# On extremal results of multiplicative Zagreb indices of trees with given distance k-domination number

Fazal Hayat

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, PR China E-mail: fhayatmaths@gmail.com

#### Abstract

The first multiplicative Zagreb index  $\Pi_1$  of a graph G is the product of the square of every vertex degree, while the second multiplicative Zagreb index  $\Pi_2$  is the product of the products of degrees of pairs of adjacent vertices. In this paper, we give sharp lower bound for  $\Pi_1$  and upper bound for  $\Pi_2$  of trees with given distance k-domination number, and characterize those trees attaining the bounds.

Key Words: first multiplicative Zagreb index, second multiplicative Zagreb index, trees, distance k-domination number.
Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C05, 05C35, 05C69

### 1 Introduction

In this article we consider only simple, undirected and connected graphs. Let G be a graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). The degree of  $v \in V(G)$ , denoted by  $d_G(v)$ , is the number of vertices in G adjacent to v, and the neighborhood of v is the set  $N_G(v) = \{w \in V(G) : vw \in E(G)\}$ . Evidently,  $|N_G(v)| = d_G(v)$ . A vertex with degree one is called pendent vertex. The distance between any two vertices uand v of a graph G is denoted by  $d_G(u, v)$ . The maximum distance from a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  to all other vertices of G is called eccentricity of v in G. The diameter of a graph G is the maximum eccentricity of all vertices of G.

A graph G that has n vertices and n-1 edges is called a tree. As usual, by  $P_n$  and  $S_n$  we denote the path and the star on n vertices, respectively.

The first and the second Zagreb indices are among the oldest topological molecular descriptors, see [5]. They are defined as follows:

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d(u)^2$$
 and  $M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} d(u)d(v).$ 

Many interesting properties of them may be found in [2, 6, 7, 15, 20, 21].

In 2010, Todeschini et al. [4, 13] put forward the multiplicative Zagreb indices as follows:

$$\Pi_1(G) = \prod_{u \in V(G)} d(u)^2 \text{ and } \Pi_2(G) = \prod_{uv \in E(G)} d(u)d(v).$$

It is easily seen that  $\Pi_2(G) = \prod_{uv \in E(G)} d(u)d(v) = \prod_{u \in V(G)} d(u)^{d(u)}$ . Some properties for the multiplicative Zagreb indices have been established, see [3, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17].

For a positive integer k, a set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  is said to be distance k-dominating set of G if for every vertex  $u \in V(G) \setminus D$ ,  $d_G(u, v) \leq k$  for some vertex  $v \in D$ . The minimum cardinality among all distance k-dominating set of G is called the distance k-domination number of G, denoted by  $\gamma_k(G)$ . A distance 1-dominating set of G is known as a dominating set of G and the distance 1-domination number of G is just the classical domination number of G.

Borovicanin and Furtula [1] presented sharp lower and upper bounds on Zagreb indices of trees in terms of domination number, and Wang et al. [18] found sharp lower and upper bounds on multiplicative Zagreb indices of trees in terms of domination number. Recently, Pei and Pan [12] investigated the connection between the Zagreb indices and the distance k-domination number of trees.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper, we study the multiplicative Zagreb indices of trees in terms of distance k-domination number. We provide sharp lower

bound for  $\Pi_1$  and upper bound for  $\Pi_2$  in terms of distance k-domination number of a tree, and characterize those trees for which the bounds are attained.

### 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present some propositions, definitions and lemmas which are helpful in our main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** [3] Let T be a tree of order n > 5 such that  $T \ncong P_n, S_n$ . Then

$$\Pi_1(S_n) < \Pi_1(T) < \Pi_1(P_n) \text{ and } \Pi_2(P_n) < \Pi_2(T) < \Pi_2(S_n).$$

Let T be a tree and  $uv \in E(T)$  a non-pendent edge of T. Assume that  $T - uv = T_1 \cup T_2$  with vertex  $u \in V(T_1)$  and  $v \in V(T_2)$ . Let  $T_{uv}$  be the tree obtained by identifying the vertex u of  $T_1$  and the vertex v of  $T_2$  and attaching a pendent vertex w to this vertex.

