathbf{K})$, $\eta_{\xi}(\mathbf{L})$, $\chi_{\alpha,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{K})$ and $\chi_{\beta,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{L})$ denote the diagonal matrices with as entries the corresponding elliptic functions evaluated at the entries of the corresponding matrices \mathbf{K} or \mathbf{L} , e.g.

$$\wp(\mathbf{K}) = \text{diag}(\wp(\kappa_{1,1}), \dots, \wp(\kappa_{1,N_1}); \wp(\kappa_{2,1}), \dots, \wp(\kappa_{2,N_2}); \wp(\kappa_{3,1}), \dots, \wp(\kappa_{3,N_3})) ,$$

etc. Note that all these expressions (6.23) can be written out explicitly after choosing the various parameters of the solution. In this sense, τ -function can also be expressed by

$$\tau_\xi = \det_{N \times N} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{M}) , \quad N = N_1 + N_2 + N_3 , \quad (6.26)$$

where the explicit expression can be computed by means of the usual expansion methods for determinants.

7 Conclusions

In this paper we constructed a rich class of elliptic solutions of a family of Boussinesq type partial difference equations, in particular the lattice BSQ equation (1.2), the lattice modified BSQ equations (6.11) and (5.22), and the lattice Schwarzian BSQ equation (6.17), while the most general equation is the lattice BSQ form (5.39). The various equations, which in their scalar form are difference equations on a 9-point stencil, can also be written in their multi-component forms, see subsection 7.1. From the construction of the solutions, using the Direct linearisation (DL) approach with an elliptic Cauchy kernel, are in their most general form arising from the integral equations (4.14), where the main quantities are constructed from the entries of the infinite matrix (4.16). In the simplest case, when the measures and integration contours lead to contributions from bound states, we recover elliptic seed and N -soliton solutions as described in subsection 7.2, but for more general measures and contours the DL formulae also comprise in principle also the formulae for the inverse scattering type solutions which asymptotically tend to elliptic seed solutions. The structures were developed from dimensional reductions of the corresponding KP class of elliptic solutions (cf. [31] for the corresponding elliptic soliton solutions), but whereas the reduction from the KP class to the KdV class is relatively straightforward, the one to the BSQ class (and higher Gel'fand-Dikii systems) requires in the elliptic case the novel notion of the elliptic cube (viz. N^{th}) root of unity, which was introduced and treated in section 3. The latter notion may well have significance beyond the confines of the present application to solutions of integrable lattice equations.

Whereas we restricted ourselves in this paper to solutions of the BSQ class of lattice systems, the main underlying structural relations were given for the entire lattice Gel'fand-Dikii hierarchy, and thus the results obtained may be readily generalised to the higher order systems in this

hierarchy, although the development of the explicit formulae and corresponding computations may fast become quite overwhelming. What the present paper has made clear is that the KdV case ($N = 2$) of the GD hierarchy is not really representative of what goes on in the general case, while the BSQ class ($N = 3$) provides a good insight into the real challenges that are encountered in the present kind of constructions. Thus, in spite of the importance of the famous ABS classification results of [1], the present work demonstrates that there is 'integrable life beyond ABS' and that in many respects the results on the latter systems do not really provide the necessary insights for multicomponent and higher-order integrable lattice systems.

A particular generalisation that we have not considered (yet) in the present paper, is that of the so-called *extended BSQ systems*. These involve parameter-generalisations of the lattice BSQ systems of [23] found by Hietarinta in [9]. It was shown in [32], in the rational case, how these parameter generalisations fit into the DL framework, thereby providing a rich class of solutions of those extended BSQ systems. In fact, those extensions arise from an unfolding of the dispersion curve from $G(k, \omega) = \omega^3 - k^3 = 0$ to $G(k, \omega) = k^3 - \omega^3 + \alpha_2(\omega^2 - k^2) + \alpha_1(\omega - k) = 0$ where the parameters α_1 and α_2 are the extension parameters, the inclusion of which effectively embeds the ($N = 2$) KdV system into the ($N = 3$) BSQ system. In the elliptic case, this extension would require an unfolding of the elliptic cube root of unity condition, to a more general condition on the roots $\omega_i(\delta)$, ($i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$), namely

$$\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \Phi_{\kappa}(\omega_j(\delta)) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \alpha_j \left(\wp^{(j)}(-\kappa) - \wp^{(j)}(\delta) \right) ,$$

(possibly with $\alpha_{N-2} = 1$) but we leave the development of the relevant explicit formulae involving the extension parameters α_j corresponding to a future publication.

Acknowledgements

This project is supported by the NSF of China (Nos.11875040 and 11631007). FWN acknowledges the hospitality of the Department of Mathematics of Shanghai University for various visits during which this paper was initiated and finalised.

