

Long-lived Nonlinear Oscillatory States in Interacting Relativistic Bose-Einstein Condensates

Leone Di Mauro Villari, Ian Galbraith, and Fabio Biancalana

*Institute of Photonics and Quantum Sciences, School of Engineering and Physical Sciences,
SUPA, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, UK.*

We study a mean field model for the dynamics of an interacting Bose-Einstein condensate in two dimensional pseudo-relativistic materials. This model is relatively simple, but contains long-lived solutions called oscillons which are absent in simple non-relativistic condensates. We report on a variety of scenarios including interactions between pairs of oscillons and oscillons propagating across an inhomogeneous material boundary. Hitherto relativistic oscillons have been studied only in high energy physics and cosmology and their relevance has not been highlighted so far in condensed matter physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Many decades after the theoretical prediction of Bose and Einstein, Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) were experimentally detected in laser-cooled, magnetically-trapped ultracold bosonic atomic clouds¹⁻³. More recently, BECs have also been seen in fermionic atomic gases as a result of fermions pairing into bosons¹². An interesting and widely studied example of fermions pairing are the excitonic bound states of electron and holes in semiconductors. The possibility for semiconductor excitons to undergo Bose-Einstein condensation has been suggested long ago, at the beginning of the sixties⁵. As the critical temperature for elementary boson condensation scales as the inverse of the boson mass, it was thought that exciton condensation could be obtained at 100 K or even at room temperature, the exciton mass being much less than the free electron mass. The experimental search for the condensed phase, however, turned out to be challenging mostly due to the fact that excitons are not an elementary but a composite boson with a finite lifetime⁶. Despite these difficulties signatures of exciton condensation have been reported in double quantum wells⁷⁻¹⁰, microcavities¹¹, graphene¹² and transition metal dichalcogenides¹³. It is known that excitons and exciton-polaritons show a BEC-like insulating phase, that has been the subject of promising theoretical and experimental investigation mainly in graphene-like real¹⁴⁻¹⁶ and synthetic¹⁷ lattices as well as in topological insulators¹⁸. This BEC-like phase is particularly interesting from an experimental and theoretical point of view since it presents the crossover behaviour from the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) limit to the Bose-Einstein condensation limit. Recently exciton condensates with superfluid transport properties have been observed in double bilayer graphene¹⁹ and Van der Waals heterobilayers²⁰. Due to the pseudo-relativistic behavior of low energy quasiparticles in a honeycomb lattice one may wonder what are the relevant properties of the dynamics in the condensed phase. Moreover the relevance of relativistic BECs has been recently pointed out in gases with both electron and hole pairing. Relativity comes into play as those two composite bosons form a

particle antiparticle pair³. The boson-boson interaction in relativistic BECs could be potentially used to experimentally mimic field theory in condensed matter. It is also a promising system for analogue model of gravity²¹.

In this paper, starting from the dispersion of excitons in Dirac-like materials, we derive and investigate a simple, but flexible mean field model that can describe the dynamics of the condensed phase in different physical scenarios. Central to this model is a relativistic generalisation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE), i.e a nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation. In Section II we derive the exciton dispersion relation, using a two particle model. In section III we study the condensate phase of the exciton and derive the mean field model. In Section IV we investigate the properties of a non-stationary, but localised solution of the model, known as an oscillon. In Sections V and VI we study the oscillon dynamics in more complicated scenarios: when they interact with each other and with a localized defect, and, when two materials with different energy gap are in contact. Until now the oscillon solutions of relativistic field theories have been studied only in high energy physics and cosmology, in particular for self-interacting scalar fields and in the $SU(2)$ Higgs model²². Oscillon solutions exist also in non-relativistic BECs, but their mathematical structure is different. In such systems, in order to stabilise oscillating solutions, one needs either consider coupled equations, or apply some sort of external perturbation. A discussion of non-relativistic oscillon solutions in BECs can be found in^{23,24}. In these papers the authors consider coupled BECs²³ and a system confined in a trap with oscillating walls²⁴. Oscillons in nonlinear and parametrically driven systems have been studied and experimentally observed in fluids such as granular media²⁵, Newtonian fluids²⁶ and colloidal suspensions²⁷. The formation and interaction of oscillons have been the subject of several theoretical, numerical, and experimental studies. However, a number of open problems remains, mostly related to their stability and to the transition between radiative and non-radiative oscillons. Recent papers studying parametrically driven systems in one dimension focussed on the transition between localised structures to breathing localised states^{28,29}. In two dimensions oscillon

instabilities have been numerically studied in magnetic systems³⁰ and in the parametrically driven damped sine-Gordon equation³¹.

II. EXCITON DISPERSION IN DIRAC MATERIALS

The Hamiltonian describing the low energy behavior of Dirac quasi-particles around one K point of the reciprocal honeycomb lattice reads

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta/2 & vpe^{i\theta} \\ vpe^{-i\theta} & -\Delta/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where Δ is the energy gap and v the Fermi velocity, $p = \hbar k$ the modulus of the electron momentum and $\theta = \arctan(p_y/p_x)$. Such dispersion is appropriate to describe low energy electrons in gapped graphene and, with certain limitations, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs). Assuming zero center of mass momentum for excited $e - h$ pairs, the two particle Hamiltonian, without Coulomb interaction is given by the tensor product $H_2 = H_1 \otimes I_2 - I_2 \otimes (TH_1T^{-1})$, with I_2 the 2×2 identity matrix and T the time reversal operator. It reads

$$H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & vpe^{i\theta} & vpe^{-i\theta} & 0 \\ vpe^{-i\theta} & \Delta & 0 & vpe^{-i\theta} \\ vpe^{i\theta} & 0 & -\Delta & vpe^{i\theta} \\ 0 & vpe^{i\theta} & vpe^{-i\theta} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

and this matrix has four eigenvalues: $\pm 2\sqrt{v^2p^2 + \Delta^2/4}$ and a doubly degenerate zero eigenvalue¹⁵. The zero-energy eigenstates correspond to the cases when the system has a single electron or a hole with its complementary particle in the negative energy sea^{15,32}.

