

# Two-dimensional partial cubes\*

Victor Chepoi<sup>†</sup>Kolja Knauer<sup>‡</sup>Manon Philibert<sup>§</sup>

December 21, 2024

## Abstract

We investigate the structure of two-dimensional partial cubes, i.e., of isometric subgraphs of hypercubes whose vertex set defines a set family of VC-dimension at most 2. Equivalently, those are the partial cubes which are not contractible to the 3-cube  $Q_3$  (here contraction means contracting the edges corresponding to the same coordinate of the hypercube). We show that our graphs can be obtained from two types of combinatorial cells (gated cycles and gated full subdivisions of complete graphs) via amalgams. The cell structure of two-dimensional partial cubes enables us to establish a variety of results. In particular, we prove that all partial cubes of VC-dimension 2 can be extended to ample aka lopsided partial cubes of VC-dimension 2, yielding that the set families defined by such graphs satisfy the sample compression conjecture by Littlestone and Warmuth (1986). Furthermore we point out relations to tope graphs of COMs of low rank and region graphs of pseudoline arrangements.

## 1 Introduction

Set families are fundamental objects in combinatorics, algorithmics, machine learning, discrete geometry, and combinatorial optimization. The Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension (the *VC-dimension* for short)  $\text{VC-dim}(\mathcal{S})$  of a set family  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^X$  is the size of a largest subset of  $Y \subseteq X$  which can be *shattered* by  $\mathcal{S}$  [44], i.e.,  $2^Y = \{Y \cap S : S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . Introduced in statistical learning by Vapnik and Chervonenkis [44], the VC-dimension was adopted in the above areas as complexity measure and as a combinatorial dimension of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Two important inequalities relate a set family  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^X$  with its VC-dimension. The first one, the *Sauer-Shelah lemma* establishes that set family  $\mathcal{S}$  with its VC-dimension  $d$  on a set  $X$  with  $m$  elements satisfies  $|\mathcal{S}| \leq \binom{m}{\leq d}$  [42, 43]. The second stronger inequality, called the *sandwich lemma*, proves that  $|\mathcal{S}|$  is sandwiched between the number of strongly shattered sets and the number of shattered sets [2, 9, 19, 37]. The set families for which the Sauer-Shelah bounds are tight are called *maximum families* [24, 23] and the set families for which the upper bounds in the sandwich lemma are tight are called *ample*, *lopsided*, and *extremal families* [5, 9, 31]. Every maximum family is ample, but not vice versa.

To take a more graph-theoretical point of view on set families, one considers the subgraph  $G(\mathcal{S})$  of the hypercube  $Q_m$  induced by the subsets of  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq 2^X$ . (Sometimes  $G(\mathcal{S})$  is called the *1-inclusion graph* of  $\mathcal{S}$  [27, 28].) Each edge of  $G(\mathcal{S})$  corresponds to an element of  $X$ . Then analogously to edge-contraction and minors in graph theory, one can consider the operation of simultaneous contraction of all edges of  $G(\mathcal{S})$  defined by the same element  $x$  of  $X$ . The resulting graph is the 1-inclusion graph  $G(\mathcal{S}_x)$  of the set family  $\mathcal{S}_x \subseteq 2^{X \setminus \{x\}}$  obtained by identifying all pairs of sets of  $\mathcal{S}$  differing only in  $x$ . Given  $Z \subseteq X$ , we call the set family  $\mathcal{S}_Z$  and its 1-inclusion graph  $G(\mathcal{S}_Z)$  obtained from  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $G(\mathcal{S})$  by successively contracting the edges labeled by the elements of  $Z$  the *Q-minors* of  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $G(\mathcal{S})$ . Then  $Y \subseteq X$  is shattered by  $\mathcal{S}$  if and only if the Q-minor  $G(\mathcal{S}_{X \setminus Y})$  is a full cube. Thus, the cubes play the same role for Q-minors as the complete graphs for classical graph minors.

\*The work on this paper was supported by ANR project DISTANCIA (ANR-17-CE40-0015).

<sup>†</sup>Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS, LIS (victor.chepoi@lis-lab.fr)

<sup>‡</sup>Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS, LIS (kolja.knauer@lis-lab.fr)

<sup>§</sup>Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS, LIS (manon.philibert@lis-lab.fr)

To take a more metric point of view on set families, one restricts to set families whose 1-inclusion graph satisfies further properties. The typical property here is that the 1-inclusion graph  $G(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  is an isometric (distance-preserving) subgraph of the hypercube  $Q_m$ . The graphs which can be realized as isometric 1-inclusion graphs are called *partial cubes*. Partial cubes can be characterized in a pretty and efficient way [18] and can be recognized in quadratic time [22]. Partial cubes comprise many important and complex graph classes occurring in metric graph theory and initially arising in completely different areas of research such as geometric group theory, combinatorics, discrete geometry, and media theory (for a comprehensive presentation of partial cubes and their classes, see the survey [4] and the books [17, 26, 36]). For example, 1-inclusion graphs of ample families (and thus of maximum families) are partial cubes [5, 31] (in view of this, we will call such graphs *ample partial cubes* and *maximum partial cubes*, respectively). Other important examples comprise median graphs (aka 1-skeletons of CAT(0) cube complexes [15, 41]) and, more generally, 1-skeletons of CAT(0) Coxeter zonotopal complexes [25], the tope graphs of oriented matroids (OMs) [7], of affine oriented matroids (AOMs) [30], and of lopsided sets (LOPs) [30, 31], where the latter coincide with ample partial cubes. More generally, tope graphs of complexes of oriented matroids (COMs) [6, 30] capture all of the above. Other classes of graphs defined by distance or convexity properties turn out to be partial cubes: bipartite cellular graphs (aka bipartite graphs with totally decomposable metrics) [3], bipartite Pasch [12, 14] and bipartite Peano [39] graphs, netlike graphs [38], and hypercellular graphs [16].

Many mentioned classes of partial cubes can be characterized via forbidden  $Q$ -minors; in case of partial cubes,  $Q$ -minors are endowed with a second operation called *restriction* and are called *partial cube minors*, or *pc-minors* [16]. The class of partial cubes is closed under pc-minors. Thus, given a set  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$  of partial cubes, one considers the set  $\mathcal{F}(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  of all partial cubes not having any of  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$  as a pc-minor. Then  $\mathcal{F}(Q_2)$  is the class of trees,  $\mathcal{F}(P_3)$  is the class of hypercubes, and  $\mathcal{F}(K_2 \square P_3)$  consists of bipartite cacti [33, page 12]. Other obstructions lead to more interesting classes as shown by recent research, e.g., almost-median graphs ( $\mathcal{F}(C_6)$  [33, Theorem 4.4.4]), hypercellular graphs ( $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-)$  [16]), median graphs ( $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, C_6)$  [16]), bipartite cellular graphs ( $\mathcal{F}(Q_3^-, Q_3)$  [16]), rank two COMs ( $\mathcal{F}(SK_4, Q_3)$  [30]), and two-dimensional ample graphs ( $\mathcal{F}(C_6, Q_3)$  [30]). Here  $Q_3^-$  denotes the 3-cube  $Q_3$  with one vertex removed and  $SK_4$  the full subdivision of  $K_4$ , see Figure 1. Bipartite Pasch graphs have been characterized in [12, 14] as partial cubes excluding 7 isometric subgraphs of  $Q_4$  as pc-minors.

Littlestone and Warmuth [32] introduced the sample compression technique for deriving generalization bounds in machine learning. Floyd and Warmuth [23] asked whether any set family  $\mathcal{S}$  of VC-dimension  $d$  has a sample compression scheme of size  $O(d)$ . This question remains one of the oldest open problems in computational machine learning. Labeled and unlabeled compression schemes were designed for ample [34] and for maximum [11] families, respectively (for ample set families of VC-dimension 2 such unlabeled compression schemes exist because they admit corner peelings [11, 35]). In view of this, it was noticed in [40] and [34] that the original sample compression conjecture of [23] would be solved if *one can show that any set family  $\mathcal{S}$  of VC-dimension  $d$  can be extended to an ample (or maximum) partial cube of VC-dimension  $O(d)$  or can be covered by  $\exp(d)$  ample partial cubes of VC-dimension  $O(d)$* . These questions are already nontrivial for set families of VC-dimension 2.

In this paper, we investigate the first question for partial cubes of VC-dimension 2, i.e., the class  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , that we will simply call *two-dimensional partial cubes*. We show that two-dimensional partial cubes can be extended to ample partial cubes of VC-dimension 2 – a property that is not shared by general set families of VC-dimension 2. In relation to this result, we establish that all two-dimensional partial cubes can be obtained via amalgams from two types of combinatorial cells: maximal full subdivisions of complete graphs and convex cycles not included in such subdivisions. We show that all such cells are gated subgraphs. On the way, we detect a variety of other structural properties of two-dimensional partial cubes. Since two-dimensional partial cubes are very natural from the point of view of pc-minors and generalize previously studied classes such as bipartite cellular graphs [16], we consider these results of independent interest also from this point of view. In particular, we point out relations to tope graphs of COMs of low rank and region graphs of pseudoline arrangements. See Theorem 4 for a full statement

of our results on two-dimensional partial cubes.

We also provide two characterizations of partial cubes of VC-dimension  $\leq d$  for any  $d$  (i.e., of the class  $\mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$ ) via hyperplanes and isometric expansions. However, understanding the structure of graphs from  $\mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$  with  $d \geq 3$  remains a challenging open question.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Set families and VC-dimension

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a family of subsets of a set  $X = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ ;  $\mathcal{S}$  can be viewed as a subset of vertices of the  $m$ -dimensional hypercube  $Q_m = Q(X)$ . Denote by  $G(\mathcal{S})$  the subgraph of  $Q_m$  induced by the vertices of  $Q_m$  corresponding to the sets of  $\mathcal{S}$ ;  $G(\mathcal{S})$  is called the *1-inclusion graph* of  $\mathcal{S}$  [27, 28]. A subset  $Y$  of  $X$  is *shattered* by  $\mathcal{S}$  if for all  $Y' \subseteq Y$  there exists  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $S \cap Y = Y'$ . The *Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension* [44]  $\text{VC-dim}(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  is the cardinality of the largest subset of  $X$  shattered by  $\mathcal{S}$ . We will say that a subgraph  $G$  of  $Q_m$  has VC-dimension  $d$  if  $G$  is the 1-inclusion graph of a set family of VC-dimension  $d$ .

### 2.2 Metric subgraphs and partial cubes

All graphs  $G = (V, E)$  in this paper are finite, connected, and simple. The *distance*  $d(u, v) := d_G(u, v)$  between two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  is the length of a shortest  $(u, v)$ -path, and the *interval*  $I(u, v)$  between  $u$  and  $v$  consists of all vertices on shortest  $(u, v)$ -paths:  $I(u, v) := \{x \in V : d(u, x) + d(x, v) = d(u, v)\}$ . An induced subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  is *isometric* if the distance between any pair of vertices in  $H$  is the same as that in  $G$ . An induced subgraph of  $G$  (or the corresponding vertex set  $A$ ) is called *convex* if it includes the interval of  $G$  between any two of its vertices. Since the intersection of convex subgraphs is convex, for every subset  $S \subseteq V$  there exists the smallest convex set  $\text{conv}(S)$  containing  $S$ , referred to as the *convex hull* of  $S$ . A subset  $S \subseteq V$  or the subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  induced by  $V$  is called *gated* (in  $G$ ) [21] if for every vertex  $x$  outside  $H$  there exists a vertex  $x'$  (the *gate* of  $x$ ) in  $H$  such that each vertex  $y$  of  $H$  is connected with  $x$  by a shortest path passing through the gate  $x'$ . It is easy to see that if  $x$  has a gate in  $H$ , then it is unique and that gated sets are convex. Since the intersection of gated subgraphs is gated, for every subset  $S \subseteq V$  there exists the smallest gated set  $\text{gate}(S)$  containing  $S$ , referred to as the *gated hull* of  $S$ .

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is *isometrically embeddable* into a graph  $H = (W, F)$  if there exists a mapping  $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$  such that  $d_H(\varphi(u), \varphi(v)) = d_G(u, v)$  for all vertices  $u, v \in V$ , i.e.,  $\varphi(G)$  is an isometric subgraph of  $H$ . A graph  $G$  is called a *partial cube* if it admits an isometric embedding into some hypercube  $Q_m$ . For an edge  $e = uv$  of  $G$ , let  $W(u, v) = \{x \in V : d(x, u) < d(x, v)\}$ . For an edge  $uv$ , the sets  $W(u, v)$  and  $W(v, u)$  are called *complementary halfspaces* of  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.** [18] *A graph  $G$  is a partial cube if and only if  $G$  is bipartite and for any edge  $e = uv$  the sets  $W(u, v)$  and  $W(v, u)$  are convex.*

To establish an isometric embedding of  $G$  into a hypercube, Djoković [18] introduced the following binary relation  $\Theta$  – called *Djoković-Winkler relation* – on the edges of  $G$ : for two edges  $e = uv$  and  $e' = u'v'$  we set  $e\Theta e'$  if and only if  $u' \in W(u, v)$  and  $v' \in W(v, u)$ . Under the conditions of the theorem, it can be shown that  $e\Theta e'$  if and only if  $W(u, v) = W(u', v')$  and  $W(v, u) = W(v', u')$ , whence  $\Theta$  is an equivalence relation. Let  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  be the equivalence classes of  $\Theta$  and let  $b$  be an arbitrary fixed vertex taken as the base point of  $G$ . For an equivalence  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$ , let  $\{G_i^-, G_i^+\}$  be the pair of complementary convex halfspaces of  $G$  defined by setting  $G_i^- := G(W(u, v))$  and  $G_i^+ := G(W(v, u))$  for an arbitrary edge  $uv \in E_i$  such that  $b \in G_i^-$ . Then the isometric embedding  $\varphi$  of  $G$  into the  $m$ -dimensional hypercube  $Q_m$  is obtained by setting  $\varphi(v) := \{i : v \in G_i^+\}$  for any vertex  $v \in V$ . Then  $\varphi(b) = \emptyset$  and for any two vertices  $u, v$  of  $G$ ,  $d_G(u, v) = |\varphi(u) \Delta \varphi(v)|$ .

The bipartitions  $\{G_i^-, G_i^+\}, i = 1, \dots, m$ , can be canonically defined for all subgraphs  $G$  of the hypercube  $Q_m$ , not only for partial cubes. Namely, if  $E_i$  is a class of parallel edges of  $Q_m$ , then removing the edges of  $E_i$  from  $Q_m$  but leaving their end-vertices,  $Q_m$  will be divided into two  $(m-1)$ -cubes  $Q'$  and  $Q''$ . Then  $G_i^-$  and  $G_i^+$  are the intersections of  $G$  with  $Q'$  and  $Q''$ .

We say that an *antipode* of a vertex  $v$  in a partial cube  $G$  is a vertex  $-v$  such that  $G = \text{conv}(v, -v)$ . Note that in partial cubes the antipode is unique and  $\text{conv}(v, -v)$  coincides with the interval  $I(v, -v)$ . A partial cube  $G$  is *antipodal* if all its vertices have antipodes. A partial cube  $G$  is said to be *affine* if there is an antipodal partial cube  $G'$ , such that  $G$  is a halfspace of  $G'$ .