Appendix A: Formulae for elliptic functions

Here, we collect some useful formulae for elliptic functions, see also the standard textbooks e.g. [30]. The Weierstrass sigma-function is defined by

$$\sigma(x) = x \prod_{(k,\ell) \neq (0,0)} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\omega_{k\ell}}\right) \exp \left[\frac{x}{\omega_{k\ell}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\omega_{k\ell}}\right)^2 \right], \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with $\omega_{k\ell} = 2k\omega_1 + 2\ell\omega_2$ and $2\omega_{1,2}$ being a fixed pair of the primitive periods. The relations between the Weierstrass elliptic functions are given by

$$\zeta(x) = \frac{\sigma'(x)}{\sigma(x)}, \quad \wp(x) = -\zeta'(x), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $\sigma(x)$ and $\zeta(x)$ are odd functions and $\wp(x)$ is an even function of its argument. We recall also that the $\sigma(x)$ is an entire function, and $\zeta(x)$ is a meromorphic function having simple poles at $\omega_{k\ell}$, both being quasi-periodic, obeying

$$\zeta(x + 2\omega_{1,2}) = \zeta(x) + 2\xi_{1,2}, \quad \sigma(x + 2\omega_{1,2}) = -\sigma(x)e^{2\xi_{1,2}(x+\omega_{1,2})},$$

in which $\xi_{1,2}$ satisfy $\xi_1\omega_2 - \xi_2\omega_1 = \frac{\pi i}{2}$, whereas $\wp(x)$ is doubly periodic. From an algebraic point of view, the most important property of these elliptic functions is the existence of a number of functional relations, the most fundamental being

$$\zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\beta) + \zeta(\gamma) - \zeta(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) = \frac{\sigma(\alpha + \beta)\sigma(\beta + \gamma)\sigma(\gamma + \alpha)}{\sigma(\alpha)\sigma(\beta)\sigma(\gamma)\sigma(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

which can also be cast into the following form

$$\Phi_\kappa(x)\Phi_\kappa(y) = \Phi_\kappa(x + y) [\zeta(\kappa) + \zeta(x) + \zeta(y) - \zeta(\kappa + x + y)]. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The well-known three-term relation for $\sigma(x)$ is a consequence of (A.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x + y)\sigma(x - y)\sigma(a + b)\sigma(a - b) &= \sigma(x + a)\sigma(x - a)\sigma(y + b)\sigma(y - b) \\ &\quad - \sigma(x + b)\sigma(x - b)\sigma(y + a)\sigma(y - a), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and this equation can be cast into the following convenient form

$$\Phi_\kappa(x)\Phi_\lambda(y) = \Phi_\kappa(x - y)\Phi_{\kappa+\lambda}(y) + \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda}(x)\Phi_\lambda(y - x), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

which is obtained from the elliptic analogue of the partial fraction expansion, i.e. eq. (A.4).

Another important relation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & [\zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\beta) + \zeta(\gamma) - \zeta(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)] [\zeta(\alpha + \beta) - \zeta(\beta) - \zeta(\alpha + \gamma) + \zeta(\gamma)] \\ &= -\Phi_\gamma(\beta)\Phi_{-\gamma}(\beta) = \wp(\gamma) - \wp(\beta) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

as well as

$$\eta_\alpha(\beta) := \zeta(\alpha + \beta) - \zeta(\alpha) - \zeta(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\alpha) - \wp'(\beta)}{\wp(\alpha) - \wp(\beta)} . \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The well-known addition formula for the Weierstrass elliptic function can be written in the form

$$\eta_\alpha(\beta)^2 = \left(\zeta(\alpha + \beta) - \zeta(\alpha) - \zeta(\beta) \right)^2 = \wp(\alpha) + \wp(\beta) + \wp(\alpha + \beta) , \quad (\text{A.9})$$

which together with the relation

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \wp(\alpha) & \wp'(\alpha) \\ 1 & \wp(\beta) & \wp'(\beta) \\ 1 & \wp(\gamma) & \wp'(\gamma) \end{vmatrix} = 0 , \quad \text{provided } \alpha + \beta + \gamma \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{root lattice}} , \quad (\text{A.10})$$

characterises the addition on the elliptic curve. An important special relation used in the derivation is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\zeta(\xi) + \zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\lambda) - \zeta(\xi + \alpha + \lambda)}{\zeta(\xi) + \zeta(\beta) + \zeta(\lambda) - \zeta(\xi + \beta + \lambda)} \\ &+ \frac{\zeta(\xi + \alpha) - \zeta(\alpha) + \zeta(\beta) - \zeta(\xi + \beta)}{\zeta(\xi + \alpha) + \zeta(\lambda) + \zeta(\beta) - \zeta(\xi + \alpha + \beta + \lambda)} = 1 , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

which is used in the derivation of the various versions of the discrete KP equation for elliptic solutions. Furthermore, the following identity used several times in the BSQ reduction case:

$$\wp(\alpha) + \wp(\beta) + \wp(\lambda + \alpha) - \eta_{-\alpha}(\lambda + \alpha)\eta_\beta(\lambda + \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\alpha) - \wp'(\beta)}{\eta_\alpha(\lambda) - \eta_\beta(\lambda)} , \quad (\text{A.12})$$

which can be deduced by using (A.7)-(A.9).

Appendix B: Derivation of the fundamental KP relations

Here we derive the fundamental relations of subsection 2.1, eqs. (2.9)-(2.14). Let \mathbf{C} be an infinite matrix as in (2.5), obeying the linear relations (2.7). Let us introduce the infinite matrix

U_ξ^0 defined by the relation

$$U_\xi^0 = (\mathbf{1} - U_\xi^0 \Omega_\xi) \mathbf{C} , \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where the infinite matrix Ω_ξ is given by

$$\Omega_\xi = : \Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda} + {}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} : , \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where $\Phi_\xi(x)$ is the function (2.2). Eq. (B.2) should be viewed as a formal series in both the operators $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ and ${}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}$ where the normal ordering symbol $: :$ means that all operators ${}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}$ are ordered on the left of the projection matrix \mathbf{O} and all operators $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ on the right of \mathbf{O} . Then, as a consequence of the addition formula (A.6), we have the operator identity (2.6).