III. CONDENSATE STATES OF EXCITONS

To study condensed phases in a Dirac material, the Hamiltonian in equation (2) needs to be modified to include Coulomb interactions¹⁵. They can be introduced by deriving a set of renormalised Dirac-Bloch equation^{16,33-36}. When electrons and holes have the same mass, the Hamiltonian in equation (2) can be block diagonalised³². We set up the bands in such a way that zero energy is located half way between their extrema. This results in a reduced electron-hole Hamiltonian¹⁵

$$H_E = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta/2 & vqe^{i\theta_{\mathbf{q}}} \\ vqe^{-i\theta_{\mathbf{q}}} & -\Delta/2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

where $q = |\mathbf{q}|$ is the modulus of the exciton's momentum in the centre of mass frame and $\theta_{\mathbf{q}}$ the related angle¹⁵. After introducing Coulomb interaction the eigenvalue problem for the Hamiltonian H_E can be solved analytically giving the exciton energies and wave-functions^{36,37}.

The energy levels of the exciton series are given by

$$E_{n,j} = \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha_c^2}{(n+\gamma)^2}}}, \quad (4)$$

where n is the principal quantum number and $\gamma = \sqrt{j^2 - \alpha_c^2}$ with $j = m + 1/2$ being the eigenvalue of the pseudospin-angular momentum. The constant α_c is the dimensionless Coulomb coupling strength and the spinor wavefunction is of the form

$$\vec{\Psi}_{n,j}(\mathbf{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{n,j}(\mathbf{q}) \\ \pm i\chi_{n,j}(\mathbf{q}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

From equation (4) we can observe that if the coupling constant exceeds the critical value ($\alpha_c = \frac{1}{2}$), the ground state energy becomes imaginary and a phase transition to an excitonic insulator occurs¹⁶. The excitonic insulator state is a BCS-like condensate of excitons that can show a BCS-BEC crossover at low density³⁸. It can be regarded as a coherent superposition of the non-interacting ground-state and all exciton states with vanishing real part of the lowest energy level $E_{0,\frac{1}{2}}$ ¹⁶. This state is more complicated than a normal BEC since at strong Coulomb coupling the quasiparticle picture becomes less accurate and many-body theory may be needed. A description of this state at the mean field level at low density is presented in Ref. 39. In what follows we focus on the low Coulomb interaction regime $\alpha_c < 1/2$ where the use of the excitonic limit is more appropriate. In this regime the ground state for the excitons is the normal $1s$ state and the system can undergo a phase transition to a BEC state when cooled below a critical temperature T_c . For exciton systems this temperature can be around 100 K or higher⁶. A detailed description of the macroscopic coherent ground state of an exciton condensate is given in chapter 2 of Ref. 47. We shall now derive the mean field model that describes the dynamics of the condensate state. We consider X^0 -type excitons only, with spin and pseudo-spin both equal to zero.

In the low density limit the system can be seen as a weakly interacting Bose gas of excitons. The non-interacting, first quantised, Hamiltonian of a pseudo-relativistic gas of bosons reads

$$H_0 = \sqrt{\hbar^2 v^2 \hat{k}^2 + m^2 v^4}, \quad (6)$$

where \hat{k} is the momentum operator and $m = \hbar^2(d^2\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}}/dk^2)^{-1} = \Delta/(4v^2)$ is the exciton effective mass with $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}}$ being the exciton dispersion. In relativistic quantum mechanics Dirac proved the equivalence between the ill defined operator H_0 and Hamiltonian H_E for spin-1/2 massive particles. The same equivalence can be established also for scalar particles by regarding the scalar wave-field as a doublet. A detailed explanation of this can be found in section four of Ref. 41.

To simplify our treatment we approximate the exciton-exciton interaction with a hard sphere potential^{43,47},

$$\mathcal{U}(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) = N \frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{mL_{\text{eff}}} a_B \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (7)$$

where a_B is the $1s$ exciton Bohr radius, L_{eff} the effective thickness of the monolayer and N the number of particles. The use of this approximation for the interaction potential is justified within the low density limit ($n_{ex}a_B^2 \ll 1$). In other words since the excitons are neutral, when the density is low enough to ignore the fermionic nature of the electron-hole pairs, one can assume that the range of interactions is of the order of the exciton Bohr radius.

Within this approximation we can compute the field Hamiltonian from H_0 and the interacting potential in equation (7). We define first the exciton creation operator, in one of the two equivalent K -points, using the spinor in equation (5)

$$\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} (\varphi(\mathbf{q}) + i\chi(\mathbf{q})) \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger, \quad (8)$$

where $\{\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}\}$ are ladder operator for electrons and holes. More details on the definition of the exciton operator are given in Appendix A. We drop the (n, j) indices since we are considering condensed excitons in the ground state. The field operator for this type of pseudo-relativistic scalar excitons is written as

$$\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}}}} (\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}}t)} + \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}}t)}), \quad (9)$$

where A the area of the layer. We can now use this field operator and equations (6) and (7) to compute the field Hamiltonian as

$$H(\hat{\phi}) = \int d^2r \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{r}) \left(i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H_0 \right)^2 \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^2r d^2r' \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{r}') \mathcal{U}(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{r}'), \quad (10)$$

where we have squared the linear term, a standard procedure in high energy physics to derive the Klein-Gordon Hamiltonian from the pseudo-differential operator H_0 . After some algebra we obtain a pseudo-relativistic generalisation of the GPE²¹,

$$H(\hat{\phi}) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2r \left[\hbar^2 \hat{\phi}_t^2 + \hbar^2 v^2 (\nabla \hat{\phi})^2 + m^2 v^4 \hat{\phi}^2 + 2U_0 \hat{\phi}^4 \right]. \quad (11)$$

