

Stochastic Lagrangians for Statistical Dynamics

Massimo Materassi

July 3, 2019

Institute for Complex Systems of the National Research Council (CNR-ISC),
Florence, Italy.

E-mail: massimo.materassi@isc.cnr.it, massimomaterassi27@gmail.com.

Web: www.materassiphysics.com.

Abstract

The concept of stochastic Lagrangian and its use in statistical dynamics is illustrated theoretically, and with some examples.

Dynamical variables undergoing stochastic differential equations are stochastic processes themselves, and their realization probability functional within a given time interval arises from the interplay between the deterministic parts of dynamics and noise statistics. The stochastic Lagrangian is a tool to formulate realization probabilities via functional integrals, once the statistics of noises involved in the stochastic dynamical equations is known. In principle, it allows to highlight the invariance properties of the statistical dynamics of the system.

In this work, after a review of the stochastic Lagrangian formalism, some applications of it to physically relevant cases are illustrated.

Keywords: Stochastic Dynamics, Action Principle, Functional Formalism, Path Integrals, Langevin Equation

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Functional Formalism for Statistical Dynamics	9
2.1	Stochastic Lagrangian	11
2.2	δ -correlated Gaussian noise	13
3	Examples	16
3.1	Point Particle with noise	17
3.2	Leibniz systems	25
3.2.1	Hamiltonian Systems	26
3.2.2	Metriplectic Systems	27
4	Conclusions	29

A	Calculation for § 2.2	31
A.1	Calculation of $C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f)$	31
A.2	Calculation of $A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f)$ and $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f)$	34
B	Calculations for § 3.1	38
B.1	Calculation of the Stochastic Lagrangian	38
B.2	Calculating \mathcal{J}_0 and \mathcal{I}_k	39
B.3	Keeping $\tilde{N}_0(\zeta; t_i, t_f)$ finite	41
C	Calculations for § 3.2	41

1 Introduction

After Isaac Newton’s big work about “the motion of bodies” [1], it was understood that physical systems are generally governed by *equations of motion*, expressing the variability with time of *the state of the system* in terms of *the state of what acts on the system*. When one writes $\vec{F} = m\vec{a}$, the time variability $\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt}$ of “the state of motion” of the pointlike particle \vec{p} (namely, its momentum) is put in relationship with “the force” \vec{F} as

$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{F}, \tag{1}$$

and this force *is* a function of the state of what acts on the system. As an example, think about Kepler’s problem, in which the point of mass m at position \vec{x} undergoes the gravitational force exerted by the presence of another body of mass M at position \vec{x}_\odot , according to the law

$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \frac{GMm}{|\vec{x}_\odot - \vec{x}|^3} (\vec{x}_\odot - \vec{x}) : \tag{2}$$

the state of what acts on the pointlike particle is described indeed by the force $\vec{F} = \frac{GMm}{|\vec{x}_\odot - \vec{x}|^3} (\vec{x}_\odot - \vec{x})$, containing the value of the gravitational mass M of the second body and its relative position with respect to the point particle of momentum \vec{p} , i.e. $\vec{x}_\odot - \vec{x}$. By the way, notice that in the force the state of the system itself appears as \vec{x} , rendering (1) and (2) proper differential equations for the state of the system $\psi = (\vec{x}, \vec{p})$. As Newton’s equation $\vec{F} = m\vec{a}$ is re-written considering also the relationship between momentum and velocity, one writes

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}}{m}, \\ \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{F}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t, X_{\text{env}}) : \end{array} \right. \tag{3}$$

here it is stressed that the “force” is a function of the system state, of time t and of the “state of the environment” acting on the system, indicated as X_{env} . A system as (3) is properly a system of *ordinary differential equations* (ODE), in which the variability of the state ψ , of the portion of universe we are interested in, is put in relationship with how this portion of universe interacts with the environment. Similar systems of ODEs may be written as:

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \varphi(\psi, t, X_{\text{env}}). \tag{4}$$

In such a formulation, the system is described by a state $\psi \in \mathbb{V}$, where \mathbb{V} is a certain mathematical ambient through which the state ψ moves as time flows; \mathbb{V} is referred to as the *phase space* of the system.

Calculus teaches us that when ODEs as (4) are equipped with some *initial condition* $\psi(t_0) = \psi_0$, the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\psi}{dt} = \varphi(\psi, t, X_{\text{env}}), \\ \psi(t_0) = \psi_0 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

admits a unique solution $\psi(t) = \Psi_\varphi(t, \psi_0)$ for all $t \geq t_0$. To be honest, this happens only if the Cauchy Problem (5) has the expression $\varphi(\psi, t, X_{\text{env}})$ that has particularly favorable conditions with respect to ψ , which we assume to happen “always” (however, see [2] for a not-that-problematic counterexample). From here on, the statement

$$(5) \implies \psi(t) = \Psi_\varphi(t, \psi(t_0)) \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \quad (6)$$

means that there exists a (suitably regular) map $\Psi_\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{V} \mapsto \mathbb{V}$ depending on the dynamics φ , associating the state $\psi(t)$ to the initial condition $\psi(t_0)$ in an injective way. This map Ψ_φ is what one calls *evolution*. As a note, let us introduce here the *system velocity space* \mathbb{W} , or dynamical flow space, so that $\varphi(\psi, t, X_{\text{env}}) \in \mathbb{W}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{V}_{\text{env}} \mapsto \mathbb{W}$ (here \mathbb{V}_{env} is the phase space of the environment acting on the system).

Uniqueness of the solution of initial value problems (5) nourishes the *Deterministic Paradigm* (DP), according to which once a system’s initial conditions are given, its future history will be completely determined, as long as what acts on it is known for all the future times. This is strongly accepted all through the Classical Physics, and after all it is true also in Quantum Mechanics [3], just considering the ODE (4) to be Schrödinger equation $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi\rangle = H |\psi\rangle$, according to which the motion of the quantum state $|\psi\rangle$ is a perfectly deterministic trajectory through the quantum state Hilbert space \mathbb{H}_S .

The intelligent criticism to the DP (that actually turns out to be a generalization of the DP itself) must be based on the observation that, in order for (5) to have a unique solution, the quantities X_{env} and ψ_0 appearing there, and the full mathematical construction of $\varphi(\psi, t, X_{\text{env}})$, *must be known perfectly*, i.e. with *no uncertainty*.

For instance, as the initial condition ψ_0 is not known perfectly, instead one knows just ψ_0 to belong to some subset $A_0 \subseteq \mathbb{V}$ of the phase space \mathbb{V} , as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\psi}{dt} = \varphi(\psi, t, X_{\text{env}}), \\ \psi(t_0) \in A_0, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

one must admit the state of the system at time $t \geq t_0$ to be *any state* $\Psi(t, \psi(t_0))$ for *any* $\psi(t_0) \in A_0$, i.e.:

$$(7) \implies \psi(t) \in \Psi_\varphi(t, A_0) \quad \forall t \geq t_0,$$

being A_0 a finite size set¹, and so the set $\Psi_\varphi(t, A_0)$. Put in a simpler way, with a coarse grained knowledge of the initial condition as $\psi(t_0) \in A_0$ instead of the sharp $\psi(t_0) = \psi_0$, one has to get content with a coarse grained knowledge of the state $\psi(t)$ at later times. The uncertainty in the initial conditions will render uncertain the evolution of the system, setting a natural limit to the DP due to our finite precision and to how fast a finite size initial condition set A_0 may be deformed by the dynamics in (5): the whole *querelle* between the DP and *chaos theory* comes precisely from the capacity of non-linear dynamics to deform the initial condition set A_0 and diffuse it all over extended and complicated regions of the phase space, rendering the evolution unpredictable to an arbitrarily high precision.

In this work we will examine a different “limit of the DP”: namely, we will deal with what happens when some elements of the mathematical expression of φ are known *only to some statistical extent*, i.e. when this mathematical function of ψ , t and X_{env} has terms whose exact values is unknown, and of which one can only state they appear according to some given *probability distribution*. As a simple example, consider ψ to be a real variable, and the dynamics φ to read

$$\varphi = K\psi^2 + \gamma(t) / \gamma(t) \sim P_t : \Xi \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+, \int_{\Xi} \mathcal{P}_t(\gamma) d\gamma = 1, \forall t > t_0, \quad (8)$$

where K is a coefficient, and the time-dependent term $\gamma(t)$ is an addendum that is “extracted from some real set Ξ ” at each $t > t_0$, according to the probability distribution function P_t . A situation as that written in (8) represents the case in which the dynamics φ is obtained, at each time, by a first “completely known” term $K\psi^2$ plus some term γ about which one only knows that it can be a value within the set Ξ : as nothing sharper can be stated on γ , *the value $\gamma(t)$ comes randomly within Ξ at each different time, with a probability $P_t(\gamma^*)\delta$ to fall in any interval $[\gamma^* - \frac{\delta}{2}, \gamma^* + \frac{\delta}{2}]$.*

Terms as the $\gamma(t)$ in (8) are referred to as *stochastic terms* or, more simply, *noises*. In general, “noise terms” may appear in a variety of ways in the dynamics φ , due to different “physical” reasons: typically, as one distinguishes the dynamical variables ψ assigning the state of the system from “everything else” encoded in X_{env} , noise terms will sensibly describe the degree of uncertainty about X_{env} , which is the other possible source of uncertainty in (5), next to the initial conditions. For instance, in (8) one might imagine that ψ self-evolves with $K\psi^2$, and undergoes the action of the “random kicks” γ .

Considering that noise terms typically come from what one refers to as “en-

¹Stating anything about the “size” of a set in \mathbb{V} has not sense until a proper definition of “size”, or better “measure”, is defined on \mathbb{V} , which hasn’t been done, and won’t be done, here. Of course, if \mathbb{V} is a metric space, the built-in distance $d : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+$ may be used to define the size of $A \subseteq \mathbb{V}$, as $\ell(A) = \max(d(x, y)) / x, y \in A$, and this is also true if a measure is defined on \mathbb{V} , as when it is treated as the sample space of some probability. In the latter case, if one is able to define a probability density on \mathbb{V} , a physically useful measure of A could be the Shannon entropy associated to this probability relative to all the points in A : again, this is beyond the scope of the present exposition.

vironment”, the general form of (8) may read:

$$\varphi = \varphi(\psi, t, X_{\text{env}}(\gamma(t))) / \gamma(t) \sim P_t : \Xi \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+, \int_{\Xi} P_t(\gamma) d\gamma = 1, \forall t > t_0. \quad (9)$$

In the presence of noise terms, the equation (4) is named *stochastic dynamical equation* (SDE). The stochastic version of (5) will read:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d\psi}{dt} = \varphi(\psi, t, X_{\text{env}}(\gamma(t))), \\ \psi(t_0) = \psi_0, \\ \gamma(t) \sim P_t : \Xi \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+. \end{array} \right. \quad (10)$$

The most relevant fact passing from (5) to (10) is that *the uniqueness of the solution is lost*, even in the presence of perfect knowledge of the initial condition $\psi(t_0) = \psi_0$. Indeed, depending on what point in Ξ is picked at each time t to play the role of $\gamma(t)$, one has *different possible curves*

$$\gamma = \gamma(t), \gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \Xi),$$

hence *a different “histories”* $\varphi(\psi, t, X_{\text{env}}(\gamma(t)))$ of the dynamics of the system. Since the noises γ may describe *any* continuous trajectory for $t \in [t_i, t_f]$, as illustrated in the cartoon of Figure 1, also the corresponding dynamics $\varphi(\psi, t, X_{\text{env}}(\gamma(t)))$ will have *any* continuous shape as a curve in \mathbb{W} , see Figure 2. This explains in a pictorial way the fail of uniqueness of the solution to the stochastic Cauchy problem (10): the randomness transits from noises γ to dynamics φ , and from dynamics to the solution of the Cauchy problem $\psi(t)$, see Figure 3 for the final step of the pictorial explanation. Note that, even if all of the three solutions to (10) start at the same initial value, they develop very differently and the uniqueness invoked by DP is lost.

The random nature of each term $\gamma(t)$ in (9) and (10) renders it useful to consider the sample space $\Sigma(t_i, t_f)$ of all the possible continuous trajectories $\gamma(t)$ in Ξ with $t \in [t_i, t_f]$, i.e. the sample space of all the possible realizations of the stochastic process $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \Xi$. The set $\Sigma(t_i, t_f)$ is infinite dimensional, namely $\Sigma(t_i, t_f) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \Xi)$; a probability measure defined on it, should be possibly based upon the functional counterpart of what an ordinary probability distribution function is for finite dimensional sample spaces, $\mathcal{P}[\gamma; t_i, t_f]$. In this script

$$\mathcal{P}[\gamma; t_i, t_f],$$

the square bracket left to γ means that \mathcal{P} depends on the infinite number of values $\gamma(t)$ (one per each $t \in [t_i, t_f]$), while the round bracket right after t_f indicates that \mathcal{P} depends also on the two real variables t_i and t_f . The $\mathcal{P}[\gamma; t_i, t_f]$ is referred to as *realization probability functional* (RPF) of γ , and its normalization condition reads:

$$\int_{\Sigma(t_i, t_f)} \mathcal{P}[\gamma; t_i, t_f] [d\gamma] = 1. \quad (11)$$




Figure 1: Three possible realizations of the noise $\gamma(t)$ between t_i and t_f : as $\gamma(t)$ can be any possible continuous curve in Ξ , here we have drawn two rather regular curves $\gamma_1(t)$ and $\gamma_3(t)$, and a less regular, sharp-cornered one $\gamma_2(t)$.

