Applying twice a minimax theorem

Biagio Ricceri

To Professor Wataru Takahashi, with esteem and friendship, on his 75th birthday

Abstract: Here is one of the results obtained in this paper: Let X, Y be two convex sets each in a real vector space, let $J: X \times Y \to \mathbf{R}$ be convex and without global minima in X and concave in Y, and let $\Phi: X \to \mathbf{R}$ be strictly convex. Also, assume that, for some topology on X, Φ is lower semicontinuous and, for each $y \in Y$ and $\lambda > 0$, $J(\cdot, y)$ is lower semicontinuous and $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda \Phi(\cdot)$ is inf-compact.

Then, for each $r \in]\inf_X \Phi$, $\sup_X \Phi[$ and for each closed set $S \subseteq X$ satisfying

$$\Phi^{-1}(r) \subseteq S \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r]) ,$$

one has

$$\sup_{Y} \inf_{S} J = \inf_{S} \sup_{Y} J \; .$$

Key words: Minimax theorem; Global minimum; Uniqueness.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49J35, 49K35, 49K27, 90C47.

1. Introduction

A real-valued function f on a topological space is said to be inf-compact (resp. sup-compact) if $f^{-1}(] - \infty, r]$) (resp. $f^{-1}([r, +\infty[)$) is compact for all $r \in \mathbf{R}$.

A real-valued function f on a convex set is said to be quasi-concave if $f^{-1}([r, +\infty[)$ is convex for all $r \in \mathbf{R}$.

In [3], we proved two general minimax theorems which, grouped together, can be stated as follows:

THEOREM 1.A ([3], Theorems 1.1 and 1.2). - Let X be a topological space, Y a convex set in a Hausdorff real topological vector space and $f: X \times Y \to \mathbf{R}$ a function such that $f(\cdot, y)$ is lower semicontinuous, infcompact and has a unique global minimum for all $y \in Y$. Moreover, assume that either, for each $x \in X$, $f(x, \cdot)$ is continuous and quasi-concave or, for each $x \in X$, $f(x, \cdot)$ is concave.

Then, one has

$$\sup_{Y} \inf_{X} f = \inf_{X} \sup_{Y} f .$$

Theorem 1.A was first proved in the case where Y is a real interval ([1], [2]) and successively extended to the general case by means of a suitable inductive argument.

In [1], we applied Theorem 1.A (with Y a real interval) to obtain a result ([1], Theorem 1) about the following problem: given two functions $f, g: X \to \mathbf{R}$, find a interval $I \subseteq g(X)$ such that, for each $r \in I$, the restriction of f to $g^{-1}(r)$ has a unique global minimum.

The aim of the present paper is to establish a new minimax theorem (Theorem 2.1) which is the fruit of a joint application of Theorem 1.A and Theorem 1 of [1]. So, it follows, essentially, from a double application of Theorem 1.A, as the title stresses.

We then show some consequences of Theorem 2.1.

2. Results

In the sequel, X is a topological space, Y is a non-empty set, $J : X \times Y \to \mathbf{R}, \Phi : X \to \mathbf{R}, a, b$ are two numbers in $[0, +\infty]$, with a < b.

For $y \in Y$ and $\lambda \in [0, +\infty]$, we denote by $M_{\lambda,y}$ the set of all global minima of the function $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda \Phi(\cdot)$ if $\lambda > 0$, while if $\lambda = +\infty$, $M_{\lambda,y}$ stands for the empty set. We adopt the conventions $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$, $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$. We also set

$$\alpha := \sup_{y \in Y} \max \left\{ \inf_{X} \Phi, \sup_{M_{b,y}} \Phi \right\} ,$$
$$\beta := \inf_{y \in Y} \min \left\{ \sup_{X} \Phi, \inf_{M_{a,y}} \Phi \right\} .$$

The following assumption will be adopted:

(a) Y is a convex set in a Hausdorff real topological vector space and either, for each $x \in X$, the function $J(x, \cdot)$ is continuous and quasi-concave, or, for each $x \in X$, the function $J(x, \cdot)$ is concave.

Our main result is as follows:

THEOREM 2.1. - Besides (a), assume that:

 $(a_1) \ \alpha < \beta ;$

 $(a_2) \Phi$ is lower semicontinuous;

(a₃) for each $\lambda \in]a, b[$ and each $y \in Y$, the function $J(\cdot, y)$ is lower semicontinuous and the function $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda \Phi(\cdot)$ is inf-compact and admits a unique global minimum in X.

