

HOMOTOPY RIBBON CONCORDANCE AND ALEXANDER POLYNOMIALS

STEFAN FRIEDL AND MARK POWELL

ABSTRACT. We show that if a link J in the 3-sphere is homotopy ribbon concordant to a link L then the Alexander polynomial of L divides the Alexander polynomial of J .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $I := [0, 1]$. An oriented, ordered m -component link J in S^3 is *homotopy ribbon concordant* to an oriented, ordered m -component link L if there is a concordance $C \cong \bigsqcup^m S^1 \times I$, locally flatly embedded in $S^3 \times I$, restricting to $J \subset S^3 \times \{0\}$ and $L \subset S^3 \times \{1\}$, such that the induced map on fundamental groups of exteriors

$$\pi_1(S^3 \setminus \nu J) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1((S^3 \times I) \setminus \nu C)$$

is surjective and the induced map

$$\pi_1(S^3 \setminus \nu L) \hookrightarrow \pi_1((S^3 \times I) \setminus \nu C)$$

is injective. Here νJ , νL , and νC denote open tubular neighbourhoods. When J is homotopy ribbon concordant to L we write $J \geq_{\text{top}} L$. From now on we write

$$X_J := S^3 \setminus \nu J, \quad X_L := S^3 \setminus \nu L, \quad \text{and} \quad X_C := (S^3 \times I) \setminus \nu C.$$

The notion of homotopy ribbon concordance is a natural homotopy group analogue of the notion of smooth ribbon concordance initially introduced by Gordon [Gor81] for knots: we say the link J is (smoothly) ribbon concordant to the link L , written $J \geq_{\text{sm}} L$, if there is a smooth concordance from J to L such that the restriction of the projection map $S^3 \times I \rightarrow I$ to C yields a Morse function on C without minima. The exterior of such a concordance admits a handle decomposition relative to X_J with only 2- and 3-handles, from which it is easy to see that the induced map $\pi_1(X_J) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_C)$ is surjective. Gordon's argument [Gor81, Lemma 3.1] shows that $\pi_1(X_L) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_C)$ is injective. Thus a ribbon concordance of is a homotopy ribbon concordance.

The Alexander polynomial $\Delta_J(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_m^{\pm 1}]$ of an oriented, ordered m -component link J is by definition the order of the torsion submodule of the first homology $H_1(X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])$ of the universal abelian cover of X_J . Here the precise coefficient system $\varphi: \pi_1(X_J) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m$ is determined by the oriented meridians and the ordering of L .

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $J \geq_{\text{top}} L$. Then $\Delta_L \mid \Delta_J$.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M25, 57M27, 57N70,
Key words and phrases. Ribbon concordance, Alexander polynomial.

For knots and for $\geq_{\text{sm}}$ instead of $\geq_{\text{top}}$, Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of a more general theorem of Gilmer [Gil84]. However Gilmer’s proof does not extend to the topological category.

Further classical work on ribbon concordance includes [Miy90], [Gil84], [Miy98], and [Sil92].

We want to explain a fairly simple proof of Theorem 1.1, thus we will not prove the most general result possible. But we expect that our argument can be generalised to twisted Alexander polynomials [KL99a, KL99b, HKL10] and higher order Alexander polynomials [Coc04], provided one uses a unitary representation that extends over the ribbon concordance exterior. Our proof can also be generalised to concordances between links in homology spheres. Having not found a convincing application, we have not carried out either of these generalisations in this short note.

A number of articles have recently appeared on the relation of ribbon concordance to Heegaard-Floer and Khovanov homology [Zem19, LZ19, MZ19, JMZ19, Sar19]. These techniques of course do not apply to locally flat concordances. For those working in the smooth category, we thought it might be of interest to show how to establish, with minimal machinery, the existence of two concordant knots that are not ribbon concordant.

Remark 1.2. It is straightforward to apply Theorem 1.1 to construct examples of concordant knots that are not homotopy ribbon concordant. For instance (this example was given by Gordon [Gor81], but with a different proof), let K be a trefoil and let J be the figure eight knot. Then $K\# - K$ and $J\# - J$ are both slice, so are concordant. But the Alexander polynomials are coprime, so there is no homotopy ribbon concordance between these knots.

Remark 1.3. Perhaps somewhat surprisingly, the condition that $\pi_1(X_L) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_C)$ is injective is not needed anywhere in our proof of Theorem 1.1.

Gordon conjectured that ribbon concordance gives a partial order on knots. This conjecture is still open: in order to prove it, one would have to show that if J is ribbon concordant to K and K is ribbon concordant to I , then K and J are isotopic.

By work of Freedman [FQ90, Theorem 11.7B], there is a concordance C with $\pi_1(X_C) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ from the unknot U to K for every Alexander polynomial one knot K . So in order to make the analogous conjecture that $\geq_{\text{top}}$ is a partial order, we have included that $\pi_1(X_K) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_C)$ is injective in the definition. Thus, the concordance C is not a homotopy ribbon concordance.

