

# HYPERCOHOMOLOGIES OF TRUNCATED TWISTED HOLOMORPHIC DE RHAM COMPLEXES

LINGXU MENG

ABSTRACT. We investigate the hypercohomologies of truncated twisted holomorphic de Rham complexes on (*not necessarily compact*) complex manifolds. In particular, we generalize Leray-Hirsch, Künneth and Poincaré-Serre duality theorems on them. At last, a blowup formula is given, which affirmatively answers a question posed by Chen, Y. and Yang, S. in [3].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

All complex manifolds mentioned in this paper are connected and not necessarily compact unless otherwise specified. Let  $X$  be a complex manifold. The de Rham theorem says that the cohomology  $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$  with complex coefficients can be computed by the hypercohomology  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^\bullet)$  of the holomorphic de Rham complex  $\Omega_X^\bullet$ . Moreover, if  $X$  is a compact Kähler manifold, the Hodge filtration  $F^p H_{dR}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{r \geq p} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, k-r}(X, \mathbb{C})$  on the de Rham cohomology  $H_{dR}^k(X, \mathbb{C})$  is just the hypercohomology  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{\geq p})$  of the truncated holomorphic de Rham complex  $\Omega_X^{\geq p}$ , which plays a significant role in the Hodge theory. The Deligne cohomology is an important object in the research of algebraic cycles, since it connects with the intermediate Jacobian and the integral Hodge class group. It has a strong relation with the singular cohomology  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$  and the hypercohomology  $\mathbb{H}^*(X, \Omega_X^{\leq p-1})$  of the truncated holomorphic de Rham complex  $\Omega_X^{\leq p-1}$  via the long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^{k-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{k-1}(X, \Omega_X^{\leq p-1}) \longrightarrow H_D^k(X, \mathbb{Z}(p)) \longrightarrow H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{\leq p-1}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

So it seems natural to investigate the hypercohomology of truncated holomorphic de Rham complexes.

We consider the more general cases - the hypercohomology  $\mathbb{H}^*(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L}))$  of truncated twisted holomorphic de Rham complexes  $\Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})$  (see Section 3.2 or [3, Section 4.2] for the definition) and obtain the generalizations of several classical theorems for the de Rham cohomology with values in a local system as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** (Leray-Hirsch theorem). *Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic fiber bundle with compact fibers over a complex manifold  $X$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  a local system of  $\mathbb{C}_X$ -modules of finite rank on  $X$ . Assume that there exist  $d$ -closed forms  $t_1, \dots, t_r$  of pure degrees on  $E$  such that the restrictions of their Dolbeault classes  $[t_1]_{\bar{\partial}}, \dots, [t_r]_{\bar{\partial}}$  to  $E_x$  is a basis of  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(E_x) =$*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32C35; Secondary 14F43.

*Key words and phrases.* hypercohomology; truncated twisted holomorphic de Rham complex; Leray-Hirsch theorem; Künneth theorem; Poincaré-Serre duality theorem; blowup formula.

$\bigoplus_{p,q \geq 0} H_{\mathbb{O}}^{p,q}(E_x)$  for every  $x \in X$ . Then there exists an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{H}^{k-u_i-v_i}(X, \Omega_X^{[s-u_i, t-u_i]}(\mathcal{L})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^k(E, \Omega_E^{[s,t]}(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{L}))$$

for any  $k, s, t$ , where  $(u_i, v_i)$  is the degree of  $t_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Künneth theorem). *Let  $X, Y$  be complex manifolds and  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}$  local systems of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ -,  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_Y$ -modules of finite ranks on  $X, Y$  respectively. If  $X$  or  $Y$  is compact, then there is an isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{a+b=c \\ u+w=s \\ v+w=t}} \mathbb{H}^a(X, \Omega_X^{[u,v]}(\mathcal{L})) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^b(Y, \Omega_Y^{[w,w]}(\mathcal{H})) \cong \mathbb{H}^c(X \times Y, \Omega_{X \times Y}^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{H}))$$

for any  $c, s, t$ .

**Theorem 1.3** (Poincaré-Serre duality theorem). *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional compact complex manifold and  $\mathcal{L}$  a local system of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ -modules of finite rank on  $X$ . Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})) \cong (\mathbb{H}^{2n-k}(X, \Omega_X^{[n-t, n-s]}(\mathcal{L}^\vee)))^*$$

for any  $k, s, t$ , where  $*$  denotes the dual of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space.

Recently, the blowup formulas for the de Rham cohomology with values in a local system were studied with different approaches [3, 5, 6, 8, 16, 17]. More generally, Chen, Y. and Yang, S. posed a question on the existence of a blowup formula for the hypercohomology of a truncated twisted holomorphic de Rham complex ([3, Question 10]). Now, we prove that it truly exists.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be the blowup of a complex manifold  $X$  along a complex submanifold  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  a local system of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ -modules of finite rank on  $X$ . Assume that  $i_Y : Y \rightarrow X$  is the inclusion and  $r = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}} Y \geq 2$ . Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \mathbb{H}^{k-2i}(Y, \Omega_Y^{[s-i, t-i]}(i_Y^{-1}\mathcal{L})) \cong \mathbb{H}^k(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{[s,t]}(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{L})), \quad (1.1)$$

for any  $k, s, t$ .

In Section 2, we recall some basic notions on complexes and give some properties of them, which may be well known for experts. In Section 3, the double complexes  $\mathcal{S}_X^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathcal{L}, s, t)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_X^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathcal{L}, s, t)$  are defined and studied, which play important roles in the study of the hypercohomology  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L}))$ . In Section 4, Theorems 1.1-1.4 are proved.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Drs. Chen, Youming and Yang, Song for many useful discussions. The author sincerely thanks the referee for many valuable suggestions and careful reading of the manuscript. The author is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi Province of China (Grant No. 201901D111141).

## 2. COMPLEXES

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an Abelian category. All complexes and morphisms in this section are in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**2.1. Complexes.** A *complex*  $(K^\bullet, d)$  consists of objects  $K^k$  and morphisms  $d^k : K^k \rightarrow K^{k+1}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying that  $d^{k+1} \circ d^k = 0$ . Sometimes, we briefly denote  $(K^\bullet, d)$  by  $K^\bullet$  and denote its  $k$ -th cohomology by  $H^k(K^\bullet)$ . A *morphism*  $f : K^\bullet \rightarrow L^\bullet$  of complexes means a family of morphisms  $f^k : K^k \rightarrow L^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying that  $f^{k+1} \circ d_K^k = d_L^k \circ f^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, if there exists a morphism  $g : L^\bullet \rightarrow K^\bullet$  satisfying that  $f \circ g = \text{id}$  and  $g \circ f = \text{id}$ , then  $f$  is said to be an *isomorphism*.

