

Confining Strings, Infinite Statistics and Integrability

John C. Donahue and Sergei Dubovsky
Center for Cosmology and Particle Physics, Department of Physics,
New York University, New York, NY, 10003, USA

We study confining strings in massive adjoint two-dimensional chromodynamics. Off-shell, as a consequence of zigzag formation, the resulting worldsheet theory provides a non-trivial dynamical realization of infinite quon statistics. Taking the high energy limit we identify a remarkably simple and novel integrable relativistic N -body system. Its symmetry algebra contains an additional “shadow” Poincaré subalgebra. This model describes the N -particle subsector of a $T\bar{T}$ -deformed massless fermion.

Introduction. $SU(N_c)$ quantum chromodynamics (QCD) turns into a free string theory in the planar ($N_c \rightarrow \infty$) limit [1]. For its maximally supersymmetric cousin the corresponding string theory has been identified as type IIB critical superstrings on $AdS_5 \times S_5$ [2]. Moreover, the corresponding worldsheet theory is integrable and has been solved, resulting in the exact spectrum of planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang–Mills (YM) (see [3] for an overview).

It has proven excruciatingly difficult to reproduce this success for planar non-supersymmetric gluodynamics. The corresponding string theory has not even been identified yet, despite a rich 45 year-long history of study. One may suspect at first that the question is not sufficiently well-posed. However, a sharp version of the problem can be formulated as follows [4]. Consider a background of YM theory with a single infinitely long confining string (flux tube). In the strict planar limit the string excitations decouple from bulk degrees of freedom and give rise to a microscopic two-dimensional model. The challenge is to build this worldsheet theory.

With this sharp formulation at hand, one immediately understands the source of the difficulties. Namely, in the absence of additional massless degrees of freedom on the worldsheet, the flux tube theory in $D = 4$ -dimensional space-time has irreducible particle production [5, 6], associated with the Polchinski–Strominger term [7]. Lattice YM simulations [8–13] (see [14, 15] for reviews) rule out the presence of additional massless excitations on the worldsheet, thus excluding integrability.

Note that at $D = 3$ integrability does not require additional degrees of freedom [4]. However, a closer look at the lattice data shows that the worldsheet theory is not integrable in $D = 3$ YM either [16–18].

Hence, unlike for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ case, in the planar limit of non-supersymmetric YM we are left with an interacting non-integrable two-dimensional model. To make life harder (and more interesting), at high energies this model exhibits characteristically gravitational behavior instead of that of a conventional quantum field theory [19, 20].

This leaves two directions for further progress. First, as gluodynamics does not have any relevant deformations, the worldsheet theory is likely isolated. This turns it into a natural target for the modern S -matrix bootstrap [21, 22], following the success of the conformal bootstrap in describing another isolated theory—the 3D Ising

model [23]. A first promising step in this direction has been made very recently [24].

The other possibility is to identify a nearby integrable model, and to obtain a description of the worldsheet dynamics by performing a systematic perturbative expansion around this integrable theory. The quality of the latter expansion will then provide a natural measure of the proximity of the integrable mode to the full worldsheet theory.

One may object that there is no *a priori* reason for a controlled integrable approximation to exist. However, the analysis of lattice data provides a number of tantalizing hints supporting this program. This motivated a proposal for an integrable approximation—the Axionic String Ansatz (ASA)—both at $D = 3$ and $D = 4$ [4, 17, 25, 26].

The successes of the ASA have a clear physical origin [20, 27]. At low energies the worldsheet degrees of freedom are translational Goldstone bosons of the nonlinearly realized Poincaré symmetry [5, 28–32]. Their low energy dynamics is well-approximated by a classically integrable Nambu–Goto action. On the other hand, at high energies worldsheet degrees of freedom correspond to partons of perturbative QCD (gluons in the YM case). Asymptotic freedom then implies that hard particle production is suppressed also at high energies.

This reasoning suggests that the violation of worldsheet integrability may be a transient phenomenon dominated by intermediate energies, $E \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$. A combination of low and high energy expansions may then allow one to describe the worldsheet dynamics at all scales.

As argued in [20], a natural playground to test these ideas is provided by adjoint $D = 2$ QCD. As the worldsheet theory lives in two dimensions for any D , general lessons from the study of the worldsheet should be universally applicable.

