A Systematic Analysis of the Properties of the Generalised Painlevé–Ince Equation

Andronikos Paliathanasis*

Institute of Systems Science, Durban University of Technology PO Box 1334, Durban 4000, Republic of South Africa

P.G.L. Leach

Institute of Systems Science, Durban University of Technology PO Box 1334, Durban 4000, Republic of South Africa School of Mathematical Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal Durban, Republic of South Africa

August 14, 2019

Abstract

We consider the generalized Painlevé-Ince equation,

 $\ddot{x} + \alpha x \dot{x} + \beta x^3 = 0$

and we perform a detailed study in terms of symmetry analysis and of the singularity analysis. When the free parameters are related as $\beta = \alpha^2/9$ the given differential equation is maximally symmetric and well-known that it pass the Painlevé test. For arbitrary parameters we find that there exists only two Lie point symmetries which can be used to reduce the differential equation into an algebraic equation. However, the generalized Painlevé–Ince equation fails at the Painlevé test, except if we apply the singularity analysis for the new second-order differential equation which follows from the change of variable x = 1/y. We conclude that the Painlevé–Ince equation is integrable is terms of Lie symmetries and of the Painlevé test.

1 Introduction

The Painlevé–Ince Equation,

$$\ddot{x} + 3x\dot{x} + x^3 = 0, (1)$$

is well-known to have a number of interesting features. Firstly it has eight Lie point symmetries [16] with the algebra sl(3, R) in the Mubarakzyanov Classification Scheme [17–20] and so is linearisable by means of a point

^{*}Email: anpaliat@phys.uoa.gr

transformation. Secondly it passes the Painlevé Test in terms of the procedure of the ARS algorithm [1–3] although in a way which was regarded as unacceptable at the time. In 1993 Lemmer *et al* [15] showed that the singularity was a simple pole and that the resonances for a = 1 were a perfectly normal 1 and the generic -1 whereas for a = 2 they were -1 and an unexpected -2. This result was not acceptable to some workers in the field, but a subsequent programme initiated by Mac Feix [14] gave substance to the result and a fuller exposition is to be found in the paper of Andriopoulos *et al* [5] in which the whole question of positive and negative resonances was answered in terms of regions in the complex plane centred on the singularity. Thirdly the Painlevé–Ince Equation is a member – the second – of the Riccati Hierarchy [10–13] based on the recursion operator, D + x with $D = \frac{d}{dt}$, applied to $\dot{x} + x^2$ as initial member ¹.

The generalised Painlevé–Ince Equation is defined as

$$\ddot{x} + \alpha x \dot{x} + \beta x^3 = 0 \tag{2}$$

in which α and β are constants, by means of some ingenious manipulations. In this paper we approach the question of integrability of (2) by means of the systemic methods of analysis, namely the search for Lie point symmetries and the determination of the existence of a Laurent series about a singularity.

2 Symmetry Analysis

The Lie point symmetries of (2) are easily calculated using the Mathematica add-on, SYM [4,7–9]. For general values of α and β there are two symmetries, namely,

$$\Gamma_1 = \partial_t \quad \text{and} \tag{3}$$

$$\Gamma_2 = t\partial_t - x\partial_x \tag{4}$$

except when the parameters are related according to

$$\beta = \alpha^2 / 9. \tag{5}$$

Then there are the eight symmetries of the Painlevé–Ince Equation up to the effect of the rescaling by α .

The invariants for the symmetry, Γ_1 are

$$u = x$$
 and (6)

$$v = \dot{x}.\tag{7}$$

In the new variables (2) becomes

$$vv'^3 = 0.$$
 (8)

In the new variables Γ_2 becomes $u\partial_u + v\partial_v$ when the superfluous term in t is ignored. The invariants for the once extended form of this symmetry are

$$w = \frac{v}{u^2}$$
 and (9)

$$z = \frac{v'}{u}.$$
(10)

With the invariants (9) and (10 the first-order equation (8) becomes the algebraic equation,

$$wz + \alpha w + q = 0. \tag{11}$$

¹One could simply start at x.

