

Extending tamely ramified strict 1-motives into két log 1-motives

ABSTRACT. We define két abelian schemes, két 1-motives, and két log 1-motives, and formulate duality theory for these objects. Then we show that tamely ramified strict 1-motives over a complete discrete valuation field can be extended uniquely to két log 1-motives over the corresponding discrete valuation ring. As an application, we present a proof to a result of Kato stated in [Kat92, §4.3] without proof.

CONTENTS

Notation and conventions	2
1. Introduction	2
2. Két log 1-motives	5
2.1. Két log 1-motives	5
2.2. Két log 1-motives in the Kummer flat topology	8
2.3. Duality of két abelian schemes	9
2.4. Duality of két 1-motives	10
2.5. Duality of két log 1-motives	13
3. Extending tamely ramified strict 1-motives into két log 1-motives	17
3.1. Extending tamely ramified lattices into két lattices	17
3.2. Extending tamely ramified tori into két tori	19
3.3. Extending tamely ramified abelian varieties with potentially good reduction into két abelian schemes	19
3.4. Proof of Theorem 3.1	23
4. Monodromy	29
4.1. Logarithmic monodromy pairing	29
4.2. Comparison with Raynaud's geometric monodromy	31
5. Log finite group objects associated to két log 1-motives	33
5.1. Log finite group objects	33
5.2. Log finite group objects associated to két log 1-motives	35

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14D06 (primary), 14A21, 14K99, 11G99 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. 1-motives, log geometry, log 1-motives, két log 1-motives.

5.3. Extending finite group schemes associated to tamely ramified strict 1-motives	36
Acknowledgement	37
References	37

Notation and conventions

Let S be an fs log scheme. We denote by (fs/S) the category of fs log schemes over S , and denote by $(\text{fs}/S)_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$ (resp. $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{k}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$, resp. $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{fl}}$, resp. $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$) the classical étale site (resp. Kummer étale site, resp. classical flat site, resp. Kummer flat site) on (fs/S) . In order to shorten formulas, we will mostly abbreviate $(\text{fs}/S)_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$ (resp. $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{k}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$, resp. $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{fl}}$, resp. $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$) as $S_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$ (resp. $S_{\text{k}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$, resp. S_{fl} , resp. S_{kfl}). We refer to [Ill02, 2.5] for the classical étale site and the Kummer étale site, and [Kat19, Def. 2.3] and [Niz08, §2.1] for the Kummer flat site. The definition of the classical flat site is an obvious analogue of that of the classical étale site. Then we have two natural “forgetful” maps of sites:

$$(0.1) \quad \varepsilon_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}} : (\text{fs}/S)_{\text{k}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}} \rightarrow (\text{fs}/S)_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$$

and

$$(0.2) \quad \varepsilon_{\text{fl}} : (\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}} \rightarrow (\text{fs}/S)_{\text{fl}}.$$

Kato’s multiplicative group (or the log multiplicative group) $\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\text{log}}$ is the sheaf on $S_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$ defined by $\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\text{log}}(U) = \Gamma(U, M_U^{\text{gp}})$ for any $U \in (\text{fs}/S)$, where M_U denotes the log structure of U and M_U^{gp} denotes the group envelope of M_U . The Kummer étale sheaf $\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\text{log}}$ is also a sheaf on S_{kfl} , see [Niz08, Cor. 2.22] for a proof.

By convention, for any sheaf of abelian groups F on S_{kfl} and a subgroup sheaf G of F on S_{kfl} , we denote by $(F/G)_{S_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}}$ (resp. $(F/G)_{S_{\text{fl}}}$, resp. $(F/G)_{S_{\text{k}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}}$) the quotient sheaf on $S_{\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$ (resp. S_{fl} , resp. $S_{\text{k}\acute{\text{e}}\text{t}}$), while F/G denotes the quotient sheaf on S_{kfl} . We abbreviate the quotient sheaf $\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}$ on S_{kfl} as $\overline{\mathbb{G}}_{\text{m},\text{log}}$.

1. Introduction

The notion of 1-motive is introduced by Deligne in [Del74]. A 1-motive over a base scheme S is a two term complex $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G]$ of group schemes over S such that

- (1) Y sits in degree -1, and is étale locally isomorphic to a finitely generated free abelian group (we call such a group scheme Y a lattice);
- (2) G sits in degree 0, and is an extension of an abelian scheme B by a torus T over S .

From the definition, one sees that a 1-motive over a field is a mixture of lattice, torus and abelian variety, and can be regarded as a mixed motive of weights 0, -1, and -2. The name 1-motive comes from the fact that they are the mixed motives

arising from varieties of dimension less or equal to 1. For any positive integer n , one can associate to M a finite flat group scheme

$$T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M) := H^{-1}(M \otimes^L \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

and for a prime number l we define the l -adic Tate module of M as

$$T_l(M) := \varprojlim_r T_{\mathbb{Z}/l^r\mathbb{Z}}(M).$$

Now let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field K , residue field k , and a chosen uniformizer π , $S = \text{Spec } R$, and we endow S with the log structure associated to $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow R, 1 \mapsto \pi$. Let s (resp. η) be the closed (resp. generic) point of S . We denote by $i : s \hookrightarrow S$ (resp. $j : \eta \hookrightarrow S$) the closed (resp. open) immersion of s (resp. η) into S . We endow s with the induced log structure from S .

A log 1-motive in [KT03, §4.6.1] is defined to be a triple $M_{\log} = (Y, G, u_K)$ with Y and G as in the definition of 1-motive, but u_K only a homomorphism of group schemes defined over K . Then we get a 1-motive $M_K := [Y_K \xrightarrow{u_K} G_K]$ over K from M_{\log} . In [BCC04, Thm. 19], the authors extend $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M_K)$ to a log finite group object in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ (see Definition 5.1) by using Kato's classification theorem for objects in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ for an fs log scheme S with its underlying scheme a noetherian strictly henselian local ring. Note that Y_K and G_K obviously have good reduction.

In this paper, a log 1-motive is as defined in [KKN08, Def. 2.2] rather than in [KT03, §4.6.1], which is the more suitable one over a general base. We are going to show that a 1-motive $M_K = [Y_K \xrightarrow{u_K} G_K]$ with both Y_K and G_K having good reduction, can be extended to a unique log 1-motive $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ over S , see Corollary 3.1. Hence a log 1-motive in the sense of [KT03, §4.6.1] is a log 1-motive in our sense. Taking $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M)$, we get an object of $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ with generic fiber $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M_K)$. This gives an alternative proof to [BCC04, Thm. 19]. Moreover, if we replace log 1-motive by két log 1-motive (see Definition 2.6), we can generalize the result to tamely ramified strict 1-motives over K , see Theorem 1.1 below. Here a 1-motive $M_K = [Y_K \xrightarrow{u_K} G_K]$ is called strict, if G_K has potentially good reduction (see [Ray94, Def. 4.2.3]), and a 1-motive $M_K = [Y_K \xrightarrow{u_K} G_K]$ is called tamely ramified, if Y_K (resp. G_K) has good reduction (resp. semi-stable reduction) after a tamely ramified extension of K .

THEOREM 1.1 (See also Theorem 3.1). *Let $M_K = [Y_K \xrightarrow{u_K} G_K]$ be a tamely ramified strict 1-motive over K . Then M_K extends to a unique két log 1-motive M^{\log} over S .*

Moreover the association of M^{\log} to M_K gives rise to an equivalence

$$\text{Két} : \text{TameSt-1-Mot}_K \rightarrow \text{KétLog-1-Mot}_S$$

from the category of tamely ramified strict 1-motives over K to the category of két log 1-motives over S .

Let us make some historical review concerning Theorem 1.1. Without doubt, degeneration is an important topic in mathematics. As stated in the beginning of

[**KKN08**], degenerating abelian varieties cannot preserve smoothness, properness, and group structure at the same time, while the theory of log abelian varieties make the impossible possible¹ in the world of log geometry. Let us get back to the setup that R is a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field K and $S := \text{Spec } R$ is endowed with the canonical log structure. Let A_K be an abelian variety with semi-stable reduction over K . Following the ideas from [**KKN15**] and [**KKN19**], we give a sketch of the construction of the log abelian variety over S extending A_K . We have the Raynaud extension of A_K which is an extension G of some abelian scheme B by some torus T over S , see [**sga72**, Exp. IX, Prop. 7.5]. Let A_K^\vee be the dual abelian variety of A_K . Then A_K^\vee has semi-stable reduction too by [**sga72**, Exp. IX, Rmk. 3.5.1]. Let G^\vee be the Raynaud extension of A_K^\vee which is an extension of the dual B^\vee of B by a torus T^\vee by [**sga72**, Exp. IX, Prop. 7.5]. Let Y be the character group of T^\vee . Then the extension G^\vee of B^\vee by T^\vee corresponds to a homomorphism $v : Y \rightarrow (B^\vee)^\vee = B$. Let $Y_K := Y \times_S \text{Spec } K$ and $G_K := G \times_S \text{Spec } K$. Then there exists a homomorphism $u_K : Y_K \rightarrow G_K$ of group schemes over K lifting $v_K := v \times_S \text{Spec } K$, such that the rigid analytic space of A_K is the quotient of the rigid analytic space of G_K by Y_K , see [**sga72**, Exp. IX, §14.1]. So we get a 1-motive $M_K = [Y_K \rightarrow G_K]$ in which both Y_K and G_K have good reduction (or a log 1-motive in the sense of [**KT03**, §4.6.1]). The 1-motive M_K extends to a log 1-motive $M^{\text{log}} = [Y \rightarrow G]$ over S . Let π be a chosen uniformizer of R , $S_n := \text{Spec } R/(\pi)^{n+1}$ endowed with induced log structure from S , and $M_n^{\text{log}} := M^{\text{log}} \times_S S_n$. Any polarization λ_K of A_K gives rise to a polarization λ_n of M_n^{log} . The polarizations λ_n are compatible with each other as n varies. Let A_n be the log abelian variety over S_n associated to the polarized log 1-motive M_n^{log} . Then we get a polarizable π -adic formal log abelian variety \mathcal{A} over R , i.e. an object of the category \mathcal{P} from [**KKN19**, §5.1]. Through the equivalence of categories from [**KKN19**, Thm. 6.1], we get a log abelian variety A over S whose formal completion is \mathcal{A} . To sum up, we have the following associations

$$(1.1) \quad A_K \mapsto M_K \mapsto M^{\text{log}} \mapsto \{M_n^{\text{log}}\}_n \mapsto \mathcal{A} \mapsto A.$$

Our Theorem 1.1 is a generalization of the association $M_K \mapsto M^{\text{log}}$ to tamely ramified strict 1-motives over K .

In the association chain (1.1), instead of starting with a semi-stable abelian variety over K , we can start with a tamely ramified abelian variety over K . Then using Theorem 1.1, we can give a proof to the following theorem (see also Theorem 5.2) which is stated in the preprint [**Kat92**, §4.3] without proof.

THEOREM 1.2 (Kato). *Let K be a complete discrete valuation field with ring of integers R , p a prime number, and A_K a tamely ramified abelian variety over K . We endow $S := \text{Spec } R$ with the canonical log structure. Then the p -divisible group $A_K[p^\infty]$ of A_K extends to a két log p -divisible group, i.e. an object of $(p\text{-div}/S)_\epsilon^{\text{log}}$*

¹To be more precise, one can make the impossible possible up to certain extent at least at this moment, for example over a complete discrete valuation field, one can only extend the abelian varieties with semi-stable reduction to log abelian varieties over the corresponding discrete valuation ring endowed with the canonical log structure.

(see Definition 5.2). It extends to an object of $(p\text{-div}/S)_d^{\log}$ (see Definition 5.2) if any of the following two conditions is satisfied.

- (1) A_K has semi-stable reduction.
- (2) p is invertible in R .

In the association chain (1.1), starting with a tamely ramified abelian variety also brings us the question if one can formulate the theory of log abelian varieties in the Kummer étale topology instead of the classical étale topology, with which one could get a complete association chain as in (1.1) in the new case. A second natural question is if one can go further to define log abelian varieties in the even finer topology the Kummer flat topology². A third question, as suggested by the anonymous referee, is if one can extend a log 1-motive M_K over an fs log point with underlying scheme $\text{Spec } K$ to a log 1-motive over $\text{Spec } R$ endowed with the direct image log structure along $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$. We hope to come back to these questions in future.

In Section 2, we define két tori, két lattices, két abelian schemes, két 1-motives, and két log 1-motives, and formulate duality theory for these objects.

Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. A special case of Theorem 1.1 is the following theorem, which gives rise to a concrete non-trivial example of két abelian scheme.

THEOREM 1.3 (See also Theorem 3.2). *Let K be a complete discrete valuation field with ring of integers R , and B_K a tamely ramified abelian variety over K which has potentially good reduction. We endow $S := \text{Spec } R$ with the canonical log structure, then B_K extends to a unique két abelian scheme B over S .*

In Section 4, for a tamely ramified strict 1-motive M_K as in Theorem 1.1, we associate a logarithmic monodromy pairing to M and compare it with Raynaud's geometric monodromy for M_K (see [Ray94, §4.3]).

In Section 5, we present a proof to Theorem 1.2.