## 2.3 Partial cube minors

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an isometric subgraph of the hypercube  $Q_m$ . Given a  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$  of  $G$ , an *elementary restriction* consists in taking one of the complementary halfspaces  $G_i^-$  and  $G_i^+$ . These graphs are convex subgraphs of  $G$ , thus they are isometric subgraphs of the hypercube  $Q_{m-1}$ . More generally, a *restriction* is a subgraph of  $G$  induced by the intersection of a set of (non-complementary) halfspaces of  $G$ . Such an intersection is a convex subgraph of  $G$ , thus a partial cube. Since any convex subgraph of a partial cube  $G$  is the intersection of halfspaces [1, 12], the restrictions of  $G$  coincide with the convex subgraphs of  $G$ .




Figure 1: The excluded pc-minors of isometric dimension  $\leq 4$  for COMs.

For a  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$ , we say that the graph  $G/E_i$  obtained from  $G$  by contracting the edges of  $E_i$  is an (*i*-) *contraction* of  $G$ . For a vertex  $v$  of  $G$ , we will denote by  $\pi_i(v)$  the image of  $v$  under the *i*-contraction, i.e., if  $uv$  is an edge of  $E_i$ , then  $\pi_i(u) = \pi_i(v)$ , otherwise  $\pi_i(u) \neq \pi_i(v)$ . We will apply  $\pi_i$  to subsets  $S \subset V$ , by setting  $\pi_i(S) := \{\pi_i(v) : v \in S\}$ . In particular we denote the *i*-contraction of  $G$  by  $\pi_i(G)$ . From the proof of the first part of [13, Theorem 3] it easily follows that  $\pi_i(G)$  is an isometric subgraph of  $Q_{m-1}$ , thus the class of partial cubes is closed under contractions. Since edge contractions in graphs commute, if  $E_i, E_j$  are two distinct  $\Theta$ -classes, then  $\pi_j(\pi_i(G)) = \pi_i(\pi_j(G))$ . Consequently, for a set  $A$  of  $k$   $\Theta$ -classes, we can denote by  $\pi_A(G)$  the isometric subgraph of  $Q_{m-k}$  obtained from  $G$  by contracting the equivalence classes of edges from  $A$ .

Contractions and restrictions commute in partial cubes [16]. Consequently, any set of restrictions and any set of contractions of a partial cube  $G$  provide the same result, independently of the order in which we perform the restrictions and contractions. The resulting graph  $G'$  is also a partial cube, and  $G'$  is called a *partial cube minor* (or *pc-minor*) of  $G$ . For a partial cube  $H$  we denote by  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  the class of all partial cubes not having  $H$  as a pc-minor. In this paper we investigate the class  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ .

With the observation that a convex subcube of a partial cube can be obtained by contractions as well, the proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

**Lemma 1.** *A partial cube  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$  if and only if  $G$  has VC-dimension  $\leq d$ .*

Let  $G$  be a partial cube and  $E_i$  be a  $\Theta$ -class of  $G$ . Recall from [16] that  $E_i$  *crosses* a convex subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  if  $H$  contains an edge  $uv$  belonging to  $E_i$  and  $E_i$  *osculates*  $H$  if  $E_i$  does not cross  $H$  and there exists an edge  $uv$  of  $E_i$  with  $u \in H$  and  $v \notin H$ . Otherwise,  $E_i$  is *disjoint* from  $H$ . The following results summarize the properties of contractions of partial cubes established in [16] and [30]:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be a partial cube and  $E_i$  be a  $\Theta$ -class of  $G$ .*

- (i) [16, Lemma 5] *If  $H$  is a convex subgraph of  $G$  and  $E_i$  of  $G$  crosses or is disjoint from  $H$ , then  $\pi_i(H)$  is also a convex subgraph of  $\pi_i(G)$ ;*
- (ii) [16, Lemma 7] *If  $S$  is a subset of vertices of  $G$ , then  $\pi_i(\text{conv}(S)) \subseteq \text{conv}(\pi_i(S))$ . If  $E_i$  crosses  $S$ , then  $\pi_i(\text{conv}(S)) = \text{conv}(\pi_i(S))$ ;*
- (iii) [16, Lemma 10] *If  $S$  is a gated subgraph of  $G$ , then  $\pi_i(S)$  is a gated subgraph of  $\pi_i(G)$ .*

**Lemma 3.** [30] *Affine and antipodal partial cubes are closed under contractions.*

## 2.4 Ample partial cubes and complexes of oriented matroids

An important class of partial cubes are tope graphs of complexes of oriented matroids (COMs), see [6]. We resume some fundamental results about them from [6, 7, 30]. In [30] these graphs have been characterized by an infinite family of excluded pc-minors  $\mathcal{Q}^-$  (see Figure 1 for some members of  $\mathcal{Q}^-$ ), as well as exactly those partial cubes such that all antipodal subgraphs are gated.




Figure 2: A pseudoline arrangement and the associated region graph.

COMs and their tope graphs generalize tope graphs of oriented matroids (OMs), affine oriented matroids (AOMs), and ample aka lopsided sets (LOPs). To improve readability, we will simply say that a graph is a COM, an OM, or an AOM instead of each time saying that it is a tope graph. Tope graphs of LOPs will be called *ample partial cubes* in the present paper. In all the above classes there is a well-established notion of rank, which coincides with the focus of the present paper since a COM is of *rank at most  $d$*  if and only if its tope graph is in  $\mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$ . Moreover, the classes of COMs and of ample partial cubes are closed under pc-minors. Combining this with the excluded pc-minor characterizations of COMs and ample partial cubes from [30] we obtain:

**Proposition 1.** *The class  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3, C_6)$  coincides with the class of two-dimensional ample partial cubes and  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3, SK_4)$  coincides with the class of two-dimensional COMs.*

Since OMs are the antipodal COMs and AOMs are the halfspaces of OMs, these classes are not closed under restrictions. By Lemma 3 they are however closed under contractions. COMs are called this way because they are complexes whose cells are OMs, which are antipodal partial cubes. A particular nice property of COMs is that their rank is attained by a cell.

**Lemma 4.** *If  $G$  is a COM and the cube  $Q_d$  is a pc-minor of  $G$ , then there is an antipodal subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  that has  $Q_d$  as a pc-minor.*

*Proof.* For this proof we will use the standard notion of (isometric) expansions as defined in Subsection 3.2. By [30, Lemma 6.2.], if  $H$  is an antipodal subgraph of a COM  $G$  and  $G'$  is an expansion of  $G$ , then the expansion  $H'$  of  $H$  in  $G'$  is either antipodal as well or is *peripheral*, where the latter implies that  $H'$  contains  $H$  as a convex subgraph. In either case  $G'$  contains an antipodal subgraph, that has  $H$  as minor. Since  $Q_d$  is antipodal, considering a sequence of expansions from  $Q_d = G_0, \dots, G_k = G$  every graph at an intermediate step contains an antipodal subgraph having  $Q_d$  as a minor.  $\square$

The Topological Representation Theorem of Oriented Matroids characterizes tope graphs of OMs as region graphs of pseudo-sphere arrangements. Since AOMs are halfspaces of OMs, this theorem specializes to this class, which is particularly interesting for us in the case of rank 2. A *pseudoline arrangement* (that shares similar topological properties with a line arrangement) is a family of simple non-closed curves where every pair of curves intersect exactly once and crosses in that point. Moreover, the curves must be extendable to infinity without introducing further crossings. Note that several curves are allowed to cross in the same point; see Figure 2 for an example together with its region graph. We say that a partial cube is a *disk* if it is the region graph of a pseudoline arrangement. Note that, in particular, cycles are disks. From the Topological Representation Theorem of Oriented Matroids [7] we get the following inclusion:

**Proposition 2.** *Disks are AOMs of rank 2.*

Note that the exact class of AOMs that we obtain are AOMs *in general position*. Furthermore note that similarly to AOMs, disks are closed under contraction, since these correspond to removing lines from the pseudoline arrangement.

### 3 Hyperplanes and isometric expansions

In this section we characterize the graphs from  $\mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$  (i.e., partial cubes of VC-dimension  $\leq d$ ) via the hyperplanes of their  $\Theta$ -classes and via the operation of isometric expansion.

#### 3.1 Hyperplanes

Let  $G$  be a partial cube, isometrically embedded in the hypercube  $Q_m$ . For a  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$  of  $G$ , recall that  $G_i^-$  and  $G_i^+$  denote the complementary halfspaces defined by  $i$ . The *boundary*  $\partial G_i^-$  of  $G_i^-$  consists of all vertices of  $G_i^-$  having a neighbor in  $G_i^+$  ( $\partial G_i^+$  is defined analogously). Note that  $\partial G_i^-$  and  $\partial G_i^+$  induce isomorphic subgraphs of  $G$  (but not necessarily isometric subgraphs of  $G$ ). The *hyperplane*  $H_i$  of  $E_i$  has the middles of edges of  $E_i$  as the vertex-set and two such middles are adjacent in  $H_i$  if and only if the corresponding edges belong to a common square of  $G$ , i.e.,  $H_i$  is isomorphic to  $\partial G_i^-$  and  $\partial G_i^+$ . Combinatorially,  $H_i$  is the 1-inclusion graph of the set family defined by  $\partial H_i^- \cup \partial H_i^+$  by removing from each set the element  $i$ .

**Proposition 3.** *A partial cube  $G$  has VC-dimension  $\leq d$  (i.e.,  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$ ) if and only if each hyperplane  $H_i$  of  $G$  has VC-dimension  $\leq d - 1$ .*

*Proof.* If some hyperplane  $H_i$  of  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$  has VC-dimension  $d$ , then  $\partial G_i^-$  and  $\partial G_i^+$  also have VC-dimension  $d$  and their union  $\partial H_i^- \cup \partial H_i^+$  has VC-dimension  $d + 1$ . Consequently,  $G$  has VC-dimension  $\geq d + 1$ , contrary to Lemma 1. To prove the converse implication, denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{d-1}$  the set of all partial cubes of  $G$  in which the hyperplanes have VC-dimension  $\leq d - 1$ . We assert that  $\mathcal{H}_{d-1}$  is closed under taking pc-minors. First,  $\mathcal{H}_{d-1}$  is closed under taking restrictions because the hyperplanes  $H'_i$  of any convex subgraph  $G'$  of a graph  $G \in \mathcal{H}_{d-1}$  are subgraphs of the respective hyperplanes  $H_i$  of  $G$ . Next we

show that  $\mathcal{H}_{d-1}$  is closed under taking contractions. Let  $G \in \mathcal{H}_{d-1}$  and let  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  be two different  $\Theta$ -classes of  $G$ . Since  $\pi_j(G)$  is a partial cube, to show that  $\pi_j(G)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{d-1}$  it suffices to show that  $\partial\pi_j(G)_i^- = \pi_j(\partial G_i^-)$ . Indeed, this would imply that the  $i$ th hyperplane of  $\pi_j(G)$  coincides with the  $j$ th contraction of the  $i$ th hyperplane of  $G$ . Consequently, this would imply that the VC-dimension of all hyperplanes of  $\pi_j(G)$  is at most  $d-1$ .

Pick  $v \in \pi_j(\partial G_i^-)$ . Then  $v$  is the image of the edge  $v'v''$  of the hypercube  $Q_m$  such that at least one of the vertices  $v', v''$ , say  $v'$ , belongs to  $\partial G_i^-$ . This implies that the  $i$ th neighbor  $u'$  of  $v'$  in  $Q_m$  belongs to  $\partial G_i^+$ . Let  $u''$  be the common neighbor of  $u'$  and  $v''$  in  $Q_m$  and  $u$  be the image of the edge  $u'u''$  by the  $j$ -contraction. Since  $u' \in \partial G_i^+$ , the  $i$ th edge  $vu$  belongs to  $\pi_j(G)$ , whence  $v \in \partial\pi_j(G)_i^-$  and  $u \in \partial\pi_j(G)_i^+$ . This establishes  $\pi_j(\partial G_i^-) \subseteq \partial\pi_j(G)_i^-$ . To prove the converse inclusion, pick a vertex  $v \in \partial\pi_j(G)_i^-$ . This implies that the  $i$ -neighbor  $u$  of  $v$  in  $Q_m$  belongs to  $\partial\pi_j(G)_i^+$ . As in the previous case, let  $v$  be the image of the  $j$ -edge  $v'v''$  of the hypercube  $Q_m$  and let  $u'$  and  $u''$  be the  $i$ -neighbors of  $v'$  and  $v''$  in  $Q_m$ . Then  $u$  is the image of the  $j$ -edge  $u'u''$ . Since the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  belong to  $\pi_j(G)$ , at least one vertex from each of the pairs  $\{u', u''\}$  and  $\{v', v''\}$  belongs to  $G$ . If one of the edges  $u'v'$  or  $u''v''$  of  $Q_m$  is an edge of  $G$ , then  $u \in \pi_j(\partial G_i^+)$  and  $v \in \pi_j(\partial G_i^-)$  and we are done. Finally, suppose that  $u'$  and  $v''$  are vertices of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $Q_m$  and  $d(u', v'') = 2$ , one of the common neighbors  $v', u''$  of  $u'$  and  $v''$  also belongs to  $G$  and we fall in the previous case. This establishes that  $\partial\pi_j(G)_i^- \subseteq \pi_j(\partial G_i^-)$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{H}_{d-1}$  is closed under taking pc-minors. Since  $Q_{d+1}$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{H}_{d-1}$ , if  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{d-1}$ , then  $G$  does not have  $Q_{d+1}$  as a pc-minor, i.e.,  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *A partial cube  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  if and only if each hyperplane  $H_i$  of  $G$  has VC-dimension  $\leq 1$ .*

**Remark 1.** In Proposition 3 it is essential for  $G$  to be a partial cube. For example, let  $\mathcal{S}$  consist of all subsets of even size of an  $m$ -element set. Then the 1-inclusion graph  $G(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  consists of isolated vertices (i.e.,  $G(\mathcal{S})$  does not contain any edge). Therefore, any hyperplane of  $G(\mathcal{S})$  is empty, however the VC-dimension of  $G(\mathcal{S})$  depends of  $m$  and can be arbitrarily large.

By Corollary 1, the hyperplanes of graphs from  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  have VC-dimension 1. However they are not necessarily partial cubes: any 1-inclusion graph of VC-dimension 1 may occur as a hyperplane of a graph from  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ . Thus, it will be useful to establish the metric structure of 1-inclusion graphs of VC-dimension 1. We say that a 1-inclusion graph  $G$  is a *virtual isometric tree* of  $Q_m$  if there exists an isometric tree  $T$  of  $Q_m$  containing  $G$  as a subgraph. Clearly, each virtually isometric tree is a forest in which each connected component is an isometric subtree of  $Q_m$ .