3 Singularity Analysis

We now turn to the analysis of (2) in terms of the Painlevé-Test as summarized in the ARS algorithm. The first step is to determine whether a singularity exists and, if so, to calculate its coefficient. To this end we make the substitution

$$x = a\tau^r \tag{12}$$

into (2), where $\tau = t - t_0$ and t_0 is the location of the putative singularity. We find that the terms balance in exponent when r = -1, *ie* the singularity is a simple pole. Moreover all terms are dominant. When we replace r with -1, the equation for the leading-order coefficient is

$$\frac{2a}{\tau^3} - \frac{\alpha a^2}{\tau^3} + \frac{\beta a^3}{\tau^3} = 0 \tag{13}$$

which has the solutions

$$a = 0, \frac{\alpha - sqrt\alpha^2 - 8\beta}{2\beta} \text{ and } \frac{\alpha + sqrt\alpha^2 - 8\beta}{2\beta}.$$
 (14)

The first solution must be rejected as being irrelevant. The other two can take any value as we are working in the complex time plane.

The second step of the ARS algorithm is the location of the resonances, that is the exponents at which the remaining constants of integration enter the Laurent expansion. We make the substitution

$$x = a\tau^{-1} + m\tau^{-1+s} \tag{15}$$

into (2) (recall that all terms in (2) are dominant) and collect the terms linear in m for these are the terms at which a new constant enters into the expansion. For that constant to be arbitrary the coefficient of m must be zero. The coefficient is a polynomial in s and the values of s which render it zero are the resonances. When we do this, we obtain

$$s = -1, \, \frac{\alpha\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 8\beta} - \alpha^2 + 8\beta}{2\beta} \tag{16}$$

in the former case and

$$s = -1, \, \frac{-\alpha\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 8\beta} - \alpha^2 + 8\beta}{2\beta} \tag{17}$$

in the latter case. The value -1 is generic.

Acceptable values for the nongeneric resonance must be real and rational with the practical limitation that fractional resonances should not have denominators which are large for means that the complex plane is divided into unworkable sections because of too many branch cuts. Note that there are many possibilities for the second (nongeneric) resonance to be negative. Consequently the 'impossible' result for the Painlevé–Ince Equation found by Lemmer *et al* could be regarded as commonplace. Naturally there are also a multitude of values for which the second (nongeneric) resonance is irrational and/or complex.

4 Conclusion

We have seen that the generalised Painlevé–Ince Equation possesses two Lie point symmetries and is reducible to an algebraic equation for all values of the parameters. From singularity analysis we further see that the singularity is always a simple pole. Various possibilities exist for the nature of the Laurent expansion about this simple pole. It can be either a Right – expansion over a disc centered on the pole – or a Left – expansion about the pole over the complex plane without a disc – Painlevé Series depending upon the values of the parameters. Alternatively it can be a mess, thereby indicating nonintergability.

An interesting feature occurs if one inverts the dependent variable by setting

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{y(t)}.$$
(18)

Then equation (2) takes the following form

$$y\ddot{y} - 2\dot{y}^2 + \alpha\dot{y} - \beta = 0 \tag{19}$$

The two symmetries look the same under the coordinate transformation, except that the plus sign becomes a minus sign in Γ_2 . Naturally we are not considering the particular case in which $\alpha^2 = 9\beta$.

In terms of the singularity analysis for equation (19) only the first two terms are dominant, the singularity is a simple pole and the coefficient of the leading-order term is unspecified. (A zeroth-order possibility also exists, but that is not a singularity.) The resonances are at -1 and zero in line with the arbitrary coefficient of the leading-order term. The Laurent expansion corresponding to the simple pole is a Right Painlevé Series. The interesting thing is that the coefficients of the higher-order terms in the expansion vanish for the special relationship between α and β which give rise to equations of maximal symmetry, that is equations of Painlevé– Ince form. Hence, we can infer that the generalized Painlevé–Ince equation (2) passes the Painlevé test under the coordinate transformation (18) if and only if $\alpha^2 = 9\beta$.