**Lemma 2.2.** [19] Let T be a tree with a non-pendent edge uv. Then

$$\Pi_1(T_{uv}) < \Pi_1(T) \text{ and } \Pi_2(T_{uv}) > \Pi_2(T).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [19] Let u and v be two distinct vertices in a graph G. Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_r$ be pendent neighbors of u and  $v_1, \ldots, v_t$  pendent neighbors of v. Define  $G' = G - \{vv_1, \ldots, vv_t\} + \{uv_1, \ldots, uv_t\}$  and  $G'' = G - \{uu_1, \ldots, uu_r\} + \{vu_1, \ldots, vu_r\}$ . Then

$$\max\{\Pi_1(G'), \Pi_1(G'')\} < \Pi_1(G)$$

and

$$\min\{\Pi_1(G'), \Pi_1(G'')\} > \Pi_2(G).$$

**Lemma 2.4.** [11] Let G be a connected graph of order n with  $n \ge k + 1$ . Then  $\gamma_k(G) \le \lfloor \frac{n}{k+1} \rfloor$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** [12] Let T be a tree of order n with maximum degree  $\Delta$  and distance k-domination number  $\gamma_k \geq 2$ . Then  $\Delta \leq n - k\gamma_k$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** [14] Let T be a tree on (k+1)n vertices. Then  $\gamma_k(T) = n$  if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

(1) T is any tree on k + 1 vertices;

(2)  $T = R \circ k$  for some tree R on  $n \ge 1$  vertices, where  $R \circ k$  is the graph obtained by taking one copy of R and |V(R)| copies of the path  $P_{k-1}$  of length k-1 and then joining the ith vertex of R to exactly one end vertex in the ith copy of  $P_{k-1}$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** Let T be a tree of order n. Let

$$f(T) = \prod_{w \in V(T)} (d_T(w) + 1).$$

Then  $f(T) \ge 2^{n-1}n$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong S_n$ .

*Proof.* If n = 1, it is obviously. Suppose that  $n \ge 2$  and that the result is true for a tree of order n - 1. Let u be a pendent vertex of T, being adjacent to vertex v. By induction assumption,

$$f(T-u) \ge 2^{n-2}(n-1)$$

i.e.,

$$d_T(v) \prod_{w \in V(T) \setminus \{u,v\}} (d_T(w) + 1) \ge 2^{n-2}(n-1)$$

with equality if and only if  $T - u \cong S_{n-1}$ . Now we have

$$f(T) = (d_T(u) + 1)(d_T(v) + 1) \prod_{w \in V(T) \setminus \{u,v\}} (d_T(w) + 1)$$
  
=  $2(d_T(v) + 1) \prod_{w \in V(T) \setminus \{u,v\}} (d_T(w) + 1)$   
 $\geq 2(d_T(v) + 1) \cdot \frac{2^{n-2}(n-1)}{d_T(v)}$   
 $\geq 2^{n-1}n.$ 

with equalities if and only if  $d_T(v) = n - 1$  and  $T - u \cong S_{n-1}$ , i.e.,  $T \cong S_n$ .  $\Box$ Lemma 2.8. Let T be a tree of order n. Let

$$h(T) = \prod_{w \in V(T)} (d_T(w) + 1)^{d_T(w) + 1}.$$

Then  $h(T) \leq 4^{n-1}n^n$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong S_n$ .

*Proof.* If n = 1, it is obviously. Suppose that  $n \ge 2$  and that the result is true for a tree of order n - 1. Let u be a pendent vertex of T, being adjacent to vertex v. By induction assumption,

$$h(T-u) \le 4^{n-2}(n-1)^{n-1}$$

i.e.,

$$(d_T(v))^{d_T(v)} \prod_{w \in V(T) \setminus \{u,v\}} (d_T(w) + 1)^{d_T(w) + 1} \le 4^{n-2} (n-1)^{n-1}$$

with equality if and only if  $T - u \cong S_{n-1}$ . Now we have

$$h(T) = (d_T(u) + 1)^{d_T(u) + 1} \cdot (d_T(v) + 1)^{d_T(u) + 1} \cdot \left(\prod_{w \in V(T) \setminus \{u,v\}} (d_T(w) + 1)^{d_T(w) + 1}\right)$$
  
$$= 4(d_T(v) + 1)^{d_T(v) + 1} \cdot \prod_{w \in V(T) \setminus \{u,v\}} (d_T(w) + 1)^{d_T(w) + 1}$$
  
$$\leq 4(d_T(v) + 1)^{d_T(v) + 1} \cdot \frac{4^{n-2}(n-1)^{n-1}}{(d_T(v))^{d_T(v)}}$$
  
$$\leq 4^{n-1}n^n.$$

with equalities if and only if  $d_T(v) = n - 1$  and  $T - u \cong S_{n-1}$ , i.e.,  $T \cong S_n$ .