The goal of the present paper is to report a solution to the very first step in this program—the identification of an integrable approximation at $D = 2$.

Hamiltonian Formalism and Infinite Statistics. Massive adjoint QCD₂ is defined by the following action

$$S = \int d\tau d\sigma \text{Tr} \left(\frac{-1}{2g^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi} (i\nabla^{(ad)} - m)\psi \right), \quad (1)$$

where ψ is a Majorana fermion in the adjoint represen-

tation of the $SU(N_c)$ gauge group¹. We will mostly consider the heavy mass regime

$$m^2 \gg g^2 N_c, \quad (2)$$

where one expects a straightforward perturbative treatment to apply. The spectrum of this model was studied extensively in early 90's [34–36] (see [37] for a recent update). A study of the worldsheet dynamics has been initiated in [20], we will adopt the same procedure here.

Following [38, 39], we put the theory on a finite interval, $\sigma \in (-L, L)$ with an infinitely heavy fundamental quark-antiquark pair Q, \bar{Q} placed at the endpoints. Eventually, we take the infinite volume limit $L \rightarrow \infty$. Then the worldsheet theory describes dynamics of states created by gauge invariant single-trace operators of the form

$$\mathcal{O}_w = \bar{Q} \left(P e^{i \int_C d\sigma A_\sigma} \psi(\sigma_1) \dots \psi(\sigma_N) \right) Q. \quad (3)$$

Here the integration path \mathcal{C} starts at $\sigma = -L$ and ends at $\sigma = L$, but does not have to be straight. Turning points are allowed at the locations σ_i of the adjoint quarks insertions because the Polyakov zigzag symmetry [40] is broken in the presence of the adjoint matter. Physically, one may think that the worldsheet theory describes the interior of a heavy quark $Q\bar{Q}$ -meson.

After fixing the spatial gauge, $A_\sigma = 0$, one can integrate out the remaining non-dynamical component A_τ of the gauge field. The resulting Hamiltonian acts in the extended Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_{ex} = V \otimes \mathcal{H}_f \otimes \bar{V}, \quad (4)$$

where \mathcal{H}_f is the free fermion Fock space, and $V(\bar{V})$ are (anti)fundamental representations of the color group. These additional factors represent color degrees of freedom of the endpoint quarks $Q(\bar{Q})$. As a consequence of confinement, the physical Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{ph} is the subspace of \mathcal{H}_{ex} annihilated by all color charges,

$$\left(\mathcal{T}^a + \bar{\mathcal{T}}^a + \int d\sigma \rho^a \right) \mathcal{H}_{ph} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Here ρ^a is the color density of adjoint fermions, and $\mathcal{T}^a(\bar{\mathcal{T}}^a)$ are (anti)fundamental generators representing color charges of $Q(\bar{Q})$.

To describe the physical states it is convenient to adopt operator notations following from the identification

$$V \otimes \bar{V} = L(V), \quad (6)$$

where $L(V)$ is the space of linear operators acting on V . Then a general state in \mathcal{H}_{ex} takes the form

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i |\psi_i\rangle_F \otimes M_i, \quad (7)$$

with $|\psi_i\rangle_F \in \mathcal{H}_f$ and $M_i \in L(V)$. The physical worldsheet Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_w is generated by color singlets of the form

$$\mathcal{H}_w = \{ \psi^{a_1} \dots \psi^{a_N} |0\rangle_F \otimes T^{a_1} \dots T^{a_N} \}. \quad (8)$$

Here T^a 's are fundamental $SU(N_c)$ generators considered as elements of $L(V)$. These are not to be confused with the quantum operators \mathcal{T}^a 's in (5). Note that \mathcal{H}_{ph} contains additional multitrace color singlet states such as the mesonic state $\psi^a \psi^a |0\rangle_F \otimes \mathbb{1}$. Multitrace states decouple from the worldsheet in the planar limit.

