

Systems of difference equations on a vector valued function that admit 3D space of scalar potentials

Pavlos Kassotakis ^{*} Maciej Nieszporski [†]

August 6, 2019

Abstract

For some involutive maps $\Phi : \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ we find all invariants with separated variables. We investigate a link of the maps and their invariants with separated variables to discrete integrable systems. Maps correspond to integrable systems on edges (bond systems), while their invariants with separated variables yields potentials of the bond systems, that allows us to rewrite the integrable systems as models on vertices. Among the latter ones one can find well known integrable difference equations as well as difference relations, which in contrast to the equations give non-single-valued evolution of the dependent variable. However, the non-single-valuedness can be resolved by the link with the bond system.

1 Introduction

Let us consider a map defined on the cartesian product of complex projective lines, $\Phi : \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \ni (u, v) \mapsto (U, V) \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ where

$$\Phi : \quad U = v + \frac{p-q}{u-v}, \quad V = u + \frac{p-q}{u-v} \quad (1)$$

and where p and q are some parameters. The map Φ is an involution. Involutive maps admit a plethora of invariants, where by an *invariant (alternating invariant)* of a map we understand here a function I such that $I(U, V) = I(u, v)$ ($I(U, V) = -I(u, v)$). Among the invariants (alternating invariants), there might exist some with separated variables. For example, the functions

$$I(u, v) = a(u-v) + b(u^2 + p - v^2 - q) + c(u^3 + 3pu - v^3 - 3qv) + d, \quad (2)$$

where a, b, c and d are arbitrary constants, are alternating invariants with separated variables for the map Φ . Not only that, it turns out that this family of alternating invariants of the map Φ is complete i.e. no other invariants of the map in separated form exist. Therefore, the alternating invariants with separated variables of the map Φ form a 3-dimensional affine space.

A connection of such maps with integrable difference equations was pointed out by several authors [10, 20, 1, 19, 12, 11, 14]. For example having in hand map (1) we can define a difference system in two

^{*} *Present address:* Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Cyprus, P.O Box: 20537, 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus; *e-mails:* pavlos1978@gmail.com, pkasso01@ucy.ac.cy

[†] *Present address:* Katedra Metod Matematycznych Fizyki, Wydział Fizyki, Uniwersytet Warszawski, ul. Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warszawa, Poland; *e-mails:* maciejjun@fuw.edu.pl

variables u and v defined on the edges of a \mathbb{Z}^2 graph. We consider u as a function defined on the set of *horizontal edges* (i.e. pairs of vertices $\{(m, n), (m + 1, n) \mid (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$) and respectively v as a function defined on set of *vertical edges* (i.e. pairs of vertices $(m_2, n_2) := \{(m, n), (m, n + 1)\}, (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$), see Figure 1.




Figure 1: (a): Values and arguments of the map Φ . (b): Variables on edges on an elementary square of the \mathbb{Z}^2 lattice

We label the horizontal edges by pair of numbers (m_1, n_1) such that $((m, n), (m + 1, n)) \mapsto (m_1, n_1) = (m + \frac{1}{2}, n)$ and the vertical edges by pair of numbers (m_2, n_2) such that $((m, n + 1), (m, n)) \mapsto (m_2, n_2) = (m, n + \frac{1}{2})$. Now, taking map (1) we define a difference equation via

$$\forall (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (u_{m_1, n_1+1}, v_{m_2+1, n_2}) = \Phi(u_{m_1, n_1}, v_{m_2, n_2}),$$

which explicitly reads

$$u_{m_1, n_1+1} = v_{m_2, n_2} + \frac{p_{m_1} - q_{n_2}}{u_{m_1, n_1} - v_{m_2, n_2}}, \quad v_{m_2+1, n_2} = u_{m_1, n_1} + \frac{p_{m_1} - q_{n_2}}{u_{m_1, n_1} - v_{m_2, n_2}}, \quad (3)$$

where we allow p_{m_1} to be a given function of the independent variable m_1 only and q_{n_2} to be a given function of the independent variable n_2 only. The set of equations (3) are equations on vector valued two component function (u, v) that can be regarded as field equations. Its potential versions arise from the invariance condition $I(u_{m_1, n_1+1}, v_{m_2+1, n_2}) = -I(u_{m_1, n_1}, v_{m_2, n_2})$. Choosing the constants as $a = 1, b = c = d = 0$, the invariance condition reads

$$u_{m_1, n_1+1} + u_{m_1, n_1} = v_{m_2+1, n_2} + v_{m_2, n_2}. \quad (4)$$

The relation (4) guarantees the existence of a potential $\psi_{m, n}$ defined on vertices of the \mathbb{Z}^2 graph such that

$$u_{m_1, n_1} = \psi_{m+1, n} + \psi_{m, n}, \quad v_{m_2, n_2} = \psi_{m, n+1} + \psi_{m, n}.$$

In terms of the potential function ψ , the difference system (3) becomes the lattice potential KdV equation [17, 16], namely

$$(\psi_{m+1, n+1} - \psi_{m, n})(\psi_{m+1, n} - \psi_{m, n+1}) = p_{m_1} - q_{n_2}. \quad (5)$$

A question arises, what about other choices of the parameters a, b, c and d ? A partial answer to this question appeared in our articles [12, 11, 5, 6, 14], where we were motivated mainly by the fact that nonlinear superposition principle for Korteweg de Vries equation can be reinterpreted as multi-quadratic relation that arises from the choice of the parameters $b = 1, a = c = d = 0$ in the equations above [3, 12]. Here we answer this question in a systematic manner and under some assumptions exhaustively, revealing the richness of the world of difference relations.

We start the paper with the presentation of maps that have mainly their origin in the papers [2, 18, 19], where they are referred to as Yang-Baxter maps (see [21] and references therein), and we also consider involutive maps which do not satisfy the Yang-Baxter property (see subsection 2.1). We provide the complete set of invariants with separated variables of the mentioned maps, see Sections 2, 3 and 4. Then we reinterpret the results as equations on a lattice in Section 5 and we give an overview of vertex equations and relations in Section 6. We end this article with discussions and some propositions for further development in Section 7.

2 Involution maps

We consider the *involutive* maps $\Phi : \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \ni (u, v) \mapsto (U, V) \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ of the form

$$U = \frac{\alpha^1(v)u + \alpha^2(v)}{\alpha^3(v)u + \alpha^4(v)}, \quad V = \frac{\alpha^5(u)v + \alpha^6(u)}{\alpha^7(u)v + \alpha^8(u)}, \quad (6)$$

where the functions $\alpha^i, i = 1, \dots, 8$ are polynomials of the indicated variables.