Conjecture 1.4. The relation $\geq_{\text{top}}$ is a partial order on the set of knots.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Arunima Ray and the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn. We also thank an anonymous referee for providing the impetus to include the case of links. SF was supported by the SFB “higher invariants” which is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG.

2. INJECTION AND SURJECTION OF ALEXANDER MODULES

In this section we will prove several results on the interplay between Alexander modules and homotopy ribbon concordance. The combination of these results will imply Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.1. *If C is a ribbon concordance from J to L , then the induced map*

$$H_1(X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \rightarrow H_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])$$

is surjective.

First proof of Proposition 2.1. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\pi_1(X_J) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_J) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^m & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\pi_1(X_C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_C) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^m & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Since the middle map is an epimorphism we see that map on the left is an epimorphism. By the Hurewicz theorem, the induced map

$$H_1(\ker(\pi_1(X_J) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\ker(\pi_1(X_C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m); \mathbb{Z})$$

on homology is an epimorphism. But by the Shapiro lemma the homology groups $H_1(\ker(\pi_1(X_J) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m); \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_1(\ker(\pi_1(X_C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m); \mathbb{Z})$ are precisely the twisted homology groups $H_1(X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])$ and $H_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])$ respectively. $\square$

Here is another proof using homological algebra, for which generalisation to twisted coefficients would be easier.

Second proof of Proposition 2.1. We prove the somewhat stronger statement that $H_1(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) = 0$. Consider the long exact sequence of the pair with $\mathbb{Z}\pi := \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X_C)]$ coefficients, where $\pi := \pi_1(X_C)$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}\pi) &\rightarrow H_1(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}\pi) \rightarrow H_0(X_J; \mathbb{Z}\pi) \\ &\rightarrow H_0(X_C; \mathbb{Z}\pi) \rightarrow H_0(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}\pi) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi = \pi_1(X_C)$, we have $H_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}\pi) = 0$ and $H_0(X_C; \mathbb{Z}\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\pi_1(X_J) \rightarrow \pi$ is surjective, the induced cover of X_J is connected, so $H_0(X_J; \mathbb{Z}\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and the map to $H_0(X_C; \mathbb{Z}\pi)$ is an isomorphism. We deduce that

$$H_1(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}\pi) = 0 = H_0(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}\pi).$$

Next, apply the universal coefficient spectral sequence for homology

$$\mathrm{Tor}_p^{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]}(H_q(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}\pi), \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]).$$

to change to $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$ coefficients. The terms on the 1-line $p + q = 1$ of the E^2 page are

$$\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} H_1(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}\pi) = 0 \text{ and } \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}\pi}(H_0(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}\pi), \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) = 0.$$

It follows that the 1-line on the E^∞ page vanishes too, so that $H_1(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) = 0$ as desired. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

We continue with the following variation on Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.2. *If C is a ribbon concordance from J to L , then the induced map*

$$TH_1(X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \rightarrow TH_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])$$

between the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$ -torsion submodules is surjective.

Proof. First, the fact that $X_J \rightarrow X_C$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}$ -homology isomorphism implies that $H_i(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all i . By chain homotopy lifting [COT03, Proposition 2.10] this implies that

$$H_i(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Z}^m)) = 0$$

for all i . This in turn implies that the right vertical map in the next commutative diagram is an isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & TH_1(X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) & \longrightarrow & H_1(X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) & \longrightarrow & H_1(X_J; \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Z}^m)) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & TH_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) & \longrightarrow & H_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) & \longrightarrow & H_1(X_C; \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Z}^m)) \end{array}$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$, the horizontal sequences are exact. By Proposition 2.1 the middle map is an epimorphism. A straightforward diagram chase shows that the left vertical map is also an epimorphism. $\square$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.2 and of the multiplicativity of orders in short exact sequences of torsion $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$ -modules [Lev67, Lemma 5].

Corollary 2.3. *The orders of the torsion submodules of the homologies satisfy:*

$$\text{ord } TH_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \mid \underbrace{\text{ord } TH_1(X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])}_{=\Delta_J}.$$

We continue with the following proposition that relates the Alexander modules of J and C .

Proposition 2.4. *If C is a ribbon concordance from J to L , then the induced map*

$$H_1(X_L; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \rightarrow H_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])$$

is injective.

In the proof of Proposition 2.4 we shall make use of the next lemma. The proof of the lemma is a straightforward check and is omitted.

Lemma 2.5. *Let π be a group, let C_* be a chain complex of free left $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -modules and let $\varphi: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m$ be a homomorphism. The map φ induces a $(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m], \mathbb{Z}[\pi])$ -bimodule structure on $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$. The map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\text{right-}\mathbb{Z}[\pi]}(\overline{C_*}; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) & \rightarrow & \overline{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi]} C_*; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])} \\ f & \mapsto & (p \otimes \sigma \mapsto p \cdot \overline{f(\sigma)}) \end{array}$$

is well-defined and is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$ -cochain complexes.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. We show that $H_2(X_C, X_L; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) = 0$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.2, $H_i(X_C, X_L; \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Z}^m)) = 0$ for all i . Since commutative localisation is flat, this implies in particular that $H_i(X_C, X_L; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$ -torsion for all i .