Here $U_0 = N4\pi\hbar^2 m v^4 a_B / L_{eff}$, and this nonlinear coupling constant has dimensions of energy cubed \times area. The Hamiltonian in equation (11) is Lorentz invariant with velocity v , the Fermi velocity of the carriers. The mismatch between the Fermi velocity and the speed of light will break the Lorentz invariance when the system is coupled with an external electromagnetic field. We should expect the same symmetry breaking, at high density, beyond the validity of the excitonic limit. In this case the Fermi nature of electrons and holes is relevant and the dipole interaction would again break Lorentz invariance. Hamiltonians in equations (1) and (3) are first order in the low momentum $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})$ expansion around

the K -point. It is worth to stress here that higher order terms, such as trigonal warping and electron-hole asymmetry, can break Lorentz invariance. It has been shown, however, that these distortions of the band structure are negligible in graphene and many graphene-like systems thus the Dirac quasi-particle picture is appropriate in many realistic situations. This makes the derivation of equation (11) consistent with real world experiments⁴².

In terms of ladder operators the Hamiltonian in equation (11) can be written as (see Appendix B),

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{U_0}{2A} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{p}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{l}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{l}+\mathbf{p}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}}}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{l}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{l}+\mathbf{p}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}}, \quad (12)$$

where the second term is the standard four field interaction commonly used to model the condensed phase of an interacting gas of excitons in the structureless particle approximation⁴⁷, namely when we can neglect the fermionic nature of the electron-hole pairs. The energy dependent pre-factor comes from the normalisation of the exciton scalar field. When the bosons are in a condensate state, it is then possible to describe the dynamics of the condensate at the mean-field level by performing the substitution $\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rightarrow \phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$: the order parameter ϕ satisfies then the classical equation

$$\square \phi - \mu^2 \phi - \tilde{U}_0 \phi^3 = 0, \quad (13)$$

with $\mu = mv/\hbar$, $\tilde{U}_0 = U_0/\hbar^2 v^2$ and we adopted the standard definition for the flat space box operator

$$\square = -\frac{1}{v^2} \partial_t^2 + \nabla^2, \quad (14)$$

with the Fermi velocity v . Equation (13), in $2 + 1$ -dimensions has non-stationary localised solutions called oscillons^{22,44-46}. Oscillons are metastable solutions with a very long lifetime that depends critically on the initial condition⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶. The longevity of the oscillon lifetime has been extensively reported in many numerical studies^{22,44,46} along with their soliton-like properties^{44,45}. In the following sections we shall review some of these properties and explore further aspects of these solutions. Before proceeding to the solution of equation (13) we shall give a brief summary on its validity. Being a generalisation of the GPE, equation (13) retains its limitations, the exciton-exciton four fields interaction is valid either in the diluted regime in consideration here, or in the opposite limit (high density) when the coupling is very weak $U_0 \approx 1/N$, where $N \gg 1$ is the number of excitons. Moreover this formulation holds when finite temperature effects are negligible. We note that a finite temperature may be included in the mean field picture with a simple modification of equation (11)⁴⁷.

IV. OSCILLONS IN RELATIVISTIC BECS

In this section we first review the emergence of oscillons in the NLKE in equation (13)^{44–46}. We then discuss the relation between the dispersion term and the oscillon's formation. To do so we introduce a system of scaled space and time variables given by

$$\xi = \frac{x}{x_0}, \quad \eta = \frac{y}{y_0}, \quad \tau = \frac{t \Delta}{\hbar}, \quad (15)$$

and define $r_0 = \sqrt{x_0^2 + y_0^2}$. x_0 and y_0 are chosen appropriately for the initial conditions of the problem and for our purposes we always choose $x_0 = y_0$. In these variables equation (13) reads

$$\partial_\tau^2 \psi - \beta(\partial_\xi^2 + \partial_\eta^2)\psi + \psi + \psi^3 = 0, \quad (16)$$

where $\beta = 8[\hbar v/(r_0 \Delta)]^2$, and the dimensionless field $\psi(\xi, \eta, \tau)$ is defined as

$$\psi = 4\hbar v \sqrt{\frac{N\pi a_B}{L_{\text{eff}} \Delta}} \phi. \quad (17)$$

Equation (16) has been solved using both a pseudo-spectral implicit method and a finite difference leapfrog algorithm⁴⁴. In what follows we present the results for a gapped graphene sample $\Delta = 0.2 \text{ eV}$, $v = c/300$ and $\beta = 1$, which implies $r_0 = 9.3 \text{ nm}$. Figure 1 shows the dynamics of the modulus square of the field ψ when the initial state comprises a uniform condensate background with a gaussian shaped hole at the origin, i.e.

$$\psi(\xi, \eta) = A_0 \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{\sigma^2}} \right), \quad (18)$$

with $A_0 = 1$ and $\sigma = 2.86$ which is chosen so that the oscillon solution is maximally metastable^{44,45}, i.e. it has the maximal lifetime. This means that in this configuration the nonlinearity best compensates the dispersion. We note that a satisfactory explanation for the metastability of oscillon solutions is still missing. There is not an obvious relation between the long lifetime of the oscillon and the symmetries of the Hamiltonian. This is due to the fact that the NLKGE is not integrable and thus there is no direct link between its solutions and conservation laws. However we know that in non-relativistic systems localised solution with periodic oscillations are not attractors of the dynamic unless one considers more complicated systems^{23,24}, as we have mentioned in the introduction. This suggests that the pseudo-relativistic nature of the Hamiltonian plays an important role in the formation of the long-living oscillon. There have been attempts in the literature to relate the long lifetime with adiabatic invariance, but this approach just takes into account very weak nonlinearities⁴⁸. It was also proposed, but without a rigorous proof, that a Lyapunov exponent governs the power law of the oscillon lifetime⁴⁶.