Then, for each $r \in]\alpha, \beta[$ and for each closed set $S \subseteq X$ satisfying

$$\Phi^{-1}(r) \subseteq S \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r]) , \qquad (2.1)$$

one has

$$\sup_{Y} \inf_{S} J = \inf_{S} \sup_{Y} J .$$
(2.2)

PROOF. Since $r \in]\alpha, \beta[$, for each $y \in Y$, Theorem 1 of [1] (see Remark 1 of [1]) ensures the existence of $\lambda_{r,y} \in]a, b[$ such that the unique global minimum of $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda_{r,y} \Phi(\cdot)$, say $x_{r,y}$, lies in $\Phi^{-1}(r)$. Notice that $x_{r,y}$ is the only global minimum of the restriction of the function $J(\cdot, y)$ to $\Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r]$). Indeed, if not, there would exist $u \in \Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r]$), with $u \neq x_{r,y}$, such that $J(u, y) \leq J(x_{r,y}, y)$. Then, (since $\lambda_{r,y} > 0$) we would have

$$J(u,y) + \lambda_{r,y}\Phi(u) \le J(x_{r,y},y) + \lambda_{r,y}\Phi(u) \le J(x_{r,y},y) + \lambda_{r,y}r = J(x_{r,y},y) + \lambda_{r,y}\Phi(x_{r,y})$$

which is absurd. Therefore, since S satisfies (2.1), the restriction of $J(\cdot, y)$ to S has a unique global minimum. Now, observe that, for each $y \in Y$, $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda \in]a, b[$, one has

$$\{x \in S : J(x,y) \le \rho\} \subseteq \{x \in X : J(x,y) + \lambda \Phi(x) \le \rho + \lambda r\}.$$

By assumption, the set on the right-hand side is compact. Hence, the set $\{x \in S : J(x, y) \leq \rho\}$, being closed, is compact too. Summarizing: for each $y \in Y$, the restriction of the function $J(\cdot, y)$ to S is lower semicontinuous, inf-compact and has a unique global minimum. So, $J_{|S \times Y}$ satisfies the hypoteses of Theorem 1.A and hence (2.2) follows.

REMARK 2.1. - From the above proof, it follows that, when X is Hausdorff and each sequentially compact subset of X is compact, Theorem 2.1 is still valid if we replace "lower semicontinuous", "inf-compact", "closed" with "sequentially lower semicontinuous", "sequentially inf-compact", "sequentially closed", respectively. We now draw a series of consequences from Theorem 2.1.

COROLLARY 2.1. - In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, suppose that $\beta = \sup_X \Phi$ and that Φ has no global maximum. Moreover, suppose that the function $J(x, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous for all $x \in X$ and $J(x_0, \cdot)$ is sup-compact for some $x_0 \in X$.

Then, one has

$$\sup_{Y} \inf_{X} J = \inf_{X} \sup_{Y} J \ .$$

PROOF. Clearly, the assumptions imply that

$$X = \bigcup_{\alpha < r < \beta} \Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r])$$

Since the family $\{\Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r]\}_{r \in]\alpha, \beta[}$ is filtering with respect to inclusion, the conclusion follows from a joint application of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1 of [3].

Another corollary of Theorem 2.1 is as follows:

COROLLARY 2.2. - Besides (a), assume that X is a convex set in a real vector space and that: (b₁) Φ is lower semicontinuous and strictly convex ;

(b₂) for each $\lambda > 0$ and each $y \in Y$, the function $J(\cdot, y)$ is convex, lower semicontinuous and has no global minima, and the function $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda \Phi(\cdot)$ is inf-compact.

Then, for each $r \in]\inf_X \Phi$, $\sup_X \Phi[$ and for each closed set $S \subseteq X$ satisfying

$$\Phi^{-1}(r) \subseteq S \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r]) ,$$

 $one\ has$

$$\sup_Y \inf_S J = \inf_S \sup_Y J \ .$$

PROOF. We apply Theorem 2.1 taking a = 0 and $b = +\infty$. So, we have

$$\alpha = \inf_{Y} \Phi$$

as well as

$$\beta = \sup_X \Phi$$

since $M_{0,y} = \emptyset$ for all $y \in Y$. By strict convexity, the function $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda \Phi(\cdot)$ has a unique global minimum for all $y \in Y$, $\lambda > 0$. So, each assumption of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied and the conclusion follows.

REMARK 2.2. - We stress that, in Corollary 2.2, no relation is required between the considered topology on X and the algebraic structure of the vector space which contains it.

REMARK 2.3. - In the setting of Corollary 2.2, although J is convex in X, we cannot apply the classical Fan-Sion theorem when S is not convex.

If E, F are Banach spaces and $A \subseteq E$, a function $\psi : A \to F$ is said to be C^1 if it is the restriction to A of a C^1 function on an open convex set containing A.