Now by Poincaré-Lefschetz duality,

$$H_2(X_C, X_L; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \cong H^2(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]).$$

As above write $\pi := \pi_1(X_C)$. Now

$$H^2(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \cong \overline{H^2(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C_*(X_C, X_L; \mathbb{Z}\pi), \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])}$$

by Lemma 2.5. We can compute the right hand side of this using the universal coefficient spectral sequence for cohomology [Lev77, Theorem 2.3], which combined with the equation above gives a spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]}^p(H_q(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]), \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \Rightarrow \overline{H^{p+q}(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])}.$$

We shall show that all the terms on the 2-line $p + q = 2$ vanish. First, since $H_2(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])$ is torsion, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]}^0(H_2(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]), \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]}(H_2(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]), \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) = 0.$$

We showed in the proof of Proposition 2.1 that $H_1(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) = 0$. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]}^1(H_1(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]), \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) = 0.$$

Finally $H_0(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) = 0$, so

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]}^2(H_0(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]), \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) = 0.$$

This completes the proof that all the terms on the 2-line vanish, so we see that

$$\overline{H_2(X_C, X_L; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])} \cong \overline{H^2(X_C, X_J; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])} = 0$$

which implies that $H_2(X_C, X_L; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) = 0$ as desired. It then follows from the long exact sequence of the pair (X_C, X_L) that the map

$$H_1(X_L; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]) \rightarrow H_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])$$

is injective. $\square$

Using the aforementioned multiplicativity of orders in short exact sequences of torsion $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$ -modules we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *The orders of the torsion submodules of the homologies satisfy:*

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{ord} TH_1(X_L; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])}_{=\Delta_L} \mid \mathrm{ord} TH_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]).$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

By Corollary 2.6, we have that $\Delta_L = \mathrm{ord} TH_1(X_L; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])$ divides $\Delta_C := \mathrm{ord} TH_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m])$. That is, $\Delta_C = \Delta_L \cdot p$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$. On the other hand, by Corollary 2.3, for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^m]$ we have that $\Delta_C \cdot q = \Delta_J$. Therefore

$$\Delta_J = \Delta_C \cdot q = \Delta_L \cdot p \cdot q$$

and so $\Delta_L \mid \Delta_J$ as desired. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [Coc04] Tim D. Cochran. Noncommutative knot theory. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 4:347–398, 2004.
- [COT03] Tim D. Cochran, Kent E. Orr, and Peter Teichner. Knot concordance, Whitney towers and L^2 -signatures. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 157(2):433–519, 2003.
- [FQ90] Michael H. Freedman and Frank Quinn. *Topology of 4-manifolds*, volume 39 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990.
- [Gil84] Patrick M. Gilmer. Ribbon concordance and a partial order on S -equivalence classes. *Topology Appl.*, 18(2-3):313–324, 1984.
- [Gor81] Cameron McA. Gordon. Ribbon concordance of knots in the 3-sphere. *Math. Ann.*, 257(2):157–170, 1981.
- [HKL10] Chris Herald, Paul Kirk, and Charles Livingston. Metabelian representations, twisted Alexander polynomials, knot slicing, and mutation. *Math. Z.*, 265(4):925–949, 2010.

- [JMZ19] András Juhász, Maggie Miller, and Ian Zemke. Knot cobordisms, torsion, and Floer homology. arXiv:1904.02735, 2019.
- [KL99a] Paul Kirk and Charles Livingston. Twisted Alexander invariants, Reidemeister torsion, and Casson-Gordon invariants. *Topology*, 38(3):635–661, 1999.
- [KL99b] Paul Kirk and Charles Livingston. Twisted knot polynomials: inversion, mutation and concordance. *Topology*, 38(3):663–671, 1999.
- [Lev67] Jerome Levine. A method for generating link polynomials. *Am. J. Math.*, 89:69–84, 1967.
- [Lev77] Jerome P. Levine. Knot modules. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 229:1–50, 1977.
- [LZ19] Adam Simon Levine and Ian Zemke. Khovanov homology and ribbon concordance. arXiv:1903.01546, 2019.
- [Miy90] Katura Miyazaki. Ribbon concordance does not imply a degree one map. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 108(4):1055–1058, 1990.
- [Miy98] Katura Miyazaki. Band-sums are ribbon concordant to the connected sum. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126(11):3401–3406, 1998.
- [MZ19] Maggie Miller and Ian Zemke. Knot Floer homology and strongly homotopy-ribbon concordances. arXiv:1903.05772, 2019.
- [Sar19] Sucharit Sarkar. Ribbon distance and Khovanov homology. arXiv:1903.11095, 2019.
- [Sil92] Daniel S. Silver. On knot-like groups and ribbon concordance. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 82(1):99–105, 1992.
- [Zem19] Ian Zemke. Knot Floer homology obstructs ribbon concordance. arXiv:1902.04050, 2019.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG, GERMANY
E-mail address: `sfriedl@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DURHAM UNIVERSITY, UK
E-mail address: `mark.a.powell@durham.ac.uk`