

# QUANTUM TORUS SYMMETRIES OF MULTICOMPONENT MODIFIED KP HIERARCHY AND REDUCTIONS

CHUANZHONG LI<sup>1</sup>, JIPENG CHENG<sup>2</sup>,

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Ningbo University, Ningbo, 315211, China*

<sup>2</sup> *School of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou, 221116, China*

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we construct the multicomponent modified KP hierarchy and its additional symmetries. The additional symmetries constitute an interesting multi-folds quantum torus type Lie algebra. By a reduction, we also construct the constrained multicomponent modified KP hierarchy and its Virasoro type additional symmetries.

**Keywords:** multicomponent modified KP hierarchy, quantum torus symmetries, additional symmetries, constrained multicomponent modified KP hierarchy, Virasoro symmetry.

**PACS:** 02.30.Ik

**2010 MSC:** 35Q53, 37K10, 37K40

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The modified KP hierarchy and multi-component modified KP hierarchy	3
3. Additional symmetries of the multicomponent mKP Hierarchy	4
4. The constrained multi-component mKP hierarchy	8
5. Virasoro symmetry of the constrained multi-component mKP hierarchy	9
References	15

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The modified Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (mKP) hierarchy is one of the most important research objects in the mathematical physics and integrable systems introduced in the early 1980s [1, 2] and then several versions [3–8], particularly the Kupershmidt-Kiso version [9, 10] are developed. A Miura transformation will transfer it to the the KP systems like the relationship between KdV and mKdV equation.

Additional symmetries are a kind of important symmetries depending explicitly on the space and time variables [11]. Recently, much important work about the KP type systems has been obtained in the additional symmetries, such as the B and C type KP systems [12].

---

Email addresses: lichuanzhong@nbu.edu.cn (C. Z. Li), chengjp@cumt.edu.cn (J. P. Cheng).

As we know, the constrained KP hierarchy [13] is another kind of KP type integrable hierarchy and the additional symmetry flows are not easily consistent with the reduction on the Lax operator for the constrained KP hierarchy [14]. Therefore it is highly non-trivial to find a suitable additional symmetry flows on eigenfunctions and adjoint eigenfunctions to make the constraint compatible with its additional symmetry. Besides, other KP type and Toda type systems also have interesting structure of additional symmetries [15–17] such as Block algebra [18]. The Block type additional structure was found in [15] as a kind of additional symmetry of the bigraded Toda hierarchy and later a series of studies on integrable systems and Block algebras such as in [16, 19–21] were done. After a quantization, the Block type Lie algebra becomes the so-called quantum torus Lie algebra [22–24]. Also a supersymmetric Block algebra was also found in supersymmetric BKP systems in [25].

The multi-component KP hierarchy is an important matrix-formed generalization of the original KP hierarchy and its additional symmetry was well-studied in [26]. Later with reduction, a quite natural constrained multi-component KP hierarchy was studied by Y. Zhang in [27]. Recently the research on random matrices and non-intersecting Brownian motions and the study of moment matrices with regard to several weights showed that the determinants of such moment matrices these determinants are tau-functions of the multi-component KP-hierarchy [28]. For multicomponent discrete integrable systems, the multicomponent Toda hierarchy recently attracts a lot of valuable research such as [29–31]. In [32], we considered the constrained multi-component KP hierarchy and identified its algebraic structure. In [33], a  $q$ -deformed modified KP hierarchy and its additional symmetries are constructed. Later in [34], the additional symmetries of the mKP hierarchy and the constrained mKP hierarchy are constructed, and the corresponding actions on two tau functions are obtained. For the multicomponent KP hierarchy, compact expressions for symmetry flows, vertex operators and the associated Hamiltonian formalism was studied in [35]. Also the relations to the isomonodromy problem and the related vector field action on Riemann surfaces of the system were later developed in [36]. A natural question is what about the additional symmetries of the constrained multicomponent mKP hierarchy and other similar studies.

This paper will be organized in the following way. In Section 2, some basic facts about the mKP hierarchy are introduced. The multicomponent modified KP hierarchy are constructed in Section 3. Then in Section 4, the additional symmetry of the multicomponent modified KP hierarchy and the symmetry constitutes a quantum torus type Lie algebra. Next in Section 5, a constrained multicomponent modified KP hierarchy are given. After that in Section 6, the additional symmetry of the constrained multicomponent modified KP hierarchy are investigated.

## 2. THE MODIFIED KP HIERARCHY AND MULTI-COMPONENT MODIFIED KP HIERARCHY

In this section, we will consider the algebra  $g$  of the pseudo-differential operators

$$g = \left\{ \sum_{i \ll \infty} u_i \partial^i \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\partial = \partial_x$  and  $u_i = u_i(t_1 = x, t_2, \dots)$ . For any operator  $A = \sum_i a_i \partial^i \in g$ ,  $A_{\geq k} = \sum_{i \geq k} a_i \partial^i$  and  $A_{< k} = \sum_{i < k} a_i \partial^i$ . A anti-involution operator  $*$  satisfies:  $(AB)^* = B^* A^*$ ,  $\partial^* = -\partial$ ,  $f^* = f$  for any scalar function  $f$ .

The mKP hierarchy can be defined as the following Lax equation in Kupershmidt-Kiso version [9,10]

$$\partial_{t_n} L = [(L^n)_{\geq 1}, L], \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (2.2)$$

with the Lax operator  $L \in g$  as below

$$L = \partial + u_0 + u_1 \partial^{-1} + u_2 \partial^{-2} + u_3 \partial^{-3} + \dots. \quad (2.3)$$

The mKP hierarchy (2.2) contains the well-known mKP equation

$$4u_{tx} = (u_{xxx} - 6u^2 u_x)_x + 3u_{yy} + 6u_x u_y + 6u_{xx} \int u_y dx. \quad (2.4)$$

The Lax operator  $L$  for the mKP hierarchy can also be rewritten in terms of a dressing operator  $Z$ ,

$$L = Z \partial Z^{-1}, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $Z$  is given by

$$Z = z_0 + z_1 \partial^{-1} + z_2 \partial^{-2} + \dots. \quad (2.6)$$

Then the Lax equation (2.2) is equivalent to the following Sato equation

$$\partial_{t_n} Z = -L_{\leq 0}^n Z. \quad (2.7)$$

In the next section, we will introduce an  $N$ -component modified KP hierarchy which contains  $N$  infinite families of time variables  $t_{\alpha, n}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . The coefficients  $A, u_1, u_2, \dots$  of the Lax operator

$$L = A \partial + u_1 \partial^{-1} + u_2 \partial^{-2} + \dots \quad (2.8)$$

are  $N \times N$  matrices and  $A = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)$ . There are another  $N$  pseudodifferential operators  $R_1, \dots, R_N$  of the form

$$R_\alpha = E_\alpha + u_{\alpha,1} \partial^{-1} + u_{\alpha,2} \partial^{-2} + \dots,$$

where  $E_\alpha$  is the  $N \times N$  matrix with 1 on the  $(\alpha, \alpha)$ -component and zero elsewhere, and  $u_{\alpha,1}, u_{\alpha,2}, \dots$  are also  $N \times N$  matrices. The operators  $L, R_1, \dots, R_N$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$LR_\alpha = R_\alpha L, \quad R_\alpha R_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} R_\alpha, \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^N R_\alpha = E.$$