In the momentum representation the physical worldsheet states can be written as linear combinations of

$$|k_1, \dots, k_N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{2}{N_c} \right)^{\frac{N+1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^N b_{k_i}^{a_i \dagger} |0\rangle_F \otimes \prod_{i=1}^N T^{a_i}, \quad (9)$$

where $b_k^{a \dagger}$ are fermionic creation operators. For many purposes it is convenient to also use the coordinate representation. The corresponding basis is

$$|\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N\rangle = \int \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{dk_i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-ik_i \sigma_i} |k_1, \dots, k_N\rangle. \quad (10)$$

In the heavy mass regime (2) eigenstates of the worldsheet Hamiltonian are well characterized by their parton number. In particular, one finds the worldsheet vacuum $|0\rangle = |0\rangle_F \otimes \mathbb{1}$ and one-particle excitations $|k\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{N_c} b_k^{a \dagger} \otimes T^a$, dubbed “free quarks” in [39].

As emphasized in [20], the worldsheet multiparticle states (9), (10) do not describe conventional identical particles. For instance, the configuration space of two-particle states (10) is the whole plane instead of the half-plane, as $|\sigma_1, \sigma_2\rangle \neq |\sigma_2, \sigma_1\rangle$. Equivalently, in the planar limit the exchange term is missing in multiparticle inner products,

$$\langle \sigma'_N, \dots, \sigma'_1 | \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(\sigma_i - \sigma'_i). \quad (11)$$

This is the inner product for a system of N distinguishable particles, indicating that the worldsheet theory provides a non-trivial dynamical realization of infinite quon statistics (see, e.g., [41]). It also serves as an interesting counterexample to the common lore [42] that the conventional Fock space is the only possible arena for Lorentz invariant quantum dynamics.

Up to now our treatment of the worldsheet theory was mostly kinematical. To study dynamics and to implement the program outlined in the introduction we need to evaluate how the worldsheet Hamiltonian acts on the physical space \mathcal{H}_w and to learn how to develop perturbation theory in this unconventional Hilbert space. We leave this task for a separate publication [43] and will discuss here only the very first step—identification of an unperturbed Hamiltonian H .

¹ A study of different fermion representations can be found in, e.g., [33]

Let us first inspect two-particle matrix elements of the full worldsheet Hamiltonian H_w . In the c.o.m. frame one finds [20]

$$\langle k_2, k_1 | H_w | k, -k \rangle = \delta(k_1 + k_2) \left(\delta(k_1 - k) 2\omega_k - \frac{g^2 N_c}{4\pi} \mathcal{V} \right).$$

Here $\omega_k = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$ and

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}(k, k_1) \frac{\mathcal{P}}{(k - k_1)^2} + i\pi \delta'(k - k_1) - \frac{m^2}{4\omega_k^2 \omega_{k_1}^2}, \quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{U}(k, k_1)$ is a smooth function of momenta. It is equal to unity for $k, k_1 \ll m$, or $k, k_1 \gg m$ and also in the forward limit $k = k_1$. \mathcal{P} stands for the principal value.

Even though \mathcal{V} is multiplied by the 't Hooft coupling, it cannot be entirely treated as a perturbation due to the presence of forward singularities in (12). The physical meaning of these singularities is transparent in position space. Setting $\mathcal{U}(k, k_1) = 1$, dropping the last non-singular term in (12) and switching to position space we arrive at

$$\mathcal{V}_0(\sigma) = \sigma + |\sigma|. \quad (13)$$

We see that the conventional statistics gets restored on-shell—the growth of the potential (13) at $\sigma = +\infty$ reduces the number of scattering states by a factor of two, restoring the agreement with state counting for conventional identical particles.

It is straightforward to check that the story repeats for multiparticle states. Namely, all terms in the full Hamiltonian which grow at spatial infinity are diagonal in the particle number and combine into the following potential in the N -particle sector

$$V_N = \frac{g^2 N_c}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \mathcal{V}_0(\sigma_{i,i+1}), \quad (14)$$

where $\sigma_{i,i+1} = \sigma_i - \sigma_{i+1}$. As a result, in the asymptotic regions $\tau \rightarrow \pm\infty$ one only finds configurations with $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_N$ and the conventional statistics gets restored on-shell for any number of colliding particles. Zigzags responsible for the emergence of the off-shell infinite statistics cost energy and do not survive on-shell.