FIG. 1: Dynamics of the modulus square of the field, in time, after subtracting the background. (a) The initial oscillon state, (b) the first collapse, (c) and (d) the first revival. The first snapshot is at $\tau = 24$ after the initial transient has quenched.

In figure 1 we show the dynamics of the square modulus of the oscillon field, after subtracting the background (A_0), we can see after one period the original peak is fully recovered. These oscillating dynamics are quite resilient, as we can see in figure 2(a), even if it suffers from a weak breathing effect due to the non-integrability of the system. This is in agreement with previous results in the literature^{44–46}. In contrast in figure 2(b) we can see the propagation of a dispersive solution ($A_0 = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$). Our numerical simulations, as well as previous results^{44–46}, show that the lifetime of the solution depends critically on the initial condition and it is determined by the standard deviation σ of the gaussian ansatz. A deeper understanding of why for specific values of σ the dynamics evolves into an oscillon, would come from a rigorous exploration of the metastability of these solutions.

It is interesting to study the effect of the dispersion on the dynamics of the oscillon, by varying the width of the initial gaussian hole. In figure 3 we can see how the dispersion mostly affects the early stage of the dynamics. When we increase r_0 the dispersion term becomes less important and the formation of the oscillon is considerably delayed, as we can clearly see from figure 3(b) and 3(c). In figure 3(d) the dynamics completely changes, as the dispersion becomes completely negligible, and the field at $(0, 0)$ oscillates only slightly around the minimum ($\psi = 0$) - note the axis scale change. The oscillations of the background instead are driven by the amplitude of the field only, as expected in the strong nonlinear limit




FIG. 2: (a) Oscillon field in time domain at $(\xi, \eta) = (0, 0)$ for $A_0 = 1$ and $\sigma = 2.86$. (b) Dispersive solution at $(\xi, \eta) = (0, 0)$ for $A_0 = 1$ and $\sigma = 1$.




FIG. 3: Early stage dynamics of the oscillon field at $(\xi, \eta) = (0, 0)$ for decreasingly dispersive (increasing r_0 hence decreasing β) cases. (a) $r_0 = 9.3$ nm (hence $\beta = 1$), (b) $r_0 = 29.4$ nm ($\beta = 10^{-1}$), (c) $r_0 = 93.2$ nm ($\beta = 10^{-2}$), (d) $r_0 = 29.4$ μm ($\beta = 10^{-7}$).

(see figure 4).

In figure 4 we can see that the oscillon's frequency increases with the strength of the initial field, that also defines the strength of the nonlinearity. This is a well known nonlinear effect called self-phase modulation.

To inform experimental considerations of this system, it is important to estimate some relevant physical quantities. The period of the oscillon is particularly significant because excitons are not simple bosons but composite quasi-particles with a finite lifetime and a period longer than that would be impossible to observe. This quantity can be computed from figure 1 and the scaling relation equation (15), we get $T = 20$ fs that is well below the exciton lifetime in two dimensional materials that is of the order of few picoseconds up to 150 ps⁴⁹. Our model relies on the assumption of the low density limit regime, thus, an important quantity is the exciton density. From the interaction strength U_0 we can calculate the density




FIG. 4: (a) Dynamics of the oscillon for two condensate densities $A_0 = 1$ (blue), $A_0 = 2$ (orange) (b) Oscillations of the background for the dispersionless equation again for $A_0 = 1$ (blue), $A_0 = 2$ (orange).

for an oscillon with initial amplitude $A_0 = 1$ and radius $r_0 = 9.3$ nm, as $n_{ex} = 3.16 \times 10^{11}$ cm^{-2} which, combined with the exciton Bohr radius, gives $n_{ex} a_B^2 = 0.16$ confirming the low density requirement is met. In particular for a system with a 0.2 eV gap and Coulomb coupling constant $\alpha_c = 0.47$ we have a 1s state binding energy $\epsilon_b = 0.07$ eV and Bohr radius $a_B = 6.68$ nm.

V. OSCILLON INTERACTIONS

In nonlinear partial differential equations such as equation (16) specific behaviours arise from the subtle interplay between nonlinearity and dispersion. In most case this is a matter of the specific numbers involved and in this section and the next we illustrate two scenarios namely oscillon collisions and the effect of heterostructures on oscillons. The underlying physics in both cases is essentially the same as is explained as in the first sections on oscillon physics.