For a graph G with  $S \subset V(G)$ , let  $N_G(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N_G(v)$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** Let T be a tree with minimum value of first multiplicative Zagreb index or maximum value of second multiplicative zagreb index among all n-vertex trees with distance k-domination number  $\gamma_k$ . Let

$$B_T = \{x \in V(T) | d_T(w) = 1 \text{ and } \gamma_k(T-w) = \gamma_k(T) \}$$

If  $B_T \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|N_T(B_T)| = 1$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $|N_T(B_T)| \ge 2$ , say  $u, v \in N_T(B_T)$ . If  $u' \notin D$  for some pendent neighbor u' of u, then  $D \setminus \{u'\} \cup \{u\}$  is a distance k-dominating set of T. So we may assume that no pendent neighbor of u and v is in D. Define T' = T - vv' + uv'and T'' = T - uu' + vu', where u' (v', respectively) is a pendent neighbor of u (v, respectively). Then  $\gamma_k(T) = \gamma_k(T') = \gamma_k(T'')$ . By Lemma 2.3,

$$\max\{\Pi_1(T'), \Pi_1(T'')\} < \Pi_1(T)$$

and

$$\min\{\Pi_1(T'), \Pi_1(T'')\} > \Pi_2(T).$$

a contradiction. Hence  $|N_T(B_T)| = 1$ .

#### 3 Main results

In this section, we present sharp lower bounds of first multiplicative Zagreb index and upper bounds for second multiplicative zagreb index of a tree of order n with distance k-domination number  $\gamma_k$ .

A tree is starlike if it contains at most one vertex of degree at least three. Obviously, a starlike tree is either a path or a tree with exactly one vertex of degree at least three. In the latter case, it consists of pendent paths at common vertex.

**Definition 3.1.** For positive integers n, k and s with  $n \ge (k+1)s$ , define  $T_{n,k,s}$  to be a starlike tree with maximum degree n - ks, and if it is not a path, then it has one pendent path of length k - 1, s - 1 pendent paths of length k and n - (k+1)spaths of length 1.

Note that

$$\Pi_1(T_{n,k,s}) = 4^{ks-1}(n-ks)^2$$
 and  $\Pi_2(T_{n,k,s}) = 4^{ks-1}(n-ks)^{n-ks}$ .

As mentioned earlier, sharp lower bounds on first multiplicative Zagreb index and upper bounds on the second multiplicative Zagreb index of an *n*-vertex tree with distance 1-domination number have been given in [18], so we only consider  $k \ge 2$ .

**Definition 3.2.** If  $P = v_0 v_1 \dots v_d$  is a diametric path of tree T of order n, then denote by  $T_i$  the component of  $T - v_{i-1}v_i - v_iv_{i+1}$  containing  $v_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Denoted by  $T_{n,k,2}^a$  the tree formed from the path  $P_{2k+2} = v_0 v_1 \dots v_{2k+1}$ by joining n - 2(k+1) pendent vertices to  $v_a$ , where  $a \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .

For a graph G, it is obvious that  $\gamma_k(G) \leq \gamma_1(G)$  for  $k \geq 2$ . Note also that  $\gamma_1(S_n) = 1$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.1, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let T be an n-vertex tree and  $\gamma_k(T) = 1$ . Then  $\Pi_1(T) \ge n^2$  and  $\Pi_2(T) \le n^n$ . Either equality holds if and only if  $T \cong S_n$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let T be a tree of order n with  $\gamma_k(T) = 2$ . Then

$$\Pi_1(T) \ge 4^{2k-1}(n-2k)^2$$
 and  $\Pi_2(T) \le 4^{2k-1}(n-2k)^{n-2k}$ 

Either equality holds if and only if  $T \cong T^a_{n,k,2}$  with  $a \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ .

*Proof.* Let T be a tree of order n with distance k-domination number 2 that minimize the first multiplicative Zagreb index and maximize the second multiplicative Zagreb index respectively.

Let  $P = v_0 \dots v_d$  be a diametric path of T. If  $d \leq 2k$ , then  $\{v_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor}\}$  is a distance k-dominating set of T, a contradiction. If  $d \geq 2k + 2$ , for  $i \in \{1, \dots, d-2\}$ ,  $T_{v_i v_{i+1}}$  is a tree of order n with distance k-domination number 2, by Lemma 2.2, we have  $\Pi_1(T_{v_i v_{i+1}}) < \Pi_1(T)$ , and  $\Pi_2(T_{v_i v_{i+1}}) > \Pi_2(T)$ , also a contradiction. Hence d = 2k + 1.

If  $T_i$  is not a star for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, 2k\}$ , then as above,  $T_{v_i v_{i+1}}$  is a tree of order n with distance k-domination number 2 such that  $\Pi_1(T_{v_i v_{i+1}}) < \Pi_1(T)$ , and  $\Pi_2(T_{v_i v_{i+1}}) > \Pi_2(T)$ , a contradiction. Thus each  $T_i$  for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$  is a star with center  $v_i$ . Now by Lemma 2.3, for some  $a \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, T \cong T^a_{n,k,2}$ .