In this (11) the symbol $[d\gamma]$ indicates the *functional integral on $\Sigma(t_i, t_f)$* of the stochastic terms, namely the continuous product:

$$\int_{\Sigma(t_i, t_f)} [d\gamma] \dots = \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \int_{\Xi} d\gamma(t) \dots$$

(see [4] for a thorough explanation of this). About the RPF $\mathcal{P}[\gamma; t_i, t_f]$, it must be stressed that this will be expressible as the continuous product of all the $P_t(\gamma)^{dt}$ (see (9) and (10)), for $t \in [t_i, t_f]$, *only for time- δ -correlated noises*, see § 2.2: assigning the functional $\mathcal{P}[\gamma; t_i, t_f]$ is then more powerful than giving all the time-local PDFs $P_t(\gamma)$, because $\mathcal{P}[\gamma; t_i, t_f]$ contains *any* type of correlation among noises, that the collection of the PDFs does not, and indeed the latter is equivalent to the RPF only for the δ -correlated stochastic variables. In fully mathematical terms, one could write:

$$P_t(\gamma) = \int_{t' \neq t} [d\gamma(t')] \mathcal{P}[\gamma; t_i, t_f] \quad \forall t \in [t_i, t_f].$$

In what follows, the program is to start with some particular form of the SDE in (10), in which one will understand how to pass from the ensemble statistics of noises $\gamma(t)$ to that of the system realizations $\psi(t)$, considering the interplay between the deterministic and the stochastic features of φ :

$$\mathcal{P}[\gamma; t_i, t_f] \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f], \quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$ is the RPF of the stochastic process $\psi: [t_i, t_f] \mapsto \mathbb{V}$, while the script $\stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto}$ means that this pass is done thanks to the form of φ .

For the types of SDE we are going to work with, the scheme to perform the transition (12) includes the introduction of the concept of *stochastic Lagrangian* (SL), that will be introduced in § 2. Then, in § 3 the machinery will be applied to some particular case of physical relevance.




Figure 2: The cartoon of the three realizations of the process $\varphi(\psi, t, X_{\text{env}}(\gamma(t)))$ corresponding to the realizations $\gamma_1(t)$, $\gamma_2(t)$ and $\gamma_3(t)$ cited in Figure 1.




Figure 3: The cartoon of the three solutions $\psi_{i=1,2,3}(t)$ of a stochastic Cauchy problem as (10) corresponding to the realizations $\gamma_1(t)$, $\gamma_2(t)$ and $\gamma_3(t)$ in Figure 1.

2 Functional Formalism for Statistical Dynamics

The mathematical quantity ψ , that describes the state of the system, is in general given by a certain number of components, indicated as ψ^I , so locally \mathbb{V} will be described as some \mathbb{R}^n (or \mathbb{C}^n) space, as $I = 1, \dots, n$. Remarkably, an infinite dimensional state may well be necessary, e.g. in a classical continuum theory, in which the system is described by the local properties of the continuum, so that the index I of ψ will rather be some continuous position \vec{x} in the three dimensional space. The functional formalism is described here for a general *finite dimensional* system, while in [5, 6, 7, 8] an infinite dimensional system, namely magneto-hydrodynamics, is considered.

Let's go back to the SDE in (10), and consider a particular class of functions $\varphi(\psi, t, X_{\text{env}}(\gamma(t)))$ of the noises γ . Let us assume that the noises enter the dynamical equation (DE) of ψ both in an additive form, as (8), and in a multiplicative way. In particular, as we assume the phase space \mathbb{V} to be n -dimensional, let us consider *two stochastic n -vectors*, one of components f^I and the other of components g_J , whose statistics is assigned through their RPF $\mathcal{P}[f, g; t_i, t_f]$, and appearing in the SDE of ψ as:

$$\frac{d\psi^I}{dt} = \Lambda(\psi) + g_J(t) \Gamma^{JI}(\psi) + f^I(t). \quad (13)$$

In (13) one has the co-existence of deterministic expressions, depending on ψ

$$\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{V})), \quad \Gamma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{V}) \otimes \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{V})),$$

with that of the *noise terms* f and g , of which one supposes to know the statistics via $\mathcal{P}[f, g; t_i, t_f]$.

Equation (13) might appear rather particular and simple, as a form of SDE: it is clearly more complicated than the simplest case (8), but one may well think more involuted expressions, as for instance

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \Lambda(\psi) + G \exp\left(\frac{A\psi^2 + \gamma(t)}{B \cos(\gamma(t))}\right) \dots,$$

being $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{R}$ for simplicity. The point is that:

- the “simple” form (13) is the one allowing for the formalism of stochastic Lagrangian, that is of interest here;
- however, as well explained in [5, 6, 7, 8], and in [9], important realistic systems as space plasmas may be described by (13).

Our work will then concentrate on the stochastic Cauchy problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d\psi^I}{dt} = \Lambda(\psi) + g_J(t) \Gamma^{JI}(\psi) + f^I(t), \\ \psi(t_i) = \psi_i, \\ (f(t), g(t)) \sim \mathcal{P}[f, g; t_i, t_f] : \Sigma(t_i, t_f) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+. \end{array} \right. \quad (14)$$

As the paper [10] by Pythian is the first paper introducing this formalism, we will refer to (13) as Langevin-Pythian Equation (LPE) (as far as the Author is aware of, the formalism of the stochastic Lagrangian² has been introduced in [10] and in [11]).

Before going ahead, notice that in the SDE (13), and in the system (14), noises seem to appear “directly” without the mediation of the environmental variables X_{env} in (10): this is only an appearance, as the reader may get convinced of going through [5]. In that case, e.g., noise terms are identified with the terms $-\vec{\partial} \times (\zeta \cdot \vec{J})$, $\frac{\vec{J}}{\rho}$ and $-\frac{\vec{\partial} p}{\rho}$, being ζ the plasma conductivity tensor, \vec{J} the electric current, ρ the plasma mass density and p the plasma pressure: as the state of the system $\psi = (\vec{V}, \vec{B})$ included, there, the plasma bulk velocity and the magnetic induction vector, the quantities ζ , \vec{J} , ρ and p could be understood as “environmental variables” forcing ψ . Following this suggestion, one forms noises with quantities determined by the microscopic nature of the continuum, the *microscopic stochastically treated degrees of freedom* (μSTDoF, see also [12] for this concept) of which are the “environment” for the otherwise isolated fluid variable system.

The dynamics governed by conditions (14) will produce a *multi-history evolution* for ψ : as pictorially indicated in Figure 3, the system history between t_i and t_f is “statistically distributed” along many elements of $\Sigma(t_i, t_f)$, according to the RPF $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$ that will depend on the noise RPF $\mathcal{P}[f, g; t_i, t_f]$ via

$$\mathcal{P}[f, g; t_i, t_f] \xrightarrow{\Lambda, \Gamma} \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f], \quad (15)$$

that is the version of (12) adapted to the dynamics (14). The theoretical program we want to pursue here is to calculate the map just mimicked in (15), i.e. to obtain a (closed as possible) expression of the RPF of ψ from that of the RPF of the noises f and g , and the deterministic parts Λ and Γ of (14) (precisely, the *competition between chance and necessity* about which Haken speaks in his book [13] about “Synergetics”).

Once the RPF $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$ is given, the following program may be realized:

1. evaluate any statistical quantity $\langle F \rangle$, for any functional $F[\psi]$, on the ensemble $\Sigma(t_i, t_f)$ of trajectories through \mathbb{V} admitted for the dynamics (14);
2. calculate the transition probability $\mathcal{P}_{\psi_i \rightarrow \psi_f}(t_i, t_f)$ for the system from an initial condition $\psi_i = \psi(t_i)$ and a final one $\psi_f = \psi(t_f)$.

Both any $\langle F \rangle$ and the transition probability $\mathcal{P}_{\psi_i \rightarrow \psi_f}(t_i, t_f)$ may be expressed in

²Please note that the binomial “stochastic Lagrangian” is used, in the fluid dynamics literature, as a couple of adjectives characterizing the Lagrangian, i.e. material, description of a fluid in the presence of stochastic terms, while here “stochastic Lagrangian” is not a couple of adjectives, because they mean “the Lagrangian function of a stochastic theory”, hence “stochastic” is the attribution of the noun “Lagrangian”. Indeed, the term “Lagrangian” means the same thing as in Quantum Field Theory, not in Fluid Dynamics!

terms of $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$. The quantity $\langle F \rangle$ in Point 1 is calculated as

$$\langle F \rangle = \int_{C([t_i, t_f], \mathbb{V})} [d\psi] F[\psi] \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f], \quad (16)$$

where it is intended that $F[\psi]$ depends on the whole trajectory $\psi(t)$ for $t \in [t_i, t_f]$: actually, the prescription (16) may work also for a time-local *function* $F(\psi)$, that can always be expressed as an integration with the presence of some $\delta(t - \hat{t})$, provided \hat{t} is the instant of interest to calculate the function.

The transition probability $\mathcal{P}_{\psi_i \rightarrow \psi_f}(t_i, t_f)$ may be calculated as the integration of $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$ on all the possible configurations $\psi(t) / t \in (t_i, t_f)$, while the initial and final configurations $\psi(t_i)$ and $\psi(t_f)$ are not integrated on, and kept fixed to the values of interest ψ_i and ψ_f respectively. One may write

$$\mathcal{P}_{\psi_i \rightarrow \psi_f}(t_i, t_f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{C([t_i + \epsilon, t_f - \epsilon], \mathbb{V})} [d\psi] \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] |_{\psi(t_i) = \psi_i, \psi(t_f) = \psi_f}. \quad (17)$$

This may be also written as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\psi_i \rightarrow \psi_f}(t_i, t_f) = \langle 1 \rangle |_{\psi(t_i) = \psi_i, \psi(t_f) = \psi_f}. \quad (18)$$

2.1 Stochastic Lagrangian

The program stated in Points 1 and 2 before needs the knowledge of $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$: in this work the RPF of ψ is represented through a time-local function $\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}, \psi\right)$, so that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] = N_0(t_i, t_f) \exp\left[-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}(t), \psi(t)\right) dt\right], \\ \frac{1}{N_0(t_i, t_f)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{C([t_i, t_f], \mathbb{V})} [d\psi] e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}(t), \psi(t)\right) dt}. \end{array} \right. \quad (19)$$

Clearly, the term $N_0(t_i, t_f)$ is a normalization factor, so that the condition

$$\int_{C([t_i, t_f], \mathbb{V})} [d\psi] \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] = 1 \quad (20)$$

holds. The time-local function $\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}, \psi\right)$ is referred to as *stochastic Lagrangian* (SL).

Since the relationship between this $\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}, \psi\right)$ and the RPF \mathcal{A} of the stochastic process is the same that one has between the classical Lagrangian function and the quantum amplitude in Quantum Mechanics [14], also here one may expect the ‘‘symmetries’’ of \mathcal{L} to turn into invariances of the system statistics. One has to add that, for real ψ , as transition probabilities $\mathcal{P}_{\psi_i \rightarrow \psi_f}(t_i, t_f)$ must be real positive numbers, the quantity $\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}, \psi\right)$ must be *an imaginary number*, as it happens indeed in real cases [5, 7].

About the stochastic Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}, \psi\right)$ one has to stress that it is a function *intrinsically different* from what one calls “Lagrangian” in Analytical Mechanics: indeed, *this* Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}, \psi\right)$, contains the maximum time-derivative appearing in the ODE of the system (13), that is an *intrinsically first order ODE*, while *the* Lagrangian of Analytical Mechanics $L\left(\frac{dq}{dt}, q\right)$ does not contain the maximum order time-derivative of the evolution equation of the system, as Euler-Lagrange equations $\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0$ are *second order ODEs* (here the dot means time-derivative, as $\dot{q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{dq}{dt}$ and $\dot{\psi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d\psi}{dt}$). In § 3.1, where the case of a point particle undergoing classical and stochastic forces is treated, the difference between \mathcal{L} and the *would-be*-Lagrangian L of the point pricle without noise is apparent: indeed, where the traditional Lagrangian would be $L(\dot{\vec{x}}, \vec{x})$, a function of position and velocity, the stochastic Lagrangian is some more complicated function $\mathcal{L}(\dot{\vec{x}}, \dot{\vec{p}}, \vec{x}, \vec{p})$, depending on position, velocity, momentum and momentum derivative. Last difference we need to stress between the Analytical Mechanics Lagrangian L and the stochastic Lagrangian \mathcal{L} is that, while L contains “all the Physics” of the system *only for conservative systems*, \mathcal{L} encodes the whole Physics of *any stochastic system in the form (13)*, regardless it is conservative (Hamiltonian, see § 3.2.1), or dissipative (metriplectic, see § 3.2.2).

It is also of use to give the definition of *stochastic action* $\mathcal{S}[\psi, t_i, t_f]$ as the time-integral of the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} :

$$\mathcal{S}[\psi, t_i, t_f] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{d\psi}{dt}, \psi\right), \quad (21)$$

so that $\mathcal{A} = N_0 \exp(-i\mathcal{S})$. The *caveat* to make no confusion between this \mathcal{S} and the action of Analytical Mechanics is the same one as that of not confusing the stochastic \mathcal{L} and the mechanical Lagrangian L discussed just now.