We review first the collision of two identical oscillons⁴⁴ moving along the diagonal then we study the interaction between the oscillon and a defect. In the case of collision the initial state reads

$$\psi(\xi, \eta) = A_0 \left(2 - e^{-\frac{(\xi-\xi_0)^2 + (\eta-\eta_0)^2}{\sigma^2}} - e^{-\frac{(\xi-\xi_1)^2 + (\eta-\eta_1)^2}{\sigma^2}} \right). \quad (19)$$

As shown in figure 5 the soliton-like behaviour of the solution is preserved after the collision. It is also interesting to see how the oscillons interact with a defect of the condensate. This can be simulated by adding a loss term, proportional to the first time-derivative of the field. Equation (16) is modified as follows

$$\partial_\tau^2 \psi - \beta(\partial_\xi^2 + \partial_\eta^2) \psi + \psi + \psi^3 + \Gamma(\xi, \eta) \partial_\tau \psi = 0, \quad (20)$$

and we consider a gaussian defect of the form⁵¹

$$\Gamma(\xi, \eta) = \Gamma_0 \exp \left(-\frac{(\xi - \xi_d)^2 - (\eta - \eta_d)^2}{\sigma_d^2} \right), \quad (21)$$

where Γ_0 is the strength of the damping and $\{\xi_d, \eta_d\}$ the coordinates of the defect. In figure 6 we observe that




FIG. 5: Scattering of two oscillons of initial amplitude $A_0 = 1$, $\sigma = 2.86$ and initial speed $\tilde{v}_0 = 0.5$. (a) Initial state of the oscillon (b) separation of positive and negative energies, (c) collision time, (d) final state after collision. Here with $\tilde{\psi}$ we indicate the field after subtracting the background.




FIG. 6: Interaction of a single oscillon with a gaussian shaped defect located at $(\xi, \eta) = (0, 0)$ and $\Gamma_0 = 50, \sigma_d = 10^{-2}$. (a) Early stage of the dynamics: separation of positive and negative energies. (b) Interaction with the defect, (c) and (d) late stage of the dynamics. The inset in (a) is the initial state of the oscillon $A_0 = 1$ and $\tilde{v}_0 = 0.5$.

the oscillon is resilient even to non-perturbative damping ($\Gamma_0 \gg 1$).

The scaled initial speed \tilde{v}_0 we used in in this section corresponds to a velocity $v_0 = 0.5v$. Solitons with a velocity close to the local speed of sound are known to be experimentally more stable and easier to produce in

atomic non-relativistic systems. This would be a very interesting aspect to study experimentally in pseudo-relativistic materials where the role of the local speed of sound is played by the Fermi velocity v . Recently it has been proposed an experiment with controllable near-zero soliton velocity in atomic BEC⁵⁰. It would be very interesting, ideally with a set up that is able to control the oscillon velocity, to study both the low-velocity and in principle also the ultra-relativistic limit $v_0 = v$.

VI. CONDENSATES IN HETEROLAYERS

We now investigate BECs spanning two connected Dirac material slabs with different energy gaps (Δ_1 and Δ_2) requiring an adapted version of equation (16). To do so we introduce a scaled time as $\tau = t\Delta_1/\hbar$. This leads to a dimensionless equation that has the same form as equation (16) on the side with energy gap Δ_1 and mass $m_1 = \Delta_1/4v^2$, and that depends on the ratio between two different energy gaps $\Delta_2/\Delta_1 = m_2/m_1$ on the other side:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau^2 \psi - \beta(\partial_\xi^2 + \partial_\eta^2)\psi + \gamma^2(\xi)\psi + \gamma(\xi)\psi^3 &= 0 \\ \psi(\xi, \eta, 0) &= \psi_0(\xi, \eta) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $\beta = 8[\hbar v/(r_0 \Delta_1)]^2$ and the scaled field ψ is defined as in equation (17) with $\Delta = \Delta_1$. The space dependent coefficient $\gamma(\xi)$ is defined as follows

$$\begin{cases} \gamma(\xi) = 1 & \xi < 0, \\ \gamma(\xi) = \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_1} & \xi > 0. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

We take first the simplest case of a constant background, $\psi_0(\xi, \eta) = \psi_0$. As we can see from figure 7, travelling waves are generated by scattering at the boundary between the two layers and propagate throughout the two sides of the condensate. The dynamics of these waves is straightforwardly understood using a multiple scale perturbative analysis of equation (22) limited to one side of the heterolayer (See Appendix C for details). In figure 8 we show the motion of an oscillon located initially in the condensate with energy gap Δ_1 . The stability of the oscillon dynamics is not particularly influenced by the presence of the second condensate after the splitting of positive and negative energies. The oscillon moves through the second condensate and starts oscillating with a higher frequency proportional to the second gap Δ_2 as we show in figure 9. From figure 9 we can compute the frequency (in eV) of the first harmonics of the oscillons on both sides of the condensate. We get $\omega_1 = 0.041$ eV and $\omega_2 = 0.068$ eV. Those values are significantly lower than the two energy gap (Δ_1 and Δ_2) in the two sides of the heterolayer, this is because we are studying the dynamics of the system at a relatively early stage, between $\tau = 10$ and $\tau = 200$, when the combined effect of the dispersion and the nonlinearity is still strong. For long time propagation the value of the frequency approaches the one of

ties with oscillating walls. Materials that show a BEC phase with pseudo-relativistic dispersion relation could represent an interesting optical analogue platform to experimentally mimic field theories.

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

LDMV acknowledges support from the EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Condensed Matter Physics (grant EP/G03673X/1) and thanks Dr. David N. Carvalho (NORDITA) for useful early discussions.