The Lax equations are:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{\alpha,n}} = [B_{\alpha,n}, L], \quad \frac{\partial R_\beta}{\partial t_{\alpha,n}} = [B_{\alpha,n}, R_\beta], \quad B_{\alpha,n} := (L^n R_\alpha)_{\geq 1}.$$

The operator  $\partial$  now is equal to  $a_1^{-1}\partial_{t_{11}} + \dots + a_N^{-1}\partial_{t_{N1}}$ . In fact the Lax operator  $L$  and  $R_\alpha$  can have the following dressing structures

$$L = PA\partial P^{-1}, \quad R_\alpha = PE_\alpha P^{-1},$$

where

$$P = E + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i \partial^{-i},$$

and the dressing operator  $P$  satisfies the following Sato equations

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t_{\alpha,n}} = -(L^n R_\alpha)_{\leq 0} P.$$

Define the eigenfunction  $w$  and the adjoint eigenfunction  $w^*$  of the  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy in the following way:

$$w(t, \lambda) = P \left( e^{\xi(t, \lambda)} \right) = \hat{w}(t, \lambda) e^{\xi(t, \lambda)}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$w^*(t, \lambda) = (P^{-1} \partial^{-1})^* \left( e^{-\xi(t, \lambda)} \right) = \hat{w}^*(t, \lambda) \lambda^{-1} e^{-\xi(t, \lambda)}, \quad (2.10)$$

with

$$\xi(t, \lambda) = x\lambda + t_2\lambda^2 + t_3\lambda^3 + \dots, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\hat{w}(t, \lambda) = z_0 + z_1\lambda^{-1} + z_2\lambda^{-2} + \dots, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\hat{w}^*(t, \lambda) = z_0^{-1} + z_1^*\lambda^{-1} + z_2^*\lambda^{-2} + \dots, \quad (2.13)$$

and the eigenfunction  $w$  and the adjoint eigenfunction  $w^*$  of the  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy satisfy

$$L(w(t, \lambda)) = \lambda w(t, \lambda), \quad L^*(w^*(t, \lambda)) = \lambda w^*(t, \lambda), \quad w_{t_{\alpha,n}} = (L^n R_\alpha)_{\geq 1} w, \quad w_{t_{\alpha,n}}^* = -w^* \left( \partial^{-1} (L^n R_\alpha)_{\geq 1}^* \partial \right). \quad (2.14)$$

In the second equation here it is assumed that the operators  $\partial$  entering  $\partial^{-1} (L^n R_\alpha)_{\geq 1}^* \partial$  act to the left as  $f\partial = f_x$ .

### 3. ADDITIONAL SYMMETRIES OF THE MULTICOMPONENT MKP HIERARCHY

In order to define the additional symmetry for the multicomponent mKP hierarchy, the Orlov-Schulman operator  $M$  for the multicomponent mKP hierarchy can be introduced as follows,

$$M = P\Gamma P^{-1}, \quad (3.1)$$

with  $\Gamma = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i t_{\alpha,i} E_\alpha \partial^{i-1}$ . From the fact  $[\partial_{t_{\alpha,n}} - E_\alpha \partial^n, \Gamma] = 0$ , one can obtain

$$[\partial_{t_{\alpha,n}} - (L^n R_\alpha)_{\geq 1}, M] = 0, \quad i.e. \quad \partial_{t_{\alpha,n}} M = [(L^n R_\alpha)_{\geq 1}, M]. \quad (3.2)$$

Further

$$L(w(t, \lambda)) = \lambda w(t, \lambda), \quad M(w(t, \lambda)) = \partial_\lambda w(t, \lambda), \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$[L, M] = 1. \quad (3.4)$$

Then basing on a quantum parameter  $q$ , the additional flows for the time variable  $t_{m,n,\alpha}, t_{m,n,\alpha}^*$  are defined respectively as follows

$$\partial_{t_{m,n,\alpha}} P = -(M^m L^n R_\alpha)_{\leq 0} P, \quad \partial_{t_{m,n,\alpha}^*} P = -(e^{mM} q^{nL} R_\alpha)_{\leq 0} P, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.5)$$

or equivalently rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{m,n,\alpha}} = -[(M^m L^n R_\alpha)_{\leq 0}, L], \quad \frac{\partial M}{\partial t_{m,n,\alpha}} = -[(M^m L^n R_\alpha)_{\leq 0}, M], \quad (3.6)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{m,n,\alpha}^*} = -[(e^{mM} q^{nL} R_\alpha)_{\leq 0}, L], \quad \frac{\partial M}{\partial t_{m,n,\alpha}^*} = -[(e^{mM} q^{nL} R_\alpha)_{\leq 0}, M]. \quad (3.7)$$

Define the generator of the additional symmetries for multicomponent mKP hierarchy in the following double expansion

$$Y_\alpha(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu - \lambda)^m}{m!} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{-l-m-1} (M^m L^{m+l} R_\alpha)_{\leq 0}. \quad (3.8)$$

Then by using the following fact

$$\text{res}_z \delta(\lambda, z) f(z) = f(\lambda), \quad (3.9)$$

one can find  $Y_\alpha(\lambda, \mu)$  can be rewritten into a nice form similarly as [37] (for KP system), which is listed in the proposition below.