By direct calculation ,  $\Pi_1(T) = \Pi_1(T^a_{n,k,2}) = 4^{2k-1}(n-2k)^2$  and  $\Pi_2(T) = \Pi_2(T^a_{n,k,2}) = 4^{2k-1}(n-2k)^{n-2k}$  for  $a \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let T be a tree of order n with distance k-domination number  $\gamma_k \geq 3$ . If  $n = (k+1)\gamma_k$ , then

$$\Pi_1(T) \ge 4^{k\gamma_k - 1} (\gamma_k)^2 \text{ and } \Pi_2(T) \le 4^{k\gamma_k - 1} (\gamma_k)^{\gamma_k}.$$

Either equality holds if and only if  $T \cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.6, we have  $T = R \circ k$  for some tree R on  $\gamma_k$  vertices. For

 $w \in V(R), d_R(w) = d_T(w) - 1$ . Thus

$$\Pi_{1}(T) = \prod_{w \in V(R)} d_{T}^{2}(w) \prod_{z \in V(T) \setminus V(R)} d_{T}^{2}(z)$$
$$= \left( \prod_{w \in V(R)} (d_{R}(w) + 1)^{2} \right) (2^{2})^{(k-1)\gamma_{k}}$$
$$= 4^{(k-1)\gamma_{k}} \cdot f^{2}(R),$$

where  $f(R) = \prod_{w \in V(R)} (d_R(w) + 1)$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $f^2(R) \ge (2^{\gamma_k - 1} \cdot \gamma_k)^2$  with equality if and only if  $R \cong S_{\gamma_k}$ . Therefore

$$\Pi_1(T) \ge 4^{k\gamma_k - 1} (\gamma_k)^2$$

with equality if and only if  $T = R \circ k$  with  $R \cong S_{\gamma_k}$ , i.e.,  $T \cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ . Similarly,

$$\Pi_{2}(T) = \prod_{w \in V(R)} (d_{T}(w))^{d_{T}(w)} \prod_{z \in V(T) \setminus V(R)} (d_{T}(z))^{d_{T}(z)}$$
$$= \left( \prod_{w \in V(R)} (d_{R}(w) + 1)^{d_{R}(w) + 1} \right) 4^{(k-1)\gamma_{k}}$$
$$= 4^{(k-1)\gamma_{k}} h(T),$$

where  $h(T) = \prod_{w \in V(R)} (d_R(w) + 1)^{d_R(w)+1}$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $h(T) \leq 4^{\gamma_k - 1} (\gamma_k)^{\gamma_k}$  with equality if and only if  $R \cong S_{\gamma_k}$ . Therefore

$$\Pi_2(T) \le 4^{k\gamma_k - 1} (\gamma_k)^{\gamma_k}$$

with equality if and only if  $T = R \circ k$  with  $R \cong S_{\gamma_k}$ , i.e.,  $T \cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let T be a tree of order n with  $\gamma_k(T) = 3$ , then

$$\Pi_1(T) \ge 4^{3k-1}(n-3k)^2 \text{ and } \Pi_2(T) \le 4^{3k-1}(n-3k)^{n-3k}$$

Either equality holds if and only if  $T \cong T_{n,k,3}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.4 and 3.1, we have  $n \ge (k+1)\gamma_k$ , and the result holds for  $n = (k+1)\gamma_k$ . We present our proof by induction on n. Suppose that n > 3(k+1) and the result is true for n-1.

Let  $P = v_0 \dots v_d$  be a diametric path and D be a minimum distance k-dominating set of T. We claim that  $d \geq 2k + 2$ , for otherwise,  $\{v_k, v_{k+1}\}$  is a distance kdominating set, a contradiction. We may choose distance k-dominating set D of cardinality  $\gamma_k(G)$  with  $\{v_k, v_{d-k}\} \subseteq D$  such that  $(\bigcup_{a=0}^k V(T_a) \setminus \{v_k\}) \cap D = \emptyset$  and  $(\bigcup_{a=d-k}^d V(T_a) \setminus \{v_{d-k}\}) \cap D = \emptyset$ .

Let  $v_0 = w_1, v_d = w_2, \dots, w_m$  be all the pendent vertices of T and  $B_T = \{w_i | 1 \le i \le m, \gamma_k(T - w_i) = \gamma_k(T)\}$ .

We claim that  $|B_T| \ge 1$ .

Suppose that  $B_T = \emptyset$ . Then  $\gamma_k(T - w_i) = \gamma_k(T) - 1$  for  $1 \le i \le m$ .

For some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k, d-k, \ldots, d-1\}$ , if  $d_T(v_i) \ge 3$ , then  $V(T_i) \cap \{w_3, \ldots, w_m\} \ne \emptyset$ . As  $\{v_k, v_{d-k}\} \in D$ , we have  $\gamma_k(T-z) = \gamma_k(T)$  for  $z \in V(T_i) \cap \{w_3, \ldots, w_m\}$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $d_T(v_i) = 2$  for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k, d-k, \ldots, d-1\}$ .