That is not the first example of a differential equation which pass the singularity test under a coordinate transformation. A recent discussion on that property can be found in [21].

Acknowledgements

PGLL thanks the Durban University of Technology, the University of KwaZulu-Natal and the National Research Foundation of South Africa for support. This work was undertaken while we enjoyed the gracious hospitality of Surananee University o Technology, Thailand.

References

- Ablowitz M J, Ramani A & Segur H (1978) Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Ordinary Differential Equations of Painlevé Type Lett Nuovo Cimento 23 333-337
- [2] Ablowitz M J, Ramani A & Segur H (1980) A connection between nonlinear evolution equations and ordinary differential equations of P type I J Math Phys 21 715-721
- [3] Ablowitz M J, Ramani A & Segur H (1980) A connection between nonlinear evolution equations and ordinary differential equations of P type II J Math Phys 21 1006-1015
- [4] Andriopoulos K, Dimas S, Leach PGL & Tsoubelis D (2009). On the systematic approach to the classification of differential equations by group theoretical methods. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 230(1), 224-232.
- [5] Andriopoulos K & Leach PGL (2006) An interpretation of the presence of both positive and negative nongeneric resonances in the singularity analysis *Physics Letters A* **359** 199-203

- [6] Andriopoulos K, Leach PGL and Maharaj A (2011) On Differential Sequences Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences 5(3) 484-499
- [7] Dimas S & Tsoubelis D (2004, October). SYM: A new symmetry-finding package for Mathematica. In Proceedings of the 10th International Conference in Modern Group Analysis (Unmiversity of Cyprus, pp. 64-70).
- [8] Dimas S & Tsoubelis D (2006, June). A new Mathematica-based program for solving overdetermined systems of PDEs. In 8th International Mathematica Symposium (Avignon).
- [9] Dimas S "Partial Differential Equations, Algebraic Computing and Nonlinear Systems." PhD thesis, University of Patras, Greece (2008).
- [10] Euler M, Euler N and Leach PGL (2007) The Riccati and Ermakov-Pinney hierarchies Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics 14 290-310
- [11] Euler N and Leach PGL (2009) Aspects of proper differential sequences of ordinary differential equations Theoretical and Mathematical Physics 159 473-486 (0040-5779/09/1591-0473)
- [12] Euler N and Leach PGL (2009) A novel Riccati Sequence Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics 16 s01 157-164
- [13] Euler M, Euler N and Leach PGL (2011) Properties of the Calogero-Degasperis-Ibragimov-Shabat Differential Sequence Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics 32 61-70
- [14] Feix MR, Géronimi C, Cairó L, Leach PGL, Lemmer RL and Bouquet SÉ (1997) On the singularity analysis of ordinary differential equations invariant under time translation and rescaling *Journal of Physics* A: Mathematical and General **30** 7437-7461
- [15] Lemmer RL & Leach PGL (1993) The Painlevé test, hidden symmetries and the equation $y'' + yy'^3 = 0 J$ Phys A: Math Gen **26** 5017-5024
- [16] Mahomed FM and Leach PGL (1985) The linear symmetries of a nonlinear differential equation Quæstiones Mathematicæ 8 241-274
- [17] Morozov VV (1958) Classification of six-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras Izvestia Vysshikh Uchebn Zavendeniĭ Matematika 5, 161-171
- [18] Mubarakzyanov GM (1963) On solvable Lie algebras Izvestia Vysshikh Uchebn Zavendeniĭ Matematika 32 114-123
- [19] Mubarakzyanov GM 1963) Classification of real structures of five-dimensional Lie algebras Izvestia Vysshikh Uchebn Zavendenii Matematika 34 99-106
- [20] Mubarakzyanov GM (1963) Classification of solvable six-dimensional Lie algebras with one nilpotent base element Izvestia Vysshikh Uchebn Zavendenii Matematika 35 104-116
- [21] Paliathanasis A & Leach PGL (2016) Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations: A discussion on Symmetries and Singularities International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics 13 1630009