**Proposition 4.** *An induced subgraph  $G$  of  $Q_m$  has VC-dimension 1 if and only if  $G$  is a virtual isometric tree of  $Q_m$ .*

*Proof.* Each isometric tree of  $Q_m$  has VC-dimension 1, thus any virtual isometric tree has VC-dimension  $\leq 1$ . Conversely, let  $G$  be an induced subgraph of  $Q_m$  of VC-dimension  $\leq 1$ . We will say that two parallelism classes  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  of  $Q_m$  are *compatible* on  $G$  if one of the four intersections  $G_i^- \cap G_j^-, G_i^- \cap G_j^+, G_i^+ \cap G_j^-, G_i^+ \cap G_j^+$  is empty and *incompatible* if the four intersections are nonempty. From the definition of VC-dimension immediately follows that  $G$  has VC-dimension 1 if and only if any two parallelism classes of  $Q_m$  are compatible on  $G$ . By a celebrated result by Buneman [10] and [20, Subsection 3.2], on the vertex set of  $G$  one can define a weighted tree  $T_0$  with the same vertex-set as  $G$  and such that the bipartitions  $\{G_i^-, G_i^+\}$  are in bijection with the splits of  $T_0$ , i.e., bipartitions obtained by removing edges of  $T_0$ . The length of each edge of  $T_0$  is the number of  $\Theta$ -classes of  $Q_m$  defining the same bipartition of  $G$ . The distance  $d_{T_0}(u, v)$  between two vertices of  $T_0$  is equal to the number of parallelism classes of  $Q_m$  separating the vertices of  $T_0$ . We can transform  $T_0$  into an isometrically embedded tree  $T$  of  $Q_m$  in the following way: if the edge  $uv$  of  $T_0$  has length  $k > 1$ , then replace this edge by any shortest path  $P(u, v)$  of  $Q_m$  between  $u$  and  $v$ . Then it can be easily seen that  $T$  is an isometric tree of  $Q_m$ , thus  $G$  is a virtual isometric tree.  $\square$

### 3.2 Isometric expansions

Let  $G$  be a graph containing two isometric subgraphs  $G^1$  and  $G^2$  such that  $G = G^1 \cup G^2$ , there are no edges from  $G^1 \setminus G^2$  to  $G^2 \setminus G^1$ , and  $G^0 := G^1 \cap G^2$  is nonempty. Then the triple  $(G^1, G^0, G^2)$  is called an *isometric cover* of  $G$ . A graph  $G'$  is an *isometric expansion* of  $G$  with respect to an isometric cover  $(G^1, G^0, G^2)$  of  $G$  (notation  $G' = \psi(G)$ ) if  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by replacing each vertex  $v$  of  $G^1$  by a vertex  $v_1$  and each vertex  $v$  of  $G^2$  by a vertex  $v_2$  such that  $u_i$  and  $v_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are adjacent in  $G'$  if and only if  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent vertices of  $G^i$  and  $v_1 v_2$  is an edge of  $G'$  if and only if  $v$  is a vertex of  $G^0$ . We say that an expansion  $G'$  is *peripheral* if  $G_1 \subseteq G_2$  or  $G_2 \subseteq G_1$ . The following result characterizes all partial cubes by isometric expansions:

**Proposition 5.** [12, 13] *A graph is a partial cube if and only if it can be obtained by a sequence of isometric expansions from a single vertex.*

Contrary to the class of all partial cubes, the classes  $\mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$  are not closed under arbitrary isometric expansions. In this subsection, we characterize the isometric expansions which preserve the class  $\mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$ . Let  $G$  be a partial cube isometrically embedded in the hypercube  $Q_m = Q(X)$ . Suppose that  $G$  shatters the subset  $Y$  of  $X$ . For a vertex  $v_A$  of  $Q(Y)$  (corresponding to a subset  $A$  of  $Y$ ), denote by  $F(v_A)$  the set of vertices of the hypercube  $Q_m$  which projects to  $v_A$ . In set-theoretical language,  $F(v_A)$  consists of all vertices  $v_B$  of  $Q(X)$  corresponding to subsets  $B$  of  $X$  such that  $B \cap Y = A$ . Therefore,  $F(v_A)$  is a subcube of dimension  $m - |Y|$  of  $Q_m$ . Let  $G(v_A) = G \cap F(v_A)$ . Since  $F(v_A)$  is a convex subgraph of  $Q_m$  and  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $Q_m$ ,  $G(v_A)$  is also an isometric subgraph of  $Q_m$ . Summarizing, we obtain the following property:

**Lemma 5.** *If  $G$  is a isometric subgraph of  $Q_m = Q(X)$  which shatters  $Y \subseteq X$ , then for any vertex  $v_A$  of  $Q(Y)$ ,  $G(v_A)$  is a nonempty isometric subgraph of  $G$ .*

The following lemma establishes an interesting separation property in partial cubes:

**Lemma 6.** *If  $(G^1, G^0, G^2)$  is an isometric cover of an isometric subgraph  $G$  of  $Q_m = Q(X)$  and  $G^1$  and  $G^2$  shatter the same subset  $Y$  of  $X$ , then  $G^0$  also shatters  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* To prove that  $G^0$  shatters  $Y$  it suffices to show that for any vertex  $v_A$  of  $Q(Y)$ ,  $G^0 \cap F(v_A)$  is nonempty. Since  $G^1$  and  $G^2$  both shatter  $Q(Y)$ ,  $G^1 \cap F(v_A)$  and  $G^2 \cap F(v_A)$  are nonempty subgraphs of  $G$ . Pick any vertices  $x \in V(G^1 \cap F(v_A))$  and  $y \in V(G^2 \cap F(v_A))$ . Then  $x$  and  $y$  are vertices of  $G(v_A)$ . Since by Lemma 5,  $G(v_A)$  is an isometric subgraph of  $Q_m$ , there exists a shortest path  $P(x, y)$  of  $Q_m$  belonging to  $G(v_A)$ . Since  $(G^1, G^0, G^2)$  is an isometric cover of  $G$ , necessarily  $P(x, y)$  contains a vertex  $z$  of  $G^0$ . Consequently,  $z \in V(G^0 \cap F(v_A))$ , and we are done.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $G'$  be obtained from  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$  by an isometric expansion with respect to  $(G^1, G^0, G^2)$ . Then  $G'$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$  if and only if  $G^0$  has VC-dimension  $\leq d - 1$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that  $G'$  is a partial cube follows from Proposition 5. Let  $E_{m+1}$  be the unique  $\Theta$ -class of  $G'$  which does not exist in  $G$ . Then the halfspaces  $(G')_{m+1}^-$  and  $(G')_{m+1}^+$  of  $G'$  are isomorphic to  $G^1$  and  $G^2$  and their boundaries  $\partial(G')_{m+1}^-$  and  $\partial(G')_{m+1}^+$  are isomorphic to  $G^0$ . If  $G'$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$ , by Proposition 1 necessarily  $G^0$  has VC-dimension  $\leq d - 1$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $G^0$  has VC-dimension  $\leq d - 1$ . Suppose by way of contradiction that  $G'$  has VC-dimension  $d + 1$ . Since  $G$  has VC-dimension  $d$ , this implies that any set  $Y'$  of size  $d + 1$  shattered by  $G'$  contains the element  $m + 1$ . Let  $Y = Y' \setminus \{m + 1\}$ . The  $(m + 1)$ th halfspaces  $(G')_{m+1}^-$  and  $(G')_{m+1}^+$  of  $G'$  shatter the set  $Y$ . Since  $(G')_{m+1}^-$  and  $(G')_{m+1}^+$  are isomorphic to  $G^1$  and  $G^2$ , the subgraphs  $G^1$  and  $G^2$  of  $G$  shatter the set  $Y$ . By Lemma 6, the subgraph  $G^0$  of  $G$  also shatters  $Y$ . Since  $|Y| = d$ , this contradicts our assumption that  $G^0$  has VC-dimension  $\leq d - 1$ .  $\square$

Let us end this section with a useful lemma with respect to antipodal partial cubes:



**Lemma 7.** *Let  $G$  be a proper convex subgraph of an antipodal partial cube  $G'$ . If  $G' \in \mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_d)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a proper convex subgraph of an antipodal partial cube  $G'$  and  $G$  has a  $Q_d$  as pc-minor. Say  $G \in H_i^+$ , then the subgraph  $-G \in H_i^-$  consisting of antipodes of  $G$  is disjoint to  $G$  but is isomorphic to  $G$ . In particular,  $-G$  has a  $Q_d$  as pc-minor as well by contracting the same set  $I$  of  $\Theta$ -classes. Thus, contracting  $I$  and all other  $\Theta$ -classes not crossing the  $Q_d$  except  $E_i$ , we get an antipodal graph  $G''$ , since antipodality is closed under contractions. Now,  $G''$  consists of two copies of  $Q_d$  separated by  $E_i$ . Take any vertex  $v \in G''$ . Then there is a path from  $v$  to  $-v$  first crossing all  $\Theta$ -classes of the cube containing  $v$  and then  $E_i$ , to finally reach  $-v$ . Thus,  $-v$  is adjacent to  $E_i$  and hence every vertex of  $G''$  is adjacent to  $E_i$ . Thus  $G'' = Q_{d+1}$ .  $\square$

## 4 Gated hulls of 6-cycles

In this section, we prove that in two-dimensional partial cubes the gated hull of any 6-cycle  $C$  is either  $C$ , or  $Q_3^-$ , or a maximal full subdivision of  $K_n$ .

### 4.1 Gatedness of full subdivisions of $K_n$

A *full subdivision* of  $K_n$  (or *full subdivision* for short) is the graph  $SK_n$  obtained from the complete graph  $K_n$  on  $n$  vertices by subdividing each edge of  $K_n$  once;  $SK_n$  has  $n + \binom{n}{2}$  vertices and  $n(n-1)$  edges. The  $n$  vertices of  $K_n$  are called *original* vertices of  $SK_n$  and the new vertices are called *subdivision* vertices. Note that  $SK_3$  is the 6-cycle  $C_6$ . Further, when we speak about a full subdivision  $SK_n$  we suppose that  $n \geq 4$ . Here is the main result of this subsection:

**Proposition 7.** *If  $H = SK_n$  is a convex subgraph of  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  and  $H$  is not included in a larger full subdivision of  $G$ , then  $H$  is a gated subgraph of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of Proposition 7 uses several claims. Each  $SK_n$  can be isometrically embedded into the  $n$ -cube  $Q_n$  in such a way that each original vertex  $u_i$  is encoded by the one-element set  $\{i\}$  and each vertex  $u_{i,j}$  subdividing the edge  $ij$  of  $K_n$  is encoded by the 2-element set  $\{i, j\}$  (we call this embedding of  $SK_n$  a *standard embedding*). If we add to  $SK_n$  the vertex  $v_\emptyset$  of  $Q_n$  which corresponds to the empty set  $\emptyset$ , we will obtain the partial cube  $SK_n^*$ . Since both  $SK_n$  and  $SK_n^*$  are encoded by subsets of size  $\leq 2$ , those graphs have VC-dimension 2. Consequently, we obtain:

**Claim 1.** For any  $n$ ,  $SK_n$  and  $SK_n^*$  are two-dimensional partial cubes.

**Claim 2.** If  $H = SK_n$  is an isometric subgraph of a partial cube  $G$ , then  $G$  admits an isometric embedding into a hypercube such that the embedding of  $H$  is standard.

*Proof.* Pick any original vertex of  $H$  as the base point  $b$  of  $G$  and consider the standard isometric embedding  $\varphi$  of  $G$  into  $Q_m$ . Then  $\varphi(b) = \emptyset$ . In  $H$  the vertex  $b$  is adjacent to  $n-1 \geq 3$  subdivision vertices of  $H$ . Then for each of those vertices  $v_i, i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , we can suppose that  $\varphi(v_i) = \{i\}$ . Each  $v_i$  is adjacent in  $H$  to an original vertex  $u_i \neq b$ . Since  $H$  contains at least three such original vertices and they have pairwise distance 2, one can easily check that the label  $\varphi(u_i)$  consists of  $i$  and an element common to all such vertices, denote it by  $n$ . Finally, the label of any subdivision vertex  $u_{i,j}$  adjacent to the original vertices  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  is  $\{i, j\}$ . Now consider an isometric embedding  $\varphi'$  of  $G$  defined by setting  $\varphi'(v) = \varphi(v) \Delta \{n\}$  for any vertex  $v$  of  $G$ . Then  $\varphi'$  provides a standard embedding of  $H$ :  $\varphi'(b) = \{n\}$ ,  $\varphi'(u_i) = \{i\}$  for any original vertex  $u_i$ , and  $\varphi'(v_i) = \{i, n\}$  for any subdivision vertex  $v_i$  adjacent to  $b$  and  $\varphi'(u_{i,j}) = \{i, j\}$  for any other subdivision vertex  $u_{i,j}$ .  $\square$

Further, when a full subdivision  $H = SK_n$  of a graph  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  is fixed, we will assume that  $G$  is isometrically embedded in a hypercube in such a way that the embedding of  $H$  is standard.

We continue with the descriptions of isometric expansions of  $SK_n$  which result into two-dimensional partial cubes. Recall that an isometric expansion of a partial cube  $G$  with respect to  $(G^1, G^0, G^2)$  is called *peripheral* if at least one of the subgraphs  $G^1, G^2$  coincides with  $G^0$ .

**Claim 3.** If  $G'$  is obtained from  $G := SK_n$  by an isometric expansion with respect to  $(G^1, G^0, G^2)$ , then  $G' \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  if and only if this is a peripheral expansion and  $G_0$  is an isometric tree of  $SK_n$ .

*Proof.* The fact that an isometric expansion of  $SK_n$ , such that  $G_0$  is an isometric tree, belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  follows from Proposition 6 and Claim 1. Conversely, suppose that  $G'$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ . By Proposition 6,  $G^0$  has VC-dimension  $\leq 1$  and by Proposition 4  $G^0$  is a virtual tree. It suffices to prove that  $G^1$  or  $G^2$  coincides with  $G^0$ . Indeed, since  $G^1$  and  $G^2$  are isometric subgraphs of  $SK_n$ , this will also imply that  $G^0$  is an isometric tree. This can be directly checked if  $n \leq 3$ . Now, assume that  $n \geq 4$ . We distinguish two cases.

First, let  $G^0$  contain two original vertices  $u_i$  and  $u_j$ . Since  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  belong to  $G^1$  and  $G^2$  and those two subgraphs are isometric subgraphs of  $G$ , the unique common neighbor  $u_{i,j}$  of  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  must belong to  $G^1$  and  $G^2$ , and thus to  $G^0$ . If another original vertex  $u_k$  belongs to  $G^0$ , then the four vertices  $u_{i,j}, u_i, u_j, u_k$  of  $G^0$  shatter the set  $\{i, j\}$ , contrary to the assumption that  $G^0$  has VC-dimension  $\leq 1$  (Proposition 6). This implies that each other original vertex  $u_k$  either belongs to  $G^1 \setminus G^2$  or to  $G^2 \setminus G^1$ . If there exist original vertices  $u_k$  and  $u_\ell$  such that  $u_k$  belongs to  $G^1 \setminus G^2$  and  $u_\ell$  belongs to  $G^2 \setminus G^1$ , then their unique common neighbor  $u_{k,\ell}$  necessarily belongs to  $G^0$ . But in this case the four vertices  $u_{i,j}, u_i, u_j, u_{k,\ell}$  of  $G^0$  shatter the set  $\{i, j\}$ . Thus we can suppose that all other original vertices  $u_k$  belong to  $G^1 \setminus G^2$ . Moreover, for the same reason and since  $G^1$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G$ , any vertex  $u_{k,\ell}$  with  $\{k, \ell\} \neq \{i, j\}$  and any vertices  $u_{i,k}, u_{j,k}$  also belongs to  $G^1 \setminus G^2$ . Since  $G^1$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G$ , for any  $k \neq i, j$ , the vertices  $u_{i,k}, u_{j,k}$  belong to  $G^1$ . Therefore  $G^1 = G$  and  $G_0 = G_1$ . Since  $G_1$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G$  and  $G^0$  has VC-dimension  $\leq 1$ ,  $G_0$  is an isometric subtree of  $G$ .