Appendix A: Wannier equation and the exciton operator

In this appendix we give more details on the Wannier equation for excitons in pseudo-relativistic materials. Assuming the non-interacting ground state, the linear response Dirac-Bloch equation for the polarisation $P_{\mathbf{k}}$ is given by^{16,33–36}

$$i\hbar\dot{P}_{\mathbf{k}} = 2(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} P_{\mathbf{k}} - \hbar\Omega^R(t), \quad (24)$$

where $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the electron and hole pseudo-relativistic dispersion and $\Omega^R(t)$ is the Coulomb-renormalised Rabi frequency. The related Wannier equation (electron-hole Coulomb problem) reads^{15,16,53}

$$2\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} u_{n,j}(\mathbf{k}) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} u_{n,j}(\mathbf{k}) = E_{n,j} u_{n,j}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (25)$$

this equation corresponds to the k -space representation of the Dirac-Coulomb problem^{15,16,37,53}

$$(2\hbar v\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k} + \Delta\sigma_z + V(\mathbf{r}))\vec{\Psi}_{n,j}(\mathbf{r}) = E_{n,j}\vec{\Psi}_{n,j}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (26)$$

where $\vec{\Psi}_{n,j}(\mathbf{r})$ is a two components spinor. Equations (25) and (26) have in principle both positive and negative energy solutions. When we consider X^0 paraexcitons they are simply the complex conjugate of each other. The positive energy solutions of equation (25) are given by¹⁶

$$u_j(\mathbf{k}) = \varphi_j(\mathbf{k}) + i\chi_j(\mathbf{k}), \quad (27)$$

where $\varphi_j(\mathbf{k})$ and $i\chi_j(\mathbf{k})$ are the spinor components in k -space, where we dropped the index n and we fixed the pseudospin-angular momentum $j = \pm 1/2$. The exciton creation operator can be written as

$$\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger = \sum_{\mathbf{q},j} u_j(\mathbf{q}) \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},-j}^\dagger. \quad (28)$$

Appendix B: The pseudo-relativistic Hamiltonian in momentum space

In this second appendix we show how to relate the pseudo-relativistic Hamiltonian in equation (11) with the

momentum space Hamiltonian in equation (12) of the main text. It is easier to deal with the interacting and non-interacting terms separately. We first rewrite the linear Hamiltonian H_0

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2r [\hbar^2 \hat{\phi}_t^2 + \hbar^2 v^2 (\nabla \hat{\phi})^2 + m^2 v^4 \hat{\phi}^2], \quad (29)$$

using the expansion in terms of exciton ladder operators

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}}}} (\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t)} + \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t)}), \quad (30)$$

substituting this expression in equation (29), after lengthy but simple algebra⁵⁴ we get

$$H_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (31)$$

Let us now look at the interaction Hamiltonian

$$H_I = U_0 \int d^2r \hat{\phi}^4. \quad (32)$$

After normal ordering, H_I contains the following generic terms

$$(\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}})^4, (\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger)^4, \hat{c}_{\mathbf{1}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{1}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}, \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{1}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad (33)$$

One can see that the first four terms of the list above are associated with field components [see equation (30)] that rotate as $e^{-4i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t)}$, $e^{+4i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega_{\mathbf{k}}t)}$, $e^{i(1+\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{r}-i(\omega_1+\omega_{\mathbf{p}}+\omega_{\mathbf{q}}-\omega_{\mathbf{k}})t}$ and $e^{-i(1+\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{r}+i(\omega_1+\omega_{\mathbf{p}}+\omega_{\mathbf{q}}-\omega_{\mathbf{k}})t}$ respectively. In these terms, due to conservation of energy and momentum, the exponents never vanish in scattering processes from a hard field potential. It is thus clear that the fifth term is in general the dominant one since the other terms will be spatially and temporally averaged out during the evolution of the condensate. We therefore retain only the last term $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{1}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{p}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{q}}$ in the normal-ordered Hamiltonian (equation (32)). This procedure is standard, and is conventionally used when treating non-relativistic Hamiltonians⁴⁷. Considering the fifth term only we obtain the momentum conserving interaction Hamiltonian in momentum space

$$H_I = \frac{U_0}{2A} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{l},\mathbf{p}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{l}}\mathcal{E}_{1+\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}}}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{l}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{1+\mathbf{p}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}}. \quad (34)$$

Appendix C: Multiple scale perturbation theory for the NLKGE

In this appendix, we introduce the multiple scale method for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation to show how the travelling waves propagates in a heterolayer condensate. For simplicity we limit our analysis on one side of the condensate since the dynamics is the same on both sides.

$$\partial_\tau^2 \psi - (\partial_\xi^2 + \partial_\eta^2) \psi + m^2 \psi + \psi^3 = 0, \quad (35)$$

with initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\xi, \eta, 0) &= \psi_0(\xi, \eta), \\ \psi_\tau(\xi, \eta, 0) &= \psi_1(\xi, \eta),\end{aligned}\quad (36)$$

we set a slow space-time scale $\xi_1 = \epsilon\xi$, $\eta_1 = \epsilon\eta$, and $\tau_1 = \epsilon\tau$. We can now make the following ansatz of a perturbation series for the solution

$$\psi(\xi, \eta, 0) = \sum_n \epsilon^{n+1} \Psi_n(\xi, \xi_1, \eta, \eta_1, \tau, \tau_1, \tau_2). \quad (37)$$

Modifying the derivatives accordingly to the slow scale transformations and inserting the ansatz, the wave equation (35), up to the second order, becomes (we will implicitly assume that initial conditions must be met at each order)

$$\epsilon \mathcal{L}_{KG}(\Psi_0) + \epsilon^2 [\mathcal{L}_{KG}(\Psi_1) - 2\Psi_{0,\tau_1\tau} + 2\Psi_{0,\xi_1\xi}] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) = 0 \quad (38)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{KG} = \square - m^2$ is the linear Klein-Gordon operator.