As  $d_T(v_1) = 2$ , we have  $\gamma_k(T - v_0) = \gamma_k(T) - 1$ . Note that  $d_T(v_1, v_{k+1}) = k$  and  $(\bigcup_{a=0}^k V(T_a) \setminus \{v_k\}) \cap D = \emptyset$ . Thus  $v_{k+1} \in D$ . Similarly,  $v_{d-k-1} \in D$ . If d > 2k + 2, then  $v_k, v_{k+1}, v_{d-k-1}, v_{d-k}$  are all distinct, a contradiction to the fact that  $\gamma_k(T) = 3$ . Hence d = 2k + 2 and  $D = \{v_k, v_{k+1}, v_{d-k}\}$ .

If  $d_T(v_{k+1}) = 2$ , then  $T \cong P_{2k+3}$  and  $\{v_k, v_{d-k}\}$  is a distance k-dominating set, a contradiction. Then  $d_T(v_{k+1}) \ge 3$  and thus  $m \ge 3$ . If m > 3, then  $\gamma_k(T - w_i) =$  $\gamma_k(T)$  for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ , which is impossible. Hence m = 3. Thus  $T_{k+1}$  is a path with end vertices  $v_{k+1}$  and  $w_3$ . By the definition of distance k-domination number, we have  $d(v_{k+1}, w_3) = k$ . It follows that |V(T)| = 3(k+1), which is contradiction. This proves our Claim.

Now by our claim and Lemma 2.9, we have  $|N_T(B_T)| = 1$ .

Let w be a pendent vertex such that  $\gamma_k(T-w) = \gamma_k(T)$  and z being a unique vertex adjacent to x. Then by lemma 2.5, we have  $d_T(z) \leq n - k\gamma_k$ .

$$\frac{d_T(z)}{d_T(z) - 1} \ge \frac{n - k\gamma_k}{n - 1 - k\gamma_k}$$

with equality if and only if  $d_T(z) = n - k\gamma_k$ . Note that

$$\Pi_1(T) = \Pi_1(T-w) \cdot \frac{d_T(z)^2}{(d_T(z)-1)^2}.$$

By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\Pi_{1}(T) \geq 4^{k\gamma_{k}-1}(n-1-k\gamma_{k})^{2} \cdot \left(\frac{d_{T}(z)}{(d_{T}(z)-1)}\right)^{2}$$
  
$$\geq 4^{k\gamma_{k}-1}(n-k\gamma_{k})^{2}$$

with equalities if and only if  $T - w \cong T_{n-1,k,\gamma_k}$  and  $d_T(z) = \Delta = n - k\gamma_k$ , i.e.,  $T \cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ .

Let

$$g(t) = \frac{x^x}{(x-1)^{x-1}}.$$

Obviously,  $g'(x) = g(x) \log \frac{x}{x-1} > 0$  for x > 1. Thus g(x) is strictly increasing for x > 1, implying that  $g(d_T(z)) \leq g(n - k\gamma_k)$  with equality if and only if  $d_T(z) = n - k\gamma_k$ . Similarly as above,

$$\Pi_2(T) = \Pi_2(T-w) \cdot \frac{(d_T(z))^{d_T(z)}}{(d_T(z)-1)^{d_T(z)-1}} = \Pi_2(T-x)g(d_T(z)),$$

and by induction hypothesis, we have

$$\Pi_2(T) \leq 4^{k\gamma_k - 1} (n - 1 - k\gamma_k)^{n - 1 - k\gamma_k} g(d_T(z))$$
  
$$\leq 4^{k\gamma_k - 1} (n - 1 - k\gamma_k)^{n - 1 - k\gamma_k} g(n - k\gamma_k)$$
  
$$= 4^{k\gamma_k - 1} (n - k\gamma_k)^{n - k\gamma_k}$$

with equalities holds if and only if  $T - w \cong T_{n-1,k,\gamma_k}$  and  $d_T(z) = \Delta = n - k\gamma_k$ , i.e.,  $T \cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ .

Let D be a distance k-dominating set of a graph G. Let  $N_G^a(v)$  be the set of vertices with distance a from v. A vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is called a private k-neighbor of u with respect to D if  $\bigcup_{a=0}^k N_G^a(v) \cap D = \{u\}$ , that is  $d_G(v, u) \leq k$  and  $d_G(v, x) \geq k+1$ , for any vertex  $x \in D \setminus \{u\}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let T be a tree of order n with distance k-domination number  $\gamma_k \geq 3$ . Then

$$\Pi_1(T) \ge 4^{k\gamma_k - 1} (n - k\gamma_k)^2 \text{ and } \Pi_2(T) \le 4^{k\gamma_k - 1} (n - k\gamma_k)^{n - k\gamma_k}.$$

Either equality holds if and only if  $T \cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ .

Proof. Let  $P = v_0 \dots v_d$  be a diametric path of T. Define  $B_T = \{w \in V(T) | d_T(w) = 1 \text{ and } \gamma_k(T-w) = \gamma_k(T)\}$ . We may choose distance k-dominating set D of cardinality  $\gamma_k$  with  $\{v_k, v_{d-k}\} \subseteq D$  such that  $(\bigcup_{a=0}^k V(T_a) \setminus \{v_k\}) \cap D = \emptyset$  and  $(\bigcup_{a=d-k}^d V(T_a) \setminus \{v_{d-k}\}) \cap D = \emptyset$ .

If  $B_T = \emptyset$  then for  $i = 0, d, \gamma_k(T - v_i) = \gamma_k(T) - 1$ . If  $B_T \neq \emptyset$ , then by Lemma 2.9,  $|N_T(B_T)| = 1$ . If  $v_0, v_d \in B_T$ , then since d - 1 > 1, we have  $\{v_1, v_{d-1}\} \subseteq |N_T(B_T)|$ and thus  $|N_T(B_T)| > 1$ , a contradiction. Thus, in either case, we may assume that  $\gamma_k(T - v_0) = \gamma_k(T) - 1$ , and thus  $\{v_k, v_{k+1}, v_{d-k}\} \subseteq D$ .

For i = 1, ..., k, if some  $T_i$  is not a star with center  $v_i$ , then applying Lemma 2.2 for a non-pendent edge e of  $T_i$  to obtain a tree  $T_e$ , we have  $\Pi_1(T_e) < \Pi_1(T)$  and  $\Pi_2(T_e) > \Pi_2(T)$ . Thus, we may assume that  $T_i$  is a star with center  $v_i$  for all i = 1, ..., k.

If there are at least two vertices, say  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  with  $1 \le i < j \le k$ , with degree at least 3 in T, then by Lemma 2.3 we may find a tree T' by moving the pendent edges at  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  or via such that  $\Pi_1(T') < \Pi_1(T)$  and  $\Pi_2(T') > \Pi_2(T)$ . So we may assume that there is at most one vertex among vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  with degree at least 3. That is, among vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ , either each vertex has degree 2 or exactly one vertex, say  $v_{i_0}$  has at least one pendent neighbor, where  $1 \le i_0 \le k$ . Let

$$T'' = T - \{v_{i_0} z | z \in N_T(v_{i_0}) \setminus \{v_{i_0-1}, v_{i_0+1}\}\} + \{v_{k+1} z | z \in N_T(v_{i_0}) \setminus \{v_{i_0-1}, v_{i_0+1}\}\}.$$

Let s is number of pendent edges at  $v_{i_0}$ . If s = 0, then T = T''.

Suppose that  $s \geq 1$ . Then for  $i = 1, ..., k, d_{T''}(v_i) = 2$  and D is minimum distance k-dominating set of T''. Let  $PN_{k,D}(z)$  be the set of all private k-neighbors of z with respect to D in T''. Then for any  $z \in \bigcup_{a=0}^{k} N_{T''}^{a}(v_k) \setminus \{v_0, ..., v_k\}, d_{T''}(z, v_{k+1}) \leq k$ . It follows that  $D \setminus \{v_k\}$  is a distance k-dominating set of the

tree  $T'' - \{v_0, \ldots, v_k\}$ . Also,  $PN_{k,D}(v_{k+1}) \subseteq V(T'') \setminus \{v_0, \ldots, v_k\}$ . It shows that  $D \setminus \{v_k\}$  is a minimum distance k-dominating set of  $T'' - \{v_0, \ldots, v_k\}$ . Thus  $\gamma_k(T'' - \{v_0, \ldots, v_k\}) = \gamma_k - 1$  and  $\gamma_k(T'' - \{v_0, \ldots, v_{k-1}\}) = \gamma_k - 1$ . Let  $\Gamma = \prod_{u \in V(T) \setminus \{v_{i_0}, v_{k+1}\}} d_T^2(u)$  and  $\Phi = \prod_{u \in V(T) \setminus \{v_{i_0}, v_{k+1}\}} (d_T(u))^{d_T(u)}$ . Then

$$\Pi_{1}(T) - \Pi_{1}(T'') = \left( (2+s)^{2} d_{T}^{2}(v_{k+1}) - 4 \left( d_{T}(v_{k+1}) + s \right)^{2} \right) \Gamma$$
  
=  $s(d_{T}(v_{k+1}) - 2) \left( s \left( d_{T}(v_{k+1}) + 2 \right) + 4 d_{T}(v_{k+1}) \right) \Gamma$   
 $\geq 0,$ 

and thus  $\Pi_1(T) \ge \Pi_1(T'')$  with equality if and only if  $d_T(v_{k+1}) = 2$ . Also

$$\Pi_2(T'') - \Pi_2(T) = \left( 4 \left( d_T(v_{k+1}) + s \right)^{d_T(v_{k+1}) + s} - (s+2)^{s+2} \left( d_T(v_{k+1}) \right)^{d_T(v_{k+1})} \right) \Phi$$
  