**Proposition 1.** *The generating operator  $Y_\alpha(\lambda, \mu)$  have the following nice form as*

$$Y_\alpha(\lambda, \mu) = (R_\alpha w(t, \mu)) \cdot \partial^{-1} \cdot w^*(t, \lambda) \partial. \quad (3.10)$$

And their combination  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^N$  will produce the following generating operator

$$Y(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N Y_\alpha(\lambda, \mu) = w(t, \mu) \cdot \partial^{-1} \cdot w^*(t, \lambda) \partial. \quad (3.11)$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar as the proof in [34, 35] which is about the mKP hierarchy and the multi-component KP hierarchy. Firstly, using

$$f \partial^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial^{-i} f^{(i-1)}. \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\text{res}_z \delta(\lambda, z) f(z) = f(\lambda), \quad (3.13)$$

one can rewrite  $(M^m L^{m+l} R_\alpha)_{\leq 0}$  into

$$\begin{aligned} (M^m L^{m+l} R_\alpha)_{\leq 0} &= \text{res}_\partial (M^m L^{m+l} R_\alpha \partial^{-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial^{-i} \text{res}_\partial (\partial^{i-1} M^m L^{m+l} R_\alpha) \\ &= \text{res}_\partial (M^m Z \partial^{m+l} E_\alpha Z^{-1} \partial^{-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial^{-i} \text{res}_\partial (\partial^{i-1} M^m Z \partial^{m+l} E_\alpha Z^{-1}) \\ &= \text{res}_z \left( M^m Z \partial^{m+l} E_\alpha (e^\xi) \cdot (Z^{-1} \partial^{-1})^* (e^{-\xi}) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial^{-i} \text{res}_z \left( \partial^{i-1} M^m Z \partial^{m+l} E_\alpha (e^\xi) \cdot Z^{-1*} (e^{-\xi}) \right) \\ &= \text{res}_z \left( z^{m+l} R_\alpha \partial_z^m w(t, z) w^*(t, z) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \partial^{-i} \text{res}_z \left( z^{m+l} (R_\alpha \partial_z^m w(t, z))^{(i-1)} w^*(t, z)_x \right) \\ &= \text{res}_z \left( z^{m+l} R_\alpha \partial_z^m w(t, z) \cdot (w^*(t, z) - \partial^{-1} w^*(t, z)_x) \right) = \text{res}_z \left( z^{m+l} R_\alpha \partial_z^m w(t, z) \cdot \partial^{-1} w^*(t, z) \partial \right), \end{aligned}$$

which further leads to

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\alpha(\lambda, \mu) &= \text{res}_z \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{z^{m+l}}{\lambda^{m+l+1}} \frac{1}{m!} (\mu - \lambda)^m R_\alpha \partial_z^m w(t, z) \cdot \partial^{-1} w^*(t, z) \partial \right) \\ &= \text{res}_z \left( \delta(\lambda, z) R_\alpha e^{(\mu-\lambda)\partial_z} w(t, z) \cdot \partial^{-1} w^*(t, z) \partial \right) \\ &= R_\alpha e^{(\mu-\lambda)\partial_\lambda} w(t, \lambda) \cdot \partial^{-1} w^*(t, \lambda) \partial = (R_\alpha w(t, \mu)) \cdot \partial^{-1} \cdot w^*(t, \lambda) \partial. \end{aligned}$$

The identity (3.11) can be derived by using the fact

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N R_\alpha = E.$$

□

Inspired by [38–40], the ghost symmetry flow for the multicomponent mKP hierarchy can be defined by the following equations

$$\partial_\alpha L = [(R_\alpha w) \cdot \partial^{-1} \cdot w^* \partial, L], \quad \partial_\alpha P = (R_\alpha w) \cdot \partial^{-1} \cdot w^* \partial \cdot P. \quad (3.14)$$

The actions of  $\partial_\alpha$  on the wave function  $\phi$  ( a linear combination of the eigenfunctions) and the adjoint wave function  $\phi^*$  ( a linear combination of the adjoint eigenfunctions) are listed below.

$$\partial_\alpha \phi = (R_\alpha w) \int \phi_x w^* dx, \quad \partial_\alpha \phi^* = -w^* \int (R_\alpha w) \phi_x^* dx. \quad (3.15)$$

The actions of  $\partial_Y$  on the wave function  $\phi$  and the adjoint wave function  $\phi^*$  are listed below.

$$\partial_Y \phi = w \int \phi_x w^* dx, \quad \partial_Y \phi^* = -w^* \int w \phi_x^* dx. \quad (3.16)$$

We can further get The additional flows of  $\partial_{t_{i,k,\alpha}}$  are symmetry flows of the multicomponent mKP hierarchy, i.e. they commute with all  $\partial_{t_{\beta,n}}$  flows of the multicomponent mKP hierarchy.

According to the action of  $\partial_{t_{l,k,\alpha}^*}$  and  $\partial_{t_{\beta,n}}$  on the Lax operator  $L$ , we can rewrite the quantum torus flow  $\partial_{t_{l,k,\alpha}^*}$  in terms of a combination of  $\partial_{t_{p,s}}$  flows

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{t_{l,k,\alpha}^*} L &= [-(\sum_{p,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{l^p (k \log q)^s M^p L^s R_{\alpha}}{p!s!})_{\leq 0}, L] \\ &= \sum_{p,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{l^p (k \log q)^s}{p!s!} \partial_{t_{p,s,\alpha}} L,\end{aligned}$$

which further leads to

$$\begin{aligned}[\partial_{t_{l,k,\alpha}^*}, \partial_{t_{\beta,n}}] L &= [\sum_{p,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{l^p (k \log q)^s}{p!s!} \partial_{t_{p,s,\alpha}}, \partial_{t_{\beta,n}}] L \\ &= \sum_{p,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{l^p (k \log q)^s}{p!s!} [\partial_{t_{p,s,\alpha}}, \partial_{t_{\beta,n}}] L \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then we can derive the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *The additional flows  $\partial_{t_{l,k,\alpha}^*}$  are symmetries of the multicomponent mKP hierarchy, i.e. they commute with all  $\partial_{t_{\beta,n}}$  flows of the multicomponent mKP hierarchy.*

Now it is time to identify the algebraic structure of the quantum torus additional  $\partial_{t_{l,k,\alpha}^*}$  flows of the multicomponent mKP hierarchy in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *The additional flows  $\partial_{t_{l,k,\alpha}^*}$  of the multicomponent mKP hierarchy form the following multi quantum torus type algebra, i.e.,*

$$[\partial_{t_{n,m,\beta}^*}, \partial_{t_{l,k,\alpha}^*}] = (q^{ml} - q^{nk}) \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \partial_{t_{n+l,m+k,\alpha}^*}, \quad n, m, l, k \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N. \quad (3.17)$$