The order ϵ gives $\mathcal{L}_{KG}(\Psi_0) = 0$, that is solved by a func-

tion of the form

$$\Psi_0(\xi, \xi_1, \eta, \eta_1, \tau, \tau_1) = A(\xi_1, \eta_1, \tau) e^{i(k_\xi \xi + k_\eta \eta - \omega \tau)} + c.c. \quad (39)$$

with the dispersion relation $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$, $\mathbf{k} = (k_\xi, k_\eta)$. Proceeding to the following order we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{KG}(\Psi_1) = 2i(\omega A_\tau + k_\xi \partial_{\xi_1} A + k_\eta \partial_{\eta_1} A) e^{i(k_\xi \xi + k_\eta \eta - \omega \tau)} + c.c. \quad (40)$$

this term has the same structure as the solution to the homogeneous problem, it thus represents a secularity and needs to be eliminated. This leads to the following condition on the amplitude $A(\xi_1, \eta_1, \tau)$

$$\omega A_\tau + k_\xi \partial_{\xi_1} A + k_\eta \partial_{\eta_1} A = 0, \quad (41)$$

and a solution of this equation is a unidirectional travelling wave of the form $A = A(\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 - \mathbf{v}\tau_1)$ where $\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 = (\xi_1, \eta_1)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_\xi, v_\eta)$ is the group velocity vector. This explains the behaviour in figure 7 and 8 where we see unidirectional waves propagating along the ξ direction only, since the initial momentum along η is set to zero.

-
- ¹ C. C. Bradley, C. A. Sackett, J. J. Tollett, and R. G. Hulet “Evidence of Bose-Einstein Condensation in an Atomic Gas with Attractive Interactions”, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **75**, 1687 (1995).
- ² M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wiemann, and E. A. Cornell “Observation of Bose-Einstein Condensation in a Dilute Atomic Vapor”, *Science* **269**, 198 (1995).
- ³ M. Grether, M. de Llano, and G. A. Baker, Jr, “Bose-Einstein Condensation in the Relativistic Ideal Bose Gas”, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **99**, 200406 (2007).
- ⁴ Lin J. L. and J. P. Wolfe, “Bose-Einstein condensation of paraexcitons in stressed Cu_2O ”, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **71**, 1222 (1993).
- ⁵ J. M. Blatt, K. W. Böer, and W. Brandt, “Bose-Einstein condensation of excitons”, *Phys. Rev.* **126**, 1692 (1962).
- ⁶ M. Combescot, R. Combescot, and F. Dubin, “Bose-Einstein condensation and indirect excitons: a review”, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **80**, 0066501 (2017).
- ⁷ I. B. Spielman, J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. Pfeiffer and K. W. West, “Resonantly enhanced tunneling in a double layer quantum Hall ferromagnet.”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5808 (2000).
- ⁸ L. V. Butov, A. C. Gossard and D. S. Chemla, “Towards Bose-Einstein condensation of excitons in potential traps”, *Nature* **417**, 47 (2002).
- ⁹ L. V. Butov, C. W. Lai, A. L. Ivanov and A. C. Gossard and D. S. Chemla, “Macroscopically ordered state in an exciton system”, *Nature* **418**, 751 (2002).
- ¹⁰ D. Nandi, A. D. K. Fink J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. Pfeiffer and K. W. West, “Exciton condensation and perfect Coulomb drag”, *Nature* **488**, 481 (2012).
- ¹¹ R. Balili, V. Hartwell D. Snoke, L. N. Pfeiffer and K. W. West, “Bose-Einstein Condensation of Microcavity Polaritons in a Trap”, *Science* **316**, 1007 (2007).
- ¹² X. Liu, K. Watanabe T. Taniguchi, B. I. Halperin and P. Kim, “Quantum Hall drag of exciton condensate in graphene”, *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 746 (2017).
- ¹³ A. Kogar *et al.* “Signatures of exciton condensation in a transition metal dichalcogenides”, *Science* **358**, 1314 (2017).
- ¹⁴ T. Stroucken, J.H. Grönqvist and S. W. Koch, “Optical response and ground state of graphene”, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 205445 (2011).
- ¹⁵ A.S. Rodin, and A. H. Castro Neto, “Excitonic collapse in semiconducting transition-metal dichalcogenides”, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 195437 (2013).
- ¹⁶ T. Stroucken and S. W. Koch, “Optically bright p-excitons indicating strong Coulomb coupling in transition-metal dichalcogenides”, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **27**, 345003 (2015).
- ¹⁷ S. Kembt *et. al.*, “Exciton-polariton topological insulator”, *Nature* **562**, 552 (2018).
- ¹⁸ Z. Wang, N. Hao, Z. G. Fu, and P. Zhang, “Excitonic condensation for the surface states of topological insulator bilayers”, *New J. Phys.* **14**, 063010 (2012).
- ¹⁹ J. I. A. Li, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, J. Hone and C. R. Dean, “Excitonic superfluid phase in double bilayer graphene”, *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 751 (2017).
- ²⁰ Z. Wang, M. W Tu, Q. Tong, and W. Yao, “Gate tuning from exciton superfluid to quantum anomalous Hall in van der Waals heterobilayer”, *Sci. Adv.* **5**, 6120 (2019).
- ²¹ S. Fagnocchi, S. Finazzi, S. Liberati, M. Kormos, and A. Trombettoni, “Relativistic Bose-Einstein condensates: a new system for analogue models of gravity”, *New J. Phys.* **12**, 0950112 (2010).
- ²² E. Farhi, N. Graham, V. Khemani, R. Markov, and R. Rosales, “An oscillon in the SU_2 gauged Higgs model”, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 101701(R) (2005).
- ²³ S. W. Su, S. C. Gou, I. Kang Liu, A.S. Bradley, O. Fialko