Adler et al. [2] considered this kind of maps in their quest of Yang-Baxter maps. Namely, they investigated maps with polynomials α^i up to the second degree and which are quadrirational¹ i.e. such maps $(u, v) \mapsto (U, V)$ that all of the maps $(u, v) \mapsto (U, V), (u, V) \mapsto (U, v), (U, v) \mapsto (u, V), (U, V) \mapsto (u, v)$ are rational. They classified such maps modulo (Möb)⁴-action i.e. up to transformation $(u, v, U, V) \mapsto (u', v', U', V') = (f^1(u), f^2(v), f^3(U), f^4(V))$ where $f^i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are linear fractional functions of the indicated variable. Soon later Papageorgiou et al. [18] argued that in the context of Yang-Baxter maps symmetry group should be reduced to $(u, v, U, V) \mapsto (u', v', U', V') = (f^1(u), f^2(v), f^1(U), f^2(V))$ which they refer to as (Möb)²-action. In article [19] the authors investigated the relationship of integrable lattice equations to these maps.

We are going to investigate the involutive maps in the case that the polynomials α^i are of first degree and we take advantage of the results in [2, 18], where the polynomials are of second degree. Note that, due to assumed form (6) the companions of the map exist but not necessarily the inverse of the map. The involutivity guarantees the existence of the inverse of the map, so our maps are quadrirational. Note also that the (Möb)²-action preserves the involutivity of the map.

2.1 Degree 1 case

Here we investigate the case where the polynomials α^i of (6) are at most of degree one.

Proposition 2.1 *Mapping (6) with $\alpha^3(v)$ and $\alpha^7(u)$ first degree polynomials and with the remaining α^i polynomials of degree one at most, is involutive iff it is of the following form:*

$$U = \frac{\tilde{C}uv + Pu + \hat{L}Av - AG}{uv + \tilde{K}(u - \tilde{C}) + \tilde{L}(v - \hat{C}) - \tilde{C}\hat{C}}, \quad V = \frac{\hat{C}uv + Qv + \tilde{K}Bu - BG}{uv + \hat{K}(u - \tilde{C}) + \hat{L}(v - \hat{C}) - \tilde{C}\hat{C}}, \quad (7)$$

¹The notion of 2^n -rational maps was introduced in [13] and serves as an extension to arbitrary dimensions of the notion of quadrirational maps

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \tilde{C} - \tilde{L} + \hat{L}, & B &= \hat{C} - \hat{K} + \tilde{K}, & P &= \tilde{C}\hat{K} + \tilde{L}\hat{K} - \tilde{L}\tilde{K}, & Q &= \tilde{L}\hat{C} + \tilde{L}\hat{K} - \hat{L}\hat{K}, \\ G &= \tilde{C}\hat{K} + \tilde{L}\hat{K} + \tilde{L}\hat{C} - \hat{L}\hat{K} + \tilde{C}\hat{C}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The position of the singularities of the map (7) are:

$$\left(\frac{X}{\tilde{K} + \tilde{C}} + A, B\right), \quad \left(\frac{-X}{\hat{K} - \tilde{K}} + A, \frac{-X}{\tilde{L} - \hat{L}} + B\right),$$

for the first part of the map and

$$\left(A, \frac{X}{\tilde{C} + \hat{L}} + B\right), \quad \left(\frac{-X}{\hat{K} - \tilde{K}} + A, \frac{-X}{\tilde{L} - \hat{L}} + B\right),$$

for the second part of the map, where

$$X = (\tilde{L} - \hat{L})(\hat{C} + \tilde{K}) + (\hat{L} + \tilde{C})(\hat{K} - \tilde{K}).$$

The following remarks are in order. First, setting $X = 0$, coalescence of all singularities take place and the map (7) is (Möb)² equivalent to the following involutive linear map [9, 15, 8, 14]

$$u_2 = v + \frac{p+q}{p-q}(v-u), \quad v_1 = u + \frac{p+q}{p-q}(v-u). \quad (L_a)$$

Second, the map (7) is (Möb)² equivalent to the map

$$U = v + \lambda(1 - v/u), \quad V = u + \lambda(u/v - 1). \quad (9)$$

or to the map

$$U = \frac{\lambda v}{\lambda + (v-u)}, \quad V = \frac{\lambda u}{\lambda + (v-u)}. \quad (10)$$

Note that the parameter λ can be set to one (scaled off) but we left it for historical reasons.

Mapping (9) is the Hirota's KdV map which is closely related to Hirota's KdV equation [11], while the map (10) is the companion map (the companion of a map $(u, v) \mapsto (U, V)$ is the map $(u, V) \mapsto (U, v)$) of (9). The singularities of map (9) are $(0, 0)$, (λ, ∞) for the first part of the map and $(0, 0)$, $(\infty, -\lambda)$ for the second part. Whereas the singularities of map (10) are (∞, ∞) , $(\lambda, 0)$ for the first part of the map and (∞, ∞) , $(0, -\lambda)$ for the second part.

2.2 Degree 2

The starting point of our considerations is the F-list of quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \ni (u, v) \mapsto (U, V) \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ given in [2]

$$U = pv \frac{(1-q)u + q - p + (p-1)v}{q(1-p)u + p(q-1)v + (p-q)uv}, \quad V = qu \frac{(1-q)u + q - p + (p-1)v}{q(1-p)u + p(q-1)v + (p-q)uv} \quad (F_I)$$

$$U = \frac{v}{p} \frac{pu - qv + q - p}{u - v}, \quad V = \frac{u}{q} \frac{pu - qv + q - p}{u - v} \quad (F_{II})$$

$$U = \frac{v}{p} \frac{pu - qv}{u - v}, \quad V = \frac{u}{q} \frac{pu - qv}{u - v} \quad (F_{III})$$

$$U = v \left(1 + \frac{p-q}{u-v}\right), \quad V = u \left(1 + \frac{p-q}{u-v}\right), \quad (F_{IV})$$

$$U = v + \frac{p-q}{u-v}, \quad V = u + \frac{p-q}{u-v}, \quad (F_V)$$

as well as the companions of H-list (cH-list) of quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps presented in [18]