Integrable Worldsheet Mechanics. These results suggest the following choice of the unperturbed Hamiltonian in the worldsheet perturbation theory,

$$H_{N,m} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{p_i^2 + m^2} + V_N, \quad (15)$$

where the subscript N indicates that (15) is a restriction of the unperturbed Hamiltonian H to the N -particle sector. In addition to the conventional free piece, $H_{N,m}$ also incorporates the leading forward singularities, which determine the asymptotic growth of the potential. A similar leading order Hamiltonian was also obtained in the Abelian case (at $N = 2$) based on \hbar counting [38].

To see whether (15) is a good choice it is natural to check at least the following two conditions:

- (i) Is (15) Poincaré invariant?
- (ii) Is (15) integrable?

We restrict to the classical analysis of (15). Even though the procedure which lead us to (15) was not manifestly Lorentz covariant, the final result is. Indeed, piecewise the potential (14) is either free or describes a constant electric field acting on some of the particles. Both options correspond to Lorentz invariant dynamics in two dimensions. More precisely, a single particle moving in a constant electric field is invariant under the centrally extended Poincaré group [44]. However, the central charge vanishes for (15) after contributions from all particles are added up. The corresponding boost generator is

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i \sqrt{p_i^2 + m^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\sigma_i + \sigma_{i+1}) \mathcal{V}_0(\sigma_{i,i+1}). \quad (16)$$

The Poisson brackets between H , J and the total momentum P satisfy the $ISO(1,1)$ Poincaré algebra

$$\{H, P\} = 0, \quad \{J, P\} = H, \quad \{J, H\} = P. \quad (17)$$

One might expect then that the system (15) is also integrable, *i.e.* no momentum transfer occurs in multiparticle collisions. Indeed, as a consequence of crossing symmetry, momentum transfer implies particle production. The latter is absent for H . In accord with this argument, in the relativistic two-dimensional² N -body systems of [48, 49] integrability automatically follows from Poincaré invariance.

However, a straightforward *Mathematica* simulation of (15) shows that the system is *not* integrable. Most likely this is related to difficulties with preserving the Poincaré algebra (17) at the quantum level in very similar models [50, 51]. We leave it to [43] to study whether this may be resolved by including subleading forward singularities in H . Instead, here we observe that the high energy limit of (15) given by

$$H_N \equiv H_{N,0} = \sum_{i=1}^N |p_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\sigma_{i,i+1} + |\sigma_{i,i+1}|), \quad (18)$$

does give rise to a Poincaré invariant integrable N -body model. From now on we set the 't Hooft coupling to unity, $g^2 N_c = 4\pi$. Note that this limit does not contradict (2), as $p^2 \gg m^2 \gg g^2 N_c$. On the other hand, (18) does not actually rely on (2)—this Hamiltonian describes the high energy worldsheet dynamics independently of whether (2) holds or not.

Integrability of (18) is the main observation of this paper. It confirms that (18) does provide a good starting

² Recall that at $D > 2$ the “no interaction theorem” [45–47] excludes interacting finite-dimensional relativistic Hamiltonian systems.




FIG. 1. Time evolution for a sample 5-particle scattering (left) and of a 3-particle configuration in the periodic case (right).

point for the high energy expansion on the worldsheet. The fastest way to check integrability is to solve the equations of motion,

$$\dot{\sigma}_i = s_i, \quad \dot{p}_i = s_{i-1,i} - s_{i,i+1}. \quad (19)$$

Here $s_i = \text{sign } p_i$, $s_{0,1} = s_{N,N+1} = -1$ and $s_{j,j+1} = \text{sign } \sigma_{j,j+1}$ for $1 \leq j < N$. A general solution of (19) is a piecewise linear function of time. Using *Mathematica*³ it does not take long to convince oneself that the initial and final asymptotic sets of momenta are always the same. As an illustration, in Fig. 1 we plot a non-trivial five-particle collision and a self-repeating three-particle solution of the integrable periodic version of the model obtained by setting $s_{0,1} = s_{N,N+1} = \text{sign}(\sigma_N - \sigma_1 - 2\pi)$.