*Proof.* Using the Jacobi identity, we can derive the following computation which will finish the proof of this theorem

$$\begin{aligned}& [\partial_{t_{n,m,\beta}^*}, \partial_{t_{l,k,\alpha}^*}] L \\ &= \partial_{t_{n,m}^*} ([-(e^{lM} q^{kL} R_{\alpha})_{\leq 0}, L]) - \partial_{t_{l,k,\alpha}^*} ([-(e^{nM} q^{mL} R_{\beta})_{\leq 0}, L]) \\ &= [-(\partial_{t_{n,m}^*} (e^{lM} q^{kL} R_{\alpha}))_{\leq 0}, L] + [-(e^{lM} q^{kL} R_{\alpha})_{\leq 0}, (\partial_{t_{n,m}^*} L)] + [[-(e^{lM} q^{kL} R_{\alpha})_{\leq 0}, e^{nM} q^{mL} R_{\beta}]_{\leq 0}, L] \\ &\quad + [(e^{nM} q^{mL} R_{\beta})_{\leq 0}, [-(e^{lM} q^{kL} R_{\alpha})_{\leq 0}, L]] \\ &= [[(e^{nM} q^{mL} R_{\beta})_{\leq 0}, e^{lM} q^{kL} R_{\alpha}]_{\leq 0}, L] + [(e^{lM} q^{kL} R_{\alpha})_{\leq 0}, [(e^{nM} q^{mL} R_{\beta})_{\leq 0}, L]] \\ &\quad + [[-(e^{lM} q^{kL} R_{\alpha})_{\leq 0}, e^{nM} q^{mL} R_{\beta}]_{\leq 0}, L] + [(e^{nM} q^{mL} R_{\beta})_{\leq 0}, [-(e^{lM} q^{kL} R_{\alpha})_{\leq 0}, L]] \\ &= [[(e^{nM} q^{mL} R_{\beta})_{\leq 0}, e^{lM} q^{kL} R_{\alpha}]_{\leq 0}, L] + [[(e^{lM} q^{kL} R_{\alpha})_{\leq 0}, (e^{nM} q^{mL} R_{\beta})_{\leq 0}], L] \\ &\quad + [[-(e^{lM} q^{kL} R_{\alpha})_{\leq 0}, e^{nM} q^{mL} R_{\beta}]_{\leq 0}, L]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [[e^{nM} q^{mL} R_\beta, e^{lM} q^{kL} R_\alpha]_{\leq 0}, L] \\
&= -(q^{ml} - q^{nk})[(\delta_{\alpha,\beta} e^{(n+l)M} q^{(m+k)L} R_\alpha)_{\leq 0}, L] \\
&= (q^{ml} - q^{nk})\delta_{\alpha,\beta} \partial_{t_{n+l, m+k, \alpha}^*} L.
\end{aligned}$$

One can also prove this theorem as following in another way by considering the functions' set  $\{e^{mM} q^{nL} R_\alpha, m, n \geq 0, 1 \leq \alpha \leq N\}$  has an isomorphism with the functions' set  $\{q^{nz} e^{m\partial_z} R_\beta, m, n \geq 0, 1 \leq \beta \leq N\}$  as

$$e^{mM} q^{nL} R_\alpha \quad \mapsto \quad q^{nz} e^{m\partial_z} E_\alpha, \quad (3.18)$$

with the following commutator

$$[q^{nz} e^{m\partial_z} E_\alpha, q^{lz} e^{k\partial_z} E_\beta] = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} (q^{ml} - q^{nk}) q^{(n+l)z} e^{(m+k)\partial_z}. \quad (3.19)$$

□

#### 4. THE CONSTRAINED MULTI-COMPONENT mKP HIERARCHY

Similar as the constrained multicomponent KP hierarchy in [27], the following reduction condition can also be imposed onto the  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy:

$$\sum_{l=1}^r d_l^s (LR_l)^s + \sum_{l=r+1}^N LR_l = \sum_{l=1}^r d_l^s (LR_l)_{\geq 1}^s + \sum_{l=r+1}^N (LR_l)_{\geq 1}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq N.$$

Let us denote following operators as

$$\hat{\partial} := \partial_1 + \partial_1 + \cdots + \partial_r, \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i,1}},$$

$$\hat{L}^{(i)} = P^{(r)}(\hat{\partial}) E_i^{(r)} \hat{\partial} (P^{(r)}(\hat{\partial}))^{-1}, \quad \hat{L} = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i \hat{L}^{(i)},$$

and

$$B_k^{(\beta,r)} = (P^{(r)}(\hat{\partial}) E_\beta^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^k (P^{(r)}(\hat{\partial}))^{-1})_{\geq 1} := (C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\geq 1}, \quad 1 \leq \beta \leq r,$$

$$C_k^{(\beta,r)} = P^{(r)}(\hat{\partial}) E_\beta^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^k (P^{(r)}(\hat{\partial}))^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq \beta \leq r,$$

$$\phi^{(r)} = (P_1)_{ij} |_{1 \leq i \leq r, r+1 \leq j \leq N}, \quad \psi^{(r)} = (P_1)_{ij} |_{1 \leq j \leq r, r+1 \leq i \leq N},$$

where  $P^{(r)}(E_i^{(r)})$  is the  $r \times r$  principal sub-matrix of  $P(E_i)$ . This further lead to

$$\mathcal{L} = \hat{L}^s = \sum_{l=1}^r d_l^s B_s^{(l,r)} + \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}.$$

Under the constraint eq.(4), the following evolutionary equations of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy can be derived

$$\frac{\partial \phi^{(r)}}{\partial t_{n,\beta}} = B_n^{(\beta,r)} \phi^{(r)}, \quad \frac{\partial \psi^{(r)}}{\partial t_{n,\beta}} = -(B_n^{(\beta,r)})^* \psi^{(r)},$$



$$\frac{\partial \hat{L}^s}{\partial t_{n,\beta}} = [B_n^{(\beta,r)}, \hat{L}^s],$$

where for  $B_n^{(\beta,r)} = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \hat{\partial}^i$ ,

$$(B_n^{(\beta,r)})^* \psi^{(r)} := \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \hat{\partial}^i (\psi^{(r)} B_i).$$

When  $r = 1, N = 2$ , one can obtain a  $s$ -constrained mKP hierarchy. When  $r = 1, N \geq 2$ , one can obtain the vector  $s$ -constrained mKP hierarchy.

## 5. VIRASORO SYMMETRY OF THE CONSTRAINED MULTI-COMPONENT MKP HIERARCHY

In this section, we shall construct the additional symmetry and discuss the algebraic structure of the additional symmetry flows of the  $N$ -component constrained mKP hierarchy.