The construction of the SL is described in [10], and inspired by the previous literature cited therein. In particular, thanks to the mathematical nature of the LPE (13), it is possible to introduce the kernel $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$ starting from the definition of an ensemble statistical average $\langle F \rangle$, based on *noise statistics*

$$\langle F \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle F \rangle_{f,g},$$

as noises represent the only element giving to (14) a stochastic character. The definition of the average $\langle F \rangle_{f,g}$ is obviously

$$\langle F \rangle_{f,g} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\Sigma(t_i, t_f)} F[\psi] \mathcal{P}[f, g; t_i, t_f][df][dg] :$$

our program is to obtain an expression of \mathcal{A} so that

$$\int_{\Sigma(t_i, t_f)} [df] \int_{\Sigma(t_i, t_f)} [dg] F[\psi] \mathcal{P}[f, g; t_i, t_f] = \int_{C([t_i, t_f], \mathbb{V})} [d\psi] F[\psi] \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] \forall F. \quad (22)$$

The first step taken in [10] to obtain \mathcal{A} satisfying (22), is to consider n auxiliary variables $\chi_{I=1,\dots,n}$ that in a sense represent the Fourier momenta conjugated with the additive noises $f^{I=1,\dots,n}$ in the functional space $\Sigma(t_i, t_f)$. Then, an auxiliary kernel, depending on ψ and χ is defined as:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f] = \\ = A_0(t_i, t_f) C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f] e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[\psi^I \chi_I - \Lambda^I(\psi) \chi_I - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Lambda^I(\psi) \right]}, \\ \\ C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f] = \\ = \left\langle e^{i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[f^I \chi_I + g_I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \chi_J + g_I \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right]} \right\rangle_{f,g}. \end{array} \right. \quad (23)$$

In (23) the quantity $A_0(t_i, t_f)$ is a normalization factor, so that

$$\int_{C([t_i, t_f], \Gamma(\mathbb{V}^*))} [d\chi] \int_{C([t_i, t_f], \mathbb{V})} [d\psi] A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f] = 1;$$

the factor $C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f]$ is the term in which the noise statistics ends up being encoded, as

$$C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f] = \int_{\Sigma(t_i, t_f)} [df] \int_{\Sigma(t_i, t_f)} [dg] e^{i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[f^I \chi_I + g_I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \chi_J + g_I \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right]} \mathcal{P}[f, g; t_i, t_f].$$

Once the auxiliary kernel $A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f]$ is constructed, the definition of the physical kernel $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$ reads simply:

$$\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{C([t_i, t_f], \Gamma(\mathbb{V}^*))} [d\chi] A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f]. \quad (24)$$

The calculation indicated in (24) is nothing but obvious, its feasibility is definitely not for grant. Indeed, summing over all the possible histories $\chi(t) / t \in [t_i, t_f]$ is still matter of being able to do a functional integration, that we know is not an easy task in general. In § 2.2 we will see this integration is rather tractable if noises f and g are Gaussian fluctuations δ -correlated in time, as in (25).

The great effort is then re-casting the RPF defined as (24) and (23) in the Lagrangian form (19).

2.2 δ -correlated Gaussian noise

The example in which the calculations indicated in (23) and (24) are completely, and rather easily, feasible, is that in which $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are time- δ -correlated

noises with t -local Gaussian PDF. Let us assume that the two noises f and g have t -local probability density functions

$$\begin{cases} P_t(f) = \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1}\|\lambda(t)\|}{\pi}} e^{-\lambda_{IJ}(t)(f^I - f_0^I)(f^J - f_0^J)}, \\ Q_t(g) = \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1}\|\mu(t)\|}{\pi}} e^{-\mu_{IJ}(t)(g^I - g_0^I)(g^J - g_0^J)}. \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

As noises taken at different times are independent of each other, the whole RPF is a continuous product of the time-local PDFs, i.e.:

$$\mathcal{P}[f, g; t_i, t_f] = \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \left(\frac{2^{2(n-1)}\|\lambda(t)\|\|\mu(t)\|}{\pi^2} \right)^{\frac{dt}{2}} * \quad (26)$$

$$* e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\lambda_{IJ}(t)(f^I(t) - f_0^I(t))(f^J(t) - f_0^J(t)) + \mu_{IJ}(t)(g^I(t) - g_0^I(t))(g^J(t) - g_0^J(t))]}$$

(in the expression (26) the emergence of the integration $\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \dots$ in the argument of the exponential, as well as the power $\frac{dt}{2}$ in the normalization factor, come from the continuous product, see [4]). About the coefficients λ and μ in (25) and (26), one has to note that their relationship with the standard deviation σ_f and σ_g of noises reads:

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\sigma_f^2}, \quad \mu = \frac{1}{2\sigma_g^2} \quad (27)$$

(all the quantities in (27) are $\mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ matrices). A further assumption necessary for (26) to represent the correct RPF of those noises, is that f and g are *uncorrelated with each other*, i.e.:

$$\langle f^I(t) g^J(t') \rangle = 0 \quad \forall t, t', I, J.$$

The RPF (26) gives rise to the average over noises:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F \rangle_{f,g} &= \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \left(\frac{2^{2(n-1)}\|\lambda(t)\|\|\mu(t)\|}{\pi^2} \right)^{\frac{dt}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} df(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dg(t) \right) * \\ &* F[\psi] e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\lambda_{IJ}(t)(f^I(t) - f_0^I(t))(f^J(t) - f_0^J(t)) + \mu_{IJ}(t)(g^I(t) - g_0^I(t))(g^J(t) - g_0^J(t))]} \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality one may put

$$\lambda_{IJ} = \lambda^{(I)} \delta_{IJ}, \quad \mu_{IJ} = \mu^{(I)} \delta_{IJ}, \quad (28)$$

so that the factor $C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f]$ defined in (23) is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f] &= 2^{(n-1)} e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{4\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right]} * \\ &* e^{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left[-\frac{1}{4\lambda^{(I)}} \chi_I^2 - \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \Gamma^{IH}(\psi)}{4\mu^{(I)}} \chi_J \chi_H - \left(\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right) \chi_J \right]}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

(in these scripts, repeated nearby indices mean to be summed over). This (29) is usefully re-written separating the functional depending only on ψ from the one depending *also* on χ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f] = J[\psi; t_i, t_f] M[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f], \\ J[\psi; t_i, t_f] = 2^{(n-1)} e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{4\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + ig_0^I \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right]}, \\ M[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f] = e^{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left[-\frac{1}{4\lambda^{(I)}} \chi_I^2 - \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \Gamma^{IH}(\psi)}{4\mu^{(I)}} \chi_J \chi_H - \left(\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + ig_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right) \chi_J \right]}. \end{array} \right. \quad (30)$$

We are now in the position of calculating $A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f]$ by using this expression in (23), as done in § A.2, with the important (and not generality-losing) assumption (28). Moreover, a suitable symmetric $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{V}) \otimes \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{V})$ tensor

$$\tau^{JH}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\delta^{JH}}{4\lambda^{(J)}} + \sum_{I=1}^n \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \Gamma^{IH}(\psi)}{4\mu^{(I)}} \quad (31)$$

may be defined, that is easily shown to be positive definite

$$\|\tau\| > 0, \quad (32)$$

where the symbol $\|\tau\|$ is the determinant of the matrix of τ . Moreover, a vector β in \mathbb{V} is defined as:

$$\beta^J(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + ig_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) + i\delta^{IJ}(\dot{\psi}^I - \Lambda^I(\psi)) \right], \quad (33)$$

so that all in all one remains with:

$$\begin{aligned} A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f] &\stackrel{(33)}{=} \\ &\stackrel{(33)}{=} A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\tau^{JH}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \chi_J \chi_H + \beta^J(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) \chi_J]}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

that is basically something Gaussian in the χ variables³. The result (95) in §

³The fact that this $A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f]$ is Gaussian in χ is not at all a surprise: indeed, the parts depending on χ in (23) are: the factor

$$e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[\dot{\psi}^I \chi_I - \Lambda^I(\psi) \chi_I - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi) \right]},$$

the exponential of a linear composition of the χ s, and the $C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f]$, i.e. the functional Fourier transform of the Gaussian RPF $\mathcal{P}[f, g; t_i, t_f]$, i.e. a Gaussian again.

A.2 is interesting because, as the definition of J in (30) is considered

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] &= N_0(t_i, t_f) e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I,J=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{4\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} + i g_0^I \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Lambda^I(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I} \right]}_* \\ &_* e^{\frac{1}{4} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \beta(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \beta^T(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t))}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

it is possible to define a total SL

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) &= \frac{1}{4} i \beta(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \cdot \beta^T(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) + \\ &+ \sum_{I,J=1}^n \left[g_0^I \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} - \frac{i}{4\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial \Lambda^I(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

This is used as:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] = N_0(t_i, t_f) e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi)}, \\ N_0(t_i, t_f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2^{n-1} A_0(t_i, t_f). \end{array} \right. \quad (37)$$

The SL of the problem (14) with f and g time- δ -correlated Gaussian noises, so that $\mathcal{A} = N_0 e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} L dt}$, is the function (36).

In what follows, relevant examples of system stirred by time- δ -correlated Gaussian noises will be described, making reference to the SL (36).

3 Examples

In this Section some mechanical examples are reported, to show few, physically relevant cases in which the construction of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{L} is performed completely. These are: the case of a pointlike particle of classical mechanics, that of Hamiltonian and metriplectic systems, and that of a general Leibniz dynamics. Only in the very simple case of the particle undergoing a deterministic viscous force, plus noise, the functional measure $[d\psi]$ appearing in (16) and (17) is calculated explicitly. For sake of feasibility, all the noises in the examples are supposed to be time- δ -correlated and Gaussian.

From the examples mentioned here, the reader should learn at least a general idea about the meaning and use of SLs; however, a more complex and practical application of this formulation may be found in papers [5, 6, 15, 7, 8, 9, 4], where the same formulation described here is applied to space physics and geophysical examples.

3.1 Point Particle with noise

Consider a point particle described by its position \vec{x} and its momentum \vec{p} , with the relationship $\vec{p} = m \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt}$. Consider also Newton's DE

$$\vec{F}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t) = \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt},$$

where the force vector $\vec{F}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t)$ may be given by "any" force law. In general, the convenient thing is to consider an \vec{F} given by the sum of a pure *deterministic*, *smooth* addendum $\vec{\Phi}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t)$, plus a noise terms $\vec{\xi}(t)$, the statistics of which is going to be specified in a moment:

$$\vec{\Phi}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t) + \vec{\xi}(t) = \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt}.$$

The equations of motion read:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}}{m}, \\ \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{\Phi}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t) + \vec{\xi}(t). \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

In equations (38), one has six components of the state vector

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{x} \\ \vec{p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (39)$$

while the independent additive noises are only three ones, the $\vec{\xi}$ components. In order to be cautiously compliant with the scheme of [10], let us introduce an auxiliary noise term $\vec{\eta}(t)$, so that the ODEs (38) become

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}}{m} + \vec{\eta}(t), \\ \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{\Phi}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t) + \vec{\xi}(t) : \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

the complete equivalence between these (40) with the ODEs (38) will be *weakly restored*, in the sense that the noises $\vec{\eta}$ will be supposed to have their PDF δ -like peaked in zero identically, i.e. for all times t .

The first thing to do in order to apply what described in § 2.2 to the system (40), one has to construct the Lagrangian (36). As no multiplicative noise exists in the example (40), one can adapt what obtained before to systems with *pure additive noise* just putting everywhere:

$$\Gamma(\psi) = 0 \forall \psi \in \mathbb{V}. \quad (41)$$

This reduces the SL to:

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda, \dot{\psi}, \psi) = \frac{i}{4} \beta^T(\dot{\psi}, \psi) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda) \cdot \beta(\dot{\psi}, \psi) - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial \Lambda^I(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I}.$$

Also, the expressions of β and τ must be modified according to (41): the definition of β in (33) reads:

$$\beta^J(\dot{\psi}, \psi) = i(\dot{\psi}^J - \Lambda^J(\psi)), \quad (42)$$

while that of τ in (31) now becomes:

$$\tau^{JH}(\lambda) = \frac{\delta^{JH}}{4\lambda^{(J)}}. \quad (43)$$

The tensor τ is trivially inverted

$$(\tau^{-1})^{JH}(\lambda) = 4\lambda^{(J)}\delta^{JH},$$

so the expression of $\mathcal{L}(\lambda, \dot{\psi}, \psi)$ reads:

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda, \dot{\psi}, \psi) = -i \sum_{J=1}^n \left[\lambda^{(J)} (\dot{\psi}^J - \Lambda^J(\psi))^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Lambda^J(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \right]. \quad (44)$$

The set of necessary functions to write the SL in (44) is completed with the $\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{V})$ -vector Λ collecting the deterministic part of the dynamics, that from (40) reads:

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{p} \\ m \\ \vec{\Phi}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (45)$$

From (45) one has:

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{J=1}^n \frac{\partial \Lambda^J(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^3 \frac{\partial \Phi^h}{\partial p^h}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t).$$

The SL reads:

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda, \dot{\psi}, \psi) = -i \sum_{J=1}^n \lambda^{(J)} (\dot{\psi}^J - \Lambda^J(\psi))^2 - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{h=1}^3 \frac{\partial \Phi^h}{\partial p^h}.$$

The “kinetic” addendum $-i \sum_{J=1}^n \lambda^{(J)} (\dot{\psi}^J - \Lambda^J(\psi))^2$ is constructed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & -i \sum_{J=1}^n \lambda^{(J)} (\dot{\psi}^J - \Lambda^J(\psi))^2 = \\ & = -i \sum_{k=1}^3 \lambda_{\eta}^{(k)} \left(\dot{x}^k - \frac{p^k}{m} \right)^2 - i \sum_{h=1}^3 \lambda_{\xi}^{(h)} [p^h - \Phi^h(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Considering (27), one may conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \dot{\psi}, \psi) = & -i \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(\eta^k)} \left(\dot{x}^k - \frac{p^k}{m} \right)^2 + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{h=1}^3 \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(\xi^h)} [\dot{p}^h - \Phi^h(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t)]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^3 \frac{\partial \Phi^h}{\partial p^h} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

being $\sigma(\eta^k)$ and $\sigma(\xi^h)$ the standard deviations or the respective noise components.