Since in (B.1) the dependence of U_ξ^0 only stems from the matrix Ω_ξ and the dependence on the discrete variables n, m, l via the matrix \mathbf{C} , we can derive the elementary shift relation of U_ξ^0 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_\xi^0 \Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) &= (\mathbf{1} - \tilde{U}_\xi^0 \Omega_\xi) \Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{C} \\ &= \Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{C} - \tilde{U}_\xi^0 [\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \Omega_{\xi+\delta} + \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda})] \mathbf{C} \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{U}_\xi^0 \Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) (\mathbf{1} + \Omega_{\xi+\delta} \mathbf{C}) &= \Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{C} - \tilde{U}_\xi^0 \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{C} , \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{U}_\xi^0 \Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) &= [\Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \tilde{U}_\xi^0 \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda})] \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{1} + \Omega_{\xi+\delta} \mathbf{C})^{-1} , \end{aligned}$$

and since from (B.1) we have

$$\mathbf{C} (\mathbf{1} + \Omega_{\xi+\delta} \mathbf{C})^{-1} = U_{\xi+\delta}^0 ,$$

we arrive at:

$$\tilde{U}_\xi^0 \Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) = [\Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \tilde{U}_\xi^0 \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda})] U_{\xi+\delta}^0 . \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Multiplying (B.3) from the left by $\Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda})$ and from the right by $\Phi_{\xi+\delta}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})$ and setting

$$\Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) U_\xi^0 \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) =: U_\xi$$

in accordance with (2.8), we get

$$\tilde{U}_\xi \frac{\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \Phi_{\xi+\delta}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})}{\Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})} = \frac{\Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda})}{\Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda})} U_{\xi+\delta} - \tilde{U}_\xi \mathbf{O} U_{\xi+\delta} . \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The coefficients in the first and second term of (B.4) can be cast in the form of the functions $-\chi_{-\delta,\xi+\delta}^{(1)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})$ and $\chi_{\delta,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda})$ respectively.

Next, we derive the relations for infinite vectors

$$\mathbf{u}_\kappa^0(\xi) := (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{U}_\xi^0 \mathbf{\Omega}_\xi) \mathbf{c}_\kappa \rho_\kappa, \quad {}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}^0 := \sigma_{\kappa'} {}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'} (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{\Omega}_\xi \mathbf{U}_\xi^0), \quad (\text{B.5})$$

with ρ_κ and $\sigma_{\kappa'}$ obeying (2.3). Deriving the shift relation for $\mathbf{u}_\kappa^0(\xi)$ proceeds as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa^0(\xi) &= (\mathbf{1} - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi^0 \mathbf{\Omega}_\xi) \Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{c}_\kappa \rho_\kappa \\ &= \Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{c}_\kappa \rho_\kappa - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi^0 [\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{\Omega}_{\xi+\delta} + \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda})] \mathbf{c}_\kappa \rho_\kappa \\ &= \Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{c}_\kappa \rho_\kappa - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi^0 \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{c}_\kappa \rho_\kappa \\ &\quad - [\Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U}_{\xi+\delta}^0 - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi^0 \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U}_{\xi+\delta}^0] \mathbf{\Omega}_{\xi+\delta} \mathbf{c}_\kappa \rho_\kappa \\ &= \Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{u}_\kappa^0(\xi + \delta) - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi^0 \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{u}_\kappa^0(\xi + \delta), \end{aligned}$$

where in the third step we have made use of (B.3). Setting

$$\Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{u}_\kappa^0(\xi) =: \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi), \quad \text{and similarly} \quad {}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}^0(\xi) \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) =: {}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}(\xi),$$

we obtain from the latter result

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_\kappa(\xi) = \frac{\Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda})}{\Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda})} \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi + \delta) - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi \mathbf{O} \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi + \delta), \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where the coefficient in the first term on the r.h.s. equals $\chi_{\delta,\xi}^{(1)}(\mathbf{\Lambda})$. In a similar way the shift relation for the adjoint vector ${}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}^0(\xi)$ can be derived, namely

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}^0(\xi + \delta) &= \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa {}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'} \Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{\Omega}_{\xi+\delta} \mathbf{U}_{\xi+\delta}^0) \\ &= \tilde{\sigma}_{\kappa'} {}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'} \Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \tilde{\sigma}_{\kappa'} {}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'} [\mathbf{\Omega}_\xi \Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda})] \mathbf{U}_{\xi+\delta}^0 \\ &= \tilde{\sigma}_{\kappa'} {}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'} \Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \tilde{\sigma}_{\kappa'} {}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'} \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U}_{\xi+\delta}^0 \\ &\quad - \tilde{\sigma}_{\kappa'} {}^t\mathbf{c}_{\kappa'} \mathbf{\Omega}_\xi [\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi^0 \Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_\xi^0 \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U}_{\xi+\delta}^0] \\ &= \widetilde{{}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}^0}(\xi) \Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) + \widetilde{{}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}^0}(\xi) \Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{O} \Phi_{\xi+\delta}(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U}_{\xi+\delta}^0. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the latter result from the right by $\Phi_{\xi+\delta}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})$ we get

$${}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}(\xi + \delta) = \widetilde{{}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}^0}(\xi) \frac{\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}) \Phi_{\xi+\delta}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})}{\Phi_\xi({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})} + \widetilde{{}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}^0}(\xi) \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}_{\xi+\delta}, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where the coefficient in the first terms on the r.h.s. is identified with $-\chi_{-\delta,\xi}^{(1)}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})$.