To this end, firstly we define  $\hat{\Gamma}^{(r)}$  and the Orlov-Shulman's operator  $\mathcal{M}$

$$\hat{\Gamma}^{(r)} = \sum_{\beta=1}^r t_{1\beta} \frac{E_\beta}{s d_\beta^s} \hat{\partial}^{1-s} + \sum_{\beta=1}^r \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{s} d_\beta^{-s} E_\beta \hat{\partial}^{n-s} t_{n,\beta}, \quad \mathcal{M} = P^{(r)} \hat{\Gamma}^{(r)} (P^{(r)})^{-1}. \quad (5.1)$$

It is easy to find the following formula

$$[\partial_{t_{n,\beta}} - \hat{\partial}^n E_\beta, \hat{\Gamma}^{(r)}] = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

There are the following commutation relations

$$\left[ \sum_{\beta=1}^r d_\beta^s \hat{\partial}^s E_\beta, \hat{\Gamma}^{(r)} \right] = E, \quad (5.3)$$

which can be verified by a straightforward calculation. By using the Sato equation, the isospectral flow of the  $\mathcal{M}$  operator is given by

$$\partial_{t_{n,\beta}} \mathcal{M} = [B_n^{(\beta,r)}, \mathcal{M}]. \quad (5.4)$$

More generally,

$$\partial_{t_{n,\beta}} (\mathcal{M}^m \mathcal{L}^l) = [B_n^{(\beta,r)}, \mathcal{M}^m \mathcal{L}^l]. \quad (5.5)$$

The Lax operator  $\mathcal{L}$  and the Orlov-Shulman's  $\mathcal{M}$  operator satisfy the following canonical relation

$$[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}] = E.$$

Then the additional flows for the time variable  $t_{m,n,\beta}$  will be defined as follows

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t_{m,n,\beta}} = -(\mathcal{M}^m C_n^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0} S, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq \beta \leq r, \quad (5.6)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t_{m,n,\beta}} = -[(\mathcal{M}^m C_n^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}], \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial t_{m,n,\beta}} = -[(\mathcal{M}^m C_n^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{M}]. \quad (5.7)$$

Later we can prove the additional flows  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{m,n,\beta}}$  commute with the flow  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k,\gamma}}$  and they form a kind of  $W_\infty$  infinite dimensional additional Lie algebra which contain Virasoro algebra as a subalgebra. To this purpose, we need several lemmas and propositions as preparation firstly.

For above local differential operators  $B_n^{(\beta,r)}$ , we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.**  $[B_n^{(\beta,r)}, \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}]_{\leq 0} = B_n^{(\beta,r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial} - \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial}^{-1} B_n^{(\beta,r)*} \hat{\partial})(\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}$ .

*Proof.* Firstly we consider a fundamental monomial:  $\hat{\partial}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then

$$[\hat{\partial}^n, \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}]_{\leq 0} = (\hat{\partial}^n(\phi^{(r)})) \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial} - (\phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial} \hat{\partial}^n)_{\leq 0}.$$

Notice that the second term can be rewritten in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial} \hat{\partial}^n)_{\leq 0} &= (\phi^{(r)} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^n - \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} \psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^n)_{\leq 0} = (\phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (-\hat{\partial} \psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^n)_{\leq 0} \\ &= \dots = \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} \left( (-\hat{\partial})^n (\psi^{(r)}) \right) \hat{\partial} = \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} \hat{\partial}^n \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}, \end{aligned}$$

then the lemma is proved.  $\square$

We can get some properties of the Lax operator in the following proposition.

**Lemma 5.** *The Lax operator  $\mathcal{L}$  of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy will satisfy the relation of*

$$(\mathcal{L}^k)_{\leq 0} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{L}^{k-j-1} (\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} \mathcal{L}^j \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (5.8)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(\phi) := (\mathcal{L})_{\geq 0}(\phi) + \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial} \phi)$ , for arbitrary  $r \times r$  matrix function  $\phi$ .

The action of original additional flows of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy is expressed by

$$(\partial_{1,k,\beta} \mathcal{L})_{\leq 0} = [(\mathcal{M} C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\geq 1}, \mathcal{L}]_{\leq 0} + (C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0}. \quad (5.9)$$

To keep the consistency with flow equations on eigenfunction and adjoint eigenfunction  $\phi^{(r)}, \psi^{(r)}$ , we shall introduce an operator  $F_k^{(\alpha,r)}$  as following to modify the additional symmetry of the constrained multi-component mKP hierarchy.

We now introduce a pseudo differential operator  $F_k^{(\alpha,r)}$ ,

$$F_k^{(\alpha,r)} = 0, \quad k = -1, 0, 1, \quad (5.10)$$

$$F_k^{(\alpha,r)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left[ j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1) \right] C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha,r)} (\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} C_j^{(\alpha,r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}, \quad k \geq 2. \quad (5.11)$$

The following lemmas are necessary to concern the Virasoro symmetry.

**Lemma 6.** *If  $X = M \hat{\partial}^{-1} N \hat{\partial}$ , then*

$$[X, \mathcal{L}]_{\leq 0} = [M \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} \mathcal{L} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (N) \hat{\partial} - \mathcal{L}(M) \hat{\partial}^{-1} N \hat{\partial}] + [X(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial} - \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} X \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}]. \quad (5.12)$$

**Lemma 7.** *The action of flows  $\partial_{t_l, \beta}$  of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy on the  $F_k^{(\alpha, r)}$  is*

$$\partial_{t_l, \beta} F_k^{(\alpha, r)} = [B_l^{(\beta, r)}, F_k^{(\alpha, r)}]_{\leq 0}. \quad (5.13)$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_l, \beta} F_k^{(\alpha, r)} &= \partial_{t_l, \beta} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1)] C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha, r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial}^{-1} C_j^{(\alpha, r)*} \hat{\partial})(\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1)] \{ \partial_{t_l, \beta} (C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha, r)}(\phi^{(r)})) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial}^{-1} C_j^{(\alpha, r)*} \hat{\partial})(\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial} \\ &\quad + C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha, r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} \partial_{t_l, \beta} ((\hat{\partial}^{-1} C_j^{(\alpha, r)*} \hat{\partial})(\psi^{(r)})) \hat{\partial} \} \\ &= [B_l^{(\beta, r)} \circ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1)] C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha, r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial}^{-1} C_j^{(\alpha, r)*} \hat{\partial})(\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}]_{\leq 0} \\ &\quad - [(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1)] C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha, r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial}^{-1} C_j^{(\alpha, r)*} \hat{\partial})(\psi^{(r)})) \circ B_l^{(\beta, r)} \hat{\partial}]_{\leq 0} \\ &= [B_l^{(\beta, r)}, (\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1)] C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha, r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial}^{-1} C_j^{(\alpha, r)*} \hat{\partial})(\psi^{(r)})) \hat{\partial}]_{\leq 0} \\ &= [B_l^{(\beta, r)}, F_k^{(\alpha, r)}]_{\leq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Further, the following expression of  $[F_{k-1}^{(\beta, r)}, \mathcal{L}]_{\leq 0}$  is also necessary to define the additional flows of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy.