When (46) is used in (37), one obtains:

$$\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] = N_0(t_i, t_f) e^{-\sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left\{ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(\eta^k)} \left(\dot{x}^k - \frac{p^k}{m} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(\xi^h)} [\dot{p}^h - \Phi^h(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t)]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Phi^h}{\partial p^h} \right\}}. \quad (47)$$

In order to reproduce the system (38) one has to consider an identically zero noise $\vec{\eta}$, which is realized in (47) “simply” considering the δ -family⁴

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(y-y_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = \delta(y - y_0), \quad (48)$$

so that we are interested in:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\xi[\psi; t_i, t_f] & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\sigma(\vec{\eta}) \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] = \\ & = \mathcal{N}_0(t_i, t_f) \delta \left[\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} - \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \right] e^{-\sum_{h=1}^3 \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left\{ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(\xi^h)} [\dot{p}^h - \Phi^h(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t)]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Phi^h}{\partial p^h} \right\}}, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

being $\mathcal{N}_0(t_i, t_f)$ the suitable, necessary normalization constant, generally different from the $N_0(t_i, t_f)$ in (47). Note that in (49) the symbol $\delta \left[\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} - \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \right]$ is a *functional* δ , being effective at any time $t \in [t_i, t_f]$, so that the prescription $\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}}{m}$ will be enforced as the integration over $[d^3x]$, or over $[d^3p]$, takes place.

The example of $\vec{\Phi}$ one might consider reads:

$$\vec{\Phi}(\vec{x}, \vec{p}) = -\vec{\partial}V(\vec{x}) + \frac{q}{m}\vec{p} \times \vec{B}(\vec{x}) - \frac{\zeta}{m}\vec{p}. \quad (50)$$

This force is the sum of a gradient force $-\vec{\partial}V(\vec{x})$, as in classical gravitation, elasticity or electrostatics, a Lorenz force $\frac{q}{m}\vec{p} \times \vec{B}(\vec{x})$ mimicking the effect of

⁴In the formulae (48) and (49), and in similar formulae below, the “limit” is to be intended in the distributional sense, or weak limit: $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} \varphi(x, \alpha) = \varphi_0(x)$ means

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} \int dx \varphi(x, \alpha) f(x) = \int dx \varphi_0(x) f(x) \quad \forall f,$$

with f being a function in the suitable space rendering each $\int dx \varphi(x, \alpha) f(x)$ and $\int dx \varphi_0(x) f(x)$ finite.

a magnetic field \vec{B} on a particle of electric charge q , and a dissipative, viscous friction force $-\frac{\zeta}{m}\vec{p}$. Considering the calculations in § B.1, one may write

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^3 \frac{\partial \Phi^h}{\partial p^h} \stackrel{(50)}{=} -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\zeta}{m} \quad (51)$$

and this (51) is inserted into (49), obtaining:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_\xi [\psi; t_i, t_f] = \\ & = \mathcal{N}_0 (t_i, t_f) e^{\frac{3\zeta}{2m}(t_f-t_i)} \delta \left[\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} - \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \right] e^{\left\{ -\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_h \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(\xi^h)} [\dot{p}^h - \Phi^h(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t)]^2 \right\}}. \end{aligned}$$

The factor $\mathcal{N}_0 (t_i, t_f) e^{\frac{3\zeta}{2m}(t_f-t_i)}$ may be put all together defining $M_0 (\zeta, m; t_i, t_f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{N}_0 (t_i, t_f) e^{\frac{3\zeta}{2m}(t_f-t_i)}$, so we may write:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_\xi [\psi; t_i, t_f] = M_0 (\zeta, m; t_i, t_f) \delta \left[\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} - \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \right] e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}_\xi(\vec{p}, \vec{p}, \vec{x})}, \\ & \mathcal{L}_\xi (\dot{\vec{p}}, \vec{p}, \vec{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_h \frac{-i}{2\sigma^2(\xi^h)} [\dot{p}^h - \Phi^h(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t)]^2, \end{aligned} \right. \quad (52)$$

(in (52) the components Φ^h are those forming the vector $\vec{\Phi}$ in (50))

Some comments are needed about this result: the exponential

$$\exp \left\{ -\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_h \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(\xi^h)} [\dot{p}^h - \Phi^h(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t)]^2 \right\}$$

will, again, converge to a Dirac δ functional of the argument $\dot{p}^h - \Phi^h(\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t)$ as $\sigma(\xi^h)$ tends to zero, i.e. as the noise vector $\vec{\xi}$ is distributed according to a more and more peaked Gaussian, provided a suitable term $\mathbb{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma(\xi^h)}\right)$ is admitted in the normalization factor $M_0(\zeta, m; t_i, t_f)$. In other words: in the “classical limit” $\sigma(\xi^h) \rightarrow 0$, in which one has the certainty of having zero $\vec{\xi}$, the RPF *à la Pythian* of the stochastic trajectories admitted by (38) converges to the certainty that the trajectory will obey the “silent⁵ ODEs” $\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}}{m}$ and $\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{\Phi}$.

Before going to more examples of stochastic systems, we would like to repeat, through the formalism introduced here, the calculations reported in § 6.1 of the book [14], and make *an explicit calculation of the functional measure* $[d\psi]$ in the case of the point particle undergoing additive noise. We will do this for the simplified case in which $\vec{\Phi}$ is a *pure viscous force*, i.e. $\vec{\Phi} = -\frac{\zeta}{m}\vec{p}$, so the ODEs of the system read:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}}{m}, \\ & \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = -\frac{\zeta}{m}\vec{p} + \vec{\xi}(t). \end{aligned} \right. \quad (53)$$

⁵“Silent” here means “without noise”, *classical*, fully deterministic.

The kernel in use reads:

$$\mathcal{A}[\vec{x}, \vec{p}; t_i, t_f] = \tilde{N}_0(\zeta; t_i, t_f) \delta \left[\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} - \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \right] e^{-\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_{(i)} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left\{ \dot{p}_i^2 + \frac{2\zeta}{m} \dot{p}_i p_i + \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2} p_i^2 \right\}}.$$

The term $\frac{2\zeta}{m} \lambda_{(i)} \dot{p}_i p_i$ in the integral can be simplified:

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{2\zeta}{m} \lambda_{(i)} \dot{p}_i p_i = \frac{\zeta}{m} \lambda_{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)],$$

so one re-writes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[\vec{x}, \vec{p}; t_i, t_f] &= \\ &= \tilde{N}_0(\zeta; t_i, t_f) e^{-\frac{\zeta}{m} \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]} \delta \left[\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} - \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \right] e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\lambda_{(i)} \dot{p}_i^2 + \lambda_{(i)} \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2} p_i^2 \right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

This kernel is the central quantity allowing for the discussion of the statistical dynamics of the system (53), as it represents the statistical weight of the realization

$$\vec{x}(t), \vec{p}(t)$$

chosen in the integrand

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\dot{\vec{p}}, \vec{p}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -i \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\lambda_{(i)} \dot{p}_i^2 + \lambda_{(i)} \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2} p_i^2 \right). \quad (55)$$

The current use of the functional $\mathcal{A}[\vec{x}, \vec{p}; t_i, t_f]$ needs the determination of the constant $\tilde{N}_0(\zeta; t_i, t_f)$, that is prescribed in order to obtain:

$$\int [d\vec{x}] \int [d\vec{p}] \mathcal{A}[\vec{x}, \vec{p}; t_i, t_f] = 1,$$

so that its value is determined as:

$$\tilde{N}_0^{-1}(\zeta; t_i, t_f) = \int [d\vec{x}] \int [d\vec{p}] e^{-\frac{\zeta}{m} \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]} \delta \left[\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} - \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \right] e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}_p(\dot{\vec{p}}, \vec{p})}. \quad (56)$$

As in the kernel in (54), and hence in (56), no dependence on \vec{x} appears in the integrand, the integration

$$\int [d\vec{x}] \delta \left[\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} - \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \right] = 1$$

can be considered, simply turning (56) into:

$$\tilde{N}_0^{-1}(\zeta; t_i, t_f) = \int [d\vec{p}] e^{-\frac{\zeta}{m} \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]} e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}_p(\dot{\vec{p}}, \vec{p})}. \quad (57)$$

The way out to find a closed expression for

$$\int [d\vec{p}] e^{-\frac{\zeta}{m} \lambda_{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]} e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}_p(\dot{\vec{p}}, \vec{p})}$$

is to make use of time-discretization as done by Feynman and Hibbs in their book [14], in which they subdivide the interval $[t_i, t_f]$ into ϵ -long pieces

$$\begin{aligned} t_f - t_i &= N\epsilon, \quad \epsilon = t_{k+1} - t_k, \\ t_0 &= t_i, \quad t_N = t_f, \quad \psi_0 = \psi_i, \quad \psi_N = \psi_f, \\ \psi(t_k) &\mapsto \frac{1}{2} [\psi(t_{k+1}) + \psi(t_k)], \\ \psi(t_k) &\mapsto \frac{1}{\epsilon} [\psi(t_{k+1}) - \psi(t_k)]. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

The full integration $\int [d\vec{p}]$ may be represented as

$$\int [d\vec{p}] \dots \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \prod_{k=0}^N \mathcal{W}_p(k) \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_k) \right) \dots, \tag{59}$$

while the integration in the exponential in (57) is discretized as:

$$\mathcal{S}_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left(\lambda_{(i)} \dot{p}_i^2 + \lambda_{(i)} \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2} p_i^2 \right) \cong -i \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \epsilon \lambda_{(i)} \left(\dot{p}_i^2(k) + \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2} p_i^2(k) \right). \tag{60}$$

Defining in a closed form the functional measure $\int [d\vec{p}]$ precisely means finding sensible expressions for the factors $\mathcal{W}_p(k)$ in (59).

Feynman and Hibbs suggest the way to interpret the quantity $\dot{p}_i(k)$ as:

$$\dot{p}_i(k) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} [p_i(k+1) - p_i(k)], \tag{61}$$

while the quantity $p_i(k)$ is better approximated as

$$p_i(k) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} [p_i(k+1) + p_i(k)]. \tag{62}$$

The replacements (61) and (62) are performed, and calculations are done in § B.1. The integral in (60) reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_p &\cong -i \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{\lambda_{(i)}}{\epsilon} \left[\left(\frac{4m^2 + \epsilon^2 \zeta^2}{4m^2} \right) p_i^2(k+1) + \right. \\ &\left. + \left(\frac{4m^2 + \epsilon^2 \zeta^2}{4m^2} \right) p_i^2(k) + \left(\frac{\zeta^2 \epsilon^2 - 4m^2}{2m^2} \right) p_i(k+1) p_i(k) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

In anticipation of calculating the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ invoked in (59), the expressions calculated in (97) are reported neglecting $o(\epsilon)$, so that one may re-write:

$$\frac{4m^2 + \epsilon^2 \zeta^2}{4m^2} \stackrel{o(\epsilon)}{\cong} 1, \quad \frac{\zeta^2 \epsilon^2 - 4m^2}{2m^2} \stackrel{o(\epsilon)}{\cong} -2, \quad (64)$$

giving rise to the following approximation for the term \mathcal{S}_p in (63):

$$\mathcal{S}_p \stackrel{o(\epsilon)}{\cong} -i \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} [p_i^2(k+1) + p_i^2(k) - 2p_i(k+1)p_i(k)]. \quad (65)$$

Due to (65), one has the following normalization:

$$\tilde{N}_0^{-1}(\zeta; t_i, t_f) = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \mathcal{W}_p(N) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3p(t_f) e^{-\frac{\zeta}{m} \lambda^{(i)} p_i^2(t_f)} \quad (66)$$

$$* \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{W}_p(k) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_k) e^{\frac{\zeta}{m} \lambda^{(i)} p_i^2(t_i)} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} [p_i^2(k+1) + p_i^2(k) - 2p_i(k+1)p_i(k)]}.$$

All the integrations $\int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_k)$ are “the same”

$$\mathcal{I}_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{W}_p(k) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_k) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} [p_i^2(k+1) + p_i^2(k) - 2p_i(k+1)p_i(k)]}, \quad (67)$$

apart from the integration over $p_i(t_i)$, because it contains also the factor $e^{\frac{\zeta}{m} \lambda^{(i)} p_i^2(t_i)}$ inherited from (54). So, it is useful to separate the integration over the initial momentum $p_i(t_i)$ from all the other ones in (66):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{N}_0^{-1}(\zeta; t_i, t_f) = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \mathcal{W}_p(N) \mathcal{W}_p(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}} d^3p(t_f) e^{-\frac{\zeta}{m} \lambda^{(i)} p_i^2(t_f)} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \mathcal{I}_k \mathcal{J}_0, \\ \mathcal{J}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{W}_p(0) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} d^3p(t_i) e^{\frac{\zeta}{m} \lambda^{(i)} p_i^2(t_i)} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} [p_i^2(1) + p_i^2(t_i) - 2p_i(1)p_i(t_i)]}. \end{array} \right. \quad (68)$$