Finally, we derive the relations from the τ -function defined by (2.9). Applying the $\tilde{\sim}$ -shift we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\tau}_\xi &= \det\left(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\tilde{\xi}}\tilde{\mathbf{C}}\right) = \det\left(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\tilde{\xi}}\Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{C}(\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}\right) \\
&= \det\left(\mathbf{1} + \left[\mathbf{\Omega}_{\tilde{\xi}}\Phi_\delta(\mathbf{\Lambda}) - \Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{\Omega}_\xi\right]\mathbf{C}(\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1} + \Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{\Omega}_\xi\mathbf{C}(\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}\right) \\
&= \det\left(\mathbf{1} + \Phi_{\tilde{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{O}\Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{C}(\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1} + \Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{\Omega}_\xi\mathbf{C}(\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}\right) \\
&= \det\left(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Omega}_\xi\mathbf{C} + (\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}\Phi_{\tilde{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{O}\Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{C}\right) \\
&= \det(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Omega}_\xi\mathbf{C}) \det\left(\mathbf{1} + (\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}\Phi_{\tilde{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{O}\Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Omega}_\xi\mathbf{C})^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have subsequently used the shift relation (2.7a), the relation (2.6) for the Cauchy kernel and the invariance of the determinant under similarity transformations, as well as the definition (B.1). Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\tau}_\xi/\tau_\xi &= \det\left(\mathbf{1} + (\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}\Phi_{\tilde{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{O}\Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{U}_\xi^0\right) \\
&= 1 + \left(\Phi_\xi(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{U}_\xi^0(\Phi_\delta(-{}^t\mathbf{\Lambda}))^{-1}\Phi_{\tilde{\xi}}({}^t\mathbf{\Lambda})\right)_{0,0},
\end{aligned}$$

where the latter step uses the fact that \mathbf{O} is a rank 1 projector matrix and we apply the famous Weinstein-Aroszajn formula:

$$\det(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^t) = 1 + \mathbf{b}^t \cdot \mathbf{a},$$

for determinants involving a rank 1 perturbation from the unit matrix.

Appendix C: Some higher-order elliptic identities

The following higher addition rules were established in Appendix C of [6], which for the sake of self-containedness we reiterate here. They may be used, in future, for deriving the higher reductions from the lattice KP system to the the lattice GD hierarchy, and they play a role in establishing the defining relations for the higher elliptic roots of unity. First, we have the

following generalisation of (A.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(\kappa + x) \sigma(\lambda + x) \sigma(\mu + x) \sigma(\kappa + \lambda + \mu + y) \sigma^2(y) \\
& - \sigma(\kappa + y) \sigma(\lambda + y) \sigma(\mu + y) \sigma(\kappa + \lambda + \mu + x) \sigma^2(x) \\
& = \sigma(\kappa) \sigma(\lambda) \sigma(\mu) \sigma(x) \sigma(y) \sigma(\kappa + \lambda + \mu + x + y) \sigma(y - x) \\
& \quad \times [\zeta(\kappa) + \zeta(\lambda) + \zeta(\mu) + \zeta(x) + \zeta(y) - \zeta(\kappa + \lambda + \mu + x + y)]
\end{aligned}$$

which derives from:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \zeta(\kappa) + \zeta(\lambda) + \zeta(\mu) + \zeta(x) + \zeta(y) - \zeta(\kappa + \lambda + \mu + x + y) = \\
& = \frac{\Phi_\kappa(x) \Phi_\lambda(x) \Phi_\mu(x) \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu}(y) - \Phi_\kappa(y) \Phi_\lambda(y) \Phi_\mu(y) \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu}(x)}{\Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu}(x+y) (\wp(x) - \wp(y))} .
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have [6]

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\kappa(x) \Phi_\kappa(y) \Phi_\kappa(z) & = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_\kappa(x+y+z) \left[(\zeta(\kappa) + \zeta(x) + \zeta(y) + \zeta(z) - \zeta(\kappa+x+y+z))^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \wp(\kappa) - (\wp(x) + \wp(y) + \wp(z) + \wp(\kappa+x+y+z)) \right] . \tag{C.1}
\end{aligned}$$

At the next level we get the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\kappa(x) \Phi_\lambda(y) \Phi_\mu(z) \Phi_\nu(w) & = \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(x) \Phi_\lambda(y-x) \Phi_\mu(z-x) \Phi_\nu(w-x) \\
& \quad + \Phi_\kappa(x-y) \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(y) \Phi_\mu(z-y) \Phi_\nu(w-y) \\
& \quad + \Phi_\kappa(x-z) \Phi_\lambda(y-z) \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(z) \Phi_\nu(w-z) \\
& \quad + \Phi_\kappa(x-w) \Phi_\lambda(y-w) \Phi_\mu(z-w) \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(w) .
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $w = z + \delta$ and taking the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (C.2) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_\kappa(x) \Phi_\lambda(y) \Phi_\mu(z) \Phi_\nu(z) - \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(x) \Phi_\lambda(y-x) \Phi_\mu(z-x) \Phi_\nu(z-x) \\
& - \Phi_\kappa(x-y) \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(y) \Phi_\mu(z-y) \Phi_\nu(z-y) = \Phi_\kappa(x-z) \Phi_\lambda(y-z) \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(z) \\
& \times [\zeta(\nu) + \zeta(\mu) + \zeta(z) + \zeta(\kappa+x-z) + \zeta(\lambda+y-z) - \zeta(x-z) - \zeta(y-z) \\
& - \zeta(\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu+z)] , \tag{C.2}
\end{aligned}$$