We will present a detailed study of the resulting integrable structure in [43] and restrict here to just a few remarks. Given the piecewise linear time dependence of the solutions, it is natural to look for conserved topological invariants $T(S)$ and also for stepwise linear conserved charges of the form

$$I(\sigma, p) = A^i(S)\sigma_i + B^i(S)p_i, \quad (20)$$

where $S = (s_{0,1}, s_1, \dots, s_n, s_{n,n+1})$ is the set of all signs. For instance, using (19) it is straightforward to check that

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N s_i (s_{i-1,i} + s_{i,i+1}) \quad (21)$$

stays constant. To see the physical meaning of T_2 let us evaluate it in the asymptotic regions, where all $s_{i-1,i} = -1$. One finds,

$$T_2 = N_L - N_R,$$

where $N_{L(R)}$ are the numbers of left(right)-movers in the asymptotic regions. It is natural to think of S as a sequence of classical spin variables. Interestingly, T_2 turns then into the Ising Hamiltonian.

T_2 also provides a convenient starting point to construct a non-topological charge by looking for F_{T_2} satisfying $\{F_{T_2}, H\} = T_2$. Using (19) it is straightforward to construct F_{T_2} in the non-periodic case,

$$F_{T_2} = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right) |p_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} k |\sigma_{k,k+1}| - N \left(\sigma_N + \frac{H_N}{2}\right).$$

F_{T_2} itself is only conserved in the sector with $N_L = N_R$. However, it is possible to build a new conserved quantity in all sectors by acting on F_{T_2} with the boost generator. Namely, let us define

$$\tilde{P} = T_2\{J, F_{T_2}\} - N F_{T_2}, \quad \tilde{H} = T_2 F_{T_2} - N\{J, F_{T_2}\}.$$

Then the Poincaré algebra (17) gets enlarged to

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tilde{H}, \tilde{P}\} &= 0, \quad \{J, \tilde{P}\} = \tilde{H}, \quad \{J, \tilde{H}\} = \tilde{P} \\ \{H, \tilde{H}\} &= \{\tilde{P}, P\} = N^2 - T_2^2, \quad \{P, \tilde{H}\} = \{H, \tilde{P}\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

It was suggested in [20] that in a putative gravitational description of the worldsheet the zigzags should correspond to black holes and the exotic off-shell statistics

³ An exact *Mathematica* solver and the resulting movies can be downloaded at <https://jcdonahue.net/research>.

to black hole complementarity. In this context it is encouraging to find the “shadow” Poincaré subalgebra $(\tilde{H}, \tilde{P}, J)$. It will be interesting to check whether the shadow charges (\tilde{H}, \tilde{P}) may be identified with the Hamiltonian and momentum seen by infalling observers.

Similar techniques also allow one to obtain additional integrals in involution at $N > 2$. For instance, for $N = 3$ the following translationally invariant combination is conserved in the $N_R = 2, N_L = 1$ sector

$$I_{12} = \mathcal{V}_0(p_1) + \mathcal{V}_0(\sigma_{1,2}) + \frac{\mathcal{V}_0(p_2)}{4}(3 + s_1(s_{1,2} - 1) + s_{1,2}).$$

This establishes Liouville integrability at $N = 3$. Interestingly, I_{12} is *not* a higher order polynomial in momenta, as typically found using the Lax pair technique in similar integrable models (such as the Toda chain [52]). We expect that also at $N > 3$ the ansatz (20) leads to $N - 2$ additional independent charges in involution.

Future Directions and Relation to $T\bar{T}$. The presented results open numerous avenues for future research. In particular, what about the quantum integrability of (18)? The following argument indicates that it should hold. The classical two-particle phase shift following from (18) is $\delta = s$, which coincides with the exact phase shift of a known quantum integrable model—the $T\bar{T}$ deformation of a free massless fermion [19, 53–56] (see, e.g., [57, 58] for explicit derivations of the corresponding classical action). This indicates that there exists a quantization of (18) resulting in the same phase shift, and that (18) describes the N -particle subsector of the $T\bar{T}$ deformed fermion, similarly to how the Ruijsenaars–Schneider model describes the N -particle subsector of the sine-Gordon the-

ory [48]. The appearance of the shadow Poincaré subalgebra in (22) mirrors the presence of dynamical and worldsheet “clocks and rods” in the gravitational formulation of the $T\bar{T}$ deformation [59–62]. This relation also suggests that the infinite quon Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_w may provide a natural arena for defining off-shell observables in $T\bar{T}$ deformed theories. It will be interesting to connect this to the recent construction of [63]. Finally, this relation indicates that an integrable relativistic N -body system described here is actually a model of dynamical geometry (gravity), see also [64].