$$\begin{aligned}
U &= \frac{q(1-p)u + p(q-1)v + (p-q)uv}{v[(1-q)u + q - p + (p-1)v]}, & V &= \frac{q(1-p)u + p(q-1)v + (p-q)uv}{u[(1-q)u + q - p + (p-1)v]}, & (cH_I) \\
U &= \frac{1-v}{p} \frac{pu - qv}{u-v}, & V &= \frac{1-u}{q} \frac{pu - qv}{u-v} & (cH_{II}) \\
U &= -\frac{v}{p} \frac{pu - qv}{u-v}, & V &= -\frac{u}{q} \frac{pu - qv}{u-v} & (cH_{III}^A) \\
U &= -\frac{1}{v} \frac{u-v}{pu - qv}, & V &= -\frac{1}{u} \frac{u-v}{pu - qv} & (cH_{III}^B) \\
U &= -v + \frac{p-q}{u-v}, & V &= -u + \frac{p-q}{u-v} & (cH_V)
\end{aligned}$$

where it is worth mentioning that the companion maps are not Yang-Baxter maps. The two lists of maps are related by $(Möb)^4$ -action. In particular, the change of variables

$$(U, V, u, v) \mapsto (-U, -V, u, v), \quad (11)$$

transforms map F_V into map cH_V with parameters (p, q) replaced with $(-p, -q)$, as well as it transforms map F_{III} into map cH_{III}^A . The map

$$(U, V, u, v) \mapsto (-1/(pU), -1/(qV), u, v), \quad (12)$$

transforms map F_{III} into map cH_{III}^B . The map

$$(U, V, u, v) \mapsto (p/U, q/V, u, v), \quad (13)$$

transforms the F_I map into the cH_I map. Finally the map

$$(U, V, u, v) \mapsto (1-U, 1-V, u, v) \quad (14)$$

transforms map F_{II} into map cH_{II} .

Three comments are in order. First, although both lists yield, as we shall see in the next section, essentially the same (i.e. point equivalent) structures, we decided to present results concerning both lists for the equivalence is not always obvious. Second, the advantage of using cH-list rather than H list lies in the fact that cH-list can be extended to multidimensions (see Section 5) in full analogy to F-list whereas H-list cannot be extended this way. Third, all the maps presented above are involutions.

3 Invariants or alternating invariants with separated variables

Following the procedure described in [12] for each of the maps from Subsection 2.2 we present the exhaustive list of invariants and alternating invariants with separated variables. For each map the number of linearly independent invariants and alternating invariants in separated form is three. In the next section we will present an alternative derivation of the invariants with separated variables, this derivation leads to a first order differential equation and allows us for another characterization of the map. The exhaustive list of invariants and alternating invariants for the maps of subsection 2.1 was derived in [11].

The results are included in Table 1. We underline that the invariants (or alternating invariants) can be combined e.g. for map F_{III} function $I(u, v) = a(\ln \sqrt{pu} - \ln \sqrt{qv}) + b(pu - qv) + c(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{v}) + d$ where a, b, c, d are some constants is alternating integral with separated variables as well.

Table 1: Maps and their invariants (grey background) or alternating invariants (white background) with separated variables. To avoid the appearance of logarithms in formulas of the (alternating) invariants, some of the (alternating) invariants are presented in exponentiated form (the separation of variables is multiplicative)

Map			
F_I	$\sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{U}{V} = \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} \frac{v}{u}$	$\sqrt{\frac{q-1}{p-1}} \frac{U-1}{V-1} = \sqrt{\frac{p-1}{q-1}} \frac{v-1}{u-1}$	$\sqrt{\frac{q(q-1)}{p(p-1)}} \frac{U-p}{V-q} = \sqrt{\frac{p(p-1)}{q(q-1)}} \frac{v-q}{u-p}$
F_{II}	$\sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} \frac{U}{V} = \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{v}{u}$	$\sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} \frac{U-1}{V-1} = \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{v-1}{u-1}$	$pU - \frac{1}{2}p - qV + \frac{1}{2}q = -(pu - \frac{1}{2}p - qv + \frac{1}{2}q)$
F_{III}	$\sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} \frac{U}{V} = \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{v}{u}$	$\frac{1}{U} - \frac{1}{V} = -(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{v})$	$pU - qV = -(pu - qv)$
F_{IV}	$\frac{U}{V} = \frac{v}{u}$	$U + \frac{1}{2}p - V - \frac{1}{2}q = -(u + \frac{1}{2}p - v - \frac{1}{2}q)$	$U^2 + 2pU + \frac{p^2}{2} - V^2 - 2qV - \frac{q^2}{2} =$ $-(u^2 + 2pu + \frac{p^2}{2} - v^2 - 2qv - \frac{q^2}{2})$
F_V	$U - V =$ $-(u - v)$	$U^2 + p - V^2 - q = -(u^2 + p - v^2 - q)$	$U^3 + 3pU - V^3 - 3qV = -(u^3 + 3pu - v^3 - 3qv)$
cH_I	$\frac{U}{V} = \frac{u}{v}$	$\frac{(U-1)(V-q)}{(V-1)(U-p)} = \frac{(u-1)(v-q)}{(v-1)(u-p)}$	$\frac{(q-1)V(U-1)(U-p)}{(p-1)U(V-1)(V-q)} = \frac{(p-1)u(v-1)(v-q)}{(q-1)v(u-1)(u-p)}$
cH_{II}	$\frac{U(V-1)}{V(U-1)} = \frac{u(v-1)}{v(u-1)}$	$pU - qV = pu - qv$	$\frac{pU(U-1)}{qV(V-1)} = \frac{qv(v-1)}{pu(u-1)}$
cH_{III}^A	$\sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} \frac{U}{V} = \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{v}{u}$	$pU - qV = pu - qv$	$\frac{1}{U} - \frac{1}{V} = \frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{v}$
cH_{III}^B	$\frac{U}{V} = \frac{u}{v}$	$pU + \frac{1}{U} - qV - \frac{1}{V} = pu + \frac{1}{u} - qv - \frac{1}{v}$	$pU - \frac{1}{U} - qV + \frac{1}{V} = -(pu - \frac{1}{u} - qv + \frac{1}{v})$
cH_V	$U - V =$ $u - v$	$U^2 - p - V^2 + q = -(u^2 - p - v^2 + q)$	$U^3 - 3pU - V^3 + 3qV = u^3 - 3pu - v^3 + 3qv$

4 Finding (alternating) invariants with separated variables

All the maps in question admit a method of finding all of their (alternating) invariants with separated variables which is simpler than the general method described in [12]. The method leads to the differential equation

$$\frac{dF(u)}{du} = \frac{b_0 + b_1u + b_2u^2}{c_0 + c_1u + c_2u^2 + c_3u^3 + c_4u^4} \quad (15)$$

covariant under a homographic transformation $u \mapsto h(u) = \frac{\alpha u + \beta}{\gamma u + \delta}$.