The quantity \mathcal{J}_0 reads:

$$\mathcal{J}_0 = \mathcal{W}_p(0) \sqrt{\frac{\pi^3 \epsilon^3}{\|\lambda\|}}.$$

The integral \mathcal{I}_k instead reads:

$$\mathcal{I}_k = \mathcal{W}_p(k) \sqrt{\frac{\pi^3 \epsilon^3}{\|\lambda\|}}. \quad (69)$$

It also the case to calculate \mathcal{I}_0 as:

$$\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{W}_p(k) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_i) e^{-\sum_i \frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} [p_i^2(1) + p_i^2(0) - 2p_i(1)p_i(0)]}$$

All in all, one may write:

$$\tilde{N}_0^{-1}(\zeta; t_i, t_f) = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \mathcal{W}_p \int_{\mathbb{R}} d^3 p(t_f) \int_{\mathbb{R}} d^3 p(t_i) e^{-\frac{\zeta}{m} \sum_i \lambda^{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]} \left(\frac{\pi^3 \epsilon^3}{\|\lambda\|} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}, \quad (70)$$

where one has given the definition:

$$\mathcal{W}_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{k=0}^N \mathcal{W}_p(k).$$

Once the quantity $\tilde{N}_0^{-1}(\zeta; t_i, t_f)$ has been calculated as done in § B.2, and represented as in (70), one may write:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A}[\vec{x}, \vec{p}; t_i, t_f] = \tilde{N}_0(\zeta; t_i, t_f) e^{-\frac{\zeta}{m} \sum_i \lambda^{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]} \delta \left[\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} - \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \right] e^{-i\mathcal{S}_p}, \\ \mathcal{S}_p \stackrel{o(\epsilon)}{\cong} -i \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} [p_i^2(k+1) + p_i^2(k) - 2p_i(k+1)p_i(k)], \\ \tilde{N}_0(\zeta; t_i, t_f) = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \frac{e^{\frac{\zeta}{m} \sum_i \lambda^{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]}}{\prod_{k=0}^N \mathcal{W}_p(k) \mathcal{V}_p(t_f) \mathcal{V}_p(t_i)} \left(\frac{\|\lambda\|}{\pi^3 \epsilon^3} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}, \end{array} \right. \quad (71)$$

where the definition $\mathcal{V}_p(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int d^3 p(t)$ is intended. If $\tilde{N}_0(\zeta; t_i, t_f)$ is inserted in the first expression in (71), one has

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A}[\vec{x}, \vec{p}; t_i, t_f] = \delta \left[\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} - \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \right] \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \frac{\|\lambda\|^{\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-i\mathcal{S}_p(N, \epsilon)}}{(\pi^3 \epsilon^3)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \prod_{k=0}^N \mathcal{W}_p(k) \mathcal{V}_p(t_f) \mathcal{V}_p(t_i)}, \\ \mathcal{S}_p \stackrel{o(\epsilon)}{\cong} -i \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} [p_i^2(k+1) + p_i^2(k) - 2p_i(k+1)p_i(k)] : \end{array} \right. \quad (72)$$

all in all, (72) implies also:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int [d\vec{x}] \int [d\vec{p}] \delta \left[\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} - \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \right] e^{-i\mathcal{S}_p} = \\
& = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \left(\frac{\pi^3 \epsilon^3}{\|\lambda\|} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \prod_{k=0}^N \mathcal{W}_p(k) \mathcal{V}_p(t_f) \mathcal{V}_p(t_i). \tag{73}
\end{aligned}$$

The relationship (73) may be of use when ensemble calculations are to be performed via $\mathcal{A}[\vec{x}, \vec{p}; t_i, t_f]$.

Going back to (71), if one wants to keep finite $\tilde{N}_0(\zeta; t_i, t_f)$, the factor $\prod_{k=0}^N \mathcal{W}_p(k)$ must be chosen accordingly. Provided one defines

$$\mathcal{W}_p(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{e^{\frac{\zeta}{mN} \sum_i \lambda_{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]}}{n_0^{\frac{1}{N}} (\mathcal{V}_p(t_f) \mathcal{V}_p(t_i))^{\frac{1}{N}}} \left(\frac{\|\lambda\|}{\pi^3 \epsilon^3} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2N}}, \tag{74}$$

as suggested in § B.3, the factor \tilde{N}_0 remains finite. This amounts to defining the functional measure:

$$\int [d\vec{p}] \dots \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \prod_{k=0}^N \frac{e^{\frac{\zeta}{mN} \sum_i \lambda_{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]}}{(\mathcal{V}_p(t_f) \mathcal{V}_p(t_i))^{\frac{1}{N}}} \left(\frac{\|\lambda\|}{\pi^3 \epsilon^3} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2N}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_k) \right) \dots, \tag{75}$$

so that a concrete nature to the definition (59) is given (in (75) the finite constant $n_0 \equiv \tilde{N}_0$, used in § B.3, has been put equal to 1, that means: the necessity of a normalization factor for $\mathcal{A}[\vec{x}, \vec{p}; t_i, t_f]$ has been reabsorbed into the functional measure $[d\vec{p}]$).

3.2 Leibniz systems

Leibniz systems are dynamical systems whose ODEs may be expressed in tensor terms as

$$\frac{d\psi^I}{dt} = T^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} F(\psi), \tag{76}$$

where the quantity F is a function from \mathbb{V} to \mathbb{R} indicated as *Leibniz-generator of the motion*, while $T \in \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{V}) \otimes \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{V})$ is a tensor, referred to as *Leibniz tensor* [16]. Suppose to deal with a stochastic dynamics, the deterministic part of which is (76), i.e. some version of (14) with

$$\Lambda^I(\psi) = T^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} F(\psi). \tag{77}$$

Provided the SDE reads

$$\frac{d\psi^I}{dt} = T^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} + g_J(t) \Gamma^{JI}(\psi) + f^I(t)$$

and f and g are Gaussian time- δ -correlated noises with the statistics described in § 2.2, one must work with the functionals (37). The calculations needed are shown in § C, and one has:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] = N_0(t_i, t_f) e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi)}, \\ \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) = \frac{1}{4} i \beta^T(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \cdot \beta(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) + \\ + \sum_{I, J=1}^n \left\{ g_0^I \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} - \frac{i}{4\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial T^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I} \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} - \frac{i}{2} T_S^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial^2 F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I \partial \psi^J} \right\}, \\ \beta^J(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) + i \delta^{IJ} \left(\dot{\psi}^I - T^{IK}(\psi) \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^K} \right) \right], \\ \tau^{JH}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\delta^{JH}}{4\lambda^{(J)}} + \sum_{I=1}^n \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \Gamma^{IH}(\psi)}{4\mu^{(I)}}. \end{array} \right. \quad (78)$$

The more tractable version of (78) is the one with *additive noises only*: to obtain this case in the smoothest way possible one may resort to (41) and to the relationship (27). Then, one will write:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A}_f[\psi; t_i, t_f] = N_0(t_i, t_f) e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \dot{\psi}, \psi)}, \\ \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \dot{\psi}, \psi) = - \sum_{I=1}^n \frac{i}{2\sigma^2(fI)} \left(\dot{\psi}^I - T^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \right)^2 + \\ - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{I, J=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial T^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I} \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} + T_S^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial^2 F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I \partial \psi^J} \right]. \end{array} \right. \quad (79)$$

In the following two §§, the Hamiltonian and the metriplectic systems are treated, as particularly relevant cases of Leibniz systems.

3.2.1 Hamiltonian Systems

A Hamiltonian system is a DS as in (76), with T^{IJ} being a *Jacobi tensor* [17]; moreover, the dynamics generator F is what they call *Hamiltonian of the system*, namely its *energy*:

$$F = H.$$

In the relationships (78) and (79), this means in practical that $T^{IJ} = -T^{JI}$, and that $T_S^{IJ} = 0$; besides this, T^{IJ} will be rather indicated as J^{IJ} , i.e. the Jacobi tensor. All in all, one may write the functional formalism for the statistical dynamics of a general Hamiltonian system, stirred by both additive f and

multiplicative g Gaussian time- δ -correlated noises, as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] = N_0(t_i, t_f) e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi)}, \\ \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) = \frac{1}{4} i \beta(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \cdot \beta^T(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) + \\ + \sum_{I, J=1}^n \left\{ g_0^I \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} - \frac{i}{4\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial J^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I} \frac{\partial H(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \right\}, \\ \beta^J(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) + i \delta^{IJ} \left(\dot{\psi}^I - J^{IK}(\psi) \frac{\partial H(\psi)}{\partial \psi^K} \right) \right], \\ \tau^{JH}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\delta^{JH}}{4\lambda^{(J)}} + \sum_{I=1}^n \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \Gamma^{IH}(\psi)}{4\mu^{(I)}}. \end{array} \right. \quad (80)$$

If only additive noises appear, then one has to adapt directly (79), i.e.:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A}_f[\psi; t_i, t_f] = N_0(t_i, t_f) e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \dot{\psi}, \psi)}, \\ \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \dot{\psi}, \psi) = - \sum_{I=1}^n \frac{i}{2\sigma^2(fI)} \left(\dot{\psi}^I - J^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial H(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \right)^2 + \\ - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{I, J=1}^n \frac{\partial J^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I} \frac{\partial H(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J}. \end{array} \right. \quad (81)$$

3.2.2 Metriplectic Systems

Metriplectic systems are Leibniz systems in which the tensor T is the sum of a Jacobi tensor J and a semimetric tensor G . Moreover, the generator F takes the form of *free energy* function, namely the sum of a Hamiltonian H and an entropy S , weighted by some coefficient α : $F = H + \alpha S$. The two tensors J and G must have the particular following relationships with the gradients of H and S :

$$J^{AB} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \psi^B} = 0, \quad G^{AB} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \psi^B} = 0. \quad (82)$$

As explained thoroughly in [12] and references therein, a metriplectic system is basically the algebrization of an energetically closed, otherwise Hamiltonian system, to which some dissipation is added, due to degrees of freedom whose entropy is represented by S . All in all, one has energy conservation $\dot{H} = 0$ and entropy growth $\dot{S} \geq 0$, thanks to the ODEs:

$$\frac{d\psi^A}{dt} = J^{AB}(\psi) \frac{\partial H(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} + \alpha G^{AB}(\psi) \frac{\partial S(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B}. \quad (83)$$

The stochastic version of the metriplectic system is simply written as:

$$\frac{d\psi^A}{dt} = J^{AB}(\psi) \frac{\partial H(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} + \alpha G^{AB}(\psi) \frac{\partial S(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} + g_B \Gamma^{BA}(\psi) + f^A. \quad (84)$$

Of course, if the noises f and g have completely general statistics, then all the formalism in § 2.1 is applicable, but we are interested to the simpler particular case of time- δ -correlated Gaussian noises, so that what reported in the general part of this § 3.2 is sufficient. Particular reference is made to the relationship

$$-\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \left[T^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \right] = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^A} \left[J^{AB}(\psi) \frac{\partial H(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} + \alpha G^{AB}(\psi) \frac{\partial S(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} \right] :$$

as the compatibility conditions (82) are considered, the quantity $-\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \left[T^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \right]$ will be calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \left[T^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \right] = \\ & = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial J^{AB}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^A} \frac{\partial H(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} - \frac{i\alpha}{2} \left[\frac{\partial G^{AB}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^A} \frac{\partial S(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} + G^{AB}(\psi) \frac{\partial^2 S(\psi)}{\partial \psi^A \partial \psi^B} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

When the additive noise f and the multiplicative one g are both present, the kernel of the *metriplectic stochastic system* reads:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] = N_0(t_i, t_f) e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi)}, \\ & \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) = \frac{1}{4} i \beta^T(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \cdot \beta(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) + \\ & + \sum_{I, J=1}^n \left\{ g_0^I \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} - \frac{i}{4\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} + \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial J^{AB}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^A} \frac{\partial H(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} - \frac{i\alpha}{2} \left[\frac{\partial G^{AB}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^A} \frac{\partial S(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} + G^{AB}(\psi) \frac{\partial^2 S(\psi)}{\partial \psi^A \partial \psi^B} \right] \right\}, \\ & \beta^J(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) + \right. \\ & \left. + i \delta^{IJ} \left(\dot{\psi}^I - J^{AB}(\psi) \frac{\partial H(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} - \alpha G^{AB}(\psi) \frac{\partial S(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} \right) \right], \\ & \tau^{JH}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\delta^{JH}}{4\lambda^{(J)}} + \sum_{I=1}^n \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \Gamma^{IH}(\psi)}{4\mu^{(I)}}. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (86)$$

The kernel for the metriplectic system with *purely additive, Gaussian, time- δ -*

correlated noise f will instead read:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A}_f[\psi; t_i, t_f] = N_0(t_i, t_f) e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \dot{\psi}, \psi)}, \\ \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \dot{\psi}, \psi) = -\sum_{I,B=1}^n \frac{i}{2\sigma^2(fI)} \left(\dot{\psi}^I - J^{IB}(\psi) \frac{\partial H(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} - \alpha G^{IB}(\psi) \frac{\partial S(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} \right)^2 + \\ -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{A,B=1}^n \left\{ \frac{\partial J^{AB}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^A} \frac{\partial H(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} + \left[\frac{\partial G^{AB}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^A} \frac{\partial S(\psi)}{\partial \psi^B} + G^{AB}(\psi) \frac{\partial^2 S(\psi)}{\partial \psi^A \partial \psi^B} \right] \right\}. \end{array} \right. \quad (87)$$

4 Conclusions

The whole science about “stochastic processes” appears to advance a criticism to the DP described in § 1, which is, instead, an upgrade of it. Indeed, *non-deterministic finite dimensional systems*, to which one resorts because of the only statistical knowledge of some elements of the initial value problem, are promoted to *deterministic infinite-dimensional systems*, as the mathematical quantities used to describe them are not their state variables ψ but, rather, statistical distributions of ψ .