which, after a renaming of the arguments, can be cast into the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(x+y+z) \frac{\sigma(x+y+z)\sigma(x-y)\sigma(x-z)\sigma(y-z)}{\sigma^3(x)\sigma^3(y)\sigma^3(z)} \\
& \times [\zeta(\kappa) + \zeta(\lambda) + \zeta(\mu) + \zeta(\nu) + \zeta(x) + \zeta(y) + \zeta(z) - \zeta(\kappa + \lambda + \mu + \nu + x + y + z)] = \\
= & \Phi_{\kappa}(x)\Phi_{\lambda}(x)\Phi_{\mu}(x)\Phi_{\nu}(x) (\wp(z) - \wp(y)) \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(y+z) \\
& + \Phi_{\kappa}(y)\Phi_{\lambda}(y)\Phi_{\mu}(y)\Phi_{\nu}(y) (\wp(x) - \wp(z)) \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(x+z) \\
& + \Phi_{\kappa}(z)\Phi_{\lambda}(z)\Phi_{\mu}(z)\Phi_{\nu}(z) (\wp(y) - \wp(x)) \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(x+y) . \tag{C.3}
\end{aligned}$$

In the next stage we set $z = y + \varepsilon$ in (C.2), and take the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, which yields the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{\kappa}(x)\Phi_{\lambda}(y)\Phi_{\mu}(y)\Phi_{\nu}(y) - \Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(x)\Phi_{\lambda}(y-x)\Phi_{\mu}(y-x)\Phi_{\nu}(y-x) = \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(y)\Phi_{\kappa}(x-y) \left[\wp(y) + \wp(x-y) - \wp(\lambda) - \wp(\mu) - \wp(\nu) \right. \\
& + \left(\zeta(\lambda) + \zeta(\mu) + \zeta(\nu) + \zeta(\kappa + x - y) - \zeta(x - y) + \zeta(y) - \zeta(\kappa + \lambda + \mu + \nu + y) \right)^2 \\
& \left. - \wp(\kappa + x - y) - \wp(\kappa + \lambda + \mu + \nu + y) \right] , \tag{C.4}
\end{aligned}$$

which generalises (C.1). In the final stage, however, setting $y = x + \gamma$ and letting $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ (which requires expansions up to third order in γ), we get the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{\kappa}(x)\Phi_{\lambda}(x)\Phi_{\mu}(x)\Phi_{\nu}(x) = \\
= & \frac{1}{6}\Phi_{\kappa+\lambda+\mu+\nu}(x) \left\{ \left(\zeta(\kappa) + \zeta(\lambda) + \zeta(\mu) + \zeta(\nu) + \zeta(x) - \zeta(\kappa + \lambda + \mu + \nu + x) \right)^3 \right. \\
& - 3 \left(\zeta(\kappa) + \zeta(\lambda) + \zeta(\mu) + \zeta(\nu) + \zeta(x) - \zeta(\kappa + \lambda + \mu + \nu + x) \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(\wp(\kappa) + \wp(\lambda) + \wp(\mu) + \wp(\nu) + \wp(\kappa + \lambda + \mu + \nu + x) - \wp(x) \right) \\
& \left. - \left(\wp'(\kappa) + \wp'(\lambda) + \wp'(\mu) + \wp'(\nu) + \wp'(x) - \wp'(\kappa + \lambda + \mu + \nu + x) \right) \right\} . \tag{C.5}
\end{aligned}$$

The previous relations can be obviously generalised to arbitrary order. Thus, the general form of the basic identity (A.6) (3-term relation for the σ -function, or the elliptic partial fraction expansion formula) is:

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \Phi_{\kappa_i}(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_{\kappa_1+\dots+\kappa_n}(x_i) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \Phi_{\kappa_j}(x_j - x_i) . \tag{C.6}$$

Extending this identity to $n + 1$ variables, including a κ_0 and x_0 , and subsequently taking the limit $x_0 = x_1 + \varepsilon$, with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the following identity (after some obvious relabelling

of parameters and changes of variables):

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{n-1} \Phi_{\kappa_0+\kappa_1+\dots+\kappa_n}(x_1+\dots+x_n) \frac{\sigma(x_1+\dots+x_n)}{\prod_{j=1}^n \sigma(x_j)} \\
& \times \left[\zeta(\kappa_0) + \sum_{j=1}^n (\zeta(\kappa_j) + \zeta(x_j)) - \zeta(\kappa_0 + \kappa_1 + \dots + \kappa_n + x_1 + \dots + x_n) \right] \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_{\kappa_0+\kappa_1+\dots+\kappa_n}(x_1+\dots+x_i+\dots+x_n) \frac{\sigma(x_1+\dots+x_i+\dots+x_n) \sigma^{n-1}(x_i)}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \sigma(x_i-x_j)} \prod_{j=0}^n \Phi_{\kappa_j}(x_i) .
\end{aligned}$$

These identities are associated with the famous Frobenius-Stickelberger determinantal formula.