- and J. Brand, “Oscillons in coupled Bose-Einstein condensates”, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 023631 (2015).
- ²⁴ N.N. Rosanov, N. A. Veretenov, N. V. Vysotina, L. A. Nesterov, S. V. Fedorov and A.N. Shatsev, “Oscillons of Bose-Einstein condensate”, *Optics and Spectroscopy* **119**, 363 (2015).
- ²⁵ P. B. Umbanhowar, F. Melo and H. L. Swinney, “Localized excitations in a vertically vibrated granular layer”, *Nature (London)* **382**, 793 (1996).
- ²⁶ H. Arbell and J. Fineberg, “Temporally harmonic oscillons in Newtonian fluids”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 756 (2000).
- ²⁷ O. Lioubashevski, Y. Hamiel, A. Agnon, Z. Reches, and J. Fineberg, “Oscillons and propagating solitary waves in a vertically vibrated colloidal suspension”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3190 (1999).
- ²⁸ I. V. Barashenkov and E. V. Zemlyanaya, “Soliton complexity in the damped-driven nonlinear Schrödinger equation: stationary to periodic to quasiperiodic complexes”, *Phys. Rev. E* **83**, 056610 (2011).
- ²⁹ I. V. Barashenkov, E. V. Zemlyanaya and T. C. van Heerden, “Time-periodic solitons in a damped-driven nonlinear Schrödinger equation”, *Phys. Rev. E* **83**, 056609 (2011).
- ³⁰ A. O. Leon, M. G. Clerc and D. Altbir “Dissipative magnetic breathers induced by time-modulated voltages”, *Phys. Rev. E* **98**, 062213 (2018).
- ³¹ A. J. Alvarez-Socorro, E. B. Berrios-Caro, M. G. Clerc and A. O. Leon, “Transition from nonradiative to radiative oscillons in parametrically driven systems”, *Phys. Rev. E* **101**, 052209 (2020).
- ³² M. Trushin, M. O. Goerbig, and W. Belzig, “Optical absorption by Dirac excitons in single-layer transition-metal dichalcogenides”, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 041301(R) (2016).
- ³³ D. N. Carvalho, A. Marini, and F. Biancalana, “Dynamical centrosymmetry breaking - A novel mechanism for second harmonic generation in graphene”, *Annals of Physics* **378**, 24 (2017).
- ³⁴ D. N. Carvalho, F. Biancalana, and A. Marini “The nonlinear effects of opening a gap in graphene”, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 195123 (2018).
- ³⁵ L. Meckbach, T. Stroucken, and S. W. Koch, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 035425 (2018).
- ³⁶ L. Di Mauro Villari, I. Galbraith and F. Biancalana “Coulomb effects in the absorbance spectra of two-dimensional Dirac materials”, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 205402 (2018).
- ³⁷ D. S. Novikov, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 245435 (2007).
- ³⁸ L. V. Keldish, and A. N. Kozlov “Collective properties of excitons in semiconductors”, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **54**, 978 (1968).
- ³⁹ R. Wang, O. Erten B. Wang and D. Y. Xing “Prediction of a topological $p + ip$ excitonic insulator with parity anomaly”, *Nat. Comm.* **10**, 210 (2019).
- ⁴⁷ S. A. Moskalenko, and D. W. Snoke, *Bose-Einstein Condensation of Excitons and Biexcitons* (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- ⁴¹ A. Aiello, “Field theory of monochromatic optical beams: I. Classical fields”, *J. Opt.* **22**, 014001 (2020).
- ⁴² A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, “The electronic properties of graphene”, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 109 (2009).
- ⁴³ T. D. Lee, K. Huang, and C. N. Yang “Eigenvalues and Eigenfunctions of a Bose System of Hard Spheres and Its Low-Temperature Properties”, *Phys. Rev.* **106**, 1135 (1957).
- ⁴⁴ M. Hindmarsh and P. Salmi, “Numerical investigations of oscillons in 2 dimensions”, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 105005 (2006).
- ⁴⁵ E. J. Copeland, M. Gleiser and H.R. Müller, “Oscillons: Resonant configurations during bubble collapse”, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 1920 (1995).
- ⁴⁶ E.P. Honda and M. W. Choptuik, “Fine structure of oscillons in the spherically symmetric φ^4 Klein-Gordon model”, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 084037 (2002).
- ⁴⁷ T. Matos Honda and A. Suárez, “Finite temperature and dissipative corrections to the Gross-Pitaevskii equation from $\Lambda\Phi^4$ ”, *EPL* **96**, 56005 (2011).
- ⁴⁸ S. Kasuya, M. Kawasaki and F. Takahashi, “I-balls”, *Phys. Lett. B* **559**, 99 (2003).
- ⁴⁹ G. Moody, J. Schaibley and X. Xu, “Exciton dynamics in monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides”, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **33**, C39 (2016).
- ⁵⁰ A. R. Fritsch, M. Lu, G. H. Reid, A. M. Pineiro and I. B. Spielman “Creating solitons with controllable and near-zero velocity in Bose-Einstein condensates”, *Phys. Rev. A* **101**, 053629 (2020).
- ⁵¹ D. J. Brown, A.V.H. McPhail, D. H. White, D. Baillie, S.K. Ruddell and M.D. Hoogerland, “Thermalization, condensate growth, and defect formation in an out-of-equilibrium Bose gas”, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 013606 (2018).
- ⁵² E. Ya. Sherman, P. Lemmens, B. Busse, A. Oosawa, and H. Tanaka “Sound Attenuation Study on the Bose-Einstein Condensation of Magnons in TlCuCl_3 ”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 057201 (2003).
- ⁵³ V. M. Pereira, V. N. Kotov, and A. H. Castro Neto, “Supercritical Coulomb impurities in gapped graphene”, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 085101 (2008).
- ⁵⁴ A. Das, *Lectures on Quantum Field Theory*, (World Scientific, 2008).