In statistical dynamics the central tool for such a theory are *master equations* [18], i.e. the evolution equations for probability distributions [13]. An alternative way to describe the same problem, appearing even more powerful to the Author of this paper, is that of *functional formalism* [10], in which the goal is to calculate the probability that a certain particular history $\hat{\psi}(t)$ takes place between t_i and t_f , what we refer to as RPF in this work. The program of functional formalism in Statistical Dynamics is, then, to start from the knowledge of the noise history probability $\mathcal{P}[\gamma; t_i, t_f]$ and of the equations of motion of ψ , and find the history probability $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$ of the state variables ψ of the system, namely studying the map (12).

Under the particular condition that the SDE of the system is in the form of the ODE in (10), i.e. the Langevin-Phythian equation

$$\dot{\psi} = \Lambda(\psi) + g^T \cdot \Gamma(\psi) + f,$$

(f and g being noises of assigned RPF $\mathcal{P}[f, g; t_i, t_f]$), it is possible to obtain $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$ in the form of imaginary exponential of a time-local function $\mathcal{L}(\dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t), t)$, referred to as the *stochastic Lagrangian*:

$$\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] = N_0(t_i, t_f) \exp \left[-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}(\dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t), t) \right]. \quad (88)$$

As the whole statistical dynamics of such a system is constructed by using $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$, to some extent one could say it is encoded in $\mathcal{L}(\dot{\psi}, \psi, t)$, precisely

as it happens for Quantum Mechanics when Feynman’s path integral approach is adopted [14]. Once all the ingredients in (88) are found, one will use them to calculate “anything” of the ensemble statistics of the system, e.g. averages, transition probabilities or correlations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle F \rangle = \int [d\psi] F[\psi] \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f], \\ P_{\psi_i \rightarrow \psi_f}(t_i, t_f) = \int_{\substack{\psi(t_i) = \psi_i \\ \psi(t_f) = \psi_f}} [d\psi] \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f], \\ \mathcal{C}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m) = \int [d\psi] \prod_{j=1}^m \psi(t_j) \mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]. \end{array} \right. \quad (89)$$

This promises to be a very powerful tool.

Two big difficulties exist in obtaining things in (88), and using them as in (89): first of all, as underlined in § 2.1, obtaining the RPF of ψ getting rid of the auxiliary variables χ is in general a rather hard task, that appears to be “simple” only if f and g are time- δ -correlated Gaussian noises, see § 2.2; this impedes to obtain $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$ from the more immediately defined $A[\chi, \psi; t_i, t_f]$ (this difficulty is partly circumvented by the recipes in [11], where the kernel $A[\chi, \psi; t_i, t_f]$ is used to calculate susceptibilities and other statistical observables). Moreover, in all the statistical quantities as those in (89), there is the necessity of writing in a closed form *the functional measure* $[d\psi]$, that is **the celebrated big difficulty** of any branch of Physics making use of path integrals! Here, we have made the explicit calculation of the functional measure $\int [d\psi] \dots \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dots$ only in the very simple case in which a point particle of Newton’s mechanics undergoes the action of a deterministic viscous force and a stochastic one $\vec{\xi}$ (of course, Gaussian and time- δ -correlated!), so that its SDE reads: $\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = -\frac{\zeta}{m}\vec{p} + \vec{\xi}$, see § 3.1 from page 20 on, and all the big calculations in §§ 39 and 41.

As we have shown in §§ 17 and 25, the functional formalism of the stochastic Lagrangian appears to be applicable to a very wide range of physical problems, from Newton’s mechanics plus noise, to Leibniz systems, included Hamiltonian or metriplectic systems, see §§ 26 and 27. Fluid dynamical and plasma physics examples are treated in the references quoted here, and for sure much wider fields will be covered in the future.

Acknowledgements

At the end of this work, I want to thank Giuseppe Consolini (INAF, Italy) and Tom Chang (MIT, Kavli Institute, USA), who always encourage me not to stop fantasizing about mathematical objects to describe the beauty of the world we’re gifted to be living in.

Special thanks also go to Giorgio Longhi (University of Florence, Italy) and Ruggero Vaia (CNR-ISC, Italy) for making me curious of hacking functional integrals.

Last but not least, I feel indebted with Polish and Romanian people, who are using the beautiful letters “Ł” and “Ș” respectively, that I could use for the stochastic Lagrangian \mathcal{L} and stochastic action \mathcal{S} : mathematics is also a way to acknowledge the wonderful attitude of mankind to create symbols mimicking our voices.

A Calculation for § 2.2

A.1 Calculation of $C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f]$

First of all, let us calculate the expression for $C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f] &= \\
&= \left\langle e^{i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[f^I \chi_I + g_I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \chi_J + g_I \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right]} \right\rangle_{f, g} = \\
&= \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1} \|\lambda(t)\| dt^n}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} df(t) \right) \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1} \|\mu(t)\| dt^n}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dg(t) \right) \\
&e^{i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[f^I \chi_I + g_I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \chi_J + g_I \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right]_*} \\
&* e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \lambda_{IJ}(t) (f^I(t) - f_0^I(t)) (f^J(t) - f_0^J(t))} e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mu_{IJ}(t) (g^I(t) - g_0^I(t)) (g^J(t) - g_0^J(t))} = \\
&= \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1} \|\lambda(t)\| dt^n}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} df(t) \right) \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1} \|\mu(t)\| dt^n}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dg(t) \right) \\
&e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[\lambda_{IJ}(t) (f^I(t) - f_0^I(t)) (f^J(t) - f_0^J(t)) - i \chi_I f^I \right]_*} \\
&* e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left\{ \mu_{IJ}(t) (g^I(t) - g_0^I(t)) (g^J(t) - g_0^J(t)) - i \left[\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \chi_J + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right] g_I \right\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality one may put

$$\lambda_{IJ} = \lambda^{(I)} \delta_{IJ}, \quad \mu_{IJ} = \mu^{(I)} \delta_{IJ}, \quad (90)$$

so that: and continue the calculation as:

$$\begin{aligned}
C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f] &= \\
&= \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1} \|\lambda(t)\| dt^n}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} df(t) \right) \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1} \|\mu(t)\| dt^n}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dg(t) \right) \\
&\prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[\lambda^{(I)}(t) (f^I(t) - f_0^I(t))^2 - i \chi_I f^I \right]_*} \\
&* \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left\{ \mu^{(I)}(t) (g^I(t) - g_0^I(t))^2 - i \left[\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \chi_J + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right] g_I \right\}} =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1} \|\lambda(t)\| dt^n}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} df(t) \right) \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1} \|\mu(t)\| dt^n}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dg(t) \right) \\
&\quad \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\lambda^{(I)}(t)(f^I(t) - f_0^I(t))^2 - i\chi_I f^I]}_* \\
&\quad * \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \{ \mu^{(I)}(g^I(t) - g_0^I(t))^2 - i[\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)\chi_J + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)] g^I \}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is of use to give the definition:

$$u^I(\chi, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \chi_J + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)$$

and to continue as:

$$\begin{aligned}
&C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f] = \\
&= \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1} \|\lambda(t)\| dt^n}{\pi^n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} df(t) \right) \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1} \|\mu(t)\| dt^n}{\pi^n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dg(t) \right) \\
&\quad \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\lambda^{(I)}(t)(f^I(t) - f_0^I(t))^2 - i\chi_I f^I]}_* \\
&\quad * \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\mu^{(I)}(g^I(t) - g_0^I(t))^2 - iu^I(\chi, \psi) g^I]} = \\
&= \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1} \|\lambda(t)\| dt^n}{\pi^n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} df(t) \right) \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{2^{n-1} \|\mu(t)\| dt^n}{\pi^n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dg(t) \right) \\
&\quad * \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} \lambda^{(I)}(t)(f_0^I(t))^2 dt} e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\lambda^{(I)}(t)(f^I(t))^2 - (2\lambda^{(I)}(t)f_0^I(t) - i\chi_I) f^I(t)]}_* \\
&\quad * \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} \mu^{(I)}(t)(g_0^I(t))^2 dt} e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\mu^{(I)}(t)(g^I(t))^2 - (2\mu^{(I)}(t)g_0^I(t) - iu_I) g^I(t)]} = \\
&= \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} [\lambda^{(I)}(t)(f_0^I(t))^2 + \mu^{(I)}(t)(g_0^I(t))^2] dt}_* \\
&\quad * \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \frac{2^{(n-1)}}{\pi^n} \sqrt{\|\lambda(t)\| \|\mu(t)\|} dt^{2n} \right) * \\
&\quad * \prod_{I=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} df^I(t) e^{-dt \lambda^{(I)}(t)(f^I(t))^2 + dt(2\lambda^{(I)}(t)f_0^I(t) - i\chi_I) f^I(t)}_*
\end{aligned}$$

$$* \prod_{I=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} dg^I(t) e^{-dt\mu^{(I)}(t)(g^I(t))^2 + dt(2\mu^{(I)}(t)g_0^I(t) - iu_I)g^I(t)}.$$

Pivoting on the relationship

$$\int e^{-Ax^2+Bx} dx = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{A}} e^{\frac{B^2}{4A}} \operatorname{erf}\left(\sqrt{A}x - \frac{B}{2\sqrt{A}}\right) + C, \quad (91)$$

i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-Ax^2+Bx} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{A}} e^{\frac{B^2}{4A}}, \quad (92)$$

one has:

$$\begin{aligned} & C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f] = \\ &= \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} [\lambda^{(I)}(t)(f_0^I(t))^2 + \mu^{(I)}(t)(g_0^I(t))^2] dt} * \\ & * \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \frac{2^{(n-1)}}{\pi^n} \sqrt{\|\lambda(t)\| \|\mu(t)\|} dt^{2n} \right) * \\ & * \prod_{I=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\lambda^{(I)}(t)dt}} \exp\left(\frac{(2\lambda^{(I)}(t)f_0^I(t) - i\chi_I)^2}{4\lambda^{(I)}(t)} dt\right) * \\ & * \prod_{I=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\mu^{(I)}(t)dt}} \exp\left(\frac{(2\mu^{(I)}(t)g_0^I(t) - iu_I)^2}{4\mu^{(I)}(t)} dt\right) = \\ &= \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} [\lambda^{(I)}(t)(f_0^I(t))^2 + \mu^{(I)}(t)(g_0^I(t))^2] dt} * \\ & * \left(\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \frac{2^{(n-1)}}{\pi^n} \sqrt{\|\lambda(t)\| \|\mu(t)\|} dt^{2n} \right) * \\ & * \sqrt{\frac{\pi^n}{\|\lambda(t)\| dt^n}} \prod_{I=1}^n \exp\left(\frac{(2\lambda^{(I)}(t)f_0^I(t) - i\chi_I)^2}{4\lambda^{(I)}(t)} dt\right) * \\ & * \sqrt{\frac{\pi^n}{\|\mu(t)\| dt^n}} \prod_{I=1}^n \exp\left(\frac{(2\mu^{(I)}(t)g_0^I(t) - iu_I)^2}{4\mu^{(I)}(t)} dt\right) = \\ &= \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} [\lambda^{(I)}(t)(f_0^I(t))^2 + \mu^{(I)}(t)(g_0^I(t))^2] dt} * \\ & * \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} 2^{(n-1)} \prod_{I=1}^n \exp\left(\frac{(2\lambda^{(I)}(t)f_0^I(t) - i\chi_I)^2}{4\lambda^{(I)}(t)} dt\right) * \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& * \prod_{I=1}^n \exp \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\left[2\mu^{(I)}(t)g_0^I(t) - i \left(\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)\chi_J + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right) \right]^2}{4\mu^{(I)}(t)} \right) = \\
& = 2^{(n-1)} \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} \left[\lambda^{(I)}(t)(f_0^I(t))^2 + \mu^{(I)}(t)(g_0^I(t))^2 \right] dt} * \\
& * \prod_{I=1}^n \exp \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{(2\lambda^{(I)}(t)f_0^I(t) - i\chi_I)^2}{4\lambda^{(I)}(t)} \right) * \\
& * \prod_{I=1}^n \exp \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\left[2\mu^{(I)}(t)g_0^I(t) - i \left(\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)\chi_J + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right) \right]^2}{4\mu^{(I)}(t)} \right) = \\
& = 2^{(n-1)} \prod_{I=1}^n e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} \left[\lambda^{(I)}(t)(f_0^I(t))^2 + \mu^{(I)}(t)(g_0^I(t))^2 \right] dt} * \\
& * \prod_{I=1}^n e^{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[-\frac{1}{4\lambda^{(I)}(t)} \chi_I^2(t) - i f_0^I(t) \chi_I(t) + \lambda^{(I)}(t)(f_0^I(t))^2 \right]} * \\
& * \prod_{I=1}^n \exp \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\left[2\mu^{(I)}(t)g_0^I(t) - i \left(\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)\chi_J + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right) \right]^2}{4\mu^{(I)}(t)} \right) = \\
& = 2^{(n-1)} \prod_{I=1}^n e^{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[-\frac{1}{4\lambda^{(I)}(t)} \chi_I^2(t) - i f_0^I(t) \chi_I(t) \right]} * \\
& * \prod_{I=1}^n e^{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[-\frac{1}{4\mu^{(I)}(t)} \left(\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)\chi_J + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right)^2 - i g_0^I(t) \left(\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)\chi_J + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right) \right]} = \\
& = 2^{(n-1)} \exp \left\{ \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left[-\frac{1}{4\lambda^{(I)}(t)} \chi_I^2(t) - \frac{1}{4\mu^{(I)}(t)} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) \chi_J \chi_H + \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \left(\frac{1}{2\mu^{(I)}(t)} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I(t) \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right) \chi_J \right] \right\} * \\
& * e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{4\mu^{(I)}(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right]} = \\
& = e^{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left[-\frac{1}{4\lambda^{(I)}(t)} \chi_I^2 - \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)\Gamma^{IH}(\psi)}{4\mu^{(I)}(t)} \chi_J \chi_H - \left(\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu^{(I)}(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right) \chi_J \right]} * \\
& * 2^{(n-1)} e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{4\mu^{(I)}(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^J} \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right]} .
\end{aligned}$$

This leads to the expressions (29) and (30).