**Lemma 8.** *The Lax operator  $\mathcal{L}$  of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy and  $F_{k-1}^{(\beta, r)}$  has the following relation,*

$$\begin{aligned} [F_{k-1}^{(\beta, r)}, \mathcal{L}]_{\leq 0} &= -(C_k^{(\beta, r)})_{\leq 0} + \frac{k}{2} [\phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} C_{k-1}^{(\beta, r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial} + C_{k-1}^{(\beta, r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial} \\ &\quad + F_{k-1}^{(\beta, r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial} - \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} F_{k-1}^{(\beta, r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

*Proof.* A direct calculation can lead to

$$\begin{aligned} [F_{k-1}^{(\beta, r)}, \mathcal{L}]_{\leq 0} &= [\sum_{j=0}^{k-2} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-2)] C_{k-2-j}^{(\beta, r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} C_j^{(\beta, r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}, \mathcal{L}]_{\leq 0} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-2)] C_{k-2-j}^{(\beta, r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} C_{j+1}^{(\beta, r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-2)] C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha, r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} C_j^{(\beta, r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial} \\ &\quad + (F_{k-1}^{(\beta, r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial} - \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} F_{k-1}^{(\beta, r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)})) \hat{\partial} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\sum_{j=1}^{k-2} C_{k-1-j}^{(\beta,r)} (\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} C_j^{(\beta,r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{k}{2} - 1\right) [\phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} C_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial} + C_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} (\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}] \\
&\quad + (F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} (\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial} - \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)})) \hat{\partial},
\end{aligned}$$

which further help us deriving eq.(5.14) using eq.(5.8).  $\square$

Putting together (5.9) and (5.14), we define the additional flows of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy as

$$\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} \mathcal{L} = [-(\mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0} + F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)}, \mathcal{L}], \quad (5.15)$$

where  $F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} = 0$ , for  $k = 0, 1, 2$ , such that the right-hand side of (5.15) is in the form of derivation of Lax equations. Generally, one can also derive

$$\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} (\mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}^l) = [-(\mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0} + F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)}, \mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}^l]. \quad (5.16)$$

Now we calculate the action of the additional flows eq.(5.15) on the eigenfunction  $\phi^{(r)}$  and  $\psi^{(r)}$  of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy.

**Theorem 9.** *The acting of additional flows of constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy on the eigenfunction  $\phi^{(r)}$  and  $\psi^{(r)}$  are*

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} \phi^{(r)} &= (\mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\geq 1} (\phi^{(r)}) + F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} (\phi^{(r)}) + \frac{k}{2} C_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} (\phi^{(r)}), \\
\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} \psi^{(r)} &= -(\hat{\partial} \mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})_{\geq 1}^* (\psi^{(r)}) - (\hat{\partial} F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) + \frac{k}{2} (\hat{\partial} C_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}).
\end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

*Proof.* Substitution of (5.14) to negative part of (5.15) shows

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} \mathcal{L})_{\leq 0} &= (\mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\geq 1} (\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial} - \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} \mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})_{\geq 1}^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial} + F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} (\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial} \\
&\quad - \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial} + \frac{k}{2} \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial} + \frac{k}{2} C_k^{(\beta,r)} (\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}.
\end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

On the other side,

$$(\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} \mathcal{L})_{\leq 0} = (\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} \phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} \psi^{(r)} \hat{\partial} + \phi^{(r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} \psi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}. \quad (5.19)$$

Comparing right hand sides of (5.18) and (5.19) implies the action of additional flows on the eigenfunction and the adjoint eigenfunction (5.17).  $\square$

Next according the action of  $\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}}$  and  $\partial_{t_{l,\alpha}}$  on the dressing operator  $S$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
[\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}}, \partial_{t_{l,\alpha}}] S &= -\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} ((C_l^{(\alpha,r)})_{\leq 0} S) - \partial_{t_{l,\alpha}} [-(\mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0} + F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)}] S \\
&= (-\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} C_l^{(\alpha,r)})_{\leq 0} S - (C_l^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0} \partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} S - [(\mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0} - F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)}] (C_l^{(\alpha,r)})_{\leq 0} S \\
&\quad + [(C_l^{(\alpha,r)})_{\geq 1}, \mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)}]_{\leq 0} S - (\partial_{t_{l,\alpha}} F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)}) S \\
&= [(C_l^{(\alpha,r)})_{\leq 0}, -F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)}]_{\leq 0} S + [-F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)}, C_l^{(\alpha,r)}]_{\leq 0} S - (\partial_{t_{l,\alpha}} F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)}) S
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [B_l^{(\alpha,r)}, F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)}]_{\leq 0} S - (\partial_{t_{1,\alpha}} F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)}) S \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the additional flows of  $\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}}$  are symmetry flows of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy, i.e. they commute with all  $\partial_{t_{l,\alpha}}$  flows of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy.

Taking into account  $F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)} = 0$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2$ , then eq.(5.17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}} \phi^{(r)} &= (\mathcal{M}C_l^{(\beta,r)})_{\geq 1}(\phi^{(r)}) + \frac{1}{2}lC_{l-1}^{(\beta,r)}\phi^{(r)}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \\
\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}} \psi^{(r)} &= -(\hat{\partial}\mathcal{M}C_l^{(\beta,r)}\hat{\partial}^{-1})_{\geq 1}^*(\psi^{(r)}) + \frac{1}{2}l(\hat{\partial}C_{l-1}^{(\beta,r)}\hat{\partial}^{-1})^*\psi^{(r)}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

Then using eq.(5.20) and the relation  $\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}}(\mathcal{L}^k(\phi^{(r)})) = (\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}}(\mathcal{L}^k))(\phi^{(r)}) + \mathcal{L}^k\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}}(\phi^{(r)})$ , we can find the additional flows  $\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}}$  of constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy have the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}} C_k^{(\alpha,r)}(\phi^{(r)}) &= (\mathcal{M}C_l^{(\beta,r)})_{\geq 1}(C_k^{(\alpha,r)}(\phi^{(r)})) + (k + \frac{l}{2})C_{k+l-1}^{(\alpha,r)}\delta_{\alpha,\beta}(\phi^{(r)}) + T_{l-1}^{(\beta,r)}C_k^{(\alpha,r)}(\phi^{(r)}), \\
\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}}(\hat{\partial}C_k^{(\alpha,r)}\hat{\partial}^{-1})^*(\psi^{(r)}) &= -(\hat{\partial}\mathcal{M}C_l^{(\beta,r)}\hat{\partial}^{-1})_{\geq 1}^*(\hat{\partial}C_k^{(\alpha,r)}\hat{\partial}^{-1})^*(\psi^{(r)}) + (k + \frac{l}{2})(\hat{\partial}C_{k+l-1}^{(\alpha,r)}\hat{\partial}^{-1})^*\delta_{\alpha,\beta}(\psi^{(r)}) \\
&\quad + (\hat{\partial}T_{l-1}^{(\beta,r)}C_k^{(\alpha,r)}\hat{\partial}^{-1})^*(\phi^{(r)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Moreover, the action of  $\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}}$  on  $F_k^{(\alpha,r)}$  is given by the following lemma.