A *double complex*  $(K^{\bullet, \bullet}, d_1, d_2)$  consists of objects  $K^{p,q}$  and morphisms  $d_1^{p,q} : K^{p,q} \rightarrow K^{p+1,q}$ ,  $d_2^{p,q} : K^{p,q} \rightarrow K^{p,q+1}$  for all  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  satisfying that  $d_1^{p+1,q} \circ d_1^{p,q} = 0$ ,  $d_2^{p,q+1} \circ d_2^{p,q} = 0$  and  $d_1^{p,q+1} \circ d_2^{p,q} + d_2^{p+1,q} \circ d_1^{p,q} = 0$ . Sometimes, it will be shortly written as  $K^{\bullet, \bullet}$ . Fixed  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(K^{p, \bullet}, d_2^{p, \bullet})$  is a complex. A *morphism*  $f : K^{\bullet, \bullet} \rightarrow L^{\bullet, \bullet}$  of double complexes means a family of morphisms  $f^{p,q} : K^{p,q} \rightarrow L^{p,q}$  for all  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying that  $f^{p+1,q} \circ d_{K_1}^{p,q} = d_{L_1}^{p,q} \circ f^{p,q}$  and  $f^{p,q+1} \circ d_{K_2}^{p,q} = d_{L_2}^{p,q} \circ f^{p,q}$  for all  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If there exists a morphism  $g : L^{\bullet, \bullet} \rightarrow K^{\bullet, \bullet}$  satisfying that  $f \circ g = \text{id}$  and  $g \circ f = \text{id}$ , then  $f$  is said to be an *isomorphism*.

Let  $K^{\bullet, \bullet}$  be a double complex. The *complex*  $sK^{\bullet, \bullet}$  associated to  $K^{\bullet, \bullet}$  is defined as  $(sK^{\bullet, \bullet})^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} K^{p,q}$  and  $d^k = \sum_{p+q=k} d_1^{p,q} + \sum_{p+q=k} d_2^{p,q}$ . Let  $({}_K E_r^{\bullet, \bullet}, F^\bullet H^\bullet)$  be the spectral sequence associated to a double complex  $K^{\bullet, \bullet}$ . Then the first page  ${}_K E_1^{p,q} = H^q(K^{p, \bullet})$  and  $H^k = H^k(sK^{\bullet, \bullet})$ . A morphism  $f : K^{\bullet, \bullet} \rightarrow L^{\bullet, \bullet}$  of double complexes naturally induces a morphism  $sf : sK^{\bullet, \bullet} \rightarrow sL^{\bullet, \bullet}$  of complexes, where  $(sf)^k = \sum_{p+q=k} f^{p,q}$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**2.2. Shifted complexes.** For an integer  $m$ , denote by  $(K^\bullet[m], d[m])$  the  $m$ -shifted complex of  $(K^\bullet, d)$ , namely,  $K^\bullet[m]^k = K^{k+m}$  and  $d[m]^k = d^{k+m}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For a pair of integers  $(m, n)$ , denote by  $(K^{\bullet, \bullet}[m, n], d_1[m, n], d_2[m, n])$  the  $(m, n)$ -shifted double complex of  $(K^{\bullet, \bullet}, d_1, d_2)$ , namely,  $K^{\bullet, \bullet}[m, n]^{p,q} = K^{p+m, q+n}$  and  $d_i[m, n]^{p,q} = d_i^{p+m, q+n}$  for any  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Clearly,  $s(K^{\bullet, \bullet}[m, n]) = (sK^{\bullet, \bullet})[m + n]$ .

**2.3. Dual complexes.** In this subsection, assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces.

For a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $V$ , let  $V^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$  be its dual space. The *dual complex*  $((K^\bullet)^*, d^*)$  of  $(K^\bullet, d)$  is defined as  $(K^\bullet)^{*k} = (K^{-k})^*$  and  $d^{*k} f^k = (-1)^{k+1} f^k \circ d^{-k-1}$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $f^k \in (K^\bullet)^{*k}$  satisfy  $d^{*k} f^k = 0$ , i.e.,  $f^k \circ d^{-k-1} = 0$ . Then  $f^k$  naturally induces a linear map  $\tilde{f}^k : H^{-k}(K^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The morphism  $[f^k] \mapsto \tilde{f}^k$  gives the following isomorphism, where  $[f^k]$  denotes the class in  $H^k((K^\bullet)^*)$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([4, IV, (16.5)]).  $H^k((K^\bullet)^*) \cong (H^{-k}(K^\bullet))^*$ .

The *dual double complex*  $((K^{\bullet, \bullet})^*, d_1^*, d_2^*)$  of  $(K^{\bullet, \bullet}, d_1, d_2)$  is defined as  $(K^{\bullet, \bullet})^{*p,q} = (K^{-p, -q})^*$  and  $d_1^{*p,q} f^{p,q} = (-1)^{p+q+1} f^{p,q} \circ d_1^{-p-1, -q}$ ,  $d_2^{*p,q} f^{p,q} = (-1)^{p+q+1} f^{p,q} \circ d_2^{-p, -q-1}$  for any  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $f^{p,q} \in (K^{-p, -q})^*$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose that the double complex  $K^{\bullet, \bullet}$  is bounded, i.e.,  $K^{\bullet, \bullet} = 0$  except finite  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Then there exists an isomorphism  $(sK^{\bullet, \bullet})^* \cong s((K^{\bullet, \bullet})^*)$ .

*Proof.* For every  $k$ , define a map  $(sK^{\bullet, \bullet})^{*k} \rightarrow s((K^{\bullet, \bullet})^*)^k$  by  $f \mapsto (f|_{K^{-p, -q}})_{p+q=k}$ , where  $f \in (sK^{\bullet, \bullet})^{*k} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bigoplus_{p+q=k} K^{-p, -q}, \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $K^{\bullet, \bullet}$  is bounded, this map gives an isomorphism  $(sK^{\bullet, \bullet})^* \cong s((K^{\bullet, \bullet})^*)$ .  $\square$

**2.4. Tensors of complexes.** In this subsection, assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces.

For complexes  $(K^\bullet, d_K)$ ,  $(L^\bullet, d_L)$  and an integer  $m$ , the double complex  $(K^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^\bullet)_m$  is defined as  $(K^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^\bullet)_m^{p,q} = K^p \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^q$  and  $d_1^{p,q} = d_K^p \otimes 1_{L^q}$ ,  $d_2^{p,q} = (-1)^{m+p} 1_{K^p} \otimes d_L^q$  for any  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Clearly,  $(K^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^\bullet)_m$  is equal to  $(K^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^\bullet)_0$  for even  $m$  and to  $(K^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^\bullet)_1$  for odd  $m$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *There is an isomorphism  $(K^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^\bullet)_0 \cong (K^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^\bullet)_1$  of double complexes.*

*Proof.* Evidently, linear extending  $\alpha^p \otimes \beta^q \mapsto (-1)^q \alpha^p \otimes \beta^q$  for  $\alpha^p \in K^p$  and  $\beta^q \in L^q$  gives an isomorphism  $(K^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^\bullet)_0 \rightarrow (K^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^\bullet)_1$  of double complexes.  $\square$

Combining [4, IV, (15.6)] and Lemma 2.3, we conclude that

**Lemma 2.4.** *For any integer  $m$ ,  $\bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^p(K^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^q(L^\bullet) \cong H^k(ss((K^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^\bullet)_m))$ .*