As far as the $D = 2$ QCD physics goes, it was noticed in numerical studies of the spectrum that meson mass eigenstates have definite parton numbers with a very high accuracy even for small quark masses [34, 35]. It was also observed that the equation for the spectrum becomes exactly solvable in the high energy limit [36]. Both these observations should be related to the integrability found here and it will be useful to make the connection precise.

In addition, it will be very interesting to see which of the presented results can be generalized to $D = 3, 4$ and to connect this approach to other signs of approximate integrability in QCD, such as [65, 66]. It is encouraging to see that an integrable $T\bar{T}$ -deformed model emerged at high energies in the $D = 2$ case, given that according to the ASA the integrable models appearing at $D = 3, 4$ are also $T\bar{T}$ deformations.

Acknowledgements. We thank Misha Feigin, Vitya Gorbenko, Sam Grushevsky, Misha Ivanov, Igor Klebanov, Zohar Komargodski, Grisha Korchemsky, Conghuan Luo and Riccardo Rattazzi for useful discussions. This work is supported in part by the NSF CAREER award PHY-1352119.

-
- [1] G. 't Hooft, “A Planar Diagram Theory for Strong Interactions,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B72** (1974) 461.
- [2] J. M. Maldacena, “The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **2** (1998) 231–252, [hep-th/9711200](#).
- [3] N. Beisert *et al.*, “Review of AdS/CFT Integrability: An Overview,” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **99** (2012) 3–32, [1012.3982](#).
- [4] S. Dubovsky and V. Gorbenko, “Towards a Theory of the QCD String,” *JHEP* **02** (2016) 022, [1511.01908](#).
- [5] S. Dubovsky, R. Flauger, and V. Gorbenko, “Effective String Theory Revisited,” *JHEP* **1209** (2012) 044, [1203.1054](#).
- [6] P. Cooper, S. Dubovsky, V. Gorbenko, A. Mohsen, and S. Storace, “Looking for Integrability on the Worldsheet of Confining Strings,” *JHEP* **04** (2015) 127, [1411.0703](#).
- [7] J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, “Effective string theory,” *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **67** (1991) 1681–1684.
- [8] A. Athenodorou, B. Bringoltz, and M. Teper, “Closed flux tubes and their string description in D=3+1 SU(N) gauge theories,” *JHEP* **1102** (2011) 030, [1007.4720](#).
- [9] A. Athenodorou, B. Bringoltz, and M. Teper, “Closed flux tubes and their string description in D=2+1 SU(N) gauge theories,” *JHEP* **05** (2011) 042, [1103.5854](#).
- [10] A. Athenodorou and M. Teper, “Closed flux tubes in higher representations and their string description in D=2+1 SU(N) gauge theories,” *JHEP* **06** (2013) 053, [1303.5946](#).
- [11] A. Athenodorou and M. Teper, “Closed flux tubes in D = 2 + 1 SU(N) gauge theories: dynamics and effective string description,” *JHEP* **10** (2016) 093, [1602.07634](#).
- [12] A. Athenodorou and M. Teper, “SU(N) gauge theories in 2+1 dimensions: glueball spectra and k-string tensions,” *JHEP* **02** (2017) 015, [1609.03873](#).
- [13] A. Athenodorou and M. Teper, “On the mass of the world-sheet ‘axion’ in SU(N) gauge theories in 3+1 dimensions,” *Phys. Lett.* **B771** (2017) 408–414, [1702.03717](#).
- [14] M. Teper, “Large N and confining flux tubes as strings – a view from the lattice,” *Acta Phys.Polon.* **B40** (2009) 3249–3320, [0912.3339](#).
- [15] B. Lucini and M. Panero, “SU(N) gauge theories at large N,” *Phys. Rept.* **526** (2013) 93–163, [1210.4997](#).
- [16] S. Dubovsky, R. Flauger, and V. Gorbenko, “Flux Tube Spectra from Approximate Integrability at Low Energies,” *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **120** (2015), no. 3,