Here we consider a more general problem. We search for functions F, G, f and g such that

$$F(U(u, v)) + G(V(u, v)) = f(u) + g(v). \quad (16)$$

We take any of the maps of Section 2. After the differentiation of (16) with respect to u and v , the right hand side of (16) vanishes and using the inverse of the map under consideration, we obtain a relation of the form

$$Q(F''(U), F'(U), G''(V), G'(V), U, V; p, q) = 0.$$

Differentiating the relation above with respect to U and V yields

$$m(U)F'''(U) + 2m'(U)F''(U) + m''(U)F'(U) = -n(V)G'''(V) - 2n'(V)G''(V) - n''(V)G'(V), \quad (17)$$

where $m(U)$ and $n(V)$ are polynomials depending on the map under consideration and are given explicitly in Table 2. As one can notice the variables in equation (17) separate and hence both sides of (17) should be constant, namely

$$m(U)F'''(U) + 2m'(U)F''(U) + m''(U)F'(U) = c, \quad -n(V)G'''(V) - 2n'(V)G''(V) - n''(V)G'(V) = c.$$

The differential equations above can be integrated twice to give the following equations

$$m(U)F'(U) = \frac{c}{2}U^2 + dU + e, \quad n(V)G'(V) = -\frac{c}{2}V^2 + kV + l \quad (18)$$

Table 2: The polynomials $m(u)$ and $n(v)$ of equation (18) associated with the F and the cH-list of maps

Map	$m(u)$	$n(v)$
F_I	$u(u-1)(p-u)$	$v(v-1)(q-v)$
F_{II}	$u(u-1)/p$	$v(v-1)/q$
F_{III}	u^2/p	v^2/q
F_{IV}	u	v
F_V	1	1
cH_I	$u(u-1)(p-u)$	$v(v-1)(q-v)$
cH_{II}	$u(u-1)/p$	$v(v-1)/q$
cH_{III}^A	u^2/p	v^2/q
cH_{III}^B	u^2/p	v^2/q
cH_V	1	1

It is not difficult to see that equations (18) can be obtained from equation (15) by means of homographic transformation by sending one root to infinity. The cases when the polynomial $c_0 + c_1u + c_2u^2 + c_3u^3 + c_4u^4$ in (15) has four roots, three roots, two double roots, one triple root and one single root, one quadruple root, reflect the classification of the maps leading to maps with the Roman subscript I, II, III, IV, V respectively.

5 Difference equations

The next step is to reinterpret the map $\Phi : (u, v) \mapsto (U, V)$, as a difference system on the \mathbb{Z}^2 lattice. This is achieved by assigning the map on every elementary square of the \mathbb{Z}^2 lattice, where the variables u, v are now considered as functions (in independent variables $(m_1, n_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $(m_2, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ respectively), assigned to two perpendicular edges with a common vertex of an elementary square of the \mathbb{Z}^2 lattice and U, V to the corresponding opposite edges, so $u \equiv u_{m_1, n_1}, v \equiv v_{m_2, n_2}, U \equiv u_{m_1, n_1+1}$ and $V \equiv u_{m_2+1, n_2}$ (see Figure 1). More precisely, as we have already mention in introductory Section 1, we consider u as a function defined on the set of horizontal edges i.e. pairs of vertices $(m_1, n_1) := \{(m, n), (m+1, n)\}, (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and respectively v as a function defined on set of vertical edges i.e. pairs of vertices $(m_2, n_2) := \{(m, n), (m, n+1)\}, (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, see Figure 2 (a). Moreover, we introduce a concise notation, first, by considering functions $u^i, p^i, i = 1, 2$ such that $u_{m_1, n_1}^1 := u_{m_1, n_1}, u_{m_2, n_2}^2 := v_{m_2, n_2}, p_{m_1}^1 := p_{m_1}$ and $p_{n_2}^2 := q_{n_2}$, second, we omit the dependency of the functions $u^i, p^i, i = 1, 2$ on the independent variables, third, we denote the shifts in the corresponding variables as subscripts i.e. $u_1^i = u_{m_i+1, n_i}^i, u_2^i = u_{m_i, n_i+1}^i, u_{11}^i = u_{m_i+2, n_i}^i$, etc. Therefore, with this concise notation we enumerate functions with superscripts and the shifts with subscripts, see Figure 2 (b). For the cH-list we will use the letter s instead of u to denote the dependent variable.




Figure 2: Fields on the edges of the \mathbb{Z}^2 lattice.

(a): Standard notation. (b): concise notation used in the paper

E.g. for the map F_V from the list (2.2) we get [19]

$$u_j^i = u^j + \frac{p^i - p^j}{u^i - u^j}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad i \neq j. \quad (19)$$

All the difference systems obtained this way from maps of subsection 2.2, can be extended to multi-dimensions, see [1, 12, 11]. Namely, allowing in (19) $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ we observe that the following compatibility conditions hold

$$u_{jk}^i = u_{kj}^i, \quad s_{jk}^i = s_{kj}^i \quad i, j, k = 1, \dots, n, \quad i \neq j \neq k \neq i. \quad (20)$$

Invariants which were presented in Section 3, turn into equations that guarantee the existence of potentials. By a potential we understand a function ψ such that

$$\psi_i \pm \psi = f(u^i, p^i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (21)$$

Therefore, we obtain a list of the difference systems as well as their four-parameter families of scalar potentials. The results are collected in Tables 3 and 4, where in order to stress the fact that we deal with multi-dimensional systems of difference equations and not maps, we introduce the I -list as the multidimensional extension of the F -list and the cI -list as the multidimensional extension of the cH -list.