For double complexes  $(K^{\bullet,\bullet}, d_{K1}, d_{K2})$  and  $(L^{\bullet,\bullet}, d_{L1}, d_{L2})$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} A^{p,q;r,s} &= K^{p,r} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{q,s}, \\ d_1^{p,q;r,s} &= d_{K1}^{p,r} \otimes 1_{L^{q,s}} : A^{p,q;r,s} \rightarrow A^{p+1,q;r,s}, \\ d_2^{p,q;r,s} &= (-1)^{p+r} 1_{K^{p,r}} \otimes d_{L1}^{q,s} : A^{p,q;r,s} \rightarrow A^{p,q+1;r,s}, \\ d_3^{p,q;r,s} &= d_{K2}^{p,r} \otimes 1_{L^{q,s}} : A^{p,q;r,s} \rightarrow A^{p,q;r+1,s}, \\ d_4^{p,q;r,s} &= (-1)^{p+r} 1_{K^{p,r}} \otimes d_{L2}^{q,s} : A^{p,q;r,s} \rightarrow A^{p,q;r,s+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $p, q, s, r$ . We easily see that

$$(A^{p,q;\bullet,\bullet}, d_3^{p,q;\bullet,\bullet}, d_4^{p,q;\bullet,\bullet}) = (K^{p,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{q,\bullet})_p \quad (2.1)$$

as double complexes for any  $p, q$ .

Define  $ss(K^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{\bullet,\bullet})$  as

$$ss(K^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{\bullet,\bullet})^{k,l} = \bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=k \\ r+s=l}} A^{p,q;r,s}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^{k,l} &= \sum_{\substack{p+q=k \\ r+s=l}} d_1^{p,q;r,s} + \sum_{\substack{p+q=k \\ r+s=l}} d_2^{p,q;r,s} : ss(K^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{\bullet,\bullet})^{k,l} \rightarrow ss(K^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{\bullet,\bullet})^{k+1,l} \\ D_2^{k,l} &= \sum_{\substack{p+q=k \\ r+s=l}} d_3^{p,q;r,s} + \sum_{\substack{p+q=k \\ r+s=l}} d_4^{p,q;r,s} : ss(K^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{\bullet,\bullet})^{k,l} \rightarrow ss(K^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{\bullet,\bullet})^{k,l+1} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $k, l$ . it is easily checked that  $ss(K^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{\bullet,\bullet})$  is a double complex.

By (2.1), the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 2.5.** *For any  $k$ , there is an isomorphism*

$$(ss(K^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{\bullet,\bullet})^{k,\bullet}, D_2^{k,\bullet}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} s((K^{p,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{q,\bullet})_p)$$

*of complexes.*

By definitions, it follows that

**Lemma 2.6.**  $s(ss(K^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{\bullet,\bullet})) \cong s((sK^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} sL^{\bullet,\bullet})_0)$ .

Now, we can compute the cohomologies of tensors of double complexes.

**Proposition 2.7.** (1) For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\bigoplus_{k+l=a} H^k(sK^{\bullet,\bullet}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^l(sL^{\bullet,\bullet}) \cong H^a(s(ss(K^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{\bullet,\bullet}))).$$

(2) For any  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=k \\ r+s=l}} H^r(K^{p,\bullet}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^s(L^{q,\bullet}) \cong H^l(ss(K^{\bullet,\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} L^{\bullet,\bullet})^{k,\bullet}).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.4 and 2.6, we get (1). By Lemma 2.4 and 2.5, we get (2).  $\square$

### 3. TRUNCATED TWISTED HOLOMORPHIC DE RHAM COMPLEX

Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}_X^k$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}$ ,  $\Omega_X^p$ ) the sheaf of germs of smooth  $(p, q)$ -forms (resp. complex-valued smooth  $k$ -forms,  $(p, q)$ -currents, holomorphic  $p$ -forms) on  $X$ . Denote by  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$  the constant sheaf with stalk  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a local system of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ -modules of finite rank on  $X$ , namely, a locally constant sheaf of finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on  $X$ . Tensoring  $\otimes_{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X}$  between sheaves of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ -modules will be simply written as  $\otimes$ . For a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $X$  and an open subset  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$  refers to the group of sections of  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $U$ . For a complex  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  of sheaves on  $X$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$  denotes its  $k$ -th hypercohomology.

**3.1. Twisted Dolbeault cohomology.** Since  $\partial : \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{p+1,q}$  and  $\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q+1}$  are both morphisms of sheaves of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ -modules, they naturally induce  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p+1,q}$  and  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q+1}$ , which are still denoted by  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  respectively. Then  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^p$  has a fine resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^p \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p,n} \longrightarrow 0.$$

These arguments also hold for the sheaves  $\mathcal{D}'_X^{\bullet,\bullet}$ . So

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^p) \cong H^q(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p,\bullet})) \cong H^q(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{D}'_X^{p,\bullet})),$$

which are uniformly called the *twisted Dolbeault cohomologies*.

**3.2. Double complexes  $\mathcal{S}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{L}, s, t)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{L}, s, t)$ .** In [3, Section 4.2], Chen, Y. and Yang, S. introduced the complexes  $\Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})$  for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq n$  on a compact complex manifold  $X$ . For convenience, we generalize this concept a little.

*The compactness of  $X$  is not assumed in this paper.* Set  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^k = 0$  for  $k < 0$  or  $k > n$ . Given any integers  $s$  and  $t$ , the *truncated twisted holomorphic de Rham complex*  $\Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})$  is defined as the zero complex if  $s > t$  and as the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^s \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^{s+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^t \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3.1)$$

if  $s \leq t$ , where  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^k$  is placed in degree  $k$  for  $s \leq k \leq t$  and zeros are placed in other degrees. Obviously,  $\Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})$  is equal to  $\Omega_X^{[0,t]}(\mathcal{L})$  for  $s < 0$  and equal to  $\Omega_X^{[s,n]}(\mathcal{L})$  for  $t > n$ . In particular,  $\Omega_X^{[0,n]}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet$  is the twisted holomorphic de Rham complex on  $X$  and

$\Omega_X^{[p,p]}(\mathcal{L}) = (\mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^{p,\bullet})[-p]$ , where  $\Omega_X^{p,\bullet}$  denote the complex with  $\Omega_X^p$  in degree 0 and zeros in other degrees.