2. Két log 1-motives

2.1. Két log 1-motives. The following definitions about log 1-motives are taken from [KKN08, §2].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let S be an fs log scheme, T a torus over the underlying scheme of S with character group X . The **log augmentation of T** , denoted by T_{\log} , is the sheaf of abelian groups

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{ét}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m, \log})$$

on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$. Let G be an extension of an abelian scheme B by T over the underlying scheme of S . The **logarithmic augmentation of G** , denoted by G_{\log} , is the push-out of G along the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow T_{\log}$.

²The theory of log abelian varieties in the Kummer flat topology has been expected by Kazuya Kato as Professor Chikara Nakayama informed the author.

DEFINITION 2.2. A **log 1-motive** over an fs log scheme S is a two-term complex $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ in the category of sheaves of abelian groups on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$, with the degree -1 term Y an étale locally constant sheaf of finitely generated free abelian groups and the degree 0 term G_{\log} as above. We also call Y the **lattice part** of M . A **morphism of log 1-motives** is just a homomorphism of complexes.

By [Zha17, Prop. 2.1], one can replace $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$ by $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{két}}$ in the above definitions. In particular, T_{\log} and G_{\log} are sheaves on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{két}}$.

Now we define két 1-motives and két log 1-motives, and we work with $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{két}}$.

DEFINITION 2.3. A **két (kummer étale) lattice** (resp. **két torus**, resp. **két abelian scheme**) over an fs log scheme S is a sheaf F of abelian groups on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{két}}$ such that the pull-back of F to S' is a lattice (resp. torus, resp. abelian scheme) over S' for some Kummer étale cover S' of S . Here by a lattice, we mean a group scheme which is étale locally representable by a finite rank free abelian group.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let S be an fs log scheme. A **két 1-motive** over S is a two-term complex $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G]$ in the category of sheaves of abelian groups on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{két}}$, such that the degree -1 term Y is a két lattice and the degree 0 term G is an extension of a két abelian scheme B by a két torus T on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{két}}$. A **morphism of két 1-motives** is just a homomorphism of complexes.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let S be an fs log scheme. Then the associations*

$$T \mapsto \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{két}}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m), \quad X \mapsto \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{két}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

*define an equivalence between the category of két tori over S and the category of két locally constant sheaves of finitely generated free abelian groups over S , and the equivalence restricts to the classical equivalence between the category of classical tori over S and the category of étale locally constant sheaves of finitely generated free abelian groups over S . We call the két lattice $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{két}}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$ the **character group** of the két torus T .*

PROOF. This follows from the classical equivalence between the category of tori and the category of étale locally constant sheaves of finitely generated free abelian groups. \square

DEFINITION 2.5. Given a két torus T over S , let $X := \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{két}}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$ be the character group of T . The **logarithmic augmentation of T** , denoted by T_{\log} , is the sheaf of abelian groups

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{két}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m, \log})$$

on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{két}}$. Let G be an extension of a két abelian scheme B by T over S . The **logarithmic augmentation of G** , denoted by G_{\log} , is the push-out of G along the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow T_{\log}$.

Note that the quotient $(G_{\log}/G)_{S_{\text{két}}}$ is canonically identified with the quotient $(T_{\log}/T)_{S_{\text{két}}}$, which can be further identified with $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{két}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{m, \log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{két}}})$.

DEFINITION 2.6. A **két log 1-motive** over an fs log scheme S is a 2-term complex $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ of sheaves of abelian groups on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{két}}$ such that the degree -1 term Y is a két lattice over S and G is an extension of a két abelian scheme B by a két torus on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{két}}$. The composition

$$Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log} \rightarrow (G_{\log}/G)_{S_{\text{két}}} = (T_{\log}/T)_{S_{\text{két}}} = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{két}}}(X, (\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\log}/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})_{S_{\text{két}}})$$

corresponds to a pairing

$$Y \times X \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\log}/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})_{S_{\text{két}}}.$$

We call this pairing the **monodromy pairing** of M . A **morphism of két log 1-motives** is just a homomorphism of complexes.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let G be an extension of a két abelian scheme B by a két torus T over an fs log scheme S . Then G is Kummer étale locally an extension of an abelian scheme by a torus for the classical étale topology.*

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume that B (resp. T) is an abelian scheme (resp. torus) over S . Let $\varepsilon : (\text{fs}/S)_{\text{két}} \rightarrow (\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$ be the forgetful map between these two sites. The spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} = \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{ét}}}^i(B, R^j \varepsilon_* T) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{két}}}^{i+j}(B, T)$$

gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{ét}}}^1(B, T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{két}}}^1(B, T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{ét}}}(B, R^1 \varepsilon_* T).$$

By this exact sequence, it suffices to show that $\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{ét}}}(B, R^1 \varepsilon_* T) = 0$. We may assume that $T = \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}$, then we are reduced to show that $\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{ét}}}(B, R^1 \varepsilon_* \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})$ vanishes. The proof of the vanishing is an adoption of the proof of [KKN08, Lem. 6.1.1] in our situation. Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{ét}}}(B, R^1 \varepsilon_* \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})$, and U any object of (fs/S) , we show that the map $B(U) \rightarrow R^1 \varepsilon_* \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}(U)$ induced by φ is trivial. By the same argument as in the proof of [KKN08, Lem. 6.1.1], we are reduced to the case that U is a log point, i.e. its underlying scheme is the spectrum of a field K . Let p be the characteristic of K . Then we have

$$R^1 \varepsilon_* \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}} \cong (\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\log}/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})_{U_{\text{ét}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})'$$

over U , where

$$(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})' := \begin{cases} \varinjlim_{(n,p)=1} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } p > 1; \\ \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } p = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let (st/U) be the full subcategory of (fs/U) consisting of all objects which are strict over U . The restriction of $(\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\log}/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})_{U_{\text{ét}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})'$ to (st/U) is a locally constant sheaf, and hence is represented by an étale group scheme over U . Since a homomorphism of group schemes over U from $B \times_S U$ to an étale group scheme is trivial, the restriction of φ to (st/U) is trivial. Hence the homomorphism $B(U) \rightarrow R^1 \varepsilon_* \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}(U)$ induced by φ is trivial. This finishes the proof. \square

REMARK 2.1. For an abelian scheme B and a torus T over S , the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 shows that $\mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{fl}}}^1(B, T) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(B, T)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{két}}}^1(B, T) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{ét}}}^1(B, T) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{fl}}}^1(B, T) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(B, T).$$

2.2. Két log 1-motives in the Kummer flat topology. In this subsection, we assume that the underlying scheme of the base S is locally noetherian. We show that a két log 1-motive can be regarded as a 2-term complex in the category of sheaves for the Kummer flat topology.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let S be an fs log scheme, and let F be a sheaf of abelian groups on $(\mathrm{fs}/S)_{\mathrm{két}}$ such that $F \times_S S'$ is representable by an fs log scheme for some Kummer étale cover S' of S . Then F is also a sheaf for the Kummer flat topology. In particular, két lattices, két tori, and két abelian schemes over S are sheaves for the Kummer flat topology.*

PROOF. It suffices to prove that, for any $U \in (\mathrm{fs}/S)$ and any Kummer flat cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of U , the canonical sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} F(U_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i, j \in I} F(U_i \times_U U_j)$$

is exact. Let $S'' := S' \times_S S'$, consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(U) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i \in I} F(U_i) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i, j \in I} F(U_i \times_U U_j) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(U \times_S S') & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i \in I} F(U_i \times_S S') & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i, j \in I} F(U_i \times_U U_j \times_S S') \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(U \times_S S'') & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i \in I} F(U_i \times_S S'') & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i, j \in I} F(U_i \times_U U_j \times_S S'') \end{array}$$

with exact columns. Since $F \times_S S'$ is representable by an fs log scheme, so is $F \times_S S''$. By [KKN15, Thm. 5.2], both $F \times_S S'$ and $F \times_S S''$ are sheaves for the Kummer flat topology. It follows that the second row and the third row are both exact. Therefore the first row is also exact. This finishes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.1. *Let S be an fs log scheme, and let G be an extension of a két abelian scheme B by a két torus T over S . Then the logarithmic augmentation G_{log} of G defined in Definition 2.5 is a sheaf for the Kummer flat topology.*

PROOF. Since $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{log}}$ is a sheaf for the Kummer flat topology by [Kat19, Thm. 3.2] and X is a sheaf for the Kummer flat topology by Lemma 2.2, so is $T_{\mathrm{log}} = \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{\mathrm{két}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{log}})$. Let $\delta : (\mathrm{fs}/S)_{\mathrm{kfl}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{fs}/S)_{\mathrm{két}}$ be the forgetful map between

these two sites. The adjunction (δ^*, δ_*) gives rise to the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\log} & \longrightarrow & G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\log} & \longrightarrow & \delta_* \delta^* G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow R^1 \delta_* T_{\log} \end{array}$$

with exact rows. The left vertical identification comes from T_{\log} being a sheaf for the Kummer flat topology. The right vertical identification follows from Lemma 2.2. Since T_{\log} is Kummer étale locally of the form $\mathbb{G}_{m, \log}^r$, we get $R^1 \delta_* T_{\log} = 0$ by Kato's logarithmic Hilbert 90, see [Kat19, §5]. Therefore the canonical map $G_{\log} \rightarrow \delta_* \delta^* G_{\log}$ is an isomorphism, i.e. G_{\log} is also a sheaf for the Kummer flat topology. \square

2.3. Duality of két abelian schemes. In this subsection we assume that the underlying scheme of the base S is locally noetherian. We formulate the duality theory for két abelian schemes.

Let B be an abelian scheme over a base scheme S , the dual abelian scheme B^\vee can be described as $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m)$ by the Weil-Barsotti formula. We are going to use this description to define the dual of a given két abelian scheme.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let S be an fs log scheme. For any két abelian scheme B over S , let $B^\vee := \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Then we have the following.*

- (1) *The sheaf B^\vee is a két abelian scheme over S .*
- (2) *There exists a functorial isomorphism $\iota : B \xrightarrow{\cong} (B^\vee)^\vee$.*

PROOF. For part (1), we may assume that B is actually an abelian scheme. Let $\varepsilon_{\text{fl}} : (\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}} \rightarrow (\text{fs}/S)_{\text{fl}}$ be the forgetful map between these two sites. Let F_1 (resp. F_2) be a sheaf on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{fl}}$ (resp. $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$). Then we have

$$\varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(\varepsilon_{\text{fl}}^* F_1, F_2) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}}(F_1, \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} F_2).$$

Let θ be the functor sending F_2 to $\varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(\varepsilon_{\text{fl}}^* F_1, F_2) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}}(F_1, \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} F_2)$. Then we get two Grothendieck spectral sequences

$$E_2^{p,q} = R^p \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \circ R^q \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(\varepsilon_{\text{fl}}^* F_1, -) \Rightarrow R^{p+q} \theta$$

and

$$E_2^{p,q} = R^p \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}}(F_1, -) \circ R^q \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \Rightarrow R^{p+q} \theta.$$

These two spectral sequences give rise to two exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(\varepsilon_{\text{fl}}^* F_1, F_2) &\rightarrow R^1 \theta(F_2) \rightarrow \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(\varepsilon_{\text{fl}}^* F_1, F_2) \\ &\rightarrow R^2 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(\varepsilon_{\text{fl}}^* F_1, F_2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}}^1(F_1, \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} F_2) \rightarrow R^1 \theta(F_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}}(F_1, R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} F_2).$$

Let $F_1 = B$ and $F_2 = \mathbb{G}_m$. Since $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(B, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ by [sga72, Exp. VIII, 3.2.1], we get

$$R^1 \theta(\mathbb{G}_m) \cong \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

therefore we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}}(B, R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{G}_m).$$

We also have

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}}(B, R^1 \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{fl}}}(B, (\mathbb{G}_m, \log / \mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{fl}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z})) = 0$$

by a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.1. It follows that

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varepsilon_{\text{fl}*} \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

By the Weil-Barsotti formula, the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is representable by the dual abelian scheme of B . This finishes the proof of part (1).

Now we prove part (2). By [sga72, Exp. VIII, 3.2.1], we have

$$\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(B, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(B^{\vee}, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0.$$

By [sga72, Exp. VIII, 1.1.1, 1.1.4], we get

$$\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(B, (B^{\vee})^{\vee}) \xleftarrow{\cong} \text{Biext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, B^{\vee}; \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(B^{\vee}, B^{\vee}).$$

Let $\iota : B \rightarrow (B^{\vee})^{\vee}$ be the homomorphism corresponding to $1_{B^{\vee}}$ under the above identification. Note that ι is the isomorphism giving the duality in the case that B is actually an abelian scheme. Since B is Kummer étale locally an abelian scheme, ι is Kummer étale locally an isomorphism. It follows that ι is also an isomorphism over S . \square

DEFINITION 2.7. Let S be an fs log scheme, and B a két abelian scheme over S . In view of Theorem 2.1, we call $B^{\vee} := \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m)$ the **dual két abelian scheme** of B . The biextension $P \in \text{Biext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, B^{\vee}; \mathbb{G}_m)$ corresponding to ι is called the **Poincaré biextension of (B, B^{\vee}) by \mathbb{G}_m** .

REMARK 2.2. In view of (2.1), one can also define the dual of B in the flat topology.

2.4. Duality of két 1-motives. In this subsection we keep assuming that the underlying scheme of the base S is locally noetherian. We formulate the duality theory for két 1-motives.