=  $F(s) \Phi$   
 $\geq 0,$ 

where  $F(s) = 4 (d_T(v_{k+1}) + s)^{d_T(v_{k+1})+s} - (s+2)^{s+2} (d_T(v_{k+1}))^{d_T(v_{k+1})}$ . It is easy to check that F(s) is an increasing function for  $s \ge 0$ . Thus  $\Pi_2(T'') \ge \Pi_2(T)$  with equality if and only if  $d_T(v_{k+1}) = 2$ .

Now we have shown that  $\Pi_1(T) \ge \Pi_1(T'')$  and  $\Pi_2(T'') \ge \Pi_2(T)$  with either equality if and only if s = 0 (i.e., T = T'') or  $d_T(v_{k+1}) = 2$ .

In the following, we prove that,  $\Pi_1(T'') \geq 4^{k\gamma_k-1}(n-k\gamma_k)^2$  and  $\Pi_2(T'') \leq 4^{k\gamma_k-1}(n-k\gamma_k)^{n-k\gamma_k}$  with either equality if and only if  $T'' \cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ .

By Lemma 3.2, the result holds for  $n \ge (k+1)\gamma_k$  and  $\gamma_k = 3$ . Suppose that  $\gamma_k \ge 4$ , and the result is true for  $n \ge (k+1)(\gamma_k - 1)$ .

Note that  $\gamma_k(T'' - \{v_0, \dots, v_k\}) = \gamma_k - 1$  and  $|V(T'' - \{v_0, \dots, v_k\})| = n - k - 1 > 0$ 

 $(k+1)(\gamma_k - 1)$ . Then

$$\Pi_{1}(T'') = \Pi_{1}(T'' - \{v_{0}, \dots, v_{k}\}) \cdot \left(\frac{d_{T''}(v_{k+1})}{(d_{T''}(v_{k+1}) - 1)}\right)^{2} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{k} d_{T''}^{2}(v_{i})$$

$$\geq \Pi_{1}(T''_{n-k-1,k,\gamma_{k}-1}) \cdot \left(\frac{d_{T''}(v_{k+1})}{(d_{T''}(v_{k+1}) - 1)}\right)^{2} \cdot 4^{k}$$

$$= 4^{k(\gamma_{k}-1)-1} \cdot (n-k-1-k(\gamma_{k}-1))^{2} \cdot \left(\frac{d_{T''}(v_{k+1})}{(d_{T''}(v_{k+1}) - 1)}\right)^{2} \cdot 4^{k}$$

$$\geq 4^{k\gamma_{k}-1} \cdot (n-1-k\gamma_{k})^{2} \cdot \frac{(n-k\gamma_{k})^{2}}{(n-1-k\gamma_{k})^{2}}$$

$$= 4^{k\gamma_{k}-1}(n-k\gamma_{k})^{2}$$

with equalities if and only if  $T'' - \{v_0, \ldots, v_k\} \cong T''_{n-k-1,k,\gamma_k-1}$ , and  $d_{T''}(v_{k+1}) = \Delta = n - k\gamma_k$ . Recall that for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ ,  $d_{T''}(v_i) = 2$ . Thus  $\Pi_1(T'') \ge 4^{k\gamma_k-1}(n-k\gamma_k)^2$  with equality if and only if  $T'' \cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ .

Similarly, we have

$$\Pi_{2}(T'') = \Pi_{2}(T'' - \{v_{0}, \dots, v_{k}\}) \cdot \frac{(d_{T''}(v_{k+1}))^{d_{T''}(v_{k+1})}}{(d_{T''}(v_{k+1}) - 1)^{d_{T''}(v_{k+1}) - 1}} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{k} (d_{T''}(v_{i}))^{d_{T}(v_{i})}$$

$$\leq \Pi_{2}(T''_{n-k-1,k,\gamma_{k}-1}) \cdot 4^{k} \cdot \frac{(d_{T''}(v_{k+1}))^{d_{T''}(v_{k+1})}}{(d_{T''}(v_{k+1}) - 1)^{d_{T''}(v_{k+1}) - 1}}$$

$$= 4^{k(\gamma_{k}-1)-1}(n-k-1-k(\gamma_{k}-1))^{n-k-1-k(\gamma_{k}-1)} \cdot 4^{k} \cdot \frac{(d_{T''}(v_{k+1}))^{d_{T''}(v_{k+1})}}{(d_{T''}(v_{k+1}) - 1)^{d_{T''}(v_{k+1}) - 1}}$$

$$\leq 4^{k\gamma_{k}-1}(n-k\gamma_{k})^{n-k\gamma_{k}}$$

with equalities if and only if  $T'' - \{v_0, \ldots, v_k\} \cong T''_{n-k-1,k,\gamma_k-1}$ , and  $d_{T''}(v_{k+1}) = \Delta = n - k\gamma_k$ . Also for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ ,  $d_{T''}(v_i) = 2$ . Thus  $\Pi_2(T'') \leq 4^{k\gamma_k-1}(n-k\gamma_k)^{n-k\gamma_k}$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ .

Now we conclude that  $\Pi_1(T) \geq \Pi_1(T'') \geq 4^{k\gamma_k-1}(n-k\gamma_k)^2$  with equality in the first inequality if and only if T = T'' or  $T \not\cong T''$  and  $d_T(v_{k+1}) = 2$ , and with equality in the second inequality if and only if  $T'' \cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ . We show that if  $T \not\cong T''$  and  $d_T(v_{k+1}) = 2$ , then  $T'' \not\cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ . Otherwise, say  $T'' = T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ . As  $d_{T''}(v_{k+1}) = n - k\gamma_k$ , there are  $n - (k+1)\gamma_k$  pendent edges at  $v_{k+1}$  in T''. By the above argument,  $d_T(v_{k+1}) = \gamma_k > 2$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\Pi_1(T) \ge 4^{k\gamma_k - 1}(n - k\gamma_k)^2$ with equality if and only if  $T \cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ . Similarly,  $\Pi_2(T) \le 4^{k\gamma_k - 1}(n - k\gamma_k)^{n - k\gamma_k}$ with equality if and only if  $T \cong T_{n,k,\gamma_k}$ .

## References

- B. Borovicanin, B. Furtula, On extremal Zagreb indices of trees with given domination number, Appl. Math. Comput 279 (2016) 208–218.
- [2] I. Gutman, On the origin of two degree based topological indices, Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts. (Cl. Sci. Math.) 146 (2014) 39-52.
- [3] I. Gutman, Multiplicative Zagreb indices of trees. Bull, Soc. Math. Banja Luka 18 (2011)17-23.
- [4] I. Gutman, B. Furtula (Eds.), Novel Molecular Structure Descriptors Theory and Applications, University Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2010, pp. 72-100.
- [5] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals Total  $\pi$ -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, Chem. Phys. Lett. 17(1972) 535–538.
- [6] S.M. Hosamani, I. Gutman, Zagreb indices of transformation graphs and total transformation graphs, Appl. Math. Comput 247 (2014) 1156-1160.
- [7] Y. Hu, X. Li, Y. Shi, T. Xu, I. Gutman, On molecular graphs with smallest and greatest zeroth order general Randi index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 54 (2005) 425-434.
- [8] A. Iranmanesh, M.A. Hosseinzadeh, I. Gutman, On multiplicative Zagreb indices of graphs, Iran. J. Math. Chem. 3 (2012) 145–154.
- [9] R. Kazemi, Note on the multiplicative Zagreb indices, Discrete Appl. Math. 198 (2016) 147–154.

- [10] J. Liu, Q. Zhang, Sharp upper bounds for multiplicative Zagreb indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 68 (2012) 231–240.
- [11] A. Meir, J.M. Moon, Relations between packing and covering numbers of a tree, Pac. J. Math. 61(1975) 225-233.
- [12] L. Pei, X. Pan, Extremal values on Zagreb indices of trees with given distance k-domination number, J. Inequal. Appl. (2018) Paper No. 16, 17 pp.
- [13] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, New local vertex invariants and molecular descriptors based on functions of the vertex degrees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 64 (2010) 359-372.
- [14] J. Topp. L. Volkmann, On packing and covering numbers of graphs, Discrete Math. 96 (1991) 229-238.
- [15] F. Wang, F. Belardo, A lower bound for the first Zagreb index and its application, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 74 (2015) 35-56.
- [16] S. Wang, B. Wei, Multiplicative Zagreb indices of k-trees, Discrete Appl. Math. 180 (2015) 168–175.
- [17] S. Wang, C. Wang, L. Chen, J.B. Liu, On extremal multiplicative Zagreb indices of trees with given number of vertices of maximum degree, Discrete Appl. Math. 227 (2017) 166–173.
- [18] S. Wang, C. Wang, J.-B. Liu, On extremal multiplicative Zagreb indices of trees with given domination number, Appl. Math. Comput 332 (2018) 338–350.
- [19] K. Xu, H. Hua, A unified approach to extremal multiplicative Zagreb indices for trees, unicyclic and bicyclic graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 68 (2012) 241-256.
- [20] B. Zhou, Remarks on Zagreb indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 57 (2007) 591-596.

[21] B. Zhou, I. Gutman, Further properties of Zagreb indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 54 (2005) 233-239.