Now, suppose that  $G^0$  contains at most one original vertex. Let  $A^1$  be the set of original vertices belonging to  $G^1 \setminus G^2$  and  $A^2$  be the set of original vertices belonging to  $G^2 \setminus G^1$ . First suppose that  $|A^1| \geq 2$  and  $|A^2| \geq 2$ , say  $u_1, u_2 \in A^1$  and  $u_3, u_4 \in A^2$ . But then the vertices  $u_{1,3}, u_{1,4}, u_{2,3}, u_{2,4}$  must belong to  $G^0$ . Since those four vertices shatter the set  $\{1, 3\}$ , we obtain a contradiction that  $G^0$  has VC-dimension  $\leq 1$ . Hence, one of the sets  $A^1$  or  $A^2$  contains at most one vertex. Suppose without loss of generality that  $A^1$  contains at least  $n - 2$  original vertices  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-2}$ . First suppose that  $G^1$  contains all original vertices. Then since  $G^1$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G$ , each subdivision vertex  $u_{i,j}$  also belongs to  $G^1$ . This implies that  $G^1 = G$  and we are done. Thus suppose that the vertex  $u_n$  does not belong to  $A^1$ . Since  $G^0$  contains at most one original vertex, one of the vertices  $u_{n-1}, u_n$ , say  $u_n$ , must belong to  $A^2$  (i.e., to  $G^2 \setminus G^1$ ). This implies that all vertices  $u_{i,n}, i = 1, \dots, n - 2$  belong to  $G^0$ . Since  $n \geq 4$  and  $u_n$  is the unique common neighbor of the vertices  $u_{i,n}$  and  $u_{j,n}$  with  $i \neq j$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq n - 2$  and  $G^1$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G$ , necessarily  $u_n$  must be a vertex of  $G^1$ , contrary to our assumption that  $u_n \in A^2$ . This contradiction concludes the proof of Claim 3.  $\square$

**Claim 4.** If  $C$  is an isometric 6-cycle of  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , then  $C$  is convex or its convex hull is  $Q_3^-$ .

*Proof.* The convex hull of  $C$  in  $Q_m$  is a 3-cube  $Q$  and  $\text{conv}(C) = Q \cap V(G)$ . Since  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ ,  $Q$  cannot be included in  $G$ . Hence either  $\text{conv}(C) = C$  or  $\text{conv}(C) = Q_3^-$ .  $\square$

**Claim 5.** If  $H = SK_n$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , then either  $H$  extends in  $G$  to  $SK_n^*$  or  $H$  is a convex subgraph of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Suppose by way of contradiction that  $H = SK_n$  does not extend in  $G$  to  $SK_n^*$  however  $H$  is not convex. Then there exists a vertex  $v \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$  such that  $v \in I(x, y)$  for two vertices  $x, y \in V(H)$ . First note that  $x$  and  $y$  cannot be both original vertices. Indeed, if  $x = u_i$  and  $y = u_j$ , then in  $Q_m$  the

vertices  $x$  and  $y$  have two common neighbors: the subdivision vertex  $u_{i,j}$  and  $v_\emptyset$ . But  $v_\emptyset$  is adjacent in  $Q_m$  to all original vertices of  $H$ , thus it cannot belong to  $G$  because  $H = SK_n$  does not extend to  $SK_n^*$ . Thus, further we can suppose that the vertex  $x$  is a subdivision vertex, say  $x = u_{i,j}$ . We distinguish several cases depending of the value of  $d(x, y)$ .

If  $d(x, y) = 2$ , then this implies that  $y = u_{i,k}$  is also a subdivision vertex and  $x$  and  $y$  belong in  $H$  to a common isometric 6-cycle  $C$ . Since  $v$  belongs to  $\text{conv}(C)$ , Claim 4 implies that  $v$  is adjacent to the third subdivision vertex  $z = u_{j,k}$  of  $C$ . Hence  $v = \{i, j, k\}$ . Since  $n \geq 4$ , there exists  $\ell \neq i, j, k$  such that  $\{\ell\}$  is an original vertex of  $H$  and  $\{i, \ell\}$ ,  $\{j, \ell\}$ , and  $\{k, \ell\}$  are subdivision vertices of  $H$ . Contracting  $\ell$ , we will obtain a forbidden  $Q_3$ . If  $d(x, y) = 3$ , then this implies that  $y = u_k$  is an original vertex with  $k \neq i, j$ . Then again the vertices  $x$  and  $y$  belong in  $H$  to a common isometric 6-cycle  $C$ . Since  $v$  belongs to  $\text{conv}(C)$ , Claim 4 implies that either  $v$  is adjacent to  $u_i, u_j$ , and  $u_k$  or to  $u_{i,j}, u_{i,k}$ , and  $u_{j,k}$ , which was covered by the previous case. Finally, if  $d(x, y) = 4$ , then this implies that  $y = u_{k,\ell}$  is a subdivision vertex with  $k, \ell \neq i, j$ . In view of the previous cases, we can suppose that  $v$  is adjacent to  $x$  or to  $y$ , say  $v$  is adjacent to  $x$ . Let  $Q$  be the convex hull of  $\{x, y\}$  in  $Q_m$ . Then  $Q$  is a 4-cube and  $x = \{i, j\}$  has 4 neighbors in  $Q$ :  $\{i\}, \{j\}, \{i, j, k\}$  and  $\{i, j, \ell\}$ . The vertices  $\{i\}, \{j\}$  are original vertices of  $H$ . Thus suppose that  $v$  is one of the vertices  $\{i, j, k\}, \{i, j, \ell\}$ , say  $v = \{i, j, k\}$ . But then  $v$  is adjacent to  $\{j, k\}$ , which is a subdivision vertex of  $H$  and we are in the conditions of first case. Hence  $H$  is a convex subgraph of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Claim 6.** If  $H = SK_n$  is a convex subgraph of  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  and  $H$  is not included in a larger full subdivision in  $G$ , then the vertex  $v_\emptyset$  of  $Q_m$  is adjacent only to the original vertices  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of  $H$ .

*Proof.* Since  $H$  is convex, the vertex  $v_\emptyset$  of  $Q_m$  is not a vertex of  $G$ . Let  $u_i = \{i\}, i = 1, \dots, n$  be the original vertices of  $H$ . Suppose that in  $Q_m$  the vertex  $v_\emptyset$  is adjacent to a vertex  $u$  of  $G$ , which is not included in  $H$ , say  $u = \{n+1\}$ . Since  $u$  and each  $u_i$  has in  $Q_m$  two common neighbors  $v_\emptyset$  and  $u_{i,n+1} = \{i, n+1\}$  and since  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $Q_m$ , necessarily each vertex  $u_{i,n+1}$  is a vertex of  $G$ . Consequently, the vertices of  $H$  together with the vertices  $u, u_{1,n+1}, \dots, u_{n,n+1}$  define an isometric subgraph  $H' = SK_{n+1}$  of  $Q_m$ . Since  $v_\emptyset$  does not belong to  $G$ , by Claim 5  $H'$  is convex, contrary to the assumption that  $H$  is not included in a larger convex full subdivision of  $G$ . Consequently, the neighbors in  $G$  of  $v_\emptyset$  are only the original vertices  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of  $H$ .  $\square$

Now, we prove Proposition 7. Let  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  be an isometric subgraph of the cube  $Q_m$  in such that the embedding of  $H$  is standard. Let  $Q$  be the convex hull of  $H$  in  $Q_m$ ;  $Q$  is a cube of dimension  $n$  and a gated subgraph of  $Q_m$ . Let  $v$  be a vertex of  $G$  and  $v_0$  be the gate of  $v$  in  $Q$ . To prove that  $H$  is gated it suffices to show that  $v_0$  is a vertex of  $H$ . Suppose by way of contradiction that  $H$  is not gated in  $G$  and among the vertices of  $G$  without a gate in  $H$  pick a vertex  $v$  minimizing the distance  $d(v, v_0)$ . Suppose that  $v$  is encoded by the set  $A$ . Then its gate  $v_0$  in  $Q_m$  is encoded by the set  $A_0 := A \cap \{1, \dots, n\}$ . If  $|A_0| = 1, 2$ , then  $A_0$  encodes an original or subdivided vertex of  $H$ , therefore  $v_0$  would belong to  $H$ , contrary to the choice of  $v$ . So,  $A_0 = \emptyset$  or  $|A_0| > 2$ .

First suppose that  $A_0 = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $v_0 = v_\emptyset$ . Then all original vertices of  $H$  have distance  $k = d(v, v_\emptyset) + 1 \geq 3$  to  $v$ . From the choice of  $v$  it follows that  $I(v, u_i) \cap I(v, u_j) = \{v\}$  for any two original vertices  $u_i$  and  $u_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Indeed, if  $I(v, u_i) \cap I(v, u_j) \neq \{v\}$  and  $w$  is a neighbor of  $v$  in  $I(v, u_i) \cap I(v, u_j)$ , then  $d(w, u_i) = d(w, u_j) = k - 1$ . Therefore the gate  $w_0$  of  $w$  in  $Q$  has distance at most  $k - 2$  from  $w$ , yielding that  $d(v, w_0) = k - 1$ . This is possible only if  $w_0 = v_0$ . Therefore, replacing  $v$  by  $w$  we will get a vertex of  $G$  whose gate  $w_0 = v_0$  in  $Q$  does not belong to  $H$  and for which  $d(w, w_0) < d(v, v_0)$ , contrary to the minimality in the choice of  $v$ . Thus  $I(v, u_i) \cap I(v, u_j) = \{v\}$ . Let  $A = \{n+1, \dots, n+k-1\}$ .

If  $k = 3$ , then  $d(v, v_\emptyset) = 2$ , thus  $v$  is encoded by  $A = \{n+1, n+2\}$ . By Claim 6, any shortest path of  $G$  from  $u_i = \{i\}$  to  $v$  must be of the form  $(\{i\}, \{i, \ell\}, \{\ell\}, \{n+1, n+2\})$ , where  $\ell \in \{n+1, n+2\}$ . Since we have at least four original vertices, at least two of such shortest paths of  $G$  will pass via the same neighbor  $\{n+1\}$  or  $\{n+2\}$  of  $v$ , contrary to the assumption that  $I(v, u_i) \cap I(v, u_j) = \{v\}$  for any  $u_i$  and  $u_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ . If  $k \geq 4$ , let  $G' = \pi_{n+1}(G)$  and  $H' = \pi_{n+1}(H)$  be the images of  $G$  and  $H$  by contracting the

edges of  $Q_m$  corresponding to the coordinate  $n + 1$ . Then  $G'$  is an isometric subgraph of the hypercube  $Q_{m-1}$  and  $H'$  is a full subdivision isomorphic to  $SK_n$  isometrically embedded in  $G'$ . Let also  $v', v'_\emptyset$ , and  $u'_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , denote the images of the vertices  $v, v_\emptyset$ , and  $u_i$  of  $G$ . Then  $u'_1, \dots, u'_n$  are the original vertices of  $H'$ . Notice also that  $v'$  has distance  $k - 1$  to all original vertices of  $H'$  and distance  $k - 2$  to  $v'_\emptyset$ . Thus in  $G'$  the vertex  $v'$  does not have a gate in  $H'$ . By the minimality in the choice of  $v$  and  $H$ , either  $H'$  is not convex in  $G'$  or  $H'$  is included in a larger full subdivision of  $G'$ . If  $H'$  is not convex in  $G'$ , by Claim 5  $v'_\emptyset$  must be a vertex of  $G'$ . Since  $v_\emptyset$  is not a vertex of  $G$ , this is possible only if the set  $\{n + 1\}$  corresponds to a vertex of  $G$ . But we showed in Claim 6 that the only neighbors of  $v_\emptyset$  in  $G$  are the original vertices of  $H$ . This contradiction shows that  $H'$  is a convex. Therefore, suppose that  $H'$  is included in a larger full subdivision  $H'' = SK_{n+1}$  of  $G'$ . Denote by  $u'_\ell = \{\ell\}$  the original vertex of  $H''$  different from the vertices  $u'_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ ; hence  $\ell \notin \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $u'_\ell$  is a vertex of  $G'$  and in  $Q_m$  the set  $\{\ell\}$  does not correspond to a vertex of  $G$ , necessarily the set  $\{n + 1, \ell\}$  is a vertex of  $G$  in  $Q_m$ . Therefore, we are in the conditions of the previous subcase, which was shown to be impossible. This concludes the analysis of case  $A_0 = \emptyset$ .

Now, suppose that  $|A_0| \geq 3$  and let  $A_0 = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, k\}$ . This implies that the vertices  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  are original vertices and  $u_{1,2}, u_{1,3}, u_{2,3}$  are subdivision vertices of  $H$ . Since  $H = SK_n$  with  $n \geq 4$ ,  $H$  contains an original vertex  $u_\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 4$ , say  $\ell = 4$ . But then the sets corresponding to the vertices  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_{1,2}, u_{1,3}, u_{2,3}$ , and  $v$  of  $G$  shatter the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ , contrary to the assumption that  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ . This concludes the case  $|A_0| \geq 3$ . Consequently, for any vertex  $v$  of  $G$  the gate  $v_0$  of  $v$  in  $Q$  belongs to  $H$ . This shows that  $H$  is a gated subgraph of  $G$ .  $\square$

## 4.2 Gated hulls of 6-cycles

The goal of this subsection is to prove the following result:

**Proposition 8.** *If  $C$  is an induced (and thus isometric) 6-cycle of  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , then the gated hull  $\text{gate}(C)$  of  $C$  is either  $C$ , or  $Q_3^-$ , or a full subdivision.*

*Proof.* If  $C$  is included in a maximal full subdivision  $H = SK_n$  with  $n \geq 4$ , by Proposition 7  $H$  is gated. Moreover, one can directly check that any vertex of  $H \setminus C$  must be included in the gated hull of  $C$ , whence  $\text{gate}(C) = H$ . Now suppose that  $C$  is not included in any full subdivision  $SK_n$  with  $n \geq 4$ . In this case, we assert that  $S := \text{conv}(C)$  is gated and thus  $\text{gate}(C) = \text{conv}(C)$ . Suppose that  $G$  is a two-dimensional partial cube of smallest size for which this is not true. Let  $v$  be a vertex of  $G$  that has no gate in  $S$  and is as close as possible to  $S$ , where  $d_G(v, S) = \min\{d_G(v, z) : z \in S\}$  is the distance from  $v$  to  $S$ . Given a  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$  of  $G$ , let  $G' := \pi_i(G)$ ,  $S' := \pi_i(S)$ , and  $C' = \pi_i(C)$ . For a vertex  $u$  of  $G$ , let  $u' := \pi_i(u)$ .