Table 3: The Table includes equations associated with the F list of maps and expressions $\psi_i + \psi$ which define families of potentials ψ by discrete quadratures

Label	Difference equation	Expression $\psi_i + \psi$
I_I	$u_j^i = p^i u^j \frac{(1-p^j)u^i - (1-p^i)u^j - p^i + p^j}{p^j(1-p^i)u^i - p^i(1-p^j)u^j + (p^i - p^j)u^i u^j}$	$a \ln \frac{u^i}{\sqrt{p^i}} + b \ln \frac{u^i-1}{\sqrt{p^i-1}} + c \ln \frac{u^i-p^i}{\sqrt{p^i(p^i-1)}} + d$
I_{II}	$u_j^i = \frac{u^j p^i u^i - p^j u^j - p^i + p^j}{p^i \frac{u^i - u^j}{u^i - u^j}}$	$a \ln \sqrt{p^i} u^i + b \ln \sqrt{p^i} (u^i - 1) + c(2p^i u^i - p^i) + d$
I_{III}	$u_j^i = \frac{u^j p^i u^i - p^j u^j}{p^i \frac{u^i - u^j}{u^i - u^j}}$	$a \ln \sqrt{p^i} u^i + \frac{b}{u^i} + c p^i u^i + d$
I_{IV}	$u_j^i = u^j \left(1 + \frac{p^i - p^j}{u^i - u^j}\right)$	$a \ln u^i + b(2u^i + p^i) + c[(u^i + p^i)^2 - \frac{p^{i2}}{2}] + d$
I_V	$u_j^i = u^j + \frac{p^i - p^j}{u^i - u^j}$	$au^i + b(u^{i2} + p^i) + c(u^{i3} + 3p^i u^i) + d$

Table 4: The Table includes equations associated with the cH -list of maps and expressions $\psi_i - \psi$ which define families of potentials ψ by discrete quadratures. $\sigma := (-1)^{m_1+\dots+m_n}$

label	Difference equation	Expression $\psi_i - \psi =$
cI_I	$s_j^i = \frac{p^j(1-p^i)s^i + p^i(p^j-1)s^j + (p^i-p^j)s^i s^j}{s^j(s^i-s^j-p^j s^i + p^i s^j + p^j - p^i)}$	$a \ln s^i + b \ln \left(\frac{s^i-1}{s^i-p^i}\right) - c\sigma \ln \frac{(s^i-1)(s^i-p^i)}{s^i(p^i-1)} + d$
cI_{II}	$s_j^i = \frac{1-s^j p^i s^i - p^j s^j}{p^i \frac{s^i - s^j}{s^i - s^j}}$	$a \ln \frac{s^i}{s^i-1} + b p^i s^i - c\sigma \ln p^i s^i (s^i - 1) + d$
cI_{III}^A	$s_j^i = \frac{s^j p^i s^i - p^j s^j}{p^i \frac{s^i - s^j}{s^i - s^j}}$	$-a\sigma \ln \sqrt{p^i} s^i + b p^i s^i + c \frac{1}{s^i} + d$
cI_{III}^B	$s_j^i = -\frac{1}{s^j} \frac{s^i - s^j}{p^i s^i - p^j s^j}$	$a \ln s^i + b \left(p^i s^i + \frac{1}{s^i}\right) - c\sigma \left(p^i s^i - \frac{1}{s^i}\right) + d$
cI_V	$s_j^i = -s^j + \frac{p^i - p^j}{s^i - s^j}$	$as^i - b\sigma \left(s^{i2} - p^i\right) + c \left(s^{i3} - 3p^i s^i\right) + d$

The equations with the same Roman index in Tables 3 and 4 are point equivalent and the transformations between them are given in Table 5.

Table 5: The point transformations between difference equations from Tables 3 and 4

Equation in u^i	A point transformation	Equation in s^i
I_I	$u^i = p^{i-\frac{1-\sigma}{2}} s^{i\sigma}$	cI_I
I_{II}	$u^i = \frac{1-\sigma}{2} + \sigma s^i$	cI_{II}
I_{III}	$u^i = \sigma s^i$	cI_{III}^A
I_{III}	$u^i = \sigma p^{i-\frac{-1+\sigma}{2}} s^{i\sigma}$	cI_{III}^B
I_V	$u^i = \sigma s^i$	$cI_V (-p^i)$

Finally from the difference equations given in Tables 3 and 4 and from the expressions $\psi_i + \psi = f(u^i; p^i)$ ($\psi_i - \psi = f(s^i; p^i)$) one can derive expressions $\psi_{ij} - \psi = g(u^i, u^j; p^i, p^j)$ ($\psi_{ij} - \psi = g(s^i, s^j; p^i, p^j)$) which in turn allows us (see Section 6) to rewrite the difference equations in terms of their potentials. Tables 6 and 7 include the expressions $\psi_{ij} - \psi$ in terms of the edge variables.

Table 6: Expressions $\psi_{ij} - \psi = g(u^i, u^j; p^i, p^j)$ for the I systems from Table 3

Equation	Expression $\psi_{ij} - \psi$
I_I	$a \ln \sqrt{p^i p^j} \frac{(1-p^j)u^i + p^j - p^i - (1-p^i)u^j}{p^j(1-p^i)u^i - p^i(1-p^j)u^j + (p^i - p^j)u^i u^j} + b \ln \sqrt{(p^j - 1)(p^i - 1)} \frac{p^i u^j - p^j u^i}{p^j(1-p^i)u^i - p^i(1-p^j)u^j + (p^i - p^j)u^i u^j} + c \ln \sqrt{p^i p^j} \frac{u^j - u^i}{p^j(1-p^i)u^i - p^i(1-p^j)u^j + (p^i - p^j)u^i u^j}$
I_{II}	$a \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^i p^j}} \frac{p^i u^i - p^j u^j - p^i + p^j}{u^i - u^j} + b \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^i p^j}} \frac{p^i u^i - p^j u^j}{u^i - u^j} + c(p^i - p^j) \frac{2u^i u^j - u^i - u^j}{u^i - u^j}$
I_{III}	$a \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^i p^j}} \frac{p^i u^i - p^j u^j}{u^i - u^j} + (p^j - p^i) \left(b \frac{1}{p^i u^i - p^j u^j} - c \frac{u^i u^j}{u^i - u^j} \right)$
I_{IV}	$a \ln \left(1 + \frac{p^i - p^j}{u^i - u^j} \right) + b \frac{p^i - p^j}{u^i - u^j} (u^i + u^j) + c \frac{p^i - p^j}{u^i - u^j} [2u^i u^j + \frac{p^i - p^j}{u^i - u^j} u^i u^j + \frac{1}{2}(p^i + p^j)(u^i + u^j)]$
I_V	$(p^i - p^j) \left[\frac{\psi_i - \psi_j}{(u^i - u^j)^2} + c \left(\frac{(p^i - p^j)^2}{(u^i - u^j)^3} - u^i + u^j \right) \right]$