Set

$$\mathcal{S}_X^{p,q}(\mathcal{L}, s, t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}, & s \leq p \leq t \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(\mathcal{S}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{L}, s, t), d_1, d_2)$  is a double complex of sheaves, where

$$d_1^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \partial, & s \leq p < t \\ 0, & \text{others} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad d_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \bar{\partial}, & s \leq p \leq t \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

Denoted it by  $\mathcal{S}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{L}, s, t)$  shortly. Let  $\mathcal{S}_X^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, s, t) = s\mathcal{S}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{L}, s, t)$  be the complex associated to  $\mathcal{S}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{L}, s, t)$ . For instance,  $\mathcal{S}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{L}, 0, n) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_X^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, 0, n) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^\bullet$  and  $\mathcal{S}_X^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, p, p) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p,\bullet}[-p]$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The inclusion gives a quasi-isomorphism  $\Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_X^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, s, t)$  of complexes of sheaves.*

*Proof.* For any  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})^p \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{L}, s, t), d_2^{p,\bullet})$  given by the inclusion is a resolution of  $\Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})^p$ . By [14, Lemma 8.5], the lemma holds.  $\square$

Set  $S^{p,q}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_X^{p,q}(\mathcal{L}, s, t))$  and  $S^p(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_X^p(\mathcal{L}, s, t))$ . In particular, the double complex  $S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, 0, n)$  is just  $(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}), \partial, \bar{\partial})$  (see also [3, Section 2.1] or [14, Section 8.2.1]). For any  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_X^p(\mathcal{L}, s, t)$  is  $\Gamma$ -acyclic, since it is a fine sheaf. By [14, Proposition 8.12] and Lemma 3.1,

$$\mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})) \cong H^k(S^\bullet(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)) \quad (3.2)$$

for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For example,  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[0,n]}(\mathcal{L})) \cong H_{dR}^k(X, \mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[p,p]}(\mathcal{L})) \cong H^{k-p}(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^p)$ .

Similarly, we can define  $\mathcal{T}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{L}, s, t)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_X^\bullet(\mathcal{L}, s, t)$ ,  $T^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)$  and  $T^\bullet(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)$ , where

$$\mathcal{T}_X^{p,q}(\mathcal{L}, s, t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}, & s \leq p \leq t \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases}$$

There is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})) \cong H^k(T^\bullet(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)) \quad (3.3)$$

for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Notice that,* all double complexes defined in this section are bounded.

**3.3. Exact sequences.** For integers  $s \leq s' \leq t \leq t'$ , there is a natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, t+1, t') \rightarrow S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s', t') \rightarrow S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t) \rightarrow S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, s'-1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the morphism is the identity or zero at every degree. In particular, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t) \rightarrow S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, r, t) \rightarrow S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, r, s-1) \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.4)$$

for integers  $r \leq s \leq t$ . These exact sequences also hold for  $\Omega_X^{[s,t]}$ ,  $S^\bullet(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)$ ,  $T^\bullet(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)$ , etc. By (3.2) and (3.4), there is a long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{k-1}(X, \Omega_X^{[r, s-1]}(\mathcal{L})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s, t]}(\mathcal{L})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[r, t]}(\mathcal{L})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[r, s-1]}(\mathcal{L})) \longrightarrow \dots$$

**3.4. Operations.** Suppose that  $X$  is a complex manifold and  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}$  are local systems of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ -modules of rank  $l, h$  on  $X$  respectively.

For  $\alpha \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^p)$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^q)$ , we define the wedge product  $\alpha \wedge \beta \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p+q})$  as follows: Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{L}|_U$  and  $\mathcal{H}|_U$  are trivial. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_l$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_h$  be bases of  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{L})$  and  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{H})$ , respectively. Suppose that  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^l e_i \otimes \alpha_i$  and  $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^h f_j \otimes \beta_j$  on  $U$  respectively, where  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}^p(U)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$  and  $\beta_j \in \mathcal{A}^q(U)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq h$ . Then  $\alpha \wedge \beta$  on  $U$  is defined as

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq l \\ 1 \leq j \leq h}} e_i \otimes f_j \otimes (\alpha_i \wedge \beta_j).$$

This construction is global. We have

$$d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge d\beta,$$

which also holds if using  $\partial$  or  $\bar{\partial}$  instead of  $d$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q})$  satisfies that  $\partial\alpha = \bar{\partial}\alpha = 0$ , i.e.,  $d\alpha = 0$ . Then wedge products by  $\alpha$  give a morphism

$$\bullet \wedge \alpha : S^{\bullet, \bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t) \rightarrow S^{\bullet, \bullet}(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{H}, s+p, t+p)[p, q]$$

of double complexes of sheaves. This define a *cup product*

$$\cup : \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})) \times H_{BC}^{p,q}(X, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{k+p+q}(X, \Omega_X^{[s+p, t+p]}(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{H}))$$

for any  $k$ , where

$$H_{BC}^{p,q}(X, \mathcal{H}) := \frac{\text{Ker}(d : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p+q+1}))}{\partial\bar{\partial}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^{p-1, q-1})}$$

$H_{BC}^{p,q}(X, \mathcal{H})$  is the *twisted Bott-Chern cohomology* of  $X$ . We can obtain the same cup product by

$$\bullet \wedge \alpha : T^{\bullet, \bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t) \rightarrow T^{\bullet, \bullet}(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{H}, s+p, t+p)[p, q].$$

Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic map between complex manifolds. The pullbacks give a morphism

$$f^* : S^{\bullet, \bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t) \rightarrow S^{\bullet, \bullet}(Y, f^{-1}\mathcal{L}, s, t)$$

of double complexes, which induces a morphism  $f^* : \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(Y, \Omega_Y^{[s,t]}(f^{-1}\mathcal{L}))$  for any  $k$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is proper and  $r = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Y - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ , then the pushforwards give a morphism

$$f_* : T^{\bullet, \bullet}(Y, f^{-1}\mathcal{L}, s, t) \rightarrow T^{\bullet, \bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s-r, t-r)[-r, -r]$$

of double complexes, which induces a morphism  $f_* : \mathbb{H}^k(Y, \Omega_Y^{[s,t]}(f^{-1}\mathcal{L})) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{k-2r}(X, \Omega_X^{[s-r, t-r]}(\mathcal{L}))$  for any  $k$ . Similarly, these operations can also be defined on complexes  $S^\bullet(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)$  and  $T^\bullet(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)$ . By [8, (3.7)], we easily get

**Proposition 3.2** (Projection formula). *Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper holomorphic map between complex manifolds and  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}$  local systems of  $\mathbb{C}_X$ -modules of finite rank on  $X$ . Fix integers  $k, p, q, s, t$ . Then*

$$f_*(f^*\alpha \cup \beta) = \alpha \cup f_*\beta$$

for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L}))$  and  $\beta \in H_{BC}^{p,q}(Y, f^{-1}\mathcal{H})$ .