A further remarkable corollary of Theorem 2.1 is as follows:

COROLLARY 2.3. - Besides (a), assume that X is a closed and convex set in a reflexive real Banach space E and that:

 $(c_1) \Phi$ is of class C^1 and there is $\nu > 0$ such that

$$(\Phi'(x) - \Phi'(u))(x - u) \ge \nu ||x - u||^2$$

for all $x, u \in X$;

(c₂) for each $y \in Y$, the function $J(\cdot, y)$ is C^1 , sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and $J'_x(\cdot, y)$ is Lipschitzian with constant L (independent of y); (c₃) $\inf_{y \in Y} \inf_{M_{\frac{L}{2}}, y} \Phi > \inf_X \Phi$.

Then, for each $r \in \left[\inf_X \Phi, \inf_{y \in Y} \inf_{M_{\frac{L}{\nu},y}} \Phi\right[$ and for each sequentially weakly closed set $S \subseteq X$ satisfying

$$\Phi^{-1}(r) \subseteq S \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(] - \infty, r]) ,$$

one has

$$\sup_{Y} \inf_{S} J = \inf_{S} \sup_{Y} J \ .$$

PROOF. For each $x, u \in X, y \in Y, \lambda \geq \frac{L}{\nu}$, we have

$$(J'_x(x,y) + \lambda \Phi'(x) - J'_x(u,y) - \lambda \Phi'(u))(x-u)$$

$$\geq \lambda \nu \|x - u\|^2 - \|J'_x(x,y) - J'_x(u,y)\|_{E^*} \|x - u\| \geq (\lambda \nu - L) \|x - u\|^2$$

Hence, the function $J(\cdot, y) + \lambda \Phi(\cdot)$, if $\lambda > \frac{L}{\nu}$, is strictly convex and coercive when X is unbounded ([4], pp. 247-249). Hence, if we consider X with the relative weak topology, we can apply Theorem 2.1 (in the sequential form pointed out in Remark 2.1) taking $a = \frac{L}{\nu}$ and $b = +\infty$, and the conclusion follows. \triangle

If E is a normed space, for each r > 0, we put

$$B_r = \{x \in E : ||x|| \le r\}$$
.

If $A \subseteq E$, a function $f : A \to E$ is said to be sequentially weakly-strongly continuous if, for each $x \in A$ and for each sequence $\{x_k\}$ in A weakly converging to x, the sequence $\{f(x_k)\}$ converges strongly to f(x).

COROLLARY 2.4. - Let E be a real Hilbert space and let $X = B_{\rho}$ for some $\rho > 0$. Besides (a) and (c₂), assume that

$$\delta := \inf_{y \in Y} \|J'_x(0, y)\| > 0 \; .$$

Then, for each $r \in \left[0, \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\delta}{2L}\right\}\right]$, one has

$$\sup_{Y} \inf_{B_r} J = \inf_{B_r} \sup_{Y} J \; .$$

PROOF. Apply Corollary 2.3, taking $\Phi(x) = ||x||^2$. Let $y \in Y$ and $\tilde{x} \in M_{\frac{L}{2},y}$, with $||\tilde{x}|| < \rho$. Then, we have

$$J'_x(\tilde{x}, y) + L\tilde{x} = 0$$
.

Consequently, in view of (c_2) , we have

$$||L\tilde{x} + J'_x(0, y)|| \le ||L\tilde{x}||$$
.

In turn, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, this readily implies that

$$\|\tilde{x}\| \ge \frac{\|J'_x(0,y)\|}{2L} \ge \frac{\delta}{2L}$$

Therefore, we have the estimate

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in M_{\frac{L}{2}, y}} \|x\| \ge \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\delta}{2L}\right\}$$

and the conclusion follows from Corollary 2.3.

We now apply Corollary 2.4 to a particular function J.

 \triangle

COROLLARY 2.5. - Let E, X be as in Corollary 2.4, let $Y \subseteq E$ be a closed bounded convex set and let $f: X \to E$ be a sequentially weakly-strongly continuous C^1 function whose derivative is Lipschitzian with constant γ . Moreover, let η be the Lipschitz constant of the function $x \to x - f(x)$, set

$$\theta := \sup_{x \in X} \|f'(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(E)} ,$$
$$:= 2 \left(\eta + \theta + 2\gamma \sup_{(v,y) \in X \times Y} \|v - y\| \right)$$

and assume that

$$\sigma := \inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{\|u\|=1} |\langle f'(0)(u), y \rangle - \langle f(0), u \rangle| > 0 .$$

Then, for each $r \in \left]0, \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\sigma}{L}\right\}\right[$ and for each non-empty closed convex set $T \subseteq Y$, there exist $x^* \in B_r$ and $y^* \in T$ such that

$$\|x^* - f(x^*)\|^2 + \|f(x) - y^*\|^2 - \|x - f(x)\|^2 \le \|f(x^*) - y^*\|^2 = (\operatorname{dist}(f(x^*), T))^2$$

for all $x \in B_r$.