Table 7: Expressions $\psi_{ij} - \psi = g(s^i, s^j; p^i, p^j)$ for the cI systems from Table 4

Equation	Expression $\psi_{ij} - \psi$
cI_I	$a \ln \frac{p^j(1-p^i)s^i - p^i(1-p^j)s^j + (p^i - p^j)s^i s^j}{s^i - s^j + p^j(1-s^i) - p^i(1-s^j)} + b \ln \frac{s^i - s^j}{p^j s^i - p^i s^j} + c \sigma \ln \frac{(p^i - 1)(p^j - 1)(s^j - s^i)(p^i s^j - p^j s^i)}{[s^i - s^j + p^j(1-s^i) - p^i(1-s^j)][p^j(1-p^i)s^i - p^i(1-p^j)s^j + (p^i - p^j)s^i s^j]}$
cI_{II}	$a \ln \frac{p^i s^i - p^j s^j}{p^j(1-s^j) - p^i(1-s^i)} + b \frac{p^i s^i(1-s^j) - p^j s^j(1-s^i)}{s^i - s^j} + c \sigma \ln \frac{(p^i s^i - p^j s^j)(p^j(1-s^j) - p^i(1-s^i))}{p^i p^j (s^i - s^j)^2}$
cI_{III}^A	$a \sigma \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{p^i p^j}} \frac{p^i s^i - p^j s^j}{s^i - s^j} + b \frac{(p^j - p^i)s^i s^j}{s^i - s^j} + c \frac{p^i - p^j}{p^i s^i - p^j s^j}$
cI_{III}^B	$a \ln \frac{s^j - s^i}{p^i s^i - p^j s^j} + (b + c \sigma) \frac{p^i - p^j}{p^i s^i - p^j s^j} - (b - c \sigma)(p^i - p^j) \frac{s^i s^j}{s^i - s^j}$
cI_V	$(p^i - p^j) \left[\frac{\psi_i - \psi_j}{(s^i - s^j)^2} + c \left(\frac{(p^i - p^j)^2}{(s^i - s^j)^3} - s^i + s^j \right) \right]$

6 Idolons

We would like to emphasize the superior role of the difference systems defined on edges (bond systems) described so far, by referring to (adopting Plato's terminology) any vertex system that arises from rewriting the bond system in terms of its particular potential as idolon (i.e. we always treat the idolons as coming from the superior bond systems). By not treating the vertex models (idolons) alone but remembering that they arise from the corresponding bond systems, the issue of non-single valued evolution (if it appears) can be resolved.

6.1 General situation

Taking system I_V as an example, we illustrate the advantages of non treating the correspondences governing potentials in isolation from the difference system they come from. The family of potentials ψ of I_V obeys

$$\psi_{ij} - \psi = (p^i - p^j) \left[\frac{\psi_i - \psi_j}{(u^i - u^j)^2} + c \left(\frac{(p^i - p^j)^2}{(u^i - u^j)^3} - u^i + u^j \right) \right], \quad (22)$$

where functions u^i are given implicitly by

$$\psi_i + \psi = au^i + b(u^{i^2} + p^i) + c(u^{i^3} + 3p^i u^i) + d. \quad (23)$$

It is the implicit relation (23) what gives the multivaluedness of the system. We come with the proposition not to consider (22) and (23) alone but supplement them with the equations

$$u_j^i = u^j + \frac{p^i - p^j}{u^i - u^j} \quad (24)$$

i.e. we consider only such solutions of (22) and (23) that admit existence of functions u^i that obeys (24). It makes the system single-valued provided that a well-posed initial value problem is given. One of such well-posed initial value problem is to prescribe the function ψ at one point (say $\mathcal{P} = (m_0^1, \dots, m_0^n)$) and the functions u^i on edges that belong to straight lines passing through \mathcal{P} and with all coordinates but one fixed (so function u^i is prescribed on n lines, where the i -th line consists of set of edges $\{((m_0^1, \dots, m_0^i + k, \dots, m_0^n), (m_0^1, \dots, m_0^i + k + 1, \dots, m_0^n)) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, see Figure 3 where $n = 2$). A second example of such initial value problem is to prescribe a function ψ at one point \mathcal{P} and the functions u^i on edges, so that both edges and vertices belong to the strip (staircase) $\{(m^1, \dots, m^n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid m_0^1 + \dots + m_0^n \leq m^1 + \dots + m^n < m_0^1 + \dots + m_0^n + n\}$, see Figure 4 where $n = 2$.

In both cases one determines first the functions u^i in the whole domain by means of (24) and then ψ by means of (23) as illustrated on Figure 5 in case of one cube. In the sequence of the sub-figures of Figure 5 we demonstrate the 3D-consistency property of the idolons that correspond to the I or the cI lists. In the first sub-figure we specify the initial data, namely the value of the potential ψ at the origin, together with the fields u^i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, (or s^i , when we consider the cI -list) at the 3 edges. On the second sub-figure, we are using the difference equations of Table 3, to calculate the fields u_j^i (or s_j^i of Table 4) $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, $i \neq j \neq i$. In the third sub-figure, we calculate u_{jk}^i (or s_{jk}^i), $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$, $i \neq j \neq k \neq i$, taking advantage of the compatibility of the system (20). Then, in the fourth sub-figure by using the potential relations of Table 3 (or of Table 4 when we deal with cI -list), fields ψ_i are uniquely determined and fields ψ_{ij} are specified in a unique way, see Table 6 (7). Finally, in the fifth sub-figure the value of ψ_{ijk} can be computed threefold and each of the three different computations gives the same result. Following exactly the same arguments, nD-consistency can be proven just by setting $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $i \neq j \neq k \neq i$.




Figure 3: Intersecting lines initial value problem




Figure 4: Staircase initial value problems




Figure 5: The 3D-consistency property of the idolons.