First suppose that there exists a  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$  of  $G$  not crossing  $S$  such that  $S'$  is convex in  $G'$ . Since  $G' \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , by Claim 4 either the 6-cycle  $C'$  is convex or its convex hull in  $G'$  is  $Q_3^-$ . Since the distance in  $G'$  between  $v'$  and any vertex of  $S'$  is either the same as the distance in  $G$  between  $v$  and the corresponding vertex of  $S$  (if  $E_i$  does not separate  $v$  from  $S$ ) or is one less than the corresponding distance in  $G$  (if  $v$  and  $S$  belong to complementary halfspaces defined by  $E_i$ ),  $S'$  is not gated in  $G'$ . Since  $G' \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , by minimality of  $G$ , this implies that  $S' = C'$  (hence  $S = C$ ) and the 6-cycle  $C'$  is included in a maximal full subdivision  $H' = SK_n$  of  $G'$ . By Proposition 7,  $H'$  is a gated subgraph of  $G'$ . Let  $w'$  be the gate of  $v'$  in  $H'$  (it may happen that  $w' = v'$ ). Since  $C'$  is not gated, necessarily  $w'$  is not a vertex of  $C'$ . For the same reason,  $w'$  is not adjacent to a vertex of  $C'$ . The graph  $G$  is obtained from  $G'$  by an isometric expansion  $\psi_i$  (inverse to  $\pi_i$ ). By Claim 3,  $\psi_i$ , restricted to  $H'$ , is a peripheral expansion along an isometric tree of  $H'$ . This implies that in  $G$  the convex cycle  $C$  is contained in a full subdivision of  $K_n$ , contrary to our choice of  $C$ .

Now, suppose that for any  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$  of  $G$  not crossing  $S$ ,  $S'$  is not convex in  $G'$ . Since  $C'$  is an isometric 6-cycle of  $G'$ ,  $G' \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , and the 6-cycle  $C'$  is not convex in  $G'$ , by Claim 4 we conclude that the convex hull of  $C'$  in  $G'$  is  $Q_3^-$  and this  $Q_3^-$  is different from  $S'$ . Hence  $S' = C'$  and  $S = C$ . This implies that there exists a vertex  $z'$  of  $G'$  adjacent to three vertices  $z'_1, z'_2$ , and  $z'_3$  of  $C'$ . Let  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  be

the three preimages in  $C$  of the vertices  $z'_1, z'_2, z'_3$ . Let also  $y, z$  be the preimages in the hypercube  $Q_m$  of the vertex  $z'$ . Suppose that  $y$  is adjacent to  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  in  $Q_m$ . Since  $C'$  is the image of the convex 6-cycle of  $G$ , this implies that  $y$  is not a vertex of  $G$  while  $z$  is a vertex of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $Q_m$ ,  $G$  contains a vertex  $w_1$  adjacent to  $z$  and  $z_1$ , a vertex  $w_2$  adjacent to  $z$  and  $z_2$ , and a vertex  $w_3$  adjacent to  $z$  and  $z_3$ . Consequently, the vertices of  $C$  together with the vertices  $z, w_1, w_2, w_3$  define a full subdivision  $SK_4$ , contrary to our assumption that  $C$  is not included in such a subdivision. This shows that the convex hull of the 6-cycle  $C$  is gated.  $\square$

## 5 Convex and gated hulls of long isometric cycles

In the previous section we described the structure of gated hulls of 6-cycles in two-dimensional partial cubes. In this section, we provide a description of convex and gated hulls of *long isometric cycles*, i.e., of isometric cycles of length  $\geq 8$ . We prove that convex hulls of long isometric cycles are disks, i.e., the region graphs of pseudoline arrangements. Then we show that all such disks are gated. In particular, this implies that convex long cycles in two-dimensional partial cubes are gated.

### 5.1 Convex hulls of long isometric cycles

A two-dimensional partial cube  $D$  is called a *pseudo-disk* if  $D$  contains an isometric cycle  $C$  such that  $\text{conv}(C) = D$ ;  $C$  is called the *boundary* of  $D$  and is denoted by  $\partial D$ . If  $D$  is the convex hull of an isometric cycle  $C$  of  $G$ , then we say that  $D$  is a pseudo-disk of  $G$ . Admitting that  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are pseudo-disks, the class of all pseudo-disks is closed under contractions. The main goal of this subsection is to prove the following result:

**Proposition 9.** *A graph  $D \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  is a pseudo-disk if and only if  $D$  is a disk. In particular, the convex hull  $\text{conv}(C)$  of an isometric cycle  $C$  of any graph  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  is an AOM of rank 2.*

*Proof.* The fact that disks are pseudo-disks follows from the next claim:

**Claim 7.** If  $D \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  is a disk, then  $D$  is the convex hull of an isometric cycle  $C$  of  $D$ .

*Proof.* By definition,  $D$  is the region graph of an arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  of pseudolines. The cycle  $C$  is obtained by traversing the unbounded cells of the arrangement in circular order, i.e.,  $C = \partial D$ . This cycle  $C$  is isometric in  $D$  because the regions corresponding to any two opposite vertices  $v$  and  $-v$  of  $C$  are separated by all pseudolines of  $\mathcal{A}$ , thus  $d_D(v, -v) = |\mathcal{A}|$ . Moreover,  $\text{conv}(C) = D$  because for any other vertex  $u$  of  $D$ , any pseudoline  $\ell \in \mathcal{A}$  separates exactly one of the regions corresponding to  $v$  and  $-v$  from the region corresponding to  $u$ , whence  $d_D(v, u) + d_D(u, -v) = d_D(v, -v)$ .  $\square$

The remaining part of the proof is devoted to prove that any pseudo-disk is a disk. Let  $D$  be a pseudo-disk with boundary  $C$ . Let  $A_D := \{v \in D : v \text{ has an antipode}\}$ . As before, for a  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$  of  $D$ , by  $D_i^+$  and  $D_i^-$  we denote the complementary halfspaces of  $D$  defined by  $E_i$ .

**Claim 8.** If  $D$  is a pseudo-disk with boundary  $C$ , then  $A_D = C$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $C \subseteq A_D$ . To prove  $A_D \subseteq C$ , suppose by way of contradiction that  $v, -v$  are antipodal vertices of  $D$  not belonging to  $C$ . Contract the  $\Theta$ -classes until  $v$  is adjacent to a vertex  $u \in C$ , say via an edge in class  $E_i$  (we can do this because all such classes crosses  $C$  and by Lemma 2(ii) their contraction will lead to a disk). Let  $u \in D_i^+$  and  $v \in D_i^-$ . Since  $D = \text{conv}(C)$ , the  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$  crosses  $C$ . Let  $xy$  and  $zw$  be the two opposite edges of  $C$  belonging to  $E_i$  and let  $x, z \in D_i^+, y, w \in D_i^-$ . Let  $P, Q$  be two shortest paths in  $D_i^-$  connecting  $v$  with  $y$  and  $w$ , respectively. Since the total length of  $P$  and  $Q$  is equal to the shortest path of  $C$  from  $x$  to  $z$  passing through  $u$ , the paths  $P$  and  $Q$  intersect only in  $v$ . Extending  $P$  and  $Q$ , respectively within  $D_i^- \cap C$  until  $-u$ , yields shortest paths  $P', Q'$  that are crossed by all  $\Theta$ -classes except  $E_i$ . Therefore, both such paths can be extended to shortest  $(v, -v)$ -paths by adding the edge

$-u - v$  of  $E_i$ . Similarly to the case of  $v$ , there are shortest paths  $P'', Q''$  from the vertex  $-v \in D_i^+$  to the vertices  $x, z \in C \cap D_i^+$ . Again,  $P''$  and  $Q''$  intersect only in  $-v$ . Let  $E_j$  be any  $\Theta$ -class crossing  $P$  and  $E_k$  be any  $\Theta$ -class crossing  $Q$ . We assert that the set  $S := \{u, v, x, y, z, w, -u, -v\}$  of vertices of  $D$  shatter  $\{i, j, k\}$ , i.e., that contracting all  $\Theta$ -classes except  $E_i, E_j$ , and  $E_k$  yields a forbidden  $Q_3$ . Indeed,  $E_i$  separates  $S$  into the sets  $\{u, x, -v, z\}$  and  $\{v, y, -u, w\}$ ,  $E_j$  separates  $S$  into the sets  $\{x, y, -v, -u\}$  and  $\{u, v, z, w\}$ , and  $E_k$  separates  $S$  into the sets  $\{u, v, x, y\}$  and  $\{-v, -u, z, w\}$ . This contradiction shows that  $A_D \subseteq C$ , whence  $A_D = C$ .  $\square$

**Claim 9.** If  $D$  is a pseudo-disk with boundary  $C$ , then  $D$  is an affine partial cube. Moreover, there exists an antipodal partial cube  $D' \in \mathcal{F}(Q_4)$  containing  $D$  as a halfspace.

*Proof.* First we show that  $D$  is affine. Let  $u, v \in D$ . Using the characterization of affine partial cubes provided by [30, Proposition 2.16] we have to show that for all vertices  $u, v$  of  $D$  one can find  $w, -w \in A_D$  such that the intervals  $I(w, u)$  and  $I(v, -w)$  are not crossed by the same  $\Theta$ -class of  $D$ . By Claim 8 this is equivalent to finding such  $w, -w$  in  $C$ . Let  $I$  be the index set of all  $\Theta$ -classes crossing  $I(u, v)$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $u \in D_i^+$  (and therefore  $v \in D_i^-$ ) for all  $i \in I$ . We assert that  $(\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i^+) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ . Then any vertex from this intersection can play the role of  $w$ .

For  $i \in I$ , let  $C_i^+ = C \cap D_i^+$  and  $C_i^- = C \cap D_i^-$ ;  $C_i^+$  and  $C_i^-$  are two disjoint shortest paths of  $C$  covering all vertices of  $C$ . Viewing  $C$  as a circle,  $C_i^+$  and  $C_i^-$  are disjoint arcs of this circle. Suppose by way of contradiction that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i^+ = \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i^+ \cap C = \emptyset$ . By the Helly property for arcs of a circle, there exist three classes  $i, j, k \in I$  such that the paths  $C_i^+, C_j^+$ , and  $C_k^+$  pairwise intersect, together cover all the vertices and edges of the cycle  $C$ , and all three have empty intersection. This implies that  $C$  is cut into 6 nonempty paths:  $C_i^+ \cap C_j^+ \cap C_k^+$ ,  $C_i^+ \cap C_j^- \cap C_k^+$ ,  $C_i^+ \cap C_j^- \cap C_k^-$ ,  $C_i^- \cap C_j^- \cap C_k^+$ ,  $C_i^- \cap C_j^+ \cap C_k^+$ , and  $C_i^- \cap C_j^+ \cap C_k^-$ . Recall also that  $u \in D_i^+ \cap D_j^+ \cap D_k^+$  and  $v \in D_i^- \cap D_j^- \cap D_k^-$ . But then the six paths partitioning  $C$  together with  $u, v$  will shatter the set  $\{i, j, k\}$ , i.e., contracting all  $\Theta$ -classes except  $i, j, k$  yields a forbidden  $Q_3$ .

Consequently,  $D$  is an affine partial cube, i.e.,  $D$  is a halfspace of an antipodal partial cube  $G$ , say  $D = G_i^+$  for a  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$ . Suppose that  $G$  can be contracted to the 4-cube  $Q_4$ . If  $E_i$  is a coordinate of  $Q_4$  (i.e., the class  $E_i$  is not contracted), since  $D = G_i^+$ , we obtain that  $D$  can be contracted to  $Q_3$ , which is impossible because  $D \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ . Therefore  $E_i$  is contracted. Since the contractions of  $\Theta$ -classes commute, suppose without loss of generality that  $E_i$  was contracted last. Let  $G'$  be the partial cube obtained at the step before contracting  $E_i$ . Let  $D'$  be the isometric subgraph of  $G'$  which is the image of  $D$  under the performed contractions. Since the property of being a pseudo-disk is preserved by contractions,  $D'$  is a pseudo-disk, moreover  $D'$  is one of the two halfspaces of  $G'$  defined by the class  $E_i$  restricted to  $G'$ . Analogously, by Lemma 3 antipodality is preserved by contractions, whence  $G'$  is an antipodal partial cube such that  $\pi_i(G') = Q_4$ . This implies that  $G'$  was obtained from  $H := Q_4$  by an isometric antipodal expansion  $(H^1, H^0, H^2)$ . Notice that one of the isometric subgraphs  $H^1$  or  $H^2$  of the 4-cube  $H$ , say  $H_1$  coincides with the disk  $D'' := \pi_i(D')$ . Since  $H$  is antipodal, by [30, Lemma 2.14],  $H_0$  is closed under antipodes in  $Q_4$  and  $-(H_1 \setminus H_0) = H_2 \setminus H_0$ . Since  $H_0$  is included in the isometric subgraph  $H_1 = D''$  of  $H$ ,  $H_0$  is closed under antipodes also in  $D''$ . By Claim 8 we obtain  $H_0 = A_{D''} = \partial D''$ . Consequently,  $H_0$  is an isometric cycle of  $H = Q_4$  that separates  $Q_4$  in two sets of vertices. However, no isometric cycle of  $Q_4$  separate the graph.  $\square$

If  $D \notin \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  is the convex hull of an isometric cycle, then  $D$  is not necessarily affine, see  $X_4^5$  in Figure 1. On the other hand,  $SK_4 \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  is affine but is not a pseudo-disk. Let us introduce the distinguishing feature.

**Claim 10.** If  $D$  is a pseudo-disk with boundary  $C$ , then  $D$  is a disk, i.e., the region graph of a pseudoline arrangement.

*Proof.* By Claim 9 we know that  $D$  is the halfspace of an antipodal partial cube  $G$ . Suppose by contradiction that  $G$  is not an OM. By [30]  $G$  has a minor  $X$  from the family  $\mathcal{Q}^-$ . Since the members of this




Figure 3: An OM containing  $Q_3^-$  as a halfspace.

class are non-antipodal, to obtain  $X$  from  $G$  not only contractions but also restrictions are necessary. We perform first all contractions  $I$  to obtain a pseudo-disk  $D' := \pi_I(D) \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  that is a halfspace of the antipodal graph  $G' := \pi_I(G)$ . By the second part of Claim 9 we know that  $G' \in \mathcal{F}(Q_4)$ . Now, since  $G'$  contains  $X$  as a proper convex subgraph, by Lemma 7 we get  $X \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  and henceforth  $X = SK_4$ , see Proposition 1. Assume minimality in this setting in the sense that any further contraction destroys all copies of  $X$  present in  $D'$ . We distinguish two cases.

First, suppose that there exists a copy of  $X$  which is a convex subgraph of  $D'$ . Let  $n \geq 4$  be maximal such that there is a convex  $H = SK_n$  in  $D'$  extending a convex copy of  $X$ . By Proposition 7,  $H$  is gated. If  $H \neq D'$ , there exists a  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$  of  $D'$  not crossing  $H$ . Contracting  $E_i$ , by Lemma 2(iii) we will obtain a gated full subdivision  $\pi_i(H) = SK_n$  contrary to the minimality in the choice of  $D'$ . Therefore  $D' = H = SK_n$ , but it is easy to see that all  $SK_n, n \geq 4$ , are not pseudo-disks, a contradiction.