Recall that a complex manifold  $X$  is called  $p$ -Kählerian, if it admits a closed transverse positive  $(p, p)$ -form  $\Omega$  (see [1, Definition 1.1, 1.3]). In such case,  $\Omega|_Z$  is a volume form on  $Z$ , for any complex submanifold  $Z$  of pure dimension  $p$  of  $X$ . Any complex manifold is 0-Kählerian and any Kähler manifold  $X$  is  $p$ -Kählerian for every  $0 \leq p \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ . We generalize [15, Theorem 3.1(a)(b), 4.1(a)(b)][6, Propositions 2.3, 3.2][8, Proposition 3.10] as follows.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose that  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a proper surjective holomorphic map between complex manifolds and  $Y$  is  $r$ -Kählerian, where  $r = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Y - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a local system of  $\mathbb{C}_X$ -modules of finite rank on  $X$ . Then, for any  $k, s, t$ ,*

- (1)  $f^* : \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(Y, \Omega_Y^{[s,t]}(f^{-1}\mathcal{L}))$  is injective,
- (2)  $f_* : \mathbb{H}^k(Y, \Omega_Y^{[s,t]}(f^{-1}\mathcal{L})) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{k-2r}(X, \Omega_X^{[s-r, t-r]}(\mathcal{L}))$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega$  be a strictly positive closed  $(r, r)$ -form on  $Y$ . Then  $c = f_*\Omega$  is a closed current of degree 0, hence a constant. By Sard's theorem, the set  $X_0$  of regular values of  $f$  is nonempty. For any  $x \in X_0$ ,  $Y_x = f^{-1}(x)$  is a compact complex submanifold of pure dimension  $r$ , so  $c = \int_{Y_x} \Omega|_{Y_x} > 0$ . By the projection formula,  $f_*(f^*\alpha \cup [\Omega]_{BC}) = c \cdot \alpha$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L}))$ , where  $[\Omega]_{BC} \in H_{BC}^{r,r}(X)$  denotes the Bott-Chern class of  $\Omega$ . It is easy to deduce the proposition.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper surjective holomorphic map between complex manifolds with the same dimensions and  $\mathcal{L}$  a local system of  $\mathbb{C}_X$ -modules of finite rank on  $X$ . Then, for any  $k, s, t$*

- (1)  $f^* : \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(Y, \Omega_Y^{[s,t]}(f^{-1}\mathcal{L}))$  is injective,
- (2)  $f_* : \mathbb{H}^k(Y, \Omega_Y^{[s,t]}(f^{-1}\mathcal{L})) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L}))$  is surjective.

**3.5. A spectral sequence.** Associated to  $S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)$ , there is a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})), \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$E_1^{p,q}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t) = H^q(S^{p,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)) = \begin{cases} H^q(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^p), & s \leq p \leq t \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

It coincides with that associated to  $T^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)$  via the natural inclusion  $S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t) \rightarrow T^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)$ . We call it the *truncated twisted Frölicher spectral sequence* for  $(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)$ . The truncated twisted Frölicher spectral sequence for  $(X, \mathbb{C}_X, 0, n)$  is just the classical Frölicher (or Hodge-de Rham) spectral sequence.

If  $X$  is *compact*, one has an inequality

$$b^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})) \leq \sum_{\substack{p+q=k \\ s \leq p \leq t}} h^{p,q}(X, \mathcal{L}) \quad (3.7)$$



for any  $k$ , where  $b^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L}))$  and  $h^{p,q}(X, \mathcal{L}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^q(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^p)$ . The spectral sequence (3.5) degenerates at  $E_1$  if and only if the equalities (3.7) hold for all  $k$ .

#### 4. PROOFS OF MAIN THEOREMS

**4.1. Leray-Hirsch theorem.** A proof of Theorem 1.1 is given as follows.

*Proof.* Fix two integers  $s$  and  $t$ . Set

$$K^{\bullet, \bullet} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r S^{\bullet, \bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s - u_i, t - u_i)[-u_i, -v_i]$$

and  $L^{\bullet, \bullet} = S^{\bullet, \bullet}(E, \pi^{-1}\mathcal{L}, s, t)$ . By (3.6), we get the first pages

$$\begin{aligned} {}_K E_1^{p,q} &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^{q-v_i}(S^{p-u_i, \bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s - u_i, t - u_i)) \\ &= \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^{q-v_i}(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^{p-u_i}), & s \leq p \leq t \\ 0, & \text{others} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$${}_L E_1^{p,q} = \begin{cases} H^q(E, \pi^{-1}\mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_E^p), & s \leq p \leq t \\ 0, & \text{others} \end{cases}$$

of the spectral sequences associated to  $K^{\bullet, \bullet}$  and  $L^{\bullet, \bullet}$  respectively. By [8, Theorem 5.6 (2)], the morphism  $\sum_{i=1}^r \pi^*(\bullet) \wedge t_i : K^{\bullet, \bullet} \rightarrow L^{\bullet, \bullet}$  of double complexes induces an isomorphism  ${}_K E_1^{\bullet, \bullet} \rightarrow {}_L E_1^{\bullet, \bullet}$  at  $E_1$ -pages, hence induces an isomorphism  $H^k({}_K E_1^{\bullet, \bullet}) \rightarrow H^k({}_L E_1^{\bullet, \bullet})$  for any  $k$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} sK^{\bullet, \bullet} &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^r s(S^{\bullet, \bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s - u_i, t - u_i)[-u_i, -v_i]) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^r S^{\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s - u_i, t - u_i)[-u_i - v_i] \end{aligned}$$

and  $sL^{\bullet, \bullet} = S^{\bullet}(E, \pi^{-1}\mathcal{L}, s, t)$ . By (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(sK^{\bullet, \bullet}) &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^{k-u_i-v_i}(S^{\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s - u_i, t - u_i)) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{H}^{k-u_i-v_i}(X, \Omega_X^{[s-u_i, t-u_i]}(\mathcal{L})) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H^k(sL^{\bullet, \bullet}) = H^k(S^{\bullet}(E, \pi^{-1}\mathcal{L}, s, t)) \cong \mathbb{H}^k(E, \Omega_E^{[s,t]}(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{L})).$$

We complete the proof.  $\square$

We generalize [10, Proposition 3.3][7, Corollary 3.2][13, Proposition 5][2, Proposition 2][6, Corollary 4.7][9, Lemma 3.3] as follows.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $\pi : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$  be the projective bundle associated to a holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  on a complex manifold  $X$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  a local system of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ -modules of finite rank on  $X$ . Set  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}} E = r$ .*

(1) *There exists an isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \mathbb{H}^{k-2i}(X, \Omega_X^{[s-i, t-i]}(\mathcal{L})) \cong \mathbb{H}^k(\mathbb{P}(E), \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(E)}^{[s, t]}(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{L}))$$

for any  $k, s, t$ .

(2) *Suppose that  $X$  is compact and  $s \leq t$ . Then the truncated twisted Frölicher spectral sequence for  $(\mathbb{P}(E), \pi^{-1}\mathcal{L}, s, t)$  degenerates at  $E_1$ -page if and only if so do those for  $(X, \mathcal{L}, s - r + 1, t - r + 1), \dots, (X, \mathcal{L}, s, t)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in A^{1,1}(\mathbb{P}(E))$  be a first Chern form of the universal line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(-1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  and  $h = [u]_{\bar{\partial}} \in H_{\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{P}(E))$  its Dolbeault class. For every  $x \in X$ ,  $1, h, \dots, h^{r-1}$  restricted to the fibre  $\pi^{-1}(x) = \mathbb{P}(E_x)$  freely linearly generate  $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathbb{P}(E_x))$ . By Theorem 1.1, we get (1).