First we give an equivalent description of két 1-motives using Poincaré biextension, through which we present the duality theory of két 1-motives. We will also describe morphisms of két 1-motives with respect to the new description. The situation is almost the same as in the case of classical 1-motives, see [Del74, 10.2.12, 10.2.13, 10.2.14].

Let $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G]$ be a két 1-motive over S , where G is an extension $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ of a két abelian scheme B by a két torus T on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$. For any element $\chi \in X := \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$, the push-out of the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ along χ gives rise to an element of $B^{\vee} = \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m)$, whence a homomorphism

$$(2.2) \quad v^{\vee} : X \rightarrow B^{\vee}.$$

Let v be the composition

$$(2.3) \quad v : Y \xrightarrow{u} G \rightarrow B,$$

then u corresponds to a unique section $s : Y \rightarrow v^*G$ of the extension $v^*G \in \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Y, T)$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Biext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, B^\vee; \mathbb{G}_m) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(B, B) , \\ & \downarrow (1_B, v^\vee)^* & \\ \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, T) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Biext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, X; \mathbb{G}_m) & \\ v^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (v, 1_X)^* \\ \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Y, T) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Biext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Y, X; \mathbb{G}_m) & \end{array}$$

where the horizontal isomorphisms come from

$$\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(B, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0 = \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

and $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B^\vee, \mathbb{G}_m) = B$ with the help of [sga72, Exp. VIII, 1.1.4]. Since G gives rise to v^\vee , the biextension corresponding to G is $(1_B, v^\vee)^*P$ and we have the following mapping diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \longleftarrow \longrightarrow 1_B \\ & \downarrow & \\ G & \longleftarrow \longrightarrow & (1_B, v^\vee)^*P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ v^*G & \longleftarrow \longrightarrow & (v, v^\vee)^*P \end{array}$$

with respect to the commutative diagram (2.4). The section s of v^*G corresponds to a section of the biextension $(v, v^\vee)^*P$ of (Y, X) by \mathbb{G}_m , which we still denote by s by abuse of notation. Therefore we get an equivalent description of the két 1-motive $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G]$ of the form

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (v \times v^\vee)^*P & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow s & & \downarrow \\ Y \times X & \xrightarrow{v \times v^\vee} & B \times B^\vee \end{array} ,$$

where $(v \times v^\vee)^*P$ denotes the pull-back of the Poincaré biextension P . Note that the section s and the composition $Y \times X \rightarrow (v \times v^\vee)^*P \rightarrow P$ determine each other,

thus we also denote the composition by s by abuse of notation and use the diagram

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & P \\ & \nearrow s & \downarrow \\ Y \times X & \xrightarrow{v \times v^\vee} & B \times B^\vee \end{array}$$

to describe M . The description (2.5) is symmetric. If we switch the role of Y and X , v and v^\vee , B and B^\vee , we get another két 1-motive $M^\vee = [X \xrightarrow{u^\vee} G^\vee]$, where

$$(2.7) \quad G^\vee \in \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B^\vee, T^\vee)$$

corresponds to $(v, 1_{B^\vee})^*P \in \text{Biext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Y, B^\vee; \mathbb{G}_m)$ with $T^\vee := \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(Y, \mathbb{G}_m)$. The association of M^\vee to M is clearly a duality.

DEFINITION 2.8. We call the két 1-motive $M^\vee = [X \xrightarrow{u^\vee} G^\vee]$ the **dual két 1-motive** of the két 1-motive $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G]$.

We give a description of morphisms of két 1-motives via Poincaré biextension. Let

$$(f_{-1}, f_0) : M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G] \rightarrow [Y' \xrightarrow{u'} G'] = M'$$

be a morphism of két 1-motives.

LEMMA 2.3. *There is no non-trivial homomorphism between a két torus and a két abelian scheme.*

PROOF. This follows from the classical result that there is no non-trivial homomorphism between a torus and an abelian scheme. \square

By Lemma 2.3, f_0 induces a map $f_t : T \rightarrow T'$ on the torus part and a map $f_{\text{ab}} : B \rightarrow B'$ on the abelian part. Let $f_{-1}^\vee : X' \rightarrow X$ be the map between the character groups induced by f_t , and let $f_{\text{ab}}^\vee : B'^\vee \rightarrow B^\vee$ be the dual of f_{ab} . Let v (resp. v^\vee) be as in (2.3) (resp. (2.2)), and similarly we define v' and v'^\vee for M' . Then we get two commutative squares

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{v} & B \\ f_{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{\text{ab}} \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{v'} & B' \end{array} \quad , \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{v^\vee} & B^\vee \\ f_{-1}^\vee \uparrow & & \uparrow f_{\text{ab}}^\vee \\ X' & \xrightarrow{v'^\vee} & B'^\vee \end{array} .$$

Let P (resp. P') be the Poincaré biextension of (B, B^\vee) (resp. (B', B'^\vee)) by \mathbb{G}_m , and $s : Y \times X \rightarrow P$ (resp. $s' : Y' \times X' \rightarrow P'$) the section corresponding to M (resp.

M'). Then in the following canonical diagram

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & P \\ & \nearrow s & \uparrow \\ Y \times X & \xrightarrow{v \times v^\vee} & B \times B^\vee \\ \uparrow 1 \times f_{-1}^\vee & & \uparrow 1 \times f_{\text{ab}}^\vee \\ Y \times X' & \xrightarrow{v \times v'^\vee} & B \times B'^\vee \\ \downarrow f_{-1} \times 1 & & \downarrow f_{\text{ab}} \times 1 \\ Y' \times X' & \xrightarrow{v' \times v'^\vee} & B' \times B'^\vee \\ & \nearrow s' & \downarrow \\ & & P' \end{array} \quad , \quad \begin{array}{l} (1 \times f_{\text{ab}}^\vee)^* P = (f_{\text{ab}} \times 1)^* P' =: Q \end{array}$$

the equality $f_0 \circ u = u' \circ f_{-1}$ implies that for any $y \in Y$ and any $x' \in X'$ we have

$$(2.10) \quad s(y, f_{-1}^\vee(x')) = s'(f_{-1}(y), x')$$

after identifying the \mathbb{G}_m -torsors $P_{v(y), v^\vee(f_{-1}^\vee(x'))}$ and $P'_{v'(f_{-1}(y)), v'^\vee(x')}$ along the composition

$$P_{v(y), v^\vee(f_{-1}^\vee(x'))} \xleftarrow{\cong} Q_{v(y), v'^\vee(x')} \xrightarrow{\cong} P'_{v'(f_{-1}(y)), v'^\vee(x')}.$$

Conversely, given any two commutative squares as in (2.8) such that the equality (2.10) holds with respect to the diagram (2.9), we get a morphism from M to M' of két 1-motives.

2.5. Duality of két log 1-motives. In this subsection we keep assuming that the underlying scheme of the base S is locally noetherian. We formulate the duality theory for két log 1-motives, which is analogous to the case of két 1-motives.

First we give an equivalent description of két log 1-motives using Poincaré biextension, through which we present the dual theory of két log 1-motives. We will also describe morphisms of két log 1-motives with respect to the new description.

Let $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ be a két log 1-motive over S , where G is an extension $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ of a két abelian scheme B by a két torus T on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$. For any element $\chi \in X := \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$, the push-out of the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ along χ gives rise to an element of $B^\vee = \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m)$, whence a homomorphism

$$(2.11) \quad v^\vee : X \rightarrow B^\vee.$$

Let v be the composition

$$(2.12) \quad v : Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log} \rightarrow B,$$

then u corresponds to a unique section $s : Y \rightarrow v^*G_{\log}$ of the extension $v^*G_{\log} \in \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Y, T_{\log})$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Biext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, B^\vee; \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}), & \\ & \downarrow (1_B, v^\vee)^* & \\ \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, T_{\log}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Biext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, X; \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}) & \\ \downarrow v^* & & \downarrow (v, 1_X)^* \\ \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Y, T_{\log}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Biext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Y, X; \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}) & \end{array}$$

where the horizontal isomorphisms come from

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(X, \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}) = 0$$

with the help of [sga72, Exp. VIII, 1.1.4]. There is an obvious map from the diagram (2.4) to the diagram (2.13). Let P^{\log} be the push-out of P along $\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}$, and we call it the **Poincaré biextension of (B, B^\vee) by $\mathbb{G}_{m, \log}$** . Since $G \in \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, T)$ corresponds to the biextension

$$(1_B, v^\vee)^*P \in \text{Biext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, X; \mathbb{G}_m),$$

we have that $G_{\log} \in \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, T_{\log})$ corresponds to

$$(1_B, v^\vee)^*P^{\log} \in \text{Biext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(B, X; \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}).$$

We have the following mapping diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P^{\log} & \\ & \downarrow & \\ G_{\log} & \longleftrightarrow & (1_B, v^\vee)^*P^{\log} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ v^*G_{\log} & \longleftrightarrow & (v, v^\vee)^*P^{\log} \end{array}$$

with respect to the commutative diagram (2.13). The section s of v^*G_{\log} corresponds to a section of the biextension $(v, v^\vee)^*P^{\log}$ of (Y, X) by $\mathbb{G}_{m, \log}$, which we still denote by s by abuse of notation. Therefore we get an equivalent description of the két log 1-motive $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ of the form

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (v \times v^\vee)^*P^{\log} & \longrightarrow & P^{\log} \\ \downarrow s & & \downarrow \\ Y \times X & \xrightarrow{v \times v^\vee} & B \times B^\vee \end{array} ,$$

where $(v \times v^\vee)^*P^{\log}$ denotes the pull-back of P^{\log} along $v \times v^\vee$. Note that the section s and the composition $Y \times X \rightarrow (v \times v^\vee)^*P^{\log} \rightarrow P^{\log}$ determine each other, and we also denote the composition by s by abuse of notation and use the diagram

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & P^{\log} \\ & \nearrow s & \downarrow \\ Y \times X & \xrightarrow{v \times v^\vee} & B \times B^\vee \end{array}$$

to describe M . Note that the description (2.14) is symmetric. If we switch the role of Y and X , v and v^\vee , B and B^\vee , we get another két log 1-motive $M^\vee = [X \xrightarrow{u^\vee} G_{\log}^\vee]$, where G_{\log}^\vee is the log augmentation of G^\vee (see (2.7)). The association of M^\vee to M is clearly a duality.

DEFINITION 2.9. We call the két log 1-motive $M^\vee = [X \xrightarrow{u^\vee} G_{\log}^\vee]$ the **dual két log 1-motive** of the két log 1-motive $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$.

We give a description of morphisms of két log 1-motives via Poincaré biextension. Let

$$(f_{-1}, f_0) : M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}] \rightarrow [Y' \xrightarrow{u'} G'_{\log}] = M'$$

be a morphism of két log 1-motives over S .

LEMMA 2.4. *The canonical map*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_S(G, G') \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_S(G_{\log}, G'_{\log})$$

is an isomorphism.

PROOF. Let \tilde{S} be a Kummer étale cover of S such that both $G \times_S \tilde{S}$ and $G' \times_S \tilde{S}$ are extensions of a classical abelian scheme by a classical torus over \tilde{S} , and let $\tilde{\tilde{S}} := \tilde{S} \times_S \tilde{S}$. In the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_S(G, G') & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{S}}(G, G') & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\tilde{S}}}(G, G') \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_S(G_{\log}, G'_{\log}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{S}}(G_{\log}, G'_{\log}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{\tilde{S}}}(G_{\log}, G'_{\log}) \end{array}$$

with exact rows, both the middle vertical map and the right vertical map are isomorphisms by [KKN08, Prop. 2.5]. It follows that the left vertical map is an isomorphism. \square

By Lemma 2.4, f_0 is induced by a unique homomorphism $f_{\mathrm{sab}} : G \rightarrow G'$ over S . Let $f_t : T \rightarrow T'$ be the map induced by f_{sab} on the torus part, and $f_{-1}^\vee : X' \rightarrow X$ the map between the character groups induced by f_t . Let $f_{\mathrm{ab}} : B \rightarrow B'$ be the map induced by f_{sab} on the abelian part, and let $f_{\mathrm{ab}}^\vee : B'^\vee \rightarrow B^\vee$ be the dual of

f_{ab} . Let v (resp. v^\vee) be as in (2.12) (resp. (2.11)), and similarly we define v' and v'^\vee for M' . Then we get two commutative squares

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{v} & B \\ f_{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{ab} \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{v'} & B' \end{array} , \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{v^\vee} & B^\vee \\ f_{-1}^\vee \uparrow & & \uparrow f_{ab}^\vee \\ X' & \xrightarrow{v'^\vee} & B'^\vee \end{array} .$$

Let P^{\log} (resp. P'^{\log}) be the Poincaré biextension of (B, B^\vee) (resp. (B', B'^\vee)) by $\mathbb{G}_{m, \log}$, and $s : Y \times X \rightarrow P^{\log}$ (resp. $s' : Y' \times X' \rightarrow P'^{\log}$) the section corresponding to M (resp. M'). Then in the following canonical diagram

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & P^{\log} \\ & & & & \uparrow \\ Y \times X & \xrightarrow{v \times v^\vee} & B \times B^\vee & \xleftarrow{s} & P^{\log} \\ \uparrow 1 \times f_{-1}^\vee & & \uparrow 1 \times f_{ab}^\vee & \nearrow (1 \times f_{ab}^\vee)^* P^{\log} = (f_{ab} \times 1)^* P'^{\log} =: Q^{\log} & \uparrow \\ Y \times X' & \xrightarrow{v \times v'^\vee} & B \times B'^\vee & \xleftarrow{s'} & P'^{\log} \\ \downarrow f_{-1} \times 1 & & \downarrow f_{ab} \times 1 & \searrow s' & \downarrow \\ Y' \times X' & \xrightarrow{v' \times v'^\vee} & B' \times B'^\vee & \xleftarrow{s'} & P'^{\log} \end{array} ,$$

the equality $f_0 \circ u = u' \circ f_{-1}$ implies that for any $y \in Y$ and any $x' \in X'$ we have

$$(2.18) \quad s(y, f_{-1}^\vee(x')) = s'(f_{-1}(y), x')$$

after identifying the $\mathbb{G}_{m, \log}$ -torsors $P_{v(y), v^\vee(f_{-1}^\vee(x'))}^{\log}$ and $P_{v'(f_{-1}(y)), v'^\vee(x')}^{\log}$ along the composition

$$P_{v(y), v^\vee(f_{-1}^\vee(x'))}^{\log} \xleftarrow{\cong} Q_{v(y), v'^\vee(x')}^{\log} \xrightarrow{\cong} P_{v'(f_{-1}(y)), v'^\vee(x')}^{\log} .$$

Conversely given any two commutative squares as in (2.16) such that the equality (2.18) holds with respect to the diagram (2.17), we get a morphism from M to M' of két log 1-motives.