**Lemma 10.** *The actions on  $F_k^{(\alpha,r)}$  of the additional symmetry flows  $\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}}$  of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy are*

$$\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}} F_k^{(\alpha,r)} = [(\mathcal{M}C_l^{\beta,r})_{\geq 1} + F_{l-1}^{(\beta,r)}, F_k^{(\alpha,r)}]_{\leq 0} + (k - l + 1)F_{k+l-1}^{(\alpha,r)}\delta_{\alpha,\beta}. \tag{5.22}$$

*Proof.* Using eq.(5.21), a straightforward calculation implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}} F_k^{(\alpha,r)} &= \partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1)] C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha,r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial}^{-1} C_j^{(\alpha,r)*} \hat{\partial})(\psi^{(r)}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1)] (\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}} (C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha,r)}(\phi^{(r)})) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial}^{-1} C_j^{(\alpha,r)*} \hat{\partial})(\psi^{(r)}) \\
&\quad + C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha,r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\partial_{t_{1,l,\beta}} (\hat{\partial}^{-1} C_j^{(\alpha,r)*} \hat{\partial})(\psi^{(r)}))) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1)] [(\mathcal{M}C_l^{\beta,r})_{\geq 1} + F_{l-1}^{(\beta,r)}] (C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha,r)}(\phi^{(r)})) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} C_l^{\beta,r} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1)] (k-j-1 + \frac{l}{2}) C_{k+l-2-j}^{\alpha,r}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} C_j^{\beta,r} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1)] C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha,r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} [(\hat{\partial} \mathcal{M}C_l^{\beta,r} \hat{\partial}^{-1})_{\geq 1}^* + (\hat{\partial} F_{l-1}^{(\beta,r)} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^*] (\hat{\partial} C_j^{\beta,r} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [j - \frac{1}{2}(k-1)] (j + \frac{l}{2}) C_{k-1-j}^{(\alpha,r)}(\phi^{(r)}) \hat{\partial}^{-1} (\hat{\partial} C_{j+l-1}^{\beta,r} \hat{\partial}^{-1})^* (\psi^{(r)}) \delta_{\alpha,\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

which can be simplified to eq.(5.22).  $\square$

Now it is time to identify the algebraic structure of the additional symmetry flows of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy.

**Theorem 11.** *The additional flows  $\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}}$  of the constrained  $N$ -component mKP hierarchy form the positive half of Virasoro algebra, i.e., for  $l, k \geq 0, 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r$ ,*

$$[\partial_{t_{1,l,\alpha}}, \partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}}] = (k-l) \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \partial_{t_{1,k+l-1,\alpha}}. \tag{5.24}$$

*Proof.* Here we only consider the case when  $l = 0, 1, 2$ . Using  $[(\mathcal{M}C_l^{(\alpha,r)})_{\leq 0}, F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)}]_{\leq 0} = [(\mathcal{M}C_l^{(\alpha,r)})_{\leq 0}, F_{k-1}^{(\beta,r)}]$  and the Jacobi identity, one can derive the following computation

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\partial_{t_{1,l,\alpha}}, \partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}}] \mathcal{L} \\
&= \partial_{t_{1,l,\alpha}} ([-(\mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}] + [F_{k-1}^{\beta,r}, \mathcal{L}]) - \partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} ([-(\mathcal{M}C_l^{\alpha,r})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}] + [F_{l-1}^{\alpha,r}, \mathcal{L}]) \\
&= \partial_{t_{1,l,\alpha}} [-(\mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}] + [\partial_{t_{1,l,\alpha}} F_{k-1}^{\beta,r}, \mathcal{L}] + [F_{k-1}^{\beta,r}, \partial_{t_{1,l,\alpha}} \mathcal{L}] + [\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} (\mathcal{M}C_l^{\alpha,r})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}] \\
&\quad + [(\mathcal{M}C_l^{\alpha,r})_{\leq 0}, \partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} \mathcal{L}] - [\partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} F_{l-1}^{\alpha,r}, \mathcal{L}] - [F_{l-1}^{\alpha,r}, \partial_{t_{1,k,\beta}} \mathcal{L}] \\
&= [((\mathcal{M}C_l^{(\alpha,r)})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{M}C_k^{\beta,r})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}] + [-(\mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0}, [-(\mathcal{M}C_l^{(\alpha,r)})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}]] \\
&\quad + [((\mathcal{M}C_l^{\alpha,r})_{\geq 1}, F_{k-1}^{\beta,r})_{\leq 0} + (k-l) F_{k+l-2}^{(\beta,r)} \delta_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{L}] \\
&\quad + [F_{k-1}^{\beta,r}, [-(\mathcal{M}C_l^{\alpha,r})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}]] + [-(\mathcal{M}C_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0} + F_{k-1}^{\beta,r}, \mathcal{M}C_l^{\alpha,r}]_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +[(\mathcal{MC}_l^{\alpha,r})_{\leq 0}, [-(\mathcal{MC}_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0} + F_{k-1}^{\beta,r}, \mathcal{L}]] \\
= & [[(\mathcal{MC}_l^{(\alpha,r)})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{MC}_k^{\beta,r}]_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}] + [-(\mathcal{MC}_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0}, [-(\mathcal{MC}_l^{(\alpha,r)})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}]] \\
& +[(k-l)F_{k+l-2}^{(\beta,r)}\delta_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{L}] + [[-(\mathcal{MC}_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{MC}_l^{\alpha,r}]_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}] \\
& +[(\mathcal{MC}_l^{\alpha,r})_{\leq 0}, [-(\mathcal{MC}_k^{(\beta,r)})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}]] \\
= & (k-l)[-\delta_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{MC}_{l+k-1}^{(\alpha,r)})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{L}] + [(k-l)F_{k+l-2}^{(\beta,r)}\delta_{\alpha,\beta}, \mathcal{L}] \\
= & (k-l)\delta_{\alpha,\beta}\partial_{t_{1,k+l-1,\alpha}}\mathcal{L}.
\end{aligned}$$