In general, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b^k(\mathbb{P}(E), \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(E)}^{[s, t]}(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{L})) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} b^{k-2i}(X, \Omega_X^{[s-i, t-i]}(\mathcal{L})) \quad (\text{by Corollary 4.1 (1)}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\substack{p+q=k-2i \\ s-i \leq p \leq t-i}} h^{p, q}(X, \mathcal{L}) \quad (\text{by (3.7)}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p+q=k \\ s \leq p \leq t}} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} h^{p-i, q-i}(X, \mathcal{L}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p+q=k \\ s \leq p \leq t}} h^{p, q}(\mathbb{P}(E), \pi^{-1}\mathcal{L}) \quad (\text{by [11, Lemma 3.3] or [8, Corollary 5.7(2)]}) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$b^k(\mathbb{P}(E), \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(E)}^{[s, t]}(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{L})) = \sum_{\substack{p+q=k \\ s \leq p \leq t}} h^{p, q}(\mathbb{P}(E), \pi^{-1}\mathcal{L}) \text{ for all } k,$$

if and only if,

$$b^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s-i, t-i]}(\mathcal{L})) = \sum_{\substack{p+q=k \\ s-i \leq p \leq t-i}} h^{p, q}(X, \mathcal{L}) \text{ for all } k \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq r-1.$$

Thus (2) follows.  $\square$

*Remark 4.2.* From the proof of [7, Corollary 5.7], we may similarly obtain the flag bundle formula of the hypercohomology of truncated twisted holomorphic de Rham complexes. Of course, its expression is much more sophisticated.

For an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $X$ , the inclusion  $\Omega_X^{[p, n]}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{[0, n]}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X)$  induces the Hodge filtration on  $H_{dR}^k(X, \mathbb{C})$  ([14, Definition 8.2]) as

$$F^p H_{dR}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Im}(\mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[p, n]}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[0, n]}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X)) = H_{dR}^k(X, \mathbb{C}))$$

for any  $k$  and  $p$ . By (3.2) and (3.3), the inclusions  $S^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, p, n) \rightarrow S^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, 0, n)$  and  $T^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, p, n) \rightarrow T^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, 0, n)$  induce

$$F^p H_{dR}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Im}(H^k(S^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, p, n)) \rightarrow H^k(S^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, 0, n))) \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$F^p H_{dR}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Im}(H^k(T^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, p, n)) \rightarrow H^k(T^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, 0, n))), \quad (4.2)$$

respectively.

**Corollary 4.3** ([9, Lemma 3.4]). *Let  $\pi : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$  be the projective bundle associated to a holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  on a complex manifold  $X$  and  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}} E = r$ . Suppose that  $u \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(\mathbb{P}(E))$  is a first Chern form of the universal line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(-1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}(E)$ . Then*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^*(\bullet) \wedge u^i$$

gives an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} F^{p-i} H_{dR}^{k-2i}(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^p H_{dR}^k(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{C}),$$

for any  $k, p$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(\mathbb{P}(E))$  be a first Chern form of the universal line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(-1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}(E)$ . Then  $\partial u = \bar{\partial} u = 0$ . Consider the commutative diagram of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} S^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, p-i, n+r-1-i)[-2i] & \xrightarrow{\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^*(\bullet) \wedge u^i} & S^\bullet(\mathbb{P}(E), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}, p, n+r-1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} S^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, -i, n+r-1-i)[-2i] & \xrightarrow{\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^*(\bullet) \wedge u^i} & S^\bullet(\mathbb{P}(E), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}, 0, n+r-1). \end{array}$$

Notice that  $S^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, p-i, n+r-1-i) = S^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, p-i, n)$  and  $S^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, -i, n+r-1-i) = S^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, 0, n)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ . Thus we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} H^{k-2i}(S^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, p-i, n)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^k(S^\bullet(\mathbb{P}(E), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}, p, n+r-1)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} H^{k-2i}(S^\bullet(X, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X, 0, n)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^k(S^\bullet(\mathbb{P}(E), \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}, 0, n+r-1)), \end{array}$$

where the two horizontal maps are isomorphisms by Corollary 4.1 (1). Consequently, we get the corollary by (4.1).  $\square$

**4.2. Künneth theorem.** Let  $X, Y$  be complex manifolds and let  $pr_1, pr_2$  be projections from  $X \times Y$  onto  $X, Y$ , respectively. For sheaves  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ - and  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_Y$ -modules on  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively, the *external tensor product* of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $X \times Y$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{H} = pr_1^{-1} \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{X \times Y}} pr_2^{-1} \mathcal{H}.$$

For coherent analytic sheaves  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ - and  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules on  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively, the *analytic external tensor product* of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X \times Y$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G} = pr_1^* \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}} pr_2^* \mathcal{G}.$$

Now, we verify Theorem 1.2.

*Proof.* Fix two integers  $s$  and  $t$ . Consider the double complexes

$$K^{\bullet, \bullet} = \bigoplus_{\substack{u+w=s \\ v+w=t}} ss(S^{\bullet, \bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, u, v) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S^{\bullet, \bullet}(Y, \mathcal{H}, w, w)),$$

$$L^{\bullet, \bullet} = S^{\bullet, \bullet}(X \times Y, \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{H}, s, t)$$

and a morphism  $f = pr_1^*(\bullet) \wedge pr_2^*(\bullet) : K^{\bullet, \bullet} \rightarrow L^{\bullet, \bullet}$ . The first pages of the spectral sequences associated to  $K^{\bullet, \bullet}$  and  $L^{\bullet, \bullet}$  are calculated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} {}_K E_1^{a,b} &= \bigoplus_{\substack{u+w=s \\ v+w=t}} \bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=a \\ k+l=b}} H^k(S^{p, \bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, u, v)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^l(S^{q, \bullet}(Y, \mathcal{H}, w, w)) \quad (\text{by Proposition 2.7 (2)}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=a \\ k+l=b}} \bigoplus_{\substack{u+w=s \\ v+w=t \\ u \leq p \leq v \\ w=q}} H^k(S^{p, \bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, u, v)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^l(S^{q, \bullet}(Y, \mathcal{H}, w, w)) \quad (\text{by (3.6)}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=a \\ k+l=b}} \bigoplus_{s-q \leq p \leq t-q} H^k(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^p) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^l(Y, \mathcal{H} \otimes \Omega_Y^q) \quad (\text{by (3.6)}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=a \\ k+l=b}} H^k(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^p) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^l(Y, \mathcal{H} \otimes \Omega_Y^q), & s \leq a \leq t \\ 0, & \text{others} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$${}_L E_1^{a,b} = \begin{cases} H^b(X \times Y, (\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{H}) \otimes \Omega_{X \times Y}^a), & s \leq a \leq t \\ 0, & \text{others} \end{cases} \quad (\text{by Proposition 2.7 (2) and (3.6)}) .$$

The morphism  $E_1^{a,b}(f) : {}_K E_1^{a,b} \rightarrow {}_L E_1^{a,b}$  at  $E_1$ -pages induced by  $f$  is just the cartesian product