6.2 Equations from the ABS list

The problem of multivaluedness does not occur when the function f in formula (21) is fractional linear and the potentials of systems presented in Section 5 obey the equations $Q(\psi_{12}, \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi) = 0$ where Q is affine-linear in each of its variables. This case has been considered in details in [19]. The equations we obtain are point equivalent to the following equations from the ABS list

$$\begin{aligned}
H1: & \quad (\psi_{12} - \psi)(\psi_1 - \psi_2) = \alpha - \beta, \\
H2: & \quad (\psi_{12} - \psi)(\psi_1 - \psi_2) - (\alpha - \beta)(\psi_{12} + \psi_1 + \psi_2 + \psi) + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) = 0 \\
H3^\delta: & \quad \alpha(\psi_{12}\psi_2 + \psi_1\psi) - \beta(\psi_{12}\psi_1 + \psi_2\psi) + \delta(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) = 0, \\
A1^\delta: & \quad \alpha(\psi_{12} + \psi_1)(\psi_2 + \psi) - \beta(\psi_{12} + \psi_2)(\psi_1 + \psi) = \delta^2\alpha\beta(\alpha - \beta), \\
A2: & \quad (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(\psi_{12}\psi_1\psi_2\psi + 1) + \alpha(\beta^2 - 1)(\psi_{12}\psi_2 + \psi_1\psi) - \beta(\alpha^2 - 1)(\psi_{12}\psi_1 + \psi_2\psi) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where α and β are given functions of m^1 and m^2 respectively, δ is a constant parameter that can be re-scaled without loss of generality to $\delta = 0$ or $\delta = 1$. In some places we refer to equations

$$\begin{aligned}
Q1^\delta: & \quad \alpha(\psi_{12} - \psi_1)(\psi_2 - \psi) - \beta(\psi_{12} - \psi_2)(\psi_1 - \psi) + \delta^2\alpha\beta(\alpha - \beta) = 0, \\
Q3^0: & \quad (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(\psi_{12}\psi + \psi_1\psi_2) + \alpha(\beta^2 - 1)(\psi_{12}\psi_1 + \psi_2\psi) - \beta(\alpha^2 - 1)(\psi_{12}\psi_2 + \psi_1\psi) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

despite of the fact $Q1^\delta$ is point equivalent to $A1^\delta$ and $Q3^0$ is point equivalent to $A2$. Conversely, within ABS list only the above equations admit existence of the potentials ψ . Mutual relations between the list of ABS equations and the bond systems are summarized in the Table 8.

Any linear combination of potentials is potential again. We span the space of potentials in a basis. As a natural basis in the case of the systems I_I, I_{II}, I_{III} can be chosen the basis consisting of potentials that are

Table 8: Relation of maps with the ABS list

Equation	Relation to map	Parameter associations (α, β)	Map
$H1$	$u = \psi_1 + \psi, \quad v = \psi_2 + \psi$	(p, q)	F_V
	$u = \psi_1 - \psi, \quad v = \psi_2 - \psi$	(p, q)	cH_V
	$u = \psi_1\psi, \quad v = \psi_2\psi$	(p, q)	F_{IV}
$H2$	$2u = \psi_1 + \psi - p, \quad 2v = \psi_2 + \psi - q$	(p, q)	F_{IV}
$H3^0$	$\sqrt{p}u = \psi_1\psi, \quad \sqrt{q}v = \psi_2\psi$	(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})	F_{III}
	$\sqrt{p}u = (-1)^{m^1+m^2}\psi_1\psi, \quad \sqrt{q}v = (-1)^{m^1+m^2}\psi_2\psi$	(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})	cH_{III}^A
	$\sqrt{p}u = \frac{\psi_1}{\psi}, \quad \sqrt{q}v = \frac{\psi_2}{\psi}$	$(1/\sqrt{p}, 1/\sqrt{q})$	cH_{III}^B
$H3^{-1}$	$\sqrt{p}u = \psi_1\psi, \quad \sqrt{q}v = \psi_2\psi$	(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})	F_{II}
$H3^1$	$\sqrt{p}u = -\psi_1\psi, \quad \sqrt{q}v = -\psi_2\psi$	(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})	F_{II}
$Q1^0$	$\frac{u}{u-1} = \frac{\psi_1}{\psi}, \quad \frac{v}{v-1} = \frac{\psi_2}{\psi}$	(p, q)	cH_{II}
	$pu = \psi_1 - \psi, \quad qv = \psi_2 - \psi$	(p, q)	cH_{III}^A
$Q1^{\pm 1}$	$2pu = -(\psi_1 - \psi - p), \quad 2qv = -(\psi_2 - \psi - q)$	(p, q)	cH_{II}
$Q3^0$	$\sqrt{p}u = -\frac{\psi_1}{\psi}, \quad \sqrt{q}v = -\frac{\psi_2}{\psi}$	$(-1/\sqrt{p}, -1/\sqrt{q})$	cH_I
	$\frac{u-p}{u-1} = \sqrt{p}\frac{\psi_1}{\psi}, \quad \frac{v-q}{v-1} = \sqrt{q}\frac{\psi_2}{\psi}$	(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})	cH_I
$A1^{\pm 1}$	$2pu - p = \psi_1 + \psi, \quad 2qv - q = \psi_2 + \psi$	(p, q)	F_{II}
$A1^0$	$2pu = \psi_1 + \psi, \quad 2qv = \psi_2 + \psi$	(p, q)	F_{III}
	$\frac{1}{u} = \psi_1 + \psi, \quad \frac{1}{v} = \psi_2 + \psi$	(p, q)	F_{III}
	$\frac{u}{u-1} = -\frac{\psi_1}{\psi}, \quad \frac{v}{v-1} = -\frac{\psi_2}{\psi}$	(p, q)	cH_{II}
$A2$	$u = \sqrt{p}\psi_1\psi, \quad v = \sqrt{q}\psi_2\psi$	(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})	F_I
	$u = -\sqrt{p(p-1)}\psi_1\psi + p, \quad v = -\sqrt{q(q-1)}\psi_2\psi + q$	$\left(\sqrt{\frac{p-1}{p}}, \sqrt{\frac{q-1}{q}}\right)$	F_I
	$u = \sqrt{1-p}\psi_1\psi + 1, \quad v = \sqrt{1-q}\psi_2\psi + 1$	$\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-p}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-q}}\right)$	F_I

governed by multilinear equations see Figure 6. However, in the case of systems I_V, I_{IV} one has to take into consideration the higher degree equations $H2^*, G2$ and $F1$. $H2^*$ is a multi-quadratic quad-relation that was first obtained in [3], see also [12, 6]. $G2$ is a multi-quartic quad relation that was first presented implicitly in [12]. $F1$ is quad-relation which the variables appear of degree nine, it was also presented implicitly [12]. The last Figure of this paper reveals intriguing structure of the difference systems and their potentials with the top model spanned by three $A2$ equations (it turns out it can be decomposed into three versions of multi-quadratic $A2^*$ relations of the papers [5, 6]), with the structure of the models I_{II} and I_{III} which resembles the quark structure “neutron-proton” and with the non-multilinearity even in models forming the basis of the bottom models I_{IV} and I_V .