Now, suppose that no copy of  $X$  is a convex subgraph of  $D'$ . Since  $G'$  contains  $X$  as a convex subgraph,  $D'$  is a halfspace of  $G'$  (say  $D' = (G')_i^+$ ) defined by a  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$ , and  $G'$  is an antipodal partial cube, we conclude that  $E_i$  crosses all convex copies  $H$  of  $X = SK_4$ . Then  $E_i$  partitions  $H$  into a 6-cycle  $C$  and a  $K_{1,3}$  such that all edges between them belong to  $E_i$ . The antipodality map of  $G'$  maps the vertices of  $(G')_i^+$  to vertices of  $(G')_i^-$  and vice-versa. Therefore in  $D'$  there must be a copy of  $K_{1,3}$  and a copy of  $C = C_6$ , and both such copies belong to the boundary  $\partial(G')_i^+$ . The antipodality map is also edge-preserving. Therefore, it maps edges of  $E_i$  to edges of  $E_i$  and vertices of  $(G')_i^+ \setminus \partial(G')_i^+$  to vertices of  $(G')_i^- \setminus \partial(G')_i^-$ . Consequently, all vertices of  $\partial(G')_i^-$  have antipodes in the pseudo-disk  $D' = (G')_i^+$  and their antipodes also belong to  $\partial(G')_i^+$ . This and Claim 8 imply that  $\partial(G')_i^+ \subset A_{D'} = \partial D'$ . Therefore the isometric cycle  $\partial D'$  contains an isometric copy of  $C_6$ , whence  $\partial D' = C_6$ . Since  $\partial D'$  also contains the leafs of a  $K_{1,3}$  we conclude that the pseudo-disk  $D'$  coincides with  $Q_3^-$ . However, the only antipodal partial cube containing  $Q_3^-$  as a halfspace is depicted in Figure 3 and it is an OM, leading to a contradiction.  $\square$

Note that Claim 10 generalizes Claim 4. Together with Claim 7 it yields that pseudo-disks are disks, i.e., tope graphs of AOMs of rank two, concluding the proof of Proposition 9.  $\square$

## 5.2 Gated hulls of long isometric cycles

By Proposition 9 disks and pseudo-disks are the same, therefore, from now on we use the name “disk” for both. We continue by showing that in two-dimensional partial cubes all disks with boundary of length  $> 6$  are gated.

**Proposition 10.** *If  $D$  is a disk of  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  and  $|\partial D| > 6$ , then  $D$  is a gated subgraph of  $G$ . In particular, convex long cycles of  $G$  are gated.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a minimal two-dimensional partial cube in which the assertion does not hold. Let  $D$  be a non-gated disk of  $G$  whose boundary  $C := \partial D$  is a long isometric cycle. Let  $v$  be a vertex of  $G$  that

has no gate in  $D$  and is as close as possible to  $D$ , where  $d_G(v, D) = \min\{d_G(v, z) : z \in D\}$ . We use some notations from the proof of [16, Proposition 1]. Let  $P_v := \{x \in D : d_G(v, x) = d_G(v, D)\}$  be the *metric projection* of  $v$  to  $D$ . Let also  $R_v := \{x \in D : I(v, x) \cap D = \{x\}\}$ . Since  $D$  is not gated,  $R_v$  contains at least two vertices. Obviously,  $P_v \subseteq R_v$  and the vertices of  $R_v$  are pairwise nonadjacent. We denote the vertices of  $P_v$  by  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . For any  $x_i \in P_v$ , let  $v_i$  be a neighbor of  $v$  on a shortest  $(v, x_i)$ -path. By the choice of  $v$ , each  $v_i$  has a gate in  $D$ . By the definition of  $P_v$ ,  $x_i$  is the gate of  $v_i$  in  $D$ . This implies that the vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  are pairwise distinct. Moreover, since  $x_i$  is the gate of  $v_i$  in  $D$ , for any two distinct vertices  $x_i, x_j \in P_v$ , we have  $d_G(v_i, x_i) + d_G(x_i, x_j) = d_G(v_i, x_j) \leq 2 + d_G(v_j, x_j)$ . Since  $d_G(x_i, v_i) = d_G(x_j, v_j)$ , necessarily  $d_G(x_i, x_j) = 2$ .

We assert that any three distinct vertices  $x_j, x_k, x_\ell \in P_v$  do not have a common neighbor. Suppose by way of contradiction that there exists a vertex  $x$  adjacent to  $x_j, x_k, x_\ell$ . Then  $x$  belongs to  $D$  by convexity of  $D$  and  $x_j, x_k, x_\ell \in I(x, v)$  since  $x_j, x_k, x_\ell \in P_v$ . Let  $E_j$  be the  $\Theta$ -class of the edge  $v_j v$  and let  $C_k$  be the cycle of  $G$  defined by a  $(v, x_j)$ -shortest path  $P$  passing via  $v_j$ , the 2-path  $(x_j, x, x_k)$ , and a shortest  $(x_k, v)$ -path  $Q$  passing via  $v_k$ . Then  $E_j$  must contain another edge of  $C_k$ . Necessarily this cannot be an edge of  $P$ . Since  $v$  is a closest vertex to  $D$  without a gate, this edge cannot be an edge of  $Q$ . Since  $x_j \in I(x, v)$ , this edge is not  $xx_j$ . Therefore the second edge of  $E_j$  in  $C_k$  is the edge  $xx_k$ . This implies that  $v$  and  $x_k$  belong to the same halfspace defined by  $E_j$ , say  $G_j^+$ , and  $v_j$  and  $x$  belong to its complement  $G_j^-$ . Using an analogously defined cycle  $C_\ell$ , one can show that the edge  $xx_\ell$  also belong to  $E_j$ , whence the vertices  $x_k$  and  $x_\ell$  belong to the same halfspace  $G_j^+$ . Since  $x \in I(x_k, x_\ell)$  and  $x \in G_j^-$ , we obtain a contradiction with convexity of  $G_j^+$ . Therefore, if  $x_j, x_k, x_\ell \in P_v$ , then  $\text{conv}(x_j, x_k, x_\ell)$  is an isometric 6-cycle of  $D$ . In particular, this implies that each of the intervals  $I(x_j, x_k), I(x_k, x_\ell), I(x_j, x_\ell)$  consists of a single shortest path.

Next we show that  $|P_v| \leq 3$ . Suppose by way of contradiction that  $|P_v| \geq 4$  and pick the vertices  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in P_v$ . Let  $H$  be the subgraph of  $D$  induced by the union of the intervals  $I(x_j, x_k)$ , with  $j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Since these intervals are 2-paths intersecting only in common end-vertices,  $H$  is isomorphic to  $SK_4$  with  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  as original vertices. Since  $D$  is a two-dimensional partial cube, one can directly check that  $H$  is an isometric subgraph of  $D$ . Since the intervals  $I(x_j, x_k)$  are interiorly disjoint paths,  $H = SK_4$  cannot be extended to  $SK_4^*$ . By Claim 5,  $H = SK_4$  is a convex subgraph of  $D$ . Since  $D$  is an AOM of rank 2 and thus a COM of rank 2, by Proposition 1,  $D$  cannot contain  $SK_4$  as a pc-minor. This contradiction shows that  $|P_v| \leq 3$ .

Let  $S := \text{conv}(P_v)$ . Since  $|P_v| \leq 3$  and  $d_G(x_j, x_k) = 2$  for any two vertices  $x_j, x_k$  of  $P_v$ , there exists at most three  $\Theta$ -classes crossing  $S$ . Since the length of the isometric cycle  $C$  is at least 8, there exists a  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$  crossing  $C$  (and  $D$ ) and not crossing  $S$ . We assert that  $v$  and the vertices of  $P_v$  belong to the same halfspace defined by  $E_i$ . Indeed, if  $E_i$  separates  $v$  from  $S$ , then for any  $j$ ,  $E_i$  has an edge on any shortest  $(v_j, x_j)$ -path. This contradicts the fact that  $x_j$  is the gate of  $v_j$  in  $D$ . Consequently,  $v$  and the set  $S$  belong to the same halfspace defined by  $E_i$ . Consider the graphs  $G' := \pi_i(G)$ ,  $D' := \pi_i(D)$  and the cycle  $C' := \pi_i(C)$ . By Lemma 2(i),  $D'$  is a disk with boundary  $C'$  (and thus an AOM) of the two-dimensional partial cube  $G'$ . Notice that the distance in  $G'$  between  $v'$  and the vertices  $x'_j$  of  $P_v$  is the same as the distance between  $v$  and  $x_j$  in  $G$  and that the distance between  $v'$  and the images of vertices of  $R_v \setminus P_v$  may eventually decrease by 1. This implies that  $D'$  is not gated. By minimality of  $G$ , this is possible only if  $C'$  is a 6-cycle. In this case, by Proposition 8, we conclude that  $D'$  is included in a maximal full subdivision  $H' = SK_n$ , which is a gated subgraph of  $G'$ . The graph  $G$  is obtained from  $G'$  by an isometric expansion  $\psi_i$  (inverse to  $\pi_i$ ). By Claim 3,  $\psi_i$ , restricted to  $H'$ , is a peripheral expansion along an isometric tree of  $H'$ . This implies that in  $G$  the convex AOM  $D$  is contained in a full subdivision of  $K_n$ , contrary to the assumption that  $D$  is the convex hull of the isometric cycle  $C$  of length at least 8.  $\square$

Summarizing Propositions 8, 9, and 10, we obtain the following results:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a two-dimensional partial cube and  $C$  be an isometric cycle of  $G$ . If  $C = C_6$ , then*



the gated hull of  $C$  is either  $C$ ,  $Q_3^-$ , or a maximal full subdivision. If otherwise  $C$  is long, then  $\text{conv}(C)$  is a gated disk.

**Corollary 2.** *Maximal full subdivisions, convex disks with long cycles as boundaries (in particular, long convex cycles) are gated subgraphs in two-dimensional partial cubes.*

## 6 Completion to ample partial cubes

In this section, we prove that any partial cube  $G$  of VC-dimension 2 can be completed to an ample partial cube  $G^\top$  of VC-dimension 2. We perform this completion in two steps. First, we canonically extend  $G$  to a partial cube  $G^\top \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  not containing convex full subdivisions. The resulting graph  $G^\top$  is a COM of rank 2: its cells are the gated cycles of  $G$  and the 4-cycles created by extensions of full subdivisions. Second, we transform  $G^\top$  into an ample partial cube  $(G^\top)^\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  by filling each gated cycle  $C$  of length  $\geq 6$  of  $G$  (and of  $G^\top$ ) by a planar tiling with squares. Here is the main result of this section and one of the main results of the paper:

**Theorem 3.** *Any  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  can be completed to an ample partial cube  $G^\top := (G^\top)^\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ .*

### 6.1 Canonical completion to two-dimensional COMs

The *1-extension* graph of a partial cube  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  of  $Q_m$  is a subgraph  $G'$  of  $Q_m$  obtained by taking a maximal by inclusion convex full subdivision  $H = SK_n$  of  $G$  and adding to  $G$  the vertex  $v_\emptyset$ .

**Lemma 8.** *If  $G'$  is the 1-extension of  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  and  $G'$  is obtained with respect to the maximal by inclusion convex full subdivision  $H = SK_n$  of  $G$ , then  $G' \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  and  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G'$ . Moreover, any convex full subdivision  $SK_r$  with  $r \geq 3$  of  $G'$  is a convex full subdivision of  $G$  and any convex cycle of length  $\geq 6$  of  $G'$  is a convex cycle of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be an isometric subgraph of  $Q_m$ . To show that  $G'$  is an isometric subgraph of  $Q_m$  it suffices to show that any vertex  $v$  of  $G$  can be connected in  $G'$  with  $v_\emptyset$  by a shortest path. By Proposition 7  $H$  is a gated subgraph of  $G$  and the gate  $v_0$  of  $v$  in  $Q = \text{conv}(H)$  belongs to  $H$ . This means that if  $v$  is encoded by the set  $A$  and  $v_0$  is encoded by the set  $A_0 = A \cap \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then either  $A_0 = \{i\}$  or  $A_0 = \{i, j\}$  for an original vertex  $u_i$  or a subdivision vertex  $u_{i,j}$ . This means that  $d(v, v_0) = d(v, u_i) = |A| - 1$  in the first case and  $d(v, v_0) = d(v, u_{i,j}) = |A| - 2$  in the second case. Since  $d(v, v_\emptyset) = |A|$ , we obtain a shortest  $(v, v_\emptyset)$ -path in  $G'$  first going from  $v$  to  $v_0$  and then from  $v_0$  to  $v_\emptyset$  via an edge or a path of length 2 of  $H$ . This establishes that  $G'$  is an isometric subgraph of  $Q_m$ . Since any two neighbors of  $v_\emptyset$  in  $H$  have distance 2 in  $G$  and  $v_\emptyset$  is adjacent in  $G$  only to the original vertices of  $H$ , we also conclude that  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G'$ .

Now we will show that  $G'$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ . Suppose by way of contradiction that the sets corresponding to some set  $S$  of 8 vertices of  $G'$  shatter the set  $\{i, j, k\}$ . Since  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , one of the vertices of  $S$  is the vertex  $v_\emptyset$ : namely,  $v_\emptyset$  is the vertex whose trace on  $\{i, j, k\}$  is  $\emptyset$ . Thus the sets corresponding to the remaining 7 vertices of  $S$  contain at least one of the elements  $i, j, k$ . Now, since  $H = SK_n$  with  $n \geq 4$ , necessarily there exists an original vertex  $u_\ell$  of  $H$  with  $\ell \notin \{i, j, k\}$ . Clearly,  $u_\ell$  is not a vertex of  $S$ . Since the trace of  $\{\ell\}$  on  $\{i, j, k\}$  is  $\emptyset$ , replacing in  $S$  the vertex  $v_\emptyset$  by  $u_\ell$  we will obtain a set of 8 vertices of  $G$  still shattering the set  $\{i, j, k\}$ , contrary to  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ .

It remains to show that any convex full subdivision of  $G'$  is a convex full subdivision of  $G$ . Suppose by way of contradiction that  $H' = SK_r$ ,  $r \geq 3$ , is a convex full subdivision of  $G'$  containing the vertex  $v_\emptyset$ . By Claim 6, in  $G'$   $v_\emptyset$  is adjacent only to the original vertices of  $H$ . Hence, if  $v_\emptyset$  is an original vertex of  $H'$  then at least two original vertices of  $H$  are subdivision vertices of  $H'$  and if  $v_\emptyset$  is a subdivision vertex of  $H'$  then the two original vertices of  $H'$  adjacent to  $v_\emptyset$  are original vertices of  $H$ . In both cases, denote those two original vertices of  $H$  by  $x = u_i$  and  $y = u_j$ . Since  $H'$  is convex and  $u_{i,j}$  is adjacent to  $u_i$  and

$u_j, u_{i,j}$  must belong to  $H'$ . But this implies that  $H'$  contains the 4-cycle  $(x = u_i, v_\emptyset, y = u_j, u_{i,j})$ , which is impossible in a convex full subdivision. In a similar way, using Claim 6, one can show that any convex cycle of length  $\geq 6$  of  $G'$  is a convex cycle of  $G$ .  $\square$

Now, suppose that we consequently perform the operation of 1-extension to all gated full subdivisions and to the occurring intermediate partial cubes. By Lemma 8 all such isometric subgraphs of  $Q_m$  have VC-dimension 2 and all occurring convex full subdivisions are already convex full subdivisions of  $G$ . After a finite number of 1-extension steps (by the Sauer-Shelah-Perles lemma, after at most  $\binom{m}{\leq 2}$  1-extensions), we will get an isometric subgraph  $G^\top$  of  $Q_m$  such that  $G^\top \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ ,  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G^\top$ , and all maximal full subdivisions  $SK_n$  of  $G^\top$  are included in  $SK_n^*$ . We call  $G^\top$  the *canonical 1-completion* of  $G$ . We summarize this result in the following proposition:

**Proposition 11.** *If  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  is an isometric subgraph of the hypercube  $Q_m$ , then after at most  $\binom{m}{\leq 2}$  1-extension steps,  $G$  can be canonically completed to a two-dimensional COM  $G^\top \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  and  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G^\top$ .*

*Proof.* To prove that  $G^\top$  is a two-dimensional COM, in view of the second part of Proposition 1 we have to prove that  $G^\top$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3, SK_4) = \mathcal{F}(Q_3) \cap \mathcal{F}(SK_4)$ . The fact that  $G^\top$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  follows from Lemma 8. On the other hand, if  $G^\top$  contains an  $SK_4$  as a pc-minor, then repeatedly applying Claim 3, one can deduce that  $G^\top$  contains an isometric subgraph  $H$  isomorphic to  $SK_4$  and  $H$  cannot be extended to an  $SK_4^*$ . Since  $G^\top \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , applying Claim 5 to  $G^\top$ , we conclude that  $H$  is a convex subgraph of  $G^\top$ . By Proposition 7  $H$  is included in  $G^\top$  in a gated full subdivision. But this is impossible because all maximal full subdivisions  $SK_n$  of  $G^\top$  are included in  $SK_n^*$ . This shows that  $G^\top$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(SK_4)$ , thus  $G^\top$  is a two-dimensional COM. The fact that  $G$  is isometrically embedded in  $G^\top$  follows by Lemma 8 and the fact that if  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G'$  and  $G'$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G''$ , then  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G''$ .  $\square$

## 6.2 Completion to ample two-dimensional partial cubes

Let  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ ,  $C$  a gated cycle of  $G$ , and  $E_j$  a  $\Theta$ -class crossing  $C$ . Set  $C := (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k})$ , where the edges  $v_1v_2$  and  $v_kv_{k+1}$  are in  $E_j$ . The graph  $G_{C,E_j}$  is defined by adding a path on vertices  $v_{2k} = v'_1, \dots, v'_k = v_{k+1}$  and edges  $v_iv'_i$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq k-1$ . Let  $C' = (v'_1, \dots, v'_k, v_{k+2}, \dots, v_{2k-1})$ . See Figure 4 for an illustration.




Figure 4:  $G_{C,E_j}$  is obtained by adding the dotted elements to a graph  $G$  with gated cycle  $C = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_8)$ .

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $G$  be a partial cube,  $C$  a gated cycle of  $G$ , and  $E_j$  a  $\Theta$ -class crossing  $C$ .*

- (1)  $G_{C,E_j}$  is a partial cube and  $C' = (v'_1, \dots, v'_k, v_{k+2}, \dots, v_{2k-1})$  is a gated cycle, and  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G_{C,E_j}$ ,
- (2) If  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , then so is  $G_{C,E_j}$ ,
- (3) If  $G$  contains no convex  $SK_n$ , then neither does  $G_{C,E_j}$ .

*Proof.* Let us start by proving (1). The extension of the  $\Theta$ -classes is natural, i.e., edges of the form  $v_i v'_i$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq k-1$  belong to  $E_j$ , while an edge  $v'_i v'_{i+1}$  belongs to the  $\Theta$ -class of the edge  $v_i v_{i+1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ . Clearly, among the old vertices distances have not changed and the new vertices are embedded as an isometric path. If  $w \in C$  and  $u \in C'$  is a new vertex, then it is easy to see that there is a shortest path using each  $\Theta$ -class at most once. In fact, since  $w$  is at distance at most one from  $C'$  it has a gate in  $C'$ , i.e., the path only uses  $E_j$ . Let  $v$  be an old vertex of  $G \setminus C$ ,  $w$  its gate in  $C$ , and  $u$  a new vertex. Then the set of  $\Theta$ -classes separating  $v$  and  $w$  is disjoint from the set of  $\Theta$ -classes crossing  $C$ . Thus, there is a shortest path from  $v$  to  $u$  that is a concatenation of a shortest  $(v, w)$ -path and a shortest  $(w, u)$ -path crossing disjoint set of  $\Theta$ -classes and each not repeating  $\Theta$ -classes. Moreover, since  $C$  was gated, the set of  $\Theta$ -classes crossing the path is disjoint from the classes crossing  $C'$ . Thus, the graph  $G_{C,E_j}$  is a partial cube and  $C'$  is gated. Finally, it follows from the construction that  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G_{C,E_j}$ .

To see (2), suppose that  $G_{C,E_j}$  has a  $Q_3$  as a pc-minor. Since any contraction of a partial cube containing  $Q_3$  also contains  $Q_3$ , this pc-minor  $Q_3$  can be obtained by contractions. Clearly,  $E_j$  must be among the uncontracted classes, because  $\pi_j(G_{C,E_j}) = \pi_j(G)$ . Furthermore, if only one other  $\Theta$ -class of  $C$  is not contracted in  $G_{C,E_j}$ , then contraction will identify all new vertices with (contraction) images of old vertices and again by the assumption  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  we get a contradiction. Thus, the three classes that constitute the copy of  $Q_3$  are  $E_j$  and two other classes say  $E'_j, E''_j$  on  $C$ . Thus, the augmented  $C$  yields a  $Q_3^-$  in the contraction of  $G_{C,E_j}$ , but the last vertex of the  $Q_3$  comes from a part of  $G$ . In other words, there is a vertex  $v \in G$ , such that all shortest paths from  $v$  to  $C$  cross  $E_j, E'_j$ , or  $E''_j$ . This contradicts that  $C$  was gated and we conclude  $G_{C,E_j} \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ .

To see (3) suppose that  $G_{C,E_j}$  contains a convex  $SK_n$ . Since  $SK_n$  has no 4-cycles nor vertices of degree one, the restrictions leading to  $SK_n$  must either include  $E_j$  or the class of the edge  $v_1 v_2$  or  $v_{2k-1} v_{2k}$ . The only way to restrict here in order to obtain a graph that is not a convex subgraph of  $SK_n$  is restricting to the side of  $E_j$ , that contains the new vertices. But the obtained graph cannot use new vertices in a convex copy of  $SK_n$  because they form a path of vertices of degree two, which does not exist in a  $SK_n$ . Thus  $G_{C,E_j}$  does not contain a convex  $SK_n$ .  $\square$

Proposition 12(1)&(2) provides a recursive completion of a graph  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  to a graph  $G^\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  such that all of its gated cycles are of length 4. By Proposition 12(3) it furthermore follows, that if  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3, SK_4)$ , then since all its convex cycles must be gated  $G^\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3, C_6)$ , i.e.,  $G^\Gamma$  is an ample partial cube of rank at most 2. In particular, with Proposition 11 we get Theorem 3.

**Remark 2.** One can generalize the construction in Proposition 12 by replacing a gated cycle  $C$  by a gated AOM that is the convex hull of  $C$ , such that all its convex cycles are gated. In a sense, this construction captures the set of all possible extensions of the graph  $G$ .

## 7 Cells and carriers

This section uses concepts and techniques developed for COMs [6] and for hypercellular graphs [16]. Let  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  denote the set of all convex cycles of a partial cube  $G$  and let  $\mathbf{C}(G)$  be the 2-dimensional cell complex whose 2-cells are obtained by replacing each convex cycle  $C$  of length  $2j$  of  $G$  by a regular Euclidean polygon  $[C]$  with  $2j$  sides. It was shown in [29] that the set  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  of convex cycles of any partial cube  $G$  constitute a basis of cycles. This result was extended in [16, Lemma 13] where it has been shown that the

2-dimensional cell complex  $\mathbf{C}(G)$  of any partial cube  $G$  is simply connected. Recall that a cell complex  $\mathbf{X}$  is *simply connected* if it is connected and if every continuous map of the 1-dimensional sphere  $S^1$  into  $\mathbf{X}$  can be extended to a continuous mapping of the (topological) disk  $D^2$  with boundary  $S^1$  into  $\mathbf{X}$ .

Let  $G$  be a partial cube. For a  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $N(E_i)$  the *carrier* of  $E_i$  in  $\mathbf{C}(G)$ , i.e., the subgraph of  $G$  induced by the union of all cells of  $\mathbf{C}(G)$  crossed by  $E_i$ . The carrier  $N(E_i)$  of  $G$  splits into its positive and negative parts  $N^+(E_i) := N(E_i) \cap G_i^+$  and  $N^-(E_i) := N(E_i) \cap G_i^-$ , which we call *half-carriers*. Finally, call  $G_i^+ \cup N^-(E_i)$  and  $G_i^- \cup N^+(E_i)$  the *extended halfspaces* of  $E_i$ . By Djoković's Theorem 1, halfspaces of partial cubes  $G$  are convex subgraphs and therefore are isometrically embedded in  $G$ . However, this is no longer true for carriers, half-carriers, and extended halfspaces of all partial cubes. However this is the case for two-dimensional partial cubes:

**Proposition 13.** *If  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  and  $E_i$  is a  $\Theta$ -class of  $G$ , then the carrier  $N(E_i)$ , its halves  $N^+(E_i), N^-(E_i)$ , and the extended halfspaces  $G_i^+ \cup N^-(E_i), G_i^- \cup N^+(E_i)$  are isometric subgraphs of  $G$ , and thus belong to  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ .*

*Proof.* Since the class  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  is closed under taking isometric subgraphs, it suffices to show that each of mentioned subgraphs is an isometric subgraph of  $G$ . The following claim reduces the isometricity of carriers and extended halfspaces to isometricity of half-carriers:

**Claim 11.** Carriers and extended halfspaces of a partial cube  $G$  are isometric subgraphs of  $G$  if and only if half-carriers are isometric subgraphs of  $G$ .

*Proof.* One direction is implied by the equality  $N^+(E_i) := N(E_i) \cap G_i^+$  and the general fact that the intersection of a convex subgraph and an isometric subgraph of  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of  $G$ . Conversely, suppose that  $N^+(E_i)$  and  $N^-(E_i)$  are isometric subgraphs of  $G$  and we want to prove that the carrier  $N(E_i)$  is isometric (the proof for  $G_i^+ \cup N^-(E_i)$  and  $G_i^- \cup N^+(E_i)$  is similar). Pick any two vertices  $u, v \in N(E_i)$ . If  $u$  and  $v$  belong to the same half-carrier, say  $N^+(E_i)$ , then they are connected in  $N^+(E_i)$  by a shortest path and we are done. Now, let  $u \in N^+(E_i)$  and  $v \in N^-(E_i)$ . Let  $P$  be any shortest  $(u, v)$ -path of  $G$ . Then necessarily  $P$  contains an edge  $u', v'$  with  $u' \in \partial G_i^+ \subseteq N^+(E_i)$  and  $v' \in \partial G_i^- \subseteq N^-(E_i)$ . Then  $u, u'$  can be connected in  $N^+(E_i)$  by a shortest path  $P'$  and  $v, v'$  can be connected in  $N^-(E_i)$  by a shortest path  $P''$ . The path  $P'$ , followed by the edge  $u'v'$ , and by the path  $P''$  is a shortest  $(u, v)$ -path included in  $N(E_i)$ .  $\square$

By Claim 11 it suffices to show that the half-carriers  $N^+(E_i)$  and  $N^-(E_i)$  of a two-dimensional partial cube  $G$  are isometric subgraphs of  $G$ . By Proposition 11,  $G$  is an isometric subgraph of its canonical COM-extension  $G^\sqsupset$ . Moreover from the construction of  $G^\sqsupset$  it follows that the carrier  $N(E_i)$  and its half-carriers  $N^+(E_i)$  and  $N^-(E_i)$  are subgraphs of the carrier  $N^\sqsupset(E_i)$  and its half-carriers  $N^{\sqsupset+}(E_i), N^{\sqsupset-}(E_i)$  in the graph  $G^\sqsupset$ . By [6, Proposition 6], carriers and their halves of COMs are also COMs. Consequently,  $N^{\sqsupset+}(E_i)$  and  $N^{\sqsupset-}(E_i)$  are isometric subgraphs of  $G^\sqsupset$ . Since the graph  $G^\sqsupset$  is obtained from  $G$  via a sequence of 1-extensions, it easily follows that any shortest path  $P \subset N^{\sqsupset+}(E_i)$  between two vertices of  $N^+(E_i)$  can be replaced by a path  $P'$  of the same length lying entirely in  $N^+(E_i)$ . Therefore  $N^+(E_i)$  is an isometric subgraph of the partial cube  $N^{\sqsupset+}(E_i)$ , thus the half-carrier  $N^+(E_i)$  is also an isometric subgraph of  $G$ .  $\square$

A partial cube  $G = (V, E)$  is a *2d-amalgam* of two-dimensional partial cubes  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1), G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  both isometrically embedded in the cube  $Q_m$  if the following conditions are satisfies:

- (1)  $V = V_1 \cup V_2, E = E_1 \cup E_2$  and  $V_2 \setminus V_1, V_2 \setminus V_1, V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (2) the subgraph  $G_0$  of  $Q_m$  induced by  $V_1 \cap V_2$  is a two-dimensional partial cube and each maximal full subdivision  $SK_n$  of  $G_0$  is maximal in  $G$ ;
- (3)  $G$  is a partial cube.