- 399–422, 1404.0037.
- [17] S. Dubovsky and G. Hernández-Chifflet, “Yang–Mills Glueballs as Closed Bosonic Strings,” *JHEP* **02** (2017) 022, 1611.09796.
- [18] C. Chen, P. Conkey, S. Dubovsky, and G. Hernández-Chifflet, “Undressing Confining Flux Tubes with $T\bar{T}$,” *Phys. Rev.* **D98** (2018), no. 11, 114024, 1808.01339.
- [19] S. Dubovsky, R. Flauger, and V. Gorbenko, “Solving the Simplest Theory of Quantum Gravity,” *JHEP* **1209** (2012) 133, 1205.6805.
- [20] S. Dubovsky, “A Simple Worldsheet Black Hole,” *JHEP* **07** (2018) 011, 1803.00577.
- [21] M. F. Paulos, J. Penedones, J. Toledo, B. C. van Rees, and P. Vieira, “The S-matrix bootstrap. Part I: QFT in AdS,” *JHEP* **11** (2017) 133, 1607.06109.
- [22] M. F. Paulos, J. Penedones, J. Toledo, B. C. van Rees, and P. Vieira, “The S-matrix bootstrap II: two dimensional amplitudes,” *JHEP* **11** (2017) 143, 1607.06110.
- [23] D. Poland, S. Rychkov, and A. Vichi, “The Conformal Bootstrap: Theory, Numerical Techniques, and Applications,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91** (2019), no. 1, 15002, 1805.04405.
- [24] J. Elias Miro, A. L. Guerrieri, A. Hebbar, J. Penedones, and P. Vieira, “Flux Tube S-matrix Bootstrap,” 1906.08098.
- [25] S. Dubovsky, R. Flauger, and V. Gorbenko, “Evidence for a New Particle on the Worldsheet of the QCD Flux Tube,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013), no. 6, 062006, 1301.2325.
- [26] J. C. Donahue, S. Dubovsky, G. Hernández-Chifflet, and S. Monin, “From QCD Strings to WZW,” *JHEP* **03** (2019) 120, 1812.07043.
- [27] S. Dubovsky, “The QCD β -function On The String Worldsheet,” *Phys. Rev.* **D98** (2018), no. 11, 114025, 1807.00254.
- [28] M. Luscher, “Symmetry Breaking Aspects of the Roughening Transition in Gauge Theories,” *Nucl.Phys.* **B180** (1981) 317.
- [29] M. Luscher and P. Weisz, “String excitation energies in SU(N) gauge theories beyond the free-string approximation,” *JHEP* **0407** (2004) 014, hep-th/0406205.
- [30] O. Aharony and N. Klinghoffer, “Corrections to Nambu-Goto energy levels from the effective string action,” *JHEP* **1012** (2010) 058, 1008.2648.
- [31] O. Aharony and M. Field, “On the effective theory of long open strings,” *JHEP* **1101** (2011) 065, 1008.2636.
- [32] O. Aharony and Z. Komargodski, “The Effective Theory of Long Strings,” *JHEP* **05** (2013) 118, 1302.6257.
- [33] A. Armoni, Y. Frishman, and J. Sonnenschein, “The String tension in two-dimensional gauge theories,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A14** (1999) 2475–2494, hep-th/9903153.
- [34] S. Dalley and I. R. Klebanov, “String spectrum of (1+1)-dimensional large N QCD with adjoint matter,” *Phys. Rev.* **D47** (1993) 2517–2527, hep-th/9209049.
- [35] G. Bhanot, K. Demeterfi, and I. R. Klebanov, “(1+1)-dimensional large N QCD coupled to adjoint fermions,” *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 4980–4990, hep-th/9307111.
- [36] D. Kutasov, “Two-dimensional QCD coupled to adjoint matter and string theory,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B414** (1994) 33–52, hep-th/9306013.
- [37] E. Katz, G. Marques Tavares, and Y. Xu, “Solving 2D QCD with an adjoint fermion analytically,” *JHEP* **05** (2014) 143, 1308.4980.
- [38] S. R. Coleman, “More About the Massive Schwinger Model,” *Annals Phys.* **101** (1976) 239.
- [39] E. Witten, “ θ Vacua in Two-dimensional Quantum Chromodynamics,” *Nuovo Cim.* **A51** (1979) 325.
- [40] A. M. Polyakov, “String theory and quark confinement,” *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **68** (1998) 1–8, hep-th/9711002.
- [41] O. W. Greenberg, “Example of Infinite Statistics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990) 705.