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{p+q=a \\ k+l=b}} H^k(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^p) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^l(Y, \mathcal{H} \otimes \Omega_Y^q) \rightarrow H^b(X \times Y, (\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{H}) \otimes \Omega_{X \times Y}^a)$$

for  $s \leq a \leq t$  and the identity between zero spaces for other cases. Notice that  $(\mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^p) \boxtimes (\mathcal{H} \otimes \Omega_Y^q) = (\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{H}) \otimes (\Omega_X^p \boxtimes \Omega_Y^q)$  and  $\Omega_{X \times Y}^a = \bigoplus_{p+q=a} (\Omega_X^p \boxtimes \Omega_Y^q)$ . By [4, IX, (5.23) (5.24)],

$E_1^{a,b}(f)$  is an isomorphism for any  $a, b$ , so is the morphism  $H^k(sK^{\bullet,\bullet}) \rightarrow H^k(sL^{\bullet,\bullet})$  induced by  $f$  for any  $k$ . By Proposition 2.7 (1) and (3.2),

$$H^c(sK^{\bullet,\bullet}) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{a+b=c \\ u+w=s \\ v+w=t}} \mathbb{H}^a(X, \Omega_X^{[u,v]}(\mathcal{L})) \otimes \mathbb{H}^b(Y, \Omega_Y^{[w,t]}(\mathcal{H}))$$

and  $H^c(sL^{\bullet,\bullet}) \cong \mathbb{H}^c(X \times Y, \Omega_{X \times Y}^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{H}))$ . We conclude this theorem.  $\square$

**4.3. Poincaré-Serre duality theorem.** Let  $X$  be a complex manifold and  $\mathcal{L}$  a local system of  $\mathbb{C}_X$ -modules of rank  $l$  on  $X$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{L}^\vee = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C}_X)$  the dual of  $\mathcal{L}$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^p)$ , we construct  $tr(\gamma)$  as follows: Suppose that  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{L}|_U$  is trivial. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_l$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_l$  be bases of  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{L})$  and  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{L}^\vee)$ , respectively. Set  $\gamma = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq l \\ 1 \leq j \leq l}} e_i \otimes f_j \otimes \gamma_{ij}$  on  $U$ , where  $\gamma_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}^p(U)$  for any  $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ . Then  $tr(\gamma)$  on  $U$  is defined as  $\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq l \\ 1 \leq j \leq l}} \langle e_i, f_j \rangle \gamma_{ij}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the contraction between  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^\vee$ . This construction

is global. By the construction, the action  $tr$  on  $\gamma \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^p)$  is just contracting the parts of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^\vee$  and preserving the part of the form of  $\gamma$ , which was essentially defined in [12] (even in more general cases).

Assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a local system of  $\mathbb{C}_X$ -modules of finite rank on  $X$ . For  $\alpha \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^p)$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{A}_X^q)$ ,

$$d(tr(\alpha \wedge \beta)) = tr(d\alpha \wedge \beta) + (-1)^p tr(\alpha \wedge d\beta), \quad (4.3)$$

which also holds if using  $\partial$  or  $\bar{\partial}$  instead of  $d$ .

Theorem 1.3 is shown as follows.

*Proof.* Fix two integers  $s$  and  $t$ . Set

$$K^{\bullet,\bullet} = S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t) \text{ and } L^{\bullet,\bullet} = (S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}^\vee, n-t, n-s))^*[-n, -n].$$

By (3.6) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$${}_K E_1^{p,q} = \begin{cases} H^q(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^p), & s \leq p \leq t \\ 0, & \text{others} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} {}_L E_1^{p,q} &= H^{q-n}((S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}^\vee, n-t, n-s))^{*p-n,\bullet}) \\ &= H^{q-n}((S^{n-p,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}^\vee, n-t, n-s))^*) \\ &= \begin{cases} (H^{n-q}(X, \mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \Omega_X^{n-p}))^*, & s \leq p \leq t \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The map

$$\mathcal{D} : K^{\bullet,\bullet} \rightarrow L^{\bullet,\bullet}, \quad \alpha \mapsto \int_X tr(\alpha \wedge \bullet)$$

is a morphism of double complexes by (4.3). The morphism  $E_1^{p,q}(\mathcal{D}) : {}_K E_1^{p,q} \rightarrow {}_L E_1^{p,q}$  at  $E_1$ -pages induced by  $\mathcal{D}$  is just the Serre duality map  $H^q(X, \mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega_X^p) \rightarrow (H^{n-q}(X, \mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \Omega_X^{n-p}))^*$  for  $s \leq p \leq t$  and the identity between zero spaces for other cases. By Serre duality theorem,

$E_1^{p,q}(\mathcal{D})$  is an isomorphism for any  $p, q$ , so is  $H^k(sK^{\bullet,\bullet}) \rightarrow H^k(sL^{\bullet,\bullet})$  induced by  $\mathcal{D}$  for any  $k$ . By Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} sL^{\bullet,\bullet} &= (s(S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}^\vee, n-t, n-s)^*))[-2n] \\ &\cong S^{\bullet,\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}^\vee, n-t, n-s)^*[-2n]. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$H^k(sK^{\bullet,\bullet}) \cong \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{[s,t]}(\mathcal{L})) \text{ and } H^k(sL^{\bullet,\bullet}) \cong \left( \mathbb{H}^{2n-k}(X, \Omega_X^{[n-t, n-s]}(\mathcal{L}^\vee)) \right)^*,$$

from which Theorem 1.3 follows.  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.* Theorem 1.3 is the Poincare duality theorem if  $s = 0, t = n$  and a special case of the Serre duality theorem if  $s = t$ .

**4.4. Blowup formula.** Let  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be the blowup of a complex manifold  $X$  along a complex submanifold  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  a local system of  $\mathbb{C}_X$ -modules of finite rank on  $X$ . As we know,  $\pi|_E : E = \pi^{-1}(Y) \rightarrow Y$  can be naturally viewed as the projective bundle  $\mathbb{P}(N_{Y/X})$  associated to the normal bundle  $N_{Y/X}$  of  $Y$  in  $X$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(E)$  be a first Chern form of the universal line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_E(-1)$  on  $E \cong \mathbb{P}(N_{Y/X})$ . Suppose that  $i_Y : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $i_E : E \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  are the inclusions. Set  $r = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}} Y \geq 2$ .

By [8, Theorem 1.2], Theorem 1.4 can be similarly proved with Theorems 1.1. Now, we provide an alternative proof as follows.

*Proof.* Consider the complexes

$$K^{\bullet}(s, t) = S^{\bullet}(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} S^{\bullet}(Y, i_Y^{-1}\mathcal{L}, s-i, t-i)[-2i]$$

and  $L^{\bullet}(s, t) = T^{\bullet}(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1}\mathcal{L}, s, t)$  and the morphism

$$f(s, t) = \pi^* + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} i_{E*} \circ (u^{i-1} \wedge) \circ (\pi|_E)^* : K^{\bullet}(s, t) \rightarrow L^{\bullet}(s, t).$$

By (3.2) and (3.3), the left side and the right side of (1.1) are  $H^k(K^{\bullet}(s, t))$  and  $H^k(L^{\bullet}(s, t))$  respectively. Our goal is to show that  $H^k(f(s, t)) : H^k(K^{\bullet}(s, t)) \rightarrow H^k(L^{\bullet}(s, t))$  is an isomorphism for any  $s, t$ .