Appendix D: Lax pairs

The Lax pairs for the BSQ type lattice equations are derived from the fundamental system of relations (4.17b) and (4.17d), or equivalently (4.19b) and (4.19d), for the vectors $\mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi)$ together with (4.18b), and their counterparts in the other lattice direction. By choosing specific components of these infinite vectors we construct 3-component vectors which will yield the eigenvectors for the corresponding 3×3 matrix Lax pairs. There are two different choices of entries that we will consider, leading to the Lax pairs for the lattice BSQ system and lattice modified BSQ system respectively.

a) Lax pair for the lattice BSQ system

In this case we specify the entries:

$$(u_\kappa(\xi))_0 := (\mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi))_0, \quad (u_\kappa(\xi))_1 := (\mathbf{\Lambda}_\xi \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi))_0, \quad (u_\kappa(\xi))_2 := (\wp(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi))_0,$$

and consider the relations (4.17), together with (4.18), to derive a Lax pair for the 3-component vector

$$\phi(\xi) := \left((u_\kappa(\xi))_0, (u_\kappa(\xi))_1, (u_\kappa(\xi))_2 \right). \quad (\text{D.1})$$

In fact, the dynamical relations (4.17), using the above notation, can be rewritten as:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\kappa}(\xi) = \left(p_{\xi} - \Lambda_{\xi} - \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\xi} \mathbf{O} \right) \mathbf{u}_{\kappa}(\xi) , \quad (\text{D.2a})$$

$$\Lambda_{\tilde{\xi}} \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\kappa}(\xi) = \left(p_{\xi} \Lambda_{\xi} - \wp(\tilde{\xi}) - \wp(\xi) - \wp(\Lambda) \right) \mathbf{u}_{\kappa}(\xi) - \Lambda_{\tilde{\xi}} \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\xi} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{u}_{\kappa}(\xi) , \quad (\text{D.2b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \left(\wp'(\delta) + \wp'(\kappa) \right) \mathbf{u}_{\kappa}(\xi) &= \left(\wp(\tilde{\xi}) + \wp(\delta) + \wp(\Lambda) + p_{\xi} \Lambda_{\tilde{\xi}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\kappa}(\xi) \\ &+ \mathbf{U}_{\xi} \left(p_{\xi} \mathbf{O} + \mathbf{O} \Lambda_{\tilde{\xi}} - {}^t \Lambda_{\xi} \mathbf{O} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\kappa}(\xi) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.2c})$$

and similar relations for the other lattice direction. Taking the 0-component of these relations and their counterparts in the other direction we constitute the Lax pair as the shift relations for the vector $\phi(\xi)$, as given by

$$\widetilde{\phi}(\xi) = \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}(\xi) \phi(\xi) , \quad \widehat{\phi}(\xi) = \mathbf{M}_{\kappa}(\xi) \phi(\xi) , \quad (\text{D.3a})$$

with Lax matrices $\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}(\xi)$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\kappa}(\xi)$ given by

$$\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}(\xi) := \begin{pmatrix} p_{\xi} - \widetilde{u}_{0,0} & -1 & 0 \\ -\wp(\tilde{\xi}) - \wp(\xi) - \widetilde{u}_{1,0} & p_{\xi} & -1 \\ \clubsuit & -\wp(\xi) - u_{0,1} & p_{\xi} + u_{0,0} \end{pmatrix} , \quad (\text{D.3b})$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} \clubsuit &:= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\wp'(\delta) + \wp'(\kappa) \right) + (p_{\xi} - \widetilde{u}_{0,0}) \left(u_{0,1} - \wp(\tilde{\xi}) - \wp(\delta) - p_{\xi} u_{0,0} \right) \\ &+ (p_{\xi} + u_{0,0}) \left(\wp(\tilde{\xi}) + \wp(\xi) + \widetilde{u}_{1,0} \right) , \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbf{M}_{\kappa}(\xi)$ similarly replacing \sim by $\widehat{}$ and δ by ε everywhere. The entry \clubsuit is such that $\det(\mathbf{L}_{\kappa}(\xi)) = -\frac{1}{2}(\wp'(\delta) + \wp'(\kappa))$. The compatibility of the Lax pair, $\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_{\kappa}(\xi) \mathbf{M}_{\kappa}(\xi) = \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\kappa}(\xi) \mathbf{L}(\kappa(\xi))$, yields the following coupled set of relations

$$\widetilde{u}_{1,0} - \widehat{u}_{1,0} = (p_{\xi} - q_{\xi} + \widehat{u}_{0,0} - \widetilde{u}_{0,0}) \widehat{u}_{0,0} - p_{\xi} \widehat{u}_{0,0} + q_{\xi} \widetilde{u}_{0,0} , \quad (\text{D.4a})$$