PROOF. Consider the function $J: X \times Y \to \mathbf{R}$ defined by

L

$$J(x,y) = \|f(x) - x\|^2 - \|f(x) - y\|^2$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. Clearly, for each $y \in Y$, $J(\cdot, y)$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and C^1 . Moreover, one has

$$\langle J'_x(x,y), u \rangle = 2\langle x - f(x), u \rangle - 2\langle f'(x)(u), x - y \rangle$$

for all $x \in X$, $u \in E$. Fix $x, v \in X$ and $u \in E$, with ||u|| = 1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} |\langle J'_x(x,y) - J'_x(v,y), u \rangle| &= |\langle x - f(x) - v + f(v), u \rangle - \langle f'(x)(u), x - y \rangle + \langle f'(v)(u), v - y \rangle| \\ &\leq \eta \|x - v\| + |\langle f'(x)(u), x - v \rangle + \langle f'(x)(u) - f'(v)(u), v - y \rangle| \\ &\leq \eta \|x - v\| + \|f'(x)(u)\| \|x - v\| + \|f'(x)(u) - f'(v)(u)\| \|v - y\| \leq \left(\eta + \theta + 2\gamma \sup_{(v,y) \in X \times Y} \|v - y\|\right) \|x - v\| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the function $J'(\cdot, y)$ is Lipschitzian with constant L. Fix $r \in \left]0, \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\sigma}{L}\right\}\right[$ and a non-empty closed convex set $T \subseteq Y$. Clearly

$$\inf_{y \in T} \||J'_x(0,y)\| \ge \inf_{y \in Y} \|J'_x(0,y)\| = 2\sigma$$

and

$$\frac{\inf_{y\in T} \left\| |J_x'(0,y) \right\|}{2L} > r$$

Then, applying Corollary 2.4 to the restriction of J to $B_r \times T$, we get

$$\sup_T \inf_{B_r} J = \inf_{B_r} \sup_T J \ .$$

By the weak compactness of B_r and T, we then infer the existence of $x^* \in B_r$ and $y^* \in T$ such that

$$J(x^*, y) \le J(x^*, y^*) \le J(x, y^*)$$

for all $x \in B_r$, $y \in T$ which is equivalent to the conclusion.

 \triangle

From Corollary 2.5, in turn, we draw the following characterization about the existence and uniqueness of fixed points:

COROLLARY 2.6. - Let the assumptions of Corollary 2.5 be satisfied.

Then, for each $r \in \left[0, \min\left\{\rho, \frac{\sigma}{L}\right\}\right]$ such that $f(B_r) \subseteq Y$, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) the function f has a unique fixed point in B_r ;
- (ii) the function f has a fixed point in B_r ;
- (iii) for each $y \in f(B_r)$, there exists $v \in B_r$ such that $||f(v) y|| \ge ||f(v) v||$.

PROOF. The implications $(i) \to (ii) \to (iii)$ are obvious. So, suppose that (iii) holds. Apply Corollary 2.5 taking $T = \overline{\text{conv}}(f(B_r))$. Let x^*, y^* be as in the conclusion of Corollary 2.5. Then, we have

$$||f(x^*) - y^*|| = \operatorname{dist}(f(x^*), T) = 0$$

and

$$\|x^* - f(x^*)\|^2 + \|f(x) - f(x^*)\|^2 - \|x - f(x)\|^2 \le 0$$
(2.3)

for all $x \in B_r$. By assumption, there is $v^* \in B_r$ such that

$$||f(v^*) - f(x^*)|| \ge ||f(v^*) - v^*||$$

and so, from (2.3), we obtain

$$||x^* - f(x^*)|| \le 0$$

that is x^* is a fixed point of f in B_r . Finally, if $\tilde{x} \in B_r$ and $\tilde{x} = f(\tilde{x})$, from (2.3) it follows that $f(\tilde{x}) = f(x^*)$, and so $\tilde{x} = x^*$. That is, x^* is the unique fixed point of f in B_r .

REMARK 2.4. - It is important to notice that, when dim $(E) < \infty$, Corollaries 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 are still valid replacing B_r with any closed set S satisfying $\partial B_r \subseteq S \subseteq B_r$.

Acknowledgement. The author has been supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) and by the Università degli Studi di Catania, "Piano della Ricerca 2016/2018 Linea di intervento 2".

References

[1] B. RICCERI, Well-posedness of constrained minimization problems via saddle-points, J. Global Optim., **40** (2008), 389-397.

[2] B. RICCERI, Multiplicity of global minima for parametrized functions, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl., **21** (2010), 47-57.

[3] B. RICCERI, On a minimax theorem: an improvement, a new proof and an overview of its applications, Minimax Theory Appl., **2** (2017), 99-152.

[4] E. ZEIDLER, Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications, vol. III, Springer, 1985.

Department of Mathematics and Informatics University of Catania Viale A. Doria 6 95125 Catania, Italy *e-mail address*: ricceri@dmi.unict.it