The other case can be similarly proved. □

### Acknowledgments:

Chuanzhong Li is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11571192 and K. C. Wong Magna Fund in Ningbo University.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa. The  $\tau$  function of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. Transformation groups for soliton equations. I. Proc. Japan Acad. A 57 (1981) 342-347.
- [2] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa. Solitons and infinite dimensional Lie algebras. Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.19 (1983) 943-1001.
- [3] Y. Cheng. Modifying the KP, the  $n^{th}$  constrained KP hierarchies and their Hamiltonian structures. Commun. Math. Phys. 171 (1995) 661-682.
- [4] L. A. Dickey. Modified KP and discrete KP. Lett. Math. Phys. 48 (1999) 277-289.
- [5] T. Takebe. A note on the modified KP hierarchy and its (yet another) dispersionless limit. Lett. Math. Phys. 59 (2002) 157-172.
- [6] T. Takebe and L. P. Teo. Coupled modified KP hierarchy and its dispersionless limit. SIGMA 2 (2006) 072.
- [7] V. Kac and J. van de Leur. Equivalence of formulations of the MKP hierarchy and its polynomial tau-functions. arXiv: 1801.02845.
- [8] A. Zabrodin, On matrix modified KP hierarchy, arXiv:1802.02797.
- [9] B. A. Kupershmidt. Mathematics of dispersive water waves. Commun. Math. Phys. 99 (1985) 51-73.
- [10] K. Kiso. A remark on the commuting flows defined by Lax equations. Prog. Theo. Phys. 83 (1990) 1108-1125.
- [11] A. Y. Orlov, E. I. Schulman, Additional symmetries for integrable equations and conformal algebra representation, Lett. Math. Phys. 12 (1986), 171-179.
- [12] Q. F. Liu and C. Z. Li. Additional symmetries and string equations of the noncommutative B and C type KP hierarchies. J. Nonlin. Math. Phys. 24 (2017) 79-92.
- [13] Y. Cheng, Constraints of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy, J. Math. Phys. 33(1992), 3774-3782.
- [14] H. Aratyn, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, Virasoro symmetry of constrained KP Hierarchies, Phys. Lett. A 228(1997), 164-175.
- [15] C. Z. Li, J. S. He, Y. C. Su, Block type symmetry of bigraded Toda hierarchy, J. Math. Phys. 53(2012), 013517.
- [16] C. Z. Li, J. S. He, Block algebra in two-component BKP and D type Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies, J. Math. Phys. 54(2013), 113501.
- [17] C. Z. Li, J. P. Cheng et al, Ghost symmetry of the discrete KP hierarchy, Monatshefte Fuer Mathematik, 180(2016), 815-832.

- [18] R. Block, On torsion-free abelian groups and Lie algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(1958), 613-620.
- [19] C. Z. Li, J. S. He, Dispersionless bigraded Toda hierarchy and its additional symmetry, *Reviews in Mathematical Physics*, 24(2012), 1230003.
- [20] C. Z. Li, J. S. He, Block algebra in two-component BKP and D type Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies, *J. Math. Phys.* 54, 113501(2013), 1-14.
- [21] C. Z. Li, J. S. He, Y. C. Su, Block (or Hamiltonian) Lie symmetry of dispersionless D type Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* 61(2014), 431-435.
- [22] T. Nakatsu, K. Takasaki, Melting Crystal, *Quantum Torus and Toda Hierarchy*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 285(2009), 445-468.
- [23] C. Z. Li, J. S. He, Quantum Torus symmetry of the KP, KdV and BKP hierarchies, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 104(2014), 1407-1423.
- [24] N. Wang, C. Z. Li, Quantum torus algebras and B(C) type Toda systems, *Journal of Nonlinear Science*27(2017), 1957-1970.
- [25] C. Z. Li, J. S. He, Supersymmetric BKP systems and their symmetries, *Nuclear Physics B* 896(2015), 716-737.
- [26] L. Dickey, Additional symmetries of KP, Grassmannian, and the string equation II, *Modern Physics Letters A*, 8(1993), 1357-1377.
- [27] Y. Zhang, On a reduction of the multi-component KP hierarchy, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 32(1999), 6461-6476.
- [28] M. Adler, P. van Moerbeke, P. Vanhaecke, Moment Matrices and Multi-Component KP, with Applications to Random Matrix Theory *Commun. Math. Phys.* 286(2009), 1-38.
- [29] C. Álvarez Fernández, U. Fidalgo Prieto, and M. Mañas, Multiple orthogonal polynomials of mixed type: Gauss-Borel factorization and the multi-component 2D Toda hierarchy, *Advances in Mathematics*, 227(2011), 1451-1525.
- [30] C. Z. Li, J. S. He, The extended multi-component Toda hierarchy, *Math. Phys. Analysis and Geometry.* 17(2014), 377-407.
- [31] C. Z. Li, J. S. He, The extended  $Z_N$ -Toda hierarchy, *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 185(2015), 1614-1635.
- [32] C. Z. Li, J. S. He, Virasoro symmetry of the constrained multi-component KP hierarchy and its integrable discretion, *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 187(2016), 871-887.
- [33] K. L. Tian, Y. Y. Ge, X. M. Zhu. On the q-deformed modified Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy and its additional symmetries. *Rom. Rep. Phys.* 69 (2017) 110.
- [34] J. P. Cheng, M. H. Li, K. L. Tian, On the modified KP hierarchy: tau functions, squared eigenfunction symmetries and additional symmetries, *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 134(2018), 19-37.
- [35] A. Yu. Orlov, Vertex operator,  $\partial$ -problem, symmetries, variational identities and Hamiltonian formalism for  $2 + 1$  integrable systems *Nonlinear and Turbulent Processes in Physics*/ed. V. Baryakhtar. -Singapore: World Scientific 1988.
- [36] P. G. Grinevich, A. Y. Orlov, Virasoro Action on Riemann Surfaces, Grassmannians,  $\det \bar{\partial}_J$  and Segal-Wilson  $\tau$ -Function, *Problems of Modern Quantum Field Theory*, ed A. Belavin, A. Zamolodchikov et al. (1989), 86-106.
- [37] L. A. Dickey, Lectures on Classical W-Algebras, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* 47(1997), 243-321.
- [38] A. Y. Orlov, Symmetries for unifying different soliton systems into a single integrable hierarchy, preprint IINS/Oce-04/03 (1991).
- [39] A. Y. Orlov, Volterra operator algebra for zero curvature representation. Universality of KP, *Nonlinear Processes in Physics*, (1993) 126-131.
- [40] B. Enriquez, A. Y. Orlov, V. N. Rubtsov, Dispersionful analogues of Benney's equations and N-wave systems, *Inverse Problems* 12(1996), 241.