$$\widetilde{u}_{0,1} - \widehat{u}_{0,1} = (p_{\xi} - q_{\xi} + \widehat{u}_{0,0} - \widetilde{u}_{0,0}) u_{0,0} - p_{\xi} \widehat{u}_{0,0} + q_{\xi} \widetilde{u}_{0,0} , \quad (\text{D.4b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\varepsilon)}{p_{\xi} - q_{\xi} + \widehat{u}_{0,0} - \widetilde{u}_{0,0}} &= \widehat{u}_{1,0} + u_{0,1} + (p_{\widehat{\xi}} + q_{\xi} + u_{0,0}) (\widehat{u}_{0,0} - p_{\xi} - q_{\widehat{\xi}}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\wp(\xi) + \wp(\tilde{\xi}) - \wp(\delta) - \wp(\varepsilon) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_{\xi} + q_{\widehat{\xi}} \right)^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.4c})$$

where a number of identities are used, such as (5.4a) and (5.4b), as well as

$$(p_{\widehat{\xi}} + q_{\xi}) \left(\wp(\widehat{\xi}) - \wp(\tilde{\xi}) \right) = (p_{\xi} - q_{\xi}) \left(\wp(\widehat{\xi}) - \wp(\xi) \right) = (p_{\widehat{\xi}} - p_{\xi}) \left(\wp(\delta) - \wp(\varepsilon) \right) ,$$

This coupled set of equations is similar to the one appearing in [19, 28] and by elimination of the quantities $u_{1,0}$ and $u_{0,1}$ yields the lattice BSQ system (5.6). In principle, the Lax pair can be used to investigate initial-boundary value problems for the lattice BSQ equation in a similar way as the inverse scattering scheme for the BSQ equation. That approach to solutions is beyond the scope of the present paper.

b) Lax pair for the lattice modified BSQ system

In the case of the lattice modified BSQ equation we can choose an eigenvector in the form

$$\psi(\xi) = \left(\left(\left(\chi_{\alpha, \Lambda}^{(1)}(\xi) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi) \right)_0, (\mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi))_0, (\Lambda_\xi \mathbf{u}_\kappa(\xi))_0 \right)^T, \quad (\text{D.5})$$

depending on the additional parameter α . For this choice of eigenvector we can make use of the identities (5.8) and (5.9a) to derive the corresponding relations for the entries of $\psi_\kappa(\xi)$ from the fundamental relations (4.17b) and (4.17d). Thus, we can derive the Lax pairs

$$\widetilde{\psi}(\xi) = \mathcal{L}_\kappa(\xi)\psi(\xi), \quad \widehat{\psi}(\xi) = \mathcal{M}_\kappa(\xi)\psi(\xi) \quad (\text{D.6a})$$

where the modified BSQ Lax matrices are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\kappa(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} p_\xi + a_{\widetilde{\xi}} & \widetilde{v}_\alpha(\xi) & 0 \\ 0 & p_\xi - \widetilde{u}_{0,0} & -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\alpha) + \wp'(\kappa)}{v_\alpha(\xi)} & \spadesuit & p_\xi - \frac{s_\alpha(\xi)}{v_\alpha(\xi)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{D.6b})$$

in which

$$\spadesuit = -(p_\xi - \widetilde{u}_{0,0}) \left(p_\xi - \frac{s_\alpha(\xi)}{v_\alpha(\xi)} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'(\delta) - \wp'(\alpha)}{p_\xi + a_{\widetilde{\xi}}} \frac{\widetilde{v}_\alpha(\xi)}{v_\alpha(\xi)}, \quad (\text{D.6c})$$

and similarly \mathcal{M}_κ obtained from (D.6b) by replacing δ by ε (and hence p_ξ by q_ξ) and \sim by $\widehat{\cdot}$. The quantity indicated by \spadesuit is such that $\det(\mathcal{L}_\kappa) = -\frac{1}{2}(\wp'(\delta) + \wp'(\kappa))\widetilde{v}_\alpha/v_\alpha$ and $\det(\mathcal{M}_\kappa) = -\frac{1}{2}(\wp'(\varepsilon) + \wp'(\kappa))\widehat{v}_\alpha/v_\alpha$.

The compatibility relation $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\kappa \mathcal{M}_\kappa = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\kappa \mathcal{L}_\kappa$ leads to the relations (5.19a), (5.17a) and (5.20a), as well as to the relation

$$A_\delta(\widehat{\xi}) \frac{\widehat{v}_\alpha}{v_\alpha} - A_\varepsilon(\widehat{\xi}) \frac{\widehat{v}_\alpha}{v_\alpha} = \left(p_{\widehat{\xi}} + q_\xi - \widetilde{u}_{0,0} - \frac{s_\alpha}{v_\alpha} \right) \left(p_{\widehat{\xi}} - q_{\widehat{\xi}} + \frac{\widetilde{s}_\alpha}{\widetilde{v}_\alpha} - \frac{\widehat{s}_\alpha}{\widehat{v}_\alpha} \right), \quad (\text{D.7})$$

which can be obtained from combining (5.16a) and (5.15a). The relations thus obtained from the Lax pair allow us to reconstruct the lattice modified BSQ equation (5.21). Similarly, a Lax pair can be set up for the modified BSQ equation in the form (5.22), starting from the relations in section 4 for the adjoint vector ${}^t\mathbf{u}_{\kappa'}(\xi)$, and involving the quantities w_β . We will omit the details here.