7 Bäcklund transformations and perspectives

Despite all the idolons are consistent-around-the-cube which is itself regarded as hallmark of integrability, we see their integrability somewhere else. Namely, in each family of the systems of Tables 3, 7 there is at least one idolon which is multilinear. There exists a map (non-auto Bäcklund transformation) that transform the solutions of the multilinear equation to solutions of any idolon of the family. For instance, in the case of the system I_I a solution x of $A2$ equation define functions $u^i = xx_i$. Plugging it into the second expression from




Figure 6: Systems and their spanning idolons

the first row of Table 3 we get ($y := e^\psi$)

$$y_i y = (xx_i)^a \left(\frac{xx_i - p^i}{\sqrt{p^{i^2} - 1}} \right)^b \left(\frac{p^i xx_i - 1}{\sqrt{p^{i^2} - 1}} \right)^c$$

which constitutes Bäcklund transformation from equation A2 to the following system

$$\begin{aligned}
y_i y &= (u^i)^a \left(\frac{u^i - p^i}{\sqrt{p^{i^2} - 1}} \right)^b \left(\frac{p^i u^i - 1}{\sqrt{p^{i^2} - 1}} \right)^c, \\
\frac{y_{ij}}{y} &= \left(\frac{p^i [1 - p^{j^2}] u^i - p^j [1 - p^{i^2}] u^j - [p^{i^2} - p^{j^2}]}{p^j [1 - p^{i^2}] u^i - p^i [1 - p^{j^2}] u^j + (p^{i^2} - p^{j^2}) u^i u^j} \right)^a \left(\frac{(p^j u^j - p^i u^i) \sqrt{p^{i^2} - 1} \sqrt{p^{j^2} - 1}}{p^j [1 - p^{i^2}] u^i - p^i [1 - p^{j^2}] u^j + (p^{i^2} - p^{j^2}) u^i u^j} \right)^b \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\frac{(p^i u^i - p^j u^j) \sqrt{p^{i^2} - 1} \sqrt{p^{j^2} - 1}}{p^j [1 - p^{i^2}] u^i - p^i [1 - p^{j^2}] u^j + (p^{i^2} - p^{j^2}) u^i u^j} \right)^c.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

In this way we got an extension of results of the papers [4, 7] where systematic studies on Bäcklund transformations between equations from ABS list can be found. However, we concentrated here on non-auto Bäcklund transformations, which map solutions x of an equation of the ABS list to solutions y of another equation, which can be reduced to the following form

$$y_i \pm y = u(x, x_i), \tag{28}$$

or to the exponentiated form

$$y_i y^{\pm 1} = u(x, x_i). \tag{29}$$

For a given equation we gave a procedure that allows one to find all the equations that can be connected to the original equation by Bäcklund transformations of type (28) or of its exponentiated form (29). It is urge to extend this procedure to more complex but still linearizable transformations of the form

$$y_i = \frac{s^i(x, x_i)y + u^i(x, x_i)}{t^i(x, x_i)y + v^i(x, x_i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (30)$$

References

- [1] V.E. Adler, A.I. Bobenko, and Yu.B. Suris. Classification of integrable equations on quad-graphs. The consistency approach. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 233(3):513–543, 2003.
- [2] V.E. Adler, A.I. Bobenko, and Yu.B. Suris. Geometry of Yang-Baxter maps: pencils of conics and quadrirational mappings. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 12(5):967–1007, 2004.
- [3] V.E. Adler and A.P. Veselov. Cauchy problem for integrable discrete equations on quad-graphs. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 84(2):237–262, 2004.
- [4] J. Atkinson. Bäcklund transformations for integrable lattice equations. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 41:Art. no. 135202, 2008.
- [5] J. Atkinson. A multidimensionally consistent version of Hirota’s discrete KdV equation. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 45:Art. no. 222001, 2012.
- [6] J. Atkinson and M. Nieszporski. Multi-quadratic quad equations: integrable cases from a factorised-discriminant hypothesis. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2220(15):4215–4240, 2013.
- [7] R. Boll. Classification of 3D consistent quad-equations. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 18(3):337–365, 2011.
- [8] A. Dimakis and F. Müller-Hoissen. Matrix KP: tropical limit and Yang-Baxter maps. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 2018.
- [9] N. Hietarinta, J. Joshi and F.W. Nijhoff. *Discrete Systems and Integrability*. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics (No. 54). Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [10] R.M. Kashaev, I.G. Korepanov, and S.M. Sergeev. Functional tetrahedron equation. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 117:1402–1413, 1998.
- [11] P. Kassotakis and M. Nieszporski. Families of integrable equations. *SIGMA*, 7:100, 2011.
- [12] P. Kassotakis and M. Nieszporski. On non-multiaffine consistent-around-the-cube lattice equations. *Phys. Lett. A*, 376(45):3135–3140, 2012. arXiv:1106.0435.
- [13] P. Kassotakis and M. Nieszporski. 2^n -rational maps. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 50(21):21LT01, 2017.
- [14] P. Kassotakis and M. Nieszporski. Difference systems in bond and face variables and non-potential versions of discrete integrable systems. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 51(38):385203, 2018.
- [15] T. Kouloukas. Relativistic collisions as Yang-Baxter maps. *Phys. Lett. A*, 381(40):3445 – 3449, 2017.
- [16] F. Nijhoff and H. Capel. The discrete korteweg-de vries equation. *Acta Applicandae Mathematica*, 39(1):133–158, 1995.

- [17] F.W. Nijhoff, G.R.W. Quispel, and H.W. Capel. Direct linearization of nonlinear difference-difference equations. *Phys. Lett. A*, 97:125–128, 1983.
- [18] V.G. Papageorgiou, Yu.B. Suris, A.G. Tongas, and A.P. Veselov. On quadrirational Yang-Baxter maps. *SIGMA*, 6:9pp, 2010.
- [19] V.G. Papageorgiou, A.G. Tongas, and A.P. Veselov. Yang-Baxter maps and symmetries of integrable equations on quad-graphs. *J. Math. Phys.*, 47:Art. no. 083502, 2006.
- [20] S.M. Sergeev. Solutions of the functional tetrahedron equation connected with the local Yang-Baxter equation for the ferro-electric condition. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 45(2):113–119, 1998.
- [21] A.P. Veselov. Yang-Baxter maps and integrable dynamics. *Phys. Lett. A*, 314:214–221, 2003.