A.2 Calculation of $A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f]$ and $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$

Starting from (30), let us first of all place $C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f] = J[\psi; t_i, t_f] M[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f]$ in the definition of A , as follows:

$$A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f] =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) C[\chi, \Gamma; t_i, t_f] e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\psi^I \chi_I - \Lambda^I(\psi) \chi_I - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)]} = \\
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] M[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f] e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\psi^I \chi_I - \Lambda^I(\psi) \chi_I - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)]} = \\
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] M[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f] e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\psi^I \chi_I - \Lambda^I(\psi) \chi_I]} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} = \\
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} M[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f] e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\psi^I \chi_I - \Lambda^I(\psi) \chi_I]} = \\
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} * \\
&* e^{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left[-\frac{1}{4\lambda(I)} \chi_I^2 - \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \Gamma^{IH}(\psi)}{4\mu(I)} \chi_J \chi_H - \left(\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu(I)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right) \chi_J \right]} e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\psi^I - \Lambda^I(\psi)] \chi_I} = \\
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} * \\
&* e^{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left\{ -\frac{1}{4\lambda(I)} \chi_I^2 - \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \Gamma^{IH}(\psi)}{4\mu(I)} \chi_J \chi_H - \left(\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu(I)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \right) \chi_J - i [\psi^I - \Lambda^I(\psi)] \chi_I \right\}} = \\
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} e^{-\frac{1}{4\lambda(I)} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \chi_I^2} e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \Gamma^{IH}(\psi)}{4\mu(I)} \chi_J \chi_H} * \\
&* e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu(I)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) + i \delta^{IJ} (\psi^I - \Lambda^I(\psi)) \right] \chi_J} = \\
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left(\frac{\delta^{JH}}{4\lambda(J)} + \sum_{I=1}^n \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \Gamma^{IH}(\psi)}{4\mu(I)} \right) \chi_J \chi_H} * \\
&* e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu(I)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) + i \delta^{IJ} (\psi^I - \Lambda^I(\psi)) \right] \chi_J}. \text{ As the tensor in}
\end{aligned}$$

(31) is defined, with the property (32), calculations may go ahead as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f] \stackrel{(31)}{=} \\
&\stackrel{(31)}{=} A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \tau^{JH}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \chi_J \chi_H} * \\
&* e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu(I)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) + i \delta^{IJ} (\psi^I - \Lambda^I(\psi)) \right] \chi_J},
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the aforementioned nice property, the passage (24) can be obtained rather easily, by making a functional integration of the $A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f]$ in (34) on $[d\chi]$. This is done as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] &= \int [d\chi] A[\psi, \chi; t_i, t_f] = \\
&= \int [d\chi] A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [\tau^{JH}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \chi_J \chi_H + \beta^J(\mu, \psi, \psi) \chi_J]} = \\
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} \int [d\chi] e^{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [-\chi^T \cdot \tau(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \cdot \chi - \beta(\mu, \psi, \psi) \cdot \chi]} = \\
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} * \\
&* \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^n \chi e^{\sum_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} dt [-\chi^T(t) \cdot \tau(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \chi(t) - \beta(\mu(t), \psi(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \chi(t)]} =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T} \Lambda^I(\psi)} \mathcal{N}[\psi, \mu, \lambda; t_i, t_f] * \\
&* \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^n \chi(t) e^{\sum_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} dt [-\chi^T(t) \cdot \tau(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \chi(t) - \beta(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \chi(t)]} = \\
&\dots
\end{aligned}$$

The factor $\mathcal{N}[\psi, \mu, \lambda; t_i, t_f]$ just introduced in the foregoing passages is a correction that should avoid mathematical non-senses when the calculations

$$\prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^n \chi(t) e^{\sum_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} dt [-\chi^T(t) \cdot \tau(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \chi(t) - \beta(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \chi(t)]}$$

are performed, i.e. part of the functional measure $[d\chi]$. One may continue as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] &= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T} \Lambda^I(\psi)} \mathcal{N}[\psi, \mu, \lambda; t_i, t_f] * \\
&* \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^n \chi(t) e^{-\chi^T(t) \cdot \tau(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t)) dt \cdot \chi(t) - \beta(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t)) dt \cdot \chi(t)} \quad (93)
\end{aligned}$$

The relationship on which one relies is obtained from the theory of n -dimensional multi-variate Gaussian processes, with non-diagonal covariance matrix

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^n x e^{-x^T \cdot A \cdot x + B \cdot x} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^n}{\|A\|}} e^{\frac{1}{4} B \cdot A^{-1} \cdot B^T} : \quad (94)$$

in order to use (94) in (93) one has simply to make the following identifications:

$$\tau(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t)) dt = A, \quad -\beta(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t)) dt = B,$$

so that one may write:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^n \chi e^{-\chi^T \cdot \tau(\lambda, \mu, \psi) dt \cdot \chi - \beta(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) dt \cdot \chi} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^n}{\|\tau(\lambda, \mu, \psi)\| dt^n}} e^{\frac{1}{4} \beta(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \cdot \beta^T(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) dt}.$$

This is placed into (93), and the calculation goes ahead:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] &= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T} \Lambda^I(\psi)} \mathcal{N}[\psi, \mu, \lambda; t_i, t_f] * \\
&* \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^n \chi(t) e^{-\chi^T(t) \cdot \tau(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t)) dt \cdot \chi(t) - \beta(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t)) dt \cdot \chi(t)} = \\
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T} \Lambda^I(\psi)} \mathcal{N}[\psi, \mu, \lambda; t_i, t_f] * \\
&* \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^n}{\|\tau(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t))\| dt^n}} e^{\frac{1}{4} \beta(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \beta^T(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t)) dt} = \\
&= A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T} \Lambda^I(\psi)} \mathcal{N}[\psi, \mu, \lambda; t_i, t_f] \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^n}{\|\tau(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t))\| dt^n}} *
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& * \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} e^{\frac{1}{4} \beta(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \beta^T(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t))} dt = \\
& = A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} \mathcal{N}[\psi, \mu, \lambda; t_i, t_f] \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^n}{\|\tau(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t))\| dt^n}} * \\
& * e^{\frac{1}{4} \sum_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \beta(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \beta^T(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t))} dt = \\
& = A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} \mathcal{N}[\psi, \mu, \lambda; t_i, t_f] \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^n}{\|\tau(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t))\| dt^n}} * \\
& * e^{\frac{1}{4} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \beta(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \beta^T(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t))} dt .
\end{aligned}$$

The factor $\mathcal{N}[\psi, \mu, \lambda; t_i, t_f]$ can be defined as

$$\mathcal{N}^{-1}[\psi, \mu, \lambda; t_i, t_f] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{t \in [t_i, t_f]} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^n}{\|\tau(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t))\| dt^n}} ,$$

that turns our result into:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] & = A_0(t_i, t_f) J[\psi; t_i, t_f] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \Lambda^I(\psi)} * \\
& * e^{\frac{1}{4} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \beta(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda(t), \mu(t), \psi(t)) \cdot \beta^T(\mu(t), \dot{\psi}(t), \psi(t))} dt .
\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

It is time to conclude our calculation rendering the expression $\beta \cdot \tau^{-1} \cdot \beta^T$ more explicit. Considering (31) and (33), once one has defined

$$\alpha^{IJ}(\mu, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\mu^{(I)}} \tag{96}$$

one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} \beta \cdot \tau^{-1} \cdot \beta^T = \frac{1}{4} \beta_J \beta_H (\tau^{-1})^{JH} \stackrel{(96)}{=} \\
& \stackrel{(96)}{=} \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{\alpha_{IJ}(\psi)}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IK}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^K} + i g_0^I \Gamma_{IJ}(\psi) + i \left(\dot{\psi}_J - \Lambda_J(\psi) \right) \right] * \\
& * \left[\frac{\alpha_{PH}(\psi)}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{PN}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^N} + i g_0^M \Gamma_{MH}(\psi) + i \left(\dot{\psi}_H - \Lambda_H(\psi) \right) \right] (\tau^{-1})^{JH} = \\
& = \frac{1}{4} \left[i \left(\dot{\psi}_J - \Lambda_J(\psi) \right) + i g_0^I \Gamma_{IJ}(\psi) + \frac{\alpha_{IJ}(\psi)}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IK}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^K} \right] * \\
& * \left[i \left(\dot{\psi}_H - \Lambda_H(\psi) \right) + i g_0^M \Gamma_{MH}(\psi) + \frac{\alpha_{PH}(\psi)}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{PN}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^N} \right] (\tau^{-1})^{JH} = \\
& = \frac{1}{4} i \left[\left(\dot{\psi}_J - \Lambda_J(\psi) \right) + g_0^I \Gamma_{IJ}(\psi) - i \frac{\alpha_{IJ}(\psi)}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IK}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^K} \right] * \\
& * \left[i \left(\dot{\psi}_H - \Lambda_H(\psi) \right) + i g_0^M \Gamma_{MH}(\psi) + \frac{\alpha_{PH}(\psi)}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{PN}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^N} \right] (\tau^{-1})^{JH} = \\
& = \frac{1}{4} i^2 \left[\dot{\psi}_J - \Lambda_J(\psi) + g_0^I \Gamma_{IJ}(\psi) - i \frac{\alpha_{IJ}(\psi)}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IK}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^K} \right] *
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& * \left[\dot{\psi}_H - \Lambda_H(\psi) + g_0^M \Gamma_{MH}(\psi) - i \frac{\alpha_{PH}(\psi)}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{PN}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^N} \right] (\tau^{-1})^{JH} = \\
& = -\frac{1}{4} \left[\dot{\psi}_J - \Lambda_J(\psi) + g_0^I \Gamma_{IJ}(\psi) - i \frac{\alpha_{IJ}(\psi)}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IK}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^K} \right] * \\
& * \left[\dot{\psi}_H - \Lambda_H(\psi) + g_0^M \Gamma_{MH}(\psi) - i \frac{\alpha_{PH}(\psi)}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{PN}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^N} \right] (\tau^{-1})^{JH} = \\
& = -\frac{1}{4} (\tau^{-1})^{JH} \left(\dot{\psi}_J + E_J(\psi) \right) \left(\dot{\psi}_H + E_H(\psi) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where one has defined:

$$E_J(\psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_0^I \Gamma_{IJ}(\psi) - \Lambda_J(\psi) - i \frac{\alpha_{IJ}(\psi)}{2} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IK}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^K}.$$

In this way, the expression (35) ends up reading:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] &= N_0(t_i, t_f) e^{-\frac{1}{4} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt (\tau^{-1})^{JH} (\dot{\psi}_J + E_J(\psi)) (\dot{\psi}_H + E_H(\psi))} * \\
& * e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \sum_{I,J=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{4\mu(I)} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Lambda^I(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I} + i g_0^I \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \right]};
\end{aligned}$$

about this, as the matrix τ in (31) appears to be positive definite, see also (32), what we note in $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$ is, first of all, that it contains a negative square term in the velocities $-\frac{1}{4} (\tau^{-1})^{JH} \dot{\psi}_J \dot{\psi}_H$, that will help in the convergence of $\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f]$ and the determination of the functional measure $[d\psi]$.