References

- [1] V.E. Adler, A.I. Bobenko and Yu.B. Suris, *Classification of integrable equations on quadrilaterals, the consistency approach*, Commun. Math. Phys. **233** (2003) 513–543.
- [2] N.I. Akhiezer, *Elements of the Theory of Elliptic Functions*, Translation of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. **79**, (AMS, Providence RI, 1990).
- [3] J. Atkinson and F.W. Nijhoff, *A constructive approach to the soliton solutions of integrable quadrilateral lattice equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. **299** (2010) 283–304.
- [4] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, *Landau-Lifshitz equation: solitons, quasi-periodic solutions and infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **16** (1983) 221–236.
- [5] N. Delice, *Elliptic Lax Systems and Integrable Lattice Equations Associated with Elliptic Curves*, PhD Thesis, University of Leeds, 2015.
- [6] N. Delice and F.W. Nijhoff, *On elliptic Lax pairs and isomonodromic deformation systems for elliptic lattice equations*, in: *Representation Theory, Special Functions and Painlevé Equations*, Adv. Stud. in Pure Mathematics **76** (2018) 487–525.
- [7] N. Delice, F.W. Nijhoff and S. Yoo-Kong, *On elliptic Lax systems on the lattice and a compound theorem for hyperdeterminants*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **48** (2015) 035206 (27pp).
- [8] J. Hietarinta, N. Joshi and F.W. Nijhoff, *Discrete Systems and Integrability*, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics # 54 (Cambridge University Press, 2016).
- [9] J. Hietarinta, *Boussinesq-like multi-component lattice equations and multi-dimensional consistency*, arXiv:1011.1978.

- [10] J. Hietarinta and D.J. Zhang, *Multisoliton solutions to the lattice Boussinesq equation*, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010) 033505 (12pp).
- [11] R. Hirota, *Nonlinear partial difference equations I-III*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **43** (1977) 1424–1433, 2074–2089.
- [12] R. Hirota, *Discrete analogue of a generalised Toda equation*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **50** (1981) 3785–3791.
- [13] S. Lobb and F.W. Nijhoff, *Lagrangian multiform structure for the lattice Gel’fand-Dikii hierarchy*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43** (2010) 072003 (11pp).
- [14] K. Maruno and K. Kajiwara, *The discrete potential Boussinesq equation and its multisoliton solutions*, Appl. Anal. **89** (2010) 563–609.
- [15] P. Jennings and F.W. Nijhoff, *On an elliptic extension of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47** (2014) 055205 (14pp).
- [16] A.V. Mikhailov, *The Landau-Lifschitz equation and the Riemann boundary problem on a torus*, Phys. Lett. **92A** (1982) 51–55.
- [17] F.W. Nijhoff, *A higher-rank version of the Q3 equation*, arXiv:1104.1166.
- [18] F.W. Nijhoff, *On some “Schwarzian equations” and their discrete analogues*, in: Eds. A.S. Fokas and I.M. Gel’fand, *Algebraic Aspects of Integrable Systems: In memory of Irene Dorfman*, (Birkhäuser Verlag, 1996), pp. 237–260.
- [19] F.W. Nijhoff, *Discrete Painlevé equations and symmetry reduction on the lattice*, in: Eds. A.I. Bobenko and R. Seiler, *Discrete Integrable Geometry and Physics*, (Oxford Univ. Press, 1999), pp 209–234.
- [20] F.W. Nijhoff, J. Atkinson and J. Hietarinta, *Soliton solutions for ABS lattice equations: I Cauchy matrix approach*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42** (2009) 404005 (34pp).
- [21] F.W. Nijhoff and J. Atkinson, *Elliptic N-soliton solutions of ABS lattice equations*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **2010** (2010) 3837–3895.

- [22] F.W. Nijhoff, H.W. Capel, H.W. Wiersma and G.R.W. Quispel, *Bäcklund-transformations and 3-dimensional lattice equations*, Phys. Lett. **105A** (1984) 267–272.
- [23] F.W. Nijhoff, V.G. Papageorgiou, H.W. Capel and G.R.W. Quispel, *The lattice Gel'fand-Dikii hierarchy*, Inverse Problems **8** (1992) 597–621.
- [24] F.W. Nijhoff, G.R.W. Quispel and H.W. Capel, *Direct linearisation of nonlinear difference-difference equations*, Phys. Lett. **97A** (1983) 125–128.
- [25] Yu.L. Rodin, *The Riemann boundary problem on a torus and the inverse scattering problem for the Landau-Lifschitz equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **7** (1983) 3–8.
- [26] Y.Y. Sun, *Applications of Elliptic Functions in Discrete Integrable Systems*, PhD Thesis, Shanghai University, in Chinese, 2017.
- [27] A.S. Tongas and F.W. Nijhoff, *generalised hyperbolic Ernst equations for an Einstein-Maxwell-Weyl field*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38** (2005) 895–906.
- [28] A.S. Tongas and F.W. Nijhoff, *The Boussinesq integrable system. Compatible lattice and continuum structures*, Glasgow Math. J. **47A** (2005) 205–219.
- [29] A. Walker, *Similarity Reductions and Integrable Lattice Equations*, PhD Thesis, University of Leeds, 2001.
- [30] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, fourth edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1927.
- [31] S. Yoo-Kong and F.W. Nijhoff, *Elliptic (N, N') -soliton solutions of the lattice Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013) 043511 (20pp).
- [32] D.J. Zhang, S.L. Zhao and F.W. Nijhoff, *Direct linearisation of the extended lattice BSQ system*, Stud. Appl. Math. **129** (2012) 220–248.