- [42] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum theory of fields. Vol. 1: Foundations*. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [43] J.C. Donahue, and S. Dubovsky, *in preparation*.
- [44] E. Karat, “An Example of Poincare symmetry with a central charge,” *Phys. Lett.* **B445** (1999) 337–343, hep-th/9810242.
- [45] D. G. Currie, T. F. Jordan, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, “Relativistic invariance and Hamiltonian theories of interacting particles,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **35** (1963) 350–375.
- [46] D. Currie, “Interaction contra Classical Relativistic Hamiltonian Particle Mechanics,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **4** (12, 1963) 1470–1488.
- [47] H. Leutwyler, “A no-interaction theorem in classical relativistic Hamiltonian particle mechanics,” *Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965)* **37** (May, 1965) 556–567.
- [48] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars and H. Schneider, “A New Class of Integrable Systems and Its Relation to Solitons,” *Annals Phys.* **170** (1986) 370–405.
- [49] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, “Relativistic Toda systems,” *Comm. Math. Phys.* **133** (1990), no. 2, 217–247.
- [50] S. Lenz and B. Schreiber, “Example of a Poincare anomaly in relativistic quantum mechanics,” *Phys. Rev.* **D53** (1996) 960–966, hep-th/9503219.
- [51] Yu. S. Kalashnikova and A. V. Nefediev, “(1+1) string with quarks at the ends revisited,” *Phys. Lett.* **B399** (1997) 274–280, hep-th/9701193.
- [52] V. Bazhanov, P. Dorey, K. Kajiwara, and K. Takasaki, “Call for papers: special issue on fifty years of the Toda lattice,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **50** (2017), no. 31, 310201.
- [53] A. B. Zamolodchikov, “Expectation value of composite field T anti-T in two-dimensional quantum field theory,” hep-th/0401146.
- [54] S. Dubovsky, V. Gorbenko, and M. Mirbabayi, “Natural Tuning: Towards A Proof of Concept,” *JHEP* **09** (2013) 045, 1305.6939.
- [55] F. A. Smirnov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, “On space of integrable quantum field theories,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B915** (2017) 363–383, 1608.05499.
- [56] A. Cavaglià, S. Negro, I. M. Szécsényi, and R. Tateo, “ $T\bar{T}$ -deformed 2D Quantum Field Theories,” *JHEP* **10** (2016) 112, 1608.05534.
- [57] G. Bonelli, N. Doroud, and M. Zhu, “ $T\bar{T}$ -deformations in closed form,” *JHEP* **06** (2018) 149, 1804.10967.
- [58] E. A. Coleman, J. Aguilera-Damia, D. Z. Freedman, and R. M. Soni, “ $T\bar{T}$ -deformed actions and (1,1) supersymmetry,” *JHEP* **10** (2019) 080, 1906.05439.
- [59] S. Dubovsky, V. Gorbenko, and M. Mirbabayi, “Asymptotic fragility, near AdS₂ holography and $T\bar{T}$,” *JHEP* **09** (2017) 136, 1706.06604.
- [60] J. Cardy, “The $T\bar{T}$ deformation of quantum field theory

- as random geometry,” *JHEP* **10** (2018) 186, 1801.06895.
- [61] S. Dubovsky, V. Gorbenko, and G. Hernández-Chifflet, “ $T\bar{T}$ partition function from topological gravity,” *JHEP* **09** (2018) 158, 1805.07386.
- [62] R. Conti, S. Negro, and R. Tateo, “The $T\bar{T}$ perturbation and its geometric interpretation,” *JHEP* **02** (2019) 085, 1809.09593.
- [63] J. Cardy, “ $T\bar{T}$ deformation of correlation functions,” 1907.03394.
- [64] S. Dubovsky, “Geometry from confinement, Talk at KITP conference: Geometry from the Quantum,” 2020. http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/qgravity_c20/dubovsky/.
- [65] G. Ferretti, R. Heise, and K. Zarembo, “New integrable structures in large-N QCD,” *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 074024, hep-th/0404187.
- [66] N. Beisert, G. Ferretti, R. Heise, and K. Zarembo, “One-loop QCD spin chain and its spectrum,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B717** (2005) 137–189, hep-th/0412029.