**Proposition 14.** *Two-dimensional partial cubes are obtained via successive 2d-amalgamations from their gated cycles and gated full subdivisions. Conversely, the 2d-amalgam of two-dimensional partial cubes  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  of  $Q_m$  is a two-dimensional partial cube of  $Q_m$  in which every gated cycle or gated full subdivision belongs to at least one of the two constituents.*

*Proof.* Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a two-dimensional partial cube which is not a single cell. We can suppose that  $G$  is 2-connected, otherwise we can do an amalgam along an articulation vertex. Pick two such gated cells  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  intersecting in an edge  $e$ . Let  $E_i$  be a  $\Theta$ -class crossing  $C_1$  and not containing  $e$ . Since  $C_2$  is gated,  $C_2$  is contained in one of the halfspaces  $G_i^+$  or  $G_i^-$ , say  $C_2 \subseteq G_i^+$ . Notice also that  $C_2$  is not included in the carrier  $N(E_i)$ . Set  $G_1 := G_i^- \cup N^+(E_i)$  and  $G_2 := G_i^+$ . By Proposition 13,  $G_1, G_2$ , and  $G_1 \cap G_2 = N^+(E_i)$  are two-dimensional partial cubes, thus  $G$  is a 2d-amalgam of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . Conversely, suppose that a partial cube  $G$  is a 2d-amalgam of two-dimensional partial cubes  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . Consider the canonical COM completions  $G_1^\top$  and  $G_2^\top$  of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , which are in  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  by the Lemma 8. Then  $G_1^\top \cap G_2^\top$  coincides with  $G_0^\top$ . Therefore, by [6, Proposition 7] this provides a COM  $G'$ , which is a COM amalgam of  $G_1^\top$  and  $G_2^\top$  along  $G_0^\top$  without creating new antipodal subgraphs. Using the Lemma 4, we deduce that  $G' \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ . Since the graph  $G$  is isometrically embedded in  $G'$ ,  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

The 2-dimensional cell complex  $\mathbf{C}(G)$  of a partial cube  $G$  is simply connected but not contractible even if  $G$  is two-dimensional. However, for two-dimensional partial cubes there is a simple remedy: consider a (combinatorial) cell complex  $\mathbf{X}(G)$  of  $G$  having gated cycles and gated full subdivisions as cells.  $\mathbf{X}(G)$  does not have a direct geometric meaning because full subdivisions cannot be directly represented by Euclidean cells. One possibility is to replace each gated full subdivision  $SK_n$  by a regular Euclidean simplex with side of length 2 and each gated cycle by a regular Euclidean polygon. Denote the resulting polyhedral complex by  $\mathbf{X}(G)$ . Notice that two cells of  $\mathbf{X}(G)$  can intersect in an edge of a polygonal cell or in a half-edge of a simplex. This way, with each two-dimensional partial cube  $G$  we associate a polyhedral complex  $\mathbf{X}(G)$  which may have cells of arbitrary dimensions. Alternatively, one can associate to  $G$  the cell complex  $\mathbf{C}(G^\top)$  of the canonical COM completion  $G^\top$  of  $G$ . Recall that in  $\mathbf{C}(G^\top)$ , each gated cycle of  $G$  is replaced by a regular Euclidean polygon and each gated full subdivision  $SK_n$  of  $G$  is extended in  $G^\top$  to  $SK_n^*$  and this correspond to a bouquet of squares in  $\mathbf{C}(G^\top)$ . Thus  $\mathbf{C}(G^\top)$  is a two-dimensional cell complex.

**Corollary 3.** *If  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , then the complexes  $\mathbf{X}(G)$  and  $\mathbf{C}(G^\top)$  are contractible.*

*Proof.* That  $\mathbf{C}(G^\top)$  is contractible follows from the fact that  $G^\top$  is a two-dimensional COM (Proposition 11) and that the cell complexes of COMs are contractible (Proposition 15 of [6]). The proof that  $\mathbf{X}(G)$  is contractible uses the same arguments as the proof of [6, Proposition 15]. We prove the contractibility of  $\mathbf{X}(G)$  by induction on the number of maximal cells of  $\mathbf{X}(G)$  by using the gluing lemma [8, Lemma 10.3] and Proposition 13. By the gluing lemma, if  $\mathbf{X}$  is a cell complex which is the union of two contractible cell complexes  $\mathbf{X}_1$  and  $\mathbf{X}_2$  such that their intersection  $\mathbf{X}_1 \cap \mathbf{X}_2$  is contractible, then  $\mathbf{X}$  is contractible. If  $\mathbf{X}(G)$  consists of a single maximal cell, then this cell is either a polygon or a simplex, thus is contractible. If  $\mathbf{X}(G)$  contains at least two cells, then by the first assertion of Proposition 14  $G$  is a 2d-amalgam of two-dimensional partial cubes  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  along a two-dimensional partial cube  $G_0$ . By induction assumption, the complexes  $\mathbf{X}(G_1)$ ,  $\mathbf{X}(G_2)$ , and  $\mathbf{X}(G_0) = \mathbf{X}(G_1) \cap \mathbf{X}(G_2)$  are contractible, thus  $\mathbf{X}(G)$  is contractible by gluing lemma.  $\square$

## 8 Characterizations of two-dimensional partial cubes

The goal of this section is to give a characterization of two-dimensional partial cubes, summarizing all the properties established in the previous sections:

**Theorem 4.** *For a partial cube  $G = (V, E)$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  is a two-dimensional partial cube;
- (ii) the carriers  $N(E_i)$  of all  $\Theta$ -classes of  $G$ , defined with respect to the cell complex  $\mathbf{C}(G)$ , are two-dimensional partial cubes;
- (iii) the hyperplanes of  $G$  are virtual isometric trees;
- (iv)  $G$  can be obtained from the one-vertex graph via a sequence  $\{(G_i^1, G_i^0, G_i^2) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$  of isometric expansions, where each  $G_i^0, i = 1, \dots, m$  has VC-dimension  $\leq 1$ ;
- (v)  $G$  can be obtained via 2d-amalgams from even cycles and full subdivisions;
- (vi)  $G$  has an extension to a two-dimensional ample partial cube.

Moreover, any two-dimensional partial cube  $G$  satisfies the following condition:

- (vii) the gated hull of each isometric cycle of  $G$  is a disk or a full subdivision.

*Proof.* The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is the content of Proposition 13. To prove that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) notice that since  $N(E_i)$  is a two-dimensional partial cube, by the first part of Proposition 3 and Proposition 4 it follows that the hyperplane of the  $\Theta$ -class  $E_i$  of  $N(E_i)$  is a virtual isometric tree. Since this hyperplane of  $N(E_i)$  coincides with the hyperplane  $H_i$  of  $G$ , we deduce that all hyperplanes of  $G$  are virtual isometric trees, establishing (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). The implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from the second part of Proposition 3 and Proposition 4. The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) follows from Proposition 6. The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) follows from Proposition 14. The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) follows from Theorem 3 and the implication (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is evident. Finally, the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (vii) is the content of Theorem 2.  $\square$

Note that it is not true that if in a partial cube  $G$  the convex hull of every isometric cycle is in  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_3)$ ; see  $X_2^4$  in Figure 1. However, we conjecture that the condition (vii) of Theorem 4 is equivalent to conditions (i)-(vi):

**Conjecture 1.** Any partial cube  $G$  in which the gated hull of each isometric cycle is a disk or a full subdivision is a two-dimensional partial cube.

## 9 Final remarks

In this paper, we provided several characterizations of two-dimensional partial cubes via hyperplanes, isometric expansions and amalgamations, cells and carriers, and gated hulls of isometric cycles. One important feature of such graphs is that gated hulls of isometric cycles have a precise structure: they are either full subdivisions of complete graphs or disks, which are plane graphs representable as graphs of regions of pseudoline arrangements. Using those results, first we show that any two-dimensional partial cube  $G$  can be completed in a canonical way to a COM  $G^\top$  of rank 2 and that  $G^\top$  can be further completed to an ample partial cube  $G^\top := (G^\top)^\top$  of VC-dimension 2. Notice that  $G$  is isometrically embedded in  $G^\top$  and that  $G^\top$  is isometrically embedded in  $G^\top$ . This answers in the positive (and in the strong way) the question of [34] for partial cubes of VC-dimension 2. However, for Theorem 3 it is essential that the input is a partial cube: Figure 5 presents a (non-isometric) subgraph  $Z$  of  $Q_4$  of VC-dimension 2, such that any ample partial cube containing  $Z$  has VC-dimension 3. Therefore, it seems to us interesting and nontrivial to solve the question of [40] and [34] for all (non-isometric) subgraphs of hypercubes of VC-dimension 2 (alias, for arbitrary set families of VC-dimension 2).

It is also important to investigate the completion questions of [34] and [40] for all partial cubes from  $\mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$  (i.e., of partial cubes of VC-dimension  $\leq d$ ). For this, it will be interesting to see which results for partial cubes from  $\mathcal{F}(Q_3)$  can be extended to graphs from  $\mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$ . We have the impression, that some of the results on disks can be extended to balls; a partial cube is a  $d$ -ball if  $G \in \mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$  and  $G$  contains an isometric antipodal subgraph  $C \in \mathcal{F}(Q_{d+1})$  such that  $G = \text{conv}(C)$ . With this in mind, one next step would be to study the class  $\mathcal{F}(Q_4)$ .




Figure 5: A subgraph  $Z$  of  $Q_4$  of VC-dimension 2, such that any ample partial cube containing  $Z$  has VC-dimension 3.

## References

- [1] M. Albenque and K. Knauer, Convexity in partial cubes: the hull number, *Discr. Math.* 339 (2016), 866–876.
- [2] R.P. Anstee, L. Rónyai, and A. Sali, Shattering news, *Graphs and Combin.* 18 (2002), 59–73.
- [3] H.-J. Bandelt and V. Chepoi, Cellular bipartite graphs, *Europ. J. Combin.* 17 (1996), 121–134.
- [4] H.-J. Bandelt and V. Chepoi, Metric graph theory and geometry: a survey, in: J. E. Goodman, J. Pach, R. Pollack (Eds.), *Surveys on Discrete and Computational Geometry. Twenty Years later*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 453, AMS, Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 49–86.
- [5] H.-J. Bandelt, V. Chepoi, A. Dress, and J. Koolen, Combinatorics of lopsided sets, *Europ. J. Combin.* 27 (2006), 669–689.
- [6] H.-J. Bandelt, V. Chepoi, and K. Knauer, COMs: complexes of oriented matroids, *J. Combin. Th., Ser. A* 156 (2018), 195–237.
- [7] A. Björner, M. Las Vergnas, B. Sturmfels, N. White, and G. Ziegler, *Oriented Matroids*, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 46, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [8] A. Björner, Topological methods, in *Handbook of combinatorics*, Vol. 1, 2, Elsevier, 1995, pp. 1819–1872.
- [9] B. Bollobás and A.J. Radcliffe, Defect Sauer results, *J. Comb. Th. Ser. A* 72 (1995), 189–208.
- [10] P. Buneman, The recovery of trees from measures of dissimilarity, In D.G. Kendall and P. Tăutu, editors, *Mathematics the the Archeological and Historical Sciences*, pp. 387–395. Edinburgh University Press, 1971.
- [11] J. Chalopin, V. Chepoi, S. Moran, and M.K. Warmuth, Unlabeled sample compression schemes and corner peelings for ample and maximum classes, *ICALP 2019*, full version: arXiv:1812.02099v1.
- [12] V. Chepoi,  $d$ -Convex sets in graphs, *Dissertation*, Moldova State Univ., Chişinău, 1986.
- [13] V. Chepoi, Isometric subgraphs of Hamming graphs and  $d$ -convexity, *Cybernetics* 24 (1988), 6–10.
- [14] V. Chepoi, Separation of two convex sets in convexity structures, *J. Geometry* 50 (1994), 30–51.
- [15] V. Chepoi, Graphs of some CAT(0) complexes, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 24 (2000), 125–179.

- [16] V. Chepoi, K. Knauer, and T. Marc, Partial cubes without  $Q_3^-$  minors, arXiv:1606.02154.
- [17] M. Deza and M. Laurent, Geometry of Cuts and Metrics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [18] D.Ž. Djoković, Distance-preserving subgraphs of hypercubes, J. Combin. Th. Ser. B 14 (1973), 263–267.
- [19] A.W.M. Dress, Towards a theory of holistic clustering, DIMACS Ser. Discr. math. Theoret. Comput.Sci., 37 Amer. Math. Soc. 1997, pp. 271–289.
- [20] A. Dress, K.T. Huber, J. Koolen, V. Moulton, A. Spillner, Basic Phylogenetic Combinatorics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [21] A. W. M. Dress and R. Scharlau, Gated sets in metric spaces, Aequationes Math. 34 (1987), 112–120.
- [22] D. Eppstein, Recognizing partial cubes in quadratic time, SODA 2008, pp. 1258–1266.
- [23] S. Floyd and M.K. Warmuth, Sample compression, learnability, and the Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension, Machine Learning 21 (1995), 269–304.
- [24] B. Gärtner and E. Welzl, Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension and (pseudo-)hyperplane arrangements, Discr. Comput. Geom. 12 (1994), 399–432.
- [25] F. Haglund and F. Paulin, Simplicité de groupes d’automorphismes d’espaces à courbure négative, The Epstein birthday schrift, Geom. Topol. Monogr., 1 (1998), 181–248 (electronic), Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry.
- [26] R. Hammack, W. Imrich, and S. Klavžar, Handbook of Product Graphs, Second Edition, CRC Press, 2011.
- [27] D. Haussler, Sphere packing numbers for subsets of the Boolean  $n$ -cube with bounded Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 69 (1995), 217–232.
- [28] D. Haussler, N. Littlestone, and M. K. Warmuth, Predicting  $\{0,1\}$ -functions on randomly drawn points, Inf. Comput. 115 (1994), 248–292.
- [29] S. Klavžar and S. V. Shpectorov, Convex excess in partial cubes, J. Graph Theory 69 (2012), 356–369.
- [30] K. Knauer and T. Marc, On tope graphs of complexes of oriented matroids, arXiv:1701.05525.
- [31] J. Lawrence, Lopsided sets and orthant-intersection of convex sets, Pacific J. Math. 104 (1983), 155–173.
- [32] N. Littlestone and M. Warmuth, Relating data compression and learnability, Unpublished, 1986.
- [33] T. Marc, Cycling in hypercubes, Doctoral thesis, Univ. of Ljubljana, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2018.
- [34] S. Moran and M. K. Warmuth, Labeled compression schemes for extremal classes, ALT 2016, 34–49.
- [35] T. Mészáros and L. Rónyai, Shattering-extremal set systems of VC dimension at most 2, Electr. J.Comb., 21 (2014), P 4.30.
- [36] S. Ovchinnikov, Graphs and Cubes, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [37] A. Pajor, Sous-espaces  $\ell_1^n$  des espaces de Banach, Travaux en Cours, Hermann, Paris, 1985
- [38] N. Polat, Netlike partial cubes I. General properties, Discr. Math. 307 (2007), 2704–2722.



- [39] N. Polat, Peano partial cubes, arXiv:1901.09075.
- [40] B.I.P. Rubinstein, J.H. Rubinstein, and P.L. Bartlett, Bounding embeddings of VC classes into maximum classes, in: V. Vovk, H. Papadopoulos, A. Gammernan (Eds.), Measures of Complexity. Festschrift for Alexey Chervonenkis, Springer, 2015, pp. 303–325.
- [41] M. Sageev, CAT(0) cube complexes and groups, Geometric Group Theory (M. Bestvina, M. Sageev, and K. Vogtmann, eds.), IAS/ Park City Math Series, vol. 21, AMS, Institute for Advanced Study, 2012, pp. 6–53.
- [42] N. Sauer, On the density of families of sets, J. Combin. Th., Ser. A 13 (1972), 145–147.
- [43] S. Shelah, A combinatorial problem, stability and order for models and theories in infinitary languages, Pacific J. Math. 41 (1972), 247–261.
- [44] V.N. Vapnik and A.Y. Chervonenkis, On the uniform convergence of relative frequencies of events to their probabilities, Theory Probab. Appl. 16 (1971), 264–280.