We may assume that  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . By (3.4), there is the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K^{\bullet}(s, t) & \longrightarrow & K^{\bullet}(0, t) & \longrightarrow & K^{\bullet}(0, s-1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f(s, t) & & \downarrow f(0, t) & & \downarrow f(0, s-1) & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{\bullet}(s, t) & \longrightarrow & L^{\bullet}(0, t) & \longrightarrow & L^{\bullet}(0, s-1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

of short exact sequences of complexes, which induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^{k-1}(K^{\bullet}(0, t)) & \longrightarrow & H^{k-1}(K^{\bullet}(0, s-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^k(K^{\bullet}(s, t)) & \longrightarrow & H^k(K^{\bullet}(0, t)) & \longrightarrow & H^k(K^{\bullet}(0, s-1)) \\ \downarrow H^{k-1}(f(s, t)) & & \downarrow H^{k-1}(f(0, s-1)) & & \downarrow H^k(f(s, t)) & & \downarrow H^k(f(0, t)) & & \downarrow H^k(f(0, s-1)) \\ H^{k-1}(L^{\bullet}(0, t)) & \longrightarrow & H^{k-1}(L^{\bullet}(0, s-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^k(L^{\bullet}(s, t)) & \longrightarrow & H^k(L^{\bullet}(0, t)) & \longrightarrow & H^k(L^{\bullet}(0, s-1)) \end{array} \quad (4.4)$$

of long exact sequences. By [8, Theorem 1.2],  $H^{\bullet}(f(t, t))$  is an isomorphism for any  $t$ . First, consider (4.4) for  $s = t$ . By the induction on  $t \geq 0$  and the five-lemma,  $H^{\bullet}(f(0, t))$  is an

isomorphism for any  $t \geq 0$ . Furthermore,  $H^\bullet(f(s, t))$  is an isomorphism for any  $s, t$ , by the five-lemma again in (4.4) for general cases. □

*Remark 4.5.* Theorem 1.4 for  $s = 0, t = n$  is just [3, Theorem 1] and the first formula of [8, Theorem 1.2], while the two proofs here are quite different from those there.

Following the proof of Corollary 4.1 (2), we generalize [10, Theorem 1.6][3, Corollary 2] as follows.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4, suppose that  $X$  is compact and  $s \leq t$ . Then the truncated twisted Frölicher spectral sequence for  $(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1}\mathcal{L}, s, t)$  degenerates at  $E_1$ -page if and only if so do those for  $(X, \mathcal{L}, s, t), (Y, i_Y^{-1}\mathcal{L}, s - r + 1, t - r + 1), \dots, (Y, i_Y^{-1}\mathcal{L}, s - 1, t - 1)$ .*

With the similar proof of Corollary 4.3, we easily get the Hodge filtration of a blowup as follows.

**Corollary 4.7** ([9, Lemma 3.7]). *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4,*

$$\pi^* + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} i_{E^*} \circ (u^{i-1} \wedge) \circ (\pi|_E)^*$$

*gives an isomorphism*

$$F^p H_{dR}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} F^{p-i} H_{dR}^{k-2i}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^p H_{dR}^k(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{C}),$$

*for any  $k, p$ .*

**4.5. A remark.** In the proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.3 and the first proof of Theorem 1.4, we use almost the same steps, that is, first proving that the morphisms between double complexes induce isomorphisms at  $E_1$ -pages and then obtaining their induced isomorphisms at  $H$ -pages, where we need the corresponding results (respectively, the Leray-Hirsch, Künneth, Serre duality theorems and the blowup formula) on the twisted Dolbeault cohomology in the former steps. In the second proof of Theorem 1.4, we use the blowup formula on the twisted Dolbeault cohomology and the five-lemma. So the twisted Dolbeault cohomology and some algebraic machines play significant roles in the research of the hypercohomology of truncated twisted holomorphic de Rham complexes. For the twisted Dolbeault cohomology, we refer to [8, 11, 15].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Alessandrini, L., Bassanelli, G.: Compact  $p$ -Kähler manifolds. *Geom. Dedicata* **38**, 199-210 (1991) 8
- [2] Angella, D., Suwa, T., Tardini, N., Tomassini, A.: Note on Dolbeault cohomology and Hodge structures up to bimeromorphisms. *ArXiv: 1712.08889v2*(25 March, 2019) 9
- [3] Chen, Y., Yang, S.: On the blow-up formula of twisted de Rham cohomology. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **56**, 277-290 (2019) 1, 2, 5, 6, 15
- [4] Demailly, J.-P.: *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/documents.html> 3, 4, 12

- [5] Meng, L.: Morse-Novikov cohomology for blow-ups of complex manifolds. ArXiv:1806.06622v4 (19 October, 2019) [2](#)
- [6] Meng, L.: Morse-Novikov cohomology on complex manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.* **30**, 493-510 (2020) [2](#), [8](#), [9](#)
- [7] Meng, L.: Leray-Hirsch theorem and blow-up formula for Dolbeault cohomology. To appear in *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10231-020-00953-y>. ArXiv:1806.11435v6 (2 February, 2020) [9](#), [10](#)
- [8] Meng, L.: Mayer-Vietoris systems and their applications. ArXiv:1811.10500v3 (17 April, 2019) [2](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#), [10](#), [14](#), [15](#)
- [9] Meng, L.: Three theorem on the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma. ArXiv:1905.13585v1 (31 May, 2019) [9](#), [11](#), [15](#)
- [10] Rao, S., Yang, S., Yang, X.-D.: Dolbeault cohomologies of blowing up complex manifolds. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **130**, 68-92 (2019) [9](#), [15](#)
- [11] Rao, S., Yang, S., Yang, X.-D.: Dolbeault cohomologies of blowing up complex manifolds II: bundle-valued case. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **133**, 1-38 (2020) [10](#), [15](#)
- [12] Serre, J.-P.: Un théorème de dualité. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **29**, 9-26 (1955) [13](#)
- [13] Stelzig, J.: The double complex of a blow-up. To appear in *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN.*, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnz139>. ArXiv:1808.02882v2 (3 June, 2019) [9](#)
- [14] Voisin, C.: *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry. Vol. I.* Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **76**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003 [6](#), [10](#)
- [15] Wells, R. O.: Comparison of de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology for proper surjective mappings. *Pacific J. Math.* **53**, 281-300 (1974) [8](#), [15](#)
- [16] Yang, X.-D., Zhao, G.: A note on the Morse-Novikov cohomology of blow-ups of locally conformal Kähler manifolds. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **91**, 155-166 (2015) [2](#)
- [17] Zou, Y.: On the Morse-Novikov cohomology of blowing up complex manifolds. ArXiv:1907.13336v1 (31 July, 2019) [2](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH UNIVERSITY OF CHINA, TAIYUAN, SHANXI 030051, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* menglingxu@nuc.edu.cn