B Calculations for § 3.1

B.1 Calculation of the Stochastic Lagrangian

What we need to go ahead with the calculations in (49) is to determine $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^3 \frac{\partial \Phi^h}{\partial p^h}$, that is done as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^3 \frac{\partial \Phi^h}{\partial p^h} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial p^h} \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_h} V(\vec{x}) + \frac{q}{m} \epsilon^{hij} p_i B_j(\vec{x}) - \frac{\zeta}{m} p^h \right] = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^3 \left[\frac{q}{m} \epsilon^{hij} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial p^h} B_j(\vec{x}) - \frac{\zeta}{m} \frac{\partial p^h}{\partial p^h} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{q}{m} \sum_{h=1}^3 \epsilon^{hij} \delta_{hi} B_j(\vec{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=1}^3 \frac{\zeta}{m} = \\
&\stackrel{\text{symm}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \frac{q}{m} \cdot 0 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\zeta}{m} = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\zeta}{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Calculations for discretization

With (61) and (62) one performs the following calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{p}_i^2(k) + \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2} p_i^2(k) &\cong \\
&\cong \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} [p_i(k+1) - p_i(k)]^2 + \frac{\zeta^2}{4m^2} [p_i(k+1) + p_i(k)]^2 =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\cong \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} [p_i^2(k+1) + p_i^2(k) - 2p_i(k+1)p_i(k)] + \\
&+ \frac{\zeta^2}{4m^2} [p_i^2(k+1) + p_i^2(k) + 2p_i(k+1)p_i(k)] = \\
&= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} p_i^2(k+1) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} p_i^2(k) - \frac{2}{\epsilon^2} p_i(k+1)p_i(k) + \\
&+ \frac{\mu^2}{4m^2} p_i^2(k+1) + \frac{\mu^2}{4m^2} p_i^2(k) + \frac{\mu^2}{2m^2} p_i(k+1)p_i(k) = \\
&= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} p_i^2(k+1) + \frac{\zeta^2}{4m^2} p_i^2(k+1) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} p_i^2(k) + \frac{\zeta^2}{4m^2} p_i^2(k) + \\
&+ \frac{\zeta^2}{2m^2} p_i(k+1)p_i(k) - \frac{2}{\epsilon^2} p_i(k+1)p_i(k) = \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\zeta^2}{4m^2} \right) p_i^2(k+1) + \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{\zeta^2}{4m^2} \right) p_i^2(k) + \\
&+ \left(\frac{\zeta^2}{2m^2} - \frac{2}{\epsilon^2} \right) p_i(k+1)p_i(k) = \\
&= \left(\frac{4m^2 + \epsilon^2 \zeta^2}{4m^2} \right) p_i^2(k+1) + \left(\frac{4m^2 + \epsilon^2 \zeta^2}{4m^2} \right) p_i^2(k) + \\
&+ \left(\frac{\zeta^2 \epsilon^2 - 4m^2}{2m^2 \epsilon^2} \right) p_i(k+1)p_i(k).
\end{aligned}$$

After all, one has:

$$\mathcal{S} \cong -i \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \epsilon \mathcal{L}(k). \quad (97)$$

B.2 Calculating \mathcal{J}_0 and \mathcal{I}_k

In order to make the calculations needed in (68), we start by computing \mathcal{J}_0 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_0 &= \mathcal{W}_p(0) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_i) e^{\frac{\zeta}{m} \lambda_{(i)} p_i^2(t_i)} e^{-\frac{\lambda_{(i)}}{\epsilon} [p_i^2(1) + p_i^2(t_i) - 2p_i(1)p_i(t_i)]} = \\
&= \mathcal{W}_p(0) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_i) e^{\frac{\zeta}{m} \lambda_{(i)} p_i^2(t_i) - \frac{\lambda_{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(1) - \frac{\lambda_{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(t_i) + \frac{\lambda_{(i)}}{\epsilon} 2p_i(1)p_i(t_i)} = \\
&= \mathcal{W}_p(0) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_i) e^{-\frac{\lambda_{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(1)} e^{\frac{\zeta}{m} \lambda_{(i)} p_i^2(t_i) - \frac{\lambda_{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(t_i) + \frac{\lambda_{(i)}}{\epsilon} 2p_i(1)p_i(t_i)} = \\
&= \mathcal{W}_p(0) \prod_{i=1}^3 e^{-\frac{\lambda_{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_i) e^{\frac{\mu}{m} \lambda_{(i)} p_i^2(t_i) - \frac{\lambda_{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(t_i) + \frac{\lambda_{(i)}}{\epsilon} 2p_i(1)p_i(t_i)} =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{W}_p(0) \prod_{i=1}^3 e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_i) e^{-\lambda^{(i)} \left(\frac{m-\epsilon\zeta}{\epsilon m}\right) p_i^2(t_i) + \frac{2\lambda^{(i)} p_i(1)}{\epsilon} p_i(t_i)} = \\
&= \mathcal{W}_p(0) \prod_{i=1}^3 e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\rho e^{-\lambda^{(i)} \left(\frac{m-\epsilon\mu}{\epsilon m}\right) \rho^2 + \frac{2\lambda^{(i)} p_i(1)}{\epsilon} \rho} \stackrel{(92)}{=} \\
&\stackrel{(92)}{=} \mathcal{W}_p(0) \prod_{i=1}^3 e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(1)} \sqrt{\frac{\pi m \epsilon}{\lambda^{(i)} (m - \epsilon \zeta)}} e^{\frac{\lambda^{(i)} m p_i^2(1)}{\epsilon (m - \epsilon \zeta)}} = \\
&= \mathcal{W}_p(0) \prod_{i=1}^3 e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(1)} e^{\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{m}{m - \epsilon \zeta}\right) p_i^2(1)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^3 \sqrt{\frac{\pi m \epsilon}{\lambda^{(i)} (m - \epsilon \zeta)}} \stackrel{o(\epsilon)}{=} \\
&\stackrel{o(\epsilon)}{=} \mathcal{W}_p(0) \sqrt{\|\lambda\|^{-1}} (\pi \epsilon)^{\frac{3}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^3 e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(1)} e^{\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(1)} = \\
&= \mathcal{W}_p(0) \sqrt{\|\lambda\|^{-1}} = \mathcal{W}_p(0) \sqrt{\frac{\pi^3 \epsilon^3}{\|\lambda\|}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us, then, calculate the expression of \mathcal{I}_k as defined in (67) and then compute the product $\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \mathcal{I}_k$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_k &= \mathcal{W}_p(k) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_k) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} [p_i^2(k+1) + p_i^2(k) - 2p_i(k+1)p_i(k)]} = \\
&= \mathcal{W}_p(k) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_k) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(k+1) - \frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(k) + \frac{2\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i(k+1)p_i(k)} = \\
&= \mathcal{W}_p(k) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_k) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(k+1)} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(k) + \frac{2\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i(k+1)p_i(k)} = \\
&= e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(k+1)} \mathcal{W}_p(k) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_i(t_k) e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(k) + \frac{2\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i(k+1)p_i(k)} = \\
&= e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(k+1)} \mathcal{W}_p(k) \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\rho e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} \rho^2 + \frac{2\lambda^{(i)} p_i(k+1)}{\epsilon} \rho} \stackrel{(92)}{=} \\
&\stackrel{(92)}{=} e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(k+1)} \mathcal{W}_p(k) \prod_{i=1}^3 \sqrt{\frac{\pi \epsilon}{\lambda^{(i)}}} e^{\frac{\lambda^{(i)} p_i^2(k+1)}{\epsilon}} = \\
&= e^{-\frac{\lambda^{(i)}}{\epsilon} p_i^2(k+1)} e^{\frac{\lambda^{(i)} p_i^2(k+1)}{\epsilon}} \mathcal{W}_p(k) \prod_{i=1}^3 \sqrt{\frac{\pi \epsilon}{\lambda^{(i)}}} = \mathcal{W}_p(k) \sqrt{\frac{\pi^3 \epsilon^3}{\|\lambda\|}}.
\end{aligned}$$

B.3 Keeping $\tilde{N}_0(\zeta; t_i, t_f)$ finite

In order to keep $\tilde{N}_0(\zeta; t_i, t_f)$ finite in (72), the reasoning is the following one:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{N}_0(\zeta; t_i, t_f) &= \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \frac{e^{\frac{\zeta}{m} \sum_i \lambda_{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]}}{N \prod_{k=0} \mathcal{W}_p(k) \mathcal{V}_p(t_f) \mathcal{V}_p(t_i)} \left(\frac{\|\lambda\|}{\pi^3 \epsilon^3} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \equiv n_0, \\
\lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \frac{e^{\frac{\zeta}{m} \sum_i \lambda_{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]}}{N \prod_{k=0} \mathcal{W}_p(k) \mathcal{V}_p(t_f) \mathcal{V}_p(t_i)} \left(\frac{\|\lambda\|}{\pi^3 \epsilon^3} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} &= n_0, \\
\lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \left(\prod_{k=0}^N \mathcal{W}_p(k) \right)^{-1} \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \frac{e^{\frac{\zeta}{m} \sum_i \lambda_{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]}}{\mathcal{V}_p(t_f) \mathcal{V}_p(t_i)} \left(\frac{\|\lambda\|}{\pi^3 \epsilon^3} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} &= \\
n_0, & \\
\lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \frac{e^{\frac{\zeta}{m} \sum_i \lambda_{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]}}{\mathcal{V}_p(t_f) \mathcal{V}_p(t_i)} \left(\frac{\|\lambda\|}{\pi^3 \epsilon^3} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} &= n_0 \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \prod_{k=0}^N \mathcal{W}_p(k), \\
\lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \frac{e^{\frac{\zeta}{m} \sum_i \lambda_{(i)} [p_i^2(t_f) - p_i^2(t_i)]}}{n_0 \mathcal{V}_p(t_f) \mathcal{V}_p(t_i)} \left(\frac{\|\lambda\|}{\pi^3 \epsilon^3} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{2}} &= \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ N \rightarrow +\infty}} \prod_{k=0}^N \mathcal{W}_p(k).
\end{aligned}$$

C Calculations for § 3.2

In order to obtain the relationships (78) one starts from:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{A}[\psi; t_i, t_f] = N_0(t_i, t_f) e^{-i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi)}, \\
&\mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) = \frac{1}{4} i \beta(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) \cdot \tau^{-1}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \cdot \beta^T(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) + \\
&+ \sum_{I, J=1}^n \left\{ g_0^I \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} - \frac{i}{4\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \left[T^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \right] \right\}, \\
&\beta^J(\mu, \dot{\psi}, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{I=1}^n \left[\frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi)}{2\mu^{(I)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^H} \Gamma^{IH}(\psi) + i g_0^I \Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) + i \delta^{IJ} \left(\dot{\psi}^I - T^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \right) \right], \\
&\tau^{JH}(\lambda, \mu, \psi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\delta^{JH}}{4\lambda^{(J)}} + \sum_{I=1}^n \frac{\Gamma^{IJ}(\psi) \Gamma^{IH}(\psi)}{4\mu^{(I)}}.
\end{aligned} \right. \tag{98}$$

The only qualitative implication of having a Leibniz system in (98) is the calculation of the term $-\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \left[T^{IJ}(\psi) \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^J} \right]$: this is performed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^I} \left[T^{IK}(\psi) \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^K} \right] = \\ & = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial T^{IK}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I} \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^K} - \frac{i}{2} T^{IK}(\psi) \frac{\partial^2 F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I \partial \psi^K} = \\ & = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial T^{IK}(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I} \frac{\partial F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^K} - \frac{i}{2} T_S^{IK}(\psi) \frac{\partial^2 F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I \partial \psi^K}, \end{aligned}$$

where what really matters in the second addendum is the symmetric part of the Leibniz tensor, due to the symmetric nature of the Hessian $\frac{\partial^2 F(\psi)}{\partial \psi^I \partial \psi^K}$.

References

- [1] Newton, I. *Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica - Editio Tertia*. G. & J. Innys, Royal Society, 1726.
- [2] Materassi, M., Collini, D., Barletti, L. *Pack behaviour in inter-algal competition*, in preparation.
- [3] Dirac P.A.M. *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*. 3rd Edition, Oxford University Press, Amen House, London E.C.4 (1947).
- [4] Materassi, M. *Stochastic Field Theory for the Ionospheric Fluctuations in Equatorial Spread F*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **121** (2019) 186–210.
- [5] Materassi, M., Consolini, G., *Turning the resistive MHD into a stochastic field theory*, (2008) Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics, **15** (4), pp. 701-709.
- [6] Materassi, M., *Stochastic Lagrangian for the 2D Visco-Resistive Magneto-Hydrodynamics*, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **52** (2010) 075004.
- [7] Materassi M., G. Consolini, *The Stochastic Tetrad MHD via Functional Formalism*, J. Plasma Phys.(2015), vol. **81**, 495810602, Cambridge University Press 2015, doi:10.1017/S0022377815001105.
- [8] Quattrociochi, V., Consolini, G., Marcucci, M. F., Materassi, M., *On Geometrical Invariants of Magnetic Field Gradient Tensor in Turbulent Space Plasmas: Scale Variability in the Inertial Range*, The Astrophysical Journal, **878** (2), 2019.
- [9] Chang, T. *Self-organized criticality, multi-fractal spectra, sporadic localized reconnections and intermittent turbulence in the magnetotail*. Physics of Plasmas **6**, 4137 (1999); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.873678>.
- [10] Pythian, R., *The functional formalism of classical statistical dynamics*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, Volume 10, Number 5.

- [11] Jouvett, B., Phythian, R. *Quantum aspects of classical and statistical fields*. Physical Review A, **19** (3), 1979.
- [12] Materassi M., *Entropy as a Metric Generator of Dissipation in Complete Metriplectic Systems*, Special issue *Selected Papers from 2nd International Electronic Conference on Entropy and Its Applications* of Entropy, Entropy 2016, **18**, 304; doi:10.3390/e18080304.
- [13] Haken, H. *Synergetics. An introduction*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, 1983.
- [14] Feynman, R. P., Hibbs, A. R. *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*, McGraw Hill-International Series in the Earth and Planetary Sciences, McGraw-Hill College; First Edition edition (June 1, 1965).
- [15] Materassi, M., *Granularity Meets Smoothness: Stochastic Approaches to (Space) Plasmas*. Draft of the Lectures for the Course “Complexity and Turbulence in Space Plasmas”, 18-22 September 2017, International School of Space Science, L’Aquila, Italy. Ask to the Author MM for a copy.
- [16] Guha, P. *Metriplectic structure, Leibniz dynamics and dissipative systems*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **326** (1): 121-136.
- [17] Morrison, P.J. *Hamiltonian Fluid Mechanics*, in “Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics”, vol. 2, (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006) p. 593.
- [18] Definition of “master equation” in Wikipedia - the free encyclopedia, see the webpage: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Master_equation.