3. Extending tamely ramified strict 1-motives into két log 1-motives

From now on, R is a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field K , residue field k , and a chosen uniformizer π , $S = \text{Spec } R$, and we endow S with the log structure associated to $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow R, 1 \mapsto \pi$. Let s (resp. η) be the closed (resp. generic) point of S , and we denote by $i : s \hookrightarrow S$ (resp. $j : \eta \hookrightarrow S$) the closed (resp. open) immersion of s (resp. η) into S . We endow s with the induced log structure from S .

Following [Ray94, Def. 4.2.3], a 1-motive $M_K = [Y_K \xrightarrow{u_K} G_K]$ over K is called **strict**, if G_K has potentially good reduction. We call a 1-motive $M_K = [Y_K \xrightarrow{u_K} G_K]$ over K **tamely ramified**, if there exists a tamely ramified finite field extension K' of K such that $Y_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } K'$ has good reduction and $G_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } K'$ has semi-stable reduction (i.e. the connected component of the Néron model of $G_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } K'$ is a semi-abelian scheme). A lattice (resp. torus, resp. abelian variety) over K is called **tamely ramified**³, if it is so regarded as a 1-motive over K . A lattice (resp. torus) over K is called **unramified**, if it extends to a lattice (resp. torus) over S . The main goal is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $M_K = [Y_K \xrightarrow{u_K} G_K]$ be a tamely ramified strict 1-motive over K with G_K an extension of an abelian variety B_K by a torus T_K . Then M_K extends to a unique két log 1-motive M^{log} over S .*

Moreover the association of M^{log} to M gives rise to an equivalence

$$\text{Két} : \text{TameSt-1-Mot}_K \rightarrow \text{KétLog-1-Mot}_S$$

from the category of tamely ramified strict 1-motives over K to the category of két log 1-motives over S .

Before going to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we treat some special cases in the first few subsections.

3.1. Extending tamely ramified lattices into két lattices. For any positive integer n , let S_n be the fiber product $S \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}]} \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}]$, where $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}]$ is endowed with the log structure associated to the canonical homomorphism $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}]$ and the map $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}] \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}]$ is induced by the multiplication by n map on the monoid \mathbb{N} . The canonical map $S_n \rightarrow S$ is a finite Kummer flat cover, and it is even a finite Kummer étale cover if n is invertible on S . Let $R_n := R[T]/(T^n - \pi)$. It is easy to see that R_n is also a complete discrete valuation ring and S_n is nothing but $\text{Spec } R_n$ endowed with the log structure associated to $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow R_n, 1 \mapsto T$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let H be a két lattice (resp. két torus, resp. két abelian scheme) over S . Then*

- (1) *there exists a positive integer n such that n is invertible on S and $H \times_S S_n$ is a classical lattice (resp. classical torus, resp. classical abelian scheme) over S_n ;*
- (2) *the generic fiber $H \times_S \text{Spec } K$ is a classical lattice (resp. classical torus, resp. classical abelian variety).*

³For abelian variety, this terminology agrees with the one from [HN16, §2.1.4]

PROOF. (1) Let $U \rightarrow S$ be a Kummer étale cover such that $H \times_S U$ is a classical lattice (resp. classical torus, resp. classical abelian scheme) over U . By [Niz08, Prop. 2.15], by passing to a further Kummer étale cover of S over U , we may assume that $U \rightarrow S$ factorizes as $U \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow S$ for some positive integer n with $U \rightarrow S_n$ a classical étale cover and n invertible on S . It suffices to show that $H \times_S S_n$ is representable by a classical lattice (resp. classical torus, resp. classical abelian scheme) over S_n . If $H \times_S U$ is a classical torus over U which is affine over U , so is $H \times_S S_n$ by descent with respect to the classical étale cover $U \rightarrow S_n$. If $H \times_S U$ is a classical lattice over U , we are reduced to the torus case by Lemma 2.1. Now we assume that $H \times_S U$ is a classical abelian scheme over U . Since $U \rightarrow S_n$ is a classical étale cover, the morphism $H \times_S U \rightarrow H \times_S S_n$ is representable by surjective classical étale morphisms. Hence $H \times_S S_n$ is representable by an algebraic space over S_n endowed with the inverse image log structure from S_n . By [Sta20, Tag 0422], [Sta20, Tag 041W], and [Sta20, Tag 041V], the morphism $H \times_S S_n \rightarrow S_n$ is proper, flat, and of finite presentation. Therefore $H \times_S S_n \rightarrow S_n$ is an abelian algebraic space, see [Del85, §1.1] for the definition. Since S_n is a trait, $H \times_S S_n \rightarrow S_n$ is actually an abelian scheme by [Del85, §1.1 (b)]. This finishes the proof of part (1).

(2) Let $H_K := H \times_S \text{Spec } K$, $K_n := R_n \otimes_R K$, and $H_{K_n} := H \times_S S_n \times_{S_n} \text{Spec } K_n$. Then $H_{K_n} = H_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } K_n$. Since $\text{Spec } K_n$ is a classical étale cover of $\text{Spec } K$ and H_{K_n} is a classical lattice (resp. classical torus, resp. classical abelian scheme), so is H_K by the same argument as in part (1). \square

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let Y_K be a lattice over K , i.e. a group scheme over K which is étale locally representable by a finite rank free abelian group. Assume that Y_K is tamely ramified, then Y_K extends to a unique két lattice Y over S .*

Furthermore, the association of Y to Y_K gives rise to an equivalence of categories

$$\text{TameLat}_K \rightarrow \text{KétLat}_S, Y_K \mapsto Y$$

with inverse $Y \mapsto Y \times_S \text{Spec } K$, where TameLat_K denotes the category of tamely ramified lattices over $\text{Spec } K$ and KétLat_S denotes the category of két lattices over S . And the equivalence restricts to an equivalence from the category of unramified lattices over $\text{Spec } K$ to the category of classical lattices over S .

PROOF. Let K' be a tamely ramified finite Galois field extension of K such that $Y_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } K'$ is unramified. If necessary, by enlarging K' by a further unramified extension, we may assume that $Y_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } K'$ is constant. Let R' be the integral closure of R in K' and π' a uniformizer of R' . We endow $S' := \text{Spec } R'$ with the log structure associated to $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow R', 1 \mapsto \pi'$. Then S' is a finite Kummer étale Galois cover of S with Galois group $\text{Gal}(K'/K)$. Therefore Y_K extends to a Kummer étale locally constant sheaf Y on S .

By Lemma 3.1 (1), any két lattice becomes a classical lattice after base change to a Kummer étale cover $S_n \rightarrow S$ for some positive integer n . Therefore it corresponds to a finite rank free abelian group endowed with a continuous $\pi_1^{\log}(S)$ -action, where $\pi_1^{\log}(S)$ denotes the log étale fundamental group, see [Ill02, §4.6]. On the other hand, an object of TameLat_K corresponds to a finite rank free abelian group

endowed with a continuous $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -action such that the wild inertia subgroup P acts trivially, where \overline{K} denotes a separable closure of K . By [III02, §4.7 (b)], we have a canonical isomorphism $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)/P \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1^{\text{log}}(S)$. It follows that the functor

$$\text{TameLat}_K \rightarrow \text{KétLat}_S, Y_K \mapsto Y$$

is an equivalence of categories. Clearly, the equivalence restricts to an equivalence from the category of unramified lattices over $\text{Spec } K$ to the category of classical lattices over S . \square

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let $Y_K \in \text{TameLat}_K$ which is not unramified. Then it extends to a két lattice over S which is not a classical lattice by Proposition 3.1.

3.2. Extending tamely ramified tori into két tori.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let T_K be a torus over K . Assume that T_K is tamely ramified, i.e. there exists a tamely ramified finite field extension K' of K such that $T_K \times_K K'$ has good reduction. Then T_K extends to a két torus T over S .*

Furthermore, the association of T to T_K gives rise to an equivalence of categories

$$\text{TameTor}_K \rightarrow \text{KétTor}_S, T_K \mapsto T$$

with inverse $T \mapsto T \times_S \text{Spec } K$, where TameTor_K denotes the category of tamely ramified tori over $\text{Spec } K$ and KétTor_S denotes the category of két tori over S . And the equivalence restricts to an equivalence from the category of unramified tori over $\text{Spec } K$ to the category of classical tori over S .

PROOF. Since the functor

$$\text{TameTor}_K \rightarrow \text{TameLat}_K, T_K \mapsto X_K := \mathcal{H}om_{(\text{Spec } K)_{\text{ét}}}(T_K, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

is an equivalence of categories, the result follows from Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 3.1. \square

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $T_K \in \text{TameTor}_K$ which is not unramified. Then it extends to a unique két torus over S which is not a classical torus by Proposition 3.2.

3.3. Extending tamely ramified abelian varieties with potentially good reduction into két abelian schemes. Let B_K be a tamely ramified abelian variety over K which has potentially good reduction, and let K' be a tamely ramified finite Galois field extension of K such that $B_{K'} := B_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } K'$ has good reduction. Let R' be the integral closure of R in K' . Then $B_{K'}$ extends to an abelian scheme B' over $S' := \text{Spec } R'$. Let π' be a uniformizer of R' , and we endow S' with the log structure associated to $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow R', 1 \mapsto \pi'$. Then S' is a finite Galois Kummer étale cover of S with Galois group $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(K'/K)$. Let $\rho : \Gamma \times S' \rightarrow S'$ be the canonical action of Γ on S' . Then the morphism $(\rho, \text{pr}_2) : \Gamma \times S' \rightarrow S' \times_S S'$ is an isomorphism. By [BLR90, §1.2, Prop. 8], B' is the Néron model of $B_{K'}$. By the universal property of Néron model, the Γ -action on $B_{K'}$ extends to a unique Γ -action

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{\rho} : \Gamma \times B' \rightarrow B'$$

on B' which is compatible with the Γ -action ρ on S' and the group structure of B' . We endow B' with the induced log structure from S' .

Let p' denote the structure morphism $B' \rightarrow S'$, α denote the morphism $S' \rightarrow S$, and $p := \alpha \circ p'$. For any $U \in (\text{fs}/S)$ and any $(a, b) \in (B' \times_S B')(U)$, we have $\alpha(p'(a)) = \alpha(p'(b))$. Hence there exists a unique $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $p'(a) = \rho(\gamma, p'(b))$. Since $p'(\tilde{\rho}(\gamma, b)) = \rho(\gamma, p'(b)) = p'(a)$, we get $(a, \tilde{\rho}(\gamma, b)) \in (B' \times_{S'} B')(U)$. We define a morphism

$$\Phi : B' \times_S B' \rightarrow \Gamma \times (B' \times_{S'} B')$$

by sending (a, b) to $(\gamma^{-1}, (a, \tilde{\rho}(\gamma, b)))$.

LEMMA 3.2. *The morphism Φ is an isomorphism with inverse*

$$\Psi : \Gamma \times (B' \times_{S'} B') \rightarrow B' \times_S B', \quad (\gamma, (a, b)) \mapsto (a, \tilde{\rho}(\gamma, b))$$

for any $U \in (\text{fs}/S)$, any $(a, b) \in (B' \times_{S'} B')(U)$, and any $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

PROOF. Clearly Φ and Ψ are inverse to each other. \square

LEMMA 3.3. *The canonical morphism*

$$(3.2) \quad (\tilde{\rho}, \text{pr}_2) : \Gamma \times B' \rightarrow B' \times_S B'$$

is a monomorphism of sheaves on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{két}}$.

PROOF. The composition

$$\Gamma \times B' \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\rho}, \text{pr}_2)} B' \times_S B' \xrightarrow{\iota} B' \times_S B' \xrightarrow{\Phi} \Gamma \times (B' \times_{S'} B')$$

is identified with the morphism $1_\Gamma \times \Delta_{B'/S'}$, where ι denotes the morphism switching the two factors and $\Delta_{B'/S'}$ denotes the diagonal embedding. Therefore the result follows. \square

By [Sta20, Tag 0234], the action $\tilde{\rho}$ defines a groupoid scheme over S , hence by [Sta20, Tag 0232] the morphism

$$(\tilde{\rho}, \text{pr}_2) : \Gamma \times B' \rightarrow B' \times_S B'$$

is a pre-equivalence relation. Moreover, $(\tilde{\rho}, \text{pr}_2)$ is an equivalence relation by Lemma 3.3. The morphism

$$(\rho, \text{pr}_2) : \Gamma \times S' \rightarrow S' \times_S S'$$

being an isomorphism is clearly an equivalence relation.

Now we are following [Sta20, Tag 02VE] to construct a két abelian scheme over S . We remark that although the setup there does not agree with ours, the proofs there work verbatim in our case.

Following the approach of [Sta20, Tag 02VG], we take the quotient sheaves for the equivalence relations $(\tilde{\rho}, \text{pr}_2)$ and (ρ, pr_2) on the site $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{két}}$. Since (ρ, pr_2) is an isomorphism, the corresponding quotient sheaf is representable by the terminal object S . Let $B = \text{Két}(B_K)$ be the quotient sheaf for the equivalence relation $(\tilde{\rho}, \text{pr}_2)$. Since the two equivalence relations are compatible with each other, we get a morphism $B \rightarrow S$. Since the equivalence relation $(\tilde{\rho}, \text{pr}_2)$ is compatible with the group structure of B' , the quotient sheaf B' carries a structure of sheaf of abelian

groups. The verbatim translations of the proof of [Sta20, Tag 045Y] and the proof of [Sta20, Tag 07S3] show that

$$(3.3) \quad \Gamma \times B' \xrightarrow{\cong} B' \times_B B'$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad B' \xrightarrow{\cong} B \times_S S'$$

respectively, hence B is a két abelian scheme over S .

Let C_K be another tamely ramified abelian variety over K which has potentially good reduction, and let $f_K : B_K \rightarrow C_K$ be a homomorphism of group schemes over K . By enlarging the field K' such that K' remains a finite tamely ramified extension of K , we may assume that $C_{K'} := C_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } K'$ extends to an abelian scheme C' over S' . By the same reason as for B_K , we have a natural action of Γ on C' which is compatible with the natural action of Γ on S' and the quotient for the action gives rise to a két abelian scheme over S . Let $f_{K'}$ be the base change of f_K to K' . Then it extends to a unique homomorphism $f' : B' \rightarrow C'$ of group schemes over S' by the universal property of Néron model. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the compatibility of the canonical actions of Γ on $B_{K'}$ and $C_{K'}$ gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C_{K'} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & C_{K'} \\ & f_{K'} \nearrow & & & \searrow f_{K'} \\ B_{K'} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & B_{K'} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \text{Spec } K' & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \text{Spec } K' & & \end{array}$$

Hence the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C' & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & C' \\ & f' \nearrow & & & \searrow f' \\ B' & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & B' & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ S' & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & S' & & \end{array}$$

is commutative by the universal property of Néron model for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. It follows that the Γ -actions on B' and C' are compatible with each other, hence f' induces a homomorphism $f : B \rightarrow C$ of két abelian schemes over S , where $C = \text{Két}(C_K)$ is the két abelian scheme for C_K defined in the same way as $B = \text{Két}(B_K)$ for B_K .

Therefore we get a functor

$$\text{Két} : \text{TameStAb}_K \rightarrow \text{KétAb}_S, \quad B_K \mapsto \text{Két}(B_K)$$

from the category of tamely ramified abelian varieties with potentially good reduction over K to the category of két abelian schemes over S .

THEOREM 3.2. (1) Let B and C be two két abelian schemes over S , and let $B_K := B \times_S \text{Spec } K$ and $C_K := C \times_S \text{Spec } K$. Then the restriction map

$$\text{Hom}_S(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Spec } K}(B_K, C_K)$$

is an isomorphism.

(2) The functor

$$K\acute{e}t : \text{TameStAb}_K \rightarrow \text{KétAb}_S, \quad B_K \mapsto K\acute{e}t(B_K)$$

is an equivalence of categories, and it restricts to an equivalence from the category of abelian varieties with good reduction over K to the category of abelian schemes over S .

PROOF. (1) By Lemma 3.1, there exists a positive integer n such that both $B_n := B \times_S S_n$ and $C_n := C \times_S S_n$ are classical abelian schemes over S_n , where S_n is as defined in the beginning of Subsection 3.1. Let $\tilde{S}_n := S_n \times_S S_n$, $\tilde{B}_n := B \times_S \tilde{S}_n$, $\tilde{C}_n := C \times_S \tilde{S}_n$, $K_n := S_n \times_S \text{Spec } K$, $\tilde{K}_n := \tilde{S}_n \times_S \text{Spec } K$, $B_{K_n} := B_n \times_S \text{Spec } K$, $C_{K_n} := C_n \times_S \text{Spec } K$, $\tilde{B}_{K_n} := \tilde{B}_n \times_S \text{Spec } K$, and $\tilde{C}_{K_n} := \tilde{C}_n \times_S \text{Spec } K$. Since S_n is a Kummer étale cover of S , we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S(B, C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{S_n}(B_n, C_n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\tilde{S}_n}(\tilde{B}_n, \tilde{C}_n) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_K(B_K, C_K) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{K_n}(B_{K_n}, C_{K_n}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\tilde{K}_n}(\tilde{B}_{K_n}, \tilde{C}_{K_n}) \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Here we abbreviate $\text{Hom}_{\text{Spec } ?}(-, -)$ as $\text{Hom}_?(-, -)$ for $? = K, K_n, \tilde{K}_n$, in order to make the diagram not too big. The middle vertical map and the right vertical map are isomorphisms by the universal property of Néron model, it follows that the first vertical map is also an isomorphism.

(2) By part (1), the functor $K\acute{e}t$ is fully faithful. Given any $B \in \text{KétAb}_S$, we have $B_K := B \times_S \text{Spec } K \in \text{TameStAb}_K$ by Lemma 3.1 (2). By part (1), the identity map on B_K extends to a unique isomorphism $K\acute{e}t(B_K) \xrightarrow{\cong} B$. Hence the functor $K\acute{e}t$ is essentially surjective, and so an equivalence of categories. In fact, it is easy to see that the functor

$$(-)_K : \text{KétAb}_S \rightarrow \text{TameStAb}_K, \quad B \mapsto B \times_S \text{Spec } K$$

is inverse to the functor $K\acute{e}t$ by the construction of $K\acute{e}t$. If $A_K \in \text{TameStAb}_K$ has good reduction, i.e. it extends to an abelian scheme A over S (which is unique by the theory of Néron models), then there exists a unique isomorphism $A \rightarrow K\acute{e}t(A_K)$ extending id_{A_K} . Therefore $K\acute{e}t(A_K) = A$, and so the functor $K\acute{e}t$ restricts to an equivalence from the category of abelian varieties with good reduction over K to the category of abelian schemes over S . \square

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let $B_K \in \text{TameStAb}_K$ which has no good reduction. Then $K\acute{e}t(B_K)$ is a két abelian scheme over S which is not a classical abelian scheme by Theorem 3.2 (2).

It is natural to investigate if the functor $K\acute{e}t$ is compatible with the dualities on both sides.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *The functor $K\acute{e}t : \text{TameStAb}_K \rightarrow \text{KétAb}_S$ is compatible with the dualities, i.e. we have a canonical identification*

$$K\acute{e}t(B_K^\vee) \cong K\acute{e}t(B_K)^\vee.$$

PROOF. Let S' , Γ , B' , and B be as in (3.3) and (3.4). Then $B = K\acute{e}t(B_K)$. By (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B^\vee \times_S S' &= \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{kfn}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m) \times_S S' = \mathcal{E}xt_{S'_{\text{kfn}}}^1(B \times_S S', \mathbb{G}_m) \\ &= \mathcal{E}xt_{S'_{\text{kfn}}}^1(B', \mathbb{G}_m) = B'^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $B^\vee = \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{két}}}^1(B, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is the quotient sheaf for a descent data with respect to the Galois Kummer étale cover S'/S . Such a descent data is given by a group action $\tau : \Gamma \times B'^\vee \rightarrow B'^\vee$. In order to have the identification $K\acute{e}t(B_K^\vee) \cong K\acute{e}t(B_K)^\vee = B^\vee$, we are reduced to identify the action τ with the action $\tilde{\rho}^\vee : \Gamma \times B'^\vee \rightarrow B'^\vee$ for B_K^\vee which corresponds to the action (3.1) for B_K . But this is clear, since $\Gamma \times B'^\vee = \sqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B'^\vee$ and these two actions agree over the generic fiber. \square

3.4. Proof of Theorem 3.1. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 3.1.

Let v_K be the composition $Y_K \xrightarrow{u_K} G_K \rightarrow B_K$, X_K the character group of the torus T_K , and $v_K^\vee : X_K \rightarrow B_K^\vee$ the homomorphism corresponding to the semi-abelian variety G_K . By [Ray94, 2.4.1], the 1-motive M_K is uniquely determined by a commutative diagram of the form

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & P_K \\ & \nearrow s_K & \downarrow \\ Y_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} X_K & \xrightarrow{v_K \times v_K^\vee} & B_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} B_K^\vee \end{array},$$

where s_K is a bilinear map. Note that s_K corresponds to a unique section

$$(3.6) \quad t_K : Y_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} X_K \rightarrow E_K,$$

where E_K denotes the pull-back of the Poincaré biextension P_K of B_K and its dual B_K^\vee along $v_K \times v_K^\vee$.

Let K' be a finite tamely ramified Galois extension of K such that $B_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } K'$ extends to an abelian scheme B' over $S' := \text{Spec } R'$, $Y_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } K'$ extends to a constant group scheme over S' , and $T_K \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } K'$ extends to a split torus over S' , where R' denotes the integral closure of R in K' . Let π' be a uniformizer of R' such that $\pi' = \pi^{\frac{1}{e}}$ with e the ramification index of the extension K'/K , and we endow S' with the log structure associated to $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow R', 1 \mapsto \pi'$. Then S' is a finite Galois Kummer étale cover of S with Galois group $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(K'/K)$.

Let Y (resp. X) be the két lattice over S extending Y_K (resp. X_K) as constructed in Subsection 3.1. Then Y (resp. X) can be regarded as a Γ -module. Let T be the két torus over S extending T_K as constructed in Subsection 3.2. Note that

T is nothing but $\mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{két}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Let B (resp. B^\vee) be the két abelian scheme extending B_K (resp. B_K^\vee) as constructed in Subsection 3.3, and let P be the Poincaré biextension of (B, B^\vee) by \mathbb{G}_m .

LEMMA 3.4. *The homomorphism v_K (resp. v_K^\vee) extends to a unique homomorphism $v : Y \rightarrow B$ (resp. $v^\vee : X \rightarrow B^\vee$).*

PROOF. We only treat the case of v_K , the other one can be done in the same way. We have $B \times_S S' = B'$ by (3.4). Therefore

$$B(S') = B'(S') = B'(\text{Spec } K') = B_K(\text{Spec } K').$$

Since Y is equivalent to a Γ -module, we get

$$\text{Hom}_S(Y, B) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}}(Y, B(S'))^\Gamma = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}}(Y_K, B_K(\text{Spec } K'))^\Gamma.$$

It follows that v_K extends to a unique homomorphism $v : Y \rightarrow B$. \square

By Lemma 3.4, we get a map $v \times v^\vee : Y \times_S X \rightarrow B \times_S B^\vee$. Let P^{log} be the push-out of P along the inclusion $\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \text{log}}$, we get the following diagram

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & P^{\text{log}} \\ & \nearrow^{s_K} & \downarrow \\ Y \times_S X & \xrightarrow{v \times v^\vee} & B \times_S B^\vee \end{array}$$

over S . The dotted arrow in (3.7) means that it is only a map over $\text{Spec } K$. The restriction of (3.7) to $\text{Spec } K$ is clearly just the diagram (3.5).

LEMMA 3.5. *The bilinear map s_K from (3.5) extends uniquely to a bilinear map $s^{\text{log}} : Y \times_S X \rightarrow P^{\text{log}}$ making the diagram (3.7) commutative.*

PROOF. Let E be the pull-back of P long the map $v \times v^\vee$ on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$, and let E^{log} be the push-out of E along the canonical map $\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \text{log}}$ on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$. Since both Y and X are Kummer étale locally representable by a finitely generated free abelian group, we have

$$\text{Biext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Y, X; -) = \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X, -) = \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X, -)$$

by [sga72, Exp. VII, 3.6.5]. Therefore E (resp. E^{log}) can be regarded as an element of $\text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ (resp. $\text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X, \mathbb{G}_{m, \text{log}})$), and E^{log} is still the push-out of E under these identifications. Similarly, $E_K := E \times_S \text{Spec } K$ can be regarded as an element of $\text{Ext}_{(\text{Spec } K)_{\text{fl}}}^1(Y_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X_K, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Note that both E and E^{log} over S restrict to E_K over K . The extensions E , E^{log} , and E_K , give rise to exact sequences

$$(3.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(S') \rightarrow E(S') \rightarrow Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X(S') \rightarrow H_{\text{kfl}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_m),$$

$$(3.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \text{log}}(S') \rightarrow E^{\text{log}}(S') \rightarrow Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X(S') \rightarrow H_{\text{kfl}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_{m, \text{log}}),$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(K') \rightarrow E_K(K') \rightarrow Y_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X_K(K') \rightarrow H_{\text{fl}}^1(\text{Spec } K', \mathbb{G}_m)$$

respectively. Clearly we have

$$H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_m) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$$

and

$$H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(\text{Spec } K', \mathbb{G}_m) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(\text{Spec } K', \mathbb{G}_m) = 0.$$

The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\mathfrak{f}}} \rightarrow 0$ gives rise to an exact sequence

$$\rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(S', (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\mathfrak{f}}}) \rightarrow .$$

Since $H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(S', (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\mathfrak{f}}}) = H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(S', i'_*\mathbb{Z}) = H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(s', \mathbb{Z}) = H_{\text{ét}}^1(s', \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, where i' denotes the inclusion of the closed point s' of S' into itself, we get $H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}) = 0$. By Kato's logarithmic Hilbert 90, see [Niz08, Thm. 3.20], we get

$$H_{\text{kff}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}) = H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}) = 0.$$

The exact sequences (3.8), (3.9), and (3.10) fit into the following commutative diagram

(3.11)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m(S') & \longrightarrow & E(S') & \longrightarrow & Z(S') \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{\text{kff}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_m) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}(S') & \longrightarrow & E^{\log}(S') & \longrightarrow & Z(S') & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m(\text{Spec } K') & \longrightarrow & E_K(\text{Spec } K') & \longrightarrow & Z_K(\text{Spec } K') & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows⁴, where Z and Z_K denote $Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X$ and $Y_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X_K$ respectively. Since Y and X become constant over S' , the map

$$Z(S') \rightarrow Z_K(\text{Spec } K')$$

is an isomorphism. The map $\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}(S') \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(\text{Spec } K')$ is also an isomorphism. Therefore the restriction map

$$E^{\log}(S') \rightarrow E_K(\text{Spec } K') = E^{\log}(\text{Spec } K')$$

is an isomorphism. We regard E_K as an extension of $Y_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X_K$ by \mathbb{G}_m , then the section t_K (see (3.6)) of E_K induces a section to the surjection $E^{\log}(S') \rightarrow Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X(S')$. This induced section is clearly $\text{Gal}(S'/S)$ -equivariant, therefore gives rise to a section

$$(3.12) \quad t^{\log} : Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X \rightarrow E^{\log}$$

to the extension E^{\log} of $Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X$ by $\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}$. The homomorphism t^{\log} is automatically a section to the corresponding biextension E^{\log} of (Y, X) by $\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}$. Note that E^{\log} is also the pull-back of P^{\log} along $v \times v^{\vee}$, and t^{\log} gives rise to a bilinear map

⁴We will see that the map δ is zero in Subsection 4.2.

$s^{\log} : Y \times_S X \rightarrow P^{\log}$ which extends s_K . Clearly we have the following commutative diagram

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & P^{\log} \\ & \nearrow s^{\log} & \downarrow \\ Y \times_S X & \xrightarrow{v \times v^\vee} & B \times_S B^\vee \end{array} .$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. Step 1: We prove that M_K extends to a két log 1-motive over S . Recall that $T = \mathcal{H}om_S(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$, and let $T_{\log} := \mathcal{H}om_S(X, \mathbb{G}_{m, \log})$. We have the following two commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(B, T) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Biext}_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(B, X; \mathbb{G}_m) \\ v^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (v, 1_X)^* \\ \mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(Y, T) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Biext}_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(Y, X; \mathbb{G}_m) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(B, T_{\log}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Biext}_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(B, X; \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}) , \\ v^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (v, 1_X)^* \\ \mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(Y, T_{\log}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Biext}_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(Y, X; \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps being isomorphisms comes from

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(X, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(X, \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}) = 0$$

with the help of [sga72, Exp. VIII, 1.1.4]. Let $G \in \mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(B, T)$ (resp. $G_{\log} \in \mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{kfl}}}^1(B, T_{\log})$) be the extension corresponding to the biextension $(1_B, v^\vee)^* P$ (resp. $(1_B, v^\vee)^* P^{\log}$). Then the section s^{\log} of E^{\log} gives rise to a homomorphism $u^{\log} : Y \rightarrow G_{\log}$ fitting into the following commutative diagram

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & Y & & \\ & & & & \swarrow u^{\log} & \downarrow v & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\log} & \longrightarrow & G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of sheaves of abelian groups on $(\mathrm{fs}/S)_{\mathrm{kfl}}$. This gives a two-term complex

$$Y \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} G_{\log} .$$

Since both X and Y are representable by a finitely generated free abelian group over S' , we have that $G \times_S S'$ is an extension of the abelian scheme $B \times_S S'$ by the torus $T \times_S S'$ on $(\text{fs}/S')_{\text{ét}}$ by Remark 2.1 and $u^{\log} \times_S S' : Y \times_S S' \rightarrow G_{\log} \times_S S'$ is a log 1-motive over S' . Therefore $[Y \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} G_{\log}]$ is a két log 1-motive over S . Clearly the két log 1-motive $[Y \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} G_{\log}]$ extends M_K , and its construction is functorial. Therefore we get a functor

$$\text{Két} : \text{TameSt-1-Mot}_K \rightarrow \text{KétLog-1-Mot}_S.$$

Step 2: We show that $\text{Mor}(M, M') \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Mor}(M_K, M'_K)$ for any two objects $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ and $M' = [Y' \xrightarrow{u'} G'_{\log}]$ in KétLog-1-Mot_S , where $M_K := M \times_S \text{Spec } K$ and $M'_K := M' \times_S \text{Spec } K$. It suffices to show that any morphism $(\mathfrak{f}_{-1}, \mathfrak{f}_0) : M_K \rightarrow M'_K$ extends to a unique morphism from M to M' . We rewrite the két log 1-motive M (resp. M') as $(Y \xrightarrow{v} B, X \xrightarrow{v^\vee} B^\vee, Y \times X \xrightarrow{s} P^{\log})$ (resp. $(Y' \xrightarrow{v'} B', X' \xrightarrow{v'^\vee} B'^\vee, Y' \times X' \xrightarrow{s'} P'^{\log})$) according to (2.15). Let $v_K := v \times_S \text{Spec } K$, similarly for X_K, Y_K , and so on. By [Del74, §10.2.12, 10.2.13, 10.2.14], the morphism $(\mathfrak{f}_{-1}, \mathfrak{f}_0)$ gives rise to a diagram

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & P_K^{\log} \\ & \nearrow^{s_K} & \uparrow \\ Y_K \times X_K & \xrightarrow{v_K \times v_K^\vee} & B_K \times B_K^\vee \\ \uparrow 1 \times \mathfrak{f}_{-1}^\vee & & \uparrow 1 \times \mathfrak{f}_{\text{ab}}^\vee \\ Y_K \times X'_K & \xrightarrow{v_K \times v_K'^\vee} & B_K \times B_K'^\vee \\ & \searrow_{(1 \times \mathfrak{f}_{\text{ab}}^\vee)^* P_K^{\log} = (\mathfrak{f}_{\text{ab}} \times 1)^* P_K'^{\log} =: Q_K^{\log}} & \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{f}_{-1} \times 1 & & \downarrow \\ Y'_K \times X'_K & \xrightarrow{v'_K \times v'_K'^\vee} & B'_K \times B_K'^\vee \\ & \searrow_{s'_K} & \downarrow \\ & & P_K'^{\log} \end{array}$$

such that

- (1) the two squares are commutative;
- (2) for any $y \in Y_K$ and any $x' \in X'_K$ we have

$$(3.16) \quad s_K(y, \mathfrak{f}_{-1}^\vee(x')) = s'_K(\mathfrak{f}_{-1}(y), x')$$

after identifying the \mathbb{G}_m -torsors

$$(P_K^{\log})_{v_K(y), v_K^\vee(f_{-1}^\vee(x'))}$$

and

$$(P'_K)^{\log}_{v'_K(f_{-1}(y)), v'_K{}^\vee(x')}$$

along the composition

$$(P_K^{\log})_{v_K(y), v_K^\vee(f_{-1}^\vee(x'))} \xleftarrow{\cong} (Q_K^{\log})_{v_K(y), v'_K{}^\vee(x')} \xrightarrow{\cong} (P'_K)^{\log}_{v'_K(f_{-1}(y)), v'_K{}^\vee(x')}.$$

By Proposition 3.1, f_{-1} (resp. f_{-1}^\vee) extends to a unique homomorphism $f_{-1} : Y \rightarrow Y'$ (resp. $f_{-1}^\vee : X' \rightarrow X$). By Theorem 3.2 (1), f_{ab} (resp. f_{ab}^\vee) extends to a unique homomorphism $f_{\text{ab}} : B \rightarrow B'$ (resp. $f_{\text{ab}}^\vee : B'^\vee \rightarrow B^\vee$). Since $f_{\text{ab}} \circ v_K = v'_K \circ f_{-1}$, we have $f_{\text{ab}} \circ v = v' \circ f_{-1}$ by the same reason as for Lemma 3.4. Similarly we have $f_{\text{ab}}^\vee \circ v'^\vee = v^\vee \circ f_{-1}^\vee$. In order that $((f_{-1}, f_{\text{ab}}), (f_{-1}^\vee, f_{\text{ab}}^\vee))$ gives a morphism (f_{-1}, f_0) from M to M' , we are left with checking the condition (2.18). But this follows from the equality (3.16) and $\Gamma(\text{Spec } \tilde{R}, \mathbb{G}_{m, \log}) = \Gamma(\text{Spec } \tilde{K}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ for any finite field extension \tilde{K} of K , where \tilde{R} denotes the normalization of R in \tilde{K} and $\text{Spec } \tilde{R}$ is endowed with the canonical log structure. The uniqueness of (f_{-1}, f_0) follows from those of f_{-1} , f_{ab} , f_{-1}^\vee , and f_{ab}^\vee .

Step 3: By Step 1 and Step 2, the functor Két is fully faithful. For any $M \in \text{KétLog-1-Mot}_S$, the base change M_K of M to $\text{Spec } K$ lies in TameSt-1-Mot_K . By Step 2, the identity morphism of M_K extends to a unique isomorphism from M to $\text{Két}(M_K)$. Therefore Két is essentially surjective, hence an equivalence of categories. This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let $M_K = [Y_K \xrightarrow{u_K} G_K] \in \text{TameSt-1-Mot}_K$, and G_K an extension of an abelian variety B_K by a torus T_K over K . Assume that either Y_K is not unramified, or T_K is not unramified, or B_K does not have good reduction. Then the két log 1-motive $M^{\log} := \text{Két}(M_K)$ is not a log 1-motive by Example 3.1, Example 3.2, and Example 3.3.

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let the notation and the assumptions be as in Theorem 3.1. We further assume that both Y_K and G_K have good reduction. Then the két log 1-motive $M^{\log} := \text{Két}(M_K) = [Y \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} G_{\log}]$ associated to M_K is a log 1-motive.*

PROOF. Since both Y_K and G_K have good reduction, both X and Y are étale locally representable by a finitely generated free abelian group over S . Therefore G is an extension of the abelian scheme B by the torus T on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$. By Remark 2.1, G comes from an extension on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{ét}}$. It follows that $[Y \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} G_{\log}]$ is a log 1-motive over S . \square

REMARK 3.1. Corollary 3.1 shows that a log 1-motive in the sense of [KT03, 4.6.1] extends uniquely to a log 1-motive in our sense (i.e. in the sense of [KKN08, Defn. 2.2]). This must have been known to Kazuya Kato who is one of the authors of both [KT03] and [KKN08].

REMARK 3.2. As we have proposed in Section 1, as a generalization of Theorem 3.1, it is natural to ask if every strict (not just strict tamely ramified) 1-motive over K extends to a unique kfl log 1-motive over S , where a kfl log 1-motive can be defined as in Definition 2.6 by using the Kummer flat topology instead of the Kummer étale topology. In the proofs of Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.2, and Theorem 3.2, we have used explicitly the theory of logarithmic fundamental group which is only available in the Kummer étale topology. Therefore our method in this paper does not work for the Kummer flat case.

4. Monodromy

In this section, we construct a pairing for a tamely ramified strict 1-motive M_K over a complete discrete valuation field via the két log 1-motive M^{log} associated to M_K . We compare it with the geometric monodromy pairing from [Ray94, 4.3].

4.1. Logarithmic monodromy pairing. We adopt the notation from last section. Consider the following push-out diagram

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X \longrightarrow 0, \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}} & \longrightarrow & E^{\text{log}} & \longrightarrow & Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$\longleftarrow t^{\text{log}}$

where t^{log} is the section (3.12). Then the section t^{log} induces a linear map

$$Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X \xrightarrow{t^{\text{log}}} E^{\text{log}} \rightarrow E^{\text{log}}/E \cong (\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{kfl}}},$$

which corresponds to a bilinear map

$$(4.2) \quad \langle -, - \rangle : Y \times X \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_{m,\text{log}}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{kfl}}}.$$

This pairing is nothing but the monodromy pairing (2.6) for the két log 1-motive M^{log} .

DEFINITION 4.1. We call the pairing (4.2) the **logarithmic monodromy pairing** of the tamely ramified strict 1-motive M_K .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let the assumption and the notation be as in Theorem 3.1 and its proof. The monodromy pairing (4.2) vanishes if and only if the section t^{log} is induced from a section $t : Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X \rightarrow E$ of E .*

When such a section t exists, it corresponds to a section $s : Y \times_S X \rightarrow P$ which further corresponds to a map $u : Y \rightarrow G$. The maps s and u extend the diagrams (3.13) and (3.14) to the commutative diagrams

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & P & \longrightarrow & P^{\text{log}} \\ & \nearrow s & \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\ Y \times_S X & \xrightarrow{v \times v^\vee} & B \times_S B^\vee & \xlongequal{\quad} & B \times_S B^\vee \end{array}$$

and

(4.4)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & Y & & \\
 & & & & \swarrow^{u^{\log}} & \searrow^v & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\log} & \longrightarrow & G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

respectively. Therefore the given 1-motive M_K extends to a unique két 1-motive $M = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G]$ such that the két log 1-motive M^{\log} associated to M_K is induced from M .

PROOF. By the construction the monodromy pairing, its vanishing is clearly equivalent to t^{\log} being induced from a section $t : Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X \rightarrow E$ of E . The proof of the rest is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let M_K be a tamely ramified strict 1-motive over K , and $M^{\log} = [Y \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} G_{\log}]$ the két log 1-motive associated to M_K . Assume that the logarithmic monodromy pairing of M_K is induced by a pairing $\mu_{\pi} : Y \times X \rightarrow \pi^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let*

$$u_{2,\pi}^{\log} : Y \rightarrow T_{\log} = \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\log}) \subset G_{\log}$$

be the map induced by μ_{π} , and $u_{1,\pi}^{\log} := u^{\log} - u_{2,\pi}^{\log}$. Then $u_{1,\pi}^{\log}$ factors as

$$Y \xrightarrow{u_{1,\pi}} G \hookrightarrow G_{\log},$$

i.e. the két log 1-motive $[Y \xrightarrow{u_{1,\pi}^{\log}} G_{\log}]$ is induced from the két 1-motive $[Y \xrightarrow{u_{1,\pi}} G]$.

PROOF. It suffices to prove that $u_{1,\pi}^{\log}$ factors through $G \hookrightarrow G_{\log}$, the rest is clear. The monodromy pairing of the két log 1-motive $[Y \xrightarrow{u_{1,\pi}^{\log}} G_{\log}]$ is the difference of the monodromy pairings of $[Y \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} G_{\log}]$ and $[Y \xrightarrow{u_{2,\pi}^{\log}} G_{\log}]$. Since the two monodromy pairings agree, we have that the monodromy pairing of $[Y \xrightarrow{u_{1,\pi}^{\log}} G_{\log}]$ vanishes. By Proposition 4.1, we are done. \square

EXAMPLE 4.1. Let $M_K = [Y_K \xrightarrow{u_K} G_K]$ be a tamely ramified strict 1-motive over K . Assume that both Y_K and G_K have good reduction. Then both Y and X are étale locally constant. Therefore the monodromy pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle : Y \times X \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\log}/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})_{S_{\text{kfl}}}$$

factors through the canonical homomorphism

$$\pi^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong M_S^{\text{gp}}/\mathcal{O}_S^{\times} \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\log}/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})_{S_{\text{kfl}}}.$$

In other words, the monodromy pairing of M_K satisfies the assumption of Proposition 4.2 in this case.

The construction of the decomposition $u^{\log} = u_{1,\pi}^{\log} + u_{2,\pi}^{\log}$ involves the chosen uniformizer π . Next we look for a decomposition $u^{\log} = u_1^{\log} + u_2^{\log}$ independent of the choice of a uniformizer, such that

(4.5)

u_1^{\log} is induced by some map $u_1 : Y \rightarrow G$ and u_2^{\log} factors through $T_{\log} \hookrightarrow G_{\log}$.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let M_K be a tamely ramified strict 1-motive over K , and $M^{\log} = [Y \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} G_{\log}]$ the két log 1-motive associated to M_K . The decompositions $u^{\log} = u_1^{\log} + u_2^{\log}$ satisfying the condition (4.5) correspond canonically to the trivializations $t : Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X \rightarrow E$ of the extension E from (4.1). Then the homomorphism u_2^{\log} corresponds to the difference homomorphism $t^{\log} - t$, where t^{\log} is as in (4.1).*

PROOF. Given a decomposition $u^{\log} = u_1^{\log} + u_2^{\log}$ satisfying the condition (4.5), the map u_1 associated to u_1^{\log} gives rise to a section $t : Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X \rightarrow E$ of E .

Conversely, given a section $t : Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X \rightarrow E$ of E , the decomposition

$$t^{\log} = t + (t^{\log} - t) := t_1 + t_2$$

gives rise to a decomposition $u^{\log} = u_1^{\log} + u_2^{\log}$ with u_i^{\log} induced by t_i . It is clear that u_1^{\log} factors through $G \hookrightarrow G_{\log}$. By an easy calculation $t^{\log} - t$ factors through $\mathbb{G}_{m,\log} \hookrightarrow E^{\log}$, therefore u_2^{\log} factors as $Y \rightarrow T_{\log} \rightarrow G_{\log}$. Hence the decomposition $u^{\log} = u_1^{\log} + u_2^{\log}$ satisfies the condition (4.5). \square

As before, let $Z := Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X$. We abbreviate $(\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{kfl}}}$ as $\overline{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(Z, -)$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\log} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log} \rightarrow 0,$$

we get an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(Z, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(Z, \overline{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Z, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Z, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}).$$

Let $\mu^{\log} \in \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(Z, \overline{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log})$ be the element corresponding to the logarithmic monodromy pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ of M_K . Then the element E of $\text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Z, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is the image of μ^{\log} along the map $\text{Hom}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(Z, \overline{\mathbb{G}}_{m,\log}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}^1(Z, \mathbb{G}_m)$. If E is trivial, then the subset

$$\alpha^{-1}(\mu^{\log}) \subset \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(Z, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}) = \text{Hom}_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(Y, T_{\log})$$

is not empty, and its elements correspond to the choices of u_2^{\log} .

4.2. Comparison with Raynaud's geometric monodromy. Since B and B^{\vee} become abelian schemes after base change to S' , $P \times_S S'$ is the Poincaré biextension of the abelian schemes $B \times_S S'$ and $B^{\vee} \times_S S'$, in particular

$$P \times_S S' \in \text{Biext}_{S'}^1(B \times_S S', B^{\vee} \times_S S'; \mathbb{G}_m).$$

It follows that the extension $E \times_S S'$ lies in the subgroup $\text{Ext}_{S'}^1((Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X) \times_S S', \mathbb{G}_m)$ of the group $\text{Ext}_{S'}^1((Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X) \times_S S', \mathbb{G}_m)$. Therefore the image of the map δ from

(3.11) lands in the subgroup $H_{\text{fl}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_m)$ of $H_{\text{kfl}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_m)$. Since $H_{\text{fl}}^1(S', \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, the diagram (3.11) gives rise to the following commutative diagram

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m(S') & \longrightarrow & E(S') & \longrightarrow & Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X(S') & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}(S') & \longrightarrow & E^{\log}(S') & \longrightarrow & Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X(S') & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m(\text{Spec } K') & \longrightarrow & E_K(\text{Spec } K') & \longrightarrow & Y_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X_K(\text{Spec } K') & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

$\xleftarrow{t^{\log}}$ (between $E^{\log}(S')$ and $Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X(S')$)
 $\xleftarrow{t_K}$ (between $E_K(\text{Spec } K')$ and $Y_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X_K(\text{Spec } K')$)

with exact rows. Then the pairing (4.2) induces a pairing

$$Y(S') \times X(S') \rightarrow \Gamma(S', (\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m)_{S_{\text{kfl}}}),$$

which actually factorizes through a pairing

$$(4.7) \quad \langle -, - \rangle : Y(S') \times X(S') \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}(S')/\mathbb{G}_m(S')$$

by the diagram (4.6). The pairing (4.7) is clearly $\text{Gal}(S'/S)$ -equivariant, and also determines the monodromy pairing (4.2). We have the canonical identification $\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}(S')/\mathbb{G}_m(S') = (K')^\times/(R')^\times$. Let \overline{K} be a separable closure of K containing K' . Then the valuation of K extends to a unique valuation of \overline{K} taking value in \mathbb{Q} . Applying the valuation of \overline{K} on $(K')^\times/(R')^\times$, we get a monomorphism $\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}(S')/\mathbb{G}_m(S') = (K')^\times/(R')^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Then the pairing (4.7) induces a pairing

$$(4.8) \quad \langle -, - \rangle : Y(S') \times X(S') \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

which is equivariant with respect to the action of $\text{Gal}(S'/S) = \text{Gal}(K'/K)$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *The pairing (4.8) coincides with the geometric monodromy pairing $\mu : Y_K(\text{Spec } K') \times X_K(\text{Spec } K') \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ from [Ray94, 4.3].*

PROOF. The map t_K in the diagram (4.6) induces a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} Y_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X_K(\text{Spec } K') &\rightarrow E_K(\text{Spec } K')/E(S') \cong \mathbb{G}_m(\text{Spec } K')/\mathbb{G}_m(S') \\ &= (K')^\times/(R')^\times \end{aligned}$$

which gives rise to exactly the monodromy pairing from [Ray94, 4.3] after applying the unique valuation of \overline{K} which extends the valuation on K . Since the second row and the third row in the diagram (4.6) are isomorphic, we are done. \square

If Raynaud's geometric monodromy pairing μ factors through $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, [Ray94, Prop. 4.5.1] gives a decomposition $u_K = u_{K,\pi}^1 + u_{K,\pi}^2$ such that

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{the } K\text{-1-motive } M_{K,\pi}^1 = [Y_K \xrightarrow{u_{K,\pi}^1} G_K] \text{ has potentially good reduction;} \\ &\text{and } u_{K,\pi}^2 \text{ factors through the torus part } T_K \text{ of } G_K. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover such a decomposition is made independent of the choice of the uniformizer π in [Ray94, Prop. 4.5.3], namely a decomposition $u_K = u_K^1 + u_K^2$ satisfying the condition analogous to (4.9), corresponds to a trivialization $\tau : Z_K = Y_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X_K \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\text{rig}}$ of the extension \mathcal{E}_{rig} of Z_K by U_{rig} defined in [Ray94, Rmk. 4.5.2 (iii)].

LEMMA 4.1. *Raynaud’s monodromy factors through $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ if and only if the assumption of Proposition 4.2 holds, i.e. the logarithmic monodromy pairing (4.2) of M_K is induced by a pairing $\mu_\pi : Y \times X \rightarrow \pi^{\mathbb{Z}}$.*

PROOF. If the logarithmic monodromy is induced by μ_π , then the image of the composition

$$(4.10) \quad Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X(S') \xrightarrow{t^{\log}} E^{\log}(S')/E(S') \cong \mathbb{G}_{\text{m},\log}(S')/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}(S')$$

lands in $\pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}(S')/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}(S')$. Since t^{\log} restricts to t_K , the image of the composition

$$\begin{aligned} Y_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X_K(\text{Spec } K') &\rightarrow E_K(\text{Spec } K')/E(S') \cong \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}(\text{Spec } K')/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}(S') \\ &= (K')^\times/(R')^\times \end{aligned}$$

lands in $\pi^{\mathbb{Z}}(R')^\times/(R')^\times$. Therefore Raynaud’s monodromy factors through $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.

Conversely, if Raynaud’s monodromy factors through $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, we clearly have that the image of the composition (4.10) lands in $\pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}(S')/\mathbb{G}_{\text{m}}(S') \cong \pi^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Since the logarithmic monodromy pairing is induced by the pairing (4.7), we are done. \square

Under the assumption that Raynaud’s monodromy factors through $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, we have Raynaud’s factorizations $u_K = u_{K,\pi}^1 + u_{K,\pi}^2$ and $u_K = u_K^1 + u_K^2$, as well as the factorizations $u^{\log} = u_{1,\pi}^{\log} + u_{2,\pi}^{\log}$ and $u^{\log} = u_1^{\log} + u_2^{\log}$ from Proposition 4.2 by Lemma 4.1. From the constructions of these decompositions, it is easy to check the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *The restrictions of the decompositions $u^{\log} = u_{1,\pi}^{\log} + u_{2,\pi}^{\log}$ and $u^{\log} = u_1^{\log} + u_2^{\log}$ from S to $\text{Spec } K$ give rise to Raynaud’s decompositions $u_K = u_{K,\pi}^1 + u_{K,\pi}^2$ and $u_K = u_K^1 + u_K^2$ respectively.*

5. Log finite group objects associated to két log 1-motives

5.1. Log finite group objects. Let S be a locally noetherian fs log scheme. Kato has developed a theory of log finite group objects, which is parallel to the theory of finite flat group schemes in the non-log world. The main references are [Kat92] and [MS].

DEFINITION 5.1. The category $(\text{fin}/S)_{\text{c}}$ is the full subcategory of the category of sheaves of finite abelian groups over $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$ consisting of objects which are representable by a classical finite flat group scheme over S . Here “classical” means that the log structure of the representing log scheme is the one induced from S .

The category $(\text{fin}/S)_{\text{f}}$ is the full subcategory of the category of sheaves of finite abelian groups over $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$ consisting of objects which are representable by a classical finite flat group scheme over a Kummer flat cover of S . For $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_{\text{f}}$,

let $U \rightarrow S$ be a log flat cover of S such that $F_U := F \times_S U \in (\text{fin}/U)_c$. Then the rank of F is defined to be the rank of F_U over U .

The category $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ is the full subcategory of $(\text{fin}/S)_f$ consisting of objects which are representable by a log scheme over S .

The category $(\text{fin}/S)_\acute{e}$ is the full subcategory of $(\text{fin}/S)_f$ consisting of objects F such that there exists a Kummer étale cover U of S such that $F \times_S U \in (\text{fin}/U)_r$.

Let $F \in (\text{fin}/S)_f$. Then the Cartier dual of F is the sheaf $F^* := \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(F, \mathbb{G}_m)$. By the definition of $(\text{fin}/S)_f$, it is clear that $F^* \in (\text{fin}/S)_f$.

The category $(\text{fin}/S)_d$ is the full subcategory of $(\text{fin}/S)_r$ consisting of objects whose Cartier dual also lies in $(\text{fin}/S)_r$.

PROPOSITION 5.1 (Kato). *The categories $(\text{fin}/S)_f$, $(\text{fin}/S)_\acute{e}$, $(\text{fin}/S)_r$, and $(\text{fin}/S)_d$ are closed under extensions in the category of sheaves of abelian groups on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$.*

PROOF. See [Kat92, Prop. 2.3]. □

DEFINITION 5.2. Let p be a prime number. A **log p -divisible group** (resp. **két log p -divisible group**, resp. **kfl log p -divisible group**) over S is a sheaf of abelian groups G on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$ satisfying:

- (1) $G = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} G_n$ with $G_n := \ker(p^n : G \rightarrow G)$;
- (2) $p : G \rightarrow G$ is surjective;
- (3) $G_n \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ (resp. $G_n \in (\text{fin}/S)_\acute{e}$, resp. $G_n \in (\text{fin}/S)_f$) for any $n > 0$.

We denote the category of log p -divisible groups (resp. két log p -divisible groups, resp. kfl log p -divisible groups) over S by $(p\text{-div}/S)^{\text{log}}$ (resp. $(p\text{-div}/S)_\acute{e}^{\text{log}}$, resp. $(p\text{-div}/S)_f^{\text{log}}$). The full subcategory of $(p\text{-div}/S)^{\text{log}}$ consisting of objects G with $G_n \in (\text{fin}/S)_d$ for all $n > 0$ will be denoted by $(p\text{-div}/S)_d^{\text{log}}$. A log p -divisible group G with $G_n \in (\text{fin}/S)_c$ for all $n > 0$ is clearly just a classical p -divisible group, and we denote the full subcategory of $(p\text{-div}/S)_d^{\text{log}}$ consisting of classical p -divisible groups by $(p\text{-div}/S)$.

REMARK 5.1. For $G \in (p\text{-div}/S)^{\text{log}}$ to lie in $(p\text{-div}/S)_d^{\text{log}}$, it is enough to require $G_1 \in (\text{fin}/S)_d$. We explain this as follows. The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow 0$ gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_1^* \rightarrow G_2^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} G_1^* \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}}^1(G_1, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

We claim that α is an epimorphism for the Kummer flat topology. To prove the claim, we may assume that G_1 is a classical finite flat group scheme. Then we have $\mathcal{E}xt_{S_{\text{fl}}}^1(G_1, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ by [sga72, Exp. VIII, Prop. 3.3.1] and part (1) of [Sta20, Tag 0DDS], whence a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_1^* \rightarrow G_2^* \rightarrow G_1^* \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves of abelian groups on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$. Therefore $G_2^* \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ by [Kat92, Prop. 2.3]. Inductively we get $G_n^* \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ for all $n > 1$.

5.2. Log finite group objects associated to két log 1-motives.

DEFINITION 5.3. Let S be an fs log scheme, $M^{\log} = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$ a két log 1-motive over S , and n a positive integer. By Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.1, we can regard M^{\log} as a complex of sheaves on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$, and define

$$T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) := H^{-1}(M^{\log} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let S be a locally noetherian fs log scheme,*

$$M^{\log} = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$$

a két log 1-motive over S , and n a positive integer. Then we have the following.

(1) $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log})$ fits into the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_{\log}[n] \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) \rightarrow Y/nY \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves of abelian groups on $(\text{fs}/S)_{\text{kfl}}$, where $G_{\log}[n]$ denotes the n -torsion subgroup sheaf of G_{\log} .

(2) $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) \in (\text{fin}/S)_{\acute{e}}$.

(3) Let m be another positive integer. Then the map $T_{\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log})$ induced by $\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is surjective.

(4) If M^{\log} is a log 1-motive, then $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) \in (\text{fin}/S)_{\text{d}}$.

PROOF. For part (1), by [Ray94, §3.1], it suffices to show that the multiplication by n is injective on Y and surjective on G_{\log} for the Kummer flat topology. The injectivity of the map $Y \xrightarrow{n} Y$ is trivial. We are reduced to show the surjectivity of the map $G_{\log} \xrightarrow{n} G_{\log}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that M^{\log} is a log 1-motive. Let G be an extension of an abelian scheme B by a torus T over S . Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\log} & \longrightarrow & G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow n & & \downarrow n & & \downarrow n & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\log} & \longrightarrow & G_{\log} & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. The multiplication by n is clearly surjective on B , and the surjectivity of the multiplication by n on T_{\log} follows from the surjectivity of $\mathbb{G}_{m,\log} \xrightarrow{n} \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}$. It follows that $G_{\log} \xrightarrow{n} G_{\log}$ is surjective.

For part (2), we may still assume that M^{\log} is a log 1-motive. We have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_{\log}[n] \rightarrow G_{\log}[n] \rightarrow B[n] \rightarrow 0$. Let X be the character group of T . Then we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow T_{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{S_{\text{kfl}}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathbb{G}_{m,\log}/\mathbb{G}_m$ is torsion-free, we get $T[n] = T_{\log}[n]$. Then we get a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T[n] \rightarrow G_{\log}[n] \rightarrow B[n] \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $G_{\log}[n] \in (\text{fin}/S)_{\text{r}}$ by Proposition 5.1. Applying Proposition 5.1 again to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_{\log}[n] \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) \rightarrow Y/nY \rightarrow 0,$$

we get $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) \in (\text{fin}/S)_{\text{r}}$.

Part (3) is clearly true for the two két log 1-motives $[Y \rightarrow 0]$ and $[0 \rightarrow G_{\log}]$. It follows that it also holds for M^{\log} .

At last, we prove part (4). By the proof of part (2) we get $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$. Similarly, we have $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log})^* = T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}((M^{\log})^\vee) \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$, where $(M^{\log})^\vee$ denotes the dual of the log 1-motive M^{\log} . It follows that $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) \in (\text{fin}/S)_d$. \square

DEFINITION 5.4. Let S be a locally noetherian fs log scheme,

$$M^{\log} = [Y \xrightarrow{u} G_{\log}]$$

a két log 1-motive over S , and p a prime number. The **két log p -divisible group of M^{\log}** is defined to be $M^{\log}[p^\infty] := \bigcup_n T_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log})$.

5.3. Extending finite group schemes associated to tamely ramified strict 1-motives.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let the notation and the assumptions be as in Theorem 3.1, and let n be a positive integer. Then $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log})$ lies in $(\text{fin}/S)_\epsilon$, and it extends the finite group scheme $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M_K)$ over K to S .*

PROOF. Since $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) \times_S S' = T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log} \times_S S') \in (\text{fin}/S)_r$ and S' is a Kummer étale cover of S , we get $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) \in (\text{fin}/S)_\epsilon$. Since $M^{\log} \times_S \text{Spec } K = M_K$, we get $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) \times_S \text{Spec } K = T_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(M_K)$. \square

The following theorem is stated in [Kat92, §4.3] without proof. Here we present a proof.

THEOREM 5.2 (Kato). *Let K be a complete discrete valuation field with ring of integers R , p a prime number, and A_K a tamely ramified abelian variety over K . We endow $S := \text{Spec } R$ with the canonical log structure. Then the p -divisible group $A_K[p^\infty]$ of A_K extends to an object of $(p\text{-div}/S)_\epsilon^{\log}$. It extends to an object of $(p\text{-div}/S)_d^{\log}$ if any of the following two conditions is satisfied.*

- (1) A_K has semi-stable reduction.
- (2) p is invertible in R .

PROOF. By [Ray94, §4.2], there exists a tamely ramified strict 1-motive $M_K = [Y_K \xrightarrow{u_K} G_K]$ such that $M_K[p^\infty] = A_K[p^\infty]$, and both Y_K and G_K have good reduction if A_K has semi-stable reduction. By Theorem 3.1, M_K extends to a két log 1-motive $M^{\log} = [Y \xrightarrow{u^{\log}} G_{\log}]$. Then $M_K[p^\infty]$ extends to $M^{\log}[p^\infty] \in (p\text{-div}/S)_\epsilon^{\log}$ by Theorem 5.1.

If A_K has semi-stable reduction, then both Y_K and G_K have good reduction. Therefore the két log 1-motive M^{\log} is actually a log 1-motive over S by Corollary 3.1. It follows that $M^{\log}[p^\infty] \in (p\text{-div}/S)_d^{\log}$.

If p is invertible in R , then the object $T_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}}(M^{\log}) \in (\text{fin}/S)_\epsilon$ actually lies in $(\text{fin}/S)_d$ by [Kat92, Prop. 2.1]. It follows that $M^{\log}[p^\infty] \in (p\text{-div}/S)_d^{\log}$. \square

Acknowledgement

In an email, Professor Chikara Nakayama informed the author that Professor Kazuya Kato thought it plausible that every abelian variety (not necessarily with semi-stable reduction) on a complete discrete valuation field extends uniquely to a Kummer log flat log abelian variety on the corresponding discrete valuation ring. This work is partly motivated by that piece of information. It is also motivated by Theorem 5.2 which is taken from [Kat92, §4.3]. The author thanks Professor Chikara Nakayama for his generosity. The author would also thank Professor Ulrich Görtz for very helpful discussions concerning taking quotient for equivalence relations, as well as for his support during the past few years.

The author thanks the anonymous referee for her or his corrections. More importantly, he would like to thank the referee for her or his questions and comments which not just motivated the author to give a more systematic treatment to the results in Section 3, but also directed him to possible research problems in future.

This work has been partially supported by SFB/TR 45 “Periods, moduli spaces and arithmetic of algebraic varieties”.

References

- [BCC04] Alessandra Bertapelle, Maurizio Candilera, and Valentino Cristante. Monodromy of logarithmic Barsotti-Tate groups attached to 1-motives. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 573:211–234, 2004.
- [BLR90] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud. *Néron models*, volume 21 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [Del74] Pierre Deligne. Théorie de Hodge. III. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (44):5–77, 1974.
- [Del85] Pierre Deligne. Le lemme de gabber. *Astérisque*, 127(5):131–150, 1985.
- [Gro68] Alexander Grothendieck. Le groupe de Brauer. III. Exemples et compléments. In *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas*, volume 3 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 88–188. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [HN16] Lars Halvard Halle and Johannes Nicaise. *Néron models and base change*, volume 2156 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, [Cham], 2016.
- [Ill02] Luc Illusie. An overview of the work of K. Fujiwara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama on logarithmic étale cohomology. *Astérisque*, (279):271–322, 2002. Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques, II.
- [Kat92] Kazuya Kato. Logarithmic Dieudonné theory, preprint 1992.
- [Kat19] Kazuya Kato. Logarithmic structures of fontaine-illusie. ii. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.10678*, 2019.
- [KKN08] Takeshi Kajiwara, Kazuya Kato, and Chikara Nakayama. Logarithmic abelian varieties. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 189:63–138, 2008.
- [KKN15] Takeshi Kajiwara, Kazuya Kato, and Chikara Nakayama. Logarithmic abelian varieties, Part IV: Proper models. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 219:9–63, 2015.
- [KKN19] Takeshi Kajiwara, Kazuya Kato, and Chikara Nakayama. Logarithmic abelian varieties, Part VI: Local moduli and GAGF. *Yokohama Math. J.*, 65:53–75, 2019.
- [KT03] Kazuya Kato and Fabien Trihan. On the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer in characteristic $p > 0$. *Invent. Math.*, 153(3):537–592, 2003.
- [MS] Keerthi Madapusi Sampath. Log p -divisible groups (D’après Kato).
- [Niz08] Wiesława Nizioł. K -theory of log-schemes. I. *Doc. Math.*, 13:505–551, 2008.
- [Ray94] Michel Raynaud. 1-motifs et monodromie géométrique. *Astérisque*, 223:295–319, 1994.

- [sga72] *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. I*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 288. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 I), Dirigé par A. Grothendieck. Avec la collaboration de M. Raynaud et D. S. Rim.
- [Sta20] The Stacks Project Authors. Stacks Project. <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2020.
- [Zha17] Heer Zhao. Log abelian varieties over a log point. *Doc. Math.*, 22:505–550, 2017.

HEER ZHAO, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, ESSEN 45117, GERMANY, HEER.ZHAO